

resulted in increased CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP β) production. Conditioned medium (CM) from HSCs induced hepatocyte production of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, such as IL-6, IL-8, and MIP-1 β , which are potential targets of C/EBP β . Stimulation of these cytokines and chemokines in HCV-infected cells by HSC CM was suppressed by knockdown of mRNA for C/EBP β . From the chemokines secreted by HSCs, IL-1 α was identified as the inducer of MIP-1 β . These results suggest HSCs may contribute to virus infection-associated liver inflammation through cross talk with HCV-infected hepatocytes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells. LX2 cells (kindly provided by S. Friedman), NP-2-CCR5 cells (kindly provided by T. Hoshino), and Huh7.5 cells (kindly provided by C. Rice) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 μ g/ml penicillin and streptomycin, and 100 U/ml nonessential amino acids (Invitrogen). To maintain the quality of the cells, stored frozen stocks were thawed every 3 months and used in the experiments.

Plasmids. DNA fragments encoding each of the HCV nonstructural proteins were generated from a full-length cDNA clone of JFH1 by PCR. The fragments were cloned into pCAG-GS/N-Flag, in which the sequence encoding a Flag tag is inserted at the 5' terminus of the cloning site of pCAG-GS.

Virus. Infectious HCV in cell culture (HCVcc) was produced by transfection of Huh7.5 cells with *in vitro*-transcribed RNA derived from JFH1 (kindly provided by T. Wakita) or TNS2J1 (the chimeric HCV genome containing HCV-1b in the structure region and JFH1 non-structural-protein-coding regions). UV-irradiated JFH1 was prepared by irradiation with a UV lamp of 254-nm wavelength at a distance of 6 cm for 1 min.

HCV infection. Huh7.5 cells were infected with JFH1 at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5. Under this condition, 80 to 90% of the cells became positive for HCV core protein after 3 days.

Preparation of conditioned medium from Huh7.5 or LX2 cells. Huh7.5 or LX2 cells (1×10^6) were seeded in 10 ml of medium in a 100-mm dish for 3 days. Supernatants were collected and filtered through 0.45- μ m-pore-size filters.

Chemotaxis of NP-2-CCR5 cells. A 60-fold concentration of Huh7.5 or LX2 CM was generated by tapping in a filter membrane that cut off the 100-kDa-molecular-mass marker protein (100,000-molecular-weight-cutoff filter) and was used for experimental stimulations. Huh7.5 or JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells (Huh7.5/JFH1 cells) were treated with each concentrated CM. After 24 h of treatment, the medium was changed to serum-free DMEM for 24 h and then used for chemotaxis assays. Chemotaxis of NP-2-CCR5 cells was measured in a 48-well chemotaxis chamber (Neuro Probe). The chamber consisted of a 48-well upper chamber and a 48-well lower chamber separated by a polycarbonate filter (pore size, 8 μ m) coated with rat tail collagen. The lower wells were filled with each conditioned medium. The NP-2-CCR5 cells were washed and suspended in serum-free DMEM in the absence or presence of 0.1 nM maraviroc and then divided in the upper wells (5,000 cells per well). After incubation at 37°C for 180 min, the cells that had migrated into the lower well of the 48-well chemotaxis chamber were counted by Diff-Quik staining.

Quantitative RT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted from cells using RNeasy minikit (Qiagen), and cDNA was prepared with SuperscriptIII (Invitrogen) using oligo(dT) primers. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed with Fast SYBR green master mix (Applied Biosystems), and fluorescent signals were analyzed with the Fast RT-PCR system (Applied Biosystems). The PCR primer pairs are described in Table 1.

siRNA transfection. Small interfering RNA (siRNA) was transfected using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The duplex nucleotides of siRNA specific to the mRNA for C/EBP β (5'-GAAGAAACGUCUAUGUGUA-3') and the Mission siRNA universal negative control were purchased from Sigma. Syn-

TABLE 1 Real-time PCR primers

Primer	Sequence (5'-3')
CXCL1-F	GCAGGGAATTCACCCCAAGAAC
CXCL1-R	CTATGGGGGATGCAGGATTGAG
CXCL2-F	CCAACTGACCAGAAGGAAGGAG
CXCL2-R	ATGGCCTCCAGGTTCATCATCAG
CXCL5-F	TGAGAGAGCTGGCTTGCCTTTG
CXCL5-R	TTCCCTTCCCCTTCTTCAGGGAG
CXCL6-F	CTGCGTTGCATTGTTTACGCG
CXCL6-R	GGGTCCAGACAAACTTGCTTCC
IL-1alpha-F	AGCTATGGCCCACTCCATGAAG
IL-1alpha-R	ACATTAGGCGCAATCCAGGTGG
IL-6-F	CCCCCAGGAGAAGATTCCAAAG
IL-6-R	TTCTGCCAGTGCCCTTTTGCTG
IL-7-F	ATTCCGTGCTGCTCGCAAGTTG
IL-7-R	AACCTGGCCAGTGCAGTTCAAC
IL-8-F	CTGTTAAATCTGGCAACCCTAGTCT
IL-8-R	CAAGGCACAGTGGAAACAAGGA
MIP-1alpha-F	GCTGACTACTTTGAGACGAGC
MIP-1alpha-R	CCAGTCCATAGAAGAGGTAGC
MIP-1beta-F	CAGCGCTCTCAGCACCACATGG
MIP-1beta-R	GATCAGCACAGACTTGGCTTGCTTC
C/EBP-beta-F	CTCGCAGGTCAAGAGCAAAG
C/EBP-beta-R	GACAGCTGCTCCACCTTCTT
Collagen-F	AACATGACCAAAAACCAAAAGTG
Collagen-R	CATTGTTTCTGTGTCTTCTGG
IL-1R-F	CCTGTCTTATGGCGTTGCAGGC
IL-1R-R	AGTGCCTGGGCTGCTATTGAC

thetic siRNA specific to mRNA for IL-1 receptor-associated kinase 1 (IRAK1) (5'-CCCGGGCAAUUCAGUUUCUACAUCA-3') and the Stealth RNA interference (RNAi) negative control duplex were purchased from Invitrogen.

