

**Table 3**

Cut-point evaluation of COPD-PS to discriminate between AO and non-AO states.

Cut-point score	Odds ratio	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Positive predictive value (%)	Negative predictive value (%)	Percent (%) correctly classified	Area under ROC curve
≥1	4.71	98.0	8.7	6.9	98.4	14.4	0.53
2	8.05	96.7	21.5	7.9	99.0	26.4	0.59
3	4.29	74.3	59.7	11.3	97.1	60.6	0.67
4	5.49	67.1	72.9	14.6	97.0	72.5	0.70
5	2.05	34.9	79.3	10.5	94.6	76.4	0.57
6	0.90	13.8	84.8	5.9	93.4	80.2	0.49
7	0.36	5.3	86.5	2.6	93.0	81.3	0.46

suggesting that the COPD-PS is the independent risk factor to detect fixed AO and that subjects with a 4 or greater on the COPD-PS are at increased risk for AO.

A cut-point of 5 was recommended in a previous study using the English version.<sup>9</sup> The reason for this discrepancy is not clear, but backgrounds of the study subjects may affect cut-points. In addition to the difference between Asian and Western populations, the present study is population-based while patients from general practice and specialist sites were involved in the previous report.<sup>9</sup> In this study, a cut-point of 5 resulted in a lower sensitivity of 34.9% and a smaller area under the ROC curve of 0.57 compared with a cut-point of 4.

Although the use of spirometry at all levels of health care might improve diagnosis and detect COPD earlier, widespread spirometric testing for early detection without pre-selection of at-risk patients may result in wasting healthcare resources. Without bronchodilator, 11.2% of adults aged between 40 and 79 years showed an initial FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC < 0.7, and this prevalence is in line with the previous Japanese COPD epidemiology study conducted in 2001.<sup>5</sup> Finally, 6.5% had confirmed fixed AO after bronchodilator in this study, and the COPD-PS and its cut-point of 4 resulted in a positive predictive value 14.6%. The prevalence of fixed AO was lower than expected. Aging increases the prevalence of COPD. The chosen target group in our study was aged 40–79 years, and this age limit may affect the prevalence of AO. We also excluded the subjects with physician-diagnosed asthma, which contains current- and former-smokers, and thus may preclude subjects with overlap syndrome of COPD and bronchial asthma.

A PPV of 14.6% at COPD-PS cut-point 4 is lower than the previous report of a hospital/clinic-based study.<sup>16</sup> However, PPV depends on the prevalence, and PPV becomes lower when the prevalence of disease is low.<sup>21</sup> The hospital/clinic-based prevalence will vary by age and smoking prevalence. In contrast, we have evaluated the diagnostic cut-points of the COPD-PS in the general Japanese population. The prevalence of confirmed fixed AO was 38.4% in the previous report,<sup>16</sup> and that was 6.5% in the present study. Despite this limitation, NPV was sufficiently high in this study, suggesting that COPD-PS with cut-point 4 is useful for a screening tool.

The COPD-PS resulted in very few missing data; however, exhibited large floor effects on 3 of the items. Floor and ceiling effects need to be interpreted in relation to the study being investigated. As this study screened a general population rather than patients attending a respiratory clinic, it was expected that many subjects would respond in the lowest category.

This study has limitations that should be acknowledged. Subjects over the age of 60 and have smoked more than 100 cigarettes could reach 4 point without any respiratory symptoms. This could lead to an increase in false positives. As the objective of the COPD-PS is to be a diagnostic triage for spirometry testing, it is important to capture as many true positive COPD patients as possible, while allowing false positives to a certain degree. A considerable percentage of the nonsmoker population may exhibit COPD,<sup>22–24</sup> and future studies evaluating a secondhand smoke item and indoor

pollution at home may be of interest. In addition, AO was assessed based solely on the spirometry data, and further evaluations would be needed for the diagnosis of COPD.

