

# 手段的日常生活活動低下者割合の市町村格差は存在するのか-JAGES プロジェクト-

加藤清人, 近藤克則, 竹田徳則, 鄭丞媛

作業療法 34 (5) : 541-554, 2015

## 要旨

地域づくりによる介護予防戦略の開発に向け, 地域在住高齢者の IADL 低下者割合などの市町村間格差とその関連要因を検討した. 日本老年学的評価研究データを用い, 88,370 名 (53 市区町村) を対象に, 老研式活動能力指標 IADL 5 項目いずれかの非自立者割合と関連要因の地域相関分析を行った. 前期高齢者の IADL 低下者割合は市区町村間で 7.9~23.2%の差があり, 関連する心理社会的指標など多指標でも 2~17 倍の差があった. 特に女性でスポーツや趣味の会への参加者割合が高い市町村は IADL 低下者割合が低いという負の関連を認めた. 今後多面的な検証は必要だが, 作業療法が重視する趣味や集団活動を促す地域づくりが, 介護予防に寄与すると考えられた.

キーワード : 介護予防, IADL, (地域在住高齢者)

# 高齢者の外出行動と社会的・余暇的活動における性差と地域差 JAGES プロジェクトから

斎藤民, 近藤克則, 村田千代栄, 鄭丞媛, 鈴木佳代, 近藤尚己

日本公衆衛生雑誌 62 (10): 596-608, 2015

〔目的〕 生きがいや社会的活動参加の促進を通じた高齢者の健康づくりには性差や地域差への考慮が重要とされる。しかしこれらの活動における性差や地域差の現状は十分明らかとはいえない。本研究では高齢者の外出行動と社会的・余暇的活動の性差と地域差を検討した。

〔方法〕 Japan Gerontological Evaluation Study (JAGES) プロジェクトが2010年～2012年に実施した、全国31自治体の要介護認定非該当65歳以上男女への郵送自記式質問紙調査データから103,621人を分析対象とした。分析項目は、週1日以上外出の有無、就労の有無、団体・会への参加の有無および月1回以上の参加者無、友人・知人との交流の有無および月1回以上の交流の有無、趣味の有無を測定した。性、年齢階級(65歳以上75歳未満, 75歳以上)、および地域特性として都市度(大都市地域, 都市的地域, 郡部的地域)を用いた。年齢階級別の性差および地域差の分析にはカイ二乗検定を実施した。さらに実年齢や就学年数、抑うつ傾向等の影響を調整するロジスティック回帰分析を行った(有意水準1%)。また趣味や参加する団体・会についてはその具体的内容を記述的に示した。

〔結果〕 年齢階級別の多変量解析の結果、男性は有意に週1回以上の外出や就労、趣味活動が多く、団体・会への参加や友人・知人との交流は少なかった。ほとんどの活動項目で都市度間に有意差が認められ、郡部的地域と比較して大都市地域では週1回以上外出のオッズ比が約2.3と高い一方、友人との交流のオッズ比は後期高齢者で約0.4、後期高齢者で約0.5であった。性や都市度に共通して趣味の会の加入は多い一方、前期高齢者では町内会、後期高齢者では老人クラブの都市度差が大きく、実施割合に30%程度の差がみられた。趣味についても同様に散歩・ジョギングや園芸は性や都市度によらず実施割合が高いが、パソコンや体操・太極拳は性差が大きく、作物の栽培は地域差が大きかった。

〔結論〕 本研究から、①外出行動や社会的・余暇的活動のほとんどに性差や都市度差が観察され、それらのパターンが活動の種類によって異なること、②参加する団体・会や趣味の内容には男女や都市度に共通するものと、性差や都市度差の大きいものがあることが明らかになった。以上の特徴を踏まえた高齢者の活動推進のための具体的手法開発が重要であることが示唆された。

キーワード： 高齢者, 性差, 地域差, 社会的活動, 余暇的活動, 外出行動

## OPEN

# Laughter and Subjective Health Among Community-Dwelling Older People in Japan

## Cross-Sectional Analysis of the Japan Gerontological Evaluation Study Cohort Data

Kei Hayashi,\* Ichiro Kawachi, MD, PhD,† Tetsuya Ohira, MD, PhD,‡ Katsunori Kondo, MD, PhD,§ Kokoro Shirai, PhD,|| and Naoki Kondo, MD, PhD¶

**Abstract:** The aim of this study was to evaluate the association of laughter with subjective health independent of socioeconomic status and social participation among older people in Japan. We used the data of 26,368 individuals (men, 12,174; women, 14,194) 65 years or older who participated in the Japan Gerontological Evaluation Study (JAGES) in 2013. Participants provided information on laughter and self-rated health, depression, socioeconomic, and psychosocial factors. We evaluated laughter from three perspectives: frequency, opportunities, and interpersonal interactions. Even after adjustment for depression, sociodemographic factors, and social participation, the prevalence ratio for poor subjective health among women who never or almost never laugh was 1.78 (95% confidence interval, 1.48–2.15) compared with those who reported laughing every day. Similar associations were observed among men. Laughter may be an important factor for the promotion of general and mental health of older adults. The mechanisms linking laughter and health warrant further study.

**Key Words:** Laughter, subjective health, depression, social participation, Japan (*J Nerv Ment Dis* 2015;203: 934–942)

Previous studies have suggested that laughter has various health benefits, such as boosting immunity (Sakai et al., 2013), reducing depressive symptom (Hirsch et al., 2010), lowering blood pressure (Dolgooff-Kaspar et al., 2012), and preventing cognitive decline (Takeda et al., 2010). Laughter has been incorporated in complementary medicine. Laughter yoga has been shown to be feasible in the hemodialysis context, and patients reported decreased fatigue, pain relief and improved communication (Bennett et al., 2014). The Smile-Sun technique, a set of positive verbal and nonverbal communication techniques using positive humor, has been applied in cancer patients to boost immunity (Sakai et al., 2013). Laughter also improves glycemic control among diabetes patients (Bennett et al., 2014). However, most of the previous studies did not generalize beyond the boundaries of the hospital and clinic, and very few were able to examine the impact of laughter on health in daily life or in the social context.

\*Faculty of Medicine, the University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan; †Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA; ‡Department of Epidemiology, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima; §Center for Preventive Medical Science, Chiba University, Chiba; ||Department of Human Sciences, School of Law and Letters, University of the Ryukyus, Okinawa; and ¶Department of Health and Social Behavior/Department of Health Education and Health Sociology, School of Public Health, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan.

Send reprint requests to Naoki Kondo, MD, PhD, Medical Building 3 S310, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-0033, Japan. E-mail: naoki-kondo@umin.ac.jp. Copyright © 2015 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially.

ISSN: 0022-3018/15/20312-0934

DOI: 10.1097/NMD.0000000000000399

Laughter has been found to occur most frequently during casual conversation (Provine, 1993), and laughter usually occurs when one encounters a meaningful interpretation of some stimulus or event that is different from which was initially assumed (Ramachandran, 1998). The frequency of laughter likely varies according to one's character and social background. That is, the frequency and opportunities for laughter can vary according to one's life situation, especially socioeconomic status and degree of social participation, and these factors could confound the effect of laughter on health, but this theory has yet to be examined.

Hasan and Hasan (2009) conducted a study among patients from India and Canada to characterize the frequency of laughter that was beneficial or detrimental to health. Among participants in India, a moderate level of laughter was found to be beneficial, whereas both low and high levels had no effect. Laughter was associated with emotional well-being and life satisfaction. In other words, frequency of laughing and the way of laughter influence health differently from environments.

To our knowledge, no studies have been conducted on the relationship between laughter and health, taking account of people's socioeconomic background and degree of social participation. Socioeconomic status and social participation can be considered common previous causes (*i.e.*, confounders) of the association between laughter and health. We therefore set out to examine the relationship between laughter and subjective health adjusting for socioeconomic factors and social participation, evaluating laughter from three perspectives: frequency, number of opportunities, and laughing in interpersonal interactions.

## METHODS

### Study Sample

The present study is based on the Japan Gerontological Evaluation Study (JAGES). The JAGES cohort was established in 2010 to investigate factors associated with subjective and objective health among noninstitutionalized individuals 65 years or older. The cohort covers 30 municipalities in Japan. We used the 2013 wave of JAGES, where self-reported questionnaires were mailed to 195,290 community-dwelling individuals 65 years or older. Of those, 138,294 individuals responded to the survey (response rate, 70.8%). Aside from basic questions, there were five modules of the survey covering different topics—module A: nursing care, medical care, and lifestyles; module B: oral hygiene, optimism, subjective health; module C: social capital, history of abuse; module D: subjective quality of life, sleep, cognitive function; module E: physical activity. We used module B, which includes questions about laughter. Respondents to module B were composed of 12,174 men and 14,194 women. We excluded 5968 subjects (men, 2202; women, 3766) with missing information on subjective health status, frequency

**TABLE 1.** Characteristics of the Subjects by Sex

	Men	Women
Poor subjective health	1892 (19.0)	1627 (15.6)
GDS score $\geq$ 5	1513 (15.2)	1623 (15.6)
Frequency of laughing in 4 wks (days)		
Almost every day	3762 (37.7)	5070 (48.6)
1–5 days per week	3754 (37.6)	3877 (37.2)
1–3 days per month	1463 (14.7)	935 (9.0)
Never or almost never	993 (10.0)	546 (5.2)
Number of opportunities of laughing		
Median [25%, 75%]	2 [1, 3]	3 [2, 4]
4+	2088 (20.9)	2892 (27.7)
2–3	5122 (51.4)	5508 (52.8)
0–1	2762 (27.7)	2028 (19.4)
Number of opportunities for laughing in interpersonal interactions (times)		
3	1734 (17.4)	1813 (17.4)
2	2825 (28.3)	3750 (36.0)
1	3610 (36.2)	3742 (35.9)
0	1803 (18.1)	1123 (10.8)
Frequency of social participation per 48 wks (times)		
Median [25%, 75%]	24 [0, 102]	24 [0, 138]
First quartile (men: 0 times, women: 0 times)	2270 (22.8)	2238 (21.5)
Second quartile (men: 1–24 times, women: 1–24 times)	2041 (20.5)	1581 (15.2)
Third quartile (men: 25–102 times, women: 24–138 times)	1739 (17.4)	1906 (18.3)
Fourth quartile (men: >102 times, women: >138 times)	2010 (20.2)	1859 (17.8)
Missing data	1912 (19.2)	2844 (27.3)
Age, yrs		
65–69	3124 (31.3)	3095 (29.7)
70–74	3024 (30.3)	3252 (31.2)
75–79	2137 (21.4)	2199 (21.1)
80+	1687 (16.9)	1882 (18.0)
Mean (SD)	73.36 (6.00)	73.62 (6.14)
Educational attainment		
$\geq$ 10 yrs	6339 (63.6)	5979 (57.3)
<10 yrs	3633 (36.4)	4449 (42.7)
Missing data	55 (0.6)	94 (0.9)
Longest jobs		
Professionals, managers	3665 (36.8)	1091 (10.5)
Clerks, services, engineers	4103 (41.1)	5117 (49.1)
Agricultures, forestries, fisheries, self-employed, other	1623 (16.3)	2153 (20.6)
No jobs	50 (0.5)	935 (9.0)
Missing data	531 (5.3)	1132 (10.9)
Marital status		
Married	8586 (86.1)	6261 (60.0)
Bereaved	732 (7.3)	3275 (31.4)
Divorced	254 (2.5)	416 (4.0)
Never married	201 (2.0)	215 (2.1)
Other	95 (1.0)	74 (0.7)
Missing data	104 (1.0)	187 (1.8)
Equivalent family income (10,000 yen)		
Continuous (yen, log transformed), mean (SD)	14.54 (0.65)	14.41 (0.76)
First quintile (men: 8.84–129.90, women: 8.84–123.74)	1771 (17.8)	1666 (16.0)
Second quintile (men: 129.90–194.45, women: 123.74–175.00)	1687 (16.9)	1695 (16.3)
Third quintile (men: 194.45–247.49, women: 175.00–245.97)	1544 (15.5)	1746 (16.7)

(Continued on next page)

TABLE 1. (Continued)

	Men	Women
Fourth quintile (men: 247.49–318.20, women: 245.97–318.20)	1769 (17.7)	1476 (14.2)
Fifth quintile (men: >318.20, women: >318.20)	2229 (22.4)	1961 (18.8)
Missing data	972 (9.7)	1884 (18.1)

Data are presented as *n* (%), unless otherwise indicated.

