

■ 6.5 Validation

All repository processes, equipment and facilities should be validated to demonstrate they are fit for their intended purpose. Validation is the documented act of ensuring that any procedure, process, equipment, material, activity or system actually gives the expected results with adequate reproducibility [87]. This approach should include implementation of the key elements of validation including a user requirement specification (URS), impact/risk assessments, and a series of qualification stages for equipment (i.e. design qualification [DQ], installation qualification [IQ], operational qualification [OQ], and performance qualification [PQ]). Repositories may also use a validation master plan that describes the overall philosophy, strategy, and methodology for validation, and which equipment, processes and other items require validation. A validation matrix or schedule of validation will also be useful to document which organisation or contractor is responsible for each item subjected to validation. It is important that risk assessments are performed in advance of validation to ensure critical areas are targeted and that any validation performed is appropriate and optimised in terms of use of resource. Due to commonality of operations this is an area where exchange of learning experiences between repositories can help to reduce the burden of QA.

Validation should be considered for any equipment used that may impact on the suitability of the cell banks for clinical use, such as that used in processing, cleaning, environmental monitoring, storage and shipment. Equipment such as controlled-rate freezers, mechanical refrigerators, LN₂ storage refrigerators and dry-shippers will require appropriate monitoring, such as continuous temperature monitoring and recording when in use, to demonstrate that the required conditions are maintained. Shipment devices, such as 'dry shippers', will also require validation to assure fitness for purpose. Critical equipment such as heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC), biological safety cabinets, particle counters, incubators and cold storage should be validated. The Pharmaceutical Inspection Cooperation Scheme [203] and WHO [87] both provide guidance on related validation, and compliance with national regulation.

Process validation in particular should be considered on a case-by-case basis. Validation of routine expansion and banking of cell lines will need to take many factors into account, including the number and type of interventions required, the culture format being used (e.g., open or closed system), transfers between

processing areas and incubators, and the impact of different operators and different cabinets/rooms. Within the banking process, the cryo-preservation process itself should be validated to demonstrate that cells recovered from cryo-preservation have the characteristics set out in the repository's cell bank release specification for cell lines.

■ 6.6 Qualification and standardization of test methods and reagents

Establishing the testing regime for seed stock banks has been described and discussed in section 4 and Appendix 6. All tests used to establish suitability of hPSC seed stocks for clinical use should be qualified for use. This qualification should address requirements, including but not necessarily restricted to, sensitivity, specificity and also potential for effects (such as test inhibition) by the hPSC sample components. This is most readily achieved by supplying samples to testing laboratories accredited for the tests in question. Where such accredited testing is not available the repository should be able to provide qualification data for the tests performed. Accredited services may be available that can provide tests that meet multiple or harmonised pharmacopoeia requirements and these may be required where the cell line is to be used internationally [93].

Well established surface markers and a wide range of gene markers are used in stem cell characterization, and selected reference materials for their assay may be useful (e.g., fixed cell preparations, RNA preparations). Standardized functional assays will need to be developed, and in particular standardized pluripotency assays will be important to progress in the field as assays and reagents vary between laboratories. The ISCI has focused on a number of relevant issues in this area, including the initial identification of standard markers for hESC lines [73]. This group has also begun to work on determination of pluripotency in hPSC lines and further international collaborative effort is required in this important aspect of pluripotent stem cell research, which is fundamental to supporting high-quality research data (see www.stem-cell-forum.net). For an overview on standards in the cell therapy area see Sheridan *et al.* [95] and for an overview on cell characterization for cell therapy see PAS 93 [93].

Of note, where reagents of biological origin are clinical products in their own right, standardization of their biological activity is

often performed under the auspices of WHO and its Expert Committee on Biological Standardization [205]. Most of the WHO International Reference Materials (IRMs) are made and distributed by the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control (a center of the Medicines and Health-care Products Regulatory Authority [MHRA]) and a listing of these materials can be found on the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control website [206].

Standardization of certain reagents such as growth factors used in cell culture may also be helpful to enhance reproducibility of cultures of hPSC lines. This can in part be achieved by the repository establishing specifications and acceptance criteria for the properties of complex cell culture components. In addition, cell culture assays and control materials can be established to determine batch consistency in supplies of such factors. Where such reagents are used widely it may be feasible to establish international reference materials (see previous paragraph). Furthermore, for certain reagents there are Pharmacopeia reference methods for their characterization.

■ 6.7 Auditing suppliers and service providers

An important element in assuring traceability, safety, and thus suitability for repositories of hPSCs, is the performance of audits of suppliers of critical reagents and services that would impact on the final quality of the cell lines offered for clinical use. Such audits may range from a paper-based audit (which may be justified where suppliers operate under relevant and independently inspected quality standards) to a detailed on-site inspection of procedures and documentation. The sharing of such audits between repositories could provide both cost- and time-saving benefits. However, implementing such a scheme would be challenging and repositories would need to be confident in the ability of any third party auditor and in the consistency of the auditing procedure between repositories. Recruiting a common auditor with appropriate training and expertise using a common audit protocol is a possible solution. Such an auditor should have previous experience with inspecting similar facilities and operations and should have a regulatory background. Alternatively, repositories may decide only to use suppliers who are registered and inspected by a recognised regulatory body; however, this should be done using a risk-based approach.

