

下のリスクが有意に高く、そのオッズ比は3.2 (95%信頼区間 2.7~3.8)と高い値であった。血圧は有意な結果とならなかった。抑うつは、ある群に比べてない群で有意にADL低下のリスクが低くなっていた。認知機能は低下がない群でADL低下のリスクが下がっていた。

2. 身体活動量とADLの低下との関連

余暇活動量、総活動量、1日の歩数の身体活動指標は、いずれも高いほどADL低下のリスクを下げていた。

3. 体格とADLの低下との関連

BMIは高くなるほどADL低下のリスクを上げていた。DXAで測定した体脂肪率は、高いほどADL低下のリスクが高くなっていた。しかし、大腿中部周囲長、下腿周囲長、上腕周囲長はADL低下との関連が認められなかった。

4. 栄養とADLの低下との関連

総エネルギー摂取量、たんぱく質、ビタミンD、アルギニン、分岐鎖アミノ酸のイソロイシン、ロイシン、バリン摂取量、血清アルブミンの栄養の指標はすべてADL低下に関連しており、数値が低いとADL低下のリスクとなっていた。

5. 体力とADLの低下との関連

普通歩速度、速歩速度、上体起こし回数、膝伸展筋力、脚伸展パワー、握力、開眼片足立ち、閉眼片足立ち、全身反応時間と体力指標すべてで成績が悪いとADL低下のリスクとなっていた。

■ 高齢期のADL低下の予防 ■

解析の結果からADL低下に関連する要因が数多く得られた。喫煙は様々な慢性疾患の要因ではあるが、今回の検討ではADLの低下要因とはならなかった。6年間でADLの低下を来すような集団は、既に喫煙を止めている可能性がある。一方で、高血圧症、心疾患、脂質異常

症、糖尿病、脳卒中のような慢性疾患は程度の差はあるが、すべてADL低下の要因となっていた。自覚的健康度はよい場合に比べて、普通あるいは悪い場合にはADLの低下の強い要因であった。自己判断による健康状態が、その後ADL低下を予測する要因であることは興味深い。また抑うつもADL低下の強い要因であった。認知機能低下は有意ではあったが、ADL低下への影響はそれほど大きくはなかった。

身体活動量は余暇身体活動量、総身体活動量、1日歩数のいずれも多いほどADL低下を予防するという結果であり、身体活動の重要性が確認された。体格は、BMIや体脂肪率が高いほどADL低下を来しやすいという結果であり、一般住民では痩せよりも肥満予防の重要性が示された。栄養の指標はすべての項目でADLの低下と関係していた。体力もすべての項目でADL低下の予防因子であり、筋力、柔軟性、持久力、平衡機能、歩行能力のいずれもが重要であることが明らかになった。

ADL低下や虚弱を予防し、高齢期の健康維持増進を目指すには多くのアプローチがあるが、慢性疾患やうつを予防し、栄養を摂ること、十分に運動して歩行能力や体力を保つことが重要であることが、今回の検討で確認することができた。

■ おわりに ■

高齢期の健康増進を目指してその方法を解明するためには、健康維持増進の障害となるようなADL低下の危険因子を明らかにしていくことが必要である。長期縦断研究により、生活習慣、体力、栄養など様々な要因についての縦断的な解析から、高齢者のADL低下のリスク要因を明らかにするとともに、その予防方法の開発を進めることが可能となる。しかし、老化の様々な側面を長期にわたって総合的に観察していく縦断研究には、膨大な研究費と学際的な多数の研究者や専門家の協力が必要であり、実施は困難である。15年にわたって続けられてきたNLS-LSAは、日本人の老化についての様々な

データを集積してきた。今後もこの貴重なデータを用いて研究を進め、高齢者の健康増進へ大きく貢献する多くの成果を上げることができるものと期待している。

文 献

- 1) 下方浩史, 安藤富士子: 疾病予防のための理想的生活. 生活習慣改善による疾病予防—エビデンスを求めて. 成人病と生活習慣病 2010; **40**: 1026-1031.
- 2) 下方浩史: 加齢研究の方法—横断的研究と縦断的研究. 新老年学 改訂第3版(大内尉義, 秋山弘子編), 東京大学出版会, 東京, 2010; pp333-346.
- 3) 下方浩史: 長期縦断研究の目指すもの. Geriatr Med 1998; **36**: 21-26.
- 4) Shimokata H et al: A new comprehensive study on aging — the National Institute for Longevity Sciences, Longitudinal Study of Aging (NILS-LSA). J Epidemiol 2000; **10**: S1-S9.
- 5) 下方浩史, 安藤富士子: 長期縦断疫学で分かったこと. 日老医誌 2008; **45**: 563-572.
- 6) 下方浩史, 安藤富士子: 長期縦断疫学で分かったこと. 老年医学 update2009-10(日本老年医学会雑誌編集委員会編), メジカルビュー社, 東京, 2009; pp.123-133.
- 7) 島 悟ほか: 新しい抑うつ性自己評価尺度について. 精神医学 1985; **27**: 717-723.
- 8) 森 悦朗ほか: 神経疾患患者における日本語版 Mini-Mental State テストの有用性. 神経心理学 1985; **1**: 82-90.
- 9) Fukuhara S et al: Translation, adaptation, and validation of the SF-36 Health Survey for use in Japan. J Clin Epidemiol 1998; **51**: 1037-1044.
- 10) Fukuhara S et al: Psychometric and clinical tests of validity of the Japanese SF-36 Health Survey. J Clin Epidemiol 1998; **51**: 1045-1053.
- 11) Albert PS et al: A generalized estimating equation approach for modeling random length binary vector data. Biometrics 1997; **53**: 1116-1124.

(執筆者連絡先) 下方浩史 〒470-0196 愛知県日進市岩崎町竹ノ山57 名古屋学芸大学大学院栄養科学研究科

Geriatric Medicine

老年医学 2013 Vol. 5-1

健康増進 高齢者における

編集 鈴木 隆雄 (国立長寿医療研究センター研究部長)

目次

高齢者診療のワンポイント・アドバイス


高齢者の薬物療法でみられる諸問題

岩本 俊彦 (国際医療福祉大学高齢者総合診療科教授)

高齢者特有疾患の漢方ベストチョイス 72

在宅医療と漢方

秋葉 哲生 (あきは伝統医学クリニック院長)



特集

高齢者における健康増進

鈴木 隆雄(国立長寿医療研究センター研究所長)

	序文	鈴木 隆雄	883
総説	1. 老年医学の視点からみた高齢者の健康増進とは	荒井 秀典	885
	2. 高齢期の健康増進の総合的方策—健康日本21における視点から—	辻 一郎	889
	3. 老化の長期縦断研究からみた高齢期の健康増進の解明	下方 浩史	895
Seminar	1. 運動からみた高齢期の健康増進：身体活動基準2013とアクティブガイド	宮地 元彦	901
	2. 日々の食事を介した高齢期の健康増進	大塚 礼	907
	3. 社会的要因からみた高齢期の健康増進(地域を中心に)	鈴木 佳代	913
	4. 精神心理的側面からみた高齢者の健康増進	杉原 陽子	917
	5. 地域での居場所創りと高齢者の健康増進	澤岡 詩野	923
	6. 慢性疾患に向けた健康運動とその効果	田中喜代次	927
	7. 介護予防と健康づくり・地域づくり	櫃本 真津	933
	8. サルコペニア予防と健康増進	金 憲経	937
	9. 認知症予防と健康増進	島田 裕之	941
	10. 歯科・口腔領域からみた高齢期の健康増進	渡邊 裕	947
	11. 自治体における高齢期の健康増進	芳賀 博	953
原著	ドネペジル, ガランタミン, リバスタグミンのアルツハイマー型認知症に対する 有効性の比較検討：国内第3相試験を統合した有効性の間接比較	繁田 雅弘	957
研究報告	新しいパーキンソン病治療薬アデノシン A _{2A} 受容体選択的拮抗薬 イストラディフィリン(ノウリアスト®)の高齢者での使い方	横江 勝	965
連載	高齢者診療のワンポイント・アドバイス 第66回 高齢者の薬物療法でみられる諸問題	岩本 俊彦	970
	定額医療時代における高齢者漢方診療の意義：第72回 高齢者特有疾患の漢方ベストチョイス72 在宅医療と漢方	秋葉 哲生	972
	Clinical Pathological Conference 右下肢の筋力低下で発症, その後進行性に四肢筋力低下, 呼吸不全を来した1例		975
NEWS	●政府・社会保障制度改革国民会議 —最終報告書を取りまとめる 税と社会保障一体改革の具体化へ—ほか		987
	次号予告		952
	Vol.51 総索引		993
	投稿規定		1001



Original Study

Combined Prevalence of Frailty and Mild Cognitive Impairment in a Population of Elderly Japanese People

Hiroyuki Shimada PhD, PT^{a,*}, Hyuma Makizako PhD, PT^a, Takehiko Doi PhD, PT^a,
 Daisuke Yoshida PhD, PT^a, Kota Tsutsumimoto MSc, PT^a, Yuya Anan MSc^a, Kazuki Uemura MSc, PT^a,
 Tadashi Ito BSc, PT^a, Sangyoon Lee PhD^b, Hyuntae Park PhD^b, Takao Suzuki PhD, MD^c

^aSection for Health Promotion, Center for Gerontology and Social Science, National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology, Obu, Japan

^bSection for Physical Functioning Activation, Department of Functioning Activation, Center for Gerontology and Social Science, National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology, Obu, Japan

^cResearch Institute, National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology, Obu, Japan

A B S T R A C T

Keywords:
 Function
 MCI
 disability
 dementia
 old

Objective: Preventive strategies for frailty and mild cognitive impairment (MCI) are important for avoiding future functional decline and dementia in older adults. The purpose of this study was to use a population-based survey to ascertain the single and combined prevalence of frailty and MCI and to identify the relationships between frailty and MCI in older Japanese adults.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Setting: General community.

