Development of information systems and clinical decision support systems for emergency departments: a long road ahead for Japan

Ryota Inokuchi,¹ Hajime Sato,² Susumu Nakajima,¹ Kazuaki Shinohara,³ Kensuke Nakamura,¹ Masataka Gunshin,¹ Takahiro Hiruma,¹ Takeshi Ishii,¹ Takehiro Matsubara,¹ Yoichi Kitsuta,¹ Naoki Yahagi¹

ABSTRACT

¹Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, The University of Tokyo Hospital, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan ²Department of Health Policy and Technology Assessment, National Institute of Public Health, Wako, Saitama, Japan ³Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Ohta Nishinouchi Hospital, Koriyama, Fukushima, Japan

Correspondence to Dr Hajime Sato, Department of Health Policy and Technology Assessment, National Institute of Public Health, 2-3-6 Minami, Wako, Saitama 351-0197, Japan; hsato-tky@umin.ac.jp

Accepted 25 October 2012

Emergency care services face common challenges worldwide, including the failure to identify emergency illnesses, deviations from standard treatments, deterioration in the quality of medical care, increased costs from unnecessary testing, and insufficient education and training of emergency personnel. These issues are currently being addressed by implementing emergency department information systems (EDIS) and clinical decision support systems (CDSS). Such systems have been shown to increase the efficiency and safety of emergency medical care. In Japan, however, their development is hindered by a shortage of emergency physicians and insufficient funding. In addition, language barriers make it difficult to introduce EDIS and CDSS in Japan that have been created for an English-speaking market. This perspective addresses the key events that motivated a campaign to prioritise these services in Japan and the need to customise EDIS and CDSS for its population.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the momentum of the technological revolution brough tabout by health inform ation technology (H IT) has increased. Health care reform s, hospitals and regional health care alliances have increasingly taken advantage of H IT. A doption and widespread use of electronic forms for record m ain tenance have led to increased convenience and easier access to medical records.

Digitisation of the information used in emergency departm entshasnecessitated a consideration of the unique aspects of em ergency departm ent care and information handling. For example, general outpatient treatm ent and em ergency care differ in term s of m edical exam inations and locations within the hospital. General outpatient treatm ent can vary in duration. In contrast, em ergency care involves short-term treatment and efficient perform ance of com plex tasks. Exam inations must be completed quickly since many patients exist in highly critical situations. Interruption of exam inations is com m on because of high patient volum e. Therefore, the use of a common electronic health record system to m eet these different needs could be problem atic.1-3 For this reason, hospitals in m any countries use em ergency departm ent inform ation system s (ED IS) designed specifically for use in emergency departments. In addition, clinical decision support system s (C D SS) that are designed

to reduce m edical errors are often used as part of the hospital electronic health record system, w hich also includes ED IS.

Electronic m edical record system s in m edical facilities in Japan are, how ever, m ainly designed for use in general outpatient care w ards. ED IS is not yet w ell know n, and electronic health record system s designed specifically for use in em ergency departm ents are not available. W hat follow s is a discussion about the history of the developm ent of ED IS and CD SS, their present use, an exam ination of the factors that im pede the adoption of these system s in Japan and possible issues in the future based on the current situation in Japan.

DEFINING HIT, EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS, AND CLINICAL DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEMS

H IT is mainly used in the follow ing areas: the managem entofadm inistrative and m edical equipm ent; the maintenance of patient records, within and outside hospitals; the provision of inform ation to patients; and the transfer of m edical inform ation. Countries throughout the world have adopted HIT to reduce m edical costs and errors and to ensure safety.⁴⁻⁶ H IT was developed primarily for use in general outpatient wards. How ever, em ergency departments require customised systems that reflect the unique exam inations and treatm ents required in em ergency care,³ w hich are ED IS.^{1 3 7} ED IS is broadly defined as 'an electronic m edical record system that increases the efficiency of em ergency patient exam inations and treatm ent'.³ It is not sim ply a record of exam inations but is also com posed of several core functions to support clinical care, such as patient and order entry, triage, result reporting, docum ent m anagem ent, CDSS and risk m anagem ent, patient and resource tracking, and discharge m anagem ent.3 C ore adm inistrative EDIS functions comprise hospital and departmental statistical metrics management; coding and billing, including interaction with insurance carriers and provision of inform ation to third parties; integration with public health and other registries; disaster m anagem ent; disease surveillance; and early detection and m anagem entduring outbreaks of new infectious diseases or terrorist attacks. Thus, the responsibilities of ED IS include m edical care, hospital adm inistration and national policym aking.38

