

- [31] Elia R, Fuegy PW, VanDelden A, Firpo MA, Prestwich GD, Peattie RA. Stimulation of in vivo angiogenesis by in situ crosslinked, dual growth factor-loaded, glycosaminoglycan hydrogels. *Biomaterials* 2010;31:4630–8.
- [32] Wang Y, Wang B, Qiao W, Yin T. A novel controlled release drug delivery system for multiple drugs based on electrospun nanofibers containing nanoparticles. *J Pharm Sci* 2010;99:4805–11.
- [33] Dickens SH, Flaim GM, Floyd CJ. Effects of adhesive, base and diluent monomers on water sorption and conversion of experimental resins. *Dent Mater* 2010;26:675–81.
- [34] Benoit DS, Durney AR, Anseth KS. Manipulations in hydrogel degradation behavior enhance osteoblast function and mineralized tissue formation. *Tissue Eng* 2006;12:1663–73.
- [35] Kalachandra S. Influence of fillers on the water sorption of composites. *Dent Mater* 1989;5:283–8.
- [36] Kawashima T, Nagai N, Kaji H, Kumasaka N, Onami H, Ishikawa Y, et al. A scalable controlled-release device for transscleral drug delivery to the retina. *Biomaterials* 2011;32:1950–6.
- [37] Pitkanen L, Ranta VP, Moilanen H, Urtti A. Permeability of retinal pigment epithelium: effects of permeant molecular weight and lipophilicity. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2005;46:641–6.
- [38] Mannermaa E, Vellonen KS, Urtti A. Drug transport in corneal epithelium and blood-retina barrier: emerging role of transporters in ocular pharmacokinetics. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2006;58:1136–63.
- [39] Imai S, Inokuchi Y, Nakamura S, Tsuruma K, Shimazawa M, Hara H. Systemic administration of a free radical scavenger, edaravone, protects against light-induced photoreceptor degeneration in the mouse retina. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2010;642:77–85.
- [40] Tanito M, Kwon YW, Kondo N, Bai J, Masutani H, Nakamura H, et al. Cytoprotective effects of geranylgeranylacetone against retinal photooxidative damage. *J Neurosci* 2005;25:2396–404.
- [41] Tsuruma K, Tanaka Y, Shimazawa M, Mashima Y, Hara H. Unoprostone reduces oxidative stress- and light-induced retinal cell death, and phagocytotic dysfunction, by activating BK channels. *Mol Vis* 2011;17:3556–65.

# Combined 25-Gauge Microincision Vitrectomy and Toric Intraocular Lens Implantation With Posterior Capsulotomy

Hiroshi Kunikata, MD, PhD; Naoko Aizawa, MD; Yasuhiko Meguro, MD; Toshiaki Abe, MD, PhD; Toru Nakazawa, MD, PhD

**PURPOSE:** To evaluate the efficacy of combined 25-gauge microincision vitrectomy surgery (MIVS) and toric intraocular lens (IOL) implantation with posterior capsulotomy.

**METHODS:** Noncomparative, interventional case series performed at a single center. Twelve patients with vitreoretinal disease and cataracts, with pre-existing regular corneal astigmatism greater than 1 diopter, underwent 25-gauge MIVS and toric IOL implantation with posterior capsulotomy.

**RESULTS:** The toric IOL was successfully implanted in each case. At 6 months postoperatively, mean axis rotation was  $5.7^\circ \pm 3.1^\circ$ . At 1 month postoperatively, mean uncorrected and best corrected visual acuity improved; the improvement was maintained after 6 months. The absolute residual refractive cylinder was significantly lower postoperatively than the pre-existing regular corneal cylinder ( $P = .003$ ). There were no surgical complications except a temporary posterior iridisynechia in one case.

**CONCLUSIONS:** Combined 25-gauge MIVS and toric IOL implantation with posterior capsulotomy is a practical and safe method to treat vitreoretinal disease and cataracts with pre-existing corneal astigmatism.

[*Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging Retina*. 2013;44:XX-XX.]

