studies as a measure of incident functional disability in the elderly (Aida et al., 2012; Hozawa et al., 2010; Tomata et al., 2012). As the economic burden of taking care of older people with disability is increasing (Ministry of Health, 2012), studies of modifiable risk factors of functional disability have become necessary. To our knowledge, no prospective study has yet investigated the relationship between changes in physical activity since middle age and the risk of incident functional disability. Furthermore, the doubts of benefits of increasing or maintaining physical activity could result from younger age, better motor function or higher intensity of physical activity in men which allow those subjects to be more active than the others have not been well clarified.

In the present study, we chose to focus on walking, which is the most common type of physical activity among middle-aged or older individuals. Our previous studies have shown that spending a longer time walking per day is associated with lower medical costs and increased longevity (Fujita et al., 2004; Nagai et al., 2011; Tsuji et al., 2003). The objective of the present study was to investigate changes in time spent walking in relation to the risks of incident functional disability in a large community-dwelling population in Japan.

Methods

Study cohort

The present investigation used data from a population-based longitudinal study conducted in Ohsaki, a northern non-coastal rural area of Miyagi Prefecture, northeastern Japan. Between October and December 1994, all National Health Insurance beneficiaries aged 40 to 79 years who lived in the catchment area of Ohsaki Public Health Center (including one city and 13 towns) were invited to take part in a health survey with self-administered questionnaire on various lifestyle habits (1994 Survey) (Nagai et al., 2011; Tsuji et al., 2003). Among 54,996 eligible individuals, 52,029 (94.6%) responded.

During a period when a municipal merger occurred, one city and 6 towns in the study area were merged into a single new municipality, Ohsaki City, on 31 March 2006. Thereafter, we conducted a health survey on the citizens of Ohsaki City. Between 1 December and 15 December 2006, a self-administered questionnaire was distributed to subjects aged 65 years or older based on the Residential Registry for Ohsaki City (2006 Survey) (Koyama et al., 2010; Kuriyama et al., 2010; Nakaya et al., 2013; Tomata et al., 2012). Among 23,132 eligible individuals (aged 53 years or older in 1994 Survey), 12,676 (54.8%) responded. We considered the return of completed questionnaires to imply consent to participate in the 2006 Survey, and subsequent death and emigration were followed up. We also confirmed information regarding LTCI certification status after obtaining written consent from the subjects. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tohoku University School of Medicine.

For the present analysis, we further excluded 3610 persons who did not provide written consent for review of their LTCI information, one person who had been died, 973 persons who had already been certified as having disability by the LTCI at the time of the baseline survey, and 915 persons for whom responses to the questions on walking were missing. Thus, a final total of 7177 responses were analyzed for the purposes of this study.

Classification of exposures

Time spent walking was evaluated on the basis of the response to a specific question, 'How long do you walk a day, on average?' in both the 1994 and 2006 Surveys, and the subjects were asked to choose one out of three responses: '1 h or more', '30 min to 1 h' or '30 min or less'. The validity of self-reported time spent walking had been reported previously, which indicated that self-reported walking time was reasonably reproducible and sufficiently valid for studying the health effects of walking (Fujita et al., 2004; Nagai et al., 2011; Tsubono et al., 2002; Tsuji et al., 2003). According to the "Global Recommendations of Physical Activity for Health" developed by the WHO, at least a total of 150 min or 30 min of moderate-intensity activity 5 times per week is suggested for all adults (WHO, 2010). Therefore, participants who spent more than 30 min per day walking were considered to be active in this study. As shown in Table 1, four categories of changes in time spent walking were defined for each participant by his/her answers in 1994 and 2006: remained inactive (<30 min in both 1994 and 2006); became inactive (≥30 min in 1994 and <30 min in 2006); became active (<30 min in 1994 and ≥30 min in 2006); and remained active (≥30 min in both 1994 and 2006).

Table 1
Categories of changes in time spent walking (December 2006, Ohsaki City, Miyagi Prefecture, Northeastern Japan).

Time spent walking per day		2006 survey	
		≥30 min	≥30 min
1994 survey	<30 min	Remained inactive	Became active
	<30 min	Became inactive	Remained active

Follow-up and case ascertainment

The primary endpoint for the present analysis was incident functional disability defined as newly qualifying for LTCI certification and registration on the public LTCI database between 16 December 2006 and 30 November 2011. We collected LTCI certification data every year from the public LTCI database maintained by Ohsaki City. LTCI is a form of mandatory social insurance aimed at assisting the frail and elderly with daily activities (Ikegami, 1997; Imai et al., 2008; Ministry of Health, 2012; Tsutsui and Muramatsu, 2005). People aged 65 years or older who require assistance with ADL are eligible to apply for formal caregiving services, and undergo assessment by well-trained care managers based on a questionnaire developed by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. On the basis of standardized scores for functional and cognitive impairment calculated from the questionnaire and based on physician's judgment report including the elderly's disease status, physical and cognitive status and performance-based measures, the eligibility of applicants for insurance benefits is judged by the Municipal Certification Committee. LTCI certification has been used in previous epidemiological studies as a measure of incident functional disability in the elderly (Aida et al., 2012; Hozawa et al., 2010; Tomata et al., 2012).

All participants were followed up by reviewing information on the date of LTCI certification, death, or emigration from Ohsaki City, which had been transferred yearly each December from the Ohsaki City Government under an agreement related to Epidemiological Research and Privacy Protection.

Statistical analysis

The person-years of follow-up were calculated from 16 December 2006 to the date of incident functional disability, date of emigration from Ohsaki City, date of death, or 30 November 2011, whichever occurred first. Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was used to investigate the hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for incident functional disability according to changes in time spent walking, treating participants who had remained inactive as the reference category.

The following variables in the 2006 Survey, which were thought to be unfavorable conditions for being active and may be related to incident functional disability, were considered as potential confounders: age (in years), sex (men or women), body mass index (in kg/m^2), history of diseases (stroke, hypertension, myocardial infarction, arthritis, osteoporosis, cancer, falls or fractures), education level (junior high school, high school, or college or higher), smoking status (never smoked, smoked in the past, currently smoking <20 cigarettes/day or currently smoking ≥20 cigarettes/day), alcohol consumption (never drank, drank in the past or currently drinking), pain (none or mild pain, moderate pain or more), and motor function score based on the Kihon Checklist.

To assess whether the risk of incident functional disability associated with changes in time spent walking differed by gender, age or subjects' motor function, we further stratified the participants according to gender (men versus women), age at the time of the 2006 Survey (65–74 years versus ≥75 years) and motor function (without limitation versus with limitation). Motor function limitation was defined by a motor function score of 3 points or more based on the Kihon Checklist completed in the 2006 Survey. The motor function score based on the Kihon Checklist has been evaluated previously and shown to have predictive validity for functional disability (Fukutomi et al., 2013; Tomata et al., 2011). Statistical evidence for differences in effect between these subgroups was assessed on the basis of log-likelihood ratio tests of interaction.

All statistical analyses were performed using the SAS software package (version 9.2; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA). All statistical tests described here were 2-sided, and differences at $P < 0.05$ were accepted as significant.

Results

From 1994 to 2006, 13.0% of the study participants remained inactive, 22.5% became inactive, 11.6% became active, and 52.9% remained active. The baseline characteristics of participants according to the changes in time spent walking categories are summarized in Table 2. Compared with the rest of the study participants, those who had become active were younger, included a higher proportion of men, included a higher proportion of current drinkers, were less likely to have a history of myocardial infarction, osteoporosis or cancer, and were less likely to have pain and motor function limitation.

During the 5 years of follow-up from 16 December 2006, we documented 712 incident functional disability (9.9%), 619 deaths (8.6%) and 59 losses to follow-up (0.8%) because of emigration. Table 3 shows the multivariate-adjusted HRs for incident functional disability according to the changes in time spent walking categories. In comparison with individuals who remained inactive, those who became active had a 31% lower risk of incident functional disability (HR = 0.69, 95% CI: 0.49–0.98), and those who remained active had a 36% lower risk of incident functional disability (HR = 0.64, 95% CI: 0.50–0.82). The risk of incident functional disability among individuals who became inactive was similar to that for individuals who remained inactive. Furthermore, we repeated the analyses after excluding individuals whose disability event occurred in the first year of follow-up (Model 3). When we excluded 253 such participants, the associations became slightly weaker but did not change substantially. The multivariate-adjusted HRs (95% CIs) for incident functional disability were 0.89 (0.66–1.19) for individuals who became inactive, 0.75 (0.50–1.12) for those who became active, and 0.64 (0.48–0.85) for those who remained active.

Table 4 shows the associations between changes in time spent walking and incident functional disability, after stratification by gender (men versus women), age at the time of the 2006 Survey (65–74 years versus ≥75 years) and motor function (without limitation versus with limitation). The associations did not vary substantially between men and women (p for interaction = 0.71). In women, became active or remained active was associated with a lower risk of incident functional disability, with HRs (95% CIs) of 0.61 (0.39–0.96) and 0.60 (0.44–0.80), respectively. Similar results were observed in men, but were not statistically significant. The risks of incident functional disability were not altered significantly by age (p for interaction = 0.10). The multivariate-adjusted HRs (95% CIs) for individuals who became active were 0.58 (0.24–1.37) for those aged 65–74 years and 0.73 (0.50–1.06) for those aged ≥75 years. Furthermore, irrespective of whether or not participants had motor function limitation, those who became active tended to have a lower risk of incident functional disability (p for interaction = 0.97). The multivariate-adjusted HRs (95% CIs) for became active were 0.75 (0.47–1.19) for individuals without motor function limitation and 0.69 (0.41–1.18) for those with motor function limitation, although this was not statistically significant.

Table 2
Baseline characteristics of participants according to the changes in time spent walking categories (December 2006, Ohsaki City, Miyagi Prefecture, Northeastern Japan).

	Remained inactive	Became inactive	Became active	Remained active	P-value[a]
Number at risk	937	1614	832	3794	
Age, mean (SD), years	75.8 (5.7)	76.1 (5.8)	74.0 (5.5)	74.2 (5.5)	<0.0001
Men (%)	43.3	41.3	46.9	45.9	0.0072
Body mass index, mean (SD), kg/m^2	23.9 (3.5)	23.6 (3.8)	23.7 (3.3)	23.4 (3.3)	0.0011
Current smoker (%)	12.4	14.0	12.4	13.5	0.7339
Current drinker (%)	33.6	30.2	38.8	37.3	<0.0001
Education until age 15 (%)	29.3	33.6	31.5	30.1	0.2239
History of diseases (%)					
Stroke	3.7	3.9	3.3	2.2	0.0021
Hypertension	47.1	50.7	42.2	40.1	<0.0001
Myocardial infarction	6.2	6.3	4.2	4.7	0.0244
Arthritis	20.3	19.0	15.1	14.8	<0.0001
Osteoporosis	14.1	13.4	8.5	9.7	<0.0001
Cancer	12.0	10.2	6.9	7.4	<0.0001
Falls or fractures	17.8	18.9	18.0	15.2	0.0036
Moderate pain or more (%)	36.8	37.4	24.0	24.7	<0.0001
Motor function limitation (%)[b]	38.3	40.6	18.0	16.7	<0.0001

[a] P-values were calculated by analysis of variance or chi-square test.

[b] With three points or more to the following five motor function questions in Kihon Checklist: 'Are you able to go upstairs without holding rail or wall?', 'Are you able to stand up from the chair without any aids?', 'Are you able to keep walking for about 15 min?', 'Have you fallen down during the past year?', 'Do you worry about falling down?'.