CDSS improve medical safety by reducing errors in judgment, allowing information sharing that forms the clinical basis for decision making, $^{9-12}$ providing information aboutd rug allergies, contraindications for drugs in combination, test results associated with drugs (eg, digoxin and low serum potassium levels), drug dosage adjustments (eg, opioids or insulin), medication characteristics, special considerations for elderly patients, im aging technique ordering for patients with pacemakers and proposal of a set of appropriate diagnoses.¹³⁻¹⁵

BARRIERS TO ADOPTION OF EDIS AND CDSS

A Ithough the merits of ED IS and CD SS are widely acknow Iedged in the medical profession, the USA has been slow in adopting these new technologies. Landm an et al first reported the prevalence of ED IS in emergency departments in the USA;¹⁶ they found that 1.7% of hospitals had a comprehensive ED IS system, which included an ordering system, an inform ation interoperability function and CDSS, while 12.3% of hospitals had a basic EDIS with only some of these functions. Barriers were reported by the authors, including the costs of integration and m aintenance, staff m em bers reacting adversely to changes in their existing w ork conditions, uncertainty about system reliability, difficulty with use, suspicions that the technology would soon become outdated and concerns about maintaining confidentiality; all of these deterred the adoption of ED IS. $^{17\ 18}$ In addition, som e studies have reported that CDSS can be difficult to use, causes inefficiencies and m ay increase m edical errors and death rates.

PRESENT STATUS OF HIT, EDIS AND CDSS IN JAPAN

The num ber of medical facilities in Japan switching from paper to electronic medical records has increased in recent years. A ccording to a survey conducted by the Japanese M inistry of H ealth, Labour and W elfare, 969 of 8838 hospitals (11%) and 9077 of 98 609 clinics (9%) had adopted electronic medical record systems by 2008. In M ay 2010, the Japanese government's Information Technology Strategic H eadquarters announced its new Information and Communication Technology Strategy. This strategy is supposed to offer a pow erful stim ulus for cooperation am ong healthcare facilities; w ork has already begun on standardising forms and term inology codes and on form ing healthcare inform ation netw orks.

Unfortunately, the concept of ED IS is not well known in Japan; as a result, no Japanese com panies manufacture electronic medical record systems designed specifically for use in emergency departments. In addition, ED IS, which was first developed in English-speaking markets, was difficult to introduce in Japan because of language barriers. How ever, the value of ED IS is apparent when reflecting on past events. For exam ple, in 1995 the members of the Japanese 'A um Shinrikyo' cult released sarin nerve gas in the Tokyo subways during morning rush hour, causing 12 deaths and over 5500 injuries.¹⁹ This acetylcholinesterase inhibitor can be fatal within minutes to hours. How ever, no electronic communication between hospital staff, the police or the government existed. An ED IS would have allow ed early detection, diagnosis and a proper initial response to the situation.

C D SS is not widely used in Japan. A Ithough several C D SS initiatives are currently underway, many are stand-alone, non-standardised system s. For C D SS to be more widely employed, E D IS that use standardised medical term inologies or are able to switch to a standardised system are required as information bases. C D SS must be compatible with E D IS and standardised within hospital systems and across medical facilities. Such

system s aid the accum ulation of valuable inform ation for evidence-based clinical m edicine. For exam ple, the System atised N om enclature of M edicine C linical Term s is one of the largest standardised com puter term inology databases in the w orld. H ow ever, language differences m ake the system extrem ely difficult to adopt in Japan. Thus, a system suited to the unique needs of Japan is needed and is already in progress.²⁰ 0 ther barriers to the adoption of C D SS from an English-speaking m arket are differences in the types of drugs, dosages and diseases. For exam ple, K aw asaki disease is relatively com m on in Japan but rare in W estern countries. Thus, system s for appropriate diagnoses developed overseas could not be used in Japan w ithout m odification.

Recently, the strategy has also been a stim ulus for cooperation betw een healthcare facilities in prehospitals, where w ork has begun on standard sing form s and term inology codes and form ing healthcare inform ation netw orks. The C anadian Triage and A cuity Scale²¹ and the new ly developed Japan Triage A cuity Scale are being used increasingly in prehospitals in Japan.