Participants: A total of 5104 older adults (aged 65 years or older, mean age 71 years) who were enrolled in the Obu Study of Health Promotion for the Elderly (OSHPE).

Measurements: Each participant underwent detailed physical and cognitive testing to assess frailty and MCI. We considered the frailty phenotype to be characterized by limitations in 3 or more of the following 5 domains: mobility, strength, endurance, physical activity, and nutrition. Screening for MCI included a standardized personal interview, the Mini-Mental State Examination, and the National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology-Functional Assessment Tool (NCGG-FAT), which included 8 tasks used to assess logical memory (immediate and delayed recognition), word list memory (immediate and delayed recall), attention and executive function (tablet version of Trail Making Test-part A and B), processing speed (tablet version of digit symbol substitution test), and visuospatial skill (figure selection).

Results: The overall prevalence of frailty, MCI, and frailty and MCI combined was 11.3%, 18.8%, and 2.7%, respectively. We found significant relationships between frailty and MCI (the odds ratio adjusted for age, sex, and education was 2.0 (95% confidence interval 1.5–2.5)).

Conclusions: Using the OSHPE criteria, we found more participants with MCI than with frailty. The prevalence of frailty and MCI combined was 2.7% in our population. Future investigation is necessary to determine whether this population is at increased risk for disability or mortality.

Copyright © 2013 - American Medical Directors Association, Inc.

The rate of frailty and mild cognitive impairment (MCI), which increases with age, is a major risk factor for dependency, institutionalization, and mortality.^{1,2,3,4} Individuals with disabilities

have greater health care needs compared with those without.⁵ The elderly population is highly heterogeneous, such that elderly people in the same age range may have a widely varied risk of disability. To prevent disability, population-based intervention programs should be targeted at those in the population with an increased risk of frailty and MCI.

Many studies have worked within research and clinical settings to identify target populations with frailty and MCI. For instance, the Interventions on Frailty Working Group assessed various methods for screening, recruiting, evaluating, and retaining frail elderly individuals in clinical trials.⁶ They reported that most researchers focused on the following domains when identifying physical frailty: mobility,

This work received financial support from a grant from the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare. The funding source played no role in the design or conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, or interpretation of the data; or preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.

* Address correspondence to Hiroyuki Shimada, PhD, PT, Section for Health Promotion, Center for Gerontology and Social Science, National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology, 35 Gengo, Morioka-machi, Obu, Aichi 474–8511, Japan.

E-mail address: shimada@ncgg.go.jp (H. Shimada).

such as lower-extremity performance and gait abnormalities; muscle weakness; poor exercise tolerance; unstable balance; and factors related to body composition, such as weight loss, malnutrition, and muscle loss.⁶ Participants with MCI in a community cohort were described by a group of investigators from the Mayo Clinic in 1999, who then produced a series of diagnostic criteria.⁷ A conference of international MCI experts then revised these criteria,⁸ and the National Institute on Aging joined the Alzheimer's Association to revise the diagnostic criteria for the symptomatic prodementia phase of Alzheimer disease (AD). They outlined the following factors for the identification of MCI: concern regarding a change in cognition, impairment in one or more cognitive domains, preservation of independence in functional abilities, and absence of dementia.⁹

Using the frailty criteria developed by the Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS), the overall prevalence of frailty in community-dwelling adults aged 65 or older in the United States has been found to range from 7% to 12%. In the CHS, the prevalence of frailty increased with age from 3.9% in the 65 to 74 age group to 25.0% in the 85+ age group, and was greater in women than in men (8% vs 5%).¹⁰ Using the MCI criteria in the CHS cognition study, the overall prevalence of MCI was found to be 18.8%, and the prevalence increased with age from 18.8% in participants younger than 75 years to 28.9% in those older than 85 years.¹¹

Several cross-sectional studies have reported an association between physical frailty and cognitive function.^{6,10,12,13} In addition, longitudinal studies have revealed that a higher level of physical frailty is associated with an increased risk of incident AD¹⁴ and MCI.¹⁵ These studies suggest that in some older adults, physical frailty is associated with the development of MCI. Older adults who show signs of both physical frailty and MCI may be more likely to exhibit functional decline than those with either frailty or MCI. However, the combined prevalence of frailty and MCI and the relationships between frailty and MCI in the Japanese population has not been clearly established. Thus, the purpose of this study was to ascertain the combined prevalence of frailty and MCI and to identify the relationships among frailty, MCI, and demographics including age, sex, and education in the Japanese population, using a community-based survey.

Methods

Participants

Our national study assessed 5104 individuals 65 years and older (mean age 71 years) who were enrolled in the Obu Study of Health Promotion for the Elderly (OSHPE). Each individual was recruited from Obu, Japan, which is a residential suburb of Nagoya. Inclusion criteria required each participant to be 65 years or older at the time of examination (2011 or 2012), and to reside in Obu city. Based on previous reports, we excluded participants with a history of Parkinson disease, stroke, or Mini-Mental State scores less than 18, as these conditions could produce characteristics of frailty.^{3,10,16} We also excluded participants who had participated in similar studies, those with severe disabilities, and those with missing data values regarding determinants for frailty and MCI. In the present study, we examined the prevalence of frailty in 4745 participants, MCI in 5025 participants, and the combined prevalence of frailty and MCI in 4681 participants. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before their inclusion in the study, and the Ethics Committee of the National Center for Gerontology and Geriatrics approved the study protocol.

Measurements

The assessments were conducted by well-trained staff who had nursing, allied health, or similar qualifications. Before

commencement of the study, all staff received training from the authors in the correct protocols for administering the assessment measures.

Operationalization of the Frailty Phenotype in OSHPE

We considered the frailty phenotype to be characterized by limitations in 3 or more of the following 5 domains: mobility, strength, endurance, physical activity, and nutrition. Mobility was measured in seconds using a stopwatch. Participants were asked to walk on a flat and straight surface at a comfortable walking speed. Two markers were used to indicate the start and end of a 2.4-meter walk path, with a 2-meter section to be traversed before passing the start marker so that participants were walking at a comfortable pace by the time they reached the timed path. Participants were asked to continue walking for an additional 2 meters past the end of the path to ensure a consistent walking pace while on the timed path. A low level of mobility was established according to a cutoff (<1.0 m/s). Grip strength was measured in kilograms using a Smedley-type handheld dynamometer (GRIP-D; Takei Ltd., Niigata, Japan). Low grip strength was established according to a sex-specific cutoff (male: <26 kg, female: <17 kg). Endurance was assessed via a self-report of exhaustion, which included questions from the Geriatric Depression Scale,¹⁷ such as: "Do you feel full of energy?" If participants answered "no" to this question, we classified them as low endurance. We evaluated the role of physical activity by asking the following questions about time spent engaged in sports and exercise: (1) "Do you engage in moderate levels of physical exercise or sports aimed at health?" and (2) "Do you engage in low levels of physical exercise aimed at health?" If participants answered "no" to both of these questions, we considered them to be physically inactive. Nutritional status was established according to self-reports of weight loss in response to the following question: "In the past 2 years, have you lost more than 5% of your body weight irrespective of intent to lose weight?" Patients with impairments in at least 3 of the 5 domains were considered to be frail.

Operationalization of the MCI in OSHPE

We defined MCI based on previous studies,^{18,19,20} using the following criteria: subjective memory complaints, cognitive impairment (indicated by an age-adjusted score at least 1.5 SDs below the reference threshold of any of the tests, all of which are commonly used for detailed neuropsychological assessments); no evidence of functional dependency (no need for supervision or external help in performing activities in daily life); and exclusion from the clinical criteria for dementia. Screening for MCI included a standardized personal interview for collection of sociodemographic, lifestyle, medical history, and functional status (activities of daily living) data, along with cognitive screening that was conducted using the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE)²¹ and the National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology-Functional Assessment Tool (NCGG-FAT).²² Individuals with 23 or fewer points on the MMSE were considered to have a general cognitive impairment.²³ The NCGG-FAT consists of 8 tasks used to assess logical memory (immediate and delayed recognition), word list memory (immediate and delayed recall), attention and executive function (tablet version of Trail Making Test-part A and B), processing speed (tablet version of Digit Symbol Substitution Test), and visuospatial skill (figure selection). The participants were given 20 to 30 minutes to complete the battery, which consisted of the previously mentioned 8 tasks. High test-retest reliability and moderate to high validity were confirmed in community-dwelling older adults for all task components of the NCGG-FAT.²² All tests used in this study had previously established

standardized thresholds for the definition of impairment in the corresponding domain (score <1.5 SDs below the age-specific mean) for population-based OSHPE cohort consisting of older adults.