Discussion

In this large longitudinal population-based study of Japanese community-dwelling elderly, we observed that an increase in time spent walking among sedentary middle-aged adults was significantly associated with a lower risk of incident functional disability. Even in those who were very old or with limited motor function, becoming active from middle age tended to be associated with a lower risk of incident functional disability.

These results were consistent with previous longitudinal studies based on self-reported physical activity levels at different time points and subsequent functional status (Berk et al., 2006; Brach Js, 2003; Gretebeck et al., 2012; Wannamethee et al., 2005). Those studies found that in comparison with people who had always been inactive since middle age, those who increased their physical activity had better physical performance or lower disability scores in old age. In the present study, after 5 years of follow-up, we noticed that in a senior population aged more than 65 years, not only those who remained active also those who became active had lower risks of incident functional disability, than those who remained inactive for the previous 12 years. Furthermore, for those who became inactive, the risk of incident functional disability was similar to those who remained inactive, which was consistent with those of previous studies about changes in physical activity level and mortality (Balboa-Castillo et al., 2011; Gregg et al., 2003).

The British Regional Heart Study observed that the protective effects of maintaining or increasing physical activity against risks of mobility limitation were largely attenuated following adjustment for chronic diseases and clinical symptoms (Wannamethee et al., 2005). In the present study, after adjusting for possible confounders including history of diseases, body pain and motor function status, we found that an increase in time spent walking among sedentary middle-aged adults was still significantly associated with a lower risk of incident functional disability. Furthermore, the associations did not vary substantially by gender, age or motor function. This is important because it suggested that the lower risks of incident functional disability associated with increasing or maintaining physical activity level was not only a result of younger age, better motor function or higher intensity of physical activity in men. In our study population, even among individuals who were more than 75 years old or with motor function limitation, older adults who remained active since middle age had a significantly lower risk of incident functional disability. Therefore, even for those who may find it difficult to be physically active, maintaining or adopting an active lifestyle should be continuously promoted.

Most previous studies examining the health effect of changes in physical activity were focused on longevity (Balboa-Castillo et al., 2011; Gregg et al., 2003; Petersen et al., 2012; Schnohr et al., 2003; Talbot et al., 2007; Wannamethee et al., 1998). In the present study, we also observed that in comparison with individuals who remained inactive, those who became active tended to have lower risk of all-cause mortality (HR = 0.78, 95% CI: 0.54–1.09) (data not shown). We further observed that individuals who became active and those who remained active were also associated with a reduced risk of incident functional disability. The present study has expanded knowledge in this field because it showed that maintaining or adopting an active lifestyle not

Table 3
Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for incident disability according to the changes in time spent walking categories (December 2006, Ohsaki City, Miyagi Prefecture, Northeastern Japan).

	No. of cases	Person-years	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
			HR (95% CI)[a]	HR (95% CI)[b]	HR (95% CI)[c]
Remained inactive	134	3924	1.00	1.00	1.00
Became inactive	252	6679	1.14 (0.96–1.36)	0.98 (0.78–1.25)	0.89 (0.66–1.19)
Became active	62	3779	0.62 (0.46–0.84)	0.69 (0.49–0.98)	0.75 (0.50–1.12)
Remained active	264	17,266	0.56 (0.45–0.68)	0.64 (0.50–0.82)	0.64 (0.48–0.85)

[a] Model 1 was adjusted for age (years), sex.
[b] Model 2 was adjusted for age (years), sex, BMI (kg/m^2), history of stroke (yes/no), history of hypertension (yes/no), history of myocardial infarction (yes/no), history of arthritis (yes/no), history of osteoporosis (yes/no), history of cancer (yes/no), history of falls or fractures (yes/no), education (junior high school or less, high school, or college or higher), smoking status (never smoked, smoked in the past, currently smoking <20 cigarettes/day or currently smoking ≥20 cigarettes/day), alcohol consumption (never drank, drank in the past or currently drinking), pain (none or mild pain, moderate pain or more) and motor function limitations (yes/no).
[c] Model 3 was further excluded people whose event of disability occurred in the first year of follow-up.

only improved longevity, also resulted in healthier aging. Thus, for healthy aging, our message to those who are currently sedentary is that it is never too late to start walking.

This study had several strengths in addition to its prospective nature and large community-dwelling population base. First, we assessed the effects of several important confounding factors on changes in time spent walking and incident functional disability: history of diseases, body pain and motor function status. Subgroup analysis of motor function status was also conducted to confirm that there was no interaction between motor function limitation and time spent walking with incident functional disability. Second, the data on incident functional disability were more accurate than self-reported information because the outcome was obtained from the public LTCI database, which is based on uniform nationwide criteria of functional disability, and thus the data were considered reliable.

Several limitations should also be noted. First, we assessed walking using a simple questionnaire in which we asked the participants to report only the time spent walking and did not ask about walking pace, distance walked or any distinction between walking for exercise and other reasons, and there was no information about the reason of any change in time spent walking. However, physical activity level was noted to be affected by psychological distress and mental disorder in previous studies (Bonnet et al., 2005; Muhsen et al., 2010). It may be one reason for being or becoming inactive, where reverse causation may not be totally avoided. Second, we did not investigate the causes of functional disability in subjects who received LTCI certification. Thus, the most effective component responsible for reduction of functional disability by becoming or remaining active will need to be clarified in the future. Third, our endpoint could have been underestimated because the qualification process for obtaining LTCI benefit requires voluntary application. Furthermore, non-response bias and survival bias should be considered because the incidence rate of functional disability in the present study (9.9%) was much lower than that for all Japan (17.3%) (Ministry of Health, 2012).

Conclusion

An increase in time spent walking among sedentary middle-aged adults was significantly associated with a lower risk of incident functional disability. Even in those who were very old or with limited motor function, becoming active from middle age tended to be associated with a lower risk of incident functional disability. Our results suggest that, for healthy aging, active people should remain active as they age, and for those who are currently sedentary, it is never too late to start walking.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by a Health Sciences Research Grant for Health Services (H24-Choju-Ippan-005, H23-Junkankitou (Seisyu)-Ippan-005, and H23-Junkankitou (Seisyu)-Wakate-015), from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan. We are grateful to all the participants of this study.

References

Aadahl, M., von Huth Smith, L., Pisinger, C., et al., 2009. Five-year change in physical activity is associated with changes in cardiovascular disease risk factors: the Inter99 study. Prev. Med. 48, 326–331.
Aida, J., Kondo, K., Hirai, H., et al., 2012. Association between dental status and incident disability in an older Japanese population. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 60, 338–343.

Table 4
Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for incident disability according to the changes in time spent walking categories, by gender, age and motor function status (December 2006, Ohsaki City, Miyagi Prefecture, Northeastern Japan).

	Gender		Age		Motor function	
	Men	Women	65–74	≥75	No limitation	With limitation
Remained inactive (cases/n)	49/406	85/531	21/385	113/552	50/578	84/359
HR (95% CI)[a]	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Became inactive (cases/n)	95/666	157/948	42/671	210/943	88/958	164/656
HR (95% CI)[a]	1.16 (0.77–1.74)	0.88 (0.65–1.18)	1.17 (0.64–2.15)	0.96 (0.74–1.25)	0.98 (0.67–1.43)	0.99 (0.72–1.35)
Became active (cases/n)	31/390	31/442	10/447	52/385	38/682	24/150
HR (95% CI)[a]	0.83 (0.48–1.43)	0.61 (0.39–0.96)	0.58 (0.24–1.37)	0.73 (0.50–1.06)	0.75 (0.47–1.19)	0.69 (0.41–1.18)
Remained active (cases/n)	103/1740	161/2054	52/2036	212/1758	169/3162	95/632
HR (95% CI)[a]	0.72 (0.48–1.09)	0.60 (0.44–0.80)	0.65 (0.36–1.18)	0.63 (0.48–0.82)	0.69 (0.49–0.98)	0.62 (0.44–0.88)
p for interaction	0.71		0.10		0.97	

[a] Model was adjusted for age (years), sex, BMI (kg/m^2), history of stroke (yes/no), history of hypertension (yes/no), history of myocardial infarction (yes/no), history of arthritis (yes/no), history of osteoporosis (yes/no), history of cancer (yes/no), history of falls or fractures (yes/no), education (junior high school or less, high school, or college or higher), smoking status (never smoked, smoked in the past, currently smoking <20 cigarettes/day or currently smoking ≥20 cigarettes/day), alcohol consumption (never drank, drank in the past or currently drinking), pain (none or mild pain, moderate pain or more) and motor function limitations (yes/no).

