(加藤)	(MSR1) restricts hepatitis C virus replication by mediating toll-like receptor 3 recognition of viral RNAs produced in neighboring cells.	PLoS Pathogens	9	e1003345	2013
Mori K, (加藤)	Adenosine kinase is a key determinant for the anti-HCV activity of ribavirin.	Hepatology	58	1236-1244	2013
Ueda Y, (加藤)	New preclinical antimalarial drugs potently inhibit hepatitis C virus genotype 1b RNA replication.	PLoS ONE	8	e72519	2013
Shinohara Y, (加藤)	Unfolded protein response pathways regulate Hepatitis C virus replication via modulation of autophagy.	Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications	432	326-332	2013
Tanaka T, (加藤)	Hepatitis C virus NS4B targets lipid droplets through hydrophobic residues in the amphipathic helices.	Journal of Lipid Research	54	881-892	2013
Sato A, (加藤)	Suppressive effect of the histone deacetylase inhibitor, suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA), on hepatitis C virus replication via epigenetic changes in host cells.	Journal of Cell Biochemistry	114	1987-1996	2013
Tanaka T, (加藤)	Direct targeting of proteins to lipid droplets demonstrated by time-lapse live cell imaging.	Joural of Bioscience and Bioengineering	116	620-623	2013
Ding Q, (加藤)	Hepatitis C virus NS4B blocks the interaction of STING and TBK1 to evade host innate immunity.	Journal of Hepatology	59	52-58	2013
Shinohara Y, (加藤)	Hepatic triglyceride lipase plays an essential role in changing the lipid metabolism in genotype 1b hepatitis C virus replicon cells and hepatitis C patients.	Hepatology Research	43	1190-1198	2013
Ban S, (加藤)	Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor delta antagonists inhibit hepatitis C virus RNA replication.	Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters	23	4774-4778	2013
Shen H, (加藤)	Inhibitory effects of caffeic acid phenethyl ester derivatives on replication of hepatitis C virus.	PLoS ONE	8	E82299	2013
Katoh H, Okamoto T, Fukuhara T, Kambara H, Morita E, Mori Y, Kamitani W, Matsuura Y.	Japanese Encephalitis Virus Core Protein Inhibits Stress Granule Formation through an Interaction with Caprin-1 and Facilitates Viral Propagation	J Virol	87	489-502	2013
Lee H, Komano J, Saitoh Y, Yamaoka S, Kozaki T, Misawa T, Takahama M, Satoh T, Takeuchi O, Yamamoto N, Matsuura Y, Saitoh T, Akira S.	Zinc-finger antiviral protein mediates retinoic acid inducible gene I-like receptor-independent antiviral response to murine leukemia virus	Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A	110	12379-12384	2013
Yoshio S, Kanto T, Kuroda S, Matsubara T, Higashitani K, Kakita N, Ishida H,	Human BDCA3 (+) dendritic cells are a potent producer of IFN-λ in response to hepatitis C virus	Hepatology	57	1705-1715	2013

Hiramatsu N, Nagano H, Sugiyama M, Murata K, Fukuhara T, Matsuura Y, Hayashi N, Mizokami M, Takehara T.					
Kimura T, Katoh H, Kayama H, Saiga H, Okuyama M, Okamoto T, Umemoto E, Matsuura Y, Yamamoto M, Takeda K.	Ifit1 inhibits Japanese encephalitis virus replication through binding to 5' capped 2'-O unmethylated RNA	J Virol	87	9997-10003	2013
Tripathi LP, Kambara H, Chen YA, Nishimura Y, Moriishi K, Okamoto T, Morita E, Abe T, Mori Y, Matsuura Y, Mizuguchi K.	Understanding the biological context of NS5A-host interactions in HCV infection: a network-based approach	J Proteome Res	12	2537-2551	2013
Shen H, Yamashita A, Nakakoshi M, Yokoe H, Sudo M, Kasai H, Tanaka T, Fujimoto Y, Ikeda M, Kato N, Sakamoto N, Shindo H, Maekawa S, Enomoto N, Tsubuki M, Moriishi K	Inhibitory effects of caffeic Acid phenethyl ester derivatives on replication of hepatitis C virus	PLOS ONE	8	e82299	2013
Tani J, Shimamoto S, Mori K, Kato N, Moriishi K, Matsuura Y, Tokumitsu H, Tsuchiya M, Fujimoto T, Kato K, Miyoshi H, Masaki T, Kobayashi R	Ca(2+) /S100 proteins regulate HCV NS5A-FKBP8/FKBP38 interaction and HCV virus RNA replication., 33: 1008-1018, 2013	Liver Int.	33,	1008-1018	2013
Ogawa Y, Kawamura T, Matsuzawa T, Aoki R, Gee P, Yamashita A, Moriishi K, Yamasaki K, Koyanagi Y, Blauvelt A, Shimada S	Antimicrobial Peptide LL-37 Produced by HSV-2-Infected Keratinocytes Enhances HIV Infection of Langerhans Cells	Cell Host Microbe	13	77-86	2013
Miura M, Maekawa S, Takano S, Komatsu N, Tatsumi A, Asakawa Y, Shindo K, Amemiya F, Nakayama Y, Inoue T, Sakamoto M, Yamashita A, Moriishi K, Enomoto N	Deep-Sequencing Analysis of the Association between the Quasispecies Nature of the Hepatitis C Virus Core Region and Disease Progression	J. Virol	87:	12541-12551	2013
Matsuzawa T, Kawamura T, Ogawa Y, Takahashi M, Aoki R, Moriishi K, Koyanagi Y, Gatanaga H, Blauvelt A, Shimada S	Oral administration of the CCR5 inhibitor, maraviroc, blocks HIV ex vivo infection of Langerhans cells within epithelium.	J. Invest. Dermatol.	133	2803-2805	2013
Hashimoto K, Yamada S, Katano H, Fukuchi S, Sato Y, Kato M, Yamaguchi T, Moriishi K, Inoue N	Effects of immunization of pregnant guinea pigs with guinea pig cytomegalovirus glycoprotein B on viral spread in the placenta	Vaccine	31:	3199-3205	2013
Aoki R, Kawamura T, Goshima F, Ogawa Y, Nakae S, Nakao A, Moriishi K, Nishiyama Y, Shimada S	Mast Cells Play a Key Role in Host Defense against Herpes Simplex Virus Infection through TNF-alpha and IL-6 Production	J. Invest. Dermatol	133	2170-2179	2013
Ratnoglik SL., Aoki C., Sudarmono P., Komoto M., Deng L., Shoji I., Fuchino H., Kawahara N., and Hotta H.	Antiviral activity of extracts from Morinda citrifolia leaves and chlorophyll catabolites pheophorbide a and pyropheophorbide a, against hepatitis C virus.	Microbiol. Immunol.		doi: 10.1111/1348-0421.12133	2014

Adianti M., Aoki C., Komoto M., Deng L., <u>Shoji I.</u>, Wahyuni T., Lusida M., Soetjipto S., Fuchino H., Kawahara N., and Hotta H.	Anti-hepatitis C virus compounds obtained from Glycyrrhiza uralensis and other Glycyrrhiza species.	Microbiol. Immunol.		doi: 10.1111/1348-0421.12127	2014
Tao RR, Huang JY, Lu YM, Hong LJ, Wang H, Masood MA, Ye WF, Zhu DY, Huang Q, Fukunaga K, Lou YJ, <u>Shoji I</u>, Wilcox CS, Lai EY, Han F.	Nitrosative stress induces peroxiredoxin 1 ubiquitination during ischemic insult via E6AP activation in endothelial cells both in vitro and in vivo.	Antioxid & Redox Sign.		doi: 10.1089/ars.2013.5381	2013
Wahyuni TS., Tumewu L., Permanasari AA., Apriani E., Adianti M., Rahman A., Widyawaruyanti A., Lusida MI., Fuad A., Soetjipto, Nasronudin, Fuchino H., Kawahara N., <u>Shoji I.</u>, Deng L., Aoki C., and Hotta H.	Antiviral activities of Indonesian medicinal plants in the East Java region against hepatitis C virus.	Virol. J.	10	259, 1-9	2013
Ichimura T, Taoka M, <u>Shoji I</u>, Kato H, Hatakeyama S, Isobe T, and Hachiya N.	14-3-3 Proteins sequester a pool of soluble TRIM32 ubiquitin ligase to repress autoubiquitination and cytoplasmic body formation.	J. Cell. Sci.	126	2014-2026	2013
El-Shamy A, Shindo M, <u>Shoji I</u>, Deng L, Okuno T, and Hotta H.	Polymorphisms of the Core, NS3 and NS5A proteins of hepatitis C virus genotype 1b associate with development of hepatocellular carcinoma.	Hepatology	58	555-563	2013
Ratnoglik SL., Aoki C., Sudarmono P., Komoto M., Deng L., <u>Shoji I.</u>, Fuchino H., Kawahara N., and Hotta H.	Antiviral activity of extracts from Morinda citrifolia leaves and chlorophyll catabolites pheophorbide a and pyropheophorbide a, against hepatitis C virus.	Microbiol. Immunol.		doi: 10.1111/1348-0421.12133	2014
Mori K, Hiraoka O, Ikeda M, <u>Ariumi Y</u>, Hiramoto A, Wataya Y, <u>Kato N</u>.	Adenosine kinase is a key determinant for the anti-HCV activity of ribavirin.	Hepatology	58	1236-1244	2013
Yasuda-Inoue M, Kuroki M, <u>Ariumi Y</u>.	DDX3 RNA helicase is required for HIV-1 Tat function.	Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.	441	607-611	2013
Yasuda-Inoue M, Kuroki M, <u>Ariumi Y</u>.	Distinct DDX DEAD-box RNA helicases cooperate to modulate the HIV-1 Rev function.	Biochem.Biophys. Res. Commun.	434	803-808	2013
Yagita Y, Kuse N, Kuroki K, Gatanaga H, Carlson JM, Chikata T, Brumme ZL, Murakoshi H, Akahoshi T, Pfeifer N, Mallal S, John M, Ose T, Matsubara H, Kanda R, Fukunaga Y, Honda K, Kawashima Y, <u>Ariumi Y</u>, Oka S, Maenaka K, Takiguchi M.	Distinct HIV-1 escape patterns selected by cytotoxic T cells with identical epitope specificity	J. Virol.	87	2253-2263	2013
Kuroki M, <u>Ariumi Y</u>, Hijikata M, Ikeda M, Dansako H, Wakita T, Shimotohno K, <u>Kato N</u>.	PML tumore suppressor protein is required for HCV production.	Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.	430	592-597	2013

42

IV. 研究成果の刊行物・別冊

Signal Peptidase Complex Subunit 1 Participates in the Assembly of Hepatitis C Virus through an Interaction with E2 and NS2

Ryosuke Suzuki[1]*, Mami Matsuda[1], Koichi Watashi[1], Hideki Aizaki[1], Yoshiharu Matsuura[2], Takaji Wakita[1], Tetsuro Suzuki[3]*

1 Department of Virology II, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, Japan, 2 Research Institute for Microbial Diseases, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan, 3 Department of Infectious Diseases, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, Shizuoka, Japan

Abstract

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) nonstructural protein 2 (NS2) is a hydrophobic, transmembrane protein that is required not only for NS2-NS3 cleavage, but also for infectious virus production. To identify cellular factors that interact with NS2 and are important for HCV propagation, we screened a human liver cDNA library by split-ubiquitin membrane yeast two-hybrid assay using full-length NS2 as a bait, and identified signal peptidase complex subunit 1 (SPCS1), which is a component of the microsomal signal peptidase complex. Silencing of endogenous SPCS1 resulted in markedly reduced production of infectious HCV, whereas neither processing of structural proteins, cell entry, RNA replication, nor release of virus from the cells was impaired. Propagation of Japanese encephalitis virus was not affected by knockdown of SPCS1, suggesting that SPCS1 does not widely modulate the viral lifecycles of the Flaviviridae family. SPCS1 was found to interact with both NS2 and E2. A complex of NS2, E2, and SPCS1 was formed in cells as demonstrated by co-immunoprecipitation assays. Knockdown of SPCS1 impaired interaction of NS2 with E2. Our findings suggest that SPCS1 plays a key role in the formation of the membrane-associated NS2-E2 complex via its interaction with NS2 and E2, which leads to a coordinating interaction between the structural and non-structural proteins and facilitates the early step of assembly of infectious particles.