## INTRODUCTION

Recent advanced sutureless vitrectomy techniques have hastened visual recovery, with reduction in postoperative astigmatism, conjunctival injection, pain, and discomfort.<sup>1-7</sup> The correction of refractive errors, including corneal astigmatism, has thus become a consideration in vitrectomy combined with cataract surgery. Toric intraocular lenses (IOLs) have been implanted in patients worldwide, and their feasibility has been demonstrated.<sup>8-10</sup> Over 30% of eyes indicated for cataract surgery have corneal astigmatism of at least 1.00 diopter (D).<sup>11</sup> Nevertheless, because of the technical difficulty of vitreous surgery and the emphasis on retinal disease control, toric IOLs have not been combined with vitrectomy surgery.

Twenty-five-gauge microincision vitrectomy surgery (25G MIVS) was first reported in 2002, and this technique is commonly used throughout the world.<sup>12,13</sup> Some patients (fewer than 1%) should forego MIVS or only undergo it with caution;<sup>14</sup> however, the indications for 25G MIVS have expanded to diseases including proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR), rhegmatogenous retinal detachment, giant retinal tear, intraocular foreign body, and IOL dislocation.<sup>15-25</sup> The increase in popularity of 25G MIVS has been enhanced by studies that have demonstrated its advantages for postoperative quality of vision. This is because intraoperative suturing is not required.<sup>1-7</sup> Recently, to prevent postoperative posterior capsule opacification (PCO) in patients with vitreoretinal disease who must have a vitrectomy combined with cataract surgery, a primary posterior capsulotomy technique using a 25-gauge vitreous cutter has been


From the Department of Ophthalmology, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, Sendai, Japan (HK, NA, YM, TN); and the Division of Clinical Cell Therapy, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, Sendai, Japan (TA).

Presented at the Sendai Toric IOL Conference, November 3, 2011.

The authors have no financial or proprietary interest in the materials presented herein.

Address correspondence to Hiroshi Kunikata, MD, Department of Ophthalmology, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, 1-1 Seiryō-machi, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8574, Japan; +81 22 717 7294, Fax: +81 22 717 7298; Email: kunikata@oph.med.tohoku.ac.jp.

doi:



**Figure 1.** Representative eye with an epiretinal membrane (ERM) (Patient 2 in Table 1). Fundus and intraoperative photographs of the eye of a 60-year-old woman with an ERM. The eye had undergone combined 25-gauge microincision vitrectomy surgery (25G MIVS) and toric IOL implantation with posterior capsulotomy. (A) Intraoperative photograph of the anterior segment indicating a toric IOL implanted before 25G MIVS. (B) Intraoperative photograph of the fundus showing peeling of the epiretinal membrane, which was clearly visible through a toric IOL. (C) Intraoperative photograph of the anterior segment showing the center of the posterior capsule removed using a 25-gauge vitreous cutter. (D) The posterior capsule has been removed circularly and completely.

reported.<sup>26,27</sup> However, to the best of our knowledge, a procedure combining 25G MIVS and toric IOL implantation with posterior capsulotomy has not previously been reported, despite the large number of patients with concomitant vitreoretinal disease, cataracts, and pre-existing regular corneal astigmatism.

This report describes a technique combining 25G MIVS and toric IOL implantation with posterior capsulotomy.

#### **PATIENTS AND METHODS**

We reviewed the medical records of six consecutive eyes with retinal disease, cataracts, and corneal astigmatism that had undergone a combined surgery of phacoemulsification, toric IOL implantation with posterior capsulotomy, and 25G MIVS. The retinal diseases included epiretinal membrane (ERM) (eight eyes), macular hole (MH) (three eyes), and PDR (one eye). Preoperative demographics and surgical out-

comes are shown in Table 1. Only eyes that had undergone a combined surgery of phacoemulsification, toric IOL implantation with posterior capsulotomy, and 25G MIVS by a single surgeon (HK) at the Surgical Retina Service at Tohoku University Hospital in Sendai, Japan, from February 2011 through March 2012 were included. Eyes with no corneal astigmatism, zonular weakness, or history of 23-gauge MIVS were excluded.