Balboa-Castillo, T., Guallar-Castillón, P., León-Muñoz, L.M., Graciani, A., López-García, E., Rodríguez-Artalejo, F., 2011. Physical activity and mortality related to obesity and functional status in older adults in Spain. Am. J. Prev. Med. 40, 39–46.
Berk, D.R., Hubert, H.B., Fries, J.F., 2006. Associations of changes in exercise level with subsequent disability among seniors: a 16-year longitudinal study. J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 61, 97–102.
Bonnet, F., Irving, K., Terra, J.L., Nony, P., Berthezene, F., Moulin, P., 2005. Anxiety and depression are associated with unhealthy lifestyle in patients at risk of cardiovascular disease. Atherosclerosis 178, 339–344.
Brach Js, F.S., 2003. Physical activity and functional status in community-dwelling older women: a 14-year prospective study. Arch. Intern. Med. 163, 2565–2571.
Fried, T.R., Bradley, E.H., Williams, C.S., Tinetti, M.E., 2001. Functional disability and health care expenditures for older persons. Arch. Intern. Med. 161, 2602–2607.
Fujita, K., Takahashi, H., Miura, C., et al., 2004. Walking and mortality in Japan: the Miyagi Cohort Study. J. Epidemiol. 14 (Suppl. 1), S26–S32.
Fukutomi, E., Okumiya, K., Wada, T., et al., 2013. Importance of cognitive assessment as part of the "Kihon Checklist" developed by the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare for prediction of frailty at a 2-year follow up. Geriatr. Gerontol. Int. 13, 654–662.
Gregg, E.W., Cauley, J.A., Stone, K., et al., 2003. Relationship of changes in physical activity and mortality among older women. J. Am. Med. Assoc. 289, 2379–2386.
Gretebeck, R.J., Ferraro, K.F., Black, D.R., Holland, K., Gretebeck, K.A., 2012. Longitudinal change in physical activity and disability in adults. Am. J. Health Behav. 36, 385–394.
Haskell, W.L., Blair, S.N., Hill, J.O., 2009. Physical activity: health outcomes and importance for public health policy. Prev. Med. 49, 280–282.
Hozawa, A., Sugawara, Y., Tomata, Y., et al., 2010. Relationships between N-terminal pro B-type natriuretic peptide and incident disability and mortality in older community-dwelling adults: the Tsurugaya study. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 58, 2439–2441.
Ikegami, N., 1997. Public long-term care insurance in Japan. J. Am. Med. Assoc. 278, 1310–1314.
Imai, H., Fujii, Y., Fukuda, Y., Nakao, H., Yahata, Y., 2008. Health-related quality of life and beneficiaries of long-term care insurance in Japan. Health Policy 85, 349–355.
Koyama, Y., Kuriyama, S., Aida, J., et al., 2010. Association between green tea consumption and tooth loss: cross-sectional results from the Ohsaki Cohort 2006 Study. Prev. Med. 50, 173–179.
Kuriyama, S., Nakaya, N., Ohmori-Matsuda, K., et al., 2010. The Ohsaki Cohort 2006 Study: design of study and profile of participants at baseline. J. Epidemiol. 20, 253–258.
Leitzmann Mf, P.Y., 2007. Physical activity recommendations and decreased risk of mortality. Arch. Intern. Med. 167, 2453–2460.
Ministry of Health L., and Welfare, 2012. Health and Welfare Services for the Elderly, Annual Health, Labour and Welfare Report 2011–2012 ed. Available from: http://www.mhlw.go.jp/english/wp/wp-hw6/dl/10e.pdf (last accessed 18 November 2013).
Muhsen, K., Garty-Sandalon, N., Gross, R., Green, M.S., 2010. Psychological distress is independently associated with physical inactivity in Israeli adults. Prev. Med. 50, 118–122.
Nagai, M., Kuriyama, S., Kakizaki, M., et al., 2011. Impact of walking on life expectancy and lifetime medical expenditure: the Ohsaki Cohort Study. BMJ 1.
Nagi, S.Z., 1991. Disability concepts revisited: implications for prevention. Disability in America: Toward a National Agenda for Prevention. The National Academies Press, Washington, D.C.
Nakaya, N., Kogure, M., Saito-Nakaya, K., et al., 2013. The association between self-reported history of physical diseases and psychological distress in a community-dwelling Japanese population: the Ohsaki Cohort 2006 Study. European Journal of Public Health.
Nelson, M.E., Rejeski, W.J., Blair, S.N., et al., 2007. Physical activity and public health in older adults: recommendation from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association. Circulation 116, 1094–1105.
Petersen, C.B., Grønbæk, M., Helge, J.W., Thygesen, L.C., Schnohr, P., Tolstrup, J.S., 2012. Changes in physical activity in leisure time and the risk of myocardial infarction, ischemic heart disease, and all-cause mortality. Eur. J. Epidemiol. 27, 91–99.
Schnohr, P., Scharling, H., Jensen, J.S., 2003. Changes in leisure-time physical activity and risk of death: an observational study of 7,000 men and women. Am. J. Epidemiol. 158, 639–644.
Talbot, L.A., Morrell, C.H., Fleg, J.L., Metter, E.J., 2007. Changes in leisure time physical activity and risk of all-cause mortality in men and women: the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. Prev. Med. 45, 169–176.
Tomata, Y., Hozawa, A., Ohmori-Matsuda, K., et al., 2011. Validation of the Kihon Checklist for predicting the risk of 1-year incident long-term care insurance certification: the Ohsaki Cohort 2006 Study. Nihon Koshu Eisei Zasshi Jpn. J. Public Health 58, 3–13.
Tomata, Y., Kakizaki, M., Nakaya, N., et al., 2012. Green tea consumption and the risk of incident functional disability in elderly Japanese: the Ohsaki Cohort 2006 Study. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 95, 732–739.
Tsubono, Y., Tsuji, I., Fujita, K., et al., 2002. Validation of walking questionnaire for population-based prospective studies in Japan: comparison with pedometer. J. Epidemiol. 12, 305–309.
Tsuji, I., Takahashi, K., Nishino, Y., et al., 2003. Impact of walking upon medical care expenditure in Japan: the Ohsaki Cohort Study. Int. J. Epidemiol. 32, 809–814.
Tsutsui, T., Muramatsu, N., 2005. Care-needs certification in the long-term care insurance system of Japan. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 53, 522–527.
Verbrugge, L.M., Jette, A.M., 1994. The disablement process. Soc. Sci. Med. 38, 1–14.
Wagner, K.-H., Brath, H., 2012. A global view on the development of non communicable diseases. Prev. Med. 54, S38–S41 (Suppl.).
Wannamethee, S.G., Shaper, A.G., Walker, M., 1998. Changes in physical activity, mortality, and incidence of coronary heart disease in older men. Lancet 351, 1603–1608.
Wannamethee, S.G., Ebrahim, S., Papacosta, O., Shaper, A.G., 2005. From a postal questionnaire of older men, healthy lifestyle factors reduced the onset of and may have increased recovery from mobility limitation. J. Clin. Epidemiol. 58, 831–840.
Wen, C.P., Wai, J.P.M., Tsai, M.K., et al., 2011. Minimum amount of physical activity for reduced mortality and extended life expectancy: a prospective cohort study. Lancet 378, 1244–1253.
WHO, 2010. Global recommendations on physical activity for health. Available from: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2010/9789241599979_eng.pdf (last accessed 18 November 2013).

血清総コレステロール値と要介護認定リスクに関する前向きコホート研究

鶴ヶ谷プロジェクト

星　玲奈*　遠又　靖丈*　柿崎真沙子*　坪谷　透*
永井　雅人*　渡邊　生恵*　寳澤　篤[2*,3*]　辻　一郎*

目的　地域高齢者における血清総コレステロール値と要介護認定リスクとの関連を前向きコホート研究により検討すること。

方法　仙台市宮城野区鶴ヶ谷地区の70歳以上の住民全員（2,925人）に対し，高齢者総合機能評価「寝たきり予防健診」を平成15年に行った。受診者（958人）のうち，研究の同意が得られ要介護認定非該当であった827人を解析対象者とし，平成21年6月まで6年間追跡した。血清総コレステロール値は5分位に分け，第四5分位群（212–230 mg/dL）を基準群とし，要介護認定リスクをCox比例ハザードモデルによりハザード比（HR）と95%信頼区間（95%CI）を算出した。

結果　6年間の追跡調査で214人が要介護認定（要支援1～要介護5）を受けた。血清総コレステロール低値と要介護認定リスクとの間には有意な負の関連があり，第四5分位群（212–230 mg/dL）を基準群として多変量調整したHR（95%CI）は177 mg/dL未満群（最低5分位群）で1.91（1.23–2.98），177–194 mg/dL群で1.36（0.85–2.18），195–211 mg/dL群で0.99（0.62–1.56），231 mg/dL以上群で1.38（0.88–2.17）であった。また，高感度C反応蛋白（CRP）が高値の者，がん・肝臓病既往歴ありの者，肝機能指標が基準値外の者を除外した解析でも，血清総コレステロール低値で要介護認定リスクの有意な上昇を認めた。

結論　様々な交絡要因を調整しても，血清総コレステロール低値で有意なリスク上昇を認めた。

Key words：血清総コレステロール値，要介護認定，地域高齢者，マーカー

I　緒　　言

高齢者の低栄養状態は，要介護発生のリスク要因となると考えられている[1,2]。そこで介護保険制度における介護予防事業では，低栄養状態のおそれがある高齢者を早期に把握し，栄養相談などを行うことで要介護状態の発生予防を目指している[3]。

血清総コレステロール値は，一般的で安価な血液マーカーであり，体内の栄養状態を反映する指標[4]である。血清総コレステロール値と死亡率との関連を調べた多くの研究では，U字型や逆J字型を示すことが示されており[5~7]，血清総コレステロールが低値でも高値でも，死亡リスクが上昇することが知られている[8~10]。

一方，血清総コレステロール値と要介護認定リスクとの関連に関する前向きコホート研究は，日本では3件しか行われていない。その結果は，血清総コレステロール値と負の関連があるものが1件[11]，関連がなかったものが2件[12,13]あり，結果は一致していない。その理由として，以下の方法の問題が挙げられる。それは，(1)血清総コレステロール値を連続変量で検討していること，(2)要介護発生のイベント数が少ない研究があること，(3)交絡因子の調整が不十分であることなどであり，わが国の地域在住高齢者におけるエビデンスが十分に確立しているとは言い難い。

本研究の目的は，血清総コレステロール値が低値または高値であることが，要介護状態の発生リスクを高めるかどうか検討することである。そのため仙台市鶴ヶ谷地区の70歳以上の地域在住の827人を6年間追跡し，血清総コレステロール値と要介護認定

* 東北大学大学院医学系研究科社会医学講座公衆衛生学分野
*2 山形大学大学院医学系研究科公衆衛生学講座
*3 東北大学東北メディカル・メガバンク機構個別化予防・疫学分野
連絡先：〒980-8575　仙台市青葉区星陵町2-1
東北大学大学院医学系研究科社会医学講座公衆衛生学分野　星　玲奈

リスクとの関連について検討を行った。

Ⅱ　研究方法

1.　対象者

宮城県仙台市宮城野区鶴ヶ谷地区に居住する70歳以上（平成16年3月31日時点）の男女全員2,925人（男性：1,211人，女性：1,714人）に，高齢者総合機能評価「寝たきり予防健診」の案内状を郵送し，平成15年7月に「寝たきり予防健診」を実施した。このうち，健診の受診者は958人（男性：434人，女性：524人）で，参加率は32.8％であった。

健診では，身長，体重，喫煙歴，飲酒歴，既往歴，うつ傾向，認知機能，身体機能，血液検査，服薬情報，食物摂取頻度等を評価した。

2.　調査項目

本研究で調査した項目は，(1)アンケート調査：喫煙状況，飲酒状況，既往歴（脳卒中，骨粗鬆症，がん，肝臓病），(2)血液試料：血清総コレステロール値，血清アルブミン値，高感度C反応性蛋白（CRP），Alanine aminotransferase（ALT），Aspartate aminotransferase（AST），Gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase（γ-GTP），(3)その他：Body Mass Index（BMI），うつ傾向，認知機能，身体機能，高脂血症治療薬の服薬状況である。

血清総コレステロール値は，非空腹時に肘前静脈から真空採血した血液検体を，株式会社ビー・エム・エルに委託し，酵素法によって測定した。血清アルブミン値，高感度CRP，肝機能（ALT・AST・γ-GTP）も同様に，随時採血による血液検体を同株式会社に委託し，血清アルブミン値はブロムクレゾールグリーン法（BCG法）によって，高感度CRPはラテックス免疫比濁法によって，肝機能はJSCC標準化対応法によって測定した。

うつ傾向は，Geriatric depression Scale（GDS）で評価を行った[14]。認知機能検査はMini-Mental State Examination（MMSE）で評価を行った[15]。

身体機能はPhysical function scale of The Medical Outcomes Study（MOS）Short-form General Health Surveyで評価を行った[16]。これらは7段階の身体活動レベルのうち，最高の「強い運動を要する活動ができる」または次の「中等度以上の運動量の活動ができる」と回答した者を身体活動が良好と定義した。

服薬状況は健診当日，健康食品も含めた内服薬を受診者に持参してもらい，1人の薬剤師が内容を評価した。

3.　追跡調査

本研究は，介護保険の新規要介護認定（要支援・要介護）の発生をエンドポイントとした。また，死亡および転出は打ち切り（censored case）とした。介護保険の要支援・要介護認定状況の追跡にあたり，対象者本人に口頭および文書での説明を行い，対象者本人から書面による同意を得た。要介護認定状況の情報は，仙台市と東北大学大学院医学系研究科社会医学講座公衆衛生学分野との調査実施協定に基づき，仙台市に要介護認定の情報の閲覧に関する同意書の写しを提出した上で，平成15年7月1日から平成21年6月30日までの認定年月日と，要介護認定の区分についての情報提供を受けた。

4.　統計解析

総合機能評価の受診者958人のうち，948人が研究に同意した。そのうち，要介護認定に関する情報の閲覧の非同意者24人，すでに要介護認定を受けた者76人，採血の非同意者1人および採血データ欠損者20人を除外した827人（男性396人，女性431人）を解析対象とした。

解析では血清総コレステロール値を5分位（177 mg/dL未満，177–194 mg/dL，195–211 mg/dL，212–230 mg/dL，231 mg/dL以上）に分類し，要介護発生リスクとの関連を検討した。血清総コレステロール値と全死因死亡との間には逆J字型やU字型の関連を示すことが知られているため，第四5分位群を基準（212–230 mg/dL）として，各カテゴリーの要介護認定ハザード比（HR）と95％信頼区間（95％CI）を，Cox比例ハザードモデルで算出した。