Introduction

Over 170 million people worldwide are chronically-infected with hepatitis C virus (HCV), and are at risk of developing chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma [1]. HCV is an enveloped virus of the family Flaviviridae, and its genome is an uncapped 9.6-kb positive-strand RNA consisting of the 5′ untranslated region (UTR), an open reading frame encoding viral proteins, and the 3′ UTR [2]. A precursor polyprotein is further processed into structural proteins (Core, E1, and E2), followed by p7 and nonstructural (NS) proteins (NS2, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5A, and NS5B), by cellular and viral proteases. The structural proteins (Core to E2) and p7 reside in the N-terminal region, and are processed by signal peptidase from the polyprotein. NS2, NS3, and NS4A are prerequisites for proteolytic processing of the NS proteins. NS3 to NS5B are considered to assemble into a membrane-associated HCV RNA replicase complex. NS3 also possesses activities of helicase and nucleotide triphosphatase. NS4 is a cofactor that activates the NS3 protease. NS4B induces vesicular membrane alteration. NS5A is considered to play an important but undefined role in viral RNA replication. NS5B is the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. It is now accepted that NS proteins, such as NS2, NS3, and NS5A, contribute to the assembly or release of infectious HCV [3–9].

NS2 protein is a transmembrane protein of 21–23 kDa, with highly hydrophobic N-terminal residues forming transmembrane helices that insert into the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane [5,10]. The C-terminal part of NS2 resides in the cytoplasm, enabling zinc-stimulated NS2/3 autoprotease activity together with the N-terminal domain of NS3. The crystal structure of the C-terminal region of NS2 reveals a dimeric cysteine protease containing two composite active sites [11]. Prior work showed that NS2 is not essential for RNA replication of subgenomic replicons [12]; however, the protein is required for virus assembly independently of protease activity [5,6]. Several adaptive mutations in NS2 that increase virus production have been reported [13–17]. In addition, there is increasing evidence for genetic and biochemical interaction of NS2 with other HCV proteins, including E1, E2, p7, NS3-4A, and NS5A [10,18–25]. Thus, NS2 is now suggested to act as a scaffold to coordinate interactions between the structural and NS proteins for viral assembly. However, the molecular mechanism by which NS2 is involved in virus assembly remains unclear.

In this study, we identified signal peptidase complex subunit 1 (SPCS1) as a host factor that interacts with NS2 by yeast two-hybrid screening with a split-ubiquitin system. SPCS1 is a component of the microsomal signal peptidase complex which is

Author Summary

Viruses hijack host cells and utilize host-derived proteins for viral propagation. In the case of hepatitis C virus (HCV), many host factors have been identified that are required for genome replication; however, only a little is known about cellular proteins that interact with HCV proteins and are important for the viral assembly process. The C-terminal half of nonstructural protein 2 (NS2), and the N-terminal third of NS3, form the NS2-3 protease that cleaves the NS2/3 junction. NS2 also plays a key role in the viral assembly process independently of the protease activity. We performed split-ubiquitin yeast two-hybrid screening and identified signal peptidase complex subunit 1 (SPCS1), which is a subunit of the microsomal signal peptidase complex. In this study, we provide evidence that SPCS1 interacts with both NS2 and E2, resulting in E2-SPCS1-NS2 complex formation, and has a critical role in the assembly of infectious HCV particles. To our knowledge, SPCS1 is the first NS2-interacting cellular factor that is involved in regulation of the HCV lifecycle.

responsible for the cleavage of signal peptides of many secreted or membrane-associated proteins. We show that SPCS1 is a novel host factor that participates in the assembly process of HCV through an interaction with NS2 and E2.

Results

SPCS1 is a novel host protein that interacts with HCV NS2 protein

To gain a better understanding of the functional role of NS2 in the HCV lifecycle, we screened a human liver cDNA library by employing a split-ubiquitin membrane yeast two-hybrid system with the use of NS2 as a bait. It is known that the split ubiquitin-based two-hybrid system makes it possible to study protein-protein interactions between integral membrane proteins at the natural sites of interactions in cells [26]. From the screening, several positive clones were identified from the 13 million transformants, and the nucleotide sequences of the clones were determined. A BLAST search revealed that one of the positive clones encodes a full-length coding region of signal peptidase complex subunit 1 (SPCS1). SPCS1 is a component of the microsomal signal peptidase complex which consists of five different subunit proteins in mammalian cells [27]. Although catalytic activity for SPCS1 has not been indicated to date, a yeast homolog of this subunit is involved in efficient membrane protein processing as a component of the signal peptidase complex [28].

To determine the specific interaction of NS2 with SPCS1 in mammalian cells, FLAG-tagged NS2 (FLAG-NS2; Fig. 1A) was co-expressed in 293T cells with myc-tagged SPCS1 (SPCS1-myc; Fig. 1A), followed by co-immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting. SPCS1 was shown to be co-immunoprecipitated with NS2 (Fig. 1B). Co-immunoprecipitation of SPCS1-myc with NS2 was also observed in the lysate of Huh-7 cells infected with cell culture-produced HCV (HCVcc) derived from JFH-1 isolate [29] (Fig. 1C). To determine the region of SPCS1 responsible for the interaction with NS2, deletion mutants of myc-tagged SPCS1 were constructed (Fig. 1A) and co-expressed with FLAG-tagged NS2. Since the expression of C-terminal deletion mutants, d3 and d4, was difficult to detect (Fig. 1D), N-terminal deletions (d1 and d2) as well as wild-type SPCS1 were subjected to immunoprecipitation analysis. SPCS1-myc, -d1, and -d2 were co-immunoprecipitated with NS2 (Fig. 1E), suggesting that the SPCS1 region spanning amino acids

(aa) 43 to 102 is involved in its interaction with NS2. Next, to identify the NS2 region responsible for its interaction with SPCS1, deletion mutants for FLAG-NS2 (Fig. 1A) were co-expressed with SPCS1-myc-d2 in cells, followed by being immunoprecipitated with anti-myc antibody. SPCS1 was co-immunoprecipitated with the NS2 deletions, except for a mutant lacking transmembrane (TM) 2 and TM3 (dTM23) domains (Fig. 1F). These finding suggests that the TM3 region of NS2 is involved in the interaction with SPCS1.

To investigate SPCS1-NS2 interaction *in situ*, the proximity ligation assay (PLA) [30], which is based on antibodies tagged with circular DNA probes, was used. Only when the antibodies are in close proximity, the probes can be ligated together and subsequently be amplified with a polymerase. We were able to detect PLA signal predominantly in the cytoplasm of the cells expressing FLAG-NS2 and SPCS1-myc-d2 tagged with V5 at N-terminus (Fig. 1G). By contrast, the PLA signal was not observed in the context of NS2-Core co-expression. We further analyzed the SPCS1-NS2 interaction by the monomeric Kusabira-Green (mKG) system [31], which is based on fusion proteins with complementary fragments (mKG-N and mKG-C) of the monomeric coral fluorescent reporter protein. When the mKG fragments are in close proximity due to the protein-protein interaction, the mKG fragments form a beta-barrel structure and emit green fluorescence. Co-expression of SPCS1-mKG-N and NS2-mKG-C fusion proteins in cells reconstituted green cellular fluorescence as shown in Fig. 1H. Thus, these results represented structures with SPCS1 and NS2 in close proximity, and strongly suggest their physical interaction in cells.

SPCS1 participates in the propagation of infectious HCV particles

To investigate the role(s) of endogenous SPCS1 in the propagation of HCV, four small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) for SPCS1 with different target sequences or scrambled control siRNA were transfected into Huh7.5.1 cells, followed by infection with HCVcc. Among the four SPCS1-siRNAs, the highest knockdown level was observed by siRNA #2. siRNAs #3 and #4 showed moderate reductions of SPCS1 expression, and only a marginal effect was obtained from siRNA #1 (Fig. 2A). As indicated in Fig. 2B, the infectious viral titer in the culture supernatant was significantly reduced by the knockdown of SPCS1. It should be noted that the infectious titers correlated well with the expression levels of endogenous SPCS1. siRNA #2 reduced the HCV titer to ~5% of the control level in Huh7.5.1 cells. To rule out the possibility of off-target effect of SPCS1-siRNA on HCV propagation, we also used "C911" mismatch control siRNAs in which bases 9 through 11 of siRNAs are replaced with their complements but other parts of antisense- and sense-strand sequences are kept intact. These mismatch designed-control siRNAs have been shown to reduce the down-regulation of the targeted mRNA, but maintains the off-target effects of the original siRNA [32]. The C911 controls against SPCS1-siRNA #2, #3, and #4 (C911-#2, -#3, and -#4) showed little effect on knockdown of SPCS1 as well as propagation of HCV (Fig. S1A and B).

