

Fig. 10 Mechanistic paradigm of interaction between NK and breast cancer cells. IFN- γ secreted from NK cells promotes the production of CXCL10 from breast cancer cells, which in turn further accelerates migration of CXCR3-expressing NK cells into the tumor site. Migrated NK cells kill breast cancer cells by either of the two major mechanisms that require direct contact between NK cells and target

cells. In the first, cytoplasmic granule toxins, perforin, and granzymes are secreted by exocytosis and together induce apoptosis of the target cell. The second mechanism involves the engagement of death receptors on target cells by expressing of their cognate ligands (TRAIL) on NK cells, resulting in apoptosis of the target cells

function leads to more efficient elimination of tumor growth [40–44]. Despite these promising advances, the systemic administration of cytokines, such as IL-2, which non-specifically activate a broad range of immune cell types, is associated with significant toxicity [40, 45]. Recent animal experiments have demonstrated the ability of adoptive transfer of NK cells to mount a therapeutic antitumor response [46, 47], and translational clinical research suggests that NK cells are useful for controlling human malignancy [48–50]. Our results have proven that PB NK cells can kill breast cancer cells and liver NK cells can hinder metastasis of breast cancer to the liver, which suggests the potential therapeutic use of NK cells, i.e., by either activation of endogenous NK cells or adoptive transfer of in vitro-activated autologous NK cells. Although liver NK cells displayed higher cytotoxicity than PB NK cells, no clinically applicable method for obtaining liver NK cells from patients with breast cancer has yet been established. Alternatively, locally infusing IL-2 into the liver through the portal vein likely activates endogenous liver NK cells, which in turn might infiltrate or accumulate to the tumor site probably through the CXCL10/CXCR3 axis.

Acknowledgments We thank Drs. Kohei Ishiyama, and Masahiro Ohira for their advice and encouragement and Drs. Daskali Marlen, Yuka Igarashi and Nabin Basnet, and Ms. Yuko Ishida and Ms. Midori Kiyokawa for their expert technical assistance. This work was

supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and a Grant-in-Aid for the Research on Hepatitis and BSE from the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare.

Conflict of interest None.

References

1. Trinchieri G (1989) Biology of natural killer cells. *Adv Immunol* 47:187–376
2. Robertson MJ, Ritz J (1990) Biology and clinical relevance of human natural killer cells. *Blood* 76(12):2421–2438
3. Cullen SP, Martin SJ (2008) Mechanisms of granule-dependent killing. *Cell Death Differ* 15(2):251–262
4. Pardo J, Aguilo JI, Anel A, Martin P, Joeckel L, Borner C et al (2009) The biology of cytotoxic cell granule exocytosis pathway: granzymes have evolved to induce cell death and inflammation. *Microbes Infect* 11(4):452–459
5. Sjöström-Mattson J, Von Boguslawski K, Bengtsson NO, Mjåland I, Salmenkivi K, Blomqvist C (2009) The expression of p53, bcl-2, bax, fas and fasL in the primary tumour and lymph node metastases of breast cancer. *Acta Oncol* 48(8):1137–1143
6. Lahiry L, Saha B, Chakraborty J, Adhikary A, Hossain DM et al (2010) Theaflavins target Fas/caspase-8 and Akt/pBad pathways to induce apoptosis in p53-mutated human breast cancer cells. *Carcinogenesis* 31(2):259–268
7. Takahashi M, Ogasawara K, Takeda K, Hashimoto W, Sakihara H, Kumagai K et al (1996) LPS induces NK1.1+ alpha beta T