調整項目は，性・年齢（連続変量）を調整したモデルをモデル1とした。モデル2は，性・年齢（連続変量），教育歴（最終学歴終了時の年齢；18歳以上，18歳未満，無回答），喫煙状況（現在喫煙者，過去喫煙者，非喫煙者，無回答），飲酒状況（現在飲酒者，過去・非飲酒者，無回答），うつ傾向（GDS；14点以上，14点未満，無回答），認知機能（MMSE；24点以上，24点未満，無回答），身体機能（MOS；0–5点，5点以上，無回答），血清アルブミン値（3.8 g/dL未満，3.8 g/dL以上），BMI（25.0未満，25.0以上），炎症マーカー（高感度CRP；連続変量），高脂血症治療薬服薬（あり，なし），既往歴（脳卒中，骨粗鬆症；あり，なし）を調整した。モデル3はモデル2に加え，肝機能（ALT・AST・γ-GTP；連続変量）や血清総コレステロール値に影響を与えうる既往歴（がん，肝臓病；あり，なし）を調整した多変量調整モデルを用いた。

以上の変数を多変量調整モデルに入れた理由は以下の通りである。年齢が高いこと[17]やBMIが低いこと[18]，喫煙者であること[18]，アルコール摂取量が

多いこと[19]，身体機能が低下すること[20]，炎症[21]やがん[9]，肝疾患[22]があることは血清総コレステロール値が低いことと関連がある。また，高脂血症治療薬は血清総コレステロール値が高い者が服用しており，血清総コレステロール値がコントロールされている可能性がある。なお，炎症，がん，肝疾患，肝機能の指標（ALT・AST・γ-GTP）については，基準外の者を除外し，基準値内の者だけでの解析を実施した。層別化解析に用いた変数（年齢，BMI，喫煙歴，飲酒歴，身体機能，高脂血症治療薬服用）と，除外解析に用いた変数（炎症マーカー低値の者のみ，がん既往歴なしの者のみ，肝臓病既往歴なしの者のみ，肝機能が基準値内の者のみ）のデータが欠損である者については，それぞれの解析で解析対象に含めなかった（たとえば，喫煙歴で層別化する場合に，喫煙歴のデータが欠損である者は，この解析に含まれない）。交互作用の解析は血清総コレステロール5分位群に層別化解析に用いたそれぞれの指標（2カテゴリー）を乗じたもの（cross product term）を多変量調整モデルに追加で投入した。層別化解析と交互作用の解析は，多変量調整モデル（モデル3）を用いた。

血清総コレステロール値全ての解析は，統計解析ソフトSAS Version 9.2（SAS Inc.Cary NC）を用いた。また，$P<0.05$を統計学的有意水準とした。

5. 倫理的配慮

本調査研究は，東北大学大学院医学系研究科倫理委員会の承認を得ている（承認番号：2002-040　平成14年5月20日）。要支援・要介護認定状況の追跡にあたり，「寝たきり予防健診」結果の調査研究の使用への同意，採血により得られた血液試料の調査研究への同意，医療機関の診療記録閲覧への同意について説明し，対象者本人から書面による同意を得た。

Ⅲ 結　　果

1. ベースライン特性の比較

解析対象者の平均年齢（標準偏差）は75.2（4.5）歳であり，70歳から74歳までの者の割合は53.6%であった。ベースライン調査時の血清総コレステロール値の平均値（標準偏差）は204（32.9）mg/dLであり，高脂血症治療薬服用者は165人（解析対象者の20.0%）であった。6年間の追跡調査で，74人（同9.0%）が死亡，13人（同1.6%）が市外に転居

表1　ベースライン調査時の対象者の基本特性

	血清総コレステロール値（mg/dL）				
	<177	177-194	195-211	212-230	231≤
	(n=165)	(n=153)	(n=175)	(n=167)	(n=167)
男性（%）	74.6	58.8	44.6	33.5	29.5
年齢（歳）（平均±標準偏差）	75.8±4.6	74.9±4.2	75.5±4.7	74.9±4.7	74.7±4.0
Body Mass Index（kg/m^2）（平均±標準偏差）	23.3±3.3	24.3±3.4	24.3±3.3	24.6±3.5	24.3±3.1
現在喫煙者	15.3	15.4	6.3	8.5	8.6
現在飲酒者	57.7	50.7	46.3	45.8	34.4
うつ傾向の者（%）[a]	9.2	15.8	12.1	13.2	13.4
認知機能が低下している者（%）[b]	8.0	4.0	3.5	4.2	7.3
ADLが良好な者（%）[c]	61.7	57.5	58.4	55.5	57.4
脳卒中既往者（%）	5.5	1.3	2.9	3.6	3.0
骨粗鬆症既往者（%）	8.5	9.8	14.9	19.8	15.0
がん既往者（%）	15.2	12.4	5.7	6.6	5.4
肝臓病既往者（%）	7.3	5.2	6.9	6.0	5.4
高脂血症治療薬服用者（%）	15.8	23.5	18.9	24.6	17.4
高感度C反応性蛋白（mg/L）（平均±標準偏差）	0.3±0.8	0.2±0.6	0.1±0.2	0.1±0.3	0.1±0.3
Alanine aminotransferase（IU/L）（平均±標準偏差）	18.1±11.8	17.5±8.4	17.2±11.4	17.6±9.8	17.9±9.6
Aspartate aminotransferase（IU/L）（平均±標準偏差）	27.3±13.7	24.5±8.7	24.3±10.3	25.3±12.0	25.5±10.3
Gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase（IU/L）（平均±標準偏差）	38.7±36.7	39.3±46.0	30.9±22.0	33.3±36.8	38.1±59.2

a. Geriatric Depression Scale（GDS）が14点以上の者
b. Mini-Mental State Examination（MMSE）が24点以下の者
c. Physical function scale of The Medical Outcome Study Short-form General Health Survey（MOS）が5点以上の者

した。また要介護認定者の発生数は，214人（同25.9%）であった。

血清総コレステロール値を5分位にした対象者の基本特性を表1に示す。血清総コレステロール値最低5分位群では，男性，現在飲酒者，認知機能が低下している者，ADLが良好な者，脳卒中・がん・肝臓病既往者の割合が多かった。一方で，うつ傾向の者，高脂血症治療薬服用者が少なかった。

2. 血清総コレステロール値と要介護認定リスク

1) 全体の結果

血清総コレステロール値と要介護認定リスクとの関連を表2に示す。212-230 mg/dLを基準とした，全体の多変量調整のモデル3のHR（95%CI）は，177 mg/dL未満群で1.91（1.23-2.98），177-194 mg/dL群で1.36（0.85-2.18），195-211 mg/dL群で0.99（0.62-1.56），231 mg/dL以上群で1.38（0.88-2.17）であり，最低5分位群で有意なリスク上昇を認めた。

なお，要介護認定2以上をアウトカムとした解析も実施したが，結果は全認定区分と本質的に変わらなかった（表データなし）。

2) 男女別の結果

男女別に算出した結果では，男性での多変量調整のモデル3のHR（95%CI）は，177 mg/dL未満群で2.66（1.28-5.49），177-194 mg/dL群で0.91（0.40-2.10），195-211 mg/dL群で0.88（0.34-2.29），231 mg/dL以上群で0.60（0.20-1.81）であった（表2）。女性での多変量調整のモデル3のHR

表2　血清総コレステロール値と要介護認定リスク

	血清総コレステロール値（mg/dL）				
	<177	177-194	195-211	212-230	231≤
全体					
追跡人年	754	761	890	873	843
イベント数	54	40	38	38	44
モデル1ハザード比（95%信頼区間）[a]	1.99(1.31-3.03)	1.55(0.99-2.43)	1.10(0.70-1.73)	1.00(reference)	1.39(0.90-2.16)
モデル2ハザード比（95%信頼区間）[b]	1.94(1.25-3.01)	1.39(0.87-2.22)	0.98(0.62-1.56)	1.00(reference)	1.36(0.87-2.15)
モデル3ハザード比（95%信頼区間）[c]	1.91(1.23-2.98)	1.36(0.85-2.18)	0.99(0.62-1.56)	1.00(reference)	1.38(0.88-2.17)
男性					
追跡人年	578	488	388	306	273
イベント数	38	13	12	8	5
モデル1ハザード比（95%信頼区間）[a]	2.41(1.26-4.63)	0.98(0.45-2.16)	1.06(0.43-2.61)	1.00(reference)	0.68(0.24-1.93)
モデル2ハザード比（95%信頼区間）[b]	2.55(1.26-5.14)	0.93(0.41-2.11)	0.85(0.33-2.18)	1.00(reference)	0.57(0.19-1.70)
モデル3ハザード比（95%信頼区間）[c]	2.66(1.28-5.49)	0.91(0.40-2.10)	0.88(0.34-2.29)	1.00(reference)	0.60(0.20-1.81)
女性					
追跡人年	177	274	502	567	570
イベント数	16	27	26	30	39
モデル1ハザード比（95%信頼区間）[a]	1.32(0.71-2.46)	2.09(1.22-3.59)	1.13(0.67-1.92)	1.00(reference)	1.64(0.99-2.70)
モデル2ハザード比（95%信頼区間）[b]	1.43(0.74-2.77)	1.95(1.09-3.50)	1.11(0.65-1.92)	1.00(reference)	1.87(1.10-3.18)
モデル3ハザード比（95%信頼区間）[c]	1.37(0.70-2.68)	1.90(1.05-3.44)	1.14(0.66-1.97)	1.00(reference)	1.92(1.13-3.27)

a. 性，年齢（連続変量）を調整

b. モデル1に加え，最終学歴（18歳以上，18歳未満，無回答），喫煙状況（現在喫煙者，過去喫煙者，非喫煙者，無回答），飲酒状況（現在飲酒者，過去・非飲酒者，無回答），うつ傾向（Geriatric Depression Scale；14点以上，14点未満，無回答），認知機能（Mini-Mental State Examination；24点以上，24点未満，無回答），身体機能（Physical function scale of The Medical Outcome Study Short-form General Health Survey；0-5点，5点以上，無回答），Body Mass Index（25.0未満，25.0以上），血清アルブミン値（3.8 g/dL未満，3.8 g/dL以上），高感度CRP（連続変量），高脂血症治療薬服用有無，脳卒中既往歴，骨粗鬆症既往歴を調整

c. モデル2に加え，肝機能（Alanine aminotransferase・Aspartate aminotransferase；連続変量），肝臓病既往歴，がん既往歴を調整