We further determined the loss- and gain-of-function of SPCS1 on HCV propagation in an SPCS1-knockdown cell line. To this end, Huh-7 cells were transfected with a plasmid encoding a short hairpin RNA (shRNA) targeted to SPCS1 and were selected with hygromycin B, resulting in clone KD#31 where little or no expression of SPCS1 was detectable (Fig. 2C). KD#31 cells and parental Huh-7 cells were transfected with an RNA polymerase I (pol)-driven full-genome HCV plasmid [33] in the presence or

44

Figure 1. Interaction of HCV NS2 protein with SPCS1 in mammalian cells. (A) Expression constructs of SPCS1-myc and FLAG-NS2 used in this study. TM regions are represented as gray. Myc-tag regions are depicted by the black circles. Gray circles and bold lines indicated FLAG-tag and spacer (GGGGS) sequences, respectively. Adaptive mutations are indicated as asterisks. Positions of the aa resides are indicated above the boxes. (B) 293T cells were co-transfected with a FLAG-tagged NS2 expression plasmid in the presence of a SPCS1-myc expression plasmid. Cell lysates of the transfected cells were immunoprecipitated with anti-myc antibody. The resulting precipitates and whole cell lysates used in immunoprecipitation (IP) were examined by immunoblotting using anti-FLAG- or anti-myc antibody. An empty plasmid was used as a negative control. (C) HCVcc infected

Huh-7 cells were transfected with a SPCS1-myc expression plasmid. Cell lysates of the transfected cells were immunoprecipitated with anti-myc antibody. The resulting precipitates and whole cell lysates used in immunoprecipitation (IP) were examined by immunoblotting using anti-NS2 or anti-SPCS1 antibody. (D) Expression of SPCS1-myc and its deletion mutants. 293T cells were transfected with indicated plasmids. The cell lysates were examined by immunoblotting using anti-myc or anti-actin antibody. (E) Cells were co-transfected with indicated plasmids, and then lysates of transfected cells were immunoprecipitated with anti-myc antibody. The resulting precipitates and whole cell lysates used in IP were examined by immunoblotting using anti-FLAG- or anti-myc antibody. (F) Lysates of the transfected cells were immunoprecipitated with anti-myc antibody. The resulting precipitates (right panel) and whole cell lysates used in IP (left panel) were examined by immunoblotting using anti-FLAG or anti-myc antibody. (G) 293T cells were transfected with indicated plasmids. 2 days posttransfection, cells were fixed and permeabilized with Triton X-100, then subjected to in situ PLA (Upper) or immunofluorescence staining (Lower) using anti-FLAG and anti-V5 antibodies. (H) Detection of the SPCS1-NS2 interaction in transfected cells using the mKG system. 293T cells were transfected by indicated pair of mKG fusion constructs. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cell were fixed and stained with DAPI, and observed under a confocal microscope.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003589.g001

absence of an expression plasmid for shRNA-resistant SPCS1 (SPCS1- sh^r). Western blotting confirmed the expression levels of SPCS1 in cells (Fig. 2D). As expected, viral production in the culture supernatants of the transfected cells was significantly impaired in SPCS1-knockdown cells compared with parental Huh-7 cells (Fig. 2E white bars). Expression of SPCS1- sh^r in KD#31 cells recovered virus production in the supernatant to a level similar to that in the parental cells. Expression of SPCS1-sh^r in parental Huh-7 cells did not significantly enhance virus production. Taken together, these results demonstrate that SPCS1 has an important role in HCV propagation, and that the endogenous expression level of SPCS1 is sufficient for the efficient propagation of HCV.

A typical feature of the *Flaviviridae* family is that their precursor polyprotein is processed into individual mature proteins mediated by host ER-resident peptidase(s) and viral-encoded protease(s). We therefore next examined the role of SPCS1 in the propagation

of Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV), another member of the *Flaviviridae* family. SPCS1 siRNAs or control siRNA were transfected into Huh7.5.1 cells followed by infection with JEV or HCVcc. Although knockdown of SPCS1 severely impaired HCV production (Fig. 3A), the propagation of JEV was not affected under the SPCS1-knockdown condition (Fig. 3B). Expression of the viral proteins as well as knockdown of SPCS1 were confirmed (Fig. 3C). This suggests that SPCS1 is not a broadly active modulator of the flavivirus lifecycle, but rather is involved specifically in the production of certain virus(es) such as HCV.

Knockdown of SPCS1 exhibits no influence on the processing of HCV proteins and the secretion of host-cell proteins

Since SPCS1 is a component of the signal peptidase complex, which plays a role in proteolytic processing of membrane proteins at the ER, it may be that SPCS1 is involved in processing HCV


Figure 2. Effect of SPCS1 knockdown on the production of HCV. (A) Huh7.5.1 cells were transfected with four different siRNAs targeted for SPCS1 or control siRNA at a final concentration of 15 nM, and infected with HCVcc at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.05 at 24 h post-transfection. Expression levels of endogenous SPCS1 and actin in the cells were examined by immunoblotting using anti-SPCS1 and anti-actin antibodies at 3 days post-infection. (B) Infectious titers of HCVcc in the supernatant of cells infected as above were determined at 3 days postinfection. (C) Huh-7 cells were transfected with pSilencer-SPCS1, and hygromycin B-resistant cells were selected. The SPCS1-knockdown cell line established (KD#31) and parental Huh-7 cells were subjected to immunoblotting to confirm SPCS1 knockdown. (D) KD#31 cells or parental Huh-7 cells were transfected with RNA pol I-driven full-length HCV plasmid in the presence or absence of shRNA-resistant SPCS1 expression plasmid. Expression levels of SPCS1 and actin in the cells at 5 days post-transfection were examined by immunoblotting using anti-SPCS1 and anti-actin antibodies. (E) Infectious titers of HCVcc in the supernatants of transfected SPCS1-knockdown cells or parental Huh-7 cells at 5 days post-transfection were determined.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003589.g002

46

Figure 3. Effect of SPCS1 knockdown on the propagation of JEV. Huh7.5.1 cells were transfected with SPCS1 siRNA or control siRNA at a final concentration of 10 nM, and infected with JEV or HCVcc at an MOI of 0.05 at 24 h post-transfection. (A) Infectious titers of HCVcc in the supernatant at 3 days post-infection were determined. (B) Infectious titers of JEV in the supernatant at indicated time points were determined. (C) Expression levels of endogenous SPCS1 and actin as well as viral proteins in the cells were determined by immunoblotting using anti-SPCS1, anti-actin, anti-HCV core, and anti-JEV antibodies 3 days post-infection.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003589.g003

proteins via interacting with ER membranes. To address this, the effect of SPCS1 knockdown on the processing of HCV precursor polyproteins in cells transiently expressing the viral Core-NS2 region was analyzed. Western blotting indicated that properly processed core and NS2 were observed in KD#31 cells as well as Huh-7 cells (Fig. 4A). No band corresponding to the unprocessed precursor polyprotein was detected in either cell line (data not shown). We also examined the effect of SPCS1 knockdown on the cleavage of the NS2/3 junction mediated by NS2/3 protease. Processed NS2 was detected in both cell lines with and without SPCS1 knockdown, which were transfected with wild-type or protease-deficient NS2-3 expression plasmids (Fig. 4B & C).

Signal peptidase plays a key role in the initial step of the protein secretion pathway by removing the signal peptide and releasing the substrate protein from the ER membrane. It is now accepted that the secretion pathways of very-low density lipoprotein or apolipoprotein E (apoE) are involved in the formation of infectious HCV particles and their release from cells [34,35]. ApoE is synthesized as a pre-apoE. After cleavage of its signal peptide in the ER, the protein is trafficked to the Golgi and trans-Golgi network before being transported to the plasma membrane and secreted. As shown in Fig. 4D, the secreted levels of apoE from Huh-7 cells with knocked-down of SPCS1 were comparable to those from control cells. In addition, the level of albumin, an abundant secreted protein from hepatocytes, in the culture supernatants of the cells was not influenced by SPCS1 knockdown (Fig. 4E). These data suggest that the knockdown of SPCS1 has no influence on the processing of viral and host secretory proteins by signal peptidase and HCV NS2/3 protease.

SPCS1 is involved in the assembly process of HCV particles but not in viral entry into cells and RNA replication

To further address the molecular mechanism(s) of the HCV lifecycle mediated by SPCS1, we examined the effect of SPCS1 knockdown on viral entry and genome replication using single-round infectious trans-complemented HCV particles (HCVtcp) [33], of which the packaged genome is a subgenomic replicon containing a luciferase reporter gene. This assay system allows us to evaluate viral entry and replication without the influence of reinfection. Despite efficient knockdown of SPCS1 (Fig. 5A),

luciferase activity expressed from HCVtcp in SPCS1-knockdown cells was comparable to that in control or non-siRNA-transfected cells (Fig. 5B), suggesting that SPCS1 is not involved in viral entry into cells and subgenomic RNA replication. As a positive control, knockdown of claudin-1, a cell surface protein required for HCV entry, reduced the luciferase activity. We also examined the effect of SPCS1 knockdown on full-genome replication using HCVcc-infected cells. Despite efficient knockdown of SPCS1, expression of HCV proteins was comparable to that in control cells (Fig. 5C). By contrast, knockdown of PI4 Kinase (PI4K), which is required for replication of HCV genome, led to decrease in expression of HCV proteins. As cells that had already been infected with HCV were used, knockdown of claudin-1 had no effect on HCV protein levels. These data suggest that SPCS1 is not involved in viral entry into cells and the viral genome replication. We also observed properly processed Core, E2, NS2 and NS5B in SPCS1-knockdown cells in consistent with the result as shown in Fig. 4A, indicating no effect of SPCS1 on HCV polyprotein processing.

Next, to investigate whether SPCS1 is involved in the assembly or release of infectious particles, SPCS1-shRNA plasmid along with a pol I-driven full-genome HCV plasmid [33] were transfected into CD81-negative Huh7-25 cells, which can produce infectious HCV upon introduction of the viral genome, but are not permissive to HCV infection [36]. It is therefore possible to examine viral assembly and the release process without viral reinfection. The infectivity within the transfected cells as well as supernatants was determined 5 days post-transfection. Interestingly, both intra- and extracellular viral titers were markedly reduced by SPCS1 knockdown (Fig. 5C).

Taken together, in the HCV lifecycle, SPCS1 is most likely involved in the assembly of infectious particles rather than cell entry, RNA replication, or release from cells.

