

essential minimum.
<b>Recommendation 17:</b> Review the mandate and role of regional committees within the next 2 years.
<b>Recommendation 18:</b> All committee and task force work should be time-bound.
<b>Recommendation 19:</b> Make a clearer separation of the risk management and risk assessment functions.
<b>Recommendation 20:</b> Develop standards primarily through in-between session work using facilitators.
<b>Recommendation 21:</b> Meeting reports should be action-oriented focusing on decisions.
<b>Recommendation 22:</b> Criteria should be applied for selection of chairs and they should be trained and assessed.
<b>Recommendation 23:</b> The present 8-step procedure should be simplified to a 5-step procedure for all standards.
<b>Recommendation 24:</b> Consensus should be the norm and a clear definition developed of consensus. Where voting is used it should only be in the Commission and be a 2/3 majority.
<b>Recommendation 25:</b> Groups of countries with common interests should be encouraged to coordinate their positions and present these as Regional positions of the group at committee meetings.
<b>Recommendation 26:</b> Co-chairs should be appointed of equal status, one of which would be from a developing country.
<b>Recommendation 27:</b> Ensure genuine representation of observers in Codex (through stricter criteria) + representation of observers in the Executive Board and Standards Management Committee.
<b>Recommendation 28:</b> Increase host country support: (i) increased between-session work; and (ii) meeting being held in the co-chair's country.
<b>Recommendation 29:</b> Increase resources for upgrading the Codex web-site as a matter of urgency.
<b>Recommendation 30:</b> Establish a database of national standards of importance in trade, including their application and methods of analysis.
<b>Recommendation 31:</b> FAO and WHO should make a detailed calculation of the incremental cost increases for the Codex secretariat of implementing the agreed recommendations and provide the necessary increased core funding.
<b>Recommendation 32:</b> JEMRA to be ratified as a permanent committee.
<b>Recommendation 33:</b> There should be a clear budget and human resource allocation for scientific advice and risk assessment. A small proportion of the budget should be retained for use by FAO and WHO to meet their own needs and the remainder clearly available for work on the priorities of Codex. FAO and WHO should make proposals for discussion at the July 2003 Commission session.
<b>Recommendation 34:</b> The increased funding of risk assessment is a top priority.
<b>Recommendation 35:</b> FAO and WHO should support the collection of data covering a wider range of diets and production processes.
<b>Recommendation 36:</b> Budgetary provision should be made to pay independent experts undertaking risk assessments. At the same time, strict deadlines and quality requirements should be put in place.
<b>Recommendation 37:</b> Building on the findings of this evaluation a consultancy study should be immediately undertaken of expert advice and risk assessment and this should be followed by an expert consultation and discussion in Codex.

<b>Recommendation 38:</b> Establish a Scientific Committee to support expert advice and risk assessment.
<b>Recommendation 39:</b> Establish a joint Coordinator for FAO and WHO scientific advisory activities.
<b>Recommendation 40:</b> FAO and, in particular, WHO are recommended to markedly increase their contribution to health risk assessment and expert advice to feed into Codex.
<b>Recommendation 41:</b> The two Organizations should agree on principles for coordination and delineation of responsibilities with regard to capacity building and report to the Commission in July 2003.
<b>Recommendation 42:</b> Expand the existing FAO/WHO Codex trust fund in line with its wider objectives into a major multi-donor trust fund, with flexible arrangements.

関連資料 2 : 「コーデックス評価書」を受けて示された 38 の提案一覧

Proposal 1: Annual meetings of the Commission
Proposal 2: Follow-up and progress monitoring of the Implementation of the Evaluation
Proposal 3: Priorities for implementation
Proposal 4: Acceptable levels of protection
Proposal 5: Review of the mandates of Codex Committees and Task Forces
Proposal 6: Review of the Regional Coordinating Committees
Proposal 7: Strategic and Managerial Functions of the Executive Committee
Proposal 8: Budgetary, Planning and Programming Functions of the Executive Committee
Proposal 9: Retention of the Executive Committee as a Strategic and Standards Management body
Proposal 10: Removal of additional functions of the Executive Committee
Proposal 11: Executive Committee Membership
Proposal 12: Participation of observers in the Executive Committee
Proposal 13: Strategic Planning
Proposal 14: Critical review of proposals to undertake work
Proposal 15: Monitoring progress of standards development
Proposal 16: Standards management responsibility
Proposal 17: Time-bound decision making
Proposal 18: Simplified procedures for standards development
Proposal 19: Use of facilitators
Proposal 20: Establishment of electronic working groups
Proposal 21: Establishment of physical working groups
Proposal 22: Adoption of Standards
Proposal 23: Responsibility for the Procedural Review
Proposal 24: Amendment of the Codex Mandate
Proposal 25: Revision of the Rules and working procedures governing the Executive Committee
Proposal 26: Subsequent revision of the Rules and working procedures governing the Executive Committee

Proposal 27: Right to address the Chair
Proposal 28: Observer Organizations
Proposal 29: Chairpersons of Codex Committees and Task Forces
Proposal 30: Revision of Rule XI.4
Proposal 31: Separation of advice to host governments and advice on the conduct of meeting
Proposal 32: Co-chairmanship
Proposal 33: Criteria for the selection of Chairpersons
Proposal 34: Determination of consensus
Proposal 35: Conduct of Meetings: Reports
Proposal 36: Conduct of Meetings: Country groupings
Proposal 37: Relations with OIE
Proposal 38: Criteria for the establishment of work priorities