- cells with potent cytotoxicity in the liver of mice via production of IL-12 from Kupffer cells. *J Immunol* 156(7):2436–2442
8. Crispe IN, Mehal WZ (1996) Strange brew: T cells in the liver. *Immunol Today* 17(11):522–525
 9. Seki S, Habu Y, Kawamura T, Takeda K, Dobashi H, Ohkawa T et al (2000) The liver as a crucial organ in the first line of host defense: the roles of Kupffer cells, natural killer (NK) cells and NK1.1 Ag+ T cells in T helper 1 immune responses. *Immunol Rev* 174:35–46
 10. Ishiyama K, Ohdan H, Mitsuta H, Arihiro K, Asahara T (2006) Difference in cytotoxicity against hepatocellular carcinoma between liver and periphery natural killer cells in humans. *Hepatology* 43(2):362–372
 11. Ochi M, Ohdan H, Mitsuta H, Onoe T, Tokita D, Hara H et al (2004) Liver NK cells expressing TRAIL are toxic against self hepatocytes in mice. *Hepatology* 39(5):1321–1331
 12. Rahman M, Davis SR, Pumphrey JG, Bao J, Nau MM, Meltzer PS et al (2009) TRAIL induces apoptosis in triple-negative breast cancer cells with a mesenchymal phenotype. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 113(2):217–230
 13. Dastjerdi K, Tabar GH, Dehghani H, Haghparsat A (2011) Generation of an enriched pool of DNA aptamers for an HER2-overexpressing cell line selected by cell SELEX. *Biotechnol Appl Biochem* 58(4):226–230
 14. Kataoka T, Shinohara N, Takayama H, Takaku K, Kondo S, Yonehara S et al (1996) Concanamycin A, a powerful tool for characterization and estimation of contribution of perforin- and Fas-based lytic pathways in cell-mediated cytotoxicity. *J Immunol* 156(10):3678–3686
 15. Kawarabayashi N, Seki S, Hatsuse K, Ohkawa T, Koike Y, Aihara T et al (2000) Decrease of CD56(+)T cells and natural killer cells in cirrhotic livers with hepatitis C may be involved in their susceptibility to hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 32(5):962–969
 16. Pan G, O'Rourke K, Chinnaiyan AM, Gentz R, Ebner R, Ni J et al (1997) The receptor for the cytotoxic ligand TRAIL. *Science* 276(5309):111–113
 17. Sheridan JP, Marsters SA, Pitti RM, Gurney A, Skubatch M, Baldwin D et al (1997) Control of TRAIL-induced apoptosis by a family of signaling and decoy receptors. *Science* 277(5327):818–821
 18. Wallin RP, Screpanti V, Michaelsson J, Grandien A, Ljunggren HG (2003) Regulation of perforin-independent NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity. *Eur J Immunol* 33(10):2727–2735
 19. Salazar-Mather TP, Orange JS, Biron CA (1998) Early murine cytomegalovirus (MCMV) infection induces liver natural killer (NK) cell inflammation and protection through macrophage inflammatory protein 1alpha (MIP-1alpha)-dependent pathways. *J Exp Med* 187(1):1–14
 20. Guimond MJ, Wang B, Croy BA (1998) Engraftment of bone marrow from severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) mice reverses the reproductive deficits in natural killer cell-deficient tg epsilon 26 mice. *J Exp Med* 187(2):217–223
 21. Hedrick JA, Saylor V, Figueroa D, Mizoue L, Xu Y, Menon S et al (1997) Lymphotoxin is produced by NK cells and attracts both NK cells and T cells in vivo. *J Immunol* 158(4):1533–1540
 22. Groom JR, Luster AD (2011) CXCR3 ligands: redundant, collaborative and antagonistic functions. *Immunol Cell Biol* 89(2):207–215
 23. Caligiuri MA, Zmuidzinis A, Manley TJ, Levine H, Smith KA, Ritz J (1990) Functional consequences of interleukin 2 receptor expression on resting human lymphocytes. Identification of a novel natural killer cell subset with high affinity receptors. *J Exp Med* 171(5):1509–1526
 24. Nagler A, Lanier LL, Phillips JH (1990) Constitutive expression of high affinity interleukin 2 receptors on human CD16-natural killer cells in vivo. *J Exp Med* 171(5):1527–1533
 25. Caligiuri MA, Murray C, Robertson MJ, Wang E, Cochran K, Cameron C et al (1993) Selective modulation of human natural killer cells in vivo after prolonged infusion of low dose recombinant interleukin 2. *J Clin Invest* 91(1):123–132
 26. Baume DM, Robertson MJ, Levine H, Manley TJ, Schow PW, Ritz J (1992) Differential responses to interleukin 2 define functionally distinct subsets of human natural killer cells. *Eur J Immunol* 22(1):1–6
 27. Karre K, Ljunggren HG, Piontek G, Kiessling R (1986) Selective rejection of H-2-deficient lymphoma variants suggests alternative immune defence strategy. *Nature* 319(6055):675–678
 28. Wiley SR, Schooley K, Smolak PJ, Din WS, Huang CP, Nicholl JK et al (1995) Identification and characterization of a new member of the TNF family that induces apoptosis. *Immunity* 3(6):673–682
 29. Ogasawara J, Watanabe-Fukunaga R, Adachi M, Matsuzawa A, Kasugai T, Kitamura Y et al (1993) Lethal effect of the anti-Fas antibody in mice. *Nature* 364(6440):806–809
 30. Nagata S (1997) Apoptosis by death factor. *Cell* 88(3):355–365
 31. Ashkenazi A, Pai RC, Fong S, Leung S, Lawrence DA, Marsters SA et al (1999) Safety and antitumor activity of recombinant soluble Apo2 ligand. *J Clin Invest* 104(2):155–162
 32. Walczak H, Miller RE, Ariail K, Gliniak B, Griffith T, Kubin M et al (1999) Tumoricidal activity of tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand in vivo. *Nat Med* 5(2):157–163
 33. Herr I, Debatin KM (2001) Cellular stress response and apoptosis in cancer therapy. *Blood* 98(9):2603–2614
 34. Nagler A, Lanier LL, Cwirla S, Phillips JH (1989) Comparative studies of human FcRIII-positive and negative natural killer cells. *J Immunol* 143(10):3183–3191
 35. Ishigami S, Natsugome S, Tokuda K, Nakajo A, Xiangming Che, Iwashige H et al (2000) Prognostic value of intratumoral natural killer cells in gastric carcinoma. *Cancer* 88(3):577–583
 36. Villegas FR, Santiago C, Villarrubia VG, Jimenez R, Chillon MJ, Jareno J et al (2002) Prognostic significance of tumor infiltrating natural killer cells subset CD57 in patients with squamous cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* 35(1):23–28
 37. Hyakudomi M, Matsubara T, Hyakudomi R, Yamamoto T, Kinugasa S, Yamanoi A et al (2008) Increased expression of fractalkine is correlated with a better prognosis and an increased number of both CD8+ T cells and natural killer cells in gastric adenocarcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* 15(6):1775–1782
 38. Miller JS, Soignier Y, Panoskaltzis-Mortari A, McNearney SA, Yun GH, Fautsch SK et al (2005) Successful adoptive transfer and in vivo expansion of human haploidentical NK cells in patients with cancer. *Blood* 105(8):3051–3057
 39. Klingemann HG, Martinson J (2004) Ex vivo expansion of natural killer cells for clinical applications. *Cytotherapy* 6(1):15–22
 40. Rosenberg SA, Lotze MT, Muul LM, Chang AE, Avis FP, Leitman S et al (1987) A progress report on the treatment of 157 patients with advanced cancer using lymphokine-activated killer cells and interleukin-2 or high-dose interleukin-2 alone. *N Engl J Med* 316(15):889–897
 41. Brunda MJ, Luistro L, Warriar RR, Wright RB, Hubbard BR, Murphy M et al (1993) Antitumor and antimetastatic activity of interleukin 12 against murine tumors. *J Exp Med* 178(4):1223–1230
 42. Takeda K, Hayakawa Y, Smyth ML, Kayagaki N, Yamaguchi N, Kakuta S et al (2001) Involvement of tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand in surveillance of tumor metastasis by liver natural killer cells. *Nat Med* 1:94–100
 43. Kennedy MK, Glaccum M, Brown SN, Butz EA, Viney JL, Embers M et al (2000) Reversible defects in natural killer and memory CD8 T cell lineages in interleukin 15-deficient mice. *J Exp Med* 191(5):771–780