Role of SPCS1 in complex formation between NS2 and E2

It has been shown that HCV NS2 interacts with the viral structural and NS proteins in virus-producing cells [18–21], and that some of the interactions, especially the NS2-E2 interaction, are important for the assembly of infectious HCV particles. However, the functional role of NS2 in the HCV assembly process has not been fully elucidated. To test whether SPCS1 is involved in the interaction between NS2 and E2, cells were co-transfected

Figure 4. Effect of SPCS1 knockdown on the processing of HCV structural proteins and secretion of host proteins. (A) Core-NS2 polyprotein was expressed in KD#31 cells or parental Huh-7 cells. Core, NS2, SPCS1, and actin were detected by immunoblotting 2 days post-transfection. (B) Expression constructs of NS2 and NS2/3 proteins. His to Ala substitution mutation at aa 956 in NS2 is indicated by an asterisk. Gray circles and bold lines indicate FLAG-tag and the spacer sequences, respectively. Positions of the aa residues are indicated above the boxes. (C) Effect of SPCS1 knockdown on processing at the NS2/3 junction. Huh-7 cells were transfected with SPCS1 siRNA or control siRNA at a final concentration of 30 nM, and then transfected with plasmids for FLAG-NS2, F-NS2-3, or F-NS2-3 with a protease-inactive mutation (H956A). NS2 in cell lysates was detected by anti-FLAG antibody 2 days post-transfection. Arrowhead indicates unprocessed NS2-3 polyproteins. (D) Effect of SPCS1 knockdown on the secretion of apoE. Huh7.5.1 cells were transfected with SPCS1 siRNAs or control siRNA at a final concentration of 20 nM, and apoE in the supernatant and SPCS1 and actin in the cells were detected 3 days post-transfection. (E) Effect of SPCS1 knockdown on the secretion of albumin. Huh7.5.1 cells were transfected with SPCS1 siRNA or control siRNA, and albumin in the culture supernatants at 2 and 3 days post-transfection was measured by ELISA.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003589.g004

with expression plasmids for E2, FLAG-NS2, and SPCS1-myc. E2 and NS2 were co-immunoprecipitated with SPCS1-myc, and E2 and SPCS1-myc were co-immunoprecipitated with FLAG-NS2 (Fig. 6A), suggesting the formation of an E2-NS2-SPCS1 complex in cells. To investigate the interaction of SPCS1 with E2 in the absence of NS2, HCV Core-p7 polyprotein or E2 protein were co-expressed with SPCS1-myc in cells, followed by immunoprecipitation with anti-myc antibody. As shown in Fig. 6B and Fig. S2, E2 was co-immunoprecipitated with SPCS1-myc. The interaction between SPCS1 and E2 was further analyzed in situ by PLA and mKG system. Specific signals indicating formation of the SPCS1-E2 complex were detected in both assays (Fig. S3), suggesting physical interaction between SPCS1 and E2 in cells.

We further determined the region of SPCS1 responsible for the interaction with E2 by co-immunoprecipitation assays. Full-length and deletion mutant d2 of SPCS1 (Fig. 1A) were similarly co-immunoprecipitated with E2, while only a limited amount of d1 mutant SPCS1 (Fig. 1A) was co-precipitated (Fig. 6C). It may be

that the aa 43–102 region of SPCS1, which was identified as the region involved in the NS2 interaction (Fig. 1D), is important for its interaction with E2, and that deletion of the N-terminal cytoplasmic region leads to misfolding of the protein and subsequent inaccessibility to E2.

Finally, to understand the significance of SPCS1 in the NS2-E2 interaction, Huh7.5.1 cells with or without SPCS1 knockdown by siRNA were transfected with expression plasmids for Core-p7 and FLAG-NS2, followed by co-immunoprecipitation with anti-FLAG antibody. As shown in Fig. 6D, the NS2-E2 interaction was considerably impaired in the SPCS1-knockdown cells as compared to that in the control cells. A similar result was obtained in the stable SPCS1-knockdown cell line (Fig. 6E). In contrast, in that cell line, the interaction of NS2 with NS3 was not impaired by SPCS1 knockdown (Fig. 6E).

These results, together with the above findings, suggest that SPCS1 is required for or facilitates the formation of the membrane-associated NS2-E2 complex, which participates in the proper assembly of infectious particles.

48

Figure 5. Effect of SPCS1 knockdown on entry into cells, genome replication, and assembly or release of infectious virus. (A) Huh7.5.1 cells were transfected with siRNA for SPCS1 or claudin1, or control siRNA at a final concentration of 30 nM. Expression levels of endogenous SPCS1, claudin-1, and actin in the cells at 2 days post-transfection were examined by immunoblotting using anti-SPCS1, anti-actin, and anti-claudin-1 antibodies. (B) Huh7.5.1 cells transfected with indicated siRNAs were infected with HCVtcp at 2 days post-transfection. Luciferase activity in the cells was subsequently determined at 2 days post-infection. Data are averages of triplicate values with error bars showing standard deviations. (C) Effect of SPCS1 knockdown on replication of HCV genome. HCV-infected Huh-7 cells transfected with siRNA for SPCS1, PI4K or claudin1, or control siRNA at a final concentration of 30 nM. Expression levels of HCV proteins as well as endogenous SPCS1, PI4K, claudin-1, and actin in the cells at 3 days post-transfection were examined by immunoblotting. (D) HCV infectivity in Huh7.5.1 cells inoculated with culture supernatant and cell lysate from Huh7-25 cells transfected with pSilencer-SPCS1 or control vector along with pHH/JFH1am at 5 days post-transfection. Statistical differences between Control and SPCS1 knockdown were evaluated using Student's t-test. *p<0.005 vs. Control.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003589.g005

Discussion

In this study, we identified SPCS1 as a novel host factor that interacts with HCV NS2, and showed that SPCS1 participates in HCV assembly through complex formation with NS2 and E2. In general, viruses require host cell-derived factors for proceeding and regulating each step in their lifecycle. Although a number of host factors involved in genome replication and cell entry of HCV have been reported, only a few for viral assembly have been identified to date. To our knowledge, this is the first study to identify an NS2-interacting host protein that plays a role in the production of infectious HCV particles.

NS2 is a hydrophobic protein containing TM segments in the N-terminal region. The C-terminal half of NS2 and the N-terminal third of NS3 form the protease, which is a prerequisite for NS2-NS3 cleavage. In addition, it is now accepted that this protein is essential for particle production [4–6,12]. However, the mechanism of how NS2 is involved in the assembly process of HCV has been unclear.

So far, two studies have screened for HCV NS2 binding proteins by yeast two-hybrid analysis [37,38]. Erdtmann et al. reported that no specific interaction was detected by a conventional yeast hybrid screening system using full-length NS2 as a bait, probably due to hampered translocation of the bait to the

49

Figure 6. SPCS1 forms a complex with NS2 and E2. (A) Lysates of cells, which were co-transfected with Core-p7, FLAG-NS2, and SPCS1-myc expression plasmids, were immunoprecipitated with anti-myc or anti-FLAG antibody. The resulting precipitates and whole cell lysates used in IP were examined by immunoblotting using anti-E2, anti-FLAG, or anti-myc antibody. An empty plasmid was used as a negative control. (B) Cells were transfected with Core-p7 expression plasmid in the presence or absence of SPCS1-myc expression plasmid. The cell lysates of the transfected cells were immunoprecipitated with anti-myc antibody. The resulting precipitates and whole cell lysates used in IP were examined by immunoblotting using anti-E2 or anti-myc antibody. An empty plasmid was used as a negative control. The bands corresponding to immunoglobulin heavy chain are marked by an asterisk. (C) Cells were co-transfected with Core-p7 and SPCS1-myc expression plasmids. The cell lysates of the transfected cells were immunoprecipitated with anti-myc antibody. The resulting precipitates and whole cell lysates used in IP were examined by immunoblotting using anti-E2 or anti-myc antibody. (D) Huh7.5.1 cells were transfected with SPCS1 siRNA or control siRNA at a final concentration of 20 nM. After 24 h, Huh7.5.1 cells were then co-transfected with FLAG-NS2 and Core-p7 expression plasmids. The lysates of transfected cells were immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG antibody, followed by immunoblotting with anti-FLAG and anti-E2 antibodies. Immunoblot analysis of whole cell lysates was also performed. Intensity of E2 bands was quantified, and the ratio of immunoprecipitated E2 to E2 in cell lysate was shown. Similar results were obtained in 2 independent experiments. (E) KD#31 cells and parental Huh-7 cells were co-transfected with FLAG-NS2, Core-p7, and NS3 expression plasmids. The lysates of transfected cells were immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG antibody followed by immunoblotting with anti-FLAG, anti-E2, and anti-NS3 antibodies. Immunoblot analysis of whole cell lysates was also performed. The ratio of immunoprecipitated E2 or NS3 to E2 or NS3 in cell lysate, respectively, were shown.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003589.g006

50

nucleus [37]. They further screened a human liver cDNA library using NS2 with deletion of the N-terminal TM domain, and CIDE-B protein, a member of the CIDE family of apoptosis-inducing factors, was identified. However, whether CIDE-B is involved in the HCV lifecycle and/or viral pathogenesis is unclear. de Chassey et al. reported several cellular proteins as potential NS2 binding proteins using NS2 with N-terminal deletion as a bait [38]. Involvement of these proteins in the HCV lifecycle is also unclear. In our study, to screen for NS2-binding partners using full-length NS2 as a bait, we utilized a split-ubiquitin yeast two-hybrid system that allows for the identification of interactions between full-length integral membrane proteins or between a full-length membrane-associated protein and a soluble protein [39]. SPCS1 was identified as a positive clone of an NS2-binding protein, but proteins that have been reported to interact with NS2 were not selected from our screening.

SPCS1 is a component of the signal peptidase complex that processes membrane-associated and secreted proteins in cells. The mammalian signal peptidase complex consists of five subunits, SPCS1, SPCS2, SPCS3, SEC11A, and SEC11C [27]. Among them, the functional role of SPCS1 is still unclear, and SPCS1 is considered unlikely to function as a catalytic subunit according to membrane topology [40]. The yeast homolog of SPCS1, Spc1p, is also known to be nonessential for cell growth and enzyme activity [28,41]. Interestingly, these findings are consistent with the results obtained in this study. Knockdown of SPCS1 did not impair processing of HCV structural proteins (Fig. 4A) or secretion of apoE and albumin (Fig. 4B and C), which are regulated by ER membrane-associated signal peptidase activity. The propagation of JEV, whose structural protein regions are cleaved by signal peptidase, was also not affected by the knockdown of SPCS1 (Fig. 3B). SPCS1, SPCS2, and SPCS3 are among the host factors that function in HCV production identified from genome-wide siRNA screening [42]. It seemed that knockdown of SPCS1 had a higher impact on the later stage of viral infection compared to either SPCS2 or SPCS3, which are possibly involved in the catalytic activity of the signal peptidase.