Statistical Analysis

We compared age-, sex-, and education-specific prevalence, as well as the combined prevalence rates of frailty and MCI using χ^2 tests. The prevalence of frailty and MCI were explored in 4745 and 5025 participants, respectively. In calculating the combined prevalence, we found that 4681 participants did not meet the exclusion criteria for frailty or MCI. A multivariate logistic regression model was used to determine the odds ratios of MCI or frailty with respect to age category, sex, and education level. Participants with low general cognitive function were excluded from the multivariate analysis. As a result, the multivariate analysis included data from 3497 participants. All data management and statistical computations were performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics 19.0 software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

Results

The OSHPE identified 538 (11.3%) elderly participants who had symptoms of frailty and 945 (18.8%) who had MCI (Table 1). Figures 1 and 2 show our findings regarding the prevalence of frailty and MCI, respectively. We found that the prevalence of frailty increased with advancing age. Of the 538 participants who were classified as frail, 192 (34.9%) were 80 years and older. The prevalence of frailty was higher in women than in men ($P < .05$), and a lower level of education was significantly associated with prevalence of frailty ($P < .01$). Participants who reported 9 or fewer years of education had a 16.4% rate of frailty, whereas in those who reported at least 13 years of education, this rate was 7.7% (Table 1 and Figure 1).

The OSHPE found young-old, 65 to 74 years, participants to have a higher rate of MCI than old-old, 75 years and older, participants. Educational level was significantly associated with MCI ($P < .01$). Participants who reported 9 or fewer years of education had a 23.4% rate of MCI, whereas this rate was reduced to 14.1% in participants who reported at least 13 years of education (Table 1 and Figure 2). The OSHPE found no significant sex-specific differences in the prevalence of MCI.

Table 1 shows the distribution of the combined prevalence of frailty and MCI by age, sex, and educational level. The OSHPE revealed 126 (2.7%) participants with a combined incidence of frailty and MCI. Combined prevalence increased with age, with the highest rate found in the age group containing participants who were

80 years and older (6.9%). Participants with a low educational level had a higher rate of MCI combined with frailty (4.4% for 9 or fewer years) than those with higher education (1.3% for 13 years and more). No clear pattern emerged for any sex-specific differences in the prevalence of combined frailty and MCI (Table 1).

Our multivariate analysis found 3497 participants from the OSHPE cohort who did not meet the exclusion criteria for frailty and MCI and who maintained objective cognitive function. We found several significant relationships between frailty and MCI (odds ratio [OR] = 2.0, 95% confidence interval [95% CI] 1.5–2.5). In terms of the relationship between frailty and sociodemographics, participants aged 65 to 69 years were less likely to be frail than older participants (OR = 2.7, 95% CI 1.9–3.8, for the group 75 to 79 years of age, and OR = 6.9, 95% CI 4.9–9.7, for the group 80 years and older). There were no significant associations observed between frailty and sex. Participants with 9 or fewer years of education had a higher OR (1.4) than participants with at least 13 years of education (Table 2).

In terms of the relationship between MCI and sociodemographics, female participants had a significantly lower OR (0.8, 95% CI 0.7–1.0) than male participants. There was an evident relationship between MCI and educational level. In comparison with participants with at least 13 years of education, participants with a lower level of education were more likely to have MCI (OR = 1.5, 95% CI 1.2–1.8, for those with 10–12 years of education, and OR = 3.2, 95% CI 2.5–4.0, for those with 9 or fewer years of education) (Table 2).

Discussion

This study presents original data regarding vulnerability for physical and cognitive decline in a sample of 5104 elderly community dwellers in Japan. To our knowledge, this is the first study about frailty and MCI in this region of the world. Japan has a rapidly aging population in comparison with North, Central, and South America, as well as Europe. An examination of the differences in levels of frailty and MCI between countries may be useful in developing health care policies, especially in countries where the population is expected to rapidly age in the near future.

Growing evidence has indicated that there is a connection between frailty and cognitive impairment. Several studies have reported a longitudinal association between frailty and rate of MCI in elderly community-dwelling individuals. Boyle et al¹⁵ reported, in an assessment that used 12 years of annual follow-up data, that physical frailty was associated with a high risk of MCI, such that each 1-unit (grip strength, timed walk, body composition, and fatigue) increase in physical frailty was associated with a 63% increase in the risk of MCI. Auyeung et al²⁴ identified that physical frailty, as indicated by

Table 1
Number of Participants and Prevalence of Frailty and Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI)

	Frailty (n = 4745)			MCI (n = 5025)			Combined (n = 4681)
	Without Frailty	With Frailty	Prevalence	Without MCI	With MCI	Prevalence	Prevalence
All participants	4207	538	11.3%	4080	945	18.8%	2.7%
Age, y			$P < .01$			$P < .02$	
65–69	1794	106	5.6%	1583	390	19.80%	1.6%
70–74	1344	105	7.2%	1221	307	20.10%	2.2%
75–79	711	135	16.0%	771	145	15.80%	3.4%
≥80	358	192	34.9%	505	103	16.90%	6.9%
Sex			$P < .05$			$P < .05$	
Females	2157	302	12.3%	2073	489	19.10%	3.0%
Males	2050	236	10.3%	2007	456	18.50%	2.4%
Educational level, y			$P < .01$			$P < .01$	
≤9	1420	279	16.4%	1414	431	23.40%	4.4%
10–12	1812	179	9.0%	1712	357	17.30%	2.0%
≥13	963	80	7.7%	943	155	14.10%	1.3%




Fig. 1. Participants' flow to find frail older adults.

low body weight, weaker grip strength, slower performance in the chair-stand test, and shorter step-length in men and weaker grip strength in women, was associated with a decline in MMSE score over a 4-year period. Similarly, low cognitive function was independently

associated with an increased risk of frailty in older adults. Raji et al. reported that nonfrail participants with a poor MMSE score (<21) at baseline had a 9% probability per year of becoming frail over a 10-year period, compared to individuals with normal cognition (MMSE




Fig. 2. Participants' flow to find MCI older adults.

Table 2
Relationships Between Frailty, Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI), and Sociodemographics

	Frailty		MCI	
	Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	P	Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	P
MCI	2.0 (1.5–2.5)	<.01		
Frailty			2.0 (1.5–2.5)	<.01
Age, y	P for trend	<.01	P for trend	>.05
65–69	1		1	
70–74	1.2 (0.9–1.7)	.30	1.0 (0.8–1.2)	.95
75–79	2.7 (1.9–3.8)	<.01	0.7 (0.6–0.9)	.01
≥80	6.9 (4.9–9.7)	<.01	0.9 (0.7–1.2)	.54
Sex				
Males	1		1	
Females	1.1 (0.9–1.4)	.45	0.8 (0.7–1.0)	.04
Educational level, y	P for trend	.02	P for trend	<.01
≥13	1		1	
10–12	1.0 (0.7–1.4)	.85	1.5 (1.2–1.8)	<.01
≤9	1.4 (1.0–2.0)	<.05	3.2 (2.5–4.0)	<.01

21+).²⁵ Although the criteria for determining frailty and MCI vary slightly between studies, our results were in accordance with previous findings, and thus add support to the association between frailty and MCI.

The prevalence of frailty was 11.3% in our participant group, a rate slightly higher than that of previous studies that also used the CHS frailty criteria. In the American Cardiovascular Health Study, the prevalence of frailty among 5317 community-dwelling men and women aged 65 years and older was 6.9%, and frailty was associated with older age, male gender, being African American, having lower education and income, poorer health, and higher rates of comorbid chronic disease and disability.¹⁰ The French Three-City Study demonstrated a frailty prevalence of 7% among 6078 community-dwelling men and women aged 65 years and older, and frailty was associated with older age, female gender, lower education, lower income, a poorer self-reported health status, and more chronic disease in addition to incident disability.²⁶ The Hertfordshire Cohort Study (HCS), UK, reported that the prevalence of frailty, as defined by CHS frailty criteria, among 638 community-dwelling participants aged 64 to 74 years was 8.5% for women and 4.1% for men.²⁷ The principal difference in the frailty criteria used by the CHS and OSHPE is the cutoff point for walking speed: in the CHS it is set at 0.65 m/s (height ≤173 cm) and in the OSHPE it is 1.0 m/s. This difference may be one explanation for the higher prevalence observed in studies using the OSHPE. The Survey of Health, Aging, and Retirement in Europe (SHARE) studied 16,584 men and women aged 50 years and older, and found that the prevalence of frailty in the nondisabled population aged 65 years and over ranged from 3.9% to 21.0%. The SHARE study demonstrated a higher prevalence of frailty in southern (9.3% to 21.0%) compared with northern Europe (<9.0%).²⁸ The SHARE study defined slowness using the following 2 questions regarding mobility: “Because of a health problem, do you have difficulty [expected to last more than 3 months] walking 100 meters” and “... climbing 1 flight of stairs without resting.” Gait velocity has consistently been reported to differentiate between participants with and without functional decline, as frail elderly individuals walk significantly slower than their nonfrail peers.^{29,30} Thus, gait velocity has been found to be a strong predictor of adverse events, such as disability,^{31–37} mortality,^{32,33,38,39} hospitalization,^{32,33,35,40} and falls.^{40,41} The cutoff point for walking speed in the present study was 1.0 m/s, which appears to be a critical point for predicting future functional decline in community-dwelling elderly individuals.^{32,33,35,36,37} These results suggest that walking speed may be the

most useful measurement for determining frailty and predicting future functional decline in older adults.^{42,43}

It is likely that the reported prevalence of MCI varies between studies as a result of different diagnostic criteria, as well as different sampling and assessment procedures. Despite some methodological differences, most previous studies report prevalence figures for MCI or for cognitive impairment without dementia ranging from 11% to 23%. The Women’s Cognitive Impairment Study used global and domain-specific cognitive measures and found the prevalence of MCI or cognitive impairment without dementia to be 23.2% in a sample of 1299 participants aged 85 years and older.¹⁹ The Mayo Clinic Study of Aging diagnosed 329 of 1969 study participants (16.7%) with MCI or cognitive impairment without dementia using the Clinical Dementia Rating Scale, a neurologic evaluation, and neuropsychological testing to assess 4 cognitive domains: memory, executive function, language, and visuospatial skills.⁴⁴ A study from Leipzig, Germany, that used a 55-point composite instrument found the overall prevalence of MCI or cognitive impairment without dementia to be 19.3% in participants aged 75 years and older.⁴⁵ The Cardiovascular Health Study found the overall rate of MCI or cognitive impairment without dementia to be 19% in participants aged 75 years and older.¹¹ In the Aging, Demographics, and Memory Study, an estimated 5.4 million people (22.2% of the total population of the country) in the United States aged 71 years or older were found to have cognitive impairment without dementia.⁴⁶ In a Japanese study, MCI was diagnosed in 271 of 1433 study participants (18.9%).⁴⁷ In the above-mentioned study, a diagnosis of MCI was contingent on cognitive performance 1.0^{44,45,47} or 1.5 SDs^{11,19,46} below at least one test measure. In the present study, we found the prevalence of MCI to be 18.8%, which is similar to previous studies that used multiple cognitive tests to detect MCI.