In conclusion, the COPD-PS assesses the risk of COPD, and the validity findings show promise in its application for a first-stage screener in the general population, in addition to clinical practice and disease management. The COPD diagnostic questionnaire is easy to score and quick to disseminate. The Japanese version of the COPD-PS would be an adequate measure for large scale screening of possible AO.

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Keiko Wada for her support in the study development, analysis and writing, Jakob Bjorner for his comments on the cut-point selection.

This study was supported in part by Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim and Pfizer Japan, by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) (HI, 25293192), and by Health and Labour Sciences Research Grants from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan. The sponsors did not have direct influence on the study design or analysis.

### The Hisayama Pulmonary Physiology Study Group

Satoru Fukuyama, Koichiro Matsumoto, Takako Nakano, Atsushi Moriawaki, Yuko Matsunaga, Keiko Kan-o, Naotaka Noda, Yukari Tajiri-Asai, Hiroko Hirai, Yumiko Ishii, Saaka Hamano, Ken Tonai, Nanae Seki, Ayako Hashizume, Makiko Kogo, Misae Awane, Yoichi Nakanishi (Research Institute for Diseases of the Chest, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan); Go Tsukuya, Takuya Samukawa, Hiromasa Inoue (Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima, Japan); Takako Inoue (NPO START-Kagoshima, Kagoshima, Japan); Makoto Yoshida, Masashi Komori, Yasuko Kaneko (Section of Pulmonary Medicine, Fukuoka National Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan); Shohei Takata, Mikiko Matsuo-Matsumura (Division of Respiratory Medicine, National Fukuoka-Higashi Medical Center, Fukuoka, Japan); Hiroshi Koto (Department of Respiratory Medicine, Kyushu Central Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan).

### Conflict of interest

HI has received grants through his institution from Astellas, Eisai, GlaxoSmithKline, Ono Pharma, Novartis, lecture fees from AstraZeneca, Daiichi Sankyo, GlaxoSmithKline, MDS, Novartis, Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim. MI has received lecture fees from AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis. The rest of the authors have no conflict of interest.

### References

1. Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management and Prevention of COPD, Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD). 2013. Available from: <http://www.goldcopd.org/>.