Further analyses to address the mechanistic implication of SPCS1 on the HCV lifecycle revealed that SPCS1 knockdown impaired the assembly of infectious viruses in the cells, but not cell entry, RNA replication, or release from the cells (Fig. 5). We thus considered the possibility that the SPCS1-NS2 interaction is important for the role of NS2 in viral assembly. Several studies have reported that HCV NS2 is associated biochemically or genetically with viral structural proteins as well as NS proteins [10,18–25]. As an intriguing model, it has been proposed that NS2 functions as a key organizer of HCV assembly and plays a key role in recruiting viral envelope proteins and NS protein(s) such as NS3 to the assembly sites in close proximity to lipid droplets [21]. The interaction of NS2 with E2 has been shown by use of an HCV genome encoding tagged-NS2 protein in virion-producing cells. Furthermore, the selection of an assembly-deficient NS2 mutation located within its TM3 for pseudoreversion leads to a rescue mutation in the TM domain of E2, suggesting an in-membrane interaction between NS2 and E2 [21]. Another study identified two classes of NS2 mutations with defects in virus assembly; one class leads to reduced interaction with NS3, and the other, located in the TM3 domain, maintains its interaction with NS3 but shows impaired interaction between NS2 and E1-E2 [20]. However, the precise details of the NS2-E2 interaction, such as direct protein-protein binding or participating host factors, are unknown. Our results provide evidence that SPCS1 has an important role in the formation of the NS2-E2 complex by its interaction with both NS2 and E2, most likely via their transmembrane domains, including

TM3 of NS2. As knockdown of SPCS1 reduced the interaction of NS2 and E2 as shown in Fig. 6D and E, it may be that SPCS1 contributes to NS2-E2 complex formation or to stabilizing the complex. Based on data obtained in this study, we propose a model of the formation of an E2-SPCS1-NS2 complex at the ER membrane (Fig. 7).

In summary, we identified SPCS1 as a novel NS2-binding host factor required for HCV assembly by split-ubiquitin membrane yeast two-hybrid screening. Our data demonstrate that SPCS1 plays a key role in the E2-NS2 interaction via formation of an E2-SPCS1-NS2 complex. These findings provide clues for understanding the molecular mechanism of assembly and formation of infectious HCV particles.

Materials and Methods

Split ubiquitin-based yeast two-hybrid screen

A split-ubiquitin membrane yeast two-hybrid screen was performed to identify possible NS2 binding partners. This screening system (DUALmembrane system; Dualsystems Biotech, Schlieren, Switzerland) is based on an adaptation of the ubiquitin-based split protein sensor [26]. The full-length HCV NS2 gene derived from the JFH-1 strain [29] was cloned into pBT3-SUC bait vector to obtain bait protein fused to the C-terminal half of ubiquitin (NS2-Cub) along with a transcription factor. Prey proteins generated from a human liver cDNA library (Dualsystems Biotech) were expressed as a fusion to the N-terminal half of ubiquitin (NubG). Complex formation between NS2-Cub and NubG-protein from the library leads to cleavage at the C-terminus of reconstituted ubiquitin by ubiquitin-specific protease(s) with consequent translocation of the transcription factor into the nucleus. Library plasmids were recovered from positive transformants, followed by determining the nucleotide sequences of inserted cDNAs, which were identified using the BLAST algorithm with the GenBank database.

Cell culture

Human embryonic kidney 293T cells, and human hepatoma Huh-7 cells and its derivative cell lines Huh7.5.1 [43] and Huh7-25 [36], were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium supplemented with nonessential amino acids, 100 U of penicillin/ml, 100 μg of streptomycin/ml, and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37°C in a 5% CO_2 incubator.

Plasmids

Plasmids pCAGC-NS2/JFH1am and pHHJFH1am were previously described [33]. The plasmid pCAGC-p7/JFHam, having


Figure 7. A proposed model for a complex consisting of NS2, SPCS1 and E2 associated with ER membranes.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003589.g007

51

adaptive mutations in E2 (N417S) and p7 (N765D) in pCAG/C-p7 [44], was constructed by oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis.

To generate the NS2 expression plasmid pCAG F-NS2 and the NS2-deletion mutants, cDNAs encoding the full-length or parts of NS2 possessing the FLAG-tag and spacer sequences (MDYKDDDDKGGGGS) were amplified from pCAGC-NS2/JFH1am by PCR. The resultant fragments were cloned into pCAGGS. For the NS2-NS3 expression plasmid pEF F-NS2-3, a cDNA encoding the entire NS2 and the N-terminal 226 amino acids of NS3 with the N-terminal FLAG-tag sequence as above was amplified by PCR and was inserted into pEF1/myc-His (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The plasmid pEF F-NS2-3 H956A, having a defective mutation in the protease active site within NS2, was constructed by oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis.

To generate the NS3 expression plasmid pCAGN-HANS3JFH1, a cDNA encoding NS3 with an HA tag at the N terminus, which was amplified by PCR with pHHJFHam as a template, was inserted downstream of the CAG promoter of pCAGGS.

To generate the SPCS1-expressing plasmid pCAG-SPCS1-myc and its deletion mutants, cDNAs encoding all of or parts of SPCS1 with the Myc tag sequence (EQKLISEEDL) at the C-terminus, which was amplified by PCR, was inserted into pCAGGS. pSilencer-shSPCS1 carrying a shRNA targeted to SPCS1 under the control of the U6 promoter was constructed by cloning the oligonucleotide pair 5'- GATCCGCAATAGTTGGATTTATCT TTCAAGAGAAGATAAATCCAACTATTGCTTTTTTGGA AA-3' and 5'- AGCTTTTCCAAAAAAGCAATAGTTGGATT-TATCTTCTCTTGAAAGATAAATCCAACTATTGCG-3' between the BamHI and HindIII sites of pSilencer 2.1-U6 hygro (Ambion, Austin, TX). To generate a construct expressing shRNA-resistant SPCS1 pSPCS1-sh^r, a cDNA fragment coding for SPCS1, in which the 6 bp within the shRNA targeting region (5'-GCAATAGTTGGATTTATCT-3') was replaced with GC<u>T</u> AT<u>T</u>GT<u>C</u>GG<u>C</u>TT<u>C</u>ATA<u>T</u> that causes no aa change, was amplified by PCR. The resulting fragment was confirmed by sequencing and then cloned into pCAGGS.

Full-length SPCS1 and N-terminal region of NS2 (aa 1–94) were amplified by PCR and cloned onto EcoRI and HindIII sites of phmKGN-MN and phmKGC-MN, which encode the mKG fragments (CoralHue Fluo-chase Kit; MBL, Nagoya, Japan), designated as pSPCS1-mKG(N) and pNS2-mKG(C), respectively. Transmembrane domain of the E1 to E2 was also amplified by PCR and cloned onto EcoRI and HindIII sites of phmKGC-MN. To avoid the cleavage of E2-mKG(C) fusion protein in the cells, last alanine of the E2 protein was deleted. Positive control plasmids for mKG system, pCONT-1 and pCONT-2, which encode p65 partial domain from NF-κB complex fused to mKG(N) and p50 partial domain from NF-κB complex fused to mKG(C) respectively, were supplied from MBL. For PLA experiments, cDNA for SPCS1 d2-myc with the V5 tag at the N-terminus was amplified by PCR, and inserted into pCAGGS. For expression of HCV E2, cDNA from E1 signal to the last codon of the transmembrane domain of the E2, in which part of the hypervariable region-1 (aa 394–400) were replaced with FLAG-tag and spacer sequences (DYKDDDDKGGG), was amplified by PCR, and inserted into pCAGGS. For expression of FLAG-core, cDNAs encoding Core (aa 1–152) possessing the FLAG-tag and spacer sequences (MDYKDDDDKGGGGS) were amplified from pCAGC191 [45] by PCR. The resultant fragments were cloned into pCAGGS.

DNA transfection

Monolayers of 293T cells were transfected with plasmid DNA using FuGENE 6 transfection reagent (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Huh-7,

Huh7.5.1, and Huh7-25 cells were transfected with plasmid DNA using TransIT LT1 transfection reagent (Mirus, Madison, WI).

PLA

The assay was performed in a humid chamber at 37°C according to the manufacturer's instructions (Olink Bioscience, Uppsala, Sweden). Transfected 293T cells were grown on glass coverslips. Two days after transfection, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 20 min, then blocked and permeabilized with 0.3% Triton X-100 in a nonfat milk solution (Block Ace; Snow Brand Milk Products Co., Sapporo, Japan) for 60 min at room temperature. Then the samples were incubated with a mixture of mouse anti-FLAG monoclonal antibody M2 and rabbit anti-V5 polyclonal antibody for 60 min, washed three times, and incubated with plus and minus PLA probes. After washing, the ligation mixture containing connector oligonucleotide was added for 30 min. The washing step was repeated, and amplification mixture containing fluorescently labeled DNA probe was added for 100 min. Finally, the samples were washed and mounted with DAPI mounting medium. The signal representing interaction was analyzed by Leica TCS SPE confocal microscope.

mKG system

The assay was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (CoralHue Fluo-chase Kit; MBL). 293T cells were transfected by a pair of mKG fusion constructs. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cell were fixed and stained with DAPI. The signal representing interaction was analyzed by Leica TCS SPE confocal microscope.

Gene silencing by siRNA

The siRNAs were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and were introduced into the cells at a final concentration of 10 to 30 nM using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen). Target sequences of the siRNAs were as follows: SPCS1 #1 (5'-CAGUUCGGGUGGACUGUCU-3'), SPCS1 #2 (5'-GCAAUA GUUGGAUUUAUCU-3'), SPCS1 #3 (5'-GAUGUUUCAGG-GAAUUAUU-3'), SPCS1 #4 (5'-GUUAUGGCCGGAUUUG-CUU-3'), claudin-1 (5'-CAGUCAAUGCCAGGUACGA-3'), PI4K (5'-GCAAUGUGCUUCGCGAGAA-3') and scrambled negative control (5'-GCAAGGGAAACCGUGUAAU-3'). Additional control siRNAs for SPCS1 were as follows: C911-#2 (5'-GCAAUAGUaccAUUUAUCU-3'), C911-#3 (5'-GAUGUUU-CuccGAAUUAUU-3') and C911-#4 (5'-GUUAUGGCgccAUU UGCUU-3'). Bases 9 through 11 of the siRNAs replaced with their complements were shown in lower cases.

Establishment of a stable cell line expressing the shRNA

Huh-7 cells were transfected with pSilencer-SPCS1, and drug-resistant clones were selected by treatment with hygromycin B (Wako, Tokyo, Japan) at a final concentration of 500 µg/ml for 4 weeks.

Virus

HCVtcp and HCVcc derived from JFH-1 having adaptive mutations in E2 (N417S), p7 (N765D), and NS2 (Q1012R) were generated as described previously [33]. The rAT strain of JEV [46] was used to generate virus stock.

Antibodies

Mouse monoclonal antibodies against actin (AC-15) and FLAG (M2) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Mouse

monoclonal antibodies against flavivirus group antigen (D1-4G2) were obtained from Millipore (Billerica, MA). Rabbit polyclonal antibodies against FLAG and V5 were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Rabbit polyclonal antibodies against SPCS1, claudin-1, PI4K and myc were obtained from Proteintech (Chicago, IL), Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA), Cell Signaling (Danvers, MA) and Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA), respectively. An anti-apoE goat polyclonal antibody was obtained from Millipore. Rabbit polyclonal antibodies against NS2 and NS3 were generated with synthetic peptides as antigens. Mouse monoclonal antibodies against HCV Core (2H9) and E2 (8D10-3) and rabbit polyclonal antibodies against NS5A and JEV are described elsewhere [47].