DEVELOPMENT OF EMERGENCY MEDICAL CARE SYSTEM IN JAPAN

D elays in the treatm ent of critical patients becam e a problem in Japan during the 1970s. The num ber of traffic accidents increased rapidly because of the increased use of autom obiles, leading to m any hospitals turning away am bulances and refusing care to accident patients. To counter this situation, em ergency m edicine w as created as a distinct specialty in Japan. Before the establishm ent of em ergency m edicine in Japan, there w ere no em ergency physicians; em ergency patients w ere treated by surgeons and internists w ithout specific training in em ergencym edicine in am ultispecialistm odel.²²

To prevent the concentration of patients in just a few em ergency hospitals, em ergency m edical facilities w ere designated as prim ary, secondary or tertiary care facilities.²³ Patients w ho could not be treated at a prim ary care facility would be transported to a secondary or tertiary care facility. Param edics were able to choose betw een healthcare facilities depending on the patient's condition. Prim ary care facilities are clinics without beds; these accept patients on a walk-in basis who do not require inhospital care. Secondary care facilities exam ine and treat patients with moderately severe conditions and provide inhospital care; they accept walk-in patients and those transported by am bulance. Tertiary care facilities offer intensive treatm ent in all m edical specialties to critical patients; m ost em ergency surgery is perform ed in such facilities. In 2010, there were 605 prim ary care, 4169 secondary care and 220 tertiary care facilities in Japan.

Secondary or tertiary care facilities are not lim ited to traum a or burn patients, but also include non-traum a patients. In addition, w alk-in patients can seek m edical attention at any facility. Because there are too m any secondary care facilities against the num ber of em ergency physicians, m any secondary care em ergency hospitals m ight not be able to deliver appropriate em ergency care for all types of m edical/surgical em ergencies. A ccordingly, the selection of appropriate hospitals to w hich to transport em ergency patients is a critical issue that requires skill in differential diagnosis in em ergency m edical technicians, w hich m ay be difficult to apply at the scene. M oreover, m ost secondary care em ergency hospitals are staffed by nonem ergency specialists, w hose specialties m ay not be appropriate for any given patient, during the night or on holidays. This m ay account for m any refusals by em ergency hospitals to acceptsom e patients. $^{\rm 22}$

M ost tertiary care facilities have 10 to 30 beds in their Intentive C are U nits (IC U s), and staff size ranges from several doctors to m ore than 30 doctors per centre. The principal m ission of the physicians in the em ergency departments in these centres is to provide traum a or non-traum a/critical care service to em ergency patients (IC U -typem odel). Indications for adm ission to em ergency m edical service centres are deteriorating vital signs, as judged by em ergency m edical technicians. Thus, only the m ost critical patients are adm itted and the adm ission rate is close to 100%. W hen non-critical patients visit an em ergency m edical centre attached to a hosp ital, they are guided to a separate em ergency room (E R)w here doctors belonging to other special ties provide care.²²

In 2003, ER and W estern -style m odels w ere introduced into Japanese em ergency m edicine. Thus, three styles of em ergency m edical care coexist: the m ultispecialist m odel, the IC U m odel and the ER m odel. In 2007, a national survey w as conducted of Japanese A ssociation for A cute M edicine em ergency physician-designated facilities. Two hundred and forty-eight of 420 facilities returned valid questionnaires (88% response rate); 82 facilities (33%) reported that their em ergency departm ents w ere functional 24 h a day and 68 (27%) reported that their em ergency departm ent operated only during certain tim es of the day. O f the 4230 em ergency m edical facilities throughout Japan, m ostoperate on either the m ultispecialistor IC U m odel; only teaching hospitals in m ajor cities use the ER m odel.²²

Thereafter, the num ber of traum a patients decreased as a result of developm ents in autom obile technology, m and atory use of seatbelts and increased penalties for driving under the influence of alcohol. At the same time, the num ber of patients with non-traum atic injuries admitted to emergency departments began to increase. In 2010, approximately half of these patients w ere older adults. All expenses were and continue to be covered by local governments via tax revenues, entailing no charge to patients for care and /or transportation. This has led to an increase in the volume of patients visiting hospitals by am bulances and has lengthened the time to reach hospitals and the w aiting times once there.

Presently, there is a need to coordinate care for patients in the em ergency departments in Japan. Care coordination has been defined as '... the deliberate organisation of patient care activities between two or more participants (including the patient) involved in a patient's care to facilitate the appropriate delivery of healthcare services'.²⁴ W hen a patient is brought to the hospital with disturbances of consciousness, the em ergency physician is responsible for contacting potential prim ary care physicians and, after treatment, searching for suitable hospitals to transfer the patient to if there is no social worker available to do so. These administrative duties distractem ergency physicians from their main duty, which is to provide em ergency care. Therefore, ED IS and CDSS would be useful tools for im proving the quality and efficiency of em ergency care.