Cytokine antibody array. LX2 cells (1×10^6) were seeded in 10 ml of medium in a 100-mm dish for 2 days. The supernatant was then changed to 0.2% FBS-DMEM. Two days after incubation, the supernatants were collected and then concentrated by using a 100,000-molecular-weight-cutoff filter. The trapped and flowthrough fractions were dialyzed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 18 h. The amount of protein in each fraction was determined using a bicinchoninate protein assay kit (Nacalai Tesque). Three milligrams of each fraction was subjected to the cytokine antibody array.

The expression levels of 507 human proteins in the trap and flowthrough fractions from the LX2 cells were determined using biotin labeled human antibody array I (Raybiotech) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

RESULTS

LX2 cells induce MIP-1 β expression in JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells. Our preliminary results indicated that coculturing human hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) with HCV-infected cells stimulated the expression of MIP-1 β , which was found to be one of most upregulated chemokines. Here, we focused on its role as a marker of inflammation.

To investigate whether human HSCs play a role in the proinflammatory response of HCV-infected cells, JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells were cocultured with LX2 cells, which are an HSC line generated by spontaneous immortalization in low-serum conditions (15). The expression of MIP-1 β mRNA was then determined by qRT-PCR. Compared to the level in uninfected Huh7.5 cells, HCV infection induced a low level of MIP-1 β expression (Fig. 1A, Huh7.5/JFH1). Moreover, MIP-1 β expression




FIG 1 Conditioned medium from LX2 induces MIP-1 β expression in JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells. (A) Huh7.5 cells (1×10^5 cells) and JFH1-infected Huh7.5 (Huh7.5/JFH1) cells (1×10^5 cells) were cultured alone or in the presence of LX2 cells (1×10^5 cells) for 24 h. The level of MIP-1 β was measured by qRT-PCR. Quantitative analysis of the PCR data was performed using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method, and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) C_T values were used for normalization. The fold changes are relative to values for Huh7.5 cells. (B) Huh7.5 cells and JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells (Huh7.5/JFH1) were cultured alone or in the presence of LX2, 293T, HeLa, or THP-1 cells for 24 h. The expression level of MIP-1 β was measured by qRT-PCR as described for panel A. (C) Huh7.5 cells and JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells were treated with conditioned medium from Huh7.5 (Huh7.5 CM) or LX2 (LX2 CM) cells for 24 h. The expression level of MIP-1 β was measured by qRT-PCR as described for panel A. (D) Huh7.5, JFH1-infected Huh7.5 (Huh7.5/JFH1), Huh7/NNC, Huh7.5/TNS2J1, and Huh7.5/SGR-JFH1 cells were treated with CM from Huh7.5 or LX2 cells for 24 h. The expression level of MIP-1 β was measured by qRT-PCR as described for panel A. (E) Huh7.5 and JFH1-infected Huh7.5 (Huh7.5/JFH1) cells were treated with Huh7.5 CM, LX2 CM, or LI90 CM for 24 h. The expression level of MIP-1 β was measured by qRT-PCR as described for panel A. (F) LX2 cells were treated with 2.5 ng/ml TGF- β 1 for 24 h, and then the level of collagen mRNA in these cells was determined by qRT-PCR (left). Huh7.5 or JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells were treated with LX2 CM or TGF- β 1-stimulated LX2 CM for 24 h. The expression level of MIP-1 β was measured by qRT-PCR as described for panel A (right). The results are representative of three independent experiments, and the error bars represent the standard deviation of the means.

was significantly enhanced in JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells after they were cocultured with LX2 cells (Fig. 1A, Huh7.5/JFH1+LX2). Importantly, MIP-1 β expression was undetectable or very low in LX2 cells alone or in uninfected Huh7.5 cells cocultured with LX2 (Fig. 1A, LX2 and Huh7.5+LX2). Interestingly,

increased MIP-1 β expression in JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells was specifically induced by cocultivation with LX2 cells. Cocultivation with other cell lines, such as 293T, HeLa, and THP-1, had no effect on MIP-1 β expression in JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells (Fig. 1B). These results suggest that Huh7.5 cells produce MIP-1 β in re-

sponse to HCV infection and that LX2 cells increase MIP-1 β expression in HCV-infected Huh7.5 cells.

We next determined whether MIP-1 β induction by LX2 cells in HCV-infected cells was mediated by a secreted soluble factor(s). Huh7.5 and JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells were treated with conditioned medium (CM) from Huh7.5 or LX2 cells (Fig. 1C). As expected, Huh7.5 cells had no response to Huh7.5 CM or LX2 CM. However, we observed that LX2 CM, but not Huh7.5 CM, stimulated MIP-1 β expression in JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells. These results indicated that LX2 cells secrete a factor that stimulates MIP-1 β expression.

To address whether MIP-1 β stimulation after culturing with LX2 CM is dependent on HCV genotype or on the maintenance of the HCV replicon in cells, we used Huh7.5 cells that carry different types of the HCV genome. Huh7/NNC cells are Huh7 cells that contain the noninfectious full HCV genotype 1b, Huh7.5/SGR-JFH1 cells contain the subgenome replicon of JFH1, and Huh7.5/TNS2J1 cells have the infectious chimeric HCV genome, which consists of an HCV-1b-derived sequence in the structural-protein-coding region and a JFH-derived sequence in nonstructural-protein-coding region (Fig. 1D). In Huh7.5/TNS2J1 cells, MIP-1 β expression was significantly induced by LX2 CM (47-fold), though there was a lower level of expression than what was observed in JFH1-infected cells (272-fold). By contrast, NNC and SGR-JFH1 had no effect on MIP-1 β expression. These results demonstrate that LX2 CM-induced stimulation of MIP-1 β expression may require a productive HCV infection (see also Fig. 6).

