Molecular and serological identification and drug resistance detection of leprosy

Wei-Lun Huang, Ruwen Jou

Centers for Disease Control, Taiwan

Summary

The prevalence rate of leprosy has been less than one case per 10,000 populations in Taiwan since 2004. Since Mycobacterium leprae can not be cultivated in vitro, smear microscopy is currently the only test used in clinical laboratories for bacteriological diagnosis in Taiwan. The objectives of this study are to develop diagnostic methods and to establish drug-resistance surveillance of leprosy. We conducted molecular diagnosis using *M. leprae*-specific repetitive element (RLEP) and drug-resistant gene sequencing of dapsone (folP1), rifampicin (rpoB) and ofloxacin (gyrA). A real-time PCR was established for rapid diagnosis of M. leprae with detection limit of 100 fg. Results of real-time PCR were consistent with histological classification of lepromatous leprosy. Of the 170 new, cured or on-treatment cases tested, 7 were *M. leprae* positive cases. Drug-resistant gene sequencing was performed to determine drug-resistant *M. leprae* of 7 confirmed cases. We found 2 cases (N and S) were resistant to dapsone with single mutation at either codon 53 (T to R) or codon 55 (P to L) of the *folP1* gene, respectively. Nevertheless, we did not identify any mutation in the *rpoB* and *gyrA* genes. The new marker, MMP-I, seems to be a better antigen than PGL-1 and MMP-II for serodiagnosis of leprosy. The sensitivity and specificity of the well-established MMP-II ELISA was 79.1% and 100% for leprosy diagnosis, respectively. For strengthening leprosy control, rapid and definite detection of leprosy and determination of drug-resistant M. *leprae* can ensure favorable treatment outcome.

Purposes

The purposes of this collaborative study are to understand epidemiology of leprosy and to establish methods for detecting *Mycobacterium leprae*.

Materials and Methods

Study samples

We retrospectively analyzed 13 biopsy samples from National Taiwan University and 48 blade specimens from skin smear from the Lo-Sheng Sanatorium, Taiwan. Besides, we also prospectively analyzed paraffin specimens of four suspect leprosy cases in 2013. For serodiagnosis, serum samples of 110 registered leprosy cases were obtained from the Lo-Sheng Sanatorium, Taiwan.

Molecular assays

DNA extraction

Paraffin-embedded or blade specimens was placed in microcentrifuge tubes containing 180 µl of buffer ATL, 20 µl proteinase K, mixed by vortexing, and incubated at 56°C until the tissue is completely lysed. Briefly centrifuge the 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube to remove drops from inside the lid before adding 200 µl Buffer AL to the sample. Mix again by vortexing for 15 sec., and incubate at 70°C for 10 min. Add 200 µl ethanol (96–100%) to the sample, and mix by vortexing for 15 sec. After mixing, carefully apply the mixture to the QIAamp Mini spin column. Close the cap, and centrifuge at 8,000 rpm for 1 min. Place the QIAamp Mini spin column in a clean 2 ml collection tube, and discard the tube containing the filtrate. Then add 500 µl Buffer AW1 and repeat the centrifuge program. And 500 µl Buffer AW2 added at full speed (14,000 rpm) for 3 min. Finally 200 µl Buffer AE added at room temperature for 1 min, and then centrifuge at 8000 rpm for 1 min. The filtrate solution was collected for molecular assays.

Diagnosis of M. leprae

Real-time PCR

For identification of *M. lepare*, we designed primers set based on *M. leprae*-specific repetitive element (RLEP). We developed a modified real-time TagMan PCR method using probes designed at the Leprosy Research Center of National Institute of Infectious Disease (NIID), Japan for rapid screening of *M. leprae*. Primer-probe sets of RLEP were synthesized by Applied Biosystems for the ABI 7500 real-time PCR system. Probes were labeled with TaqMan[®] MGB FAM[™] markers. For each sample, the real-time PCR was performed by using 12.5µl of TaqMan[®] 2X universal master mix (Applied Biosystems, USA), 2µl of 10 µM RLEP-TM-F primer (5'-GCA GTA TCG TGT TAG TGA A-3'), 2µl of 10 µM RLEP-TM-R primer (5'-GCC TAG AAG GTT GCC GTA TG-3'), 2µl of 10 µM RLEP-TM probe (5'-FAM-TCG ATG ATC CGG CCG TCG GCG –TAMRA-3'), 1.5µl RNase- and DNase-free water, and 5µl sample DNA, in a final total volume of 25µl per single well reaction. It was recommended to use at least 10 ng DNA from either purified DNA or inactivated bacterial lysate directly.

