## Selection of database of potential test chemicals

- Database lists of ECVAM, IWGT UDS, CSGMT, IARC, CPDB, NTP, and EU GHS
- Carcinogenicity/genotoxicity data
- Chemical class and genotoxic/carcinogenic MOA

### Primary candidates (90 chemicals)

- Selected 43 GC, 13 GNC, 19 NGC, and 15 NGNC
- Differences in chemical class and MOA
- Availability (price, stock, etc)
- Ease of handling
- Sufficiency of carcinogenicity/genotoxicity data

# Secondary candidates (46 chemicals)

- Selected 24 GC, 6 GNC, 7 NGC, and 9 NGNC
- Deselecting chemicals which were used in the
- previous steps in the study
- Deselecting alternative chemicals

## Final selection (40 chemicals)

- Selected 19 GC, 6 GNC, 7 NGC, and 8 NGNC

**Fig. 1.** Flow chart of the different steps of the chemical selection. Database lists, EVCAM [9], IWGT UDS [10], CSGMT [11], IARC [12], CPDB [13], NTP [14], and EU GHS [15].

GC, genotoxic carcinogens; GNC, genotoxic non-carcinogens; NGC, Non-genotoxic carcinogens; NGNC, non-genotoxic non-carcinogens; MOA, mode of actions.

natives were ganciclovir for azidothymidine (AZT), daunomycin hydrochloride and busulfan for mitomycin C (MMC). AZT, a nucleoside analog, and MMC, a DNA-interstrand crosslinker, are both well known carcinogens but are relatively expensive. Among the 43 chemicals, 33 were Ames-positive, while the remaining 10 chemicals were Ames-negative (including one equivocal), but were positive in an *in vitro* CA and rodent *in vivo* erythrocyte micronucleus tests. The majority of the chemicals (37/42) were positive in an *in vitro* CA test; one chemical had no CA data. With respect to the rat liver UDS assay, 13 chemicals were positive, 12 were negative and 1 was inconclusive; there were no UDS data on the remaining 17 chemicals.

# • Genotoxic non-carcinogens (13 chemicals)

Thirteen chemicals were selected as candidate genotoxic noncarcinogens. Of these, 11 were Ames-positive, while the remaining 2 chemicals were Ames-negative but were positive in the *in vitro* CA test and rodent *in vivo* micronucleus tests. The majority of the chemicals (11/12) were positive in an *in vitro* CA test, one chemical had no CA data. For the results of liver UDS assay, 1 chemical was positive, 2 were negative, and 1 was inconclusive; there were no UDS data on the remaining 9.

## • Non-genotoxic carcinogens (19 chemicals)

Nineteen chemicals were selected as candidate non-genotoxic carcinogens. All chemicals were Ames-negative, except one chemical that was equivocal. For the *in vivo* erythrocyte micronucleus test, 13 chemicals were negative, 4 were inconclusive, and 2 had no micronucleus data. Some chemicals (7/19) were positive in the *in vitro* CA test. For the results of liver UDS assay, 8 were negative and the remaining 11 had no UDS data. Chloroform, ethanol, and methyl carbamate were included as specific non-genotoxic liver carcinogens.

# • Non-genotoxic non-carcinogens (15 chemicals)

Fifteen chemicals were selected as candidate non-genotoxic, non-carcinogens. All chemicals were Ames-negative and 10 chemicals were also negative in the *in vivo* micronucleus assay. Some chemicals (5/15) were positive in the *in vitro* CA test. For the results

of liver UDS assay, 2 were negative and the remaining 13 had no UDS data. Sodium chloride was included as a specific non-genotoxic, non-carcinogenic gastrotoxicant.

## • Positive control (1 chemical)

Ethyl methansulfonate, a genotoxic carcinogen, was used as the concurrent positive control throughout the comet assay validation study.

# 3.3. Secondary candidate chemicals (46 chemicals excluding positive control)

Forty-six chemicals were selected as secondary candidates from the 90 primary candidate chemicals, based on differences in chemical properties or availability and price (Table 2). Based on the experimental design for the validation study (maximum dose level of 2000 mg/kg x number of dose levels (3) x number of treatments (4) x numbers of rats per dose group (5) x expected average rat weight (200 g)), it was estimated that a minimum of 20 g would be needed per study, in the absence of animal toxicity. Therefore, due to budgetary limitations, chemicals with a purchase price of more than 10,000 JPY (equivalent to approximately 100 US \$) per gram were generally excluded. Other chemicals were excluded from further consideration because there was little information on their carcinogenicity and/or genotoxicity, they could not be obtained commercially, they were commercially available but the supply was too limited, and/or could not easily be administered orally (Table 2). Where there were multiple chemicals with similar properties (e.g., chemical class, genotoxic mode of action), only one chemical was selected. Based on these criteria, 16 chemicals were excluded on the basis of costs, 2 due to anticipated difficulties in administrating orally, 16 due to similarity in properties, 7 because of limited information on carcinogenicity and/or genotoxicity, and 5 due to lack or limited commercial availability (Table 2).