Because LX2 cells are a human hepatic stellate cell line that was established by immortalization, we confirmed our findings by using another human hepatic stellate cell line, LI90, which was derived from a human mesenchymal liver tumor (16). When uninfected or JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells were treated with LI90 CM, MIP-1 β expression was increased only in the JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells. These results are similar to those found after addition of LX2 CM to JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells (Fig. 1E).

Activated hepatic stellate cells play a critical role in inflammation, yet the functional impact they have on hepatocytes has not yet been determined. Therefore, we evaluated whether the activation of LX2 cells affects the expression of MIP-1 β in JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells. LX2 cells were treated with TGF- β 1, and the mRNA expression of the collagen gene, a marker of HSC activation, was measured (Fig. 1F, left). LX2 CM from activated cells significantly enhanced MIP-1 β expression in JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells but not in uninfected Huh7.5 cells, compared to the increase with nonactivated LX2 CM (Fig. 1F, right). In parallel experiments, TGF- β 1-treated Huh7.5 CM did not affect MIP-1 β expression in Huh7.5 or JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells.

The supernatant from JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells cultured with LX2 CM induces migration of NP-2-CCR5 cells. MIP-1 β is a physiological ligand for the CCR5 receptor. To test whether MIP-1 β produced by HCV-infected hepatocytes that have been cultured with LX2 CM has this activity, we performed a chemotactic assay using NP-2-CCR5 cells, a human glioma-derived cell line expressing CCR5 on its cell surface (Fig. 2). The treatment of NP-2-CCR5 cells with supernatant from LX2 CM-stimulated JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells increased their migration by 2-fold compared to treatment with supernatant from uninfected Huh7.5 cells treated with LX2 CM. This increase in NP-2-CCR5 cell migration was blocked with maraviroc (a CCR5 antagonist) treat-




FIG 2 Conditioned medium from JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells treated with LX2-conditioned medium induces chemotaxis of NP-2-CCR5 cells. Huh7.5 CM or LX2 CM was concentrated 60-fold using a 100,000-molecular-weight-cutoff membrane filter. Huh7.5 and Huh7.5/JFH1 cells were treated with each concentrated medium (S). After 24 h of treatment, the medium was changed to serum free DMEM for 24 h. The chemotactic activity of each conditioned medium (C) was determined by using 5 nM maraviroc (CCR5 inhibitor) and NP-2-CCR5 cells, as described in Materials and Methods. The results are representative of three independent experiments, and the error bars represent the standard deviations of the means. DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.

ment. These results indicated that LX2 CM induces secretion of a physiologically functional MIP-1 β by JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells.

Identification of the factor in LX2 CM responsible for MIP-1 β stimulation. We first fractionated culture medium of Huh7.5 or LX2 cells using a membrane filter which cut off the 100-kDa-molecular-mass marker protein and then collected the trapped and flowthrough fractions. As expected, MIP-1 β expression in uninfected Huh7.5 or JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells did not increase after treatment with the trap or the flowthrough fraction of the Huh7.5 CM (Fig. 3A). By contrast, the trap fraction of LX2 CM enhanced MIP-1 β expression in JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells, suggesting that the stimulator in the LX2 CM was enriched in the 100-kDa-molecular-mass-cutoff filter. To further analyze the trap fraction of LX2 CM, we created a cytokine antibody array (Fig. 3B). By analyzing 507 cytokines and chemokines in the array, we found four candidates (TSG-14, monocyte chemoattractant protein 2 [MCP-2], MCP-3, and IL-1 α), which were more concentrated by the 100-kDa-molecular-mass-cutoff filter than by the flowthrough fraction of LX2 CM (Fig. 3B, compare 100K-Flow through to 100K-Trap). We tested the effects of these candidates on stimulation of MIP-1 β expression (Fig. 4A). Although recombinant TSG-14, MCP-2, or MCP-3 did not stimulate MIP-1 β expression in Huh7.5 cells or JFH1-infected cells, recombinant IL-1 α induced MIP-1 β expression in only JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells. This effect was dose dependent (Fig. 4B). To evaluate whether IL-1 α is required for MIP-1 β stimulation in JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells by LX2 CM, we used a neutralizing antibody against IL-1 α and the IL-1 receptor antagonist. LX2 CM stimulated MIP-1 β expression in JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells, whereas anti-IL-1 α and the IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RA) blocked MIP-1 β stimulation (Fig. 4C). Additionally, the neutralizing antibody against IL-1 β had no effect (data not shown). It is not clear why IL-1 α of about 30 kDa was concentrated into the trap fraction. However, it is likely that IL-1 α is formed at a large mass with other proteins in the culture medium to be contained. Moreover, knockdown of IRAK1, which is essential for the downstream sig-




FIG 3 Identification of a factor(s) in the LX2 conditioned medium that is responsible for induction of MIP-1 β expression. (A) Huh7.5 CM or LX2 CM was concentrated using a 100,000-molecular-weight-cutoff membrane filter. Huh7.5 and JFH1-infected Huh7.5 (Huh7.5/JFH1) cells were treated with each unconcentrated conditioned medium, with the flowthrough fraction (100K-FT), or with the trap fraction (100K-T). The MIP-1 β expression level was analyzed by qRT-PCR as described for Fig. 1A. (B) LX2 CM was concentrated using a 100,000-molecular-weight-cutoff membrane filter. A cytokine antibody array (RayBiotech) was used for the simultaneous detection of 507 inflammatory factors. The antibody-coated membrane was incubated with the trap fraction (100K-Trap) or with the flowthrough fraction (100K-Flow through). Representative spots (TSG-14, MCP-1, MCP-3, and IL-1 α) are shown.

nal of IL-1R, impaired the response to LX2 CM (Fig. 4D). These results suggest that IL-1 α contributes to stimulation of MIP-1 β expression by LX2 CM and that JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells are highly sensitive to IL-1 α . Furthermore, considering the molecular weight of IL-1 α , it is possible that an unknown amount of IL-1 α , undetectable by the cytokine antibody array, passed through the filter, which caused activation of MIP-1 β by the 100,000-molecular-weight-cutoff flowthrough fraction. Alternatively, a factor(s) other than IL-1 α in the 100,000-molecular-weight-cutoff flowthrough fraction might have been responsible for the activation.