Nested PCR

For nested PCR of *M. lepare*, we adopted *M. leprae*-specific repetitive element (RLEP) target as an amplified gene. For first round of PCR, amplification primer were LP1(5'-TGC ATG TCATGG CCT TGA GG-3') and LP2 (5'-CAC CGA TAC CAG CGG CAG AA-3'). And the PCR products were diluted 1:10 in sterile water, and final volume was 100µl. Second round of PCR were amplified by primer LP3(5'-TGA GGT GTC GGC GTG GTC-3') and primer LP4 (5'-CAG AAA TGG

TGC AAG GGA-3'). The PCR reactions were performed as follows: 95°C for 4 min, followed by 25 cycles at 95°C for 40 sec; annealing at 55°C for 1 min; and elongation at 72°C for 20 sec (increase 1 sec/cycle), and a final cycle of 72°C for 1 min to complete elongation of the intermediate PCR products. The PCR products were visualized by gel electrophoresis. The detection limit of nested PCR is 1 organism/mL.

Detection of drug-resistance

For drug-resistant analysis, we sequenced the *folp1* gene for dapsone, *rpoB* gene and *gyrA* gene for ofloxacin.

DNA sequencing of the *folp1* **gene.** Two primer sets were used to analyze the variation at the *folp1* gene. First PCR amplification primer were NF2 (5'-GCA GGT TAT TGG GGT TTT GA-3) and FH2 (5'-CCA CCA GAC ACA TCG TTG AC-3), and then Nest-PCR by primer FK1(5'-CTT GAT CCT GAC GAT GCT GT-3), FK2(5'-ACA TCG TTG ACG ATC CGT G-3). A 245-bp fragment was sequenced with the oligonucleotide primers FH1 (5'-ATC CTG ACG ATG CTG TCC A -3'). The PCR reactions were performed as follows: 95°C for 10 min, followed by 30 cycles at 95°C for 1 min; annealing at 60°C for 1 min; and elongation at 68°C for 1 min, and a final cycle of 68°C for 6 min to complete elongation of the intermediate PCR products.

DNA sequencing of the *rpo***B gene.** Two primer sets were used to analyze the variation at the *rpo*B gene. First PCR amplification primer were Rif-1 (5'-CAG ACG CTG ATC AAT ATC CGT-3') and RpoBR05 (5'-CAG CGG TCA AGT ATT CGA TC-3'), and Nest-PCR by primer RH1(5'-CAA TAT CCG TCC GGT GGT C-3'),

RH2(5'-GTA TTC GAT CTC GTC GCT GA-3'). A 337-bp fragment was sequenced with the oligonucleotide primers RK1 (5'-ACG CTG ATC AAT ATC CGT CC -3'). The PCR reactions were performed as follows: 95°C for 10 min, followed by 30 cycles at 95°C for 1 min; annealing at 60°C for 1 min; and elongation at 68°C for 1 min, and a final cycle of 68°C for 6 min to complete elongation of the intermediate PCR products.

DNA sequencing of the *gyrA* **gene.** Two primer sets were used to analyze the variation at the *gyrA* gene. First PCR amplification primer were GH1(5'-ACG CGA TGA GTG TGA TTG TGG-3') and GH2 (5'-TCC CAA ATA GCA ACC TCA CC-3'), and Nest-PCR by primer GK1(5'-GAT GGT CTC AAA CCG GTA CA-3'), GK2(5'-CCC AAA TAG CAA CCT CAC CA-3'). A 291-bp fragment was sequenced with the oligonucleotide primers GK1. The PCR reactions were performed as follows: 95°C for 10 min, followed by 30 cycles at 95°C for 1 min; annealing at 60°C for 1 min; and elongation at 68°C for 1 min, and a final cycle of 68°C for 6 min to complete elongation of the intermediate PCR products.

Thereafter, the PCR products were analyzed with an ABI 3730 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems, USA), and the sequence data were assembled and edited using the Sequencing Analysis 5.2.0 software (Applied Biosystems, USA).