### 3.4. Final selection of 40 test chemicals excluding positive control

Forty chemicals were selected as the final reference chemicals from the 46 secondary candidates' chemical list (Tables 2 and 3). The six excluded chemicals included 5 genotoxic carcinogens: acrylamide (due to its use in Phase 2 of the pre-validation study), N-methyl-N-nitrosourea (due to its use in Phase 3 and Phase 4 step 1 in the validation study), MMC (due to its cost) although busulfan was used as an alternative, daunomycin hydrochloride, and ganciclovir (an alternative of AZT that was not used), as well as the non-genotoxic non-carcinogen D-mannitol, which was used in Phase 3 and Phase 4 - step 1 in the validation study. Although 2,4diaminotoluene (genotoxic carcinogen) and 2,6-diaminotoluene (genotoxic non-carcinogen) were used in Phase 2 of the prevalidation study, both chemicals were used also in the main validation study to review inter-laboratory reproducibility. The final 40 reference chemicals included 19 genotoxic carcinogens, 6 genotoxic non-carcinogens, 7 non-genotoxic carcinogens and 8 non-genotoxic non-carcinogens. These were as follows:

# • Genotoxic carcinogens (19 chemicals)

√2-Acetylaminofluorene (2-AAF) [Chemical Abstracts Services Registry Number [CASRN] 53-96-3]

Carcinogenicity: IARC [12], Not listed; CPDB [13], positive

2-AAF induces liver tumors in rats and mice, and mammary gland and skin tumors in rats [13]. It is positive in the Ames mutagenicity and *in vitro* CA tests [9,14] and in several *in vivo* genotoxicity tests including MN [20], transgenic (TG) mutation [21,22] and UDS [10,23] assays in rats and/or mice. Metabolic activation is

**Table 3**Detailed *in vivo* genotoxicity data on selected final test chemicals for international validation study on the *in vivo* comet assay.

No.	Chemical [CAS] <carcinogenicity></carcinogenicity>	Structure	Assay	Result	Animal	Route	Dose (mg/kg)	Refs.
1	Genotoxic carcinogens (19) 2-Acetylaminofluorene [53-96-3] <iarc, +ve="" cpdb,="" listed;="" not=""></iarc,>	ONH-C-CH <sub>3</sub>	MN TG (liver) UDS	+ + +	Rat BigBlue mouse Rat	po diet po	125–500 × 2d 72 × 28d 5, 50	[20] [21,22] [10,23]
	NARC, NOT listed, CPDB, TVE		In vitro Ames/CA	+/+	Kdt	ро	5,50	[9,14]
2	Acrylonitrile [107-13-1] <jarc, +ve="" 2b;="" cpdb,=""></jarc,>	CHECN	MN MN MN MN UDS In vitro Ames/CA	 + +  - - +/+	Rat Rat Rat Mouse Mouse Rat	po iv iv po iv po	$10-40$ $24.5-98$ $31-125 \times 2d$ $4-32$ $10-40$ $75, 60 \times 5d$	[11] [11] [20] [11] [11] [10,26] [11,14]
3	o-Anisidine [90-04-0] (o-Anisidine HCI [134-29-2]) <iarc, +ve="" 2b;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>	OCH <sub>3</sub>	MN TG (liver) UDS In vitro	- - - +/+	Mouse BigBlue mouse Rat	ip po po	400–800 750×3d 50–1104	[11] [21,31] [10,30] [11,14]
4	Azidothymidine [30516-87-1] <iarc, +ve="" 2b;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>	HO ON CH <sub>3</sub>	Ames/CA MN MN In vitro Ames/CA	+ + -/+	Rat Mouse	po po	500 × 7d 500–2000 × 3d, 200–2000 × 3d	[32] [33] [9]
5	Benzene [71-43-2]		MN	+	Rat	po	500–2000	[20]
	<iarc, +ve="" 1;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>		TG (liver) In vitro Ames/CA	_ _/+	BigBlue Mouse	inh	1350 ppm × 84d	[21] [11,14]