The transcription factor C/EBP β mediates LX2 CM-stimulated MIP-1 β production. IL-1 is one of the most important proinflammatory cytokines and binds to the cell surface IL-1 type I receptor to activate downstream signaling pathways such as IKK-NF- κ B, extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK), Jun N-terminal protein kinase (JNK), p38, and C/EBP β . Moreover, the MIP-1 β promoter contains a C/EBP β motif located between bp -222 and -100, and the C/EBP β promoter is required for a functional response to IL-1 β in human chondrocytes (17). To evaluate whether LX2 CM-stimulated MIP-1 β expression involves C/EBP β stimulation, the level of C/EBP β mRNA was measured in uninfected and HCV-infected cells. C/EBP β expression was higher in Huh7.5/JFH1 and Huh7.5/TNS2J1 cells than in Huh7.5, Huh7/NNC, and Huh7.5/SGR-JFH1 cells (Fig. 5A). This result correlates with MIP-1 β stimulation shown in Fig. 1D. Additionally, LX2 CM induced low levels of C/EBP β expression in Huh7.5/JFH1 and Huh7.5/TNS2J1 cells; induction was likely caused by IL-1 α (Fig. 5A). To further confirm that the enhancement of MIP-1 β expression is mediated by C/EBP β , we performed experiments where uninfected or JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells were transduced with either a control or a C/EBP β -specific siRNA and then treated with Huh7.5 CM or LX2 CM. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis demonstrated that the siRNAs targeting C/EBP β significantly suppressed endogenous C/EBP β expression (Fig. 5B). Depletion of C/EBP β

significantly reduced the MIP-1 β expression stimulated by LX2 CM in JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells. Residual stimulation of MIP-1 β seems to be attributable to imperfect knockdown of C/EBP β (70 to 80%). However, currently we cannot rule out the possibility of the involvement of other transcription factor(s) in the activation of MIP-1 β expression. Furthermore, cytokines (IL-6, IL-8, CXCL2, CXCL1, MIP-1 β , and MIP-1 α) that were enhanced by C/EBP β expression were upregulated by LX2 CM only in JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells (Fig. 5D). There was no induction of C/EBP β -independent cytokines (IL-7, CXCL6, CXCL5, IL-1 α , and IL-1R) after the addition of LX2 CM. None of these cytokines were induced by LX2 CM in uninfected Huh7.5 cells. These data indicate that HCV stimulates C/EBP β expression, which confers upon HCV-infected Huh7.5 cells the ability to produce proinflammatory cytokines in response to LX2 CM.

Early steps of the HCV life cycle trigger MIP-1 β stimulation by LX2 CM. We observed that Huh7.5/TNS2J1 and Huh7.5/JFH1 cells, but not Huh7/NNC or Huh7.5/SGR-JFH1 cells, could respond to LX2 CM (Fig. 1D), suggesting that the production of infectious HCV is required for MIP-1 β induction. However, it remains to be determined whether infectious HCV particles actually induce MIP-1 β expression in the presence of LX2 CM. To address this question, we used JFH1-CL3B, which is a virus that is defective in the production of virus particles because of mutations in domain III of NS5A; however, the self-replication ability of its genome is normal (18). As shown in Fig. 6A, JFH1-CL3B genome-bearing Huh7.5 cells responded to neither Huh7.5 CM nor LX2 CM. These data suggest that productive infection of HCV is an essential event for MIP-1 β induction. To characterize the mechanism that mediates LX2 CM-stimulated MIP-1 β induction in HCV-infected cells, we studied the temporal kinetics of MIP-1 β expression. In JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells, MIP-1 β expression did not increase in the first 2 h after infection but it started to increase after 4 h in the presence of LX2 CM (Fig. 6B). Furthermore,




FIG 4 IL-1 α induces MIP-1 β expression in JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells. (A) Huh7.5 and JFH1-infected Huh7.5 (Huh7.5/JFH1) cells were treated with recombinant MCP-2 (10 ng/ml), recombinant MCP-3 (rMCP-3; 10 ng/ml), recombinant TSG14 (10 ng/ml), or recombinant IL-1 α (10 pg/ml) for 24 h. The level of MIP-1 β expression was analyzed by qRT-PCR as described for Fig. 1A. (B) Huh7.5 and JFH1-infected Huh7.5 (Huh7.5/JFH1) cells were treated with various amounts of recombinant IL-1 α (0, 0.1, 1.0, 10, or 100 pg/ml) for 24 h. The level of MIP-1 β expression was analyzed by qRT-PCR as described for Fig. 1A. (C) JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells were treated with Huh7.5 CM or LX2 CM along with an isotype control (0.2 μ g/ml), anti-IL-1 α (0.2 μ g/ml), or IL-1RA (100 μ g/ml) for 24 h. The level of MIP-1 β expression was analyzed by qRT-PCR as described for Fig. 1A. (D) Huh7.5 and Huh7.5/JFH1 cells were transfected with 50 nM control siRNA (siControl) or 50 nM IRAK1 siRNA (siIRAK1). At 48 h after transfection, cells were treated with Huh7.5 CM or LX2 CM. The level of MIP-1 β expression was analyzed by qRT-PCR as described for Fig. 1A. The results are representative of three independent experiments, and the error bars represent the standard deviations of the means.

MIP-1 β induction in Huh7.5/JFH1 cells that were cultured in the presence of LX2 CM was suppressed by pretreatment of the cells with a neutralizing antibody against anti-CD81. To further address whether MIP-1 β induction by LX2 CM in Huh7.5/JFH1 cells is due to the binding of HCV E1/E2 to the receptor, JFH1-infected cells were UV irradiated and used to infect Huh7.5 cells. Huh7.5 cells infected with UV-irradiated JFH1 did not show MIP-1 β induction stimulated by LX2 CM (Fig. 6D). In addition, ectopic expression of HCV proteins in Huh7.5 cells did not respond to the LX2 CM (Fig. 6E). These results suggest that MIP-1 β expression is required for HCV infection at postentry.