表3　項目別の血清総コレステロール値と要介護認定リスク

	血清総コレステロール値（mg/dL）					
	<177	177–194	195–211	212–230	231≤	交互作用のP値[e]
年齢						
75歳未満（n＝443）						
イベント数	6	13	6	14	12	0.10
多変量ハザード比[a]	1.93(0.56–6.72)[d]	3.13(1.12–8.71)	2.20(0.81–5.97)	1.00(reference)	2.18(0.78–6.11)	
75歳以上（n＝384）						
イベント数	48	27	32	24	32	
多変量ハザード比	1.56(0.96–2.53)	0.91(0.52–1.58)	0.57(0.33–1.00)	1.00(reference)	0.98(0.58–1.64)	
Body Mass Index						
25.0 kg/m² 以下（n＝511）						
イベント数	39	22	25	23	24	0.19
多変量ハザード比	1.71(0.98–2.98)	0.96(0.52–1.77)	0.85(0.47–1.52)	1.00(reference)	0.86(0.48–1.53)	
25.0 kg/m² 以上（n＝316）						
イベント数	15	18	13	15	20	
多変量ハザード比	1.69(0.74–3.85)	2.54(1.16–5.53)	1.16(0.52–2.55)	1.00(reference)	1.96(0.89–4.29)	
喫煙歴						
現在・過去喫煙者（n＝351）						
イベント数	34	16	12	11	10	0.17
多変量ハザード比	2.69(1.30–5.58)	0.93(0.42–2.07)	0.69(0.28–1.73)	1.00(reference)	0.93(0.38–2.30)	
非喫煙者（n＝463）						
イベント数	19	23	26	27	33	
多変量ハザード比	1.26(0.66–2.39)	1.59(0.87–2.91)	1.04(0.60–1.81)	1.00(reference)	1.46(0.85–2.51)	
飲酒歴						
現在飲酒者（n＝355）						
イベント数	31	14	11	15	7	0.10
多変量ハザード比	2.92(1.38–6.21)	1.01(0.43–2.32)	1.19(0.52–2.74)	1.00(reference)	0.76(0.28–2.03)	
過去・非飲酒者（n＝401）						
イベント数	17	21	23	17	31	
多変量ハザード比	0.79(0.40–1.54)	0.95(0.51–1.80)	0.71(0.37–1.37)	1.00(reference)	1.11(0.64–1.93)	
身体機能						
低等度（n＝341）						
イベント数	26	30	22	23	24	0.50
多変量ハザード比	1.24(0.67–2.30)	1.35(0.75–2.43)	0.70(0.38–1.30)	1.00(reference)	1.16(0.63–2.14)	
中・高等度（n＝474）						
イベント数	15	5	8	8	9	
多変量ハザード比	3.32(1.67–6.60)	1.02(0.43–2.42)	1.44(0.69–3.04)	1.00(reference)	1.11(0.54–2.26)	
高脂血症治療薬服用						
あり（n＝165）						
イベント数	9	10	9	6	13	0.02
多変量ハザード比	2.20(0.74–6.52)	1.29(0.45–3.73)	0.37(0.11–1.25)	1.00(reference)	1.89(0.66–5.38)	
なし（n＝662）						
イベント数	45	30	29	32	31	
多変量ハザード比	1.75(1.05–2.92)	1.16(0.67–1.99)	1.01(0.60–1.70)	1.00(reference)	0.95(0.56–1.60)	
炎症マーカー低値[b]の者のみ（n＝737）						
イベント数	40	33	34	33	41	
多変量ハザード比	1.63(1.00–2.64)	1.33(0.80–2.19)	0.77(0.47–1.26)	1.00(reference)	1.14(0.71–1.82)	
がん既往歴なしの者のみ（n＝753）						
イベント数	43	35	33	36	41	
多変量ハザード比	1.71(1.06–2.77)	1.33(0.81–2.18)	0.94(0.58–1.54)	1.00(reference)	1.15(0.71–1.85)	
肝臓病既往歴なしの者のみ（n＝776）						
イベント数	53	38	35	37	43	
多変量ハザード比	1.91(1.20–3.02)	1.29(0.80–2.08)	0.90(0.56–1.45)	1.00(reference)	1.19(0.75–1.88)	
肝機能が基準値内[c]の者のみ（n＝645）						
イベント数	39	34	34	32	40	
多変量ハザード比	1.39(0.90–2.16)	0.95(0.60–1.51)	0.72(0.46–1.14)	1.00(reference)	1.09(0.71–1.69)	

a. 多変量ハザード比：性，年齢（連続変量），最終学歴（18歳未満，18歳以上，無回答），喫煙状況（現在喫煙者，過去喫煙者，非喫煙者，無回答），飲酒状況（現在飲酒者，過去・非飲酒者，無回答），うつ傾向（Geriatric Depression Scale；14点以上，14点未満，無回答），認知機能（Mini-Mental State Examination；24点以上，24点未満，無回答），身体機能（Physical function scale of The Medical Outcome Study Short-form General Health Survey；0–5点，5点以上，無回答），Body Mass Index（25.0未満，25.0以上），血清アルブミン値（3.8g/dL未満，3.8 g/dL以上），高感度CRP（連続変量），高脂血症治療薬服用有無，脳卒中既往歴，骨粗鬆症既往歴，肝機能（Alanine aminotransferase・Aspartate aminotransferase；連続変量），肝臓病既往歴，がん既往歴を調整

b. 高感度CRP：0.3 mg/dL以下

c. 基準値：ALT（6–43 IU/L），AST（11–33 IU/L），γ-GTP（10–50 IU/L）

d. ハザード比（95%信頼区間）

e. 血清総コレステロールカテゴリーと表中左に示す層別化解析に用いたそれぞれの指標（2カテゴリー）を乗じて算出した項目

(95%CI)は，177 mg/dL未満群で1.37(0.70–2.68)，177–194 mg/dL群で1.90（1.05–3.44），195–211 mg/dL群で1.14（0.66–1.97），231 mg/dL以上群で1.92（1.13–3.27）であった。すなわち，血清総コレステロール値最低5分位群のHRは，男性では2.66（1.28–5.49），女性では1.37（0.70–2.68）と，男女とも血清総コレステロール低値でリスクが上昇していた。血清総コレステロール値最高5分位群は男性で0.60（0.20–1.81），女性で1.92（1.13–3.27）であり，モデル3に血清総コレステロールカテゴリーと性別をかけあわせた交互作用項を追加した結果は有意な交互作用を認め，男女で異なる結果であった（交互作用のP値＝0.02）。

3）　層別化解析の結果

本研究では結果に影響を及ぼす可能性のある因子として年齢，BMI，喫煙歴，飲酒歴，身体機能，高脂血症治療薬服薬の有無で層別化を行った（表3）。どの因子も最低5分位群でリスク上昇を認めた。血清総コレステロールカテゴリーにこれらの変数を掛けあわせた交互作用項を追加したモデル3の結果において，高脂血症治療薬服薬は有意な交互作用を認め，あり群で高値の点推定値が高かったが，高脂血症治療薬服薬以外の因子では，有意な交互作用を認めなかった。

高感度CRP高値の者，既往歴（肝臓病・がん）ありの者を除外した場合でも，血清総コレステロール最低5分位群では有意なリスクの上昇を示した。肝機能の指標（ALT・AST・γ-GTP）が基準値外の者を除外した解析では，血清総コレステロール最低5分位群で有意なリスクの上昇を認めなかったが，1.43（0.97–2.12）と同様にリスクが高い傾向にあった。

Ⅳ　考　　察

本研究は，日本人の地域在住高齢者を対象とした前向きコホート研究において，血清総コレステロール値と要介護認定リスクとの関連について検討した。その結果，血清総コレステロール低値（最低5分位群；177 mg/dL未満）で有意なリスク上昇を示した。

1.　先行研究との比較

血清総コレステロール値と要介護状態との関連を研究している先行研究3件のうち2件は，血清総コレステロール値を連続変量で検討していたが，血清総コレステロール値と要介護認定との間にU字型や逆J字型を示すかの検証をしていなかった[11,13]。本研究では5分位にて検討を行ったところ，血清総コレステロール値と要介護認定リスクとの関連は，死亡をアウトカムとした先行研究と同様に，血清総コレステロール低値（最低5分位群；177 mg/dL未満）で有意な要介護のリスク上昇がみられた。また有意ではないものの，高値（最高5分位群；231 mg/dL以上）において点推定値が1より高く，リスク上昇の傾向を認めた。そのため，要介護認定をアウトカムとした研究においても，連続変量ではない検討が必要であると考えられる。また，他の1件の研究では，1989年と1993年の両方の基本健診受診者を対象とした結果，血清総コレステロール低値は要介護状態のリスクを高める傾向にあったものの有意な関連ではなく，例数を増やす必要があると報告している[12]。これに対し本研究の方がイベント発生数が多く，統計学的な検出力が高かったために，有意な関連をみとめたと考えられる。

2.　交絡要因を検討した結果

本研究は血清総コレステロール値に対する様々な交絡要因を検討した。炎症マーカーである高感度CRPは血清総コレステロールと関連し，負の相関があることが知られている[21]。また，炎症は高齢者の要介護状態の要因であることが知られているため[23]，高感度CRPを調整して検討する必要があることが考えられた。しかし，高感度CRPが高い者を除外し検討を行っても，血清総コレステロール低値での要介護認定リスクは高い傾向にあった。

さらに血清総コレステロール低値は，がんや肝臓病との関連が知られている[9,22]。血清総コレステロール値と全死因死亡との関連を検討した国内の研究では，肝臓病既往者と5年以内の早期死亡者を除外したところ，160 mg/dL未満のリスク上昇が減弱し，関連はみられなかった[24]。そのため，本研究でもがん既往歴ありの者，肝臓病既往ありの者・肝機能（ALT・AST・γ-GTP）が基準外であった者を除外した解析を行った。その結果，血清総コレステロール値が低い者でリスクが上昇した。

3.　本研究の長所・限界

本研究の長所として挙げられる点は，第1に地域在住の高齢者を対象としており，対象者の市外転居による観察期間中の追跡不能者が2%未満であった点である。第2に，考えられる様々な交絡因子を調整しており，肝臓病・肝機能やがん等をはじめ身体的要因，社会的要因，生活習慣を幅広く考慮している点である。

本研究の限界として挙げられる点は，第1に要介護認定を受けた理由が不明な点である。そのため，血清総コレステロール低値によって要介護認定に至ったメカニズムは不明であった。本研究結果では，血清総コレステロール値と要介護認定との関連は男

女で異なっていた。これは男女で要介護に至る原因が異なることが関連していると考えられる。平成22年の「国民生活基礎調査」によると介護が必要となった主な原因は，65歳以上の男性において脳血管疾患が全体の31.2％を占めるが，65歳以上の女性では，関節疾患と転倒・骨折が合わせて26.4％を占めている[25]。しかし，本研究では要介護状態に至った理由は不明であるため男女差の理由を明らかにすることができなかった。

第2に本研究の「寝たきり予防健診」の参加率は32.8％であり，健診対象者の健康状態は比較的良好で，よりリスクの高い者が解析対象に含まれていないことが考えられる点である。そのため血清総コレステロール高値の者が多く含まれておらず，影響を十分評価できていない可能性がある。

第3に本研究は要介護認定をエンドポイントとしているが，実際は要介護状態であっても認定調査を受けていないためにエンドポイントに誤分類が生じている可能性がある。しかし，血清総コレステロール値と誤分類（認定を申請しない）との間に明確な関連があると想定し難いので，この誤分類は非系統的なものであり誤分類の割合に偏りのないnon-differential misclassificationと考えられることから，結果を本質的に歪める可能性は低いと考えられる。

V　結　　論

本研究は，地域在住高齢者を対象とした前向きコホート研究において，血清総コレステロール低値と要介護認定リスクとの間に有意なリスク上昇がみられた。今後血清総コレステロール値が，要介護認定リスクの高い高齢者を抽出するためのマーカーとなることが期待される。