In the present study, we found the combined prevalence of frailty and MCI to be 2.7% among 4681 community-dwelling elderly participants. Our analyses of the relationships among frailty, MCI, and sociodemographics revealed a significant relationship between frailty and MCI (OR 2.0). These results suggest that frailty may coincide with MCI in older adults who exhibit vulnerability factors for both conditions. Many researchers believe that the definition of frailty should include mental health as well as physical functioning. The Frailty Operative Definition-Consensus Conference Project reported that experts agreed on the importance of a more comprehensive definition of frailty that should include assessment of physical performance, including gait speed and mobility, nutritional status, mental health, and cognition.⁴⁸ The results of the present study were in line with the new concept of frailty, which included cognition. Individuals with a co-occurrence of frailty and MCI may face a higher risk of incidence disability than healthy older adults or older adults with either frailty or MCI. The French Three-City Study established that frail persons with a cognitive impairment are significantly more likely to develop disabilities in activities of daily living (ADL) and instrumental ADL disabilities.⁴⁹ Moreover, the Hispanic Established Populations for the Epidemiologic Study of the Elderly demonstrated that frailty and cognitive impairment affect mortality differently when they occur independently compared with when they are present together. For instance, individuals with cognitive impairment and frailty had higher mortality compared with individuals with either frailty or cognitive decline.⁵⁰ Further longitudinal study is needed to clarify the ways in which frailty and MCI might affect vulnerability among older adults.

Our multivariate analysis indicated that the participants with the highest risk of developing frailty were 80 years and older or had received fewer than 9 years of education. Many studies have reported relationships among frailty, age, and education. For instance, the Women’s Health Initiative Observational Study found

that age is significantly correlated with incident frailty. In contrast, the previously mentioned study found no clear relationship between MCI and age. The MCI criteria in the OSHPE is based on cognitive score (ie, <1.5 SDs below the age-specific mean of healthy peers). Our inability to find a relationship between MCI and age may have been because of our use of age-specific criteria.

Our logistic model revealed that participants with the highest risk of MCI were predominantly male and had received 9 or fewer years of education. The Mayo Clinic Study of Aging reported that the prevalence OR for MCI in men was 1.54 (95% CI 1.21–1.96; adjusted for age, and education). Several other studies have reported a higher rate of MCI in older adults who received fewer years of education.^{19,20,44,51} In one study, this result remained essentially unchanged after adjusting for several demographic and clinical variables, as well as the Apolipoprotein E genotype, suggesting that this association is not due to comorbid conditions or to a differential rate of MCI in men compared with women.⁴⁴ Our results support these previous discoveries while adding the finding that ethnic differences do not explain the higher prevalence of MCI in men than in women. There is a clear relationship between educational level and prevalence of MCI. Indeed, our results suggest that educational level is more closely associated with MCI risk than age in the OSHPE criteria.

One strength of the present study is the size of the cohort assessed in a specific community. Our findings are backed by comprehensive geriatric assessments intended to identify frailty and cognitive impairments. To our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate the combined prevalence of frailty and MCI in a large sample of older adults. We identified MCI using the NCGG-FAT, which is useful for multidimensional cognitive screening in population-based samples to assess the risk of cognitive decline. In a hospital setting, psychologists, neurologists, and other specialists are available to perform psychological tests. It can be difficult to assemble specialists in Japan for assessments in a community setting. The NCGG-FAT is easily administered using a tablet PC with the instructions shown on the display. Therefore, it is not necessary for those collecting the data to have a thorough knowledge of neurocognitive measures, and the identity of the person administering the questionnaire will not strongly affect the results.

An important limitation of our study is that participants were not recruited randomly to complete the OSHPE. This may lead to an underestimation of the prevalence of frailty and MCI, as the participants were relatively healthy elderly persons who were able to access the health checkup from their homes. Second, for some participants, we were not able to contact an informant, such as family member, to verify medical records, lifestyle information, and asymptomatic aberrant behavior.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Obu city office for assistance with participant recruitment.

References

- Fried LP, Ettinger WH, Lind B, et al. Physical disability in older adults: A physiological approach. *Cardiovascular Health Study Research Group. J Clin Epidemiol* 1994;47:747–760.
- Ensrud KE, Ewing SK, Taylor BC, et al. Frailty and risk of falls, fracture, and mortality in older women: The study of osteoporotic fractures. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2007;62:744–751.
- Woods NF, LaCroix AZ, Gray SL, et al. Frailty: Emergence and consequences in women aged 65 and older in the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005;53:1321–1330.
- Gnjidic D, Stanaway FF, Cumming R, et al. Mild cognitive impairment predicts institutionalization among older men: A population-based cohort study. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e46061.
- Guralnik JM, Fried LP, Simonsick EM, et al. The Women's Health and Aging Study: Health and Social Characteristics of Older Women With Disability. Bethesda, MD: National Institute of Aging; 1995. NIH Pub. No: 95–4009.
- Ferrucci L, Guralnik JM, Studenski S, et al. Designing randomized, controlled trials aimed at preventing or delaying functional decline and disability in frail, older persons: A consensus report. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2004; 52:625–634.
- Petersen RC, Smith GE, Waring SC, et al. Mild cognitive impairment: Clinical characterization and outcome. *Arch Neurol* 1999;56:303–308.
- Winblad B, Palmer K, Kivipelto M, et al. Mild cognitive impairment—beyond controversies, towards a consensus: Report of the International Working Group on Mild Cognitive Impairment. *J Intern Med* 2004;256:240–246.
- Albert MS, DeKosky ST, Dickson D, et al. The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 2011;7:270–279.
- Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, et al. Frailty in older adults: Evidence for a phenotype. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2001;56:M146–M156.
- Lopez OL, Jagust WJ, DeKosky ST, et al. Prevalence and classification of mild cognitive impairment in the Cardiovascular Health Study Cognition Study: Part 1. *Arch Neurol* 2003;60:1385–1389.
- Fried LP, Ferrucci L, Darer J, et al. Untangling the concepts of disability, frailty, and comorbidity: Implications for improved targeting and care. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2004;59:255–263.
- Mitnitski AB, Song X, Rockwood K. The estimation of relative fitness and frailty in community-dwelling older adults using self-report data. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2004;59:M627–M632.
- Buchman AS, Boyle PA, Wilson RS, et al. Frailty is associated with incident Alzheimer's disease and cognitive decline in the elderly. *Psychosom Med* 2007; 69:483–489.
- Boyle PA, Buchman AS, Wilson RS, et al. Physical frailty is associated with incident mild cognitive impairment in community-based older persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2010;58:248–255.
- Santos-Eggimann B, Cuenoud P, Spagnoli J, Junod J. Prevalence of frailty in middle-aged and older community-dwelling Europeans living in 10 countries. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2009;64:675–681.
- Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, et al. Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale: A preliminary report. *J Psychiatr Res* 1982;17: 37–49.
- Jungwirth S, Weissgram S, Zehetmayer S, et al. VITA: Subtypes of mild cognitive impairment in a community-based cohort at the age of 75 years. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2005;20:452–458.
- Yaffe K, Middleton LE, Lui LY, et al. Mild cognitive impairment, dementia, and their subtypes in oldest old women. *Arch Neurol* 2011;68:631–636.
- Hanninen T, Hallikainen M, Tuomainen S, et al. Prevalence of mild cognitive impairment: A population-based study in elderly subjects. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2002;106:148–154.
- Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975; 12:129–198.
- Makizako H, Shimada H, Park H, et al. Evaluation of multidimensional neurocognitive function using a tablet personal computer: Test-retest reliability and validity in community-dwelling older adults [published online ahead of print December 12, 2012]. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* doi:10.1111/ggi.12014.
- Anthony JC, LeResche L, Niaz U, et al. Limits of the 'Mini-Mental State' as a screening test for dementia and delirium among hospital patients. *Psychol Med* 1982;12:397–408.
- Auyeung TW, Lee JS, Kwok T, Woo J. Physical frailty predicts future cognitive decline—a four-year prospective study in 2737 cognitively normal older adults. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2011;15:690–694.
- Raji MA, Al Snih S, Ostir GV, et al. Cognitive status and future risk of frailty in older Mexican Americans. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2010;65: 1228–1234.
- Avila-Funes JA, Helmer C, Amieva H, et al. Frailty among community-dwelling elderly people in France: The three-city study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2008;63:1089–1096.
- Syddall H, Roberts HC, Evandrou M, et al. Prevalence and correlates of frailty among community-dwelling older men and women: Findings from the Hertfordshire Cohort Study. *Age Ageing* 2010;39:197–203.
- Santos-Eggimann B, Cuenoud P, Spagnoli J, Junod J. Prevalence of frailty in middle-aged and older community-dwelling Europeans living in 10 countries. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2009;64:675–681.
- Shimada H, Suzukawa M, Tiedemann A, et al. Which neuromuscular or cognitive test is the optimal screening tool to predict falls in frail community-dwelling older people? *Gerontology* 2009;55:532–538.
- Tiedemann A, Shimada H, Sherrington C, et al. The comparative ability of eight functional mobility tests for predicting falls in community-dwelling older people. *Age Ageing* 2008;37:430–435.
- Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, Pieper CF, et al. Lower extremity function and subsequent disability: Consistency across studies, predictive models, and value of gait speed alone compared with the short physical performance battery. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2000;55:M221–M231.