6	Busulfan (Myleran) [55-98-1]	CH <sub>3</sub> —S—O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> O—S—CH <sub>3</sub>	MN	+	Mouse	ip	10-40	[11]
	<iarc, +ve="" 1;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>		In vitro Ames/CA	+/+				[11,14]
7	Cadmium chloride [10108-64-2]	ÇI	MN	+	Rat	po	15, $15 \times 60d$	[38]
	<pre><iarc, +ve="" 1;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,></pre>	CI—Cd	In vitro Ames/CA	-/+				[11,14]
8	<i>p</i> -Chloroaniline [106-47-8]	NH2	MN	+	Mouse	po	$300\times3d$	[40,41]
	<iarc, +ve="" 2b;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>		In vitro Ames/CA	+/+				[9,11,14]
		<b>\</b>						
9	Cisplatin [15663-27-1]	CI_NH3	MN	+	Mouse	ip	0.03-10	[11]
	[15005-27-1]	CI NH3	TG	+	LacZ	ip	6	[21,43]
	<iarc, 2a;="" cpdb,="" listed="" not=""></iarc,>	-	(liver) In vitro Ames/CA	+/+	mouse			[9,11,14]
10	2,4-Diaminotoluene [95-80-7]	сн₃	MN	+	Rat (PVG)	po	150–300	[45]
	[33-00-7]	NH <sub>2</sub>	MN	-	Rat (F344)	ро	50–150	[45]
	<iarc, +ve="" 2b;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>		MN	-	Mouse	ip	30-240	[11]
		NH <sub>2</sub>	TG (liver)	+	BigBlue Mouse	po	66 × 12d	[21,46]
			UDS	+	Rat	po	150	[10,23]
			UDS	+w	Rat	po	300	[45]
			In vitro Ames/CA	+/+				[9,11,14]

Table:	3 (Cont	inued)

No.	Chemical [CAS]	Structure	Assay	Result	Animal	Route	Dose (mg/kg)	Refs.
11	<a>Carcinogenicity&gt;</a> 1,2-Dibromoethane [106-93-4]	Br Br	MN	_	Mouse	ip .	25–150; 80–100 × 3d	[11]
	<u> </u>	Di	TG (liver)	-	MutaMouse	ip	60, 16 × 5d	[21,48]
	<iarc, +ve="" 2a;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>		UDS UDS	+w +	Rat Rat	po ip	10-100 100	[10,49] [10,49]
			<i>In vitro</i> Ames/CA	+/+	Table 1	Α.	100	[11,14]
12	1,3-Dichloropropene [542-75-6]	CI CI	MN	_	Rat	ро	125	[52]
			MN	+	Mouse (female)	po	187, 234	[53]
	<iarc, +ve="" 2b;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>		UDS	-	Rat	po	125	[10,52]
			<i>In vitro</i> Ames/CA	+/,+				[11,14,51]
13	1,2-Dimethylhydrazine 2HCl [306-37-6]	H	MN	+	Rat	po	$200 \times 2d$ ; $25-100 \times 2d$	[20,56]
	(1,2-Dimethylhydrazine [540-73-8])	N . 2HCI	UDS	+	Rat	po	20	[10,23]
	<iarc, +ve="" 2a;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>		<i>In vitro</i> Ames/CA	+/+				[11]
14	Hydroquinone [123-31-9]	ОН	MN	+	Mouse	po	80	[57]
	<iarc, +ve="" 3;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>		MN	+	Mouse	ip	30-100	[9,58]
			In vitro	-/+		•		[9,14]
		OH	Ames/CA					
15	Methyl methanesulfonate	Q	MN	+	Rat	po	$36-144 \times 2d$	[20]
	[66-27-3]	CH3—S—OCH3	TG	+	Mouse	ip	100	[21]
	<iarc, +ve="" 2a;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>	Ö	(liver) UDS	+	Rat	po	20-100	[10,23]

16	<i>N</i> -Nitrosodimethylamine [62-75-9]	ON-N
	<iarc,2a; +ve="" cpdb,=""></iarc,2a;>	CH₃
17	4,4'-Oxydianiline [101-80-4]	H <sub>2</sub> N
	<iarc, +ve="" 2b;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>	
18	Sodium arsenite [7784-46-5] 	O AS
- 19	Thioacetamide [62-55-5]	S
	<iarc, +ve="" 2b;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>	CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>
	Genotoxic non-carcinogens (6)	
20	9-Aminoacridine hydrochloride monohydrate [52417-22-8] (9-Aminoacridine [90-45-9], 9-Aminoacridine HCI [134-50-9])	.H2O
	<iarc, cpdb,="" listed="" listed;="" not=""></iarc,>	N/N/N/N/N/N/N/N/N/N/N/N/N/N/N/N/N/N/N/