Titration

To determine the titers of HCVcc, Huh7.5.1 cells in 96-well plates were incubated with serially-diluted virus samples and then replaced with media containing 10% FBS and 0.8% carboxymethyl cellulose. Following incubation for 72 h, the monolayers were fixed and immunostained with the anti-NS5A antibody, followed by an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Invitrogen). Stained foci were counted and used to calculate the titers of focus-forming units (FFU)/ml. For intracellular infectivity of HCVcc, the pellets of infected cells were resuspended in culture medium and were lysed by four freeze-thaw cycles. After centrifugation for 5 min at 4,000 rpm, supernatants were collected and used for virus titration as above. For titration of JEV, Huh7.5.1 cells were incubated with serially-diluted virus samples and then replaced with media containing 10% FBS and 0.8% carboxymethyl cellulose. After a 24 h incubation, the monolayers were fixed and immunostained with a mouse monoclonal anti-flavivirus group antibody (D1-4G2), followed by an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody (Invitrogen).

Immunoprecipitation

Transfected cells were washed with ice-cold PBS, and suspended in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4] containing 135 mM NaCl, 1% TritonX-100, and 10% glycerol) supplemented with 50 mM NaF, 5 mM Na_3VO_4, and complete protease inhibitor cocktail, EDTA free (Roche). Cell lysates were sonicated for 10 min and then incubated for 30 min at 4°C, followed by centrifugation at 14,000× g for 10 min. The supernatants were immunoprecipitated with anti-Myc-agarose beads (sc-40, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or anti-FLAG antibody in the presence of Dynabeads Protein G (Invitrogen). The immunocomplexes were precipitated with the beads by centrifugation at 800× g for 30 s, or by applying a magnetic field, and then were washed four times with the lysis buffer. The proteins binding to the beads were boiled with SDS sample buffer and then subjected to SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE).

Immunoblotting

Transfected cells were washed with PBS and lysed with 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100. Lysates were then sonicated for 10 min and added to the same volume of SDS sample buffer. The protein samples were boiled for 10 min, separated by SDS-PAGE, and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore). After blocking, the membranes were probed with the primary antibodies, followed by incubation with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody. Antigen-antibody complexes were visualized by an enhanced chemiluminescence detection system (Super Signal West Pico Chemiluminescent

Substrate; PIERCE, Rockford, IL) according to the manufacturer's protocol and were detected by an LAS-3000 image analyzer system (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

Albumin measurement

To determine the human albumin level secreted from cells, culture supernatants were collected and passed through a 0.45-µm pore filter to remove cellular debris. The amounts of human albumin were quantified using a human albumin ELISA kit (Bethyl Laboratories, Montgomery, TX) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Effects of SPCS1-siRNAs and the C911 mismatch control siRNAs on the expression of SPCS1 and production of HCV. (A) Huh7.5.1 cells were transfected with either siRNAs targeted for SPCS1 (SPCS1-#2, -#3, and -#4), scrambled control siRNA (Scrambled) or C911 siRNA in which bases 9 through 11 of each SPCS1 siRNA were replaced with their complements (C911-#2, -#3, and -#4) at a final concentration of 15 nM, and were infected with HCVcc at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.05 at 24 h post-transfection. Expression levels of endogenous SPCS1 and actin in the cells were examined by immunoblotting using anti-SPCS1 and anti-actin antibodies at 3 days post-infection. (B) Infectious titers of HCVcc in the supernatant of the infected cells were determined at 3 days postinfection.
(TIF)

Figure S2 293T cells were transfected with E2 expression plasmid in the presence or absence of SPCS1-myc expression plasmid. The cell lysates of the transfected cells were immunoprecipitated with anti-myc antibody. The resulting precipitates and whole cell lysates used in IP were examined by immunoblotting using anti-E2 or anti-myc antibody. An empty plasmid was used as a negative control.
(TIF)

Figure S3 Interaction of HCV E2 with SPCS1 in mammalian cells. (A) 293T cells were transfected with indicated plasmids. 2 days posttransfection, cells were fixed and permeabilized with Triton X-100, then subjected to in situ PLA (Upper) or immunofluorescence staining (Lower) using anti-FLAG and anti-V5 antibodies. (B) Detection of the SPCS1-E2 interaction in transfected cells using the mKG system. 293T cells were transfected by indicated pair of mKG fusion constructs. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cell were fixed and stained with DAPI, and observed under a confocal microscope.
(TIF)

Acknowledgments

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: RS TS. Performed the experiments: RS MM. Analyzed the data: RS KW HA TS. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: YM TW. Wrote the paper: RS TS.

References

1. Hoofnagle JH (2002) Course and outcome of hepatitis C. Hepatology 36: S21–29.
2. Suzuki T, Aizaki H, Murakami K, Shoji I, Wakita T (2007) Molecular biology of hepatitis C virus. J Gastroenterol 42: 411–423.
3. Appel N, Zayas M, Miller S, Krijnse-Locker J, Schaller T, et al. (2008) Essential role of domain III of nonstructural protein 5A for hepatitis C virus infectious particle assembly. PLoS Pathog 4: e1000035.
4. Dentzer TG, Lorenz IC, Evans MJ, Rice CM (2009) Determinants of the hepatitis C virus nonstructural protein 2 protease domain required for production of infectious virus. J Virol 83: 12702–12713.
5. Jirasko V, Montserret R, Appel N, Janvier A, Eustachi L, et al. (2008) Structural and functional characterization of nonstructural protein 2 for its role in hepatitis C virus assembly. J Biol Chem 283: 28546–28562.
6. Jones CT, Murray CL, Eastman DK, Tassello J, Rice CM (2007) Hepatitis C virus p7 and NS2 proteins are essential for production of infectious virus. J Virol 81: 8374–8383.
7. Ma Y, Yates J, Liang Y, Lemon SM, Yi M (2008) NS3 helicase domains involved in infectious intracellular hepatitis C virus particle assembly. J Virol 82: 7624–7639.
8. Masaki T, Suzuki R, Murakami K, Aizaki H, Ishii K, et al. (2008) Interaction of hepatitis C virus nonstructural protein 5A with core protein is critical for the production of infectious virus particles. J Virol 82: 7964–7976.
9. Tellinghuisen TL, Foss KL, Treadaway J (2008) Regulation of hepatitis C virion production via phosphorylation of the NS5A protein. PLoS Pathog 4: e1000032.
10. Phan T, Beran RK, Peters C, Lorenz IC, Lindenbach BD (2009) Hepatitis C virus NS2 protein contributes to virus particle assembly via opposing epistatic interactions with the E1–E2 glycoprotein and NS3-NS4A enzyme complexes. J Virol 83: 8379–8395.
11. Lorenz IC, Marcotrigiano J, Dentzer TG, Rice CM (2006) Structure of the catalytic domain of the hepatitis C virus NS2-3 protease. Nature 442: 831–835.
12. Lohmann V, Korner F, Koch J, Herian U, Theilmann L, et al. (1999) Replication of subgenomic hepatitis C virus RNAs in a hepatoma cell line. Science 285: 110–113.
13. Kato T, Choi Y, Elmowalid G, Sapp RK, Barth H, et al. (2008) Hepatitis C virus JFH-1 strain infection in chimpanzees is associated with low pathogenicity and emergence of an adaptive mutation. Hepatology 48: 732–740.
14. Scheel TK, Gottwein JM, Jensen TB, Prentoe JC, Hoegh AM, et al. (2008) Development of JFH1-based cell culture systems for hepatitis C virus genotype 4a and evidence for cross-genotype neutralization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105: 997–1002.
15. Jensen TB, Gottwein JM, Scheel TK, Hoegh AM, Eugen-Olsen J, et al. (2008) Highly efficient JFH1-based cell-culture system for hepatitis C virus genotype 5a: failure of homologous neutralizing-antibody treatment to control infection. J Infect Dis 198: 1756–1765.
16. Yi M, Ma Y, Yates J, Lemon SM (2007) Compensatory mutations in E1, p7, NS2, and NS3 enhance yields of cell culture-infectious intergenotypic chimeric hepatitis C virus. J Virol 81: 629–638.
17. Russell RS, Meunier JC, Takikawa S, Faulk K, Engle RE, et al. (2008) Advantages of a single-cycle production assay to study cell culture-adaptive mutations of hepatitis C virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105: 4370–4375.
18. Popescu CI, Callens N, Trinel D, Roingeard P, Moradpour D, et al. (2011) NS2 protein of hepatitis C virus interacts with structural and non-structural proteins towards virus assembly. PLoS Pathog 7: e1001278.
19. Ma Y, Anantpadma M, Timpe JM, Shanmugam S, Singh SM, et al. (2011) Hepatitis C virus NS2 protein serves as a scaffold for virus assembly by interacting with both structural and nonstructural proteins. J Virol 85: 86–97.
20. Stapleford KA, Lindenbach BD (2011) Hepatitis C virus NS2 coordinates virus particle assembly through physical interactions with the E1–E2 glycoprotein and NS3-NS4A enzyme complexes. J Virol 85: 1706–1717.
21. Jirasko V, Montserret R, Lee JY, Gouttenoire J, Moradpour D, et al. (2010) Structural and functional studies of nonstructural protein 2 of the hepatitis C virus reveal its key role as organizer of virion assembly. PLoS Pathog 6: e1001233.
22. Yi M, Ma Y, Yates J, Lemon SM (2009) Trans-complementation of an NS2 defect in a late step in hepatitis C virus (HCV) particle assembly and maturation. PLoS Pathog 5: e1000403.
23. Counihan NA, Rawlinson SM, Lindenbach BD (2011) Trafficking of hepatitis C virus core protein during virus particle assembly. PLoS Pathog 7: e1002302.
24. Kiiver K, Merits A, Ustav M, Zusinaite E (2006) Complex formation between hepatitis C virus NS2 and NS3 proteins. Virus Res 117: 264–272.
25. Selby MJ, Glazer E, Masiarz F, Houghton M (1994) Complex processing and protein:protein interactions in the E2:NS2 region of HCV. Virology 204: 114–122.
26. Johnsson N, Varshavsky A (1994) Split ubiquitin as a sensor of protein interactions in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 91: 10340–10344.
27. Evans E, A., Gilmore R, Blobel G (1986) Purification of microsomal signal peptidase as a complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 83: 581–585.
28. Fang H, Panzner S, Mullins C, Hartmann E, Green N (1996) The homologue of mammalian SPC12 is important for efficient signal peptidase activity in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Biol Chem 271: 16460–16465.
29. Wakita T, Pietschmann T, Kato T, Date T, Miyamoto M, et al. (2005) Production of infectious hepatitis C virus in tissue culture from a cloned viral genome. Nat Med 11: 791–796.
30. Söderberg O, Gullberg M, Jarvius M, Ridderstrale K, Leuchowius KJ, et al. (2006) Direct observation of individual endogenous protein complexes in situ by proximity ligation. Nat Methods 3: 995–1000.
31. Kerppola TK (2006) Complementary methods for studies of protein interactions in living cells. Nat Methods 3: 969–971.
32. Buehler E, Chen YC, Martin S (2012) C911: A bench-level control for sequence specific siRNA off-target effects. PLoS One 7: e51942.
33. Suzuki R, Saito K, Kato T, Shirakura M, Akazawa D, et al. (2012) Trans-complemented hepatitis C virus particles as a versatile tool for study of virus assembly and infection. Virology 432: 29–38.
34. Chang KS, Jiang J, Cai Z, Luo G (2007) Human apolipoprotein E is required for infectivity and production of hepatitis C virus in cell culture. J Virol 81: 13783–13793.
35. Owen DM, Huang H, Ye J, Gale M, Jr. (2009) Apolipoprotein E on hepatitis C virion facilitates infection through interaction with low-density lipoprotein receptor. Virology 394: 99–108.
36. Akazawa D, Date T, Morikawa K, Murayama A, Miyamoto M, et al. (2007) CD81 expression is important for the permissiveness of Huh7 cell clones for heterogeneous hepatitis C virus infection. J Virol 81: 5036–5045.
37. Erdtmann L, Franck N, Lerat H, Le Seyec J, Gilot D, et al. (2003) The hepatitis C virus NS2 protein is an inhibitor of CIDE-B-induced apoptosis. J Biol Chem 278: 18256–18264.
38. de Chassey B, Navratil V, Tafforeau L, Hiet MS, Aublin-Gex A, et al. (2008) Hepatitis C virus infection protein network. Mol Syst Biol 4: 230.
39. Stagljar I, Korostensky C, Johnsson N, te Heesen S (1998) A genetic system based on split-ubiquitin for the analysis of interactions between membrane proteins in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95: 5187–5192.
40. Kalies KU, Hartmann E (1996) Membrane topology of the 12- and the 25-kDa subunits of the mammalian signal peptidase complex. J Biol Chem 271: 3925–3929.
41. Mullins C, Meyer HA, Hartmann E, Green N, Fang H (1996) Structurally related Spc1p and Spc2p of yeast signal peptidase complex are functionally distinct. J Biol Chem 271: 29094–29099.
42. Li Q, Brass AL, Ng A, Hu Z, Xavier RJ, et al. (2009) A genome-wide genetic screen for host factors required for hepatitis C virus propagation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106: 16410–16415.
43. Zhong J, Gastaminza P, Cheng G, Kapadia S, Kato T, et al. (2005) Robust hepatitis C virus infection in vitro. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 9294–9299.
44. Masaki T, Suzuki R, Saeed M, Mori K, Matsuda M, et al. (2010) Production of infectious hepatitis C virus by using RNA polymerase I-mediated transcription. J Virol 84: 5824–5835.
45. Suzuki R, Sakamoto S, Tsutsumi T, Rikimaru A, Tanaka K, et al. (2005) Molecular determinants for subcellular localization of hepatitis C virus core protein. J Virol 79: 1271–1281.
46. Zhao Z, Date T, Li Y, Kato T, Miyamoto M, et al. (2005) Characterization of the E-138 (Glu/Lys) mutation in Japanese encephalitis virus by using a stable, full-length, infectious cDNA clone. J Gen Virol 86: 2209–2220.
47. Saeed M, Suzuki R, Watanabe N, Masaki T, Tomonaga M, et al. (2011) Role of the endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation (ERAD) pathway in degradation of hepatitis C virus envelope proteins and production of virus particles. J Biol Chem 286: 37264–37273.