44. Smyth MJ, Cretney E, Takeda K, Wiltrott RH, Sedger LM, Kayagaki N et al (2001) Tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) contributes to interferon gamma-dependent natural killer cell protection from tumor metastasis. *J Exp Med* 193(6):661–670
45. Talmadge JE, Phillips H, Schindler J, Tribble H, Pennigton R (1987) Systematic preclinical study on the therapeutic properties of recombinant human interleukin 2 for the treatment of metastatic disease. *Cancer Res* 47(21):5725–5732
46. Helms MW, Prescher JA, Cao YA, Schaffert S, Contag CH (2010) IL-12 enhances efficacy and shortens enrichment time in cytokine-induced killer cell immunotherapy. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 59(9):1325–1334
47. Pegram HJ, Haynes NM, Smyth MJ, Kershaw MH, Darcy PK (2010) Characterizing the anti-tumor function of adoptively transferred NK cells in vivo. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 59(8):1235–1246
48. Iliopoulou EG, Kountourakis P, Karamouzis MV, Doufexis D, Ardavanis A, Baxevasis CN et al (2010) A phase I trial of adoptive transfer of allogeneic natural killer cells in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 59(12):1781–1789
49. Milani V, Stangl S, Issels R, Gehrman M, Wagner B, Hube K (2009) Anti-tumor activity of patient-derived NK cells after cell-based immunotherapy—a case report. *J Transl Med* 7:50
50. Knorr DA, Kaufman DS (2010) Pluripotent stem cell-derived natural killer cells for cancer therapy. *Transl Res* 156(3):147–154

Impact of Pegylated Interferon Therapy on Outcomes of Patients with Hepatitis C Virus-Related Hepatocellular Carcinoma After Curative Hepatic Resection

Yoshisato Tanimoto, MD¹, Hirotaka Tashiro, MD¹, Hiroshi Aikata, MD², Hironobu Amano, MD¹, Akihiko Oshita, MD¹, Tsuyoshi Kobayashi, MD¹, Shintaro Kuroda, MD¹, Hirofumi Tazawa, MD¹, Shoichi Takahashi, MD², Toshiyuki Itamoto, MD³, Kazuaki Chayama, MD², and Hideki Ohdan, MD¹

¹Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Hiroshima University Hospital, Hiroshima, Japan; ²Department of Gastroenterology, Hiroshima University Hospital, Hiroshima, Japan; ³Department of Surgery, Prefectural Hiroshima Hospital, Hiroshima, Japan

ABSTRACT

Background. Several published reports investigating the effects of interferon (IFN) therapy on survival and tumor recurrence after curative resection of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) have been inconclusive. The aim of this study is to investigate the efficacy of pegylated-IFN (peg-IFN) therapy after curative hepatic resection for HCC in patients infected with hepatitis C virus (HCV).

Methods. Data from 175 patients who underwent curative hepatic resection for HCC associated with HCV were retrospectively collected and analyzed; 75 patients received peg-IFN therapy after surgery, whereas 100 patients did not receive IFN therapy. To overcome biases resulting from the different distribution of covariates in the two groups, a one-to-one match was created using propensity score analysis. After matching, patient outcomes were analyzed.