Serodiagnosis

MMP-II ELISA

The ELISA for the detection of anti-MMP-II immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies was performed as leprosy center suggested¹⁻⁴. Ninety-six well plates (Immunosorb, Nunc) were coated overnight, with MMP-II at a concentration of 4 μ g/mL in coating

buffer (pH 9.5) (Protein Detector ELISA kit, KPL). After blocking with blocking solution and incubated at 37 for 1 h., the plates were washed with phosphate buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween 20 (PBST), and human sera diluted 100-fold were added and incubated at 37 for 2 h. After washing with PBST, biotinylated anti-human IgG (KPL) was added at a 1:1000 and incubated for 1 h. The plates were incubated with reagents ABC peroxidase staining kit (Thermo) for 30 min. After further washing with PBST, a substrate solution consisting of OPD/citric acid and 0.02% H2O2 in 0.1M citrate buffer was added until a yellow color developed and the OD was measured at 450nm using a spectrophotometer. Plate-to-plate variations in OD readings were controlled using a standard pool positive serum and if the positive control reading varied more than 0.05 O.D., then the test was repeated.

Results

Leprosy in Taiwan, 2002-2011

Leprosy has been significantly reduced through the implementation of intensified control strategies. Particularly, World Health Organization (WHO) has provided free multidrug therapy (MDT) treatment, consisting of diaminodiphenylsulfone (dapsone), rifampicin and clofazimine, since 1995.⁵ Consequently, leprosy has been successfully eliminated from 119 of 122 countries in 2010.⁶ The elimination of leprosy is defined as having leprosy prevalence rate of less than 1 case per 10,000 populations. The enhanced global strategy for further reducing the disease burden due to leprosy 2011-2015 has been carried out in endemic countries.⁷ The prevalence of leprosy in the WHO Western Pacific Region, where Taiwan is located, is 0.05 and that of South-East Asia Region is 0.64 in the first quarter of 2012.⁸

Leprosy is caused by *Mycobacterium leprae* that is an acid-fast bacillus with an incubation period ranged from several months to several decades after infection. Leprosy causes severe, disfiguring skin sores and nerve damage in the arms and legs. Skin become stiffness and dryness, and lesions show erythematous plaques (annular), hyperpigmented plaques and hypopigmented patches. Sensory manifestations include paresthesia, pain and feeling loss. In Taiwan, leprosy is a notifiable disease defined mainly by smear microscopy, histological examination and clinical judgment. A comprehensive leprosy control program to eliminate leprosy has been implemented in Taiwan sine the 1930s. The first sanatorium was established in 1930 to quarantine leprosy patients. Nevertheless, leprosy cases have been treated as out-patient in 5 Taiwan Centers for Disease Control (CDC) designated integrated medical service settings since 2009. Dapsone and MDT have been respectively prescribed since 1952 and 1983.⁹

7

Epidemiology of leprosy in Taiwan

According to the Statistics of Communicable Diseases and Surveillance Report issued by Taiwan CDC, the prevalence of leprosy decreased from 1.33/10,000 in 2002 to 0.50/10,000 in 2011 (Figure 1A). The registered cases were 2,987 and 1,131 in 2002 and 2004, respectively. After national census conducted in 2004, the prevalence rate was decreased to 0.50 and was considered as a country with leprosy elimination. During 2002 to 2011, we confirmed 81 new leprosy cases, with 5 to 12 cases reported annually.¹⁰ There were 56 (69.1%) multibacillary cases, 21 (25.9%) paucibacillary cases and 4 (4.9%) were not determined. Female individuals (50/81, 61.7%) are more venerable than male (P= 0.033). Geographically, 42 (51.9%), 26 (32.1%), 11 (13.6%) and 2 (2.5%) cases were reported from northern, southern, central and eastern Taiwan, respectively. Of the 81 cases, 37 (45.7%) were indigenous cases and 44 (55.3%) were imported cases (Figure 1B). Majority (43/44, 97.7%) of imported cases were originated from South-East Asia, particularly from Indonesia (32/44, 72.7%) (P< 0.001), which reported 20.023 new cases in 2011.⁸ In addition, of the total 425.660 foreign worker entered Taiwan as of December 2011, 175,409 (41.2%) were from Indonesia. Among 50 female cases, 37 (74%) (P<0.001) were imported cases including 29 (58%) from Indonesia, 4 from Vietnam, 3 from Philippines and 1 from China. While among 31 male cases, 7 (22.6%) were imported cases including 3 from Indonesia, 3 from Thailand and 1 from Myanmar. The median age of male between indigenous and imported were 60.5 (range 31-83 years) and 33 (range 24-60 years) (P=0.001) and that of female were 67 (range 45-81 years) and 27 (range 20-52 years) (P<0.001), respectively. We did not observed any leprosy case younger than 19 years old. The majority (42, 51.9%) of cases was in the age group of 21-40 (P < 0.001), followed by 22 (27.2%) in the age group senior than 60 years old. Furthermore, 13 relapse indigenous cases were notified in 2002-2011. Of the 13 cases, 2 were