After explanation of the procedure, its purpose, risks and benefits, informed consent was obtained. The study conformed to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the institutional review board of the Tohoku University School of Medicine.

#### **PREOPERATIVE ASSESSMENT AND INTRAOCULAR LENS**

All patients were examined by slit lamp with pupils completely dilated. Axial length was measured

**TABLE 1**  
**Patient Demographics and Visual Improvement After Implantation of the Toric IOL**  
**and Axis Rotation of the Toric IOL Between Follow-up Visits in 12 Eyes**

Patient No.	Age (Y)	Sex	Diagnosis	Preop Absolute Corneal Cylinder (D)	Postop Absolute Refractive Cylinder (D)	Visual Acuity (logMAR)				Intraop F/G Exchange	Axis of Toric IOL (Degrees)				
						UCVA		BCVA			Intended	1 Week Postop	1 Mos Postop	6 Mos Postop	Final Rotation
						Preop	Postop	Preop	Postop						
1	62	F	ERM	2.00	1.00	1.40	0.05	0.00	-0.08	N	101	91	93	95	6
2	60	F	ERM	2.00	0.25	1.15	0.00	0.05	-0.08	N	83	74	71	74	9
3	61	M	ERM	2.25	2.00	1.40	1.22	0.10	-0.08	N	86	89	87	89	3
4	70	F	ERM	1.50	0.25	0.22	0.05	0.22	-0.18	N	179	166	166	167	12
5	63	F	ERM	2.50	1.00	1.00	0.40	-0.08	0.30	N	96	93	91	92	4
6	53	M	ERM	1.50	0.50	1.05	0.05	0.00	-0.08	N	88	95	96	95	7
7	77	F	ERM	1.50	1.50	1.00	0.00	0.30	0.00	N	18	26	28	21	3
8	64	F	ERM	1.50	0.50	1.52	1.00	0.30	0.10	N	84	86	89	86	2
9	68	M	MH	2.75	0.75	0.70	0.52	0.70	0.10	Y	169	166	171	175	6
10	63	F	MH	2.00	0.75	0.70	-0.08	0.70	-0.08	Y	93	84	85	84	9
11	66	F	MH	1.25	0.75	0.82	0.40	0.70	-0.08	Y	53	52	51	50	3
12	66	F	PDR	1.75	0.25	1.40	-0.08	1.15	-0.08	N	169	168	166	173	4
Mean	64.4			1.88	0.79	1.03	0.29	0.34	-0.02						5.7

*Preoperative corneal cylinder versus postoperative refractive cylinder, Wilcoxon signed-rank test: P = .003. Preoperative UCVA versus postoperative UCVA, Wilcoxon signed-rank test: P = .002. Preoperative BCVA versus postoperative BCVA, Wilcoxon signed-rank test: P = .015.*

*IOL = intraocular lens; UCVA = uncorrected visual acuity; BCVA = best corrected visual acuity; logMAR = logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution; F/G = fluid-air; ERM = epiretinal membrane; MH = macular hole; PDR = proliferative diabetic retinopathy.*

using A-scan biometry (UD-7000; Tomey, Nagoya, Japan). Corneal astigmatism was determined by manual keratometry (TONOREF RKT-7700; Nidek, Aichi, Japan). The target postoperative spherical equivalent was aimed at emmetropia or  $-0.5$  using the SRK/2 formula. IOL cylinder power and alignment axis were calculated using a Web-based toric IOL calculator program (<http://www.acrysoftoriccalculator.com>). This was done in patients with pre-existing regular corneal astigmatism greater than 1 D, taking into account the keratometry readings and mandatory data input on the position of the incision and surgery-induced astigmatism at an 11 o'clock corneal incision (0.50 D). The toric IOL design was based on the one-piece AcrySof platform (Alcon Laboratories, Fort Worth, TX). The overall haptic length was 13.0 mm, and the optic diameter was 6.0 mm. Three IOL variations (AcrySof SN6AT3, SN6AT4, and SN6AT5) treat different levels of pre-existing corneal astigmatism.