■ 6.8 Cell line 'history file'

Careful evaluation of the information associated with a stem cell line is necessary to determine its suitability for developing a clinical product. Where the repository has derived the hPSC line it can collate this information directly under its own QMS. However, where this is not the case it is important to avoid wasting time and resource on unsuitable cell lines, thus, stem cell repositories should request relevant historical information from the depositor and continue to build a documented history pertaining to each cell line as it is processed and banked. This compiled documentation, sometimes called a cell line 'history file', should provide all information necessary to enable traceability of cell line establishment and processing, from the derivation and original transport to the repository, through banking, testing, storage and any subsequent distribution. This history file should also include evidence that the cell banking was performed under principles of GMP or other suitable conditions where a GMP manufacturing license is not applicable (i.e., early seed stocks where a final product is not identified, whereas MCBs and WCBs for specific clinical applications in a clinical trial or under Hospital Exemption arrangements, would probably be required to be prepared under a GMP manufacturing license). For example, the EU directive on tissues and cells for use in humans [88] is based on the principles of GMP, but a manufacturing license under EU GMP is not required for cells and tissue intended for human application including seed stocks of hPSC lines. Some of the key aspects that should be considered for inclusion in a cell line history file are given in TABLE 2. Whilst it is unlikely to be feasible to include all raw data and original information, the history file should at least facilitate traceability to that information. Where the cell repository receives the cell line from a depositor working under a suitable quality system, the repository may decide that a documented audit (physical site audit or paper based) along with traceability (typically an anonymized link) to the donor and appropriate informed consent may be sufficient. Where such links are not possible the repository will need to carry out a risk assessment with respect to the acceptability of that line within its own jurisdiction and if contingencies cannot be put in place to resolve significant risks then the repository may decide not to receive the line or supply it for restricted purposes such as for laboratory research only.

Table 2. Examples of information that may be required in a cell line history file.

Section	Typical content
Depositor information	Name of owner of cell line Address (registered company and manufacturing sites where applicable) Primary contact Telephone number(s) Evidence of ownership*
Shipping records	Signed records of inventory shipped and cross check of received goods, including 'chain of custody' documentation Records of temperature monitoring data Record of courier used Record of arrival at repository including transport time/temperature and condition on receipt
Provenance	Donor information related to the donation of primary tissue** Original, anonymized donor consent and medical history (this may not always be available depending on national laws and regulations)
Culture/banking details	Description of the culture conditions related to (where applicable): tissue or embryo culture; cell line derivation; cell line expansion; reagent documentation, traceability and cryopreservation. This should include, for example, passage number (or population doublings where possible) of seed lots and subsequent banks that were created up to the point of manufacture relevant to the material being received by the repository
Quality control test results	Characterization and safety test results both provided by the depositor and generated by the repository and given with associated passage or population doubling levels
Facility and equipment details	Qualification records: records of use, maintenance, calibration, validation, re-verification, repair
Environmental monitoring records	Records of and trends in scores of contamination for testing applied to the environmental conditions, which may include: viable and non-viable particle counts; active air sampling, air pressures, temperature, relative humidity, operator finger dabs, ambient temperatures in critical storage areas
Deviations from standard procedures (SOPs)	Records of deviations from normal procedure, which may affect the specific cell line, for example failure of an incubator in which the line was processed
Change controls	Records of change control investigations relevant to the cell line, for example impact of changes to QC test specifications or moving storage location of cryopreserved material
Records of staff training and illness of an infectious nature	Records of training and return to work procedures to ensure staff infectious status is not a risk to cell cultures

*There is a risk to final clinical utility of a particular cell line if all potential owners are not identified at an early stage. Thus, it is important to obtain accurate information from the cell provider, about all parties with a potential interest in ownership of the cell line (e.g., sponsors of research, host organisation, principle investigator) and to confirm, first, that they are in agreement with the repository receiving and distributing the cells, and second, whether they need to be a signatory party to the deposit of the cell line in the repository.

**Detailed donor information may be held by the repository, but special care will obviously need to be taken (and may be a legal requirement) for its control and security. For example, in the UK the Caldicot Principles apply to the management of sensitive patient data [215].

Over long periods of time, after the seed stocks of cells have been released, quality control data may become summarized and/or archived by suppliers and service providers, which means that its retrieval from the original source is not practicable or not possible. It is therefore important to endeavor to anticipate the kinds of critical information that may be required many years into the future (e.g., details of quality control, information on production processes, safety testing data), and obtain and store copies of this from the respective sources (e.g., raw material manufacturers, testing companies) when the cell line is banked, to form part of the cell line 'history file' whether the cells are stem cell lines or some other propagatable cell type.

■ 6.9 Serious adverse reaction (SAR) and serious adverse event (SAE) reporting

Events may arise during the provision of cells for therapy that indicate potential risk to patients. Whenever such events are identified, they are required to be investigated for impact on the patient and if necessary action taken to minimise the impact and prevent re-occurrence. Two kinds of event are generally recognised, a serious adverse reaction (SAR) and a serious adverse event (SAE). Whilst definitions of these may vary significantly between regulators, a SAR usually refers to a serious adverse reaction related to treatment of a patient receiving the therapy and a SAE refers to any other occurrences that might have an impact on patients receiving the

therapy. Repositories clearly need to be aware of the regulatory definitions that apply to them.

Most countries have established systems for reporting post-donation disease and adverse events in clinical trials. Repositories supplying cells that may be used for human application should be coordinated within these systems to ensure that SARs and SAEs related to subsequent final products can be traced back through the repository and ultimately to the primary tissue donor to enable full investigation of the potential causes. Establishment of mechanisms to assure traceability are critical in the development of seed stocks, as already discussed extensively throughout the earlier sections of this document.