In vitro

Ames/CA

+/+

In vitro Ames/CA	+/+				[9,11]
MN	+	Mouse	po	25	[61,62]
TG (liver) UDS In vitro Ames/CA	+ + +/+	Mouse, Rat Rat	po po	Various doses and duration 10	[21] [10,23] [9,11]
MN	+	Mouse	ip	37.5-150 × 3d	[64]
UDS In vitro Ames/CA	- +/+	Rat	ро	40–725	[10,65] [14]
MN	+	Mouse	qi	5–10	[9,66]
In vitro Ames/CA	-/+				[9,11]
MN	+	Mouse	po	50–200	[61,68]
MN In vitro Ames/CA	+ -/-	Mouse	po	375–1500	[69] [11]
No in vivo data					

[14]

	Tab	le 3	(Continued)
--	-----	------	-------------

1 able 3	s (Commuea)							
No.	Chemical [CAS] <carcinogenicity></carcinogenicity>	Structure	Assay	Result	Animal	Route	Dose (mg/kg)	Refs.
21	p-Anisidine [104-94-9] (p-Anisidine HCl [20265-97-8])	NH <sub>2</sub>	No in vivo data					
	<iarc, -ve="" 3;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>	OCH <sub>3</sub>	<i>In vitro</i> Ames/CA	+/+				[14]
22	2,6-Diaminotoluene [823-40-5]	ÇH₃	MN	+W	Rat	po	300, 600	[45]
	(2,6-Diaminotoluene 2HCl [15481-70-6])	H <sub>2</sub> N NH <sub>2</sub>	MN	+	Mouse	ip	$15.6 - 62.5 \times 3d$	[64]
	(		TG (liver)	_	BigBlue Mouse	diet	$120 \times 30d,$ $120 \times 90d$	[21,74]
	<iarc, -ve="" cpdb,="" listed;="" not=""></iarc,>		UDS UDS In vitro Ames/CA	 + +/+	Rat Rat	po po	150; 150, 300 1000, 1000 × 2d	[10,23,45] [10,73] [14]
23	5-Fluorouracil [51-21-8]	Q.	MN	+	Rat	ip	20-80	[20]
	[31-21-0]	FNH	MN	+	Rat (4 wk old)	po	20, 40	[77]
	<iarc, +ve="" 3;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>	H	In vitro Ames/CA	-/+	(Timod)			[14,76]
24	8-Hydroxyquinoline		MN		Mouse	ip	$10.843\times3d$	[64]
	[148-24-3]	N	UDS	-	Rat	po	100–500; 600, 600 × 2d	[10,73,79]
	<iarc, 3;="" cpdb,-ve=""></iarc,>	ОН	<i>In vitro</i> Ames/CA	+/+			550, 550 X Zu	[14]

[80]

[81] [14]

[83]

[21]

[10,23] [11,14]

[9,86] [9,14]

[11]

[21,87]

[10,25] [9,14]

300 × 2d

20-100

 $238\text{-}952 \times 2d$ 

154 × 10-180d

80-1250 × 90d

 $500-2000 \times 2d$ 

360-720 × 120d

500

40, 400

po ip

ip

Inh

po

ip

diet

po

dermal

25	p-Phenylenediamine 2HCl [624-18-0]	NH <sub>2</sub>	MN	-	Rat
	(p-Phenylenediamine [106-50-3])	· 2HCI	MN	-	Mouse
	<iarc, -ve="" 3;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>		<i>In vitro</i> Ames/CA	+/+	
	Non-genotoxic carcinogens (7)	NH <sub>2</sub>	,		
26	Chloroform [67-66-3]	ÇI	MN	_	Mouse
		CI CI	TG (liver)	_	BigBlue mouse
	<iarc, +ve="" 2b;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>		UDS	-	Rat
			In vitro Ames/CA	-/-	
. 27	Diethanolamine [111-42-2]	A. A.	MN	-	Mouse
	<iarc, 2b;="" cpdb,="" listed="" not=""></iarc,>	HO, A JOH	In vitro Ames/CA	-/-	
		C-OCH <sub>2</sub> CHCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>			
28	Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate [117-81-7]	CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	MN	~	Mouse
			TG	~	BigBlue mouse
	<iarc, +ve="" 2b;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>		(liver) UDS	~	Rat
			In vitro	-/-	
			Ames/CA		

Table 3 (Continued)