Corneal, internal, and ocular aberration were assessed preoperatively and 1 week, 1 month, and 6 months postoperatively with a wavefront analyzer (KR-9000PW; Topcon, Tokyo, Japan).

With the patient seated and under slit lamp to avoid ocular torsion, the 6 o'clock position was marked at the corneal limbus using a 27-gauge needle.

#### **SURGICAL PROCEDURE**

Under retrobulbar anesthesia, first the actual implantation axis was marked using a two-blade DK axis marker 9-729 and Mendez degree gauge 9-707R-1 (both Duckworth & Kent, Hertfordshire, England). Then three ports for 25G MIVS were created using the oblique sclerotomy technique with the Accurus vitrectomy system (Alcon Laboratories, Fort Worth, TX).<sup>28</sup> An infusion cannula was inserted through the inferotemporal sclera, followed by the insertion of two cannulas through the superotemporal and the superonasal regions.

A 2.4-mm corneal incision at the 11 o'clock position, continuous curvilinear capsulorrhexis, phacoemulsification using the divide-and-conquer technique, and irrigation/aspiration were performed. The toric IOL was implanted into the capsular bag with a Monarch 3 injector (Alcon Laboratories, Fort Worth, TX) and a D cartridge. Subsequently, the IOL was rotated with a lens hook so that the cylindrical axis of the lens was aligned with the corneal marks of the corneal astigmatism. Then viscosurgical material was removed gently by irrigation/aspiration.

After completion of IOL implantation, a sutureless contact lens was placed on the cornea to view the vitreal cavity. 25G MIVS was performed, comprising core vitrectomy, creation of a posterior vitreal detach-

ment, peripheral vitrectomy, and ERM and internal limiting membrane (ILM) peeling. Endolaser photocoagulation was performed for the case with PDR. Fluid/air exchange was performed in the eyes with MH. Finally, just after the procedure was complete, the axis alignment of the implanted toric IOL was rechecked.

Antibiotics and corticosteroids were injected subconjunctivally at the end of surgery in all cases. All patients were prescribed a combination of antibiotic and corticosteroid eye drops four times daily and nonsteroid anti-inflammatory eye drops two times daily for 4 weeks.

#### **POSTOPERATIVE MEASUREMENTS**

One month postoperatively, uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA), best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), subjective refraction, and slit lamp examination were recorded, and postoperative corneal astigmatism was assessed by A-scan biometry. When the axis of the toric lens was measured, the pupil was dilated to enable visualization of the three dots on the optic periphery. The anterior segment was imaged with slit photography. A black measurement line and a circular scale in the periphery with single degree steps were then overlaid on the photograph so that the angle could be read. The axis of the toric IOL was evaluated at 1 week, 1 month, and 6 months postoperatively.


The patients were evaluated for intraoperative and postoperative complications associated with the surgery.

#### **STATISTICAL ANALYSES**


Data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. The significance of the difference between the pre- and postoperative data was determined by Wilcoxon signed-rank tests or the Friedman test. The decimal BCVA was converted to logarithm of the minimal angle resolution (logMAR) units for statistical analysis. A *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

#### **RESULTS**

As summarized in Table 1, there were three men and nine women, with a mean age at the time of surgery of  $64.4 \pm 5.9$  years. All patients underwent 25-gauge MIVS and implantation of the toric IOL with posterior capsulotomy. All of the IOLs were successfully fixed in the capsular bag. The ERMs and ILMs were successfully removed in all eight eyes with ERM, and the ILMs were removed and fluid/air exchange was performed after insertion of the toric IOL in the three eyes with MH. The implanted toric IOL was stable during the fluid/air exchange procedure,