Results. After one-to-one matching, patients ($n = 38$) who received peg-IFN therapy after surgery and patients ($n = 38$) who did not receive IFN therapy had the same preoperative and operative characteristics. The 3- and 5-year overall survival rates of patients who received peg-IFN therapy after hepatic resection were significantly higher than those of patients who did not receive IFN therapy ($P = 0.00135$). The 3- and 5-year overall survival rates were 100 and 91.7% and 76.6 and 50.6% in the peg-IFN group and non-IFN group, respectively. There was no significant

difference in disease-free survival between the two matched groups ($P = 0.886$).

Conclusion. Peg-IFN therapy may be effective as an adjuvant chemopreventive agent after hepatic resection in patients with HCV-related HCC.

Hepatic resection is a well-accepted therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), but many patients show cancer recurrence and the cumulative 5-year HCC recurrence rate exceeds 70%.^{1–3} This high incidence of tumor recurrence after hepatic resection remains a major drawback. Some benefits of interferon (IFN) therapy on tumor recurrence and survival have been reported.^{4–10} IFN suppresses replication of hepatitis C virus (HCV) and exerts a tumoricidal effect on a number of tumors, including HCC.^{10,11} However, several randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have revealed inconclusive results regarding the effects of IFN on survival and tumor recurrence after curative resection or ablation of HCC, either because the effects were not statistically significant or because they were considered only with respect to defined subpopulations.^{12–15}

Recently, combination therapy consisting of pegylated interferon (peg-IFN) plus ribavirin (RBV) has been developed, and the effect of this combination has been reported to be higher than that of conventional IFN therapy.^{16,17} Peg-IFN has an extended serum half-life that provides viral suppression for 7 days, thus allowing weekly administration and enhanced clinical efficacy.¹⁷ Most Japanese patients infected with HCV are infected with HCV genotype 1b and have high viral load. Moreover, treatment with conventional IFN is complicated by a low sustained viral response (SVR) rate of 20–30%.^{18–20}

However, peg-IFN plus RBV combination therapy has good tolerability in Japanese patients with HCV and resulted in an SVR rate of approximately 40–50%.^{21–23} The impact of adjuvant immunotherapy with IFN after curative resection of HCC is debatable, and few studies have investigated the effects of peg-IFN plus RBV combination therapy on survival and recurrence after curative resection of HCC.

In the present study, we aim to investigate the impact of peg-IFN plus RBV combination therapy on survival and HCC recurrence after curative resection in patients infected with HCV.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients and HCV Diagnosis

From June 2003 to June 2009, 370 HCC patients underwent hepatectomy as initial treatment at the Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Hiroshima University Hospital, Japan. Of the 370 patients, 175 patients who were HCV RNA-positive/hepatitis B surface antigen-negative underwent curative hepatectomy. Of the 175 patients, 75 patients received IFN therapy after hepatectomy, and 100 patients did not receive any IFN therapy. Of the 75 patients who received IFN, 20 patients who received IFNs such as IFN- α or IFN- β were excluded. Of the 55 patients who received peg-IFN therapy, 43 patients who started peg-IFN within 9 months after curative resection were enrolled in this analysis. Twenty-four patients who had early recurrence of HCC within 9 months after surgery were excluded from the 100 patients who did not receive any IFN therapy, because these patients could lose the opportunity to receive IFN therapy for HCC recurrence if these patients were assigned to the peg-IFN therapy. Consequently, 119 patients were eventually enrolled in this study. Of these 119 patients, 43 received peg-IFN therapy within 9 months after hepatectomy, and 76 did not receive any IFN therapy.

Curative hepatectomy was defined as removal of all recognizable tumors. HCV RNA levels were measured by quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR; Amplicor, Roche Diagnostic Systems, CA, USA). HCV genotype was determined by PCR using a mixed primer set derived from the nucleotide sequences of the NS5 region. HCV negativity was evaluated by quantitative RT-PCR. The lower limit of the assay was 5 kIU/ml (equivalent to 5,000 copies/ml) in the quantitative method and 50 IU/ml (equivalent to 50 copies/ml) in the qualitative method. SVR was defined as undetectable HCV RNA at 24 weeks after completion of IFN therapy. The study was approved by the concerned institutional review boards. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Preoperative Diagnosis and Evaluation of HCC

Hepatocellular carcinoma was diagnosed on the basis of routine imaging modalities such as Doppler ultrasonography (US), computed tomography (CT) during hepatic angiography (CTHA) and CT during arterial portography (CTAP), and magnetic resonance imaging. Tumor stage, liver damage classification, and surgical procedures were defined according to the General Rules for Clinical and Pathologic Study of Primary Liver Cancer, fifth edition, by the Liver Cancer Study Group of Japan.²⁴

Hepatectomy

The surgical procedure was determined according to tumor extent and hepatic reserve function. Liver function was assessed by liver damage classification, Child–Pugh classification, and indocyanine green retention rate at 15 min (ICGR 15).^{25,26} If permitted by liver function, anatomic resection was performed.^{27,28} In patients with insufficient hepatic reserve, limited resection was performed. We divided the liver parenchyma by using an ultrasonic dissector.²⁹ Postoperative complications were graded according to the method described by Clavien et al.³⁰

Follow-Up

Follow-up evaluation after the surgery consisted of monthly blood chemistry tests and measurements of levels of tumor markers, including alpha-fetoprotein and des-gamma-carboxy prothrombin. Patients were examined by US every 3 months and by CT every 6 months. When recurrence was indicated by any of these examinations, patients were examined by CTAP and CTHA.