32. Cesari M, Kritchevsky SB, Penninx BW, et al. Prognostic value of usual gait speed in well-functioning older people—results from the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005;53:1675–1680.
33. Cesari M, Kritchevsky SB, Newman AB, et al. Added value of physical performance measures in predicting adverse health-related events: Results from the Health, Aging And Body Composition Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2009;57:251–259.
34. Onder G, Penninx BW, Ferrucci L, et al. Measures of physical performance and risk for progressive and catastrophic disability: Results from the Women's Health and Aging Study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2005;60:74–79.
35. Studenski S, Perera S, Wallace D, et al. Physical performance measures in the clinical setting. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2003;51:314–322.
36. Simonsick EM, Newman AB, Visser M, et al. Mobility limitation in self-described well-functioning older adults: Importance of endurance walk testing. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2008;63:841–847.
37. Shinkai S, Watanabe S, Kumagai S, et al. Walking speed as a good predictor for the onset of functional dependence in a Japanese rural community population. *Age Ageing* 2000;29:441–446.
38. Markides KS, Black SA, Ostir GV, et al. Lower body function and mortality in Mexican American elderly people. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2001;56:M243–M247.
39. Studenski S, Perera S, Patel K, et al. Gait speed and survival in older adults. *JAMA* 2011;305:50–58.
40. Montero-Odasso M, Schapira M, Soriano ER, et al. Gait velocity as a single predictor of adverse events in healthy seniors aged 75 years and older. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2005;60:1304–1309.
41. Dargent-Molina P, Favier F, Grandjean H, et al. Fall-related factors and risk of hip fracture: The EPIDOS prospective study. *Lancet* 1996;348:145–149.
42. Abellan van Kan G, Rolland Y, Andrieu S, et al. Gait speed at usual pace as a predictor of adverse outcomes in community-dwelling older people an International Academy on Nutrition and Aging (IANA) Task Force. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2009;13:881–889.
43. Vermeulen J, Neyens JC, van Rossum E, et al. Predicting ADL disability in community-dwelling elderly people using physical frailty indicators: A systematic review. *BMC Geriatr* 2011;11:33.
44. Petersen RC, Roberts RO, Knopman DS, et al. Prevalence of mild cognitive impairment is higher in men. The Mayo Clinic Study of Aging. *Neurology* 2010;75:889–897.
45. Busse A, Hensel A, Guhne U, et al. Mild cognitive impairment: Long-term course of four clinical subtypes. *Neurology* 2006;67:2176–2185.
46. Plassman BL, Langa KM, Fisher GG, et al. Prevalence of cognitive impairment without dementia in the United States. *Ann Intern Med* 2008;148:427–434.
47. Sasaki M, Kodama C, Hidaka S, et al. Prevalence of four subtypes of mild cognitive impairment and APOE in a Japanese community. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2009;24:1119–1126.
48. Rodriguez-Manas L, Fearf C, Mann G, et al. Searching for an operational definition of frailty: A Delphi method based consensus statement: The frailty operative definition-consensus conference project. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2013;68:62–67.
49. Avila-Funes JA, Amieva H, Barberger-Gateau P, et al. Cognitive impairment improves the predictive validity of the phenotype of frailty for adverse health outcomes: The three-city study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2009;57:453–461.
50. Cano C, Samper-Terment R, Al Snih S, et al. Frailty and cognitive impairment as predictors of mortality in older Mexican Americans. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2012;16:142–147.
51. Nie H, Xu Y, Liu B, et al. The prevalence of mild cognitive impairment about elderly population in China: A meta-analysis. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2011;26:558–563.

Performance-based assessments and demand for personal care in older Japanese people: a cross-sectional study

Hiroyuki Shimada,¹ Takao Suzuki,² Megumi Suzukawa,³ Hyuma Makizako,¹ Takehiko Doi,¹ Daisuke Yoshida,¹ Kota Tsutsumimoto,¹ Yuya Anan,¹ Kazuki Uemura,¹ Tadashi Ito,¹ Sangyoon Lee,⁴ Hyuntae Park⁴

To cite: Shimada H, Suzuki T, Suzukawa M, *et al*. Performance-based assessments and demand for personal care in older Japanese people: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2013;**3**:e002424. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2012-002424

► Prepublication history for this paper are available online. To view these files please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2012-002424>).

Received 30 November 2012
Revised 12 March 2013
Accepted 18 March 2013

This final article is available for use under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 2.0 Licence; see <http://bmjopen.bmj.com>

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to
Dr Hiroyuki Shimada;
shimada@ncgg.go.jp

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To identify appropriate clinical tests for determining the demand for personal care in older Japanese people.

Design: Cross-sectional observation study.

Setting: Obu Study of Health Promotion for the Elderly (Obu, Aichi) and Tsukui Ordered Useful Care for Health (241 day-care centres) cohorts in Japan.

Participants: A total of 10 351 individuals aged 65 years or older (6791 with personal care and 3560 without personal care) participated in the study.

Measures: Physical performance tests included grip strength, the chair stand test, walking speed at a comfortable pace, and the timed up-and-go test. Personal care was defined as participants who had been certified in the national social long-term care insurance in Japan.

Results: Individuals who received personal care showed a significantly poorer performance than those without personal care for all physical performance tests ($p < 0.001$). Gait speed was the most useful of the physical performance tests to determine the demand for personal care (receiver operating characteristic curve statistics: men, 0.92; women, 0.94; sensitivity: men, 86; women, 90; specificity: men, 85; women, 85). After adjustment for age, sex, cognitive impairment and other physical tests, all physical performance tests were individually associated with the demand for personal care. A slow gait speed (< 1 m/s) was more strongly correlated with the demand for personal care than other performance measures (gait speed OR: 5.9; 95% CI: 5.0 to 6.9).

Conclusions: Clinical tests of physical performance are associated with the demand for personal care in older people. Preventive strategies to maintain physical independence may be required in older adults who show a gait speed slower than 1 m/s. Further research is necessary to confirm these preliminary results.

INTRODUCTION

Japan is the fastest ageing society on earth and the first large country in the history to have its population start shrinking rapidly from

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- Measures of physical performance may identify older persons with a preclinical stage of disability.
- However, it is unclear which performance test and cut-point are the most useful to screen for risk of functional dependence in older Japanese people.
- The purpose of this study was to identify appropriate clinical tests for determining the risk of functional dependence in older Japanese people.

Key messages

- Clinical tests of physical performance were associated with a functional decline in older people.
- Preventive strategies to avoid personal care may be required in older adults who show a gait speed slower than 1 m/s.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Strengths of this study include a large sample size and performance-based assessment, which could determine actual physical capacity and predict subsequent physical disability in older people living in the community.
- We analysed cross-sectional data. Therefore, further investigation of the validity of these tests in predicting the risk of disability in older people using a prospective study design is recommended.

natural causes. The life expectancy of Japanese people (mean age: men, 79.4 years; women, 85.9 years) is at the highest level in the world. The population of Japan, which currently stands at 127 million, is expected to fall to just under 100 million in the next 40 years. By 2050, 4 of 10 adults in Japan will be older than 65 years of age. Japan implemented the national social long-term care insurance (LTCI) system on 1 April 2000. Every Japanese person aged 65 and older is eligible for benefits based strictly on physical and mental frailty or disability.¹ In June 2006, the Japanese government implemented a major LTCI reform that focused on preventive benefits for the

Physical performance and personal care

population at high risk of disability (ie, physical and/or cognitive frailty), to contain the skyrocketing costs of the LTCI.²

Physical frailty increases with advancing age and is a major risk factor for dependency, institutionalisation, and mortality.^{3–5} People with a disability have higher healthcare needs and use compared with those without a disability.⁶ Although the biggest risk factor for future frailty is advancing age, other factors that are possibly modifiable through interventions should not be ignored. For the purpose of targeting risk factors for future frailty, adequate assessment of individual people may be required. One of the main characteristics of the elderly population is its heterogeneity, with elderly people in the same age range showing a wide variance with regard to their risk of disability. To prevent frailty or disability, population-based intervention programmes should be targeted at the population at risk. A feasible and valid screening tool available for research and clinical settings is required to identify target populations. The Interventions on the Frailty Working Group developed recommendations to screen, recruit, evaluate and retain frail older persons in clinical trials.⁷ They reported that most researchers focus on the following domains for identification of physical frailty: mobility, such as lower extremity performance and gait abnormalities; muscle weakness; poor exercise tolerance; unstable balance and factors related to body composition, such as weight loss, malnutrition and muscle loss.⁷

In an effort to select tailored preventive programmes in the Japanese LTCI system, those at high risk for subsequent disability are identified by a basic functional status questionnaire. Although the questionnaire is relatively quick to administer, a performance-based assessment could determine actual physical capacity and more accurately predict subsequent physical disability in community-living older people. Guralnik *et al*⁸ reported that measures of physical performance may identify older persons with a preclinical stage of disability who may benefit from interventions to prevent the development of frank disability. A previous study identified that a rapid gait test was more likely than other mobility performance tests to discriminate older women at high risk of frailty based on the Japanese LTCI system.⁹ However, which performance tests including upper and lower limb muscle functions and which cut-points are the most useful to screen for the demand for personal care are not clear. This study investigated the relationships between performance-based physical assessments and demand for personal care in older people using two large sample cohorts in Japan.