**Figure 2.** Representative eye (Patient 2 in Table 1) with an epiretinal membrane (ERM) (Patient 2; see Table 1). Preoperative and postoperative findings of the eye of a 60-year-old woman with an ERM. The eye had undergone combined 25-gauge micro incision vitrectomy surgery (25G MIVS) and toric IOL implantation with posterior capsulotomy. (Upper left) Preoperative photograph of the right fundus and findings of optical coherent tomography showing ERM and thickened foveal thickness, respectively. (Upper right) Postoperative photograph of the right fundus and findings of optical coherent tomography showing no ERM and decreased foveal thickness, respectively. (Lower left) Preoperative findings of a wavefront analyzer showing cylindrical with-the-rule corneal astigmatism. The ocular total cylindrical astigmatism was decreased slightly because the internal cylindrical astigmatism (cataract) corrected the corneal astigmatism slightly. Preoperatively, logMAR uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) and best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) in this eye were 1.15 and 0.05, respectively. (Lower right) Postoperative findings of a wavefront analyzer showing cylindrical with-the-rule corneal astigmatism, unchanged from the preoperative condition. The ocular total cylindrical astigmatism was almost completely corrected postoperatively because the internal cylindrical astigmatism (toric IOL) had a strong corrective effect on the corneal astigmatism. Postoperatively, logMAR UCVA and BCVA in this eye were 0 and -0.08, respectively.



**Figure 3.** Representative eye with proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) (Patient 12 in Table 1). Fundus and intraoperative photographs of the eye of a 66-year-old woman with PDR. The eye had undergone combined 25-gauge microincision vitrectomy surgery (25G MIVS) using the wide-viewing system and toric IOL implantation with posterior capsulotomy (posterior capsulotomy). (A) Intraoperative photograph of the anterior segment indicating a toric IOL implanted before 25G MIVS. (B) Intraoperative photograph of the fundus showing endolaser photocoagulation being performed to complete panretinal photocoagulation, which was clearly visible through a toric IOL. (C) Intraoperative photograph of the anterior segment showing the center of the posterior capsule removed using a 25-gauge vitreous cutter. (D) The posterior capsule has been removed circularly and completely.

and the MH was closed postoperatively. In the eye with PDR, the vitreous hemorrhage was removed successfully and endolaser performed complete panretinal photocoagulation. In all cases, the IOL was stably fixed and remained well-positioned at final examination.

The preoperative absolute corneal cylinder (mean cylinder) was  $1.88 \pm 0.46$  D, and the 1-month postoperative absolute residual refractive cylinder was  $0.79 \pm 0.53$  D. The postoperative residual refractive cylinder was significantly lower than the pre-existing regular corneal cylinder ( $P = .003$ , Wilcoxon signed-rank test).

UCVA and BCVA improved in all cases. The mean postoperative logMAR UCVA at 1 and 6 months was  $0.31 \pm 0.42$  and  $0.29 \pm 0.43$ , respectively ( $P = 0.002$ , Wilcoxon signed-rank test), which was significantly better than the preoperative UCVA of  $1.03 \pm 0.38$  ( $P$

$= 0.002$ , Wilcoxon signed-rank test). The mean postoperative logMAR BCVA at 1 and 6 months was  $0.05 \pm 0.18$  and  $-0.02 \pm 0.13$  ( $P = .023$ , Wilcoxon signed-rank test), respectively, which was significantly better than the preoperative BCVA of  $0.34 \pm 0.38$  ( $P = .015$ , Wilcoxon signed-rank test). There was no difference between logMAR UCVA at 1 and 6 months ( $P = .69$ , Wilcoxon signed-rank test) or logMAR BCVA at 1 and 6 months ( $P = .35$ , Wilcoxon signed-rank test). The logMAR UCVA and BCVA improved to zero or less at 1 month in three of 12 patients (25%) and seven of 12 patients (58%), respectively. The logMAR UCVA and BCVA improved to 0 or less at 6 months in four of 12 patients (33%) and nine of 12 patients (75%), respectively.