Tubic 3	s (continucu)							
No.	Chemical [CAS] <carcinogenicity></carcinogenicity>	Structure	Assay	Result	Animal	Route	Dose (mg/kg)	Refs.
29	Ethanol [64-17-5]	H <sub>3</sub> C	MN	_	Mouse	drinking water	10-20% × 3-7 wk	[93,94]
	<iarc, +ve="" 1;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>	<b>ОН</b>	In vitro Ames/CA	-/-				[88]
30	Methyl carbamate [598-55-0]	O II	MN	_	Mouse	ip	500-2000, 2000-3000	[9,96]
	<iarc, +ve="" 3;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>	H⁵N OCH³	In vitro Ames/CA	-/-				[14]
31	o-Phenylphenol sodium salt [132-27-4]	QNa ONA	CA	and .	Rat	diet	0-2.0% × 104 wk 0-2.5% × 13 wk	[98,99]
	(o-Phenylphenol [90-43-7])		CA	-	Mouse	po	250–4000; 50–800 × 5d	[98]
	<pre><iarc, +ve;="" 2b;="" cpdb,="" for="" salt="" sodium=""> <iarc, +ve;="" 3;="" base="" cpdb,="" for="" free=""></iarc,></iarc,></pre>		MN		Rat	diet	0–12500 ppm	[100]
			In vitro Ames/CA	<b>-/+</b>				[28]
32	Saccharin [81-07-2] (Saccharin sodium	0	CA TG	_	Mouse BigBlue	po diet	4000 Dose not specified	[9,103,104] [105]
	[128-44-9])	2211	(liver)	_	rat	uiei	(×10d)	
	<pre><iarc, +ve="" -ve="" 3;="" base="" cpdb,="" for="" free="" salt,="" sodium=""></iarc,></pre>	NH	<i>In vitro</i> Ames/CA	-/-				[9]

Non-genotoxic,	non-carcinogens
(8)	

33	Ampicillin trihydrate
	[7177-48-2]
	(Ampicillin [69-53-4])
	<iarc, -ve="" 3;="" cpdb,=""></iarc,>

MN

[9,108]

In vitro Ames/CA

Rat

Mouse

Mouse

Mouse

3000, 5000

<IARC, 3; CPDB, +ve; NCI, -ve>

In vitro

Ames/CA

-/+

-/+

po

50~200

[112] [9,14]

 $-NH_2$ 

36 Ethionamide [536-33-4]

In vitro Ames/CA

-/+

[9,14]

Table 3 (Continued)

No.	Chemical [CAS] <carcinogenicity></carcinogenicity>	Structure	Assay	Result	Animal	Route	Dose (mg/kg)	Refs.
37	Isobutyraldehyde	CH <sub>3</sub>	MN	iiii	Rat	ip	313-1250 × 3d	[9,116]
	[78-84-2]	СН <sub>3</sub>						
			MN	_	Mouse	ip	39–1250 × 3d; 156–625 × 3d	[9,116]
	<iarc, -ve="" cpdb,="" listed;="" not=""></iarc,>		In vitro Ames/CA	<b>-/+</b>			130 023 / 34	[9,14]
		CH <sub>3</sub>						
38	D,L-Menthol	<u>т</u> он	MN	,	Mouse	ìp	250-1000 x 3d	[64]
	[15356-70-4]	CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>						
	<pre><iarc, -ve="" cpdb,="" listed;="" not=""></iarc,></pre>	(SIMILY)	In vitro Ames/CA	<b>-/+</b>				[9,14]
39	Sodium chloride [7647-14-5]	NaCl	MN	-	Mouse	ip	2000	[103]
	[/04/-14-3]		UDS (stomach)	-	Rat	po	1000	[119]
			In vitro	-/-				[14]
	<iarc, -ve="" cpdb,="" listed;="" not=""></iarc,>		Ames/CA					[121]
40	Trisodium EDTA	0	MN	_	Mouse	po	500-2000	[121]
	monohydrate [10378-22-0] (EDTA [60-00-4], Trisodium EDTA trihaydarate [150-38-9],	NaO O	MN	-	Mouse	ip	186	[121]
	Disodium EDTA dihydrate [6381-92-6])	NaO NOH						
	<iarc, -ve="" cpdb,="" listed;="" not=""></iarc,>	ONa	MN In vitro	+	Mouse	ip	5–20	[121] [9,14]
		· xH₂O	Ames/CA	,				1-1-1
		ö						

<sup>\*</sup>Genotoxic compounds are definede as chemicals which are positive in the Ames test or standered in vivo genotoxicity test. Abbreviations: CA, chromosome aberration; CPDB, carcinogenic potency database; MN, erythrocyte micronucleus test.

TG, transgenic mutation test; UDS, unscheduled DNA synthesis with liver.

d, days; wk, weeks; ip, intraperitoneal; inh, inhalation; iv, intravenious; po, per os.

<sup>-, -</sup>ve, negative.

<sup>+, +</sup>ve, positive. +w, weak positive;