reactivated after 5 years, one after 14 years, 6 after 35 years and 4 were unknown.

The National Reference Laboratory of Mycobacteriology of the Taiwan CDC participates in national laboratory-based surveillance for *M. leprae* which started in 2012 and involves 5 sentinel hospitals in Taiwan.

Molecular diagnosis of leprosy

Since the majority of leprosy patients have a negative smear, the laboratory established molecular assays for leprosy using a PCR-based analysis. The results of PCR were well correlated with biopsy findings. For M. lepare identification, primers set based on the *M. leprae*-specific repetitive element was developed with a modified real-time TaqMan PCR method.¹¹ Of the 13 cases tested, 2 cases were resistant to dapsone with mutations in the *folP* gene, and all cases were susceptible to rifampicin (the *rpoB* gene) or fluoroquinolone (the gyrA gene) using the nested PCR and sequencing.⁸ Minocylcine was added in addition to MDT thereafter for one case that showed a striking improvement in the following several months. The other case is a relapse case with diabetes mellitus that initially responded poorly to MDT and moxifloxacin was included in the prescription thereafter. In 2013, of the four suspected leprosy cases, cases 1 and 3 had negative results using the RLEP real-time PCR, and cases 2 and 4 had positive results with real-time PCR (Table 1). Due to low DNA content of Mycobacterium leprae in the specimen, we were not able to perform drug-resistant gene sequencing for case 2. While the *folp1*, *rpoB* and *gyrA* drug-resistant gene sequencing was performed to detect drug resistance of case 4, no mutation was found.

Serodiagnosis of leprosy

Antigens, PGL-1, MMP-I and MMP-II were used to determine the sensitivity for

leprosy detection by Japan NIID. In this study, MMP-I had the highest positive rate of 91% (Table 2). For proficiency testing, we carried out an ELISA assay in Taiwan CDC and Japan NIID using an identical set of sera. The Receiver Operator Characteristics (ROC) curve was determined to be 0.222 and 0.237 by Japan NIID and Taiwan CDC, respectively. The sensitivity and specificity of the MMP-II ELISA was 79.1% and 100%, respectively (Table 3). The MMP-II ELISA had better sensitivity for detecting MP (84%) than that for PB (63.3%) (Table 3).

Discussion

Molecular diagnosis is an effective tool for definite diagnosis of leprosy. Furthermore, drug-resistant gene sequencing provides information for prescribing adequate regimen for treating and managing patients. Sero-diagnosis is useful for detecting MB cases; however, we still need to investigate the feasibility of serodiagnosis for determining PB and possible latent infection.

Annual on-site health examination of cases, accurate diagnosis and proper treatment with MDT remain key elements in our control strategies. For strengthened control of imported cases, foreign workers was requested by the Enforcement Rules of the Communicable Disease Control Act implemented in 2004 to provide health certificate at entry, and to have their health-check including leprosy at the sixth, the eighteenth and the thirtieth month during their stay in Taiwan. Focused and sustained control measures are crucial for reducing new and relapsed cases and preventing further transmission. Rapid and definite diagnosis of leprosy and detection of drug-resistant *M. leprae* can ensure favorable treatment outcome.

Figure 1 (A) Annual imported cases among new cases, registered cases and prevalence; (B) demographic characteristics of imported and indigenous cases of leprosy in Taiwan, 2002-2011



В

Α



Table 1. Results of the RLEP-PCR and drug-resistant gene sequencing of four suspected leprosy cases, 2013

ID	Result of RLEP (Ct)	Result of Drug-resistant gene sequencing		
		folP1	rpoB	gyrA
Case 1	Negative (undet.)	-	-	-
Case 2	Positive (38.00)	NA*	NA	NA
Case 3	Negative (undet.)	-	-	-
Case 4	Positive (25.44)	WT**	WT	WT

*NA : not available.