Stem cell repositories supplying cells for clinical use will be expected in the first instance to identify, investigate and report SAEs occurring in the banking process, which might affect the suitability of the cells for clinical use. Second, they will also be expected to submit to regulatory investigations when SARs or SAEs occur in clinical applications using cells they have supplied. In such cases, they will be expected to demonstrate full traceability on the procurement, banking, testing, storage and supply for the cells in question. It is vital that stem cell repositories understand their responsibilities in these situations and how to manage them through appropriate elements of their QMS.

Within Europe, the Rapid Alert system for human Tissues and Cells (RATC) has been implemented whereby manufacturers (including 'tissue establishments' providing cells and tissue as starting materials for cell therapies) and distributors of medicinal products (including advanced therapy medicinal products [ATMPs]) are required to report all SARs for medicinal products (licensed, unlicensed and clinical trial products) to their national competent authority within a defined time period under RATC [207].

In the EU each national competent authority reports incidents to the Europe-wide pharmacovigilance web-based AE/AR collection system EudraVigilance which is managed by the European Medicines Agency (EMA). In the USA, the FDA runs MedWatch [209] for reporting and monitoring adverse reactions. This includes specific guidance for human cell and cellular-based tissue products. EU member states are also required to report all adverse incidents to the WHO international drug monitoring programme and this is done by the national competent authority. The WHO maintains an international system for monitoring adverse

reactions to drugs using information derived from Member States within and beyond the EU. The system is run and coordinated by the Uppsala Monitoring Center (UMC) in Sweden (www.who.unc.org). Similar requirements apply in other jurisdictions and a list of notified bodies in different countries is given in TABLE 3 [208].

Stem cell repositories should consider the International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) guidance on efficacy, which includes guidance for pharmacovigilance planning and definitions and standards for preparing and submitting safety reports [209]. Guidance can also be obtained from the Council for International Organisations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) [210], which was jointly established by the WHO and the United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organisation.

■ 6.10 Disaster recovery, contingency planning and legacy management

It is necessary that procedures for disaster recovery are in place to manage unforeseen events that may severely impact on repository critical operations (e.g., fire, flood, loss of power, failure of liquid nitrogen supply). Repositories should at least maintain some local backup storage system such as splitting storage of stocks over different equipment and locations. Such backups must be maintained under the same conditions as the main stocks. Where possible repositories should encourage and advise depositors to secure their own cell stocks for backup in this way. Records of banking inventories should also be backed up and other critical repository documentation on cell bank production either backed up or adequately secured. In addition, it is necessary to ensure that contingency plans are in place to secure the continued availability of stored cell lines for appropriate periods of time in the event of normal repository operations being discontinued. These procedures can be delivered within a risk management system as outlined in section 6.2.

A course of action should also be defined in the event of a planned termination of the repository (such as an orderly wind-down when the facility is transferred elsewhere) or an emergency termination (including loss of key resources, funding or regulatory approval). It will also be important to distinguish between obligations regarding cells intended for human application and cells held for research, since the standards and conditions required for both cells and associated records will be different for each.

Table 3. National competent authorities for serious adverse event and serious adverse reaction reporting.

Country	National competent authority	Program/website
Australia	Therapeutic Goods Administration	www.tga.gov.au
Brazil	ANVISA	http://portal.anvisa.gov.br/wps/portal/anvisa-ingles
Canada	Health Canada	www.hc-sc.gc.ca/index-eng.php
China	National Institutes for Food and Drug Control National Centre for ADR Monitoring	www.nicbbp.org.cn/en/CL0309
European	European Commission Rapid Alert system for human Tissues and Cells	http://ec.europa.eu/health/blood_tissues_organs/docs/ratc_report_2008_2012_en.pdf
Finland	Finnish Medicines Agency	www.fimea.fi/frontpage
France	French National Agency of Medicine and Health Products Safety, ANSM	ansm.sante.fr/Produits-de-sante/Medicaments
Germany	Federal Institute for Drugs and Medical Devices	www.bfarm.de www.bfarm.de/EN/Home/home_node.html (English)
India	Indian Pharmacopoeia Commission	www.ipc.gov.in
Israel	Israeli Ministry of Health	www.health.gov.il/english
Japan	The Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency	www.pmda.go.jp/english
Netherlands	Pharmacovigilance Centre Lareb	www.lareb.nl
Singapore	Health Sciences Authority	www.hsa.gov.sg
South Korea	MFDS	www.mfds.gov.kr
Spain	Spanish Medicines and Health Products Agency	www.aemps.gob.es/en
Sweden	Medical Products Agency	www.lakemedelsverket.se
Taiwan	Bureau of Medical Affairs, Department of Health and Center for Drug Evaluation	www.fda.gov.tw
Thailand	US FDA, Drug Information Centre and NADRM	www.fda.moph.go.th
UK	Medicines and Healthcare Regulatory Agency	www.mhra.gov.uk
USA	US FDA	www.fda.gov

6.11 Regulation in different countries

The regulation for cell-based therapies is still at an early stage of development, and progress in establishing formal regulatory frameworks varies across jurisdictions [96]. As cell therapy products are being developed, manufacturers will aim to market their products in different countries, making knowledge of the differences in regulatory frameworks of vital importance. A comparison of the regulatory frameworks in the EU and the USA has been published by the British Standards Institute (PAS 83) [94]. The ISCFI section on the ISCF website has also developed information on the national regulatory bodies (TABLE 3) and donor selection procedures in different countries (see Appendix 4), and provides relevant policy statements by the ISCF Ethics Working Party on cell banking procedures [5,11]. Some countries have developed regulatory route maps to help national cell/tissue repositories, hospitals, and industry negotiate the regulatory landscape, and a toolkit used in the UK for stem cell therapy [211]. A route map regarding the Canadian regulatory framework for the development of stem cell-based therapies has been developed under the auspices of the Canadian Stem Cell Network [212].