Patient Selection for IFN Therapy

Patients with HCV genotype 1b in the IFN group received peg-IFN α -2b (Pegintron; Schering-Plough, NJ, USA) at weekly dosage of 1.5 μ g/kg subcutaneously for 48 weeks. Daily RBV (Rebetrol, Schering-Plough) was administered orally for 48 weeks, and the dosage was adjusted according to weight (600 mg for patients weighing \leq 60 kg, 800 mg for those weighing 60–80 kg). Patients with HCV genotype 2 received IFN monotherapy for 24 weeks. Blood samples were obtained every 4 weeks and analyzed for HCV RNA levels. All patients were informed about IFN therapy after hepatectomy, and only consenting patients received IFN therapy. The eligibility criteria for IFN therapy were as follows: (1) detectable serum HCV RNA level, (2) Eastern Cooperative Oncology

Group (ECOG) performance score of 0 or 1, (3) platelet count $\geq 70,000/\mu\text{l}$, (4) patients with no uncompensated cirrhosis (Child class C), and (5) hemoglobin concentration ≥ 10 g/dl. Peg-IFN therapy was commenced within 24 weeks of surgery or after the eligibility criteria were fulfilled.

Safety Assessments and Dose Modification of Peg-IFN Therapy

Adverse events were graded as mild, moderate, severe, or potentially life-threatening according to a modified World Health Organization grading system. The dose of peg-IFN was decreased by 50% and that of RBV was lowered to half in case of severe adverse events or when laboratory results revealed any of the following: hemoglobin concentration < 10 g/dl in patients with no cardiac disease, decrease in hemoglobin concentration > 2 g/dl in patients with cardiac disease, white blood cell count $< 3,000/\text{mm}^3$, or platelet count $< 50,000/\text{mm}^3$. Full dosage could be resumed on resolution of the adverse events. Treatment was permanently discontinued in case of life-threatening events or when laboratory results revealed hemoglobin concentration < 7.5 g/dl after 4 weeks of dose reduction, white blood cell count $< 1,500/\text{mm}^3$, or platelet count $< 30,000/\text{mm}^3$.

Treatment for Recurrence

Patients with intrahepatic HCC recurrence were managed with ablative therapies such as radiofrequency ablation (RFA), percutaneous ethanol injection therapy, transarterial chemoembolization, or surgery including living-donor liver transplantation according to the tumor characteristics (number, size, and location of the tumors) and liver function.

Statistical Analyses

Categorical variables were compared using the chi-square test, and continuous variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney *U*-test. Overall survival and disease-free survival analyses were performed using Kaplan-Meier methods; comparisons between different groups were performed using the log-rank test. *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. Calculations were performed using SPSS software (version 16; SPSS Inc., IL, USA).

Propensity analysis was performed using logistic regression to create a propensity score for the IFN and non-IFN therapy groups.^{31,32} Variables entered in the propensity model were age, sex, HCV genotype, liver function test, tumor factors, and operative factors. The model was then used to provide a one-to-one match between the two groups

by using the nearest-neighbor matching method.^{33,34} Survival and disease-free survival analyses were performed in each matched subgroup to assess the impact of peg-IFN therapy on mortality after adjusting for the confounding factors.

RESULTS

Characteristics and Postoperative Course of the Entire Population

Differences in the characteristics of patients who received peg-IFN therapy after hepatic resection and those who did not receive IFN therapy after hepatic resection are presented in Table 1. Patients who received peg-IFN therapy were younger (65 vs. 71 years; $P = 0.0003$). Regarding tumor characteristics, there was no significant difference between the two groups. Operation times tended to be longer in patients who received peg-IFN therapy than in those who did not receive IFN therapy (260 vs. 242 min; $P = 0.05$). There were no hospital-related deaths in this study. Postoperative complications did not differ between the two groups. In the entire population, the 3- and 5-year overall survival rates of patients who received peg-IFN therapy after hepatic resection were significantly higher than those of patients who did not receive IFN therapy ($P = 0.0024$) (Fig. 1a). However, there was no significant difference in disease-free survival between the two groups ($P = 0.795$) (Fig. 1b).