54

Adenovirus vectors lacking virus-associated RNA expression enhance shRNA activity to suppress hepatitis C virus replication

Zheng Pei[1], Guoli Shi[2], Saki Kondo[1], Masahiko Ito[2], Aya Maekawa[1], Mariko Suzuki[1], Izumu Saito[1], Tetsuro Suzuki[2] & Yumi Kanegae[1]

[1]Laboratory of Molecular Genetics, Institute of Medical Science, University of Tokyo, 4-6-1 Shirokanedai, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108-0071, Japan, [2]Department of Infectious Diseases, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, Hamamatsu 431-3192, Japan.

First-generation adenovirus vectors (FG AdVs) expressing short-hairpin RNA (shRNA) effectively downregulate the expressions of target genes. However, this vector, in fact, expresses not only the transgene product, but also virus-associated RNAs (VA RNAs) that disturb cellular RNAi machinery. We have established a production method for VA-deleted AdVs lacking expression of VA RNAs. Here, we showed that the highest shRNA activity was obtained when the shRNA was inserted not at the popularly used E1 site, but at the E4 site. We then compared the activities of shRNAs against hepatitis C virus (HCV) expressed from VA-deleted AdVs or conventional AdVs. The VA-deleted AdVs inhibited HCV production much more efficiently. Therefore, VA-deleted AdVs were more effective than the currently used AdVs for shRNA downregulation, probably because of the lack of competition between VA RNAs and the shRNAs. These VA-deleted AdVs might enable more effective gene therapies for chronic hepatitis C.

R NA interference (RNAi) technology is a versatile tool for analyzing the function of genes *in vitro* and *in vivo* in various research fields. It also presents a therapeutic approach for the treatment of human diseases and for the selection of effective drugs. Two types of small non-coding RNAs function as RNAi, small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) and microRNAs (miRNAs). Short-hairpin RNA (shRNA) is artificially produced RNAi that downregulates the expression of the target gene.

First-generation adenovirus vectors (FG AdVs), which lack the E1 and E3 regions, have been widely used not only for basic studies of various gene functions *in vitro* and *in vivo*, but also for preclinical and clinical gene therapy. The transduction efficiency of this vector is very high, and viral stocks with a high titer are easily obtained. FG AdVs are often used to deliver shRNA or miRNA expression cassettes into target cells *in vitro*[1,2]. They are also used in *in vivo* studies, particularly in gene therapy fields[3–5]. FG AdVs are usually considered not to express any viral gene products because they lack the E1A gene, which is essential for the expression of all viral genes driven by polymerase II. In fact, however, FG AdVs express viral-associated RNAs (VA RNAs), which are vector-encoded small RNAs that are always expressed together with the transgene product both *in vitro* and *in vivo* since they are transcribed by polymerase III.

The VA RNAs, known as VAI and VAII, consist of 157–160 nucleotides (nt) and are encoded at about 30 map units on the genome of adenoviruses. In the normal life cycle of adenoviruses possessing the E1 genes, these VA RNAs are abundantly present during the late phase of infection and inhibit cellular RNAi pathways by saturating Exportin 5, RISC, and Dicer[6]. They are also processed and generate miRNAs[7,8], known as mivaRNAI and mivaRNAII, that disturb the expression of many cellular genes, with the probable result of blocking cellular antiviral machinery. VA RNAs are also expressed during the early phase of viral infection, though their functions during this phase remain unknown and the target genes of mivaRNAs have not been adequately studied. VA RNAs are expressed in AdV-transduced target cells at a level similar to that during the early phase and are considered to be a cause of severe immune responses[9,10], which are a major drawback of this vector. Therefore, AdVs lacking the expression of VA RNAs (VA-deleted AdVs) are desired for both basic and clinical studies and may enable safer gene therapy.

Because VA RNAs are processed using the same pathway as shRNAs, a question arises as to whether VA RNAs influence the RNAi strategy when this vector is used. However, this possibility has not been previously tested because AdVs lacking the expression of VA RNAs have been extremely difficult to develop[11], though low titers of VA-deleted, E1-containing adenoviruses have been obtained. Recently, however, we have established a method for the very efficient production of VA-deleted AdVs[12] that is sufficient for practical use *in vitro* and *in vivo*. The titers of the VA-deleted AdVs are comparable to those of the currently used FG AdVs. Also, we established 293 cell lines that constitutively express VA RNAs and support the growth of shRNA-expressing VA-deleted AdVs (unpublished data). These progresses have enabled us to examine whether VA RNAs actually influence the shRNA strategy.

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infects 2%–3% of the world's population and is a major cause of chronic hepatitis, liver cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma[13–15]. The most abundant genotype of HCV worldwide is genotype 1, which has two prevalent subtypes (1a and 1b). Genotype 2 is the second most common genotype. In addition to standard care combined with interferon (IFN) and rivavirin, the emergence of direct-acting antivirals, such as HCV protease inhibitors, has enabled advances in treatment. However, considering the development of drug-resistant viruses and side effects induced by drug–drug interactions, additional options for anti-HCV therapy are inevitably needed. HCV is considered to be an attractive target for shRNA-based therapy[16–19] because the viral genome is a single-stranded RNA of positive polarity and all the steps of viral replication occur in the cytoplasm.

shRNA-expressing cassettes are usually inserted at the E1 cloning site. However, the suitability of this site has not been examined. Here, we report that the shRNA activity was influenced by the position and orientation of the shRNA in the vector genome, and the most effective position/orientation the E4 position. We also show that shRNAs expressed by VA-deleted AdVs inhibited HCV replication more efficiently than those expressed by FG AdVs. The present report is the first to demonstrate that VA RNAs expressed from FG AdVs do indeed reduce the shRNA activity and that VA-deleted AdVs are useful for shRNA strategies.

Results

shRNA-expressing unit inserted at the E4 position worked more efficiently than that at the E1 position in the AdV genome.

We constructed four VA-deleted AdVs containing shRNA that suppresses GFP expression (Fig. 1a). Cassettes containing anti-GFP shRNA under the control of the human U6 promoter were inserted at the E1 and E4 insertion positions in left (L) and right (R) orientations (AxdV-aGFP-E1L, -E1R, -E4L, and -E4R, respectively). To assay shRNA suppression we used FC-18 cells that constitutively express GFP under the control of the EF1α promoter from its gene integrated in the chromosome[20]. The cells were infected with these AdVs at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 50, and the copy numbers of the GFP mRNA present in the cells were measured using quantitative PCR (qPCR). The suppression efficiency of the E4L vector (Fig. 1b, left, bar 3) was significantly higher than those of the E1L and E1R vectors (bars 1and 2). Therefore, the E4L position/orientation was more effective than the E1L or E1R position/orientation. This finding is notable because the E1 position is popularly used for the insertion of shRNA cassettes. The present results were confirmed using a FACS analysis (Supplementary Fig. S1). We also examined the suppression efficiency of mRNA transiently expressed from a transgene located on the AdV genome: a Cre-expressing AdV was co-infected together with each of the four VA-deleted AdVs expressing anti-Cre shRNA instead of anti-GFP shRNA (AxdV-aCre-E1L, -E1R, -E4L, and -E4R, respectively). The suppression efficiencies of the E4L and E4R anti-Cre vectors were higher than those of the E1L and E1R vectors at MOI 50 (Fig. 1b, right; compare bars 3 and 4 with bars 1 and 2, respectively) and at MOI 200 (Supplementary Fig. S2), while the efficiencies of the E4L and E4R anti-Cre vectors were not statistically different. Based on these data, the E4L position/orientation was adopted for further experiments examining HCV replication.