JAPANESE EXPECTATIONS FOR EDIS AND CDSS

The im plem entation of ED IS and CD SS in Japan m ust address issues specific to Japanese society with respect to the education of physicians. In emergency departments, rare diseases and m edical complications m ust be considered. If not, serious consequences m ay result, even if the patient is seem ingly well (eg, w alk-in patients with subarachnoid haem orrhage or asym ptom atic acute m yocardial infarction on arrival at the hospital). The best approach emphasises ruling out serious and/or em ergency presentations rather than using the traditional approach of reaching a diagnosis based on clinical observations, which is the main approach used in medical education in Japan. Em ergency medical education, which em phasises ruling out critical diseases and those requiring em ergency medical attention, has yet to have a serious im pact as a method of education. In addition, according to the Japanese M inistry of H ealth, Labour and W elfare, only 1945 physicians (0.7%) of a total of 271 897 were practising em ergency care in Japan in 2008. Therefore, in many hospitals, physicians from other medical departments who may not be adequately trained in em ergency procedures are engaged in em ergency practise.²²

If C D SS were used in the future to guide exam inations, provide updated treatm ent guidelines and standardise treatm ents, the quality ofm edical carew ould be im proved. Residents could acquire the latest inform ation and be educated in the field of em ergency m edicine, and em ergency physicians could use C D SS to identify im portant points during a system atised exam ination to help residents distinguish easily confused diseases.

This would be com plem ented by im plem enting ED IS, which would improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the emergency department through prioritising and coordinating its activities as well as matching the ever-changing therapeutic needs with available resources for patient care. Since this decision, in its know ledge and practise, is part of the intellectual core of emergency medicine, CD SS and ED IS are thus expected to help embody the raison d'être of emergency medicine as a speciality. Furthermore, this knowledge and skill, when formulated and applied well, would help advance efficient use of medical resources in medical facilities, their networks, the wider context of medical service provision beyond an emergency department, and certainly in emergency situations, such as large-scale incidents and disasters.

After the 2011 earthquake and tsunami,²⁵ Japan realised that unnecessary tests and excessive medical treatments should be reduced when usable resources are scarce, especially in times of disaster. In such situations, all medical personnel are needed. The use of EDIS and CDSS may lead to increased awareness of the importance of physical findings and simple tests. If testing protocols based on the accumulated data of the Japanese population can be created and included in EDIS and CDSS systems, then unnecessary tests and unfortunate consequences would be reduced, which would allow medical personnel to use available resources more efficiently.

In conclusion, EDIS and CDSS are significant improvements for practising evidence-based medicine, which continuously gathers and revises scientific knowledge. They are useful tools that could improve the efficiency and quality of emergency treatment. Hopefully, both systems would be adopted more frequently at healthcare facilities, leading to an accumulation of knowledge and an advancement of epidemiological research in Japan.

Acknowledgements We would like to acknowledge Makiko Hirahata and Shigemi Kobayashi for their assistance.

Contributors RI and HS screened the paper independently and wrote the paper. SN, KS, KN, MG, TH, TI, TM, YK and NY took part in the writing of the paper.

Funding This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (C) (127100000424) and a Health Labour Sciences Research Grant.

Competing interests None

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

1. Feied CF, Smith MS, Handler JA. Keynote address: medical informatics and emergency medicine. Acad Em erg M ed 2004;11:1118–26.

- Handler JA, Adams JG, Feied CF, et al. Emergency medicine information technology consensus conference: executive summary. Acad Em erg M ed 2004;11:1112–13.
- American College of Emergency Physicians. Emergency department information systems: primer for emergency physicians, nurses, and IT professionals. April 15, 2009. http://apps.acep.org/WorkArea/DownloadAsset.aspx?id=45756 (accessed 13 Jun 2012).
- Chaudhry B, Wang J, Wu S, et al. Systematic review: impact of health information technology on quality, efficiency, and costs of medical care. Ann Intern M ed 2006;144:742–52.
- O'Reilly D, Tarride JE, Goeree R, et al. The economics of health information technology in medication management: a systematic review of economic evaluations. JAm M ed Inform Assoc 2012;19:423–38.
- Magrabi F, Ong MS, Runciman W, etal. Using FDA reports to inform a classification for health information technology safety problems. JAm M ed Inform Assoc 2012;19:45–53.
- Handel DA, Wears RL, Nathanson LA, et al. Using information technology to improve the quality and safety of emergency care. Acad Em erg M ed 2011;18: e45–51. doi:10.1111/j.1553-2712.2011.01070.x
- Kass-Hout TA, Buckeridge D, Brownstein J, et al. Self-reported fever and measured temperature in emergency department records used for syndromic surveillance. JAm M ed Inform Assoc 2012;19:775–6.
- Lyman JA, Cohn WF, Bloomrosen M, et al. Clinical decision support: progress and opportunities. JAm M ed Inform Assoc 2010;17:487–92. doi:10.1136/jamia.2010. 005561
- Garg AX, Adhikari NK, McDonald H, etal. Effects of computerized clinical decision support systems on practitioner performance and patient outcomes: a systematic review. JM A 2005;293:1223–38 doi:10.1001/jama.293.10.1223
- Kawamoto K, Houlihan CA, Balas EA, et al. Improving clinical practice using clinical decision support systems: a systematic review of trials to identify features critical to success. BM J 2005;330:765. doi:10.1136/bmj.38398.500764.8F
- 12. Osheroff JA, Teich JM, Middleton B, et al. A roadmap for national action on clinical decision support. JAm M ed Inform Assoc 2007;14:141–5.