（受付　2012. 1.26）
（採用　2013. 5.13）

文　　献

1) 東口みづか，中谷直樹，大森　芳，他．低栄養と介護保険認定・死亡リスクに関するコホート研究：鶴ヶ谷プロジェクト．日本公衆衛生雑誌 2008; 55(7): 433–439.
2) Mühlberg W, Sieber C. Sarcopenia and frailty in geriatric patients: implications for training and prevention. Z Gerontol Geriatr 2004; 37(1): 2–8.
3) 「介護予防マニュアル」分担研究班（研究班長　杉山みち子）．栄養改善マニュアル（改訂版）．2009. http://www.mhlw.go.jp/topics/2009/05/dl/tp0501-1e.pdf(2013年2月25日アクセス可能)
4) Jensen GL, Kita K, Fish J, et al. Nutrition risk screening characteristics of rural older persons: relation to functional limitations and health care charges. Am J Clin Nutr 1997; 66(4): 819–828.
5) Cai J, Pajak A, Li Y, et al. Total cholesterol and mortality in China, Poland, Russia, and the US. Ann Epidemiol 2004; 14(6): 399–408.
6) D'Agostino RB, Belanger AJ, Kannel WB, et al. Role of smoking in the U-shaped relation of cholesterol to mortality in men. The Framingham Study. Am J Epidemiol 1995; 141(9): 822–827.
7) Iribarren C, Sharp D, Burchfiel CM, et al. Association of serum total cholesterol with coronary disease and all-cause mortality: multivariate correction for bias due to measurement error. Am J Epidemiol 1996; 143(5): 463–471.
8) Tuikkala P, Hartikainen S, Korhonen MJ, et al. Serum total cholesterol levels and all-cause mortality in a home-dwelling elderly population: a six-year follow-up. Scand J Prim Health Care 2010; 28(2): 121–127.
9) Nago N, Ishikawa S, Goto T, et al. Low cholesterol is associated with mortality from stroke, heart disease, and cancer: the Jichi Medical School Cohort Study. J Epidemiol 2011; 21(1): 67–74.
10) Upmeier E, Lavonius S, Lehtonen A, et al. Serum lipids and their association with mortality in the elderly: a prospective cohort study. Aging Clin Exp Res 2009; 21(6): 424–430.
11) 板井一好，大澤正樹，丹野高三，他．岩手県北コホート研究の登録時横断解析結果ならびに初期追跡調査結果：介護認定，脳卒中発症登録に着目した解析結果．岩手公衆衛生学会誌 2006; 18(2): 25–41.
12) 郷木義子，畝　博．長期要介護のリスク要因に関する疫学研究：基本健康診査受診者の追跡調査から．日本公衆衛生雑誌 2005; 52(3): 226–234.
13) 横川博英，安村誠司，丹野高三，他．閉じこもりと要介護発生との関連についての検討．日本老年医学会雑誌 2009; 46(5): 447–457.
14) Brink TL, Yesavage JA, Lum O, et al. Screening tests for geriatric depression. Clin Gerontol 1982; 1(1): 37–43.
15) Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res 1975; 12(3): 189–198.
16) Stewart AL, Hays RD, Ware JE Jr. The MOS short-form general health survey. Reliability and validity in a patient population. Med Care 1988; 26(7): 724–735.
17) Newson RS, Felix JF, Heeringa J, et al. Association between serum cholesterol and noncardiovascular mortality in older age. J Am Geriatr Soc 2011; 59(10): 1779–1785.
18) Casiglia E, Mazza A, Tikhonoff V, et al. Total cholesterol and mortality in the elderly. J Intern Med 2003; 254(4): 353–362.
19) Kato I, Kiyohara Y, Kubo M, et al. Insulin-mediated effects of alcohol intake on serum lipid levels in a general

population: the Hisayama Study. J Clin Epidemiol 2003; 56(2): 196–204.

20) Schalk BW, Visser M, Deeg DJ, et al. Lower levels of serum albumin and total cholesterol and future decline in functional performance in older persons: the Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam. Age Ageing 2004; 33(3): 266–272.

21) Hrnciarikova D, Hyspler R, Vyroubal P, et al. Serum lipids and neopterin in urine as new biomarkers of malnutrition and inflammation in the elderly. Nutrition 2009; 25(3): 303–308.

22) Chen Z, Peto R, Collins R, et al. Serum cholesterol concentration and coronary heart disease in population with low cholesterol concentrations. BMJ 1991; 303 (6797): 276–282.

23) Kanapuru B, Ershler WB. Inflammation, coagulation, and the pathway to frailty. Am J Med 2009; 122(7): 605–613.

24) Okamura T, Tanaka H, Miyamatsu N, et al. The relationship between serum total cholesterol and all-cause or cause-specific mortality in a 17.3-year study of a Japanese cohort. Atherosclerosis 2007; 190(1): 216–223.

25) 厚生労働省．平成22年国民生活基礎調査 介護（第2巻・第2章）介護を要する者数，介護が必要となった主な原因・通院の有無・性・年齢階級別（第15表）．2012. http://www.e-stat.go.jp/SG1/estat/GL08020103.do?_toGL08020103_&listID=000001083953&requestSender=dsearch（2013年2月25日アクセス可能）

Serum total cholesterol levels and eligibility for long-term care insurance
A prospective cohort study of the Tsurugaya Project

Rena HOSHI*, Yasutake TOMATA*, Masako KAKIZAKI*, Toru TSUBOYA*,
Masato NAGAI*, Ikue WATANABE*, Atsushi HOZAWA[2*,3*] and Ichiro TSUJI*

Key words : serum total cholesterol, certification for long-term care insurance, community-dwelling elderly individuals, marker

Objectives The purpose of this study was to examine the relationship between serum total cholesterol levels and certification eligibility for long-term care insurance in elderly Japanese individuals.

Methods The Tsurugaya Project was a comprehensive geriatric assessment conducted for community-dwelling elderly individuals aged ≥70 years in the Tsurugaya area, Sendai, Japan. Of the 2,925 inhabitants, 958 subjects participated in the Tsurugaya Project. For this analysis, we used 827 subjects who gave informed consent and were not qualified for long-term care insurance at the time of the baseline survey. Subjects were followed up for 6 years. We classified the subjects into 4 quintiles and used the fourth quintile (212–230 mg/dL) as a reference for statistical analysis. We used Cox proportional hazards model to estimate the hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of certification eligibility for long-term care insurance according to total cholesterol levels in serum.

Results During 6 years of follow-up, a total of 214 subjects were qualified for long-term care insurance certification. The lowest serum total cholesterol level (<177 mg/dL) was significantly associated with increased eligibility for long-term care insurance certification. Compared with the fourth quintile, multivariate HRs (95%CIs) of long-term care insurance certification were 1.91 (1.23–2.98), 1.36 (0.85–2.18), 0.99 (0.62–1.56), 1.38 (0.88–2.17), for <177 mg/dL, 177–194 mg/dL, 195–211 mg/dL, and ≤231 mg/dL, respectively. Moreover, the association was statistically significant even after excluding subjects with a history of liver disease or cancer, an abnormality in the liver function test, or high levels of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein.

Conclusion Low serum total cholesterol levels were significantly associated with increased eligibility for long-term care insurance certification even after adjusting for a variety of confounding factors.

* Division of Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Forensic Medicine, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, Sendai, Japan.
2* Department of Public Health, Yamagata University Graduate School of Medical Science, Yamagata, Japan.
3* Department of Preventive Medicine and Epidemiology, Tohoku Medical Megabank Organization, Tohoku University, Tohoku, Japan.

Geriatrics Gerontology International — Medline Indexed

Geriatr Gerontol Int 2015

ORIGINAL ARTICLE: EPIDEMIOLOGY, CLINICAL PRACTICE AND HEALTH

Association between the disability prevention program "Secondary Preventive Services" and disability incidence among the elderly population: A nationwide longitudinal comparison of Japanese municipalities

Yasutake Tomata,[1] Toshimasa Sone,[1,2] Wan-Ting Chou,[1] Toru Tsuboya,[3,4] Takashi Watanabe,[1] Masako Kakizaki[1] and Ichiro Tsuji[1]

[1]Division of Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Forensic Medicine, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, [2]Department of Rehabilitation, Faculty of Health Science, Tohoku Fukushi University, [4]Department of International and Community Oral Health, Tohoku University Graduate School of Dentistry, Sendai, Japan; and [3]Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Aim: The aim of the present ecological study was to evaluate the relationship between the rate of participation in Secondary Preventive Services (SPS) and the incidence of disability in Japanese municipalities.

Methods: We used the national statistics data for Long-term Care Insurance (LTCI), because all Japanese people aged ≥65 years are eligible for LTCI services depending on their functional status assessed by a national uniform standard in all municipalities. The disability incidence rate for the 2-year period in 2009–2010 was compared among five different levels of SPS participation in 2006–2008. The primary outcome was the sum total disability incidence rate in LTCI from 2009 to 2010. The outcome was divided according to disability level into three patterns: "all levels (Support Level 1 – Care Level 5)", "mild disability (Care Level ≤1)" and "moderate to severe disability (Care Level ≥2)".

Results: There was a significant inverse association between the SPS participation rate and disability incidence rate. Among 1541 municipalities, those in the highest SPS participation rate quintile (≥9.79 per 1000 elderly population) had a lower disability incidence rate for all levels than those in the lowest quintile (<1.86 per 1000 elderly population; absolute rate difference 0.6%; age-adjusted incident rate ratio 0.94; 95% CI 0.89–0.99). This inverse association was observed for mild disability and not for moderate to severe disability.

Conclusions: Municipalities with a higher SPS participation rate have a lower incidence rate of mild disability. SPS could be an effective health policy for containing mild disability incidence among the elderly. **Geriatr Gerontol Int 2015; ••: ••–••.**

Keywords: disability, disability prevention program, Japan, municipality.

Introduction

With the aging of the population, a rapid increase in elderly individuals with disability is becoming a large burden on social security systems worldwide.[1] In order to take care of those who require personal care, the Japanese government established the Long-term Care Insurance (LTCI) program in 2000.[2] In this system, everyone aged ≥40 years is required to pay premiums, and everyone aged ≥65 years is eligible to utilize benefits, such as hiring home help or living at a nursing home, if he/she is certified as "disabled".

The number of disabled people certified for insurance benefit in Japan increased from 2.2 million persons in 2000 to 4.1 million persons in 2005.[3] The annual total expense for LTCI in 2005 was £33.8 billion (¥6.8 trillion), and increased by 89% from 2000, which was roughly 20% higher than originally forecast.[2,3] This rapid increase could threaten the sustainability of the LTCI system.

In order to blunt the increase in the LTCI-certified disabled elderly and the consequent expenditure, the

Accepted for publication 30 October 2014.

Correspondence: Dr Yasutake Tomata PhD, Division of Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Forensic Medicine, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine 2-1, Seiryo-machi, Aoba-ku, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8575, Japan. Email: y-tomata@med.tohoku.ac.jp

Japanese government in 2006 added the Disability Prevention Program (DPP) to the LTCI system, with the aim of preventing or postponing LTCI disability certification.[4–6] DPP consists of two steps: (i) screening of frail elderly in the community; and (ii) providing the frail elderly with Secondary Preventive Services (SPS) including physical exercise, oral care and nutritional guidance.

Long-term Care Insurance Act mandates all municipalities to implement DPP.[6] However, the participation rate, which is defined as the rate at which the elderly participate in SPS, varies among municipalities for reasons such as differences in available budget or personnel or differences in popularity for such services among the elderly.[7]

If SPS are effective in preventing or postponing the onset of disability, there would be an inverse relationship between the SPS participation rate and disability incidence rate (LTCI certification rate) among the municipalities in Japan. In other words, our hypothesis is that the disability incidence rate would be lower in municipalities with a higher SPS participation rate.

One cross-sectional study has reported that the rate of SPS participation was inversely associated with the prevalence of mild disability (LTCI certification grade of "care level 1" or less) in 26 municipalities in the Tokyo metropolitan area.[8]

The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the relationship between SPS participation rate and disability incidence rate in all municipalities throughout Japan. Accordingly, we analyzed the relationship between the average SPS participation rate and the disability incidence rate.