7. Preservation and storage

7.1 Cryopreservation of hPSC lines

Cells can be stored in a stable state through the application of appropriate cryopreservation protocols [96]. Cryopreservation includes a number of processing steps both before low-temperature storage and again at thawing and culture of the cryopreserved material. In addition, material must be stored and transported under conditions that maintain material stability. Cryopreservation protocols generally fall into two types: those that incur the formation of ice within the system, whether intracellular or extracellular (i.e. freezing) and those that avoid ice formation (i.e. vitrification). For a review of cryopreservation and vitrification methods [97].

In applying or designing an effective cryopreservation process, there are a number of key technical issues that should be considered:

- Methods for assessing recovery of cells from the cryopreservation process
- Choice of cryoprotective agent (CPA)
- Choice of container and packaging
- Mode of cryopreservation (i.e., freezing vs vitrification)

- Method of cooling (passive vs controlled rate cooling)
- Storage conditions
- Transportation of cryopreserved material
- Recovery process (i.e., rewarming and elution of cryoprotectant)

7.1.1 Assessing recovery from cryopreservation

In order to design or optimise any cryopreservation protocol, an assessment of recovery is required. Tests using trypan blue or fluorescent compounds such as acridine orange/ propidium iodide are often referred to as 'viability tests', but are more truly membrane integrity tests [98]. The accuracy of these tests in indicating normal function of the cell, particularly the complex requirements of hESCs in culture, is arguable. Such tests may over- or under-estimate the ability of cells to survive, attach, proliferate and maintain the undifferentiated state and differentiate into the required cell type. Furthermore, cells that still show membrane integrity at the time of thawing may die later by apoptosis. Such tests should not be employed in isolation. It may be necessary to consider evaluation and quantification of the viable material at a point sometime after thawing, such as 24 or 48 h post-thaw. Consideration should also be given to use of a range of tests, including appropriate functional assays, when assessing recovery from cryopreservation [98].

7.1.2 Choice of cryoprotectant

In choosing an appropriate CPA, consideration should be given to any known specific effect on the cells e.g., cytoskeleton effects, membrane effects, induction of cell differentiation. In order to provide protection, cells must be equilibrated in the CPA solution prior to the application of cooling. CPAs can be toxic to cells and consideration must be given to the intrinsic toxicity of standard compounds which is time, temperature and concentration dependent, whether using a controlled rate freezing method or vitrification [99]. Additives to the solution (e.g., serum) should be assessed for their ability to mitigate these and other effects.

Cryoprotectant solutions will exert an osmotic effect during their addition to and elution from the cells. If uncontrolled, such effects can be damaging and compromise cell survival. Osmotic damage can be reduced or eliminated by the use of step-wise addition and elution protocols. Single step protocols (e.g., centrifugation and

re-suspension in medium containing cryoprotectant) should be assessed for their effect on survival. Step-wise or slow addition or elution protocols should take into account the likelihood of incurring damage from CPA toxicity.

7.1.3 Choice of primary container

For cell suspensions, the choice of primary container will generally be conditional on the mode of cryopreservation. The most practical and generally acceptable options currently available are straws, vials and bags. Each option should be assessed for its suitability not only for the mode of cryopreservation (e.g., whether or not the required cooling rate is achievable) but also its ability to prevent or reduce contamination (primarily during cooling and storage), and its compliance with regulatory guidelines (such as requirements for labelling of the primary container). The use of open systems is not considered best practice and represents a hazard to stored cells (see below).

The primary techniques and methods available for preservation of hPSC lines are described by Hunt [100] in Appendix 8. Further expert opinion on preservation technologies can be found in Day and Stacey [101] and the recently published informational general chapter 'Cryopreservation of Cells available in Pharmacopoeial Forum section 39(2)' [213].

7.1.4 Storage conditions

Scientific evidence suggests that storage at ultra-low, sub-zero temperatures (generally accepted to mean storage in or above liquid nitrogen) does not result in significant deterioration of material over extended periods of time (measured in decades, for a review see [102]), provided that the temperature remains stable and uniform. This may be extended to mechanical refrigeration at temperatures at or below -160°C . Storage in mechanical freezers at -80 to -85°C is acceptable for short periods of time if the sample is to be, or has been, preserved by freezing, but is likely to result in potentially damaging ice formation in vitrified samples. If storage at this temperature is considered necessary, the period of storage should be validated to show that the cells do not demonstrate any adverse effects. Storage above -80°C is not recommended. For vitrified material, temperatures above, or repeated cycling through, the glass transition temperature (approximately -130°C) should be avoided to prevent progressive formation of ice crystal nuclei.

The most stable conditions for storing cells at ultra-low temperatures are provided by storage

under LN₂. Consideration should be given to the potential for cross-contamination of samples stored in this manner via the liquid. There are a number of reports in the literature that indicate that contaminants, including viruses, can survive in LN₂ and there is at least one report of fatal viral transmission through this route. A formal risk assessment should be carried out of sample containment (i.e., primary and secondary containers), and alternatives to such conditions considered. Leakage of LN₂ into the sample container also represents an explosive hazard when samples are removed from storage.