DISCUSSION

HCV-specific CTLs are concentrated within the liver during chronic infection, and they may control viral replication and contribute to progressive liver disease (19). Recruitment of T cells to the liver is required for the expression of CCR5 on activated T cells (20). Intrahepatic expression of the ligands for CCR5 (RANTES, MIP-1 β , and MIP-1 α) is elevated in HCV patients, and these

chemokines have been linked to a high degree of liver inflammation (21). However, the mechanism mediating the stimulation of these cytokines is unclear. In this study, we demonstrated that cross talk between HCV-infected hepatocytes and human stellate cells (HSCs) induced inflammatory cytokines and chemokines. Importantly, uninfected hepatocytes are tolerant of HSC stimulation. Of note, HCV has no direct effect on LX2 cells with regard to MIP-1 β stimulation because MIP-1 β expression in Huh7.5/JFH1 cells was induced by treatment with CM from JFH1-treated LX2 cells as well as LX2 CM.

We identified IL-1 α as an inducer of cytokines and chemokines in HCV-infected hepatocytes. These cells are highly sensitive to IL-1 α (Fig. 4). Previous reports have indicated that the HCV core and NS3 proteins, which induced IL-1 receptor-associated kinase (IRAK) activity, triggered inflammatory cell activation through TLR-2 (22). IRAK activation by HCV infection may contribute to the induction of cytokines and chemokines by HSCs. We addressed this point and found that knockdown of IRAK1 in HCV-




FIG 5 Conditioned medium from LX2 induces C/EBP β -mediated proinflammatory gene expression in JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells. (A) The indicated cells were treated with Huh7.5 CM or LX2 CM for 24 h. The level of C/EBP β expression was analyzed by qRT-PCR as described for Fig. 1A. (B and C) Huh7.5 cells and JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells were transfected with 50 nM control siRNA or siC/EBP β . After 24 h of transfection, the cells were treated with Huh7.5 CM or LX2 CM for 24 h. The levels of C/EBP β (B) and MIP-1 β (C) expression were analyzed by qRT-PCR as described for Fig. 1A. (D) Huh7.5 cells and JFH1-infected Huh7.5 cells were treated with Huh7.5 CM or LX2 CM for 24 h. The levels of the indicated cytokines and chemokines were measured by qRT-PCR, as described for Fig. 1A. The results are representative of three independent experiments.

infected hepatocytes indicated that the response to HSC CM was impaired (Fig. 4D). By contrast, another group reported that HCV NS5A inhibits recruitment of IRAK to MyD88, resulting in suppression of the TLR signaling pathways in macrophage cell lines that stably expressed HCV NS5A (23). Currently, there is no evidence to suggest that HCV infects macrophages or that macrophages uptake functional NS5A to modulate TLR signaling. These conflicting results may be explained by the differences in cell types.

Our results suggest that IL-1 α produced from hepatic stellate cells contributes to the inflammation by HCV infection *in vivo*. Another report shows augmented expression of IL-1 α , tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α), and IL-2 in HCV-infected patients compared to controls (24). Further, IL-1 α plays roles in the liver to induce the acute-phase response of inflammation and autoactivation of Kupffer cells (25). Brain tissue from HCV patients, which is positive for HCV RNA and virus proteins, showed a significantly higher level of IL-1 α than HCV-negative controls. Higher levels of proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-1 α , IL-1 β , TNF- α , IL-12, and IL-18 correlate with neurocognitive dysfunction among HCV patients. These results suggest that IL-1 α is an important factor of HCV-related inflammation (26).

The relative importance of C/EBP β in the stimulation of IL-1-

inducible genes, such as the MIP-1 α , MIP-1 β , and IL-6 genes, is still not fully understood. Our results indicate that HCV-infected cells, which exhibited a 3- to 5-fold enhancement of C/EBP β mRNA expression compared to uninfected cells (Fig. 5A), responded to IL-1 α for the induction of MIP-1 β mRNA synthesis. MIP-1 β expression required the presence of C/EBP β because knockdown of C/EBP β significantly decreased LX2 CM-induced MIP-1 β expression (Fig. 5C). To further address the relationship between C/EBP β and MIP-1 β , we analyzed the levels of C/EBP β and MIP-1 β mRNAs in uninfected Huh7.5 and Huh7.5/JFH1 cells in the presence of IL-1 α (1 to 100 pg/ml) (data not shown). Compared with Huh7.5 cells, C/EBP β expression was modestly induced in Huh7.5/JFH1 cells (about 4-fold) in the presence of 100 pg/ml IL-1 α . By contrast, MIP-1 β expression in Huh7.5/JFH1 cells at this dose of IL-1 α was strongly induced (300-fold). These results indicate that IL-1 α -induced MIP-1 β mRNA induction in Huh7.5/JFH1 cells cannot be fully explained by the slight increase of C/EBP β mRNA expression. It is known that C/EBP β is modified posttranslationally (27, 28). C/EBP β is phosphorylated by the Ras/mitogen-activated protein kinase (Ras/MAPK) pathway (29, 30), and a Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase (31) or ERK1/ERK2 stimulates the transactivation potential of C/EBP β (32). C/EBP β in HCV-infected cells may also be activated by a