Anti-HCV shRNA activity in cells replicating HCV subgenome RNA was enhanced using VA-deleted AdV.

The anti-HCV activity of AdVs carrying shRNA was assessed in Huh-7-derived cells carrying the viral subgenomic replicon RNA (viral structural genes


Figure 1 | Structures of vectors containing shRNA cassettes and suppression efficiencies. (a) Structures of AdV-aGFP vectors. The arrow shows the orientation of transcription. Hatched box, shRNA cassette including the human U6 promoter. (b) (Left) Suppression efficiency of GFP RNA expressed from the cell line using anti-GFP vectors. FC-18 cells that constitutively express GFP RNA were infected with the vectors at MOI 50. (Right) Suppression efficiency of Cre RNA expressed from the AdV genome using anti-Cre vectors. FC-18 cells were doubly infected with AdV expressing Cre under the control of the CAG promoter at MOI 10 and anti-Cre vectors at MOI 50. Three days after infection, the amount of cytoplasmic RNA of GFP and Cre were measured using qPCR. The suppression efficiency for vector-infected FC-18 cells was calculated using copy numbers per cell, where uninfected FC-18 cells were denoted as 0% suppression of GFP RNA, while that of CV1 cells, the parent cells of FC-18 that do not contain the GFP gene, is denoted as the control of 100% suppression. Copy number, n = 6. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 compared with the E4L vector (unpaired Student's t-test).

56

Figure 2 | Schematic representation of the HCV replicons. The coding regions in the HCV polyproteins are indicated by the open boxes. The bold lines indicate the HCV 5'-untranslated region (UTR), which is the target of sh277 and sh331 (arrows), and the 3'-UTR. Gray bars, EMCV internal ribosome entry site; dC, 5'-region of Core gene; neo, neomycin-resistance gene; Luc-neo, firefly luciferase gene fused with neo gene.

are replaced by either the neomycin-resistant [neo] gene or the luciferase-neo fusion gene, and all the nonstructural genes sufficient for replication of the viral genome are retained; Fig. 2). The HCV RNA is constitutively maintained in these cells. Two kinds of shRNAs were used (Fig. 2, arrows). The shRNA331 (called sh331 in this paper) targeting nt 322–342 at the 5' end of the HCV genome has been reported to inhibit HCV replication efficiently[16]. The shRNA277 (called sh277 in this paper) targeting nt 279–297 is well conserved among genotypes and was newly constructed in this study. HuH 5–15 cells, which are genotype 1b-replicon cells[21], were infected with FG AdVs expressing either sh277 or sh331 or VA-deleted AdVs (VA-del AdVs in this figure) that were identical to AxdV-aGFP-E4L but used sh277 and sh331 as the shRNA (Fig. 3a). These AdVs contained cassettes expressing shRNAs under the control of the human U6 promoter at the E4L position/orientation. HCV RNA copies in the cells were measured using qPCR, and the suppression efficiency was calculated. VA-deleted AdVs expressing sh277 suppressed the viral RNA replication more efficiently than the corresponding FG AdV at MOI 2 (Fig. 3a, bars 3 and 4). A higher anti-HCV activity was also observed for the VA-deleted AdV compared with the FG AdV at

MOI 10 (bars 5 and 6). Similarly, VA-deleted AdV expressing sh331 suppressed HCV RNA replication more efficiently than the corresponding FG AdV at both MOI 2 and MOI 10 (bars 7 to 10). Among these settings, VA-deleted AdV expressing sh277 at MOI 10 yielded the highest suppression efficiency (bar 6, 71%).

SGR-JFH1/LucNeo cells (called SGR-JFH1), which harbor a geno-type 2a subgenomic replicon carrying a luciferase-neo fusion gene (Fig. 2, lower), were infected with a series of AdVs carrying shRNAs as described above and the numbers of HCV RNA copies in the cells were determined. We obtained results that were very similar to those obtained using HuH 5–15: the viral RNA level in cells infected with VA-deleted AdVs expressing sh277 or sh331 at MOI 2 or MOI 10 was significantly lower than that in cells infected with the corresponding FG AdVs, respectively (Fig. 3b, bars 3 to 10). Thus, these results, together with those obtained in HuH5–15 cells, demonstrated that VA-deleted AdV expressing shRNA was more effective than FG AdV for the suppression of HCV-RNA replication.

To evaluate whether VA-deleted AdV is advantageous to the anti-HCV effect of IFN, VA-deleted AdV and FG AdV expressing human α2-IFN were also constructed. As shown in Fig. 3, both the VA-deleted AdVs and the FG AdVs enabled the efficient suppression of HCV RNA replication in HuH 5–15 and SGR-JFH1 cells (bars 11 and 12). However, in contrast to the results obtained with shRNAs, no significant difference in the suppression efficiency was observed between these two AdVs, supporting the notion that the antiviral mechanisms of IFN are distinct from those of shRNAs.

Coinfection of a VA-deleted AdV expressing sh277 and a VA-deleted AdV expressing sh331 enabled more efficient suppression than single infection alone. Because the sequences of sh277 and sh331 do not overlap, they may work independently and suppress more efficiently when doubly introduced to cells. Therefore, HuH 5–15 cells were coinfected with a VA-deleted AdV expressing sh277 and a VA-deleted AdV expressing sh331, and the resulting suppression efficiency was compared with that in cells infected with either the sh277 AdV or the sh331 AdV alone (Fig. 4a). As a result, the suppression efficiency of the coinfected AdVs was higher than those of the singly infected AdVs at MOI 5 (compare bar 4 with bars 2, 3, 5 and 6) and at MOI 10 (compare bar 4 with bars 7 and 8).


Figure 3 | Suppression of HCV RC RNA by the AdVs expressing sh277 and sh331. Effects on HCV RNA replication in HuH 5–15 cells (a) and SGR-JFH1 cells (b). The cells were infected with FG AdVs (FG, white bars) and VA-deleted AdVs (VA-del, black bars). NC, AdVs expressing negative-control shRNA. The copy numbers of intracellular HCV RNA were measured at 72 h after infection. The suppression efficiency was calculated relative to the copy numbers in uninfected cells as 0%; the copy numbers of HCV RNA in the control, uninfected cells were 4.0×10^4 copies/cell and 1.1×10^4 copies/cell in HuH 5–15 cells (a) and SGR-JFH1 cells (b), respectively. The suppression efficiencies of NC FG AdV and NC VA-deleted AdV were (a) 3.8 ($\pm$6.7) and 15.1 ($\pm$4.4), while those were (b) −4.1 ($\pm$3.4) and 18.2 ($\pm$9.0), respectively. Each data point represents an average of n = 3, mean $\pm$ S.D. (error bars). *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$.

a

b

Figure 4 | Suppression of HCV RC RNA by double infection of the shRNA-expressing AdVs. HuH 5–15 cells (a) and SGR-JFH1 cells (b) were infected with the VA-deleted AdVs expressing shRNAs and, three days later, the intracellular RNA levels of HCV RC were measured. The copy numbers of HCV RC were 5.7×10^4 copies/cell and 1.4×10^4 copies/cell in the HuH 5–15 cells (a) and the SGR-JFH1 cells (b), respectively. The suppression efficiencies of NC FG AdV and NC VA-deleted AdV were (a) 8.7 ($\pm$13.6) and (b) 18.7 ($\pm$2.0), respectively. **$P < 0.01$ against the value of the coinfection (bar 6) (a), (b). The other presentations are the same as in Fig. 3.

These results were confirmed using SGR-JFH1 (Fig. 4b; compare bar 4 with bars 7 and 8). Interestingly, the coinfection of AdV expressing commercially available control shRNA appeared to decrease the activity of sh331 (Fig. 4a and 4b, bars 3 and 6) but not that of sh277 (Figs. 4a and 4b, bars 2 and 5) in both cell lines. These results might suggest that the control shRNA might compete with sh331, but not with sh277, at some step in siRNA processing.

VA-deleted AdVs expressing shRNA or IFN efficiently suppressed HCV replication in HCV-infected cells. The anti-HCV activity of AdVs expressing shRNA or IFN was further investigated in cells infected with the HCV JFH-1 strain[22]. The cells were infected with VA-deleted AdVs and FG AdVs expressing sh331, sh277, or IFN. Three days later, the HCV RNA copy number was measured (Fig. 5a). The VA-deleted AdVs expressing sh277 or sh331 exhibited higher anti-HCV activities than the FG AdVs with only one exception: for sh277-expressing AdVs at MOI 10, suppression

efficiencies were similar between VA-deleted AdVs and FG AdVs (bars 5 and 6), though at MOI 2 VA-deleted AdV was significantly more efficient than that of FG AdV (bars 3 and 4). The results might be explained that the effect of sh277 may be saturated at MOI 10, because the copy numbers of HCV genome were very low (figure legends of Fig. 5).

The expression of the HCV protein NS5A in the cells was determined using immunoblotting (Fig. 5b). The level of NS5A protein in the cells infected with the VA-deleted AdV expressing sh331 was nearly undetectable and was comparable with those for the cells infected with FG AdV and VA-deleted AdV expressing IFN (lanes 3, 7 and 8). Meanwhile, as for sh277 NS5A protein was significantly less when using VA-deleted AdV than that using FG AdV (lanes 5 and 6). However, the difference was not evident compared with sh331 correlating with the suppression efficiency of sh277 at MOI 2 and MOI 10 (Fig. 5a, bars 3 to 6). Altogether, these results demonstrated that, although a limited anti-HCV activity was obtained using

a

b

Figure 5 | Suppression of replicating HCV genome and the expressed proteins in the HCV-infected HuH cells. (a) Suppression levels of HCV genomes. HCV-infected HuH-7 cells were infected with shRNA-expressing AdVs and interferon-expressing AdVs at MOI 2 (bars 1 to 4, 7, 8, 11 and 12) or at MOI 10 (bars 5, 6, 9 and 10). The copy numbers of HCV RNA were 1.0×10^3 copies/cell. The suppression efficiencies of NC FG AdV and NC VA-deleted AdV were 3.7 ($\pm$5.5) and 27.8 ($\pm$9.7), respectively. The other presentations are the same as in Fig. 3. (b) Western blot analysis of HCV NS5A protein expressed in the viral-infected cells. Three days after the AdV infection at MOI 10, HCV NS5A and GAPDH proteins were detected using specific antibodies. CC, Cells infected with HCV but not with AdVs expressing the shRNAs. Arrows for NS5A indicate its hyper- (upper) and hypo- (lower) phosphorylated forms.