Poor subjective health: measured by the question “How about your present health status? (responses: very good, good, bad, very bad). “Very bad” and “bad” were categorized as bad subjective health.

Number of opportunities of laughing: the number of opportunities when participants often laugh. Participants chose from eight opportunities (conversations with friends, conversations with your partner, conversations with your children and grandchildren, watching TV and videos, listening to radios, watching comic storytellings and plays, reading comics and magazines, other), and multiple answers were allowed.

Number of opportunities for laughing in interpersonal interactions: conversations with friends, conversations with you partner and conversations with children and grandchildren.

of laughing, depression (the short form of Geriatric Depression Scale [GDS]), number of opportunities of laughing, sex, or age.

### Poor Subjective Health

Our outcome variable was poor self-rated health, assessed by the standard single-item question “How would you rate your present health status? (responses: very good, good, bad, very bad); “very bad” and “bad” were categorized as poor subjective health.

### Laughter

We analyzed three types of variables related to laughter: frequency of laughing, number of opportunities for laughter, and laughing during interpersonal interactions. Respondents were asked to check up to eight different opportunities for laughing: during conversations with friends, conversations with a partner, conversations with children and grandchildren, watching TV and videos, listening to the radio, watching comic storytellings and plays, reading comics and magazines, and other. Three possible responses were given for laughing during interpersonal interactions: conversations with friends, conversations with a partner, and conversations with children and grandchildren.

### Covariates

We controlled for age, sex, marital status, education, occupation, equivalized household income, depressive symptoms, and social participation. For the evaluation of depressive moods, the 15-item GDS (GDS-15) was used. The GDS-15 is a 15-item questionnaire, with a score range from 1 to 15. Higher scores indicate more depressive symptomatology. Following previous studies, we used 5 as the cutoff score for indicating moderate to severe psychological distress (Wongpakaran et al., 2013). For the evaluation of depressive moods, we measured frequency of social participations by summing up the number of opportunities per year one participated in social activities and groups. We divided them into four quartiles and used the first quartile as the reference category.

### Statistical Analysis

Poisson regression model was used to calculate the prevalence ratio (PR) for poor subjective health by frequency of laughing. In model 1, we controlled for the number of opportunities for laughter. In model 2, depressive symptoms was added as a potential confounder. In model 3, demographic variables (age, sex, marital status) were added to the variables in model 2. In model 4, socioeconomic variables (education,

occupation, and equivalized household income) were added to the variables in model 3. In the final model 5, social participation (frequency of social participation per year) was added to the variables in model 4. In models 6 to 10, we repeated the same sequence of analyses as models 1 to 5, except we switched “number of opportunities for laughter” with “number of opportunities for laughter during interpersonal interactions” as a covariate. R 3.1.0 was used for statistical analysis, with a two-tailed significance level set at 5%.

## RESULTS

Baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. Women tend to laugh more frequently as well as to report a higher number of opportunities for laughter, compared with men. The prevalence of poor subjective health and depression according to participants' characteristics are shown in Table 2. We paid particular attention to statistically controlling for depressive symptoms, given the possibility that absence of laughter could be a symptom of depression.

The results of Poisson regression models linking laughter and poor subjective health are shown in Tables 3 and 4. Subjective health was associated with occupation, marital status, and household income in men. For women, in model 1, we found an association between frequency of laughter and poor self-rated health. The PR comparing the bottom to top category of frequency was 3.80 (95% confidence interval [CI], 3.24–4.46). With the successive addition of covariates (across models 2–5, as well as from models 6–10), the PR became more attenuated. Nonetheless, even in the fully adjusted models (models 5 and 10), we found significant associations between frequency of laughter and self-rated health. For women, in model 5, the PRs of poor self-rated health were 1.78 (1.48–2.15) for laughing never or almost never and 1.39 (1.17–1.66) for none to one opportunity of laughing. We found similar tendencies, albeit somewhat weaker associations, among men.

In a subanalysis, we did the same analysis, except we switched subjective health with depression as the objective variable. There were stronger relationships between laughing and depression in both men and women. For women, in model 4, where we controlled all the covariates, the PRs were 3.61 (3.02–4.31).

## DISCUSSION

The purpose of the present study was to investigate the effects of laughter on self-rated health after carefully controlling for potential confounders. The results of the study showed that frequency of laughing is significantly related to subjective health. Although some categories

**TABLE 2.** Prevalence of Poor Subjective Health and Depression by Participants' Characteristics

	Sum of Each		Poor Subjective Health		Depression	
	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
<b>Depression</b>						
GDS score ≥ 5	1513	1623	715 (47.3%)	648 (39.9%)		
GDS score < 5	8459	8805	1177 (13.9%)	979 (11.1%)		
<b>Frequency of laughing in 4 wks (days)</b>						
Almost every day	3762	5070	482 (12.8%)	518 (10.2%)	281 (7.5%)	391 (7.7%)
1–5 days per week	3754	3877	679 (18.1%)	658 (17.0%)	494 (13.2%)	665 (17.2%)
1–3 days per month	1463	935	349 (23.9%)	239 (25.6%)	319 (21.8%)	295 (31.6%)
Never or almost never	993	546	382 (38.5%)	212 (38.8%)	419 (42.2%)	272 (49.8%)
<b>Number of opportunities of laughing</b>						
≥4	2088	2892	266 (12.7%)	249 (8.6%)	136 (6.5%)	204 (7.1%)
2–3	5122	5508	856 (16.7%)	877 (15.9%)	672 (13.1%)	810 (14.7%)
0–1	2762	2028	770 (27.9%)	501 (24.7%)	705 (25.5%)	609 (30.0%)
<b>Number of opportunities for laughing in interpersonal interactions</b>						
3	1734	1813	206 (11.9%)	140 (7.7%)	110 (6.3%)	101 (5.6%)
2	2825	3750	440 (15.6%)	455 (12.1%)	274 (9.7%)	413 (11.0%)
1	3610	3742	686 (19.0%)	700 (18.7%)	584 (16.2%)	696 (18.6%)
0	1803	1123	560 (31.1%)	332 (29.6%)	545 (30.2%)	413 (36.8%)
<b>Social participation per year</b>						
First quartile (men: 0 times, women: 0 times)	2270	2238	649 (28.6%)	571 (25.5%)	571 (25.2%)	589 (26.3%)
Second quartile (men: 1–24 times, women: 1–24 times)	2041	1581	386 (18.9%)	257 (16.3%)	327 (16.0%)	280 (17.7%)
Third quartile (men: 25–102 times, women: 24–138 times)	1739	1906	269 (15.5%)	235 (12.3%)	186 (10.7%)	212 (11.1%)
Fourth quartile (men: >102 times, women: >138 times)	2010	1859	228 (11.3%)	150 (8.1%)	154 (7.7%)	153 (8.2%)
Missing data	1912	2844	360 (18.8%)	414 (14.6%)	275 (14.4%)	389 (13.7%)
<b>Age, yrs</b>						
65–69	3124	3095	455 (14.6%)	331 (10.7%)	414 (13.3%)	404 (13.1%)
70–74	3024	3252	493 (16.3%)	438 (13.5%)	420 (13.9%)	458 (14.1%)
75–79	2137	2199	519 (24.3%)	408 (18.6%)	353 (16.5%)	362 (16.5%)
≥80	1687	1882	425 (25.2%)	450 (23.9%)	326 (19.3%)	399 (21.2%)
<b>Educational attainment</b>						
≥10 yrs	6339	5979	1042 (16.4%)	763 (12.8%)	779 (12.3%)	755 (12.6%)
<10 yrs	3633	4449	850 (23.4%)	864 (19.4%)	734 (20.2%)	868 (19.5%)
Missing data	55	94	11 (20.0%)	16 (17.0%)	13 (23.6%)	23 (24.5%)
<b>Longest jobs</b>						
Professionals, managers	3665	1091	579 (15.8%)	138 (12.6%)	440 (12.0%)	129 (11.8%)
Clerks, services, engineers	4103	5117	830 (20.2%)	710 (13.9%)	637 (15.5%)	751 (14.7%)
Agricultures, forestries, fisheries, self-employed, other	1623	2153	345 (21.3%)	417 (19.4%)	314 (19.3%)	393 (18.3%)
No jobs	50	935	15 (30.0%)	175 (18.7%)	17 (34.0%)	151 (16.1%)
Missing data	531	1132	123 (23.2%)	187 (16.5%)	105 (19.8%)	199 (17.6%)
<b>Marital status</b>						
Married	8586	6261	1562 (18.2%)	873 (13.9%)	1161 (13.5%)	780 (12.5%)
Bereaved	732	3275	159 (21.7%)	577 (17.6%)	161 (22.0%)	634 (19.4%)
Divorced	254	416	65 (25.6%)	66 (15.9%)	70 (27.6%)	94 (22.6%)
Never married	201	215	50 (24.9%)	39 (18.1%)	63 (31.3%)	51 (23.7%)
Other	95	74	29 (30.5%)	20 (27.0%)	32 (33.7%)	23 (31.1%)
Missing data	104	187	27 (26.0%)	52 (27.8%)	26 (25.0%)	41 (21.9%)
<b>Equivalent family income (10,000 yen)</b>						
First quintile (men: 8.84–129.90, women: 8.84–123.74)	1771	1666	472 (26.7%)	334 (20.0%)	476 (26.9%)	398 (23.9%)
Second quintile (men: 129.90–194.45, women: 123.74–175.00)	1687	1695	344 (20.4%)	310 (18.3%)	299 (17.7%)	331 (19.5%)
Third quintile (men: 194.45–247.49, women: 175.00–245.97)	1544	1746	264 (17.1%)	227 (13.0%)	212 (13.7%)	254 (14.5%)
Fourth quintile (men: 247.49–318.20, women: 245.97–318.20)	1769	1476	282 (15.9%)	185 (12.5%)	164 (9.3%)	164 (11.1%)
Fifth quintile (men: >318.20, women: >318.20)	2229	1961	322 (14.4%)	248 (12.6%)	167 (7.5%)	161 (8.2%)
Missing data	972	1884	208 (21.4%)	323 (17.1%)	195 (20.1%)	315 (16.7%)