Storage in the gas phase above liquid nitrogen (often referred to as vapour-phase storage) has been recommended. Such storage, while reducing the risk of cross-contamination, increases the likelihood for temperature instability from the inherent temperature gradient between bottom and top of the LN₂ refrigerator. This temperature gradient may be reduced or eliminated by modification to, or purchase of, tanks designed to reduce this temperature gradient. Storage refrigerators are available that exclude LN₂ from the storage compartment altogether (referred to as isothermal vessels) or restricted it to areas below the sample containers, for example by the use of vapour-phase platforms. Temperature gradients are reduced or eliminated either through jacketing the vessel with LN₂ (the isothermal approach) or through the use of a heat-shunt device within the tank or through design of low-loss access to the vessel.

7.1.5 Recovery of frozen or vitrified materials

Cells can be damaged through inappropriate thawing and CPA elution protocols. In general, rapid warming (at 37–40°C) is considered more effective in preventing cell damage from intracellular ice formation or solution effects of the CPA during rewarming. Rapid warming is especially important for vitrified material; however, care must be taken to prevent thermal runaway and exposure of the thawed material to elevated temperatures where the temperature-dependent toxic effects of the CPA may damage the cells. In designing or applying a cryopreservation protocol consideration should be given to the method of rewarming and the freezing/vitrification protocol optimized to that particular rewarming procedure.

Consideration should also be given to the method of eluting the CPA to prevent osmotic damage. The use of non-permeating compounds such as sucrose or mannitol to prevent excessive swelling may be considered. Recipients should

be provided with validated thawing and elution protocols and a mechanism for adverse event/adverse incident reporting.

7.2 Shipment

In Europe there is specific legislation for the import and export of tissues [88], which also has technical annexes which prescribe aspects of cell and tissue procurement, processing, storage and testing. However, the situation is highly variable around the world. In some countries such as Israel, a simple statement of commercial worth is required, whereas in Taiwan there are specific import and export regulations, and in some countries such as Singapore, these issues are still under consideration (to the best of the authors' knowledge at the time of publication).

Competent couriers are critical to efficient shipment, and it is best that repositories take responsibility for using couriers that have good knowledge of local requirements for import. It is also important for stem cell repositories to have service level agreements with couriers that identify standards of service and emergency procedures where cryogenics become depleted.

Cells cryopreserved by slow cooling may be transported in dry ice. Vitrified material should not be transported in dry ice (solid CO₂) at -79°C, to avoid de-vitrification and cell damage. Cells cryopreserved by either method may be transported in LN₂ dry-shippers which are probably the most secure form for transport. Repositories should identify transportation companies with the required technical expertise to undertake such shipments. Where this is likely to involve shipments outside of the country of origin, repositories should be familiar with the regulatory requirements pertaining to the safe shipment of cells in dry shippers. Use of air freight couriers that avoid transportation on commercial passenger airlines may reduce problems associated with a lack of knowledge of shipping in dry shippers or dry ice shippers. Where cells are transported in the absence of temperature data-loggers, consideration should be given to the use of chemical or other indicators to provide information on temperature during transportation.

8. Future applications of human pluripotent stem cell lines

8.1 Evaluation of human stem cell lines for production of biological medicines

Apart from cell therapy, stem cells or cell lines derived from stem cells can be envisaged for use as substrates for the production of biological

medical products such as recombinant proteins (e.g., growth factors or monoclonal antibodies), vaccines and conditioned media. A ISCB sub-group including representatives from the pharmaceutical industry, reviewed the requirements for cells used to manufacture such products and provided the following summary.

Guidelines for the testing of diploid cells, continuous cell lines and stem cells for cell seed, MCB, WCB and end of production cells have been provided by Part B of the document, "WHO Recommendations for the evaluation of animal cell cultures as substrates for the manufacture of biological medicinal products" [3]. In cases where a stem cell line has a finite lifespan (senescence) and a diploid profile, the ISCB manufacturing sub-group recommended assessment of the basic characteristics of a stem cell line by following the criteria of other accepted diploid cell lines such as MRC-5 for biologics production. In the case of a stem cell line with a continuous cell line profile (unlimited capacity for population doubling), the group considered that the stem cell line can be included in the continuous cell line classification. As stated by WHO, this proposal can be applied to any animal stem cell lines including human stem cell lines.

Depending on the product that is made, the sub-group also proposed reference to the guidelines described in TABLE 4.

In addition, specific recommendations for the testing of each product type should be tailored

to the origin and the derivation process of the stem cell line and to the functions of the product on a case by case basis. The risks related to contaminants from the stem cell line have to be considered in the testing of each product, that is, viruses, retroviruses and other transmissible agents, cellular DNA, cellular proteins (growth-promoting proteins).

8.2 Preparation of pluripotent stem cell lines for use in toxicology assays

The capability of human stem cell lines to create tissue-like cultures *in vitro*, could provide valuable information on the toxicity of medicines and hopefully avoid some of the serious chronic toxic effects of drugs which were not detected by standard assays [103,104]. The principles of GCCP [63] are directly relevant to the use of the undifferentiated hPSC lines used in the development of toxicology assays. As part of the EC funded multi-consortium cluster SEURAT-1 [214] consideration has also been given to the kinds of specific quality control measures needed for hPSC lines and their development [105]. A diverse range of differentiation protocols are being used to develop these assays and the establishment of assay control parameters, and possibly reference preparations of toxicants to provide quality control of the differentiated cultures. This will be vital to ensure reproducibility in assay data and will be paramount for the successful utilization of stem cell-based models in toxicology and drug discovery.