METHODS

Participants

We performed a national study of 10 351 individuals aged 65 years and older who had received personal care (n=6791) and those who had not received personal care (n=3560). The study included individuals who were

enrolled in the Obu Study of Health Promotion for the Elderly (OSHPE) and the Tsukui Ordered Useful Care for Health (TOUCH) programme. To enrol in the OSHPE, an individual was recruited from Obu, Japan, which is a residential suburb of Nagoya. Inclusion criteria required that the participant was aged 65 years or older at examination in 2011 or 2012, lived in Obu, and had not participated in another study. Exclusion criteria stipulated that participants be certified as needing support or care by the Japanese public LTCI system, had disability in basic activities of daily living, and could not carry out performance-based assessments. To enrol in the TOUCH programme, an individual had to be 65 years or older and certified as needing support or care from the Japanese public LTCI system. Detailed information was provided in a previous study.¹⁰ In brief, TOUCH sites (241 day-care centres) are located throughout Japan and provide comprehensive, facility-based day-care services (eg, bath, lunch, physical and cognitive recreational activities and physical exercise). Most TOUCH clients have some physical disability and frailty, defined as the presence of weakness, low physical activity and/or slow gait speed, in accordance with the widely accepted definition of frailty.⁷

A total of 10 351 older participants (mean age, 78.8±8.0 years) underwent performance-based assessments. Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to their inclusion in the study, and the Ethics Committee of the National Centre for Geriatrics and Gerontology approved the study protocol.

Performance-based assessment

The assessment measures were conducted by well-trained staff who had nursing, physiotherapy, occupational therapy or similar qualifications. Prior to start of the study, all staff received training from the authors in the correct protocols for administering all of the assessment measures. The assessment included several physical tests. Upper and lower limb muscle functions were assessed with the grip strength (GS) and the chair stand test (CST), respectively.¹¹ Gait function was assessed with walking time tests conducted at a comfortable pace (comfortable walking speed, CWS) and with the timed up-and-go (TUG) test.¹²

GS was measured in kilograms in the participant's dominant hand using a Smedley-type handheld dynamometer (GRIP-D; Takei Ltd, Niigata, Japan). The CST involved sitting down and standing up five times, using a chair without an armrest. The score was the time taken to complete the task in seconds. Participants were asked to exert their maximum effort in GS and CST. CWS was measured in seconds with a stopwatch. Participants were asked to walk on a flat and straight surface at their CWS. Two markers were used to indicate the start and end of the path, and a 2 m and over approach was allowed before reaching the start marker so that participants can walk at their comfortable pace within the timed path. They were instructed to continue walking past the end

of the path for a further 2 m and over to ensure that the walking pace was consistent throughout the task. The TUG test involved rising from a chair, walking 3 m, turning around, walking back to the chair and sitting down.¹² The TUG test is one of the most frequently used tests of balance and gait, and is often used to assess fall risk in older people.¹³ The time to complete the TUG test was measured, in seconds, at each participant's usual pace. Both walking tests were measured once, and if a walking aid was normally used inside the home, this aid was used during the tests.

Cognitive function

The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE)¹⁴ for the OSHPE population and the Mental Status Questionnaire (MSQ) for individuals enrolled in the TOUCH programme were used to measure cognitive functioning, and were used as potential confounders in the association between performance-based physical assessments and functional dependence.¹⁵ Individuals with 23 or fewer points on the MMSE and three or more errors on the MSQ were considered to have cognitive impairment.^{15 16}

Statistical analysis

Demographic and clinical variables were compared between the participants with and those without personal care using Student *t* tests for continuous variables and χ^2 tests for categorical variables. To compare the predictive ability of the study measures, receiver-operated characteristic (ROC) curves were inspected to determine cut-points for each test that best discriminated between the individuals with and those without personal care. Cut-points for maximising the sensitivity and specificity for each test were determined using the Youden index.¹⁷ The area under the curve (AUC), sensitivity and specificity were then calculated for the cut-points. We used multivariate logistic regression analyses to determine ORs and 95% CIs, and to assess independent associations of the cut-points of physical performance measures for demand for personal care. The participants were divided into two groups according to the cut-point of the performance-based physical assessments. Covariates were added sequentially to the logistic model to evaluate the associations at different levels of adjustment. Model 1 included each performance-based physical assessment, and model 2 included the model 1 variables plus age, sex and cognitive impairment as determined by the MMSE or MSQ. Model 3 included all performance-based physical assessments plus age, sex and cognitive impairment. The participants were then divided into five groups as follows: individuals with no risk and those with 1, 2, 3 or 4 risks, according to the number of risks identified by the cut-points of the performance-based physical assessments. The ORs and 95% CIs for the number of risks were calculated adjusted for age, sex and cognitive impairment. All statistical contrasts were made at the 0.05 level of significance, and all data management and statistical computations were performed using the

IBM SPSS Statistics V.19.0 software package (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA).

RESULTS

Comparison between participants with and those without personal care

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the participants. The participants with personal care were significantly older ($p<0.001$), included a higher number of women ($p<0.001$) and a higher number of persons with cognitive impairment ($p<0.001$) than those without personal care. For the comparison of performance-based assessments, the participants with personal care had significantly lower scores on all physical tests ($p<0.001$) compared

Table 1 Characteristics of the participants

	Participants with personal care (n=6791)	Participants without personal care (n=3560)
Age (years)*	82.6±6.7	71.8±5.2
Sex, women, n (%)*	4720 (69.5)	1793 (50.4)
Cognitive impairments, n (%)*	2962 (43.6)	562 (15.8) [8]
GS (kg)*	16.3±6.9	27.3±7.8
CST (s)*	13.0±5.6	8.6±2.4
CWS (m/s)*	0.7±0.3	1.2±0.2
TUG (s)*	16.6±7.7	8.9±1.8
Care level in the LTCL, n (%)		
Support need level 1	804 (11.8)	0 (0)
Support need level 2	1112 (16.4)	0 (0)
Care need level 1	2057 (30.3)	0 (0)
Care need level 2	1687 (24.8)	0 (0)
Care need level 3	842 (12.4)	0 (0)
Care need level 4	257 (3.8)	0 (0)
Care need level 5	32 (0.5)	0 (0)
Disability of basic ADLs, n (%)		
Eating	105 (1.5) [136]	0 (0)
Grooming	398 (5.9) [136]	0 (0)
Bathing	1374 (20.2) [136]	0 (0)
Locomotion	745 (11.0) [136]	0 (0)
Stairs	1508 (22.2) [136]	0 (0)

Individuals with 23 or fewer points on the MMSE in the participants without personal care and with three or more errors on the MSQ in the participants with personal care are considered to have cognitive impairment. Beneficiaries of the LTCL can use multiple services for which they are eligible, according to their care plan up to the maximum amount (£382 for Support Level 1; £800 for Support Level 2; £1275 for Care Level 1; £1498 for Care Level 2; £2058 for Care Level 3; £2354 for Care Level 4; £2756 for Care Level 5), in principle, for a 10% copayment and can use more services than covered as long as they pay all the costs for the services beyond the maximum level (calculated at £1=130 yen). *Comparison between the participants with and without personal care; $p<0.001$, [] missing value. CST, chair stand test; CWS, comfortable walking speed; GS, grip strength; LTCL, long-term care insurance; MMSE, mini-mental state examination; MSQ, mental status questionnaire; TUG, timed up-and-go test.

Physical performance and personal care

Table 2 Cut-points for the risk of demand for personal care and associated sensitivity, specificity, area under the curve (AUC), and OR statistics for all participants

	Criterion	Sensitivity	Specificity	AUC
GS (kg)				
Men	<26	74	89	0.88
Women	<17	80	88	0.90
CST (s)				
Men	≥10	72	74	0.79
Women	≥10	67	77	0.78
CWS (m/s)				
Men	<1.0	86	85	0.92
Women	<1.0	90	85	0.94
TUG (s)				
Men	≥11	76	88	0.88
Women	≥11	79	89	0.90

CST, chair stand test; CWS, comfortable walking speed; GS, grip strength; TUG, timed up-and-go test.

with those in participants without personal care (table 1). The number of participants with and without personal care who used the walking aid during the walking tests were 2593 (38.2%) and 35 (1.0%), respectively.