TABLE 3. PR and CI for Poor Subjective Health in Men

	Crude		Model 1		Model 2		Model 3		Model 4		Model 5		Model 6		Model 7		Model 8		Model 9		Model 10	
	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI
Frequency of laughing																						
Almost every day	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–
1–5 days per week	1.41	1.26–1.59	1.34	1.19–1.51	1.24	1.10–1.40	1.25	1.11–1.40	1.24	1.10–1.40	1.25	1.11–1.40	1.32	1.17–1.49	1.24	1.10–1.39	1.24	1.10–1.39	1.24	1.10–1.39	1.24	1.10–1.40
1–3 days per month	1.86	1.62–2.14	1.65	1.43–1.91	1.39	1.20–1.61	1.38	1.20–1.60	1.38	1.19–1.59	1.37	1.19–1.59	1.62	1.40–1.87	1.38	1.19–1.60	1.37	1.19–1.59	1.37	1.18–1.59	1.37	1.19–1.59
Never or almost never	3.00	2.63–3.43	2.39	2.05–2.79	1.63	1.39–1.91	1.62	1.38–1.90	1.60	1.36–1.87	1.54	1.31–1.80	2.30	1.97–2.69	1.62	1.38–1.90	1.59	1.36–1.87	1.58	1.35–1.86	1.54	1.31–1.81
Number of opportunities of laughing																						
≥4	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–										
2–3	1.31	1.14–1.51	1.16	1.01–1.33	1.08	0.94–1.25	1.09	0.95–1.26	1.09	0.95–1.26	1.06	0.92–1.22										
0–1	2.19	1.90–2.52	1.53	1.31–1.78	1.34	1.15–1.57	1.33	1.13–1.55	1.32	1.13–1.54	1.25	1.07–1.46										
Number of opportunities for laughing in interpersonal interactions (times)																						
3	Ref	–											Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–
2	1.31	1.11–1.55											1.22	1.03–1.44	1.18	1.00–1.40	1.19	1.01–1.40	1.20	1.01–1.42	1.17	0.99–1.38
1	1.60	1.37–1.87											1.35	1.15–1.59	1.23	1.05–1.44	1.23	1.04–1.44	1.23	1.04–1.44	1.16	0.99–1.37
0	2.61	2.23–3.07											1.76	1.47–2.10	1.48	1.24–1.77	1.48	1.23–1.77	1.45	1.21–1.74	1.35	1.12–1.61
Depression																						
GDS ≥ 5	3.40	3.09–3.73			2.84	2.57–3.14	2.79	2.52–3.08	2.69	2.43–2.98	2.56	2.31–2.84			2.82	2.55–3.12	2.77	2.50–3.06	2.67	2.41–2.96	2.55	2.30–2.83
GDS < 5	Ref	–			Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–			Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–
Age, yrs																						
65–69	Ref	–					Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–					Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–
70–74	1.12	0.99–1.27					1.13	0.99–1.28	1.11	0.98–1.26	1.13	0.99–1.29					1.13	0.99–1.28	1.11	0.97–1.26	1.13	0.99–1.28
75–79	1.67	1.47–1.89					1.57	1.38–1.78	1.53	1.35–1.74	1.56	1.37–1.77					1.56	1.38–1.78	1.53	1.35–1.74	1.55	1.37–1.77
≥80	1.73	1.52–1.97					1.55	1.35–1.78	1.51	1.32–1.74	1.51	1.31–1.73					1.56	1.36–1.79	1.52	1.33–1.75	1.52	1.32–1.74
Marital status																						
Married	Ref	–					Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–					Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–
Bereaved	1.19	1.01–1.41					0.84	0.71–0.99	0.84	0.71–0.99	0.84	0.71–1.00			0.83	0.70–0.99	0.83	0.70–0.98	0.84	0.70–0.98	0.84	0.71–0.99
Divorced	1.41	1.10–1.80					1.05	0.81–1.35	1.03	0.80–1.32	1.00	0.78–1.29			1.04	0.81–1.34	1.02	0.79–1.32	1.00	0.78–1.32	1.00	0.78–1.29
Never married	1.37	1.03–1.81					1.00	0.75–1.34	0.95	0.71–1.27	0.92	0.69–1.23			0.98	0.73–1.31	0.93	0.70–1.25	0.91	0.68–1.21	0.91	0.68–1.21
Other	1.68	1.16–2.42					1.14	0.79–1.65	1.09	0.75–1.58	1.08	0.74–1.56			1.13	0.78–1.64	1.09	0.75–1.57	1.08	0.74–1.56	1.08	0.74–1.56
Missing data	1.43	0.98–2.09					1.02	0.70–1.50	0.97	0.66–1.43	1.00	0.67–1.47			1.01	0.69–1.48	0.95	0.65–1.41	0.98	0.67–1.45	0.98	0.67–1.45
Educational attainment																						
≥10 yrs	Ref	–							Ref	–	Ref	–							Ref	–	Ref	–
<10 yrs	1.43	1.30–1.56							1.15	1.04–1.27	1.12	1.02–1.24							1.14	1.04–1.26	1.12	1.01–1.23
Missing data	1.22	0.67–2.21							0.93	0.51–1.68	0.93	0.51–1.68							0.91	0.50–1.66	0.91	0.50–1.66
Longest jobs																						
Professionals, managers	Ref	–							Ref	–	Ref	–							Ref	–	Ref	–
Clerks, services, engineers	1.28	1.15–1.42							1.13	1.02–1.26	1.11	0.99–1.24							1.13	1.01–1.26	1.11	0.99–1.23
Agricultures, forestries, fisheries, self-employed, other	1.35	1.18–1.54							1.06	0.92–1.22	1.03	0.90–1.19							1.06	0.93–1.22	1.04	0.90–1.19
No jobs	1.90	1.14–3.17							1.06	0.63–1.78	1.03	0.61–1.73							1.07	0.64–1.80	1.04	0.62–1.75
Missing data	1.47	1.21–1.78							1.14	0.93–1.39	1.13	0.93–1.38							1.15	0.94–1.40	1.14	0.93–1.39
Equivalent family income (10,000 yen)																						
First quintile (men: 8.84–129.90, women: 8.84–123.74)	1.84	1.60–2.13							1.13	0.97–1.31	1.12	0.96–1.30							1.13	0.97–1.31	1.12	0.96–1.30
Second quintile (men: 129.90–194.45, women: 123.74–175.00)	1.41	1.21–1.64							1.07	0.92–1.25	1.07	0.91–1.25							1.07	0.91–1.25	1.06	0.91–1.24

Third quintile (men: 194.45–247.49, women: 175.00–245.97)	1.18	1.01–1.39	1.01	0.86–1.19	1.00	0.85–1.18	0.99	0.84–1.17
Fourth quintile (men: 247.49–318.20, women: 245.97–318.20)	1.10	0.94–1.29	1.02	0.87–1.20	1.03	0.87–1.21	1.02	0.87–1.20
Fifth quintile (men: >318.20, women: >318.20)	Ref	-	Ref	-	Ref	-	Ref	-
Missing data	1.48	1.24–1.76	0.96	0.80–1.15	0.95	0.79–1.14	0.95	0.80–1.15
Frequency of social participation per year (times)	Ref	-	Ref	-	Ref	-	Ref	-
First quartile (men: 0 times, women: 0 times)	0.66	0.58–0.75	0.82	0.72–0.94	0.82	0.72–0.94	0.82	0.72–0.93
Second quartile (men: 1–24 times, women: 1–24 times)	0.54	0.47–0.62	0.75	0.65–0.87	0.75	0.65–0.87	0.76	0.66–0.88
Third quartile (men: 25–102 times, women: 24–138 times)	0.40	0.34–0.46	0.59	0.50–0.69	0.59	0.50–0.69	0.59	0.51–0.70
Fourth quartile (men: >102 times, women: >138 times)	0.66	0.58–0.75	0.78	0.69–0.90	0.78	0.69–0.90	0.79	0.69–0.90
Missing data								

of laughter were not significant in men, the results still suggested a protective effect of laughter, both in terms of frequency as well as number of different occasions for laughter. Statistical adjustment for depression, sociodemographic factors, and social participation resulted in an attenuation of the relation. Depression and social participation were especially important confounders to consider. Nonetheless, our findings suggest that encouraging laughter may be a potential avenue for health promotion.

The relationship between laughter and subjective health may be underpinned by at least four distinct mechanisms (Martin, 2002). First, laughter may have direct psycho-neuro-immunological benefits such as lowering markers of inflammation. Second, laughter may be a marker of positive emotions, which can promote resilience against disease (Kubzansky, 2011). Third, laughing can buffer the effects of stress (Berk et al., 1989). Finally, people who laugh often can make a good impression on others and make others more likely to help them, for example, by providing them with social support.

The simple frequency of laughter seems to be more predictive of subjective health than the number of different occasions/contexts for laughter. This finding is consistent with previous studies that have found that laughing frequently is related to emotional well-being and life satisfaction (Hasan and Hasan, 2009). According to Schimmack et al. (2002), life satisfaction is correlated with emotional well-being, and the association is stronger in individualistic societies. The current study shows that there are stronger relationships between laughter and subjective health in older people. Alpass and Neville (2003) reported in their study that the most significant predictor of depression in older men was loneliness and that age-related losses (such as decline in mobility) may weaken their ability to maintain relationships with others. The current study also shows that women laugh more frequently than men do and laughter is more strongly related to subjective health among women. LaFrance et al. (2003) reported that women smile and laugh more than men do. These findings suggest that laughter is especially important for old people and women.

However, the present study had limitations. First and foremost, we are unable to establish a causal relationship between laughter and poor subjective health owing to the cross-sectional nature of the data. Longitudinal analyses of our cohort data will clarify how laughing can prevent poor subjective health. In addition, we cannot completely exclude the possibility of reverse causation, even though the study controlled for depression and other covariates. The third limitation is that all the answers to questionnaire were self-reported. The perceived frequency of laughter may be at variance from the actual frequency, but we lacked objective data on laughing frequency. Moreover, we did not consider the types of laughter. There are many types of laughing, for example, smiling is an indication of fondness and appeasement, whereas laughter expresses playfulness (Hooff, 1972), and Duchenne laughter is coming from positive emotion, whereas non-Duchenne laughter is fake laughter (Gervais and Wilson, 2005). Further studies are needed to examine these differences among various types of laughter. There is missing information for 882 subjects with missing in subjective health status, 1306 in laughter, and 4692 in depression. It may be plausible that less healthy people are more likely not to report their health status, possibly making the association between laughter and health underestimated. Finally, it is unclear whether laughter can prevent disease onset. Although previous research has indicated that laughter can improve the biomarkers of immune function (Bennett and Lengacher, 2009; Donkor et al., 2014), there were very few studies that examined actual disease outcomes. Martin (2002) even reported that many studies regarding the health benefits of humor and laughter are less conclusive than commonly believed. However, Donkor et al. (2014) showed that health-related quality of life among stroke survivors was significantly related to laughter, and this is consistent with our subanalysis. Future study needs to investigate further this point.