Methods

Study design

The authors carried out an ecological study, using the national statistics data for LTCI of Japan.

LTCI in Japan

Each municipality manages LTCI systems as an insurer. When a person applies to the municipal governments for benefits, a care manager visits their home and assesses the degree of functional disability using a standardized questionnaire developed by the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare.[9] Then, the municipal governments decide whether or not the applicant is eligible for LTCI benefits (certification). If the person is judged to be eligible, the Municipal Certification Committee classifies that the person as requiring one of seven levels of support; that is Support Level 1 or 2, and Care Level 1–5. As a typical case, LTCI certification levels are defined as follows: Support Level 1 represents moderate limitation in instrumental activities of daily living (ADL), Care Level 2 means assistance is required in at least one basic ADL task, whereas Care Level 5 means care is required in all ADL tasks.[10] A community-based study has shown that levels of LTCI certification are well correlated with the ability to carry out ADL, and with Mini-Mental State Examination scores.[11] A prospective study has also showed that levels of LTCI certification are significantly associated with mortality risk.[12] Several epidemiological studies in Japan have used LTCI certification as a measure of incident functional disability in the elderly.[13–15]

Participants

All the insurers of the LTCI system as of 31 March 2010 ($n = 1602$) were defined as the study participants. Although, in general, each municipality functions as a LTCI insurer in most cases, some small towns have established a union covering a wide area ($n = 39$). Because most municipalities become insurers in the LTCI system, the term "municipalities" was used in the present study as an alternative term for "insurer" in the LTCI system.

Figure 1 shows the process used for selection of the subjects analyzed. From 2006 through 2010, the number of municipalities that separate from the wide area union was four areas. We organized to make a state of the wide area union before the separation, making the total number of municipalities 1599. Among these 1599 municipalities, we excluded 16 for which data were not available (because of the Great East Japan Earthquake on 11 March 2011, or other reasons), 12 for which SPS data were missing and 30 that represented outliers of the primary outcome measure (≤1 percentile and ≥99 percentile). Therefore, the participants for analysis were 1541 municipalities.

Data sources

We used the Survey of Disability Prevention Program, issued by the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare of Japan and published in connection with the LTCI system. This provided the data for the numbers of the older population by age category (65–69 years, 70–74 years, 75–79 years, 80–84 years, ≥85 years), the number of SPS participants in fiscal year (FY) 2006–2008 (2006 April to 2009 March), and the number of persons who were newly certified as having disability for LTCI in FY 2009–2010.[16] With these data, we calculated the SPS participation rate and disability incidence rate.

Parameters

SPS participation rate (exposure measure)

The SPS participation rate (%) in each year (FY 2006–2008) was defined as "annual number of SPS



Figure 1 Flow chart of study participants. *Insurers of Long-Term Care Insurance system in Japan.

users/number of older population aged ≥65 years on 31 March," this date being the last day of the fiscal year in Japan.

Disability incidence rate (outcome measure)

Functional disability was based on disability certification in the LTCI system in Japan, which uses a nationally uniform standard of functional disability.

The annual number of incident cases of disability was used as the outcome parameter.

Statistical analysis

Because numbers 1 through 3 in the original dataset of FY 2009 and FY 2010 were input with "*" as an expression of "a few", "*" was imputed as 2 in the present study.

To evaluate the relationship between the rate of participation in SPS and the disability incidence rate, we used Poisson regression analysis. The mean SPS participation rate for 2006–2008 was used as an exposure measure. Outcome was divided according to disability level into three patterns: "all levels (Support Level 1 – Care Level 5)," "mild disability (Care Level ≤1)" and "moderate to severe disability (Care Level ≥2)." The primary outcome was the sum total of all levels of disability incidence from 2009 to 2010. The main analysis was defined as a comparison of the disability incidence rate between the five SPS participation rate groups in 2006–2008 ("<1.86," "1.86–3.48," "3.49–5.61," "5.62–9.78" and "≥9.79" by quintile) with Poisson regression analysis offset by the log of the population aged ≥65 years in 2009.

Because the age structure of the population varies according to municipalities, we adjusted the proportions of the age groups (65–69, 70–74, 75–79, 80–84, ≥85 years per population aged ≥65 years) in 2009.

Stratified analysis of population size by tertile groups (older population aged ≥65 years in 2006) was also carried out as sensitivity analysis. Interactions between the SPS participation rate and the older population were tested through addition of cross-product terms to the multivariate model.

All data were analyzed by using IBM SPSS statistics software version 20 (IBM Software Group, Chicago, IL, USA). All statistical tests described here were two-sided, and differences at $P < 0.05$ were accepted as significant.

Ethical issues

The ethics committee of Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine (Sendai, Japan) reviewed and approved the study protocol. Because the present study used the public statistics data for municipalities, personal consent was not necessary.

Results

Basic characteristics

Table 1 shows the basic characteristics of the five SPS participation rate groups. Municipalities with higher SPS participation rates had lower total populations and older populations, and a higher proportion of individuals aged 80–84 years and ≥85 years. Disability prevalence (proportion of disabled persons per elderly population aged ≥65 years at the end of FY 2009) was significantly different in an intergroup comparison, but it was not a dose–response relationship.

Among the various SPS activities, ambulatory-type "Exercise" accounted for the highest proportion (more than 40% of SPS activities). Municipalities with higher SPS participation rates had lower proportions of ambulatory-type "Exercise" and higher proportions of "Others," and "Homeboundness prevention," "Dementia prevention" and "Depression prevention" for home-visit-type activities.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics (n = 1541 municipalities)

	Quintiles of Secondary Preventive Services participation rate†					P‡
	Q1 (<1.86)	Q2 (1.86–3.48)	Q3 (3.49–5.61)	Q4 (5.62–9.78)	Q5 (9.79≤)	
n	308	308	308	309	308	
Mean demographics data in 2009§						
Total population	134,117	101,582	84,716	48,687	21,469	<0.001
No. older population§	29,447	22,173	18,941	11,811	5,609	<0.001
Proportion per older population (%)§						
65–69 years	27.1	26.9	26.8	24.8	23.0	<0.001
70–74 years	23.3	23.2	22.9	22.2	21.7	<0.001
75–79 years	20.6	20.6	20.6	21.1	21.4	<0.001
80–84 years	15.4	15.7	15.7	16.8	17.6	<0.001
≥85 years	13.5	13.6	14.0	15.1	16.2	<0.001
Disability prevalence in 2009 (%)¶	16.9	16.3	16.2	16.7	16.9	0.008
Mean Secondary Preventive Services participation (‰)						
2006	0.4	1.1	2.0	3.9	10.8	<0.001
2007	1.0	2.7	4.9	8.3	21.3	<0.001
2008	1.1	3.2	5.3	8.5	22.9	<0.001
Mean of 2006–2008	0.9	2.7	4.5	7.4	19.9	
Percentage breakdown of SPS in 2008 (%)††						
Ambulatory type	92.0	92.7	92.2	92.6	82.1	
Exercise	54.5	53.0	47.5	48.0	41.0	<0.001
Nutrition	1.4	1.8	1.9	2.1	1.9	0.473
Oral	11.3	12.9	13.2	12.3	8.9	0.017
Exercise + Nutrition	1.7	0.8	1.4	1.0	1.4	0.730
Exercise + Oral	4.4	4.9	4.2	6.8	6.0	0.344
Nutrition + Oral	2.4	3.2	2.6	1.9	2.2	0.505
All complex	10.8	11.7	13.8	10.6	9.9	0.472
Others	5.6	4.4	7.5	9.8	10.8	<0.001
Home-visit type	8.0	7.3	7.8	7.4	17.9	
Exercise	1.1	1.0	1.7	1.6	3.9	<0.001
Nutrition (except meal delivery)	0.8	0.7	1.0	0.9	1.6	0.034
Nutrition (meal delivery)	0.9	1.6	0.7	0.6	1.2	0.335
Oral	1.0	1.5	1.4	1.1	2.0	0.149
Homeboundness prevention	1.4	0.9	0.8	1.0	3.1	<0.001
Dementia prevention	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.7	2.4	<0.001
Depression prevention	1.1	0.5	1.1	1.3	2.8	<0.001
Others	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.9	0.330

†Quintile of mean participation rate (‰) of Secondary Preventive Services in 2006–2008. ‡One-way ANOVA. §Older population was population aged ≥65 years. ¶Proportion of disabled persons per elderly population aged ≥65 years at the end of FY 2009. ††Analysis except 82 municipalities where number of SPS participation was 0.

Table 2 Relationship between participation rate of Secondary Preventive Services and disability incidence rate

Quintiles of Secondary Preventive Services participation rate (‰)†	Disability incidence by years 2009‡ Rate	IRR (95% CI)	2010¶ Rate	IRR (95% CI)	Sum of 2009–2010‡ Rate	IRR (95% CI)
All level disability						
Q1 (<1.86)	4.2%	1.00 (Reference)	4.6%	1.00 (Reference)	8.8%	1.00 (Reference)
Q2 (1.86–3.48)	4.1%	0.98 (0.92–1.04)	4.6%	0.99 (0.93–1.05)	8.7%	0.99 (0.94–1.04)
Q3 (3.49–5.61)	4.1%	1.00 (0.92–1.08)	4.5%	0.96 (0.88–1.05)	8.6%	0.98 (0.91–1.06)
Q4 (5.62–9.78)	4.0%	0.95 (0.90–1.00)	4.4%	0.96 (0.91–1.01)	8.4%	0.95 (0.91–0.996)
Q5 (≥9.79)	3.9%	0.94 (0.88–1.01)	4.3%	0.93 (0.87–0.98)	8.2%	0.94 (0.89–0.99)
Mild disability§						
Q1 (<1.86)	2.6%	1.00 (Reference)	2.9%	1.00 (Reference)	5.5%	1.00 (Reference)
Q2 (1.86–3.48)	2.5%	0.95 (0.88–1.02)	2.8%	0.97 (0.91–1.04)	5.3%	0.96 (0.91–1.02)
Q3 (3.49–5.61)	2.6%	1.01 (0.90–1.12)	2.8%	0.97 (0.86–1.08)	5.4%	0.98 (0.89–1.09)
Q4 (5.62–9.78)	2.4%	0.93 (0.86–1.00)	2.8%	0.95 (0.89–1.01)	5.2%	0.94 (0.88–1.00)
Q5 (≥9.79)	2.3%	0.88 (0.82–0.95)	2.5%	0.87 (0.81–0.94)	4.9%	0.88 (0.82–0.94)
Moderate to severe disability††						
Q1 (<1.86)	1.5%	1.00 (Reference)	1.7%	1.00 (Reference)	3.3%	1.00 (Reference)
Q2 (1.86–3.48)	1.6%	1.03 (0.94–1.12)	1.8%	1.03 (0.94–1.12)	3.3%	1.03 (0.95–1.11)
Q3 (3.49–5.61)	1.5%	0.99 (0.93–1.05)	1.7%	0.96 (0.88–1.04)	3.2%	0.97 (0.91–1.03)
Q4 (5.62–9.78)	1.5%	0.99 (0.93–1.05)	1.7%	0.98 (0.91–1.05)	3.2%	0.98 (0.92–1.04)
Q5 (≥9.79)	1.6%	1.05 (0.96–1.15)	1.7%	1.01 (0.94–1.10)	3.4%	1.03 (0.96–1.11)

†Quintile of mean participation rate of Secondary Preventive Services in 2006–2008. ‡Disability incidence rate and incident rate ratio (95% confidence interval) were adjusted for proportion of age-group (65–69, 70–74, 75–79, 80–84, ≥85 years per population aged ≥65 years in 2009) and offset by log of the population aged ≥65 years in 2009. ¶The adjustment items and the offset variable as above described‡ in 2010 were used. §Care Level ≤1 on disability certification criteria of Long-term Care Insurance system. ††Care Level ≥2 on disability certification criteria of Long-term Care Insurance system.