関連資料 3 : その後さらにまとめられた 20 の提案一覧 (CL 2005/12CAC)

1. A formal prioritization should be undertaken of all new work proposals, before resources are allocated.
2. Steps should be taken to increase the proportion of work done by correspondence.
3. A time limit should be set for completion of each new project.
4. Wherever possible, committees should be given enabling TOR only. They should be reactivated as necessary to undertake defined tasks and adjourned <i>sine die</i> once that task is completed.
5. Codex should review its remit to ensure that it conforms more closely to the current expectations of its members, having particular regard to the implications of the WTO agreements.
6. The relevance of the work of other international standards setting bodies should be determined, and a clear statement of demarcation lines made clear to all participants.
7. A regular meeting of the chairs of subsidiary bodies should be formally recognized in the Procedural Manual. The meetings may be informal, but a summary of the main points discussed should be made available to all Codex members.
8. Steps currently being taken to encourage collegial working within the Codex Secretariat should be encouraged.
9. Maximum use should be made of working groups, bilateral, or other low-level contacts between sessions to reduce the time needed to reach consensus in plenary meetings.
10. All standard-setting work should be subject to a greater degree of management oversight. Specifically, a new Commodities Management Committee should be established to manage the preparation and updating of commodity standards.
11. All commodity committees and task forces should be given simple enabling TOR which should be revised for a limited period only, to assign specific tasks to the committee.
12. The circumstances in which the Executive Committee, or some other body, should carry out a similar

management role for the other committees should be carefully considered by the Commission.
13. All committees should be encouraged to adopt a more systematic approach to self-management.
14. CCFAC should be split into separate Additives and Contaminants committees.
15. The GSFA should be the single authoritative reference point for food additives and this should be made clear in all commodity standards.
16. All Codex requests for JECFA advice on additives and contaminants should be routed exclusively through the Additives or Contaminants committees.
17. Consideration should be given to re-writing the TOR of CCMAS and re-assigning responsibility for specifying methods of analysis and sampling to the committee specifying the relevant limits.
18. The Commission should consider carefully whether nutrition should play a role in Codex, and if so, what that role should be.
19. CCMH should now be wound up. CCFH should consider possibility of drafting a set of general guidelines to help rationalize hygiene provisions in commodity standards.
20. Provision for the drafting of regional standards should be removed from the TOR of the Regional Coordinating Committees.

関連資料 4 : 20 の提案から再定式化された 11 の提案一覧 (CL 2005/29-CAC)

Proposal 1: The commission may wish to consider setting an upper limit on the number of Codex sessions planned for in one biennium (e.g. forty). In order to achieve a balanced session schedule within a biennium, an indicative upper limit may be set on the number of Codex sessions planned in one calendar year (e.g. twenty).
Proposal 2: The Commission may wish to consider setting a target upper-limit on the number of active subsidiary bodies that can co-exist at one time, in order to avoid the increase of Codex sessions beyond a manageable level (e.g. eighteen, excluding coordinating committees). Before proposing to establish a new subsidiary body, the Commission should consider dissolving or adjourning others, in accordance with work priorities identified by the Commission and in particular the Commission's six-year Strategic Plan.
Proposal 3: The Commission may wish to invite committees to consider adopting a longer inter-session interval with the understanding that a structured, effective inter-session working mechanism is put in place in accordance with the Guidelines on Physical Working Groups and on Electronic Working Groups.
Proposal 4: The Commission may wish to decide that the duration of a Codex session should be kept within seven days, including the pre-session meetings of working groups, if any, in order to keep its proceedings well focused, ensure transparency, and facilitate effective participation of the members.
Proposal 5: The Commission should consider, on a case by case basis, the advantages and disadvantages of using an ad hoc task force or a commodity committee in developing or revising commodity standards, while giving priority to the establishment of a Task Force rather than a Committee when the establishment of a new subsidiary body is required.
Proposal 6: The Commission may wish to keep under review the mandates as well as present and future workload of subsidiary bodies and consider, when appropriate, merging or dissolving existing committees.

Proposal 7: The Commission may wish to conduct a next comprehensive review of the committee structure and mandates of subsidiary bodies of the Commission after 2011 and consider whether changes would be desirable, in particular in regard to the re-organization of commodity work of the Commission, in the light of an assessment of the effect of the Critical Review in streamlining the commodity work of the Commission.

Proposal 8: The commodity work of coordinating committees should concentrate on the development of regional standards, in compliance with their terms of reference. Conversion of a regional standard into a worldwide standard should be considered only after its adoption at Step 8 and at the recommendation of the commodity committee concerned, substantiated by a project document.

Proposal 9: The Commission may wish to keep under review the relations between committees, namely relations between commodity committees and general subject committees. The Commission may wish to encourage the Committee on General Principles and the Committee on Food Additives and Contaminants, and other committees if required, to continue discussion on relations between committees, including endorsement procedures, and review and report their recommendations to the Commission.

Proposal 10: The Commission may wish to consider whether the current arrangement is appropriate for Codex to carry out immediate and future tasks relating to nutrition. Such consideration should take into account priority areas of the work of the Commission, with due regard to its strategic plan 2008-2013 under elaboration.

Proposal 11: The Commission may wish to request the Codex Secretariat, in cooperation with FAO and WHO, to obtain basic information on the development and use of private standards, especially those other than ISO standards, at the international level and provide relevant information to the Executive Committee and the Commission in 2007.