TABLE 4. PR and CI for Poor Subjective Health in Women

	Crude		Model 1		Model 2		Model 3		Model 4		Model 5		Model 6		Model 7		Model 8		Model 9		Model 10	
	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI	PR	95% CI
Frequency of laughing																						
Almost every day	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–
1–5 days per week	1.66	1.48–1.86	1.51	1.34–1.70	1.33	1.18–1.50	1.33	1.18–1.49	1.33	1.18–1.50	1.33	1.18–1.50	1.48	1.31–1.66	1.32	1.17–1.48	1.31	1.16–1.48	1.31	1.17–1.48	1.32	1.17–1.49
1–3 days per month	2.50	2.15–2.92	2.09	1.78–2.45	1.59	1.35–1.87	1.59	1.35–1.87	1.58	1.34–1.86	1.55	1.32–1.83	1.97	1.68–2.32	1.53	1.30–1.80	1.53	1.30–1.80	1.52	1.29–1.80	1.50	1.28–1.77
Never or almost never	3.80	3.24–4.46	2.90	2.42–3.47	1.89	1.57–2.28	1.86	1.54–2.25	1.85	1.54–2.24	1.78	1.48–2.15	2.61	2.17–3.14	1.76	1.46–2.13	1.74	1.44–2.10	1.73	1.43–2.10	1.68	1.39–2.04
Number of opportunities of laughing																						
≥4	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–										
2–3	1.85	1.61–2.13	1.60	1.38–1.84	1.48	1.28–1.71	1.46	1.26–1.69	1.44	1.25–1.67	1.39	1.20–1.61										
0–1	2.87	2.46–3.34	1.89	1.59–2.24	1.60	1.35–1.89	1.51	1.27–1.80	1.47	1.24–1.75	1.39	1.17–1.66										
Number of opportunities for laughing in interpersonal interactions (times)																						
3	Ref	–											Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–
2	1.57	1.30–1.90											1.42	1.17–1.71	1.34	1.11–1.62	1.35	1.11–1.65	1.34	1.10–1.63	1.33	1.09–1.61
1	2.42	2.02–2.90											1.94	1.61–2.34	1.71	1.42–2.07	1.70	1.40–2.07	1.67	1.37–2.03	1.60	1.32–1.95
0	3.83	3.14–4.66											2.37	1.91–2.95	1.89	1.51–2.35	1.83	1.46–2.30	1.78	1.41–2.23	1.66	1.32–2.08
Depression																						
GDS ≥ 5	3.59	3.25–3.97			2.84	2.55–3.17	2.79	2.50–3.10	2.72	2.44–3.04	2.58	2.31–2.88			2.79	2.51–3.11	2.75	2.46–3.06	2.69	2.41–3.00	2.56	2.29–2.86
GDS < 5	Ref	–			Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–			Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–
Age, yrs																						
65–69	Ref	–					Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–					Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–
70–74	1.26	1.09–1.45					1.24	1.07–1.43	1.21	1.04–1.39	1.21	1.05–1.40					1.23	1.06–1.42	1.20	1.04–1.38	1.20	1.04–1.39
75–79	1.73	1.50–2.01					1.61	1.39–1.87	1.55	1.33–1.80	1.53	1.31–1.78					1.58	1.37–1.83	1.53	1.31–1.77	1.51	1.30–1.75
≥80	2.24	1.94–2.58					1.91	1.64–2.23	1.82	1.55–2.13	1.72	1.47–2.01					1.88	1.62–2.20	1.80	1.54–2.10	1.70	1.45–1.99
Marital status																						
Married	Ref	–					Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–					Ref	–	Ref	–	Ref	–
Bereaved	1.26	1.14–1.40					0.84	0.75–0.94	0.83	0.74–0.94	0.84	0.75–0.95					0.80	0.71–0.90	0.80	0.71–0.90	0.81	0.72–0.91
Divorced	1.14	0.89–1.46					0.85	0.66–1.10	0.86	0.67–1.10	0.83	0.64–1.06					0.80	0.62–1.03	0.81	0.63–1.04	0.78	0.61–1.01
Never married	1.30	0.94–1.79					0.86	0.62–1.19	0.90	0.65–1.25	0.87	0.63–1.20					0.79	0.57–1.10	0.84	0.60–1.16	0.81	0.59–1.13
Other	1.94	1.24–3.02					1.09	0.70–1.71	1.06	0.68–1.66	1.01	0.64–1.58					1.05	0.67–1.64	1.02	0.65–1.60	0.98	0.62–1.53
Missing data	1.99	1.51–2.64					1.32	0.99–1.75	1.32	0.99–1.76	1.32	0.98–1.76					1.27	0.96–1.68	1.27	0.95–1.70	1.28	0.95–1.71
Educational attainment																						
≥10 yrs	Ref	–							Ref	–	Ref	–							Ref	–	Ref	–
<10 yrs	1.53	1.39–1.69							1.17	1.05–1.30	1.13	1.02–1.26							1.17	1.05–1.29	1.13	1.02–1.25
Missing data	1.34	0.82–2.20							0.90	0.55–1.49	0.87	0.53–1.44							0.89	0.54–1.47	0.87	0.52–1.43
Longest jobs																						
Professionals, managers	Ref	–							Ref	–	Ref	–							Ref	–	Ref	–
Clerks, services, engineers	1.10	0.91–1.32							1.02	0.84–1.22	0.99	0.83–1.19							1.01	0.84–1.22	0.99	0.82–1.19
Agricultures, forestries, fisheries, self-employed, other	1.53	1.26–1.86							1.18	0.96–1.43	1.12	0.92–1.36							1.17	0.96–1.43	1.11	0.91–1.36
No jobs	1.48	1.18–1.85							1.13	0.90–1.42	1.07	0.86–1.35							1.13	0.90–1.41	1.07	0.85–1.34
Missing data	1.31	1.05–1.63							0.93	0.74–1.17	0.91	0.73–1.15							0.93	0.74–1.17	0.91	0.72–1.15
Equivalent family income (10,000 yen)																						
First quintile (men: 8.84–129.90, women: 8.84–123.74)	1.59	1.35–1.87							1.00	0.84–1.19	0.99	0.84–1.18							0.99	0.83–1.17	0.98	0.83–1.17
Second quintile (men: 129.90–194.45, women: 123.74–175.00)	1.45	1.22–1.71							1.07	0.90–1.27	1.05	0.89–1.25							1.06	0.89–1.25	1.04	0.88–1.24
Third quintile (men: 194.45–247.49, women: 175.00–245.97)	1.03	0.86–1.23							0.86	0.71–1.03	0.87	0.72–1.04							0.85	0.71–1.02	0.86	0.72–1.03

Fourth quintile (men: 247.49–318.20, women: 245.97–318.20)	0.99	0.82–1.20	0.92	0.76–1.11	0.92	0.76–1.11	0.91	0.75–1.11
Fifth quintile (men: >318.20, women: >318.20)	Ref	-	Ref	-	Ref	-	Ref	-
Missing data	1.36	1.15–1.60	0.98	0.82–1.16	0.97	0.82–1.16	0.97	0.81–1.15
Frequency of social participation per year (times)	Ref	-	Ref	-	Ref	-	Ref	-
First quartile (men: 0 times, women: 0 times)	0.64	0.55–0.74	0.82	0.71–0.95	0.82	0.71–0.95	0.82	0.71–0.96
Second quartile (men: 1–24 times, women: 1–24 times)	0.48	0.42–0.56	0.74	0.63–0.86	0.74	0.63–0.86	0.75	0.64–0.87
Third quartile (men: 25–102 times, women: 24–138 times)	0.32	0.26–0.38	0.54	0.45–0.65	0.54	0.45–0.65	0.55	0.45–0.66
Fourth quartile (men: >102 times, women: >138 times)	0.57	0.50–0.65	0.75	0.66–0.86	0.75	0.66–0.86	0.76	0.66–0.86
Missing data								

In conclusion, laughter may lower the risk of poor subjective health of older people, and this effect was observed even after adjusting for depression, socioeconomic status, and social participation. Moreover, laughing frequently regardless of situations may be effective for decreasing the risk. The mechanisms and determinants of laughter warrant further study to use laughter effectively to improve the physical and psychological health of old people.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study used data from the JAGES, conducted by the Center for Well-Being and Society, Nihon Fukushi University, as one of their research projects, which was supported by Health Labour Sciences Research Grant, Comprehensive Research on Aging and Health (H26-Choju-Ippan-006, H25-Choju-Ippan-003, H25-Kenki-Wakate-015, H25-Irryo-Shitei-003(Fukkou), H24-Junkanki(Syosyu)-Ippan-007) from the Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare, Japan; Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (20319338, 22390400, 23243070, 23590786, 23790710, 24140701, 24390469, 24530698, 24653150, 24683018, 25253052, 25870573, and 25870881) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science; and a grant from National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology, Japan (24-17, 24-23, J09KF00804).

### DISCLOSURE

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### REFERENCES

- Alpass FM, Neville S (2003) Loneliness, health and depression in older males. *Aging Ment Health*. 7:212–216.
- Bennett MP, Lengacher C (2009) Humor and Laughter May Influence Health IV. Humor and Immune Function. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*. 6: 159–164.
- Bennett PN, Parsons T, Ben-Moshe R, Weinberg M, Neal M, Gilbert K, Rawson H, Ockerby C, Finlay P, Hutchinson A (2014) Laughter and humor therapy in dialysis. *Semin Dial*. 27:488–493.
- Berk LS, Tan SA, Fry WF, Napier BJ, Lee JW, Hubbard RW, Lewis JE, Eby WC (1989) Neuroendocrine and stress hormone changes during mirthful laughter. *Am J Med Sci*. 298:390–396.
- Dolgoff-Kaspar R, Baldwin A, Johnson MS, Edling N, Sethi GK (2012) Effect of laughter yoga on mood and heart rate variability in patients awaiting organ transplantation: A pilot study. *Altern Ther Health Med*. 18:61–66.
- Donkor ES, Owolabi MO, Bampoh PO, Amoo PK, Aspelund T, Gudnason V (2014) Profile and health-related quality of life of Ghanaian stroke survivors. *Clin Interv Aging*. 9:1701–1708.
- Gervais M, Wilson DS (2005) The evolution and functions of laughter and humor: A synthetic approach. *Q Rev Biol*. 80:395–430.
- Hasan H, Hasan TF (2009) Laugh yourself into a healthier person: A cross cultural analysis of the effects of varying levels of laughter on health. *Int J Med Sci*. 6:200–211.
- Hirsch RD, Junglas K, Konradt B, Jonitz MF (2010) Humor therapy in the depressed elderly: Results of an empirical study. *Z Gerontol Geriatr*. 43:42–52.
- Hooff J (1972) A comparative approach to the phylogeny of laughter and smiling. Retrieved February 6, 2015, from [http://www.academia.edu/2217566/A\\_comparative\\_approach\\_to\\_the\\_phylogeny\\_of\\_laughter\\_and\\_smiling](http://www.academia.edu/2217566/A_comparative_approach_to_the_phylogeny_of_laughter_and_smiling).
- Kubzansky LD (2011) Key 2010 publications in behavioral medicine. *Cleve Clin J Med*. 78(Suppl 1):S65–S68.
- LaFrance M, Hecht MA, Paluck EL (2003) The contingent smile: a meta-analysis of sex differences in smiling. *Psychol Bull*. 129:305–334.
- Martin RA (2002) Is laughter the best medicine? Humor, laughter, and physical health. *Curr Dir Psychol Sci*. 11:216–220.
- Provine RR (1993) Laughter punctuates speech: Linguistic, social and gender contexts of laughter. *Ethology*. 95:291–298.