FIG 6 HCV entry is required for induction of MIP-1 β expression by LX2 CM. (A) Huh7.5, JFH1-infected Huh7.5 (Huh7.5/JFH1), and JFH1-CL3B-infected Huh7.5 (Huh7.5/JFH1-CL3B) cells were treated with Huh7.5 CM or LX2 CM for 24 h. The level of MIP-1 β expression was analyzed by qRT-PCR as described for Fig. 1A. (B) Huh7.5 cells were treated with Huh7.5 CM or LX2 CM. After 24 h of treatment, cells were infected with JFH1 for 2, 4, or 6 h. The level of MIP-1 β expression was analyzed by qRT-PCR as described for Fig. 1A. (C) Huh7.5 cells were treated with Huh7.5 CM or LX2 CM in the presence of an isotype control IgG or anti-CD81 antibody (1 μ g/ml). After 24 h of treatment, the cells were infected with JFH1 in the presence of an isotype control IgG or anti-CD81 antibody (1 μ g/ml) for 2, 4, or 6 h. The level of MIP-1 β expression was analyzed by qRT-PCR as described for Fig. 1A. (D) HCV JFH1 was UV irradiated and used to infect Huh7.5 cells. At 24 h after infection, cells were treated with Huh7.5 CM or LX2 CM. The level of MIP-1 β expression was analyzed by qRT-PCR as described for Fig. 1A. (E) Huh7.5 cells expressing the indicated HCV proteins were treated with Huh7.5 CM or LX2 CM for 24 h. The levels of MIP-1 β expression were analyzed by qRT-PCR as described for Fig. 1A. The results are representative of three independent experiments, and the error bars represent the standard deviations of the means.

posttranslational modification in response to IL-1 treatment. It is possible that activation of the MAPK (33) and ERK pathways (34) by HCV infection induces phosphorylation of C/EBP β , leading to a highly sensitive IL-1 response. Further studies will be required to clarify the details of how HCV modulates increased MIP1- β production through both the C/EBP β and IL-1 receptor signaling pathways.

This study revealed that induction of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines by cross talk between HSCs and HCV-infected hepatocytes primarily involves the activation of C/EBP β -dependent gene transcription, such as transcription of the IL-6, IL-8, CXCL-1, and MIP-1 β genes (Fig. 5). A recent study demonstrated that the HCV NS5A protein induces C/EBP β expression (35). However, treatment of Huh7.5 cells that expressed NS5A with HCS

CM did not induce MIP-1 β expression significantly (Fig. 6E). We also observed only a marginal increase in MIP-1 β mRNA level by solitary expression of each HCV protein in Huh7.5 cells by addition of LX2 CM (Fig. 6E).

Moreover, previous reports have suggested that ER stress, which is induced by HCV infection, leads to the generation of mature sterol regulatory element-binding protein 1 (SREBP-1) (36, 37) and that the mature SREBP-1c can bind to the C/EBP β promoter (36, 38), leading to induction of C/EBP β . Additionally, SREBP-1c promoter activity was upregulated in core-transgenic mice in a PA28 γ -dependent manner (39). Indeed, we observed that endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress-related genes and SREBP-1c expression were enhanced by HCV infection. However, induction of SREBP-1c is not involved in this process because HCV-infected cells that had SREBP-1c knocked down could still respond to HSC CM (data not shown).

Chronic inflammation is triggered by many events that also can increase the risk of developing cancer. For example, *Helicobacter pylori* is associated with gastric cancer, and inflammatory bowel disease is associated with colon cancer. In particular, IL-6 is one of the clinical targets for cancer-related inflammation (2). The level of IL-6 expression correlates with a rapid progression from hepatitis to hepatocellular carcinomas (40). The Ras and Jak/Stat pathway, which is downstream of the IL-6 receptor, is activated in hepatocellular carcinomas that have a poor prognosis (41). Additionally, IL-8 is a proinflammatory cytokine that specifically attracts and activates human neutrophils. In HCV patients, pegylated IFN- α -2a (PEG-IFN- α -2a) and ribavirin therapy decreased the neutrophil count in virologic responders compared to nonresponders (42). Enhancement of expression of these cytokines as well as MIP-1 β , described here, suggests that the cross talk between HCV-infected hepatocytes and HSCs polarizes the cytokine profile toward a Th2-type immune response.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the cross talk between HSCs and HCV-infected hepatocytes results in the induction of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, which promote the migration of CCR5-expressing cells in *in vitro* experiments. These results suggest that the induction of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines by HCV infection may recruit inflammatory cells such as cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) and neutrophils to the liver, which induces liver cell injury leading to chronic hepatitis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to H. Hoshino at Gunma University, C. Rice at The Rockefeller University, S. Friedman at Mount Sinai School of Medicine, and T. Wakita at the National Institute of Infectious Diseases for their contributions of research materials and to H. Yamamoto and R. Shiina for their technical assistance.

This work was partly supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), by the MEXT-Supported Program for the Strategic Research Foundation at Private Universities, and by grants-in-aid for research on hepatitis from the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare of Japan.