2. World Health Organization. *World Health Statistics*. 2008. Available from: [http://www.who.int/gho/publications/world\\_health\\_statistics/EN\\_WHS08\\_Full.pdf](http://www.who.int/gho/publications/world_health_statistics/EN_WHS08_Full.pdf).
3. Buist AS, McBurnie MA, Vollmer WM, Gillespie S, Burney P, Mannino DM, et al. International variation in the prevalence of COPD (the BOLD Study): a population-based prevalence study. *Lancet* 2007;**370**:741–50.
4. Menezes AM, Perez-Padilla R, Jardim JR, Muino A, Lopez MV, Valdivia G, et al. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in five Latin American cities (the PLATINO study): a prevalence study. *Lancet* 2005;**366**:1875–81.
5. Fukuchi Y, Nishimura M, Ichinose M, Adachi M, Nagai A, Kuriyama T, et al. COPD in Japan: the Nippon COPD Epidemiology study. *Respirology* 2004;**9**: 458–65.
6. Qaseem A, Snow V, Shekelle P, Sherif K, Wilt TJ, Weinberger S, et al. Diagnosis and management of stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;**147**:633–8.
7. Hvidsten SC, Storesund L, Wentzel-Larsen T, Gulsvik A, Lehmann S. Prevalence and predictors of undiagnosed chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in a Norwegian adult general population. *Clin Respir J* 2010;**4**:13–21.
8. Miravittles M, Soriano JB, Garcia-Rio F, Munoz L, Duran-Tauleria E, Sanchez G, et al. Prevalence of COPD in Spain: impact of undiagnosed COPD on quality of life and daily life activities. *Thorax* 2009;**64**:863–8.
9. Enright P. Does screening for COPD by primary care physicians have the potential to cause more harm than good? *Chest* 2006;**129**:833–5.
10. Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, Weinberger SE, Hanania NA, Criner G, van der Molen T, et al. Diagnosis and management of stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a clinical practice guideline update from the American College of Physicians, American College of Chest Physicians, American Thoracic Society, and European Respiratory Society. *Ann Intern Med* 2011;**155**:179–91.
11. Bellamy D, Bouchard J, Henriksen S, Johansson G, Keenan J, Langhammeret A, et al. Chronic airways diseases. A guide for primary care physicians. In: *IPAG Diagnosis and Management Handbook*. Edgewater: MCR Vision, 2005.
12. Price DB, Tinkelman DG, Halbert RJ, Nordyke RJ, Isonaka S, Nonikov D, et al. Symptom-based questionnaire for identifying COPD in smokers. *Respiration* 2006;**73**:285–95.
13. Price DB, Tinkelman DG, Nordyke RJ, Isonaka S, Halbert RJ; COPD Questionnaire Study Group. Scoring system and clinical application of COPD diagnostic questionnaires. *Chest* 2006;**129**:1531–9.
14. van Schayck CP, Halbert RJ, Nordyke RJ, Isonaka S, Maroni J, Nonikov D. Comparison of existing symptom-based questionnaires for identifying COPD in the general practice setting. *Respirology* 2005;**10**:323–33.
15. Van Schayck CP, Loozen JM, Wagena E, Akkermans RP, Wesseling GJ. Detecting patients at a high risk of developing chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in general practice: cross sectional case finding study. *BMJ* 2002;**324**:1370.
16. Martinez FJ, Raczek AE, Seifer FD, Conoscenti CS, Curtice TG, D'Eletto T, et al. Development and initial validation of a self-scored COPD Population Screener Questionnaire (COPD-PS). *COPD* 2008;**5**:85–95.
17. Chan JC, Malik V, Jia W, Kadowaki T, Yajnik CS, Yoon KH, et al. Diabetes in Asia: epidemiology, risk factors, and pathophysiology. *JAMA* 2009;**301**:2129–40.
18. Hirakawa Y, Ninomiya T, Mukai N, Doi Y, Hata J, Fukuhara, et al. Association between glucose tolerance level and cancer death in a general Japanese population: the Hisayama Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2012;**176**:856–64.
19. The Committee of Pulmonary Physiology. The Japanese Respiratory Society. [Guidelines for Pulmonary Function Tests: Spirometry, Flow-Volume Curve, Diffusion Capacity of the Lung]. Tokyo, 2004 (in Japanese).
20. World Health Organization. Process of translation and adaptation of instruments. Available from: [http://www.who.int/substance\\_abuse/research\\_tools/translation/en/](http://www.who.int/substance_abuse/research_tools/translation/en/).
21. Bayes T, Price R. An Essay towards solving a Problem in the Doctrine of Chance. By the late Rev. Mr. Bayes, communicated by Mr. Price, in a letter to John Canton M. A. and F. R. S. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond* 1763;**53**:370–418.
22. Behrendt CE. Mild and moderate-to-severe COPD in nonsmokers: distinct demographic profiles. *Chest* 2005;**128**:1239–44.
23. Salvi SS, Barnes PJ. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in non-smokers. *Lancet* 2009;**374**:733–43.
24. Zhou Y, Wang C, Yao W, Chen P, Kang J, Huang S, et al. COPD in Chinese nonsmokers. *Eur Respir J* 2009;**33**:509–18.

厚生労働科学研究費補助金循環器疾患・糖尿病等生活習慣病対策総合研究事業  
「COPD に関する啓発と早期発見のための方策に関する研究」

平成 26 年度 総括・分担研究報告書

発行 平成 27 年 5 月 25 日  
厚生労働科学研究費補助金  
循環器疾患・糖尿病等生活習慣病対策総合研究事業

「COPD に関する啓発と早期発見のための方策に関する研究」  
研究代表者 井上 博雅  
鹿児島県鹿児島市桜ヶ丘八丁目 35 番地 1  
鹿児島大学大学院医歯学総合研究科  
呼吸器内科学分野 教授  
電話 (099) 275-6481

印刷 斯文堂株式会社