Results After Propensity Score Matching

Characteristics of the patients after propensity score analysis are presented in Table 1. Thirty-eight of the 43 patients who received peg-IFN therapy after hepatic resection and an equal number of the 76 patients who did not receive IFN therapy were matched after covariate adjustment. The study group of 76 patients was well matched; in particular, all covariates that significantly affected recurrence and postoperative liver failure in the entire study group were equally distributed between the two matched groups. Matched patients who received peg-IFN therapy after hepatic resection had similar total bilirubin and serum albumin levels and similar platelet counts to matched patients who did not receive IFN therapy. Similarly, the tumor characteristics, the surgical procedure, operation times, and blood loss during the operation in matched patients who received peg-IFN therapy were almost similar to those in patients who did not receive IFN therapy. There were no hospital-related deaths in the matched groups. Postoperative complications also did not differ between the two groups. The median follow-up period for patients who received peg-IFN and those who

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics and operative data on patients who underwent hepatectomy: data are reported for whole study and for the matched study population after propensity score analysis

	Overall series		P value	Propensity-matched series		P value
	IFN (+) n = 43	IFN (-) n = 76		Peg-IFN (+) n = 38	IFN (-) n = 38	
Age (years)	65 (53–78)	71 (48–83)	0.0003	65.5 (53–75)	69 (51–80)	0.2
Sex (male/female)	27/16	47/29	0.918	23/15	25/13	0.634
Preoperative IFN	24 (55.8%)	29 (38.1%)	0.06	20 (52.6%)	14 (36.8%)	0.16
HCV genotype			0.876			0.6
1b	34	61		29	27	
2b	9	15		9	11	
Diabetes mellitus	11 (25.6%)	22 (28.9%)	0.856	11 (28.9%)	13 (34.2%)	0.621
ECOG PS			0.831			0.644
0	39	68		36	35	
1	4	8		2	3	
Platelet (104/mm ³)	10.3 (3.3–26.6)	10.3 (3.8–40.3)	0.381	9.75 (3.3–21.5)	11.2 (3.8–40.3)	0.454
T-Bil (mg/dl)	0.7 (0.3–1.4)	0.8 (0.3–1.7)	0.292	0.7 (0.4–1.4)	0.7 (0.3–1.7)	0.798
AST (IU/l)	42 (18–121)	48 (16–150)	0.152	43.5 (18–127)	41.5 (6–150)	0.567
ALT (IU/l)	38 (13–127)	41.5 (10–196)	0.987	40.5 (11–127)	37.5 (10–196)	0.226
Albumin (g/dl)	3.8 (2.8–5.2)	3.8 (2.5–4.9)	0.215	3.8 (2.8–5.2)	3.8 (2.5–4.5)	0.469
ICGR 15 (%)	17.9 (7.4–77.4)	18.7 (4.6–50.5)	0.734	17.65 (7.4–40.0)	17.55 (4.6–40.0)	0.561
AFP (ng/ml)	11.6 (0.5–3405)	27.6 (0.5–36572)	0.176	13.95 (0.5–3405)	22.9 (0.5–513)	0.635
Child–Pugh grade			0.665			0.556
A	41 (95.3%)	69 (90.8%)		37 (97.4%)	36 (94.7%)	
B	2 (4.7%)	7 (9.2%)		1 (2.6%)	2 (5.3%)	
Hepatic resection			0.322			0.373
Hr0	20 (46.5%)	49 (64.5%)		18 (47.4%)	23 (60.5%)	
HrS	13 (30.2%)	18 (23.7%)		12 (31.6%)	9 (23.7%)	
Hr1	3 (7.0%)	4 (5.3%)		2 (5.3%)	3 (7.9%)	
Hr2	7 (16.3%)	5 (6.6%)		6 (15.8%)	2 (5.3%)	
Hr3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Operation time (min)	260 (128–623)	242 (90–580)	0.0514	257 (128–623)	247.5 (90–580)	0.18
Blood loss (ml)	200 (20–1900)	225 (10–960)	0.996	210 (20–1900)	210 (10–960)	0.803
Postoperative complications			0.933			0.798
IIIa	4	6		2	2	
IIIb	1	1		1	1	
IVa	1	1		1	0	
Stage			0.315			0.293
I	14 (32.6%)	19 (25.0%)		13 (34.2%)	9 (23.7%)	
II	18 (41.9%)	44 (57.9%)		15 (39.5%)	23 (60.5%)	
III	9 (20.9%)	12 (15.8%)		9 (23.7%)	6 (15.8%)	
IV-A	2 (4.7%)	1 (1.3%)		1 (2.6%)	0 (0.0%)	
Single tumor	28 (65.1%)	57 (75.0%)	0.252	25 (65.8%)	29 (76.3%)	0.312
Tumor size			0.712			0.589
≥3 cm	15 (34.9%)	24 (31.6%)		10 (26.3%)	8 (21.1%)	
<3 cm	28 (65.1%)	52 (68.4%)		28 (73.7%)	30 (78.9%)	
Vascular invasion	4 (9.3%)	3 (3.9%)	0.233	3 (7.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0.239

Continuous variables expressed as median (range)

Hepatic resection and stage were according to General Rules for the Clinical and pathological Study of Primary Liver Cancer, by Liver cancer Study Group of Japan, 5th edition, Kanehara Co., Ltd

Hr0: limited resection, HrS: segmentectomy, Hr1: sectionectomy, Hr2: hemihepatectomy, Hr3: more than hemihepatectomy

T-Bil total bilirubin, PS performance status, AST aspartate aminotransferase, ALT alanine aminotransferase, ICGR 15 indocyanine green retention rate at 15 min, AFP alpha-fetoprotein,

did not receive IFN therapy was 3.8 (1.2–6.9) and 3.5 (1.3–6.8) years, respectively. In the matched study groups, the 3- and 5-year overall survival rates of patients who received peg-IFN therapy after hepatic resection were significantly higher than those of patients who did not receive IFN therapy ($P = 0.00135$) (Fig. 1c). However, there was no significant difference in disease-free survival between the two matched groups ($P = 0.886$) (Fig. 1d).