Cut-points between participants with and those without personal care

ROC curve analysis results, showing the performance cut-points for each test and associated statistics, are shown in table 2. The Youden index determined the cut-points for the demand for personal care as follows: GS in men and women was <26 and <17 kg, respectively; CST was ≥10 s, CWS was <1.0 m/s and TUG was ≥11 s for both sexes. The CWS score had the highest AUC for discriminating the demand for personal care and displayed good sensitivity and specificity (85–90%). High AUCs were also found for GS and TUG, as well as fair to good sensitivity and specificity (74–80%).

Relationships between cut-points and risk of disability

The multiple logistic regression models showed significant relationships between physical performances and the demand for personal care (table 3). The demand for personal care was most closely related to CWS in model 1 (OR=34.7; 95% CI 30.9 to 39.0). These results remained essentially unchanged after controlling for age,

sex, cognitive impairment and other physical performance tests. In the final model (model 3), the highest OR of factors related to the demand for personal care was for CWS (OR=5.9; 95% CI 5.0 to 6.9). Figure 1 shows the distribution of CWS for participants with personal care. Participants who walked 1.1 m/s and faster had the lowest amount of personal care (20%). The rate of participants with personal care increased rapidly with a CWS slower than 1.1 m/s, and 90% of participants with a CWS slower than 0.8 m/s had personal care (figure 1A). The rate of functional decline increased rapidly for individuals walking slower than 1 m/s in women (figure 1C) rather than men (figure 1B), and with the rate of functional decline reaching 90% when CWS was slower than 0.8 m/s in both sexes (figure 1B,C).

There was a significant relationship between the number of risks based on the physical performance tests and the demand for personal care. The ORs and 95% CIs for personal care in participants with 1, 2, 3 and 4 risks were 3.1 (2.6 to 3.8), 10.6 (8.7 to 13.1), 35.6 (28.6 to 44.5) and 141.3 (103.6 to 192.7), respectively, compared with participants without risks ($p<0.001$). Figure 2 shows the distributions of the number of risks for demand for personal care. The rates of participants with personal care who had no risk, 1, 2 and 3 or more risks were 8.7%, 38.5%, 75.6% and 90.0%, respectively (figure 2).

DISCUSSION

Neuromuscular function, including muscle strength, balance and gait, and cognitive function are important risk factors for disability. Performance-based assessment of these factors can be used to identify people at an increased risk of future functional decline. We examined the use of various measures to identify the most useful measure for screening the demand for personal care.

Cut-points of demand for personal care

In the current study, univariate analyses identified all physical tests as being able to discriminate between participants with and those without personal care. When performance was dichotomised for cut-points, GS, CST, CWS and TUG retained statistically significant relationships with personal care. The CWS test (cut-point, 1 m/s) displayed the highest OR in the final model, with good sensitivity and specificity with respect to

Table 3 Relationships between physical performances and the demand for personal care


	Model 1 OR (95% CI)	Model 2 OR (95% CI)	Model 3 OR (95% CI)
GS (men: <26 vs ≥26 kg, women: <17 vs ≥17 kg)	20.9 (18.6 to 23.5)*	8.5 (7.4 to 9.7)*	4.1 (3.5 to 4.8)*
CST (≥10 vs <10 s)	6.6 (6.1 to 7.3)*	4.1 (3.7 to 4.7)*	1.3 (1.1 to 1.5)*
CWS (<1 vs ≥1 m/s)	34.7 (30.9 to 39.0)*	17.5 (15.3 to 20.0)*	5.9 (5.0 to 6.9)*
TUG (≥11 vs <11 s)	27.1 (24.1 to 30.5)*	15.3 (13.4 to 17.6)*	4.0 (3.4 to 4.8)*

* $p<0.01$.

Model 1 was crude ORs and Model 2 was adjusted for age, sex and cognitive impairment. Model 3 was adjusted for age, sex, cognitive impairment and physical performances.

CST, chair stand test; CWS, comfortable walking speed; GS, grip strength; TUG, timed up-and-go test.

Figure 1 Comfortable walking speed distributions of participants with personal care in all participants (A), men (B) and women (C). The rate of participants with personal care markedly decreased at 1.0 m/s and faster at a comfortable walking speed.



identifying participants with personal care. At identified cut-points, GS (men, 26 kg; women, 17 kg), CST (10 s) and TUG (11 s) could also significantly discriminate participants with personal care with sensitivities and specificities of 67–89%. This result highlights what can occur when dichotomised rather than continuous data are used. There is an associated loss of information and

reduced predictive accuracy as a trade-off for ease of scoring and test interpretation. These results, however, are consistent with previous findings that showed associations between measures of muscle strength and mobility and functional decline.¹⁸

Gait speed and personal care

Gait velocity, as measured by the CWS test in this study, has been consistently reported to differentiate between participants with and those without personal care, with frail older persons walking significantly slower,^{10 19} and has proved to be a strong predictor of adverse events, such as disability,^{18 20–25} mortality,^{21 22 26 27} hospitalisation^{21 22 24 28} and falls.^{28 29} Gait slowing, which occurs in the latest stages of life, suggests that mobility is so central to life that energy is shifted away from walking activity only when other vital activities are threatened,³⁰ which may lead to increased functional independence. In addition, a slower walking speed is an associated factor for subsequent dementia.³¹ Dementia is one of the most important factors of health problems for functional decline in the aged population. For our study sample, the cut-point for CWS was 1 m/s, which is the critical point for future functional decline in community-dwelling older people determined by previous studies.^{18 21 22 24 25} These results suggest that walking speed may be the most crucial measurement to determine the demand for personal care in older adults. Measurement of walking speed is reliable, valid,




Figure 2 Participants with personal care according to the number of risks identified by cut-points of physical performance tests. Percentages of participants with personal care who had no risk, 1, 2 and 3 or more risks were 8.7%, 38.5%, 75.6% and 90%, respectively.

Physical performance and personal care

sensitive, inexpensive, safe, quick and a simple tool. Therefore, measurement of walking speed is suitable to use in community settings as a screening tool and evaluation for the effect of a care prevention programme.

Muscle strength and mobility and personal care

In the current study, higher ORs were found for GS and TUG, as well as CWS. Hand GS is an estimate of isometric strength in the upper extremity, but also correlates with strength in other muscle groups,³² and therefore, is considered an estimate of the overall strength. In addition, GS has proved to be a strong predictor of physical functioning and disability,^{33 34} morbidity³⁵ and mortality.^{36 37} Our findings support previous evidence and add cut-points of <26 kg in men and <17 kg in women that discriminate those at high-risk for disability in community-living older people. The TUG has been recommended as a screening tool for identifying older people who are at risk for falling.^{38 39} Bischoff *et al*⁴⁰ proposed a normative cut-point of 12 s for community-dwelling elderly people between 65 and 85 years of age. In daily clinical practice, elderly persons who perform the TUG in >12 s should receive early evaluation and intervention. Our results regarding TUG cut-points are in line with these previous studies.

Strengths and limitations

Strengths of the present study include a large sample size and we used performance-based assessment, which could determine actual physical capacity and predict subsequent physical disability in community-living older people. However, the present study has a number of limitations. One of the limitations is that we analysed cross-sectional data. Therefore, further investigation of the validity of these tests in predicting the risk of disability in older people using a prospective study design is recommended. Another limitation is that many frail older people using healthcare services cannot walk because they have multiple diseases or geriatric syndromes. Non-ambulatory participants were excluded from our study. Therefore, we acknowledge that the study findings may not be generalised to this frailer group.

CONCLUSIONS

This study provides preliminary evidence that clinical tests of physical performances can predict the risk of disability in older people. Logistic regression analysis selected CWS as the best independent correlate of disability, with good sensitivity and specificity. Further investigation is required, and future research should include a prospective measurement of the risk of disability to more accurately determine the validity of screening tests for this population.

Author affiliations

¹Section of Health Promotion, Department of Support for Independence, Center for Gerontology and Social Science, National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology, Obu, Aichi, Japan

²Research Institute, National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology, Obu, Aichi, Japan

³Department of Rehabilitation, Course of Physical Therapy, University of Human Arts and Science, Saitama, Japan

⁴Section of Physical Functioning Activation, Department of Functioning Activation, Center for Gerontology and Social Science, National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology, Obu, Aichi, Japan

Acknowledgements We thank the Obu City Office for the help provided with participant recruitment, and staff at the Tsukui Corporation for their assistance with data collection.

Contributors HS designed and organised the study, analysed and interpreted the data, and drafted the manuscript. TS and MS made substantial contributions to the conception, design, analysis and interpretation of the data, and critically revised the draft. All authors took responsibility for the accuracy and integrity of the study. All authors gave the final approval of the version to be published.

Funding This work received financial support from a grant from the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (Project for optimising long-term care; B-3) and a grant from the National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology (Research Funding for Longevity Sciences; 22-16). The funding source played no role in the design or conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis or interpretation of the data; or preparation, review or approval of the manuscript.

Competing interests None.

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval Ethics Committee of the National Center for Gerontology and Geriatrics.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement No additional data are available.