REFERENCES

- Bartosch B, Thimme R, Blum HE, Zoulim F. 2009. Hepatitis C virus-induced hepatocarcinogenesis. *J. Hepatol.* 51:810–820.
- Bowen DG, Walker CM. 2005. Adaptive immune responses in acute and chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *Nature* 436:946–952.
- Liaw YF, Lee CS, Tsai SL, Liaw BW, Chen TC, Sheen IS, Chu CM. 1995. T-cell-mediated autologous hepatocytotoxicity in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *Hepatology* 22:1368–1373.
- Shields PL, Morland CM, Salmon M, Qin S, Hubscher SG, Adams DH. 1999. Chemokine and chemokine receptor interactions provide a mechanism for selective T cell recruitment to specific liver compartments within hepatitis C-infected liver. *J. Immunol.* 163:6236–6243.
- Harvey CE, Post JJ, Palladinetti P, Freeman AJ, Ffrench RA, Kumar RK, Marinos G, Lloyd AR. 2003. Expression of the chemokine IP-10 (CXCL10) by hepatocytes in chronic hepatitis C virus infection correlates with histological severity and lobular inflammation. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 74:360–369.
- Napoli J, Bishop GA, McGuinness PH, Painter DM, McCaughan GW. 1996. Progressive liver injury in chronic hepatitis C infection correlates with increased intrahepatic expression of Th1-associated cytokines. *Hepatology* 24:759–765.
- Basu A, Meyer K, Lai KK, Saito K, Di Bisceglie AM, Grosso LE, Ray RB, Ray R. 2006. Microarray analyses and molecular profiling of Stat3 signaling pathway induced by hepatitis C virus core protein in human hepatocytes. *Virology* 349:347–358.
- Li K, Li NL, Wei D, Pfeffer SR, Fan M, Pfeffer LM. 2012. Activation of chemokine and inflammatory cytokine response in hepatitis C virus-infected hepatocytes depends on Toll-like receptor 3 sensing of hepatitis C virus double-stranded RNA intermediates. *Hepatology* 55:666–675.
- Wagoner J, Austin M, Green J, Imaizumi T, Casola A, Brasier A, Khabar KS, Wakita T, Gale M, Jr, Polyak SJ. 2007. Regulation of CXCL-8 (interleukin-8) induction by double-stranded RNA signaling pathways during hepatitis C virus infection. *J. Virol.* 81:309–318.
- Yu GY, He G, Li CY, Tang M, Grivennikov S, Tsai WT, Wu MS, Hsu CW, Tsai Y, Wang LH, Karin M. 2012. Hepatic expression of HCV RNA-dependent RNA polymerase triggers innate immune signaling and cytokine production. *Mol. Cell* 48:313–321.
- Friedman SL. 2008. Hepatic stellate cells: protean, multifunctional, and enigmatic cells of the liver. *Physiol. Rev.* 88:125–172.
- Friedman SL. 2008. Mechanisms of hepatic fibrogenesis. *Gastroenterology* 134:1655–1669.
- Hernandez-Gea V, Friedman SL. 2011. Pathogenesis of liver fibrosis. *Annu. Rev. Pathol.* 6:425–456.
- Couloarn C, Corlu A, Glaise D, Guénon I, Thorgeirsson SS, Clément B. 2012. Hepatocyte-stellate cell cross-talk in the liver engenders a permissive inflammatory microenvironment that drives progression in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Res.* 72:2533–2542.
- Xu L, Hui AY, Albanis E, Arthur MJ, O'Byrne SM, Blaner WS, Mukherjee P, Friedman SL, Eng FJ. 2005. Human hepatic stellate cell lines, LX-1 and LX-2: new tools for analysis of hepatic fibrosis. *Gut* 54:142–151.
- Murakami K, Abe T, Miyazawa M, Yamaguchi M, Masuda T, Matsuura T, Nagamori S, Takeuchi K, Abe K, Kyogoku M. 1995. Establishment of a new human cell line, LI90, exhibiting characteristics of hepatic Ito (fat-storing) cells. *Lab. Invest.* 72:731–739.
- Zhang Z, Bryan JL, DeLassus E, Chang LW, Liao W, Sandell LJ. 2010. CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein β and NF- κ B mediate high level expression of chemokine genes CCL3 and CCL4 by human chondrocytes in response to IL-1 β . *J. Biol. Chem.* 285:33092–33103.
- Masaki T, Suzuki R, Murakami K, Aizaki H, Ishii K, Murayama A, Date T, Matsuura Y, Miyamura T, Wakita T, Suzuki T. 2008. Interaction of hepatitis C virus nonstructural protein 5A with core protein is critical for the production of infectious virus particles. *J. Virol.* 82:7964–7976.
- Freeman AJ, Pan Y, Harvey CE, Post JJ, Law MG, White PA, Rawlinson WD, Lloyd AR, Marinos G, Ffrench RA. 2003. The presence of an intrahepatic cytotoxic T lymphocyte response is associated with low viral load in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *J. Hepatol.* 38:349–356.
- Zeremski M, Petrovic LM, Talal AH. 2007. The role of chemokines as inflammatory mediators in chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *J. Viral Hepat.* 14:675–687.
- Wald O, Weiss ID, Galun E, Peled A. 2007. Chemokines in hepatitis C virus infection: pathogenesis, prognosis and therapeutics. *Cytokine* 39:50–62.
- Dolganic A, Oak S, Kodys K, Golenbock DT, Finberg RW, Kurt-Jones E, Szabo G. 2004. Hepatitis C core and nonstructural 3 proteins trigger toll-like receptor 2-mediated pathways and inflammatory activation. *Gastroenterology* 127:1513–1524.
- Abe T, Kaname Y, Hamamoto I, Tsuda Y, Wen X, Tagawa S, Moriishi