In the matched 38 patients of the peg-IFN group, peg-IFN therapy was initiated at a median of 4.3 (0.9–9.6) months after hepatic resection. Thirty-one of 38 HCC patients began peg-IFN therapy within 6 months after hepatectomy. Seven patients required more than 6 months to commence peg-IFN therapy. Two patients required a longer time to recover platelet counts of more than 70,000/ μl . Five patients required a longer time to decide to receive peg-IFN therapy. Sixteen (42.1%) of the matched 38 patients who received peg-IFN therapy after hepatectomy attained SVR. Among 16 patients who attained SVR, 10 patients received full-dose peg-IFN therapy without dose reduction, whereas 6 patients received a reduced dose of peg-IFN and/or RBV until completion of treatment. Nine patients discontinued peg-IFN therapy because of adverse events such as thrombocytopenia and neutropenia ($n = 2$),

skin eruption ($n = 1$), depression ($n = 2$), and severe malaise ($n = 4$). Three patients discontinued peg-IFN therapy because of HCC recurrence. Adherence to peg-IFN therapy was 68.4% in this study. No life-threatening adverse events were observed, and none of the total 15 deaths in both sets of matched patients were related to the IFN treatment or to surgical procedures. The 3- and 5-year overall survival rates of patients ($n = 16$) who attained SVR after peg-IFN therapy were 100% and 100%, respectively; those of patients who did not attain SVR ($n = 22$) were 100 and 85.7%, respectively; and those of patients who did not receive IFN therapy were 76.6 and 50.6%, respectively. There was a statistically significant difference in overall survival among the three groups ($P = 0.005$) (Fig. 2a). However, there was no statistically significant difference in disease-free survival among the three groups ($P = 0.90$) (Fig. 2b).

Table 2 presents the patterns of cancer recurrence and the treatment details of the recurrences in both groups. Twenty-one (55.3%) of the patients who received peg-IFN therapy after hepatic resection and 17 (44.7%) of the patients who did not receive IFN therapy had HCC recurrences after hepatic resection. Regarding the pattern of recurrence, the proportion of patients who had multiple




FIG. 1 Overall survival (a) and disease-free survival (b) of the entire study population of 175 patients with hepatitis C-related HCC with respect to IFN therapy after hepatic resection. Overall survival (c) and

disease-free (d) survival of the matched study population of 76 patients with hepatitis C-related HCC with respect to IFN therapy after hepatic resection




FIG. 2 Overall survival and disease-free survival of patients with hepatitis C-related HCC with respect to SVR after IFN therapy

intrahepatic recurrences (more than four nodules) was significantly lower in the peg-IFN group than in the non-IFN group ($P = 0.0047$). The proportion of patients in whom surgery or RFA was selected for treatment was significantly higher in the peg-IFN group than in the non-IFN group ($P = 0.0346$). Furthermore, regarding re-recurrence of HCC after treatment of the first-recurrent HCC, the 1-year disease-free survival rates of patients after treatment of the first-recurrent HCC was 48.5% in patients ($n = 21$) who received peg-IFN therapy and 12.5% in patients ($n = 17$) who did not receive IFN therapy. There was a statistically significant difference in disease-free survival between the two groups ($P = 0.0012$) (Fig. 3).

A comparison of results of the preoperative liver function test with those of postoperative 1-year liver function tests is presented in Table 3. In patients who received peg-IFN therapy, total bilirubin levels 1 year after surgery were significantly decreased compared with preoperative total bilirubin levels ($P = 0.018$), whereas in patients who did not receive IFN therapy, the total bilirubin level at 1 year after surgery was similar to the total bilirubin level before surgery ($P = 0.107$).

DISCUSSION

Our results revealed that peg-IFN therapy after hepatic resection improved the outcomes of HCV patients, although the interval of disease-free survival was not prolonged. Peg-IFN therapy after hepatectomy improved hepatic reserve function and suppressed multiple HCC recurrences (more than four nodules). Furthermore, re-recurrence after treatment of first-recurrent HCC after hepatic resection was significantly suppressed in the peg-IFN group compared with that in the non-IFN group. IFN has been reported to exert antitumor effects. IFN increases natural killer cell activity and exhibits antiangiogenic properties.^{35,36} IFN has also been reported to be effective in eradicating HCV RNA

TABLE 2 Recurrence and treatments for recurrence after hepatic resection

	Peg-IFN (+) ($n = 38$)	IFN (-) ($n = 38$)	<i>P</i> value
HCC recurrence ^a : yes	21 (55.3%)	17 (44.7%)	0.359
Pattern of recurrence ^b			0.0047
Intrahepatic (single)	9 (42.9%)	8 (47.1%)	
Intrahepatic (2–3)	10 (47.6%)	1 (5.9%)	
Intrahepatic (multiple)	2 (9.5%)	8 (47.1%)	
Main modalities ^b			0.0346
Repeat hepatectomy	8 (38.1%)	2 (11.8%)	
RFA	8 (38.1%)	4 (23.5%)	
TACE	5 (23.8%)	11 (64.7%)	

peg-IFN pegylated interferon, RFA radiofrequency ablation, TACE transcatheter arterial chemoembolization