In all cases, the IOL was stably fixed and remained well-positioned without a remarkable degree of rotation. The mean toric IOL axis rotation at 1 week, 1

month, and 6 months postoperatively was  $5.8 \pm 4.1^\circ$ ,  $6.4 \pm 4.0^\circ$ , and  $5.7 \pm 3.1^\circ$ , respectively, and the values were statistically similar at 1 week, 1 month, and 6 months ( $P = .64$ , Friedman test).

We did not suture the corneal wound or scleral ports in any of our cases. There were no intraoperative complications. In the eye with PDR, there was a temporary postoperative posterior iris synechia, but it released naturally, without any additional surgical intervention. No PCO, bacterial endophthalmitis, or other postoperative complications occurred.

Intraoperative findings in a representative case with ERM (Patient 2 in Table 1) are shown in Figure 1. Preoperative and postoperative findings in the same case (Patient 2 in Table 1) are shown in Figure 2. Intraoperative findings in a representative case with PDR (Patient 12 in Table 1) are shown in Figure 3. Preoperative and postoperative findings in the same case (Patient 12 in Table 1) are shown in Figure 4.

## DISCUSSION

We set out to evaluate the efficacy of combined 25G MIVS and toric IOL implantation with posterior capsulotomy. Our study shows that this procedure is a practical and safe method for use in eyes with both vitreoretinal disease and corneal astigmatism. We observed a postoperative residual refractive cylinder significantly lower than the pre-existing regular corneal cylinder, as well as very rapid and sustained improvement of postoperative vision, with no occurrence of PCO. Axis rotation of the toric IOLs was minimal, and they were stably fixed 1 week postoperatively, and remained thus 6 months postoperatively.

Our study supports existing evidence that rapid visual improvement time makes 25G MIVS preferable to conventional 20-gauge pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) for the treatment of macular pucker or macular hole.<sup>3,6</sup> When 20-gauge PPV is used, suturing of the sclerotomy sites is required, and the sutures can induce postoperative astigmatism.<sup>2,3,5-7</sup> Our study also confirms existing data showing that acrylic toric IOLs are rotationally stable in the first 6 months postoperatively.<sup>29,30</sup> Furthermore, our study confirms existing data showing that 25G MIVS with posterior capsulotomy can prevent PCO.<sup>26,27</sup>

To achieve high-quality vision at an early postoperative stage in patients with retinal disease and corneal astigmatism, it is necessary to both correct the corneal astigmatism and thoroughly treat the retinal disease. The astigmatism of cataracts can offset corneal astigmatism (Figure 2), which then affects vision negatively after 25G MIVS and conventional (nontoric) IOL implantation. Currently, many patients over 50 years of age with retinal disease and cataract un-


dergo a combination of 25G MIVS and IOL implantation, because if the cataract is left, it will progress after the operation. However, many such patients have regular corneal astigmatism and would benefit from use of a toric rather than conventional IOL. We believe that eyes with ERM, in particular, that start with high visual acuity should undergo combined 25G MIVS and toric IOL implantation if the eyes meet the criteria.

In our procedures, we simultaneously performed posterior capsulotomy. In posterior capsulotomy, the loss of the barrier between the anterior chamber and vitreous cavity raises concern about the possibility of postoperative endophthalmitis, but none occurred in our cases. We have reported that gas leaked from the vitreous cavity, through the posterior capsulotomy, and into the anterior chamber in cases in which fluid-air exchange was performed.<sup>27</sup> Thus, there was concern about the possibility of postoperative rotation of the toric IOL in our three cases with MH, because gas tamponade and a prone position can have negative effects on the implanted toric IOL. However, we saw no remarkable degree of rotation.