SPS and disability incidence rate

Table 2 shows the relationship between the SPS participation rate in 2006–2008, and disability incidence rate in 2009, 2010 and 2009–2010. After adjustment for age structure, the disability incidence rate at all levels in the highest quintile of the SPS participation rate (≥9.79) was lower than those in the lowest quintile (<1.86) in each year. For the period 2009–2010, the absolute rate difference was 0.6% and the age-adjusted incident rate ratio (95% CI) was 0.94 (0.89–0.99). When outcome variables were stratified by disability level, "mild disability" was significantly related to the SPS participation rate, and the age-adjusted incident rate ratio (95% CI) in the highest quintile was 0.88 (0.82–0.94). However, "moderate to severe disability" was not significantly related to the SPS participation rate.

Table 3 shows the relationship between the SPS participation rate and rate of mild-level disability incidence according to population size (tertile category). The disability incidence rate was significantly lower in groups with a higher SPS participation rate, except for the stratum representing the biggest population. This relationship did not differ significantly among populations of different sizes ($P = 0.744$ for interaction).

Discussion

The present results showed that the SPS participation rate for 2006–2008 was inversely associated with the disability incidence rate in the period from 2009 to 2010. The incidence of mild disability was particularly low among municipalities with a higher SPS participation rate. This supports our hypothesis that SPS would be effective for preventing or postponing the onset of disability.

The SPS participation rate was significantly lower in older populations (Table 1). Population size might be associated with the availability of LTCI services, medical services and the other types of support, because municipal performance might differ according to population size. Thus, population size might affect the disability incidence rate. However, the present results were not altered after stratifying for the size of the older population, although the relationship in the largest population group was not significant (Table 3).

An association between the SPS participation rate and disability incidence rate was observed only for mild disability (Care Level ≤1). This association would seem to be reasonable when considering the time-course of the occurrence and progression of disability in terms of

Table 3 Sensitivity analyses: the relationship of mild disability incidence rate according to the older population

	n	Rate†	IRR (95% CI)†	*P*-interaction
Older population ≤3953 (*n* = 514)				
Q1 (<1.86)‡	79	5.0%	1.00 (Reference)	0.744
Q2 (1.86–3.48)	48	4.6%	0.91 (0.83–1.00)	
Q3 (3.49–5.61)	76	4.8%	0.94 (0.88–1.02)	
Q4 (5.62–9.78)	111	4.6%	0.91 (0.84–0.98)	
Q5 (≥9.79)	200	4.6%	0.91 (0.85–0.97)	
Older population =3954–12 540 (*n* = 514)				
Q1 (<1.86)‡	87	5.0%	1.00 (Reference)	
Q2 (1.86–3.48)	111	4.7%	0.93 (0.87–1.00)	
Q3 (3.49–5.61)	120	4.7%	0.94 (0.88–1.01)	
Q4 (5.62–9.78)	121	4.8%	0.96 (0.90–1.02)	
Q5 (≥9.79)	75	4.6%	0.91 (0.83–0.99)	
Older population ≥12 541 (*n* = 513)				
Q1 (<1.86)‡	142	5.5%	1.00 (Reference)	
Q2 (1.86–3.48)	149	5.3%	0.97 (0.91–1.04)	
Q3 (3.49–5.61)	112	5.5%	1.00 (0.89–1.13)	
Q4 (5.62–9.78)	77	5.2%	0.95 (0.88–1.03)	
Q5 (≥9.79)	33	5.0%	0.92 (0.84–1.01)	

†Disability incidence rate (mild disability) and incident rate ratio (95% confidence interval) were the same model in Table 2 (sum of 2009–2010). ‡Quintile of mean participation rate (‰) of Secondary Preventive Services in 2006–2008.

LTCI certification. According to the "SPS Report for 2006," 7.7% of all SPS users who were originally independent at the beginning of FY2006 eventually became certified for LTCI disability in the same FY.[17] Of those, 78.8% were certified for mild disability (Care Level ≤1). Another longitudinal observation showed that just 6.8% of the elderly with mild disability (Support Level) changed classification to moderate to severe disability (Care Level ≥2) for 1 year.[18] These observations suggest that the effect of SPS would be limited to decreasing the incidence of mild disability among SPS users in the short term, and that it would take as long as 5 years until the effect of SPS on the incidence of moderate to severe disability could be examined (Care Level ≥2). These results are consistent with our finding that an association between the SPS participation rate and disability incidence rate was evident only for mild disability.

Additionally, a different distribution of cause of disability according to the disability levels might also contribute this association. The most common cause of mild disability is musculoskeletal disorders (articular disease, fracture and frailty), but the most common cause of moderate to severe disability is stroke.[19] Because SPS is comprised of the intervention, such as physical exercise, which would be especially effective in the musculoskeletal disorder, the result for mild disability might be reasonable evidence. In fact, two quasi-experimental studies have reported that participants in physical exercise intervention have lower rates of incident disability (LTCI disability) than non-participants.[20,21]

First, we were unable to fully rule out the possibility of confounding by personal and local characteristics, because this was an ecological study. In fact, the relationship in the largest population group was not significant (Table 3). Therefore, the present study might also have not been completely free from confounding by municipal characteristics. However, because the study was not cross-sectional, temporality was secured and reverse causation would have been less likely to occur. Although a randomized trial of the SPS system would be ideal, this would be difficult in Japan, as the SPS system has already been introduced all over Japan at the same time.

Second, the present study was unable to adequately examine the shift to moderate to severe disability from non-disability, as the period of outcome observation was just 2 years. Therefore, a long-term study to examine disability including moderate to severe levels as an outcome will be required.

Third, SPS have not yet become sufficiently widespread. In the present study, the highest group of SPS participation rate was just ≥0.979% (this group representing 20.0% of all municipalities). Despite the fact that the Japanese government has aimed for a SPS participation rate of 5% among the aged population, just 10 municipalities (0.6%) have achieved a mean participation rate of ≥5% for 2006–2008.[3] If the SPS participation rate were to become higher in many municipalities, a contained relationship might emerge.

After the introduction of SPS (2006), although Japan's LTCI expenditure per person aged 75 years or

older plateaued, the absolute number of total enrolments and expenditure increased.[2] Because of the increase in the elderly population in Japan, preventive measures would be more important.

In conclusion, municipalities with a higher SPS participation rate have a lower rate of incidence of mild disability. SPS might be an effective health policy for containing the incidence of mild disability among the elderly.

Acknowledgments

We thank Yoshiko Nakata, Yumi Tamura, Fukuko Kano and Yukiko Asano for their technical assistance.

This work was supported by Health Sciences Research grants (H24-Choju-Ippan-005) from the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare of Japan. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author contributions

Study concept and design: YT and IT. Acquisition of data: YT and IT. Analyzed the data: YT. Wrote the paper: YT WC TT. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: TS, WC, TT, TW, MK and IT.

References

1 *Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development Help Wanted? Providing and Paying for Long-Term Care.* Paris, France: OECD Publishing, 2011.
2 Tamiya N, Noguchi H, Nishi A *et al.* Population ageing and wellbeing: lessons from Japan's long-term care insurance policy. *Lancet* 2011; **378**: 1183–1192.
3 Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Overview of the Revision of the Long-term Care Insurance System. 2007. [Cited 18 Sep 2014.] Available from URL: http://www.ilcjapan.org/linksE/doc/Overview_of_the_Revision_of_LTCI.pdf
4 OECD. Highlights from Help Wanted Providing and Paying for Long-Term Care, OECD Publishing, 2011. [Cited 18 Sep 2014.] Available from URL: http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/54/36/47891458.pdf
5 Tsutsui T, Muramatsu N. Japan's universal long-term care system reform of 2005: containing costs and realizing a vision. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2007; **55**: 1458–1463.
6 Ministry of Justice (the Japanese Law Translation Database System). Long-term Care Insurance Act (Kaigo hoken ho). 2014. [Cited 18 Sep 2014.] Available from URL: http://www.japaneselawtranslation.go.jp/?re=02
7 Japan Public Health Association. Study report on way of the future in prevention programs for long-term care. 2008 (in Japanese). [Cited 18 Sep 2014.] Available from URL: http://www.jpha.or.jp/sub/pdf/menu04_5_01_all.pdf
8 Kawai H, Obuchi S, Kojima N, Nishizawa S. Effect on decrease of number of people requiring light assistance of preventive project including high risk and population approach. *Appl Gerontol* 2011; 5: 28–39 (in Japanese).
9 Tsutsui T, Muramatsu N. Care-needs certification in the long-term care insurance system of Japan. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005; **53**: 522–527.
10 Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare. Structure of the certification for long-term care insurance system of Japan (in Japanese). 2003 [Cited 18 Sep 2014.] Available from URL: http://www.mhlw.go.jp/topics/kaigo/kentou/15kourei/sankou3.html
11 Arai Y, Zarit SH, Kumamoto K, Takeda A. Are there inequities in the assessment of dementia under Japan's LTC insurance system? *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2003; **18**: 346–352.
12 Takeda S. Two-year survival and changes in the level of care for the elderly patients recognized as in need of long-term care in the public nursing-care insurance scheme. *Nippon Koshu Eisei Zasshi* 2004; **51**: 157–167 (in Japanese).
13 Kondo N, Kawachi I, Hirai H *et al.* Relative deprivation and incident functional disability among older Japanese women and men: prospective cohort study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2009; **63**: 461–467.
14 Hozawa A, Sugawara Y, Tomata Y *et al.* Relationships between Nterminal pro B-type natriuretic peptide and incident disability and mortality in older community-dwelling adults: the Tsurugaya study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2010; **58**: 2439–2441.
15 Tomata Y, Kakizaki M, Nakaya N *et al.* Green tea consumption and the risk of incident functional disability in elderly Japanese: the Ohsaki Cohort 2006 Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012; **95**: 732–739.
16 Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Long-term care prevention – 35. Implementation status of Disability Prevention Program (in Japanese). 2014 [Cited 18 Sep 2014.] Available from URL: http://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/hukushi_kaigo/kaigo_koureisha/yobou/index.html
17 Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Report on implementation status of Disability Prevention Program (in Japanese). 2009 [Cited 18 Sep 2014.] Available from URL: http://www.mhlw.go.jp/topics/2008/04/tp0411-2.html
18 Imahashi K, Kawagoe M, Eto F, Haga N. Clinical status and dependency of the elderly requiring long-term care in Japan. *Tohoku J Exp Med* 2007; **212**: 229–238.
19 Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Comprehensive Survey of Living Conditions (in Japanese). 2011 [Cited 18 Sep 2014.] Available from URL: http://www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/saikin/hw/k-tyosa/k-tyosa10/4-2.html
20 Ito K, Obuchi S, Tsuji I. How effectively does EXERCISE for the elderly work in preventing frailty? Using propensity score matching methods to evaluate the effectiveness of exercise programs aimed at improvement of physical capabilities of the potential frail elderly persons. *Iryo To Shakai* 2011; **21**: 265–281 (in Japanese).
21 Yamada M, Arai H, Sonoda T, Aoyama T. Community-based exercise program is cost-effective by preventing care and disability in Japanese frail older adults. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2012; **13**: 507–511.