^a Data expressed as number of patients (percentage of total patients)

^b Data expressed as number of patients (percentage of patients who had a recurrence)




FIG. 3 Comparison of disease-free survival rate after treatment of first-recurrent HCC in patients who received peg-IFN therapy or in those who did not receive IFN therapy

TABLE 3 Comparison of preoperative liver function with 1-year liver function after hepatic resection

	Peg-IFN (+)		P value	IFN (-)		P value
	Preoperative	1 Year after surgery		Preoperative	1 Year after surgery	
T-Bil (mg/dl)	0.82 ± 0.29	0.71 ± 0.26	0.0189	0.81 ± 0.32	0.92 ± 0.35	0.107
AST (IU/l)	50.1 ± 24.1	45.8 ± 23.5	0.310	42.1 ± 18.9	56.1 ± 26.7	0.0110
ALT (IU/l)	51.3 ± 28.6	36.4 ± 22.8	0.00809	40.3 ± 24.3	49.7 ± 25.8	0.0918
Albumin (g/dl)	3.89 ± 0.80	3.99 ± 0.71	0.251	3.73 ± 0.45	3.75 ± 0.44	0.807

peg-IFN pegylated interferon, AST aspartate aminotransferase, ALT alanine aminotransferase

from serum and hepatic tissue, thereby preventing deterioration of liver function in patients with HCV infection.³⁷ IFN prevents worsening of compensated cirrhosis.^{18,37} Our results are compatible with those reported in those studies. In the peg-IFN group, most patients with HCC recurrence could undergo curative treatments such as repeat hepatectomy or RFA as a recurrence treatment, because the number of recurrent tumors was usually limited to three. IFN therapy appears to increase survival not only by improving residual liver function and increasing the possibility of radical treatment of recurrences but also by suppressing recurrence after the first recurrence of HCC.

The current study also revealed that the overall survival of patients with SVR was significantly better than that of patients without SVR. This result suggests that IFN prolongs the outcomes of patients with HCC after hepatic resection by causing remission of active hepatitis and eradication of HCV RNA in patients who attained SVR after hepatic resection.

In this study, to clarify the impact of peg-IFN therapy on outcomes of HCV-related HCC after hepatic resection, patients who received IFNs such as IFN- α or IFN- β were excluded. RCTs investigating adjuvant effects of IFN after resection or ablation of HCC were performed using IFN- α . Few studies have investigated the effects of peg-IFN plus RBV combination therapy on survival and recurrence after curative resection of HCC. Combination therapy with peg-IFN and RBV has recently been developed, and peg-IFN therapy has resulted in significantly higher SVR rates and better tolerability than treatment with IFN- α .^{21,23} In our study, incidence of SVR after hepatic resection was 42.1%, which was higher than that in previous studies that reported an SVR rate of 0–10%.^{12–14} The compliance of patients to peg-IFN therapy observed in the present study (68.4%) was higher than that reported elsewhere (approximately 40%).¹⁴ This enhanced efficacy of the peg-IFN formulations might contribute to the prolonged survival of HCC patients after hepatic resection.

In this study, HCC patients who received peg-IFN therapy within 9 months after surgery were enrolled, and HCC patients who experienced recurrence of HCC within 9 months after hepatic resection were excluded from the

non-IFN group, because these patients could lose the opportunity to receive IFN therapy for HCC recurrence on being assigned to the peg-IFN therapy group.

Before matching by using the propensity score, the clinical characteristics of the entire study population that can strongly influence outcomes differed significantly between the peg-IFN group and non-IFN group. The proportion of older patients was higher in the non-IFN group than in the peg-IFN group, whereas the proportion of patients who had longer operation times tended to be lower in the non-IFN group than in the peg-IFN group. To overcome bias due to the different distribution of the severity of liver function impairment between the two groups, a one-to-one match was created using propensity score analysis. After matching by propensity score, prognostic variables were appropriately handled, and there was no significant difference in prognostic factors between the two matched groups. This study had a limitation related to the small sample size after propensity score matching. To overcome this, further examination with larger sample sizes is necessary, and the potential efficacy of peg-IFN therapy must be validated in larger prospective RCTs.

CONCLUSIONS

Several previous RCTs investigating the effects of IFN on survival and tumor recurrence after hepatic resection were inconclusive. However, in the current study, peg-IFN therapy following hepatic resection improved the survival rates of hepatectomized patients with HCV-related HCC. The results of this study suggest that peg-IFN therapy is effective as an adjuvant chemopreventive agent after hepatic resection in patients with HCV-related HCC.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT The authors thank Prof. Junko Tanaka of the Department of Epidemiology, Infectious Disease Control and Prevention, Hiroshima University, for assistance in performing the propensity score analysis.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST The authors have no commercial associations (e.g., consultancies, stock ownership, equity interest, patent/licensing arrangements) that might pose a conflict of interest related to the submitted manuscript.