Table 4. Documents providing guidelines for manufacture of biologics from stem cells.

Guidelines	Vaccines	Recombinant proteins	Conditioned media
WHO/ DRAFT/ 4 May 2010: Recommendations for the evaluation of animal cell cultures as substrates for the manufacture of biological medicinal products and for the characterization of cell banks (proposed replacement of TRS 878, Annex 1). See reference WHO 2010a	√	√	√
International Conference on Harmonization, Q5D, Derivation and Characterization of Cell Substrates Used for Production of Biotechnological/Biological Products, 1997. www.ich.org/LOB/media/MEDIA429.pdf	√	√	
International Conference on Harmonization, Q5A, Viral Safety Evaluation of Biotechnology Products Derived from Cell Lines of Human or Animal Origin. www.ich.org/LOB/media/MEDIA425.pdf	√ (a)	√	
International Conference on Harmonization, Q5B, Quality of Biotechnological Products: Analysis of the Expression Construct in Cells Used for Production of r-DNA Derived Protein Products. www.ich.org/LOB/media/MEDIA426.pdf	√ (b)	√	
CBER Guidance for Industry, Characterization and Qualification of Cell Substrates and Other Biological Starting Materials Used in the Production of Viral Vaccines for the Prevention of Infectious Diseases, 2010. www.fda.gov/downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/GuidanceComplianceRegulation	√		

(a) Applies to recombinant subunit vaccines. Inactivated vaccines, all live vaccines containing self-replicating agents, and genetically engineered live vectors are excluded from the scope of this document.
 (b) Applies to subunit vaccines only.

9. Acknowledgements

All contributors who have provided comments as part of ISCBI discussions and meetings, and also the regulatory agencies who provided informal feedback during the development of the manuscript. We would also like to thank the ISCF members [204] who have continued to support the ISCBI activity. We would also like to acknowledge Dr L. Healy who has had significant input in the co-ordination of this manuscript

9. Financial & competing interests disclosure

The authors acknowledge grants from: T Chinese FZeng, Korean Y Kim; ISCF grant. The authors also acknowledge

the following for their support in this publication: The Ministry of Science and Technology of China (grant numbers 2014CB964700 and 2013DFG30680), The Korean National Institute of Health (Intramural grant 4861-307-210-13) and a grant from the Translational Research of the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare of Japan. The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript apart from those disclosed.

No writing assistance was utilized in the production of this manuscript.

Complete list of contributors

Andrews PW¹, Baker D², Benvenisty N³, Miranda B⁴, Bruce K⁵, Brüstle O⁶, Choi M⁷, Choi Y-M⁸, Crook JM⁹, de Sousa PA¹⁰, Dvorak P¹¹, Freund C¹², Firpo M¹³, Furue MK¹⁴, Gokhale P¹⁵, Ha H-Y¹⁶, Han E¹⁷, Haupt S¹⁸, Healy L¹⁹, Hei DJ²⁰, Hovatta O²¹, Hunt C²², Hwang S-M²³, Inamdar MS²⁴, Isasi RM²⁵, Jaconi M²⁶, Jekerle V²⁷, Kamthorn P²⁸, Kibbey MC²⁹, Knezevic P³⁰, Knowles BB³¹, Koo S-K³², Laabi Y³³, Leopoldo L³⁴, Liu B³⁵, Lomax GP³⁶, Loring JF³⁷, Ludwig TE³⁸, Montgomery K³⁹, Mummery C⁴⁰, Nagy A⁴¹, Nakamura Y⁴², Nakatsuji N⁴³, Oh S⁴⁴, Oh S-K⁴⁵, Otonkoski T⁴⁶, Pera M⁴⁷, Peschanski M⁴⁸, Pranke P⁴⁹, Rajala KM⁵⁰, Rao M⁵¹, Ruttachuk R⁵², Reubino B⁵³, Ricco L⁵⁴, Rooke H⁵⁵, Sipp D⁵⁶, Stacey GN⁵⁷, Suemori H⁵⁸, Takahashi TA⁵⁹, Takada K⁶⁰, Talib S⁶¹, Tannenbaum S⁶², Yuan B-Z⁶³, Zeng F⁶⁴, Zhou Q⁶⁵

1. Department of Biomedical Science, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK
2. Sheffield Diagnostic Genetic Services (National Health Service) / Centre for Stem Cell Biology (University of Sheffield), UK
3. Stem Cell Unit, Department of Genetics, Silberman Institute of Life Sciences, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel
4. Biobank Andalusian Public Health System, Spain
5. Roslin Cells Ltd, Scottish Centre for Regenerative Medicine, UK
6. Institute of Reconstructive Neurobiology, University of Bonn; German Centre for Neurodegenerative Diseases, Bonn, Germany
7. Ministry of Food and Drugs Safety (MFDS) (KFDA), Oasong, Republic of Korea
8. Institute of Reproductive Medicine & Population, Medical Research Center, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea
9. Synthetic Biosystems Laboratory, ARC Centre of Excellence for Electromaterials Science, Intelligent Polymer Research Institute, AIIIM Facility, Innovation Campus, University of Wollongong, Illawarra Health and Medical Research Institute, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, Department of Surgery – St Vincent's Hospital, The University of Melbourne, Fitzroy, Australia

10. Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh; Roslin Cells Ltd, Edinburgh, UK
11. Department of Biology, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Czech Republic
12. Department of Anatomy and Embryology, Leiden University Medical Centre, The Netherlands
13. Stem Cell Institute, Department of Medicine, Division of Endocrinology, University of Minnesota, USA
14. National Institute of Biomedical Innovation, Japan
15. Korea National Institute for Health (KNIH), Republic of Korea
16. LIFE & BRAIN GmbH, Bonn, Germany
17. UK Stem Cell Bank, Division of Advanced Therapies, National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, Pottery Bar, Hertfordshire, EN6 3QG, UK
18. Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA
19. Karolinska Institutet, Sweden
20. Bioresource Collection and Research Center, Hinchu, Taiwan
21. Molecular Biology and Genetics Unit, Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Bangalore, India
22. Centre of Genomics and Policy, McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre, Canada
23. Department of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, University Hospitals of Geneva (HUG), Faculty of Medicine, Geneva University, Switzerland
24. European Medicines Agency, London, UK
25. Faculty of Veterinary Science, Chulalongkorn University, Thailand
26. United States Pharmacopeia, Bethesda, USA
27. World Health Organisation, Geneva, Switzerland
28. The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, Maine, USA and the Siriraj Center of Excellence for Stem Cell Research, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand
29. I-Stem AFM Inserm Évry, France
30. Andalusian Initiative Advanced Therapies (IATA), Spain
31. Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Cambridge, UK

32. California Institute for Regenerative Medicine, San Francisco, USA
33. Center for Regenerative Medicine, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California, USA
34. WiCell Research Institute, Wisconsin, USA
35. Centre for Stem Cells and Tissue Engineering, Samuel Lunenfeld Research Institute, Mount Sinai Hospital, Canada
36. Cell Engineering Division, RIKEN BioResource Center, Tsukuba, Japan
37. Institute for Integrated Cell-Material Sciences (WPI-iCeMS), Kyoto University, Japan
38. Stem Cell Group, Bioprocessing Technology Institute, A*STAR, Singapore
39. Research Programs Unit, Molecular Neurology and Biomedicum Stem Cell Centre, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
40. Flarey Neuroscience and Mental Health Institute, and Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, University of Melbourne, Australia
41. Hematology and Stem Cell Laboratory, Faculty of Pharmacy, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
42. Heart group, BioMediTech, University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland
43. Regenerative Medicine, New York Stem Cell Foundation, USA
44. Goldyne Savad Institute of Gene Therapy, Hadassah Hebrew University Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel
45. International Society for Stem Cell Research, USA
46. RIKEN Center for Developmental Biology, Japan
47. Department of Embryonic Stem Cell Research, The Institute of Frontier Medical Sciences, Kyoto University, Japan
48. National Institutes for Food and Drug Control, Beijing, China
49. Shanghai Institute of Medical Genetics and Shanghai Stem Cell Institute, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, China
50. State key laboratory of Reproductive Biology, Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