- K, Takeuchi O, Kawai T, Kanto T, Hayashi N, Akira S, Matsuura Y. 2007. Hepatitis C virus nonstructural protein 5A modulates the toll-like receptor-MyD88-dependent signaling pathway in macrophage cell lines. *J. Virol.* 81:8953–8966.
24. Kasprzak A, Zabel M, Biczysko W, Wysocki J, Adamek A, Spachacz R, Surdyk-Zasada J. 2004. Expression of cytokines (TNF-alpha, IL-1alpha, and IL-2) in chronic hepatitis C: comparative hybridocytochemical and immunocytochemical study in children and adult patients. *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* 52:29–38.
 25. Winwood PJ, Arthur MJ. 1993. Kupffer cells: their activation and role in animal models of liver injury and human liver disease. *Semin. Liver Dis.* 13:50–59.
 26. Wilkinson J, Radkowski M, Eschbacher JM, Laskus T. 2010. Activation of brain macrophages/microglia cells in hepatitis C infection. *Gut* 59: 1394–1400.
 27. Harrison JR, Kelly PL, Pilbeam CC. 2000. Involvement of CCAAT enhancer binding protein transcription factors in the regulation of prostaglandin G/H synthase 2 expression by interleukin-1 in osteoblastic MC3T3-E1 cells. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* 15:1138–1146.
 28. Akira S, Isshiki H, Sugita T, Tanabe O, Kinoshita S, Nishio Y, Nakajima T, Hirano T, Kishimoto T. 1990. A nuclear factor for IL-6 expression (NF-IL6) is a member of a C/EBP family. *EMBO. J.* 9:1897–1906.
 29. Nakajima T, Kinoshita S, Sasagawa T, Sasaki K, Naruto M, Kishimoto T, Akira S. 1993. Phosphorylation at threonine-235 by a ras-dependent mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade is essential for transcription factor NF-IL6. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 90:2207–2211.
 30. Zhu S, Yoon K, Sterneck E, Johnson PF, Smart RC. 2002. CCAAT/enhancer binding protein-beta is a mediator of keratinocyte survival and skin tumorigenesis involving oncogenic Ras signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 99:207–212.
 31. Wegner M, Cao Z, Rosenfeld MG. 1992. Calcium-regulated phosphorylation within the leucine zipper of C/EBP beta. *Science* 256:370–373.
 32. Giltiay NV, Karakashian AA, Alimov AP, Ligthle S, Nikolova-Karakashian MN. 2005. Ceramide- and ERK-dependent pathway for the activation of CCAAT/enhancer binding protein by interleukin-1beta in hepatocytes. *J. Lipid Res.* 46:2497–2505.
 33. George A, Panda S, Kudmulwar D, Chhatbar SP, Nayak SC, Krishnan HH. 2012. Hepatitis C virus NS5A binds to the mRNA cap-binding eukaryotic translation initiation 4F (eIF4F) complex and up-regulates host translation initiation machinery through eIF4E-binding protein 1 inactivation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287:5042–5058.
 34. Zhao LJ, Wang L, Ren H, Cao J, Li L, Ke JS, Qi ZT. 2005. Hepatitis C virus E2 protein promotes human hepatoma cell proliferation through the MAPK/ERK signaling pathway via cellular receptors. *Exp. Cell Res.* 305: 23–32.
 35. Qadri I, Choudhury M, Rahman SM, Knotts TA, Janssen RC, Schaack J, Iwahashi M, Puljak L, Simon FR, Kilic G, Fitz JG, Friedman JE. 2012. Increased phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase gene expression and steatosis during hepatitis C virus subgenome replication: role of nonstructural component 5A and CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein beta. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287:37340–37351.
 36. Lei X, Zhang S, Barbour SE, Bohrer A, Ford EL, Koizumi A, Papa FR, Ramanadham S. 2010. Spontaneous development of endoplasmic reticulum stress that can lead to diabetes mellitus is associated with higher calcium-independent phospholipase A2 expression: a role for regulation by SREBP-1. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285:6693–6705.
 37. Joyce MA, Walters KA, Lamb SE, Yeh MM, Zhu LF, Kneteman N, Doyle JS, Katze MG, Tyrrell DL. 2009. HCV induces oxidative and ER stress, and sensitizes infected cells to apoptosis in SCID/Alb-uPA mice. *PLoS Pathog.* 5:e1000291. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000291.
 38. Le Lay S, Lefrère I, Trautwein C, Dugail I, Krief S. 2002. Insulin and sterol-regulatory element-binding protein-1c (SREBP-1C) regulation of gene expression in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. Identification of CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein beta as an SREBP-1C target. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277: 35625–35634.
 39. Moriishi K, Mochizuki R, Moriya K, Miyamoto H, Mori Y, Abe T, Murata S, Tanaka K, Miyamura T, Suzuki T, Koike K, Matsuura Y. 2007. Critical role of PA28gamma in hepatitis C virus-associated steatogenesis and hepatocarcinogenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104:1661–1666.
 40. Wong VW, Yu J, Cheng AS, Wong GL, Chan HY, Chu ES, Ng EK, Chan FK, Sung JJ, Chan HL. 2009. High serum interleukin-6 level predicts future hepatocellular carcinoma development in patients with chronic hepatitis B. *Int. J. Cancer* 124:2766–2770.
 41. Calvisi DF, Ladu S, Gorden A, Farina M, Conner EA, Lee JS, Factor VM, Thorgerisson SS. 2006. Ubiquitous activation of Ras and Jak/Stat pathways in human HCC. *Gastroenterology* 130:1117–1128.
 42. Chung RT, Poordad FF, Hassanein T, Zhou X, Lentz E, Prabhakar A, Di Bisceglie AM. 2010. Association of host pharmacodynamic effects with virologic response to pegylated interferon alfa-2a/ribavirin in chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 52:1906–1914.

ARTICLE

Received 24 Sep 2012 | Accepted 10 Apr 2013 | Published 14 May 2013

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms2857

Toll-like receptor 3 recognizes incomplete stem structures in single-stranded viral RNA

Megumi Tatematsu¹, Fumiko Nishikawa², Tsukasa Seya¹ & Misako Matsumoto¹

Endosomal Toll-like receptor 3 (TLR3) serves as a sensor of viral infection and sterile tissue necrosis. Although TLR3 recognizes double-stranded RNA, little is known about structural features of virus- or host-derived RNAs that activate TLR3 in infection/inflammatory states. Here we demonstrate that poliovirus-derived single-stranded RNA segments harbouring stem structures with bulge/internal loops are potent TLR3 agonists. Functional poliovirus-RNAs are resistant to degradation and efficiently induce interferon- α/β and proinflammatory cytokines in human and mouse cells in a TLR3-dependent manner. The N- and C-terminal double-stranded RNA-binding sites of TLR3 are required for poliovirus-RNA-mediated TLR3 activation. Like polyriboinosinic:polyribocytidylic acid, a synthetic double-stranded RNA, these RNAs are internalized into cells via raftlin-mediated endocytosis and colocalized with TLR3. Raftlin-associated RNA uptake machinery and the TLR3 RNA-sensing system appear to recognize an appropriate topology of multiple RNA duplexes in poliovirus-RNAs. Hence, TLR3 is a sensor of extracellular viral/host RNA with stable stem structures derived from infection or inflammation-damaged cells.

¹Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine, Kita 15, Nishi 7, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-8638, Japan.

²Biomedical Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Tsukuba 305-8566, Japan. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.M. (email: matumoto@pop.med.hokudai.ac.jp).