- Ramachandran VS (1998) The neurology and evolution of humor, laughter, and smiling: The false alarm theory. *Med Hypotheses*. 51:351–354.
- Sakai Y, Takayanagi K, Ohno M, Inose R, Fujiwara H (2013) A trial of improvement of immunity in cancer patients by laughter therapy. *Jpn Hosp*. 53–59.
- Schimmack U, Radhakrishnan P, Oishi S, Dzokoto V, Ahadi S (2002) Culture, personality, and subjective well-being: Integrating process models of life satisfaction. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 82:582–593.
- Takeda M, Hashimoto R, Kudo T, Okochi M, Tagami S, Morihara T, Sadick G, Tanaka T (2010) Laughter and humor as complementary and alternative medicines for dementia patients. *BMC Complement Altern Med*. 10:28.
- Wongpakaran N, Wongpakaran T, Van Reekum R (2013) The use of GDS-15 in detecting MDD: A comparison between residents in a Thai long-term care home and geriatric outpatients. *J Clin Med Res*. 5:101–111.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Preventive Medicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ypmed



## Influence of socioeconomic status on the association between body mass index and cause-specific mortality among older Japanese adults: The AGES Cohort Study



Miyo Nakade<sup>a,1</sup>, Daisuke Takagi<sup>b,1</sup>, Kayo Suzuki<sup>c</sup>, Jun Aida<sup>d</sup>, Toshiyuki Ojima<sup>e</sup>, Katsunori Kondo<sup>f,g</sup>, Hiroshi Hirai<sup>h</sup>, Naoki Kondo<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Health and Nutrition, Tokaigakuen University, 2-901 Nakahira, Tempaku-ku, Nagoya-shi, Aichi-ken 468-0014, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Department of Health and Social Behavior, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

<sup>c</sup> Department of Policy Studies, Aichi Gakuin University, 12 Arai-ke, Iwasaki-cho, Nishin-shi, Aichi-ken 470-0131, Japan

<sup>d</sup> Graduate School of Dentistry, Tohoku University, 4-1 Seiry-cho, Aoba-ku, Sendai-shi, Miyagi-ken 980-8575, Japan

<sup>e</sup> Department of Community Health and Preventive Medicine, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, 1-20-1 Handayama, Higashi-ku, Hamamatsu-shi, Shizuoka-ken 431-3192, Japan

<sup>f</sup> Center for Well-being and Society, Nihon Fukushi University, 5-22-35 Chiyoda, Naka-ku, Nagoya-shi, Aichi-ken 460-0012, Japan

<sup>g</sup> Center for Preventive Medical Science, Chiba University, 1-8-1 Inohana, Chuo-ku, Chiba-shi, Chiba-ken 260-8670, Japan

<sup>h</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Iwate University, 4-3-5 Ueda, Morioka-shi, Iwate-ken 020-8551, Japan

### ARTICLE INFO

Available online 30 May 2015

#### Keywords:

Socioeconomic status  
Japan  
Aged  
Mortality  
Overweight  
Underweight

### ABSTRACT

**Objective.** Many studies have suggested a U-shaped curve for the association between body size and mortality risks, i.e., mortality risks increase in those who are both overweight and underweight. The strength of the associations may vary according to socioeconomic statuses (SES), as they determine levels of access to healthcare and psychosocial stresses. We investigated the modifying effects of SES on the relationship between body mass index (BMI) and mortality.

**Method.** We used prospective cohort data of participants in the Aichi Gerontological Evaluation Study in 2003 ( $n = 14,931$ ), who were 65 years or older and physically and cognitively independent at baseline, and residing in eight municipalities in Japan. Data on all-causes mortality and mortality from cancer, cardiovascular disease, and respiratory disease was obtained from municipal government registries.

**Results.** Proportional hazard regression analyses showed that, among men, the associations between overweight ( $\text{BMI} \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) and higher mortality risks by any cause were stronger among lower income groups. Even adjusting for multiple confounding factors, hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals) for mortality by all causes among low income group (household income  $< 1.5$  million yen) were 1.96 (1.02–3.73) for overweight compared with BMIs between 23.0 and 24.9, whereas they were 0.94 (0.57–1.38) among men in high income group (income  $> 3$  million yen). The modifying effects of income were not marked among women.

**Conclusion.** Household income, which may directly reflect accessibility to healthcare and psychosocial stress among older Japanese men, may be an important modifying factor in the health risks attributable to overweight.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

### Introduction

Many epidemiological studies have shown the association between weight status and mortality risks (Flegal et al., 2013; de Gonzalez et al., 2010). For antecedent pathology, obesity is a risk factor for type 2 diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, stroke, and many types of cancer (Haslam and James, 2005; Katarinen et al., 2000; Peeters et al., 2003; Vainio and Bianchini, 2002; Wannamethee et al., 1998). Over the past few decades, the prevalence of obesity has increased worldwide and currently over 1 billion adults are estimated

to be overweight, 3 million of whom are obese (Abelson and Kennedy, 2004). In addition to obesity, the associations between underweight and health risk have also received much attention. For example, two pooled analyses of prospective studies in Western (Prospective Studies Collaboration, 2009) and Asian (Zheng et al., 2011) countries both showed a U-shaped association between body size (measured as body mass index [BMI]), and mortality risk, such that mortality risk was higher among people with high or low BMI.

Studies have suggested that the association between BMI and health varies across socio-demographic characteristics, including age, gender, and race (Abell et al., 2007; Calle et al., 1999; Lahmann et al., 2002; Stevens et al., 1998; Stommel and Schoenborn, 2010; WHO expert consultation, 2004). However, the effect-modifying roles of more social characteristics have not been known. In particular, the risk of death

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: naoki-kondo@umin.ac.jp (N. Kondo).

<sup>1</sup> Equal contribution.

attributable to low BMI can be altered by socioeconomic status (SES), such as income and education. For example, people with lower incomes are likely to have less access to healthcare and be exposed to continual psychosocial stresses (Kagamimori et al., 2009). Although the health insurance system covers all citizens in Japan, socioeconomic disparity in healthcare access is evident. Murata et al. (2010), using a large-scale community survey data, reported that the reason for not getting health care was attributed to health care cost among low-income older people in Japan. Toyokawa et al. (2012) also showed that the proportion of respondents who have refrained from going to hospital despite of illness or injury was higher among low-income people compared with high-income people.

Less well educated people may be less able to recognize their health risks and modify such risks because of limited health knowledge (Nutbeam, 2000; Sorensen et al., 2012). In addition, low-SES people are more likely to do unhealthy behaviors such as smoking (Cavalaars et al., 2000; Hymowitz et al., 1991) and excessive drinking (Droomers et al., 1999) than high SES people. Because such unhealthy behaviors tend to be combined with overweight/underweight among people with low education, health risks of low SES people are increased. Therefore, the shape of the BMI-mortality association may differ across SES groups. More specifically, we hypothesized that those who have lower SES may show steeper slopes on those association curves. The purpose of this study was to test this hypothesis using a large cohort of Japanese older adults.

## Materials and methods

### Data

The present analyses were based on data from the Aichi Gerontological Evaluation Study (AGES), an ongoing Japanese prospective cohort study (Kondo, 2010). In 2003, AGES investigators conducted a postal survey of 38,652 randomly sampled community-dwelling older individuals aged 65 years or older, who were physically and cognitively independent in their activities of daily living, and lived in one of eight municipalities. Their independency was confirmed as they were not eligible for public long-term care insurance benefits at baseline. We received responses from 21,047 people across the eight municipalities. Excluding those who had missing information on self-reported height or weight ( $n = 2062$ ), whose data on sex or age were inconsistent with official municipal records ( $n = 521$ ), who required nursing care (limitation in basic activities of daily living including walking, bathing, and toilet use) ( $n = 1393$ ), who died within 1 year ( $n = 197$ ), who lost more than 2 kg in 6 months ( $n = 1918$ ), or who had an outlier BMI value ( $BMI \leq 14 \text{ kg/m}^2$  ( $n = 18$ ) or  $BMI \geq 40 \text{ kg/m}^2$  ( $n = 8$ )), analyses were conducted on 14,930 subjects. Data were anonymized and the AGES protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee on Research of Human Subjects at Nihon Fukushi University.

### Deaths by all causes and specific causes

In this study, the follow-up data were available up to four years until May 23, 2008. By special permission, we gathered information from the National Death Certificate Database on the underlying causes of death, which were coded according to the International Classification of Diseases 10th Revision (ICD-10). For this analysis, we separately investigated the association of baseline BMI with deaths by the three leading causes in Japan, namely, malignant neoplasm (ICD-10 codes: C00–C97), cardiovascular disease (including coronary heart disease, stroke and other cardiovascular disease: I00–I99), and respiratory disease (J00–J99), as well as deaths by all causes. Less common causes of death were not included in the analysis because of the limited number of cases.

### BMI

BMI ( $\text{kg/m}^2$ ) was calculated from information on height and weight reported in the baseline survey questionnaire. There is evidence that self-reported height and weight data have acceptable accuracy (Huber, 2007; Spencer et al., 2002; Stunkard and Albaum, 1981) for use in epidemiologic studies. Respondents with  $BMI \geq 40 \text{ kg/m}^2$  ( $n = 8$ ) or  $\leq 14 \text{ kg/m}^2$  ( $n = 18$ ) were excluded from the analyses because of suspicion regarding the accuracy of their responses, following a previous study in Japan (Inoue et al., 2004). BMI categories

were based on the World Health Organization classification:  $<18.5$ , 18.5–22.9, 23.0–24.9, 25.0–27.4, 27.5–29.9, and 30 or higher (World Health Organization, 2000). In Japan, the proportion of obese adults ( $BMI \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) is very small (3.4%) relative to Western countries among OECD (Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development) countries (Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, 2002). Therefore, the Japan Society for the Study of Obesity (Japan Society for the Study of Obesity, 1999) uniquely defined obesity in Japan as BMI of  $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ , and we amalgamated the three higher BMI categories because of the low numbers with very high BMI.