REFERENCES

1. Tsutsui T, Muramatsu N. Care-needs certification in the long-term care insurance system of Japan. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005;53:522-7.
2. Tsutsui T, Muramatsu N. Japan's universal long-term care system reform of 2005: containing costs and realizing a vision. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2007;55:1458-63.
3. Fried LP, Ettinger WH, Lind B, *et al*. Physical disability in older adults: a physiological approach. Cardiovascular Health Study Research Group. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1994;47:747-60.
4. Ensrud KE, Ewing SK, Taylor BC, *et al*. Frailty and risk of falls, fracture, and mortality in older women: the study of osteoporotic fractures. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2007;62:744-51.
5. Woods NF, LaCroix AZ, Gray SL, *et al*. Frailty: emergence and consequences in women aged 65 and older in the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005;53:1321-30.
6. Guralnik JM, Fried LP, Simonsick EM, *et al*. *The women's health and aging study: health and social characteristics of older women with disability*. Bethesda, MD: National Institute of Aging, NIH Pub. No: 95-4009, 1995.
7. Ferrucci L, Guralnik JM, Studenski S, *et al*. Designing randomized, controlled trials aimed at preventing or delaying functional decline and disability in frail, older persons: a consensus report. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2004;52:625-34.
8. Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, Simonsick EM, *et al*. Lower-extremity function in persons over the age of 70 years as a predictor of subsequent disability. *N Engl J Med* 1995;332:556-61.
9. Kim MJ, Yabushita N, Kim MK, *et al*. Mobility performance tests for discriminating high risk of frailty in community-dwelling older women. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2010;51:192-8.
10. Shimada H, Suzukawa M, Tiedemann A, *et al*. Which neuromuscular or cognitive test is the optimal screening tool to predict falls in frail community-dwelling older people? *Gerontology* 2009;55:532-8.
11. Csuka M, McCarty DJ. Simple method for measurement of lower extremity muscle strength. *Am J Med* 1985;78:77-81.
12. Podsiadlo D, Richardson S. The timed 'Up & Go': a test of basic functional mobility for frail elderly persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1991;39:142-8.
13. National Institute for Clinical Excellence. *Clinical practice guideline for the assessment and prevention of falls in older people*. London: Royal College of Nursing, 2004.

14. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. 'Mini-mental state'. A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975;12:189-98.
15. Kahn R, Goldfarb A, Pollack M, *et al*. Brief objective measures for the determination of mental status in the aged. *Am J Psychiatry* 1960;117:326-8.
16. Anthony JC, LeResche L, Niaz U, *et al*. Limits of the 'Mini-Mental State' as a screening test for dementia and delirium among hospital patients. *Psychol Med* 1982;12:397-408.
17. Perkins NJ, Schisterman EF. The inconsistency of 'optimal' cutpoints obtained using two criteria based on the receiver operating characteristic curve. *Am J Epidemiol* 2006;163:670-5.
18. Shinkai S, Watanabe S, Kumagai S, *et al*. Walking speed as a good predictor for the onset of functional dependence in a Japanese rural community population. *Age Ageing* 2000;29:441-6.
19. Tiedemann A, Shimada H, Sherrington C, *et al*. The comparative ability of eight functional mobility tests for predicting falls in community-dwelling older people. *Age Ageing* 2008;37:430-5.
20. Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, Pieper CF, *et al*. Lower extremity function and subsequent disability: consistency across studies, predictive models, and value of gait speed alone compared with the short physical performance battery. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2000;55:M221-31.
21. Cesari M, Kritchevsky SB, Penninx BW, *et al*. Prognostic value of usual gait speed in well-functioning older people—results from the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005;53:1675-80.
22. Cesari M, Kritchevsky SB, Newman AB, *et al*. Added value of physical performance measures in predicting adverse health-related events: results from the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2009;57:251-9.
23. Onder G, Penninx BW, Ferrucci L, *et al*. Measures of physical performance and risk for progressive and catastrophic disability: results from the Women's Health and Aging Study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2005;60:74-9.
24. Studenski S, Perera S, Wallace D, *et al*. Physical performance measures in the clinical setting. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2003; 51:314-22.
25. Simonsick EM, Newman AB, Visser M, *et al*. Mobility limitation in self-described well-functioning older adults: importance of endurance walk testing. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2008;63:841-7.
26. Markides KS, Black SA, Ostir GV, *et al*. Lower body function and mortality in Mexican American elderly people. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2001;56:M243-7.
27. Studenski S, Perera S, Patel K, *et al*. Gait speed and survival in older adults. *JAMA* 2011;305:50-8.
28. Montero-Odasso M, Schapira M, Soriano ER, *et al*. Gait velocity as a single predictor of adverse events in healthy seniors aged 75 years and older. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2005;60:1304-9.
29. Dargent-Molina P, Favier F, Grandjean H, *et al*. Fall-related factors and risk of hip fracture: the EPIDOS prospective study. *Lancet* 1996;348:145-9.
30. Wang L, Larson EB, Bowen JD, *et al*. Performance-based physical function and future dementia in older people. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;166:1115-20.
31. Beauchet O, Kressig RW, Najafi B, *et al*. Age-related decline of gait control under a dual-task condition. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2003;51:1187-8.
32. Rantanen T, Era P, Kauppinen M, *et al*. Maximal isometric muscle strength and socio-economic status, health and physical activity in 75-year-old persons. *Aging Phys Act* 1994;2:206-20.
33. Ishizaki T, Watanabe S, Suzuki T, *et al*. Predictors for functional decline among non-disabled older Japanese living in a community during a 3-year follow-up. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2000;48:1424-9.
34. Rantanen T, Guralnik JM, Sakari-Rantala R, *et al*. Disability, physical activity, and muscle strength in older women: the Women's Health and Aging Study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1999;80:130-5.
35. Rantanen T, Masaki K, Foley D, *et al*. Grip strength changes over 27 yr in Japanese-American men. *J Appl Physiol* 1998;85:2047-53.
36. Rantanen T, Harris T, Leveille SG, *et al*. Muscle strength and body mass index as long-term predictors of mortality in initially healthy men. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2000;55:M168-73.
37. Fujita Y, Nakamura Y, Hiraoka J, *et al*. Physical-strength tests and mortality among visitors to health-promotion centers in Japan. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1995;48:1349-59.
38. Sletvold O, Tilvis R, Jonsson A, *et al*. Geriatric work-up in the Nordic countries. The Nordic approach to comprehensive geriatric assessment. *Dan Med Bull* 1996;43:350-9.
39. Okumiya K, Matsubayashi K, Nakamura T, *et al*. The timed 'up & go' test is a useful predictor of falls in community-dwelling older people. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1998;46:928-30.
40. Bischoff HA, Stahelin HB, Monsch AU, *et al*. Identifying a cut-off point for normal mobility: a comparison of the timed 'up and go' test in community-dwelling and institutionalised elderly women. *Age Ageing* 2003;32:315-20.



Performance-based assessments and demand for personal care in older Japanese people: a cross-sectional study

Hiroyuki Shimada, Takao Suzuki, Megumi Suzukawa, et al.

BMJ Open 2013 3:

doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-002424

Updated information and services can be found at:

<http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/3/4/e002424.full.html>

These include:

References

This article cites 38 articles, 12 of which can be accessed free at:

<http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/3/4/e002424.full.html#ref-list-1>

Article cited in:

<http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/3/4/e002424.full.html#related-urls>

Open Access

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non commercial and is otherwise in compliance with the license. See:

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/> and

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/legalcode>

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

Geriatric medicine (74 articles)

Rehabilitation medicine (73 articles)

Notes

To request permissions go to:

<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:

<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:

<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>

Olfactory Identification and Cognitive Performance in Community-Dwelling Older Adults With Mild Cognitive Impairment

Mihoko Makizako¹, Hyuma Makizako^{2,3}, Takehiko Doi², Kazuki Uemura^{2,3}, Kota Tsutsumimoto², Hideki Miyaguchi⁴ and Hiroyuki Shimada²

¹Graduate School of Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, 1-2-3 Kasumi, Minami-ku, Hiroshima-shi, Hiroshima 734-8551, Japan, ²Section for Health Promotion, Department for Research and Development to Support Independent Life of Elderly, Center for Gerontology and Social Science, National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology, 35 Gengo, Morioka-machi, Obu, Aichi 474-8511, Japan, ³Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Tokyo, 5-3-1 Kojimachi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-0083, Japan and ⁴Graduate School of Biomedical and Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, 1-2-3 Kasumi, Minami-ku, Hiroshima-shi, Hiroshima 734-8551, Japan

Correspondence to be sent to: Hyuma Makizako, Section for Health Promotion, Department for Research and Development to Support Independent Life of Elderly, Center for Gerontology and Social Science, National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology, 35 Gengo, Morioka-machi, Obu, Aichi 474-8511, Japan. e-mail: makizako@ncgg.go.jp

Accepted September 26, 2013

Abstract

Olfactory impairment constitutes one of the earliest signs of Alzheimer's disease in older adults with mild cognitive impairment. We investigated which aspects of neuropsychological measures are correlated with olfactory identification performance among older adults with mild cognitive impairment. Total of 220 participants with mild cognitive impairment (mean age 71.7 years) were examined. Odor identification was assessed using the Open Essence test. Participants underwent comprehensive neurocognitive evaluation, including measures of verbal memory, visual memory, working memory, attention/executive function, and processing speed. We examined associations between olfactory function and cognitive performance scores. Participants with severe hyposmia exhibited significantly poor verbal and visual memory performance, attention/executive function, and slower processing speed scores compared with those without severe hyposmia. In multivariable logistic regression models, better performance scores on verbal and visual memory were significantly associated with decreased likelihood of severe hyposmia after adjusting for age, sex, education, and other cognitive performance scores. These findings suggest that olfactory impairment might be more closely associated with memory loss compared with other aspects of cognitive functioning in mild cognitive impairment subjects.

Key words: cognitive functioning, memory, olfactory identification

Introduction