References

- 1 ISCB. International Stem Cell Initiative. Andrews P, Arias-Díaz J, Aterbach J *et al.* Consensus guidance for banking and supply of human embryonic stem cell lines for research purposes. *Stem Cell Reviews and Reports* 2009, 5, 301–314 (2009).
- 2 PAS 84. Cell Therapy and Regenerative Medicine Glossary. *Regenerative Medicine* 7(3, Suppl. 1) (2012). <http://shop.bsigroup.com/Browse-by-Sector/Healthcare/PAS-84>
- 3 World Health Organization. Recommendations for the evaluation of animal cell cultures as substrates for the manufacture of biological medicinal products and for the characterization of cell banks. WHO Technical Report Series 978, Annex 3 (2010). Replacement of WHO Technical Report Series, No. 878, Annex 1. www.who.int/biologicals/vaccines/TRS_978_Annex_3.pdf
- 4 Isasi R, Knoppers B. Monetary payments for the procurement of oocytes for stem cell research: in search of ethical and political consistency. *Stem Cell Research* 1, 37–44 (2007).
- 5 Isasi R, Knoppers B, Andrews P *et al.* International Stem Cell Forum Ethics Working Party. Disclosure and Management of Research Findings in Stem Cell Research and Banking: Policy Statement by the ISCF-EWP. *Regen. Med.* 7(3), 439–448 (2012).
- 6 ISSCR (2006). International Society for Stem Cell Research (ISSCR) Guidelines for the Conduct of Human Embryonic Stem Cell Research (December 2006). Dickens BM. *Med Law* 27(1), 179–190 (2008).
- 7 ISCF EWP. International Stem Cell Forum Ethics Working Party. Knoppers BM, Andrews PW, Bredenoord A *et al.* Ethics issues in stem cell research. *Science* 312(5772), 366–367 (2006).
- 8 Murdoch A, Braude P, Stacey G *et al.* The Procurement Working Group of the National Clinical hESC Forum. The Procurement of cells for the derivation of human embryonic stem cell lines for therapeutic use: recommendations for good practice. *Stem Cell Rev. Reports* 8 (1), 91–99 (2011).
- 9 Advisory Committee on the Safety of Blood, Tissues and Organs (SaBTO). Donation of starting material for cell-based advanced therapies: a SaBTO review. Department of Health, UK (2014).
- 10 Gymrek M, McGuire AL, Golan D, Halperin E, Erlich Y. Identifying personal genomes by surname inference. *Science* 339(6117), 321–324 (2013).
- 11 Isasi R, Andrews P, Baltz J *et al.* Identifiability and privacy in pluripotent stem cell research. *Cell Stem Cell* 14(4), 427–30 (2014).
- 12 Lomax G, Hull S, Lowenthal J, Rao M, Isasi R. The DISCUSS Project: induced pluripotent stem cell lines from previously collected research biospecimens and informed consent: points to consider. *Stem Cells Trans. Med.* 2(10) (2013).
- 13 ICH Q5D Derivation and Characterization of cell substrates used for production of biotechnological/biological products (1997). www.ich.org/products/guidelines/quality/quality-single/article/derivation-and-characterisation-of-cell-substrates-used-for-production-of-biotechnologicalbiologica.html
- 14 Malone JH, Oliver B. Microarrays, deep sequencing and the true measure of the transcriptome. *BMC Biol.* 9, 34 (2011).
- 15 Lui L, Li Y, Li S *et al.* Comparison of next generation sequencing systems. *J. Biomed. Biotechnol.* 251364 (2012).
- 16 Frese K, Karus, H, Meder B. Next-generation sequencing: from understanding biology to personalized medicine. *Biology* 2, 378–398 (2013).
- 17 Victoria J, Wang C, Jones M *et al.* Viral nucleic acids in live-attenuated vaccines: detection of minority variants and an adventitious virus. *J. Virol.* 84(12), 6033–40 (2010).
- 18 Gilliland S, Forrest L, Carre H *et al.* Investigation of porcine circovirus contamination in human vaccines. *Biologicals* 40(4), 270–277 (2012).
- 19 World Health Organisation. Community genetics services: report of a WHO consultation on community genetics in low- and middle-income countries. (2011).
- 20 Brazma A. On the importance of standardization in life sciences. *Bioinformatics* 17(2), 113–114 (2001).
- 21 World Health Organization. WHO Guidelines on Tissue Infectivity Distribution in Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathies. WHO, Geneva (2010). www.who.int/bloodproducts/tablestissueinfectivity.pdf
- 22 EMA. CHMP/CAT position statement on Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease and advanced therapy medicinal products. Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP) and Committee for Advanced Therapies (CAT). London, UK (2011).
- 23 EMA. Note for guidance on minimising the risk of transmitting animal spongiform encephalopathy agents via human and veterinary medicinal products (EMA/410/01 rev.3). *Official Journal of The European Union.* (2011). 2011/C 73/01.
- 24 Young L, Sung J, Stacey G, Masters J. Detection of mycoplasma in cell cultures. *Nat. Protoc.* 5(5), 929–934 (2010).
- 25 Volokhov D, Graham L, Brorson K, Chizhikov V. Mycoplasma testing of cell substrates and biologics: review of alternative non-microbiological techniques. *Mol. Cell Probes.* 25(2–3), 69–77 (2011).
- 26 Martins-Taylor K, Xu R-H. Concise review: genomic stability of human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Stem Cells* 30, 22–27 (2012).
- 27 Nguyen H, Geens M, Spits C. Genetic and epigenetic instability in human pluripotent stem cells. *Human Reproduction Update* 19, 187–205 (2013).
- 28 Ben-David U, Arad G, Weissbein U *et al.* Aneuploidy induces profound changes in gene expression, proliferation and tumorigenicity of human pluripotent stem cells. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 4825 (2014).
- 29 Lund J, Emani M, Barbaric I *et al.* Karyotypically abnormal ESCs are sensitive to HDAC inhibitors and show altered regulation of genes linked to cancers and neurological diseases. *Stem Cell Res.* 11, 1022–1036 (2013).
- 30 Sun Y, Yang Y, Zeng S, Tan Y, Lu G, Lin G. Identification of proteins related to epigenetic regulation in the malignant transformation of aberrant karyotypic human embryonic stem cells by quantitative proteomics. *PLoS One* 2014, 9, e85823.
- 31 Chen Z, Zhao T, Xu Y. The genomic stability of induced pluripotent stem cells. *Protein Cell* 3(4), 271–277 (2012).
- 32 Hyka-Nouspikel N, Desmarais J, Gokhale PJ *et al.* Deficient DNA damage response and cell cycle checkpoints lead to accumulation of point mutations in human embryonic stem cells. *Stem Cells* 30(9), 1901–1910 (2012).
- 33 ISCI. International Stem Cell Initiative. Amps K, Andrews P, Anyfantis G *et al.* Screening ethnically diverse human embryonic stem cells identifies a chromosome 20 minimal amplicon conferring growth advantage. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 29(12), 1132–1144 (2011).
- 34 Laurent LC, Ulitsky I, Slavin I *et al.* Dynamic changes in the copy number of pluripotency and cell proliferation genes in human ESCs and hiPSCs during reprogramming and time in culture. *Cell Stem Cell* 8(1), 106–118 (2011).
- 35 Steinemann D, Göhring G, Schlegelberger B. Genetic instability of modified stem cells – a first step towards malignant transformation? *Am. J. Stem Cells* 2(1), 39–51 (2013).
- 36 Yang S, Lin G, Tan Y, Deng L, Yuan D, Lu G. Differences between karyotypically normal and abnormal human embryonic stem cells. *Cell Prolif.* 43(3), 195–206 (2010).
- 37 Gore A, Li Z, Fung HL, Young JE *et al.* Somatic coding mutations in human induced