There are two advantages to combining 25G MIVS and toric IOL implantation with posterior capsulotomy. First, in patients with vitreoretinal disease requiring a vitrectomy combined with cataract surgery, a primary posterior capsulotomy technique using a 25-gauge vitreous cutter can prevent postoperative posterior capsule opacification,<sup>26</sup> thereby avoiding additional Nd:YAG laser treatment.<sup>27</sup> Secondly, posterior capsulotomy can release the fluid between the IOL and posterior capsule into the vitreous cavity, so that the posterior capsule can completely attach to the posterior surface of the IOL. This may prevent intraoperative and postoperative IOL rotation. Although fluid/air exchange was performed after the insertion of a toric IOL in cases with MH, the toric IOL was sufficiently stable, and there was no remarkable degree of axis rotation intraoperatively or postoperatively (Table 1, Patients 9 through 11). In our cases, the mean toric IOL axis rotation was statistically similar 1 week, 1 month, and 6 months postoperatively, and the mean rotation at 6 months was within  $6^\circ$ .

We did not have any visual difficulties in peeling ERMs and ILMs when the 25-gauge instruments were seen through the implanted toric IOL (Figure 1, upper right). We believe that during macular surgery for toric IOL-implanted eyes, vitreous surgeons should not experience any problems visualizing the macular lesion, because toric IOLs are made for the macula to focus on with less astigmatism. By contrast, if a peripheral lesion were seen through a floating contact lens and peripheral toric IOL, there could be prob-





**Figure 4.** Representative eye (Patient 12 in Table 1) with proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) (Patient 12; see Table 1). Preoperative and postoperative findings of the eye of a 66-year-old woman with PDR. The eye had undergone combined 25-gauge microincision vitrectomy surgery (25G MIVS) and toric IOL implantation with posterior capsulotomy. (Upper left) Preoperative photograph of the right fundus and findings of optical coherent tomography showing vitreous hemorrhage (VH) and low signal intensity due to VH, respectively. (Upper right) Postoperative photograph of the right fundus and findings of optical coherent tomography showing no VH and good foveal centralis, respectively. (Lower left) Preoperative findings of a wavefront analyzer showing cylindrical against-the-rule corneal astigmatism. The internal and ocular total astigmatism could not be detected due to VH. Preoperatively, logMAR uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) and best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) in this eye were 1.40 and 1.15, respectively. (Lower right) Postoperative findings of a wave-front analyzer showing cylindrical against-the-rule corneal astigmatism, unchanged from the preoperative condition. Internal and ocular total astigmatism could be detected because VH had been successfully removed by the 25G MIVS procedure. The ocular total cylindrical astigmatism was almost completely corrected postoperatively because the internal cylindrical astigmatism (toric IOL) had a strong corrective effect on the corneal astigmatism. Postoperatively, logMaAR UCVA and BCVA in this eye were both  $-0.08$ .

lems visualizing the peripheral lesion. However, the wide-viewing system should eliminate any trouble visualizing the macular and peripheral regions, because the system can theoretically visualize all retinal lesions solely through the small central region of the toric IOL. This region has little corrective effect on astigmatism, and the possibility of visual distortion for the surgeon is thus minimal. In fact, we used the wide-viewing system to perform peripheral vitrectomy and panretinal endolaser photocoagulation for the PDR eye (Patient 12 in Table 1; Figure 1, upper right) without difficulty. Thus, we recommend that a toric IOL should be inserted in the first half of the combined surgery (before vitrectomy), following which 25G MIVS should be performed through a conventional contact lens for the macular region and through the wide-viewing system for the peripheral region.

The reason why the toric IOL should be inserted in the first half of the combined surgery is to confirm its rotational stability. If the toric IOL were inserted at the end of the vitrectomy, the rotational stability of the toric IOL could not be completely confirmed intraoperatively. Vitreous surgeons need to confirm the stability of the toric IOL intraoperatively because of the chance that the implanted toric IOL could be unstable at an early phase after its insertion. However, we believe that in our cases, the toric IOL with posterior capsulotomy was stably positioned several minutes after insertion. We have previously reported the difficulty of seeing through the implanted IOL with posterior capsulotomy in cases in which fluid-air exchange is used.<sup>27</sup> This is because the retro-surface of the IOL can have an irregular reflex, or there can be dew in the fluid-air exchange. Therefore, in cases with retinal detachment that are expected to require intraoperative fluid-air exchange, phacovitrectomy with a toric IOL and posterior capsulotomy should be performed with caution.