- Bonnabry P, Despont-Gros C, Grauser D, et al. A risk analysis method to evaluate the impact of a computerized provider order entry system on patient safety. JAm M ed Inform Assoc 2008;15:453–60.
- Mainous AG, Lambourne CA, Nietert PJ. Impact of a clinical decision support system on antibiotic prescribing for acute respiratory infections in primary care: quasi-experimental trial. JAm M ed Inform Assoc Published Online First: 3 July 2012. doi: 10.1136/amiajnl-2011-000701
- Griffey RT, Lo HG, Burdick E, et al. Guided medication dosing for elderly emergency patients using real-time, computerized decision support. JAm M ed Inform Assoc 2012;19:86–93.
- Landman A, Bernstein S, Hsiao A, et al. Emergency Department Information System Adoption in the United States. Acad Emerg M ed 2010;17:536–44.
- 17. Jha AK, DesRoches CM, Campbell EG, et al. Use of electronic health records in U.Shospitals. N Engl JM ed 2009;360:1628–38.
- 18. Institute of Medicine. Crossing the quality chasm : a new health system for the 21st century. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2001.
- Tokuda Y, Kikuchi M, Takahashi O, etal. Prehospital management of sarin nerve gas terrorism in urban settings: 10 years of progress after the Tokyo subway sarin attack. Resuscitation 2006;68:193–202.
- 20. Ohe K. Standardization of disease names and development of an advanced clinical ontology. JInfo ProcessM anag 2010;52:701–9. (In Japanese)
- Grafstein E, Bullard MJ, Warren D, et al. Revision of the Canadian Emergency Department Information System (CEDIS) Presenting Complaint List version 1.1. CJEM 2008;10:151–73.
- 22. Hori S. Emergency medicine in Japan. Keio JM ed 2010;59:131-9.
- 23. O'Malley RN, O'Malley GF, Ochi G. Emergency medicine in Japan. Ann Emerg M ed 2001;38:441–6.
- 24. McDonald KM, Sundaram V, Bravata DM, et al. Closing the quality gap: a critical analysis of quality im provem ent strategies (Vol 7: care coordination). Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2007.
- IrisawaA. The 2011 Great East Japan earthquake: a report of a regional hospital in Fukushima Prefecture coping with the Fukushima nuclear disaster. Dig Endosc 2012;24(Suppl 1):3–7. doi:10.1111/j.1443-1661.2012.01280.x



Development of information systems and clinical decision support systems for emergency departments: a long road ahead for Japan

Ryota Inokuchi, Hajime Sato, Susumu Nakajima, et al.

Emerg Med J published online January 8, 2013 doi: 10.1136/emermed-2012-201869

Updated information and services can be found at: http://emj.bmj.com/content/early/2013/01/08/emermed-2012-201869.full.html

	These include:
References	This article cites 21 articles, 8 of which can be accessed free at: http://emj.bmj.com/content/early/2013/01/08/emermed-2012-201869.full.html#ref-list-1
P <p< th=""><th>Published online January 8, 2013 in advance of the print journal.</th></p<>	Published online January 8, 2013 in advance of the print journal.
Email alerting service	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

Advance online articles have been peer reviewed, accepted for publication, edited and typeset, but have not not yet appeared in the paper journal. Advance online articles are citable and establish publication priority; they are indexed by PubMed from initial publication. Citations to Advance online articles must include the digital object identifier (DOIs) and date of initial publication.

To request permissions go to: http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to: http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/