### SES

Information on household income and educational attainment in the baseline survey were used as indicators of SES. For annual household income, the respondents reported their income as one of 14 predetermined categories (1, less 0.5 million yen; 2, 0.5–1 million yen; 3, 1–1.5 million yen; 4, 1.5–2 million yen; 5, 2–2.5 million yen; 6, 2.5–3 million yen; 7, 3–4 million yen; 8, 4–5 million yen; 9, 5–6 million yen; 10, 6–7 million yen; 11, 7–8 million yen; 12, 8–9 million yen; 13, 9–10 million yen; 14, more than 10 million yen). One million yen was converted into 8625.90 US dollars according to the average Japanese yen-to-US dollar exchange rate of 115.93 US dollars in 2003. From the perspective of household living standards, the costs of consumable goods and services, such as utility expenses and durable goods, per family member are decreased with an increasing number of family members. According to previous studies (Idler and Benyamini, 1997; Atkinson et al., 1995), the annual household income was then equalized by dividing it by the square root of the number of family members and categorized into one of three levels (less than 1.5 million yen, 1.5–3 million yen, and more than 3 million yen). The respondents whose response to this question was missing were categorized into a missing value category (832 males [11.7%] and 2090 females [26.7%]) and excluded from the main analyses. Educational attainment was given in the survey as one of four categories of years of education (1 = less than 6 years, 2 = 6–9 years, 3 = 10–12 years, 4 = 13 or more years). For the purposes of the analysis, educational attainment was categorized as  $\leq 9$  years (junior high-school graduate) or  $\geq 10$  years.

### Covariates

Age, marital status, self-rated health, and current illness were considered potential confounding factors. Marital status was categorized as married, divorced/separated, never married, and other. Self-rated health was measured by a single survey question about current health status (excellent, good, fair, or poor). Utilization of medical care services was used as an index of current illness.

### Statistical analysis

After collecting descriptive data, we used Cox proportional hazard models to calculate the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for mortality during the follow-up period. Proportional hazard assumptions were confirmed for main variables, namely, BMI, income and education by using Schoenfeld residuals. First, univariate HRs according to BMI status were calculated for all-cause and cause-specific mortality. Second, in multivariate models, we adjusted for age, marital status, self-rated health, and current illness. Then models were stratified by SES. A BMI of 23.0–24.9  $\text{kg/m}^2$  was selected as the reference category, following a preceding Japanese cohort study (Inoue et al., 2004). We imputed missing data using the technique of multivariate imputation by chained equations. In that process, multivariate Cox proportional hazard models were independently applied to 10 copies of the data with missing values suitably imputed. Estimates of the variables were averaged to give a single mean estimate and adjusted standard errors.

## Results

The baseline characteristics of the subjects are displayed in Table 1. There were 14,930 subjects, including 7100 men and 7830 women. During the 57,313 person-years of follow-up, a total of 857 people died (565 men and 292 women). There were 351 deaths (244 men and 107 women) from cancer, 244 (135 men and 89 women) from cardiovascular disease, and 107 (83 men and 24 women) from respiratory disease.

**Table 1**  
Baseline characteristics and mortality rates of adults aged 65 years or older in eight municipalities in Japan, 2003–2008.

	Male					Female				
	N	Incidence <sup>a</sup>	Person-years	Incidence rate (per 1000 person-years)	95% CI	N	Incidence <sup>a</sup>	Person-years	Incidence rate (per 1000 person-years)	95% CI
Sex	7100	565	27,506	20.54	18.91, 22.31	7830	292	29,808	9.80	8.73, 10.99
Age group										
65–69	2703	121	10,903	11.10	9.29, 13.26	2717	45	10,697	4.21	3.14, 5.63
70–74	2234	136	8688	15.65	13.23, 18.52	2338	57	8841	6.45	4.97, 8.36
75–79	1381	148	5185	28.54	24.30, 33.53	1713	78	6435	12.12	9.71, 15.13
80–84	573	92	2037	45.17	36.82, 55.41	772	44	2802	15.70	11.69, 21.10
85+	209	68	693	98.16	77.39, 124.49	290	68	1033	65.85	51.92, 83.52
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>										
<18.5	476	79	1736	45.51	36.50, 56.74	607	51	2274	22.43	17.05, 29.51
18.5–22.9	3248	271	12,592	21.52	19.11, 24.24	3644	123	13,852	8.88	7.44, 10.60
23.0–24.9	1875	114	7328	15.56	12.95, 18.69	1715	56	6543	8.56	6.59, 11.12
≥25	1501	101	5850	17.26	14.21, 20.98	1864	62	7138	8.69	6.77, 11.14
Marital status										
Married	5963	424	23,214	18.26	16.61, 20.09	4177	102	16,028	6.36	5.24, 7.73
Divorced/separated	656	101	2412	41.87	34.45, 50.88	3005	166	11,263	14.74	12.66, 17.16
Never married	36	3	136	22.09	7.13, 68.51	210	8	800	10.00	5.00, 19.99
Other	445	37	1744	21.22	15.37, 29.28	438	16	1716	9.32	5.71, 15.22
Self-rated health										
Good	5292	327	20,806	15.72	14.10, 17.52	5707	178	21,877	8.14	7.02, 9.42
Poor	1739	227	6441	35.24	30.94, 40.14	1967	108	7337	14.72	12.19, 17.77
Missing	69	11	259	42.53	23.55, 76.79	156	6	593	10.12	4.55, 22.53
Present illness										
No	1290	68	5156	13.19	10.40, 16.73	1240	23	4822	47.70	3.17, 7.18
Ill, no medical care needed	782	62	3086	20.09	15.67, 25.77	616	21	2360	8.90	5.80, 13.65
Ill, decided not to proceed with medical care	417	40	1625	24.62	18.06, 33.56	524	16	2005	7.98	4.89, 13.03
Yes	4346	378	16,632	22.73	20.55, 25.14	5026	221	19,033	11.61	10.18, 13.25
Missing	265	17	1006	16.89	10.50, 27.17	424	11	1587	6.90	3.84, 12.51
Educational attainment										
<9 years	3668	319	14,292	22.32	20.00, 24.91	4395	185	17,155	10.78	9.34, 12.46
≥10 years	3038	215	11,657	18.44	16.14, 21.08	3059	93	11,190	8.31	6.78, 10.18
Missing	394	31	1557	19.91	14.00, 28.31	376	14	1463	9.57	5.67, 16.16
Equivalent income <sup>b</sup>										
<1.5 million yen (less than USD12,900)	1349	113	4908	23.02	19.15, 27.68	1796	62	6540	9.48	7.39, 12.16
1.5–3 million yen (USD12,900–25,900)	3100	245	12,162	20.14	17.77, 22.83	2461	77	9491	8.11	6.49, 10.14
>3 million yen (more than USD25,900)	1819	123	7268	16.92	14.18, 20.19	1483	53	5788	9.16	7.00, 11.99
Missing	832	84	3167	26.52	21.42, 32.85	2090	100	7988	12.52	10.29, 15.23

<sup>a</sup> Incidence of mortality from all causes.

<sup>b</sup> The USD amounts were computed by using the average JPY/USD exchange rate, 115.93, in 2003.

Overall, we observed a U-shaped association between BMI and mortality by any cause, with higher mortality rates for the lowest and highest BMI categories. The mortality rates per 1000 person-years among those in the lowest BMI category (<18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) were 45.51 for men and 22.43 for women, and among those with the highest BMI (≥25.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) mortality rates were 17.26 for men and 8.69 for women (Table 1).

The U-shaped trends in cause-specific mortality by BMI category were less marked, and only showed significantly higher rates for lower BMI groups (Table 2). These trends were similarly observed in the multivariate Cox models adjusted for age, marital status, self-rated health and current illness at the time of the survey (Table 3).

When multiple regression models were stratified by SES, strong evidence was found for the influence of income on the association between BMI and mortality risk among men. Men with lower incomes showed steeper U-shaped slopes on the BMI-mortality association (HR = 2.41, 95% CI: 1.18, 4.92 for the lowest BMI group (<18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>); HR = 1.68, 95% CI: 0.96, 2.95 for the second lowest BMI group (18.5–22.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>); and HR = 1.96, 95% CI: 1.02, 3.73 for the highest BMI group (≥25.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>)). In the high income category, there were no significant differences in HR among BMI groups (HR = 1.60, 95% CI:

0.82, 2.96 for the lowest BMI group; HR = 0.89, 95% CI: 0.57, 1.38; HR = 0.94, 95% CI: 0.55, 1.61 for the highest BMI group) (Fig. 1, full results are shown in the Supplementary data, Tables S1 and S2). In the analyses according to educational attainment, men showed a significant association between low BMI and high risk of mortality regardless of educational attainment (Fig. 2). Among women in the low BMI category, women with more education tended to have higher mortality rates, but this was not significant after adjustment.

We found a marginal evidence of the effect modifications of SES on BMI/mortality associations. Among men, the estimates of the cross term of the highest BMI (BMI ≥ 25.0) was 2.16 (*p* = .071) with low-income and 0.91 (*p* = .741) with low education. Among women, the estimate for the cross term of the highest BMI and low-education was 0.46 (*p* = .048) (Tables 4, 5).

## Discussion

Our results showed that the lowest BMI groups had the highest risk of total mortality in both men and women. Results from analyses on cause-specific mortality showed similar patterns. The new and important finding of our study is that, although statistically marginal, low

**Table 2**  
Total and cause-specific mortality rates according to BMI among adults aged 65 years or older in eight municipalities in Japan, 2003–2008.

Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	Total mortality				Cancer				Cardiovascular disease				Respiratory disease			
	Incidence Rate		Incidence rate		Incidence rate		Incidence rate		Incidence rate		Incidence rate		Incidence rate			
	Person-years	Incidence	(per 1000 person-years)	95% CI	Incidence	(per 1000 person-years)	95% CI	Incidence	(per 1000 person-years)	95% CI	Incidence	(per 1000 person-years)	95% CI	Incidence	(per 1000 person-years)	95% CI
Male	1736	79	45.51	9.73, 21.31	25	14.40	9.73, 21.31	16	9.22	5.65, 15.05	21	12.10	7.89, 18.55			
18.5–22.9	12,592	271	21.52	7.90, 11.31	119	9.45	7.90, 11.31	66	5.24	4.12, 6.67	39	3.10	2.26, 4.24			
23.0–24.9	7328	114	15.56	5.41, 9.31	52	7.10	5.41, 9.31	30	4.09	2.86, 5.86	13	1.77	1.03, 3.06			
≥25	5850	101	17.26	6.18, 10.89	48	8.20	6.18, 10.89	23	3.93	2.61, 5.92	10	1.71	0.92, 3.18			
Female	2274	51	22.43	3.98, 10.94	15	6.60	3.98, 10.94	12	5.28	3.00, 9.29	5	2.20	0.92, 5.28			
18.5–22.9	13,852	123	8.88	1.94, 3.69	37	2.67	1.94, 3.69	41	2.96	2.18, 4.02	12	0.87	0.49, 1.53			
23.0–24.9	6543	56	8.56	3.21, 6.56	30	4.58	3.21, 6.56	15	2.29	1.38, 3.80	4	0.61	0.23, 1.63			
≥25	7138	62	8.69	2.37, 5.18	25	3.50	2.37, 5.18	21	2.94	1.92, 4.51	3	0.42	0.14, 1.30			

income men had higher risks from overweight compared with high income men. We did not find the statistical evidence for the differences in the mortality risks due to underweight across income levels. These trends were also not clearly observed in terms of educational attainment nor among women. The overall U-shaped association between BMI and mortality risks observed is consistent with many previous studies in Asian or Japanese population-based cohorts (Zheng et al., 2011; Inoue et al., 2004; Matsuo et al., 2008; Miyazaki et al., 2002; Sasazuki et al., 2011; Tsugane et al., 2002). Excess overweight causes many diseases including diabetes mellitus, hypertension and arteriosclerosis (Haslam and James, 2005; Kastarinen et al., 2000; Peeters et al., 2003; Vainio and Bianchini, 2002; Wannamethee et al., 1998), and then the mortality risk of those who have a high BMI is increased.

The reasons for the potentially increased mortality risk among those with lower incomes who are overweight may be threefold. First, economically disadvantaged people may have less access to healthcare, with less opportunity for preventive care. Second, the etiology of being overweight might differ by income, i.e., overweight in poor people may be related to their socioeconomic circumstances, including less access to healthy food, more access to cheap food with a high calories, and greater psychosocial stresses, whereas richer persons may have a high BMI due to social influences from their workmates on daily food choices and the opportunities of social drinking (Ikeda et al., 2011), which could reduce their mental stresses and maintain their rationality in other health-maintaining behavior (Mani et al., 2013). A systematic review on Japanese SES disparities in health revealed that overweight, binge drinking, and other metabolic risks were more frequent among high SES groups than those in low SES (Kagamimori et al., 2009). Third, those with lower incomes may be more likely to become overweight because of health problems that were not diagnosed at the time of the baseline survey (Zheng et al., 2011). However, we omitted mortality cases that occurred within 1 year after the beginning of following up when calculating HRs, in part to address the possibility of such reverse causation.

Two factors can be considered as the reasons why the risk of overweight was not increased among the women with lower income. One is the smaller statistical power attributable to the smaller income-based disparity in mortality among women (Mäkelä et al., 1997). The other factor is the information bias that might have been more likely among the women given the higher missing income information among them.

Although we initially assumed that the effects of underweight and overweight differed by education level, we only found the evidence of higher mortality risks due to being overweight among the less educated were smaller than the risks among the more educated. This might be explained by the differences in health behaviors and social circumstances among women with various education levels (Sorensen et al., 2012). For example, there is evidence that in Japan, women with managing and professional positions – who are usually highly educated – were less healthy than those with less advantaged workers (Kondo et al., 2008) potentially due to strong demands and multiple roles from workplace, family, and the community (Takeda et al., 2006). Mental strain attributable to social roles is reportedly stronger among women than among men (Kawachi and Berkman, 2001), which may be associated with gender-related social norms (e.g., women expecting more emotional support than men) (Strazdins and Broom, 2004). Although further studies are needed, given these findings, governmental plans to promote older adults' social participation, such as Japan's Gold Plan 21 (Ichien, 2000), should carefully consider these gender differences and social norms.

*Strengths and limitations*

To our knowledge, this is the first study exploring the variation of the association between BMI and mortality according to SES, which we believe should shed light on the study of the interactive effects of

**Table 3**  
HR and 95% CI for total and cause-specific mortality by BMI category among adults aged 65 years or older in eight municipalities in Japan, 2003–2008.

	Male						Female							
	BMI categories (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )						BMI categories (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )							
	<18.5		18.5–22.9		23.0–24.9		<18.5		18.5–22.9		23.0–24.9		≥25	
	HR <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI
Total mortality	1.99	1.49, 2.67	1.20	0.96, 1.49	1	1.15	0.88, 1.51	1.59	1.07, 2.35	0.90	0.65, 1.23	1	1.02	0.71, 1.47
Cancer	1.56	0.96, 2.53	1.21	0.87, 1.67	1	1.20	0.81, 1.77	1.05	0.55, 1.98	0.54	0.33, 0.88	1	0.76	0.45, 1.30
Cardiovascular disease	1.48	0.79, 2.75	1.11	0.72, 1.72	1	0.98	0.57, 1.69	1.25	0.57, 2.72	1.06	0.58, 1.93	1	1.30	0.67, 2.52
Respiratory disease	4.44	2.19, 8.98	1.48	0.79, 2.78	1	0.97	0.42, 2.21	1.58	0.41, 6.19	1.06	0.34, 3.33	1	0.65	0.14, 2.91

<sup>a</sup> Hazard ratios were adjusted for age, marital status, self-rated health and present illness.

SES in the etiology of major underlying causes of death in Japan. Utilization of objective measures of cause-specific mortality, derived from national death databases, maximizes accuracy in the measurement of health outcomes.

Some limitations of our study should be noted. First, the possibility of reverse causation cannot be completely denied. It is possible that such effects are stronger among those with lower income levels, as their relatively limited access to healthcare may worsen their underweight, though we in part addressed this issue by omitting deaths within 1 year after baseline in the analysis. Second, because we measured income only at baseline, changes in income during the 4-year follow-up period were not considered. Third, the response rate of our survey

was not high, which might biased our estimates. We do not know additional information with which we can evaluate the directions of that bias, i.e., either under- or over-estimation. It is reported that those who are at lower SES are less likely to participate in epidemiological surveys, lowering statistical powers to detect the differences in BMIs across SES groups. This may be likely to cause underestimation. Future studies should confirm the generalizability of our findings using the data with higher response rate.

**Conclusion**

The effects of underweight and overweight on the risks of mortality by major causes may be stronger among those with lower income levels





Fig. 1. HRs for total mortality by BMI category according to income.





Fig. 2. HRs for total mortality by BMI category according to educational level.



**Table 4**

The interaction effects of BMI and income on total mortality.

	Male		Female	
	HR <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	HR <sup>a</sup>	95% CI
BMI < 18.5 <sup>b</sup>	2.15	1.41, 3.28	1.37	0.79, 2.38
BMI18.5–22.9	1.49	1.08, 2.07	0.82	0.52, 1.28
BMI ≥ 25.0	1.54	1.05, 2.25	0.80	0.47, 1.37
Low-income <sup>c</sup>	1.64	0.91, 2.95	0.72	0.33, 1.56
Middle-income	1.34	0.82, 2.18	0.71	0.33, 1.52
BMI < 18.5 × Low-income	1.28	0.50, 3.31	0.53	0.16, 1.73
BMI < 18.5 × Middle-income	1.17	0.54, 2.54	0.67	0.21, 2.12
BMI18.5–22.9 × Low-income	1.72	0.85, 3.48	0.71	0.26, 1.95
BMI18.5–22.9 × Middle-income	1.50	0.86, 2.62	0.87	0.34, 2.24
BMI ≥ 25.0 × Low-income	2.16	0.94, 4.97	0.76	0.24, 2.36
BMI ≥ 25.0 × Middle-income	1.35	0.69, 2.67	0.63	0.20, 1.99

<sup>a</sup> Hazard ratios were adjusted for age, marital status, self-rated health, and present illness.

<sup>b</sup> For the BMI categories, BMI of 23.0–24.9 was the reference category.

<sup>c</sup> For the income categories, high-income (more than 3 million yen) was the reference category.

in Japan, particularly men. Further studies should be conducted to evaluate the modification effects of socioeconomic status on the association between body size and health in alternative settings, namely in other developed and underdeveloped countries, and other age groups. Health promotion activities that target underweight and overweight should consider people's income and other socioeconomic conditions, and their consequences (e.g., their access to related material resources and environmental barriers in seeking for healthier personal choices). Recent discussions on changing the strategy to improve health behavior should be helpful to consider solutions. That is, people's environment should be improved so that they can select healthier behavior and access necessary health care more easily and unintentionally, regardless of their socioeconomic statuses (Roberto et al., 2015).

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2015.05.015>.

#### Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

#### Acknowledgments

This study used data from the Aichi Gerontological Evaluation Study (AGES), conducted by the Center for Well-being and Society, Nihon Fukushi University as one of their research projects. This study was supported in part by MEXT-Supported Program for the Strategic Research Foundation at Private Universities, 2009–2013, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (23243070, 25253052, and 15H01972), and the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (H25-chouju-ippapan-003, H26-chouju-ippapan-006, and H25-kenki-wakate-015). NK was also

**Table 5**

The interaction effects of BMI and education on total mortality.

	Male		Female	
	HR <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	HR <sup>a</sup>	95% CI
BMI < 18.5 <sup>b</sup>	2.00	1.46, 2.73	1.49	0.97, 2.28
BMI18.5–22.9	1.19	0.95, 1.50	0.85	0.60, 1.19
BMI ≥ 25.0	1.20	0.91, 1.58	1.04	0.71, 1.52
Low-education <sup>c</sup>	0.97	0.66, 1.44	0.86	0.50, 1.46
BMI < 18.5 × Low-education	1.07	0.58, 1.99	0.87	0.38, 2.01
BMI18.5–22.9 × Low-education	0.88	0.56, 1.39	1.23	0.62, 2.44
BMI ≥ 25.0 × Low-education	0.91	0.52, 1.59	0.46	0.22, 0.99

<sup>a</sup> Hazard ratios were adjusted for age, marital status, self-rated health, and present illness.

<sup>b</sup> For the BMI categories, BMI of 23.0–24.9 was the reference category.