If postoperative iris synechiae occur, the implanted toric IOL can be affected and become tilted. Therefore, it might be advisable to avoid our procedure in cases such as severe PDR, proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR), or uveitis, because they require lengthy surgery to treat the proliferative tissue and an injection of perfluoropropane.<sup>31</sup> However, we believe that under favorable conditions in the anterior and posterior segments, our technique is the best approach to treating retinal diseases with corneal astigmatism to achieve the highest quality of postoperative vision. Thus, preoperative examination of lenticular and retinal conditions is very important when considering 25G MIVS and toric IOL implantation with posterior capsulotomy.

The limitations of our study include its retrospective nature, small patient population, and short follow-up period. In addition, retinal diseases with corneal astigmatism can be accompanied by severe PDR, PVR, weak zonules, or pseudoexfoliation syndrome. Retinal conditions such as longstanding macula-off PDR or PVR would not be suitable for implanting a toric IOL using this technique, because the postoperative visual prognosis would be poor. Anterior conditions such as weak zonules or pseudoexfoliation syndrome would also contraindicate implanting a toric IOL using this technique because of the postoperative instability of the capsular bag.

In conclusion, it is possible to fix a toric IOL with posterior capsulotomy during 25G MIVS. This procedure is a practical and safe approach in eyes with concurrent vitreoretinal disease and corneal astigmatism. The pre-existing regular corneal cylinder is corrected efficiently and stably, and the improvement in postoperative vision is rapid and sustained, with no occurrence of PCO. Further investigation toward establishing this procedure as standard is merited. Taking corneal astigmatism into consideration when patients with retinal disease require surgical intervention may result in higher postoperative visual function.

## REFERENCES

- Galway G, Drury B, Cronin BG, Bourke RD. A comparison of induced astigmatism in 20- vs 25-gauge vitrectomy procedures. *Eye (Lond)*. 2010;24(2):315-317.
- Avitabile T, Castiglione F, Bonfiglio V. Transconjunctival sutureless 25-gauge versus 20-gauge standard vitrectomy: correlation between corneal topography and ultrasound biomicroscopy measurements of sclerotomy sites. *Cornea*. 2010;29(1):19-25.
- Shinoda H, Shinoda K, Satofuka S, et al. Visual recovery after vitrectomy for macular hole using 25-gauge instruments. *Acta Ophthalmol*. 2008;86(2):151-155.
- Valmaggia C. Pars plana vitrectomy with 25-gauge instruments in the treatment of idiopathic epiretinal membranes. *Klin Monbl Augenheilkd*. 2007;224(4):292-296.
- Okamoto F, Okamoto C, Sakata N, et al. Changes in corneal topography after 25-gauge transconjunctival sutureless vitrectomy versus after 20-gauge standard vitrectomy. *Ophthalmology*. 2007;114(12):2138-2141.
- Kadonosono K, Yamakawa T, Uchio E, et al. Comparison of visual function after epiretinal membrane removal by 20-gauge and 25-gauge vitrectomy. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2006;142(3):513-515.
- Yanyali A, Celik E, Horozoglu F, Nohurcu AF. Corneal topographic changes after transconjunctival (25-gauge) sutureless vitrectomy. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2005;140(5):939-941.
- Mendicutte J, Irigoyen C, Aramberri J, Ondarra A, Montés-Micó R. Foldable toric intraocular lens for astigmatism correction in cataract patients. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2008;34(4):601-607.
- Till JS, Yoder PR Jr., Wilcox TK, Spielman JL. Toric intraocular lens implantation: 100 consecutive cases. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2002;28(2):295-301.
- Ruhswurm I, Scholz U, Zehetmayer M, Hanselmayer G, Vass C, Skorpik C. Astigmatism correction with a foldable toric intraocular lens in cataract patients. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2000;26(7):1022-1027.
- Ferrer-Blasco T, Montés-Micó R, Peixoto-de-Matos SC, González-