**WT : wild type.

Antigen*	Case no.	No. of cases with	Positive rate (%)
		positive results**	
PGL-I	98	61	62
MMP-II	98	85	87
MMP-I	98	89	91

Table 2. ELISA results of 98 registered leprosy cases from Lo-Sheng Sanatorium,2011

* PGL-I: phenolic glycolipid-I, MMP-II: major membrane protein-II, MMP-I: major membrane protein-I

ROC curve indicated that the positive cut-off value is **0.222.

Table 3. MMP-II ELISA results of 110 registered leprosy cases from Lo-Sheng Sanatorium, 2011

Type of	Case No.	Medium Age			
leprosy	Case NO.	(Yr.)	positive results*	Positive rate (%)	
MB	76	79	64	84.2	
PB	30	77	19	63.3	
Unknown	4	82.5	4	100	
Total	110		87	79.1	

*ROC curve indicated that the positive cut-off value is **0.237**.

References

- 1. Maeda Y., Mukai T., Kai M, Fukutomi Y., etal., Evaluation of major protein-II as a tool for serodiagnosis of leprosy. FEMS Microbial. Lette. 272: 202-205, 2007.
- Kai M. Phuc N. H. N., Thi T. H. H., etal., Serological diagnosis of leprosy in patients in Vietnam by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay with *Mycobacterium leprae*-derived major membrane protein II. Clinical and Vaccine Immunology, 15: 1755-59, 2008.
- 3. Maeda Y., Mukai T., Kai M, Fukutomi Y., etal., Evaluation of major protein-II as a tool for serodiagnosis of leprosy. FEMS Microbial. Lette. 272: 202-205, 2007.
- Kai M. Phuc N. H. N., Thi T. H. H., etal., Serological diagnosis of leprosy in patients in Vietnam by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay with *Mycobacterium leprae*-derived major membrane protein II. Clinical and Vaccine Immunology, 15: 1755-59, 2008
- WHO Expert Committee on Leprosy. Seventh Report WHO Technical report series, 874. 2008;1-43.
- 6. WHO: Weekly epidemiological record, Leprosy fact sheet. 2010;85:46-8.
- New Delhi, World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia, 2009 (SEA-GLP-2009.3). Enhanced global strategy for further reducing the disease burden due to leprosy (plan period: 2011–2015). Available at <u>http://www.searo.who.int/LinkFiles/GLP_SEA-GLP-2009_3.pdf</u>
- 8. WHO: Weekly epidemiological record, Global leprosy situation. 2012;87:317-28.
- 9. Taiwan Centers for Disease Control. *DOTS Plan for Hansen's disease patient*, 2011. Availableat<u>http://www2.cdc.gov.tw/public/Attachment/162910572571.pdf</u>
- 10. Taiwan Centers for Disease Control. *Notifiable Infectious Diseases Statistics System*. 15

Available at http://nidss.cdc.gov.tw/

- 11. Truman RW, Andrews PK, Robbins NY, Adams LB, Krahenbuhl JL, Gillis TP.
 Enumeration of *Mycobacterium leprae* using real-time PCR. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*.
 2008;2:e328.
- 12. Kai M, Nguyen Phuc NH, Nguyen HA, Pham TH, Nguyen KH, Miyamoto Y, et al. Analysis of drug-resistant strains of *Mycobacterium leprae* in an endemic area of Vietnam. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2011;**52**:e127-32.

Acknowledgements

This study was approved by Institutional Review Board (IRB 101006) and supported by Centers for Diseases Control, Taiwan (grant number DOH101-DC-2019) and National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Japan. We thank Drs. Chih-Chieh Chan, Cheng-Hsiang Hsiao, Sheau-Chiou Chao and Ching-Feng Lin, for providing patients' biopsy samples and treatment information.

Publication list for this work

Wei-Lun Huang, Ruwen Jou. Leprosy in Taiwan, 2002-2011, Journal of Formosa Medical Association, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfma.2013.03.002</u>, 2013.