<sup>c</sup> For the education categories, high-education (more than 10 years) was reference category.

supported by AXA CR Fixed Income Fund. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### References

- Abell, J.E., Egan, B.M., Wilson, P.W., et al., 2007. Age and race impact the association between BMI and CVD mortality in women. *Public Health Rep.* 122, 507–512.
- Abelson, P., Kennedy, D., 2004. The obesity epidemic. *Science* 304, 1413.
- Atkinson, A.B., Rainwater, L., Smeeding, T.M., 1995. Income Distribution in OECD Countries: Evidence from the Luxembourg Income Study. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, Paris (162 pp.).
- Calle, E.E., Thun, M.J., Petrelli, J.M., Rodriguez, C., Heath Jr., C.W., 1999. Body-mass index and mortality in a prospective cohort of US adults. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 341, 1097–1105.
- Cavelaars, A., Kunst, A., Geurts, J., et al., 2000. Educational differences in smoking: international comparison. *Br. Med. J.* 320, 1102.
- de Gonzalez, A.B., Hartge, P., Cerhan, J.R., et al., 2010. Body-mass index and mortality among 1.46 million white adults. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 363, 2211–2219.
- Droomers, M., Schrijvers, C., Stronks, K., van de Mheen, D., Mackenbach, J.P., 1999. Educational differences in excessive alcohol consumption: the role of psychosocial and material stressors. *Prev. Med.* 29, 1–10.
- Flegal, K.M., Kit, B.K., Orpana, H., Graubard, B.I., 2013. Association of all-cause mortality with overweight and obesity using standard body mass index categories: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 309, 71–82.
- Haslam, D., James, W., 2005. Obesity. *Lancet* 366, 1197–1209.
- Huber, L.R.B., 2007. Validity of self-reported height and weight in women of reproductive age. *Matern. Child Health J.* 11, 137–144.
- Hymowitz, N., Sexton, M., Ockene, J., Grandits, G., 1991. Baseline factors associated with smoking cessation and relapse. *Prev. Med.* 20, 590–601.
- Ichien, M., 2000. Introduction of long-term care insurance in Japan. *Int. Rev. Public Adm.* 5, 23–36.
- Idler, E.L., Benyamini, Y., 1997. Self-rated health and mortality: a review of twenty-seven community studies. *J. Health Soc. Behav.* 38, 21–37.
- Ikeda, A., Kawachi, I., Iso, H., Inoue, M., Tsugane, S., 2011. Gender difference in the association between social support and metabolic syndrome in Japan: the 'enka' effect? *J. Epidemiol. Community Health* 65, 71–77.
- Inoue, M., Sobue, T., Tsugane, S., 2004. Impact of body mass index on the risk of total cancer incidence and mortality among middle-aged Japanese: data from a large-scale population-based cohort study—the JPHC study. *Cancer Causes Control* 15, 671–680.
- Japan Society for the Study of Obesity, 1999. Guidelines for diagnosis and treatment in obesity and its comorbidities. The 20th Meeting. Tokyo, Japan.
- Kagamimori, S., Gaina, A., Nasermoaddeli, A., 2009. Socioeconomic status and health in the Japanese population. *Soc. Sci. Med.* 68, 2152–2160.
- Kastarinen, M.J., Nissinen, A.M., Vartiainen, E.A., et al., 2000. Blood pressure levels and obesity trends in hypertensive and normotensive Finnish population from 1982 to 1997. *J. Hypertens.* 18, 255–262.
- Kawachi, I., Berkman, L.F., 2001. Social ties and mental health. *J. Urban Health* 78, 458–467.
- Kondo, K., 2010. Health Inequalities in Japan: An Empirical Study of Older People. Trans Pacific Press, Melbourne (291 pp.).
- Kondo, N., Subramanian, S.V., Kawachi, I., Takeda, Y., Yamagata, Z., 2008. Economic recession and health inequalities in Japan: analysis with a national sample, 1986–2001. *J. Epidemiol. Community Health* 62, 869–875.
- Lahmann, P.H., Lissner, L., Gullberg, B., Berglund, G., 2002. A prospective study of adiposity and all-cause mortality: the Malmö Diet and Cancer Study. *Obes. Res.* 10, 361–369.
- Mäkelä, P., Valkonen, T., Martelin, T., 1997. Contribution of deaths related to alcohol use to socioeconomic variation in mortality: register based follow up study. *BMJ* 315, 211–216.
- Mani, A., Mullainathan, S., Shafir, E., Zhao, J., 2013. Poverty impedes cognitive function. *Science* 6149, 976–980.
- Matsuo, T., Sairenchi, T., Iso, H., et al., 2008. Age and gender specific BMI in terms of the lowest mortality in Japanese general population. *Obesity* 16, 2348–2355.
- Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, 2002. Annual Report of the National Nutrition Survey in 2000. Daiichi Publishing, Tokyo (in Japanese).
- Miyazaki, M., Babazono, A., Ishii, T., et al., 2002. Effects of low body mass index and smoking on all-cause mortality among middle-aged and elderly Japanese. *J. Epidemiol.* 12, 40–44.
- Murata, C., Yamada, T., Chen, C.-C., et al., 2010. Barriers to health care among the elderly in Japan. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 7, 1330–1341.
- Nutbeam, D., 2000. Health literacy as a public health goal: a challenge for contemporary health education and communication strategies into the 21st century. *Health Promot. Int.* 15, 259–267.
- Peeters, A., Barendregt, J., Willekens, F., et al., 2003. Obesity in adulthood and its consequences for life expectancy: a life-table analysis. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 138, 24–32.
- Prospective Studies Collaboration, 2009. Body-mass index and cause-specific mortality in 900000 adults: collaborative analyses of 57 prospective studies. *Lancet* 373, 1083–1096.
- Roberto, C.A., Seiburn, B., Hawkes, C., et al., 2015. Patchy progress on obesity prevention: emerging example, entrenched barriers, and new thinking. *Lancet* [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)61744-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61744-X) (Published online Feb 18).
- Sasazuki, S., Inoue, M., Tsuji, I., et al., 2011. Body mass index and mortality from all causes and major causes in Japanese: results of a pooled analysis of 7 large-scale cohort studies. *J. Epidemiol.* 21, 417–430.

- Sorensen, K., Van Den Broucke, S., Brand, H., et al., 2012. Health literacy and public health: a systematic review and integration of definitions and models. *BMC Public Health* 12, 80.
- Spencer, E.A., Appleby, P.N., Davey, G.K., Key, T.J., 2002. Validity of self-reported height and weight in 4808 EPIC-Oxford participants. *Public Health Nutr.* 5, 561–565.
- Stevens, J., Cai, J., Pamuk, E.R., et al., 1998. The effect of age on the association between body-mass index and mortality. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 338, 1–7.
- Stommel, M., Schoenborn, C.A., 2010. Variations in BMI and prevalence of health risks in diverse racial and ethnic populations. *Obesity* 18, 1821–1826.
- Strazdins, L., Broom, D.H., 2004. Acts of love (and work): gender imbalance in emotional work and women's psychological distress. *J. Fam. Issues* 25, 356–378.
- Stunkard, A.J., Albaum, J.M., 1981. The accuracy of self-reported weights. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 34, 1593–1599.
- Takeda, Y., Kawachi, I., Yamagata, Z., et al., 2006. The impact of multiple role occupancy on health-related behaviours in Japan: differences by gender and age. *Public Health* 120, 966–975.
- Toyokawa, T., Murakami, K., Kaneto, C., Kobayashi, Y., 2012. Access to health care and horizontal equity. *Iryou to Shakai (Med. Care Soc.)* 22, 69–78 (in Japanese).
- Tsugane, S., Sasaki, S., Tsubono, Y., 2002. Under- and overweight impact on mortality among middle-aged Japanese men and women: a 10-y follow-up of JPHC study cohort I. *Int. J. Obes.* 26, 529–537.
- Vainio, H., Bianchini, F., 2002. International Agency for Cancer Handbook of Cancer Prevention Vol 6: Weight Control and Physical Activity. IARC Press, Lyon (309 pp.).
- Wannamethee, S., Shaper, A., Durrington, P., Perry, I., 1998. Hypertension, serum insulin, obesity and the metabolic syndrome. *J. Hum. Hypertens.* 12, 735–741.
- WHO expert consultation, 2004. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. *Lancet* 363, 157–163.
- World Health Organization, 2000. Obesity: Prevention and Managing the Global Endemic. World Health Organization, Geneva (268 pp.).
- Zheng, W., McLerran, D.F., Rolland, B., et al., 2011. Association between body-mass index and risk of death in more than 1 million Asians. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 364, 719–729.

## 「健康交流の家」開設による健康増進効果の検証

細川陸也, 伊藤美智予, 近藤克則, 尾島俊之, 宮國康弘,  
後藤文枝, 阿部吉晋, 越千明  
社会医学研究 33(1)59-70, 2016

### 抄録

[目的]: まちづくりによる地域住民の健康増進を図る為, 愛知県東海市では, 地域住民の交流と健康づくりの機能を併せ持つ「健康交流の家」の開設が進められている。本研究の目的は, 「健康交流の家」の開設に伴う, 地域住民の健康行動及び主観的健康感の変化を検証することである。

[方法]: 2014年2月, 「健康交流の家」を利用している団体責任者17名および施設利用者326名の計333名に対し, 開設前後における施設利用状況, 健康行動および主観的健康感の変化に関する自記式質問紙調査を実施した。

[結果]: 施設利用者280名より回答を得, 無効回答を除いた221名(有効回答率66.4%)を分析対象とした。開設後, 集団では7団体(41.2%)の施設の利用頻度が増加し, また, 個人の行動変化においても97名(51.3%)の利用頻度の増加がみられた。健康行動の変化は, 歩行機会:84名(38.0%), 外出の機会:88名(39.8%), 会話の機会:117名(52.9%), 趣味の会への参加機会:56名(25.3%), スポーツの会への参加機会:41名(18.6%)で増加がみられた。また主観的健康感の変化は, 82名(37.1%)が開設前後で, 良い方向に変化したと回答した。健康行動と主観的健康感の変化との関連を分析したところ, 健康行動が増加した者は, 主観的健康感も良い方向に変化した割合が有意に高かった。

[考察]: 「健康交流の家」の開設は地域住民の身体活動や社会活動といった健康行動を促進し, 主観的健康感を改善させたと考えられた。本結果より「健康交流の家」は, まちづくりによる一次予防に寄与できる可能性が示唆された。

### Abstract

Background: A "Kenko-koryu-no ie" approach was started in Tokai city, Aichi Prefecture, to improve the health of the local residents through community development. This study examines the changes in health behavior of local residents and their subjective health, as brought about by the facility.

Methods: Participants included 17 organization representatives and 326 elderly people who used the facility. The participants responded to a self-reported questionnaire about facility usage conditions, health behavior and subjective health before and after the commencement of the facility, which was started one year ago.

Results: Answers were received from 280 facility users; however, due to invalid answers,

only 221 respondents could be included in the study (effective response rate 66.4%) . Among the respondents, 7 organizations (41.2%) and 97 participants (51.3%) reported that the frequency of usage of the facility had increased. Changes in the participants' health behavior were observed with increased opportunities to walk (38.0%) , opportunities to go out (39.8%) , opportunities for conversation (52.9%), opportunities to participate in hobby meetings (25.3%) , opportunities to participate in sports meetings (18.6%) . Furthermore, 82 people (37.1%) reported positive changes in subjective health since the commencement of the facility. Analysis of the relevance of the changes between the health behavior and subjective health indicated that those who exhibited improvement in health behavior also reported an improvement in their subjective health.

Conclusion: The establishment of the "Kenko-koryu-no-ie" helped in the promotion of health behavior in local residents and improved their subjective health. Through community development, the concept of "Kenko-koryu-no-ie" could be used as a primary prevention program for the elderly.

キーワード：まちづくり，高齢者，健康行動，社会参加，主観的健康感

Key words : community development, elderly people, health behavior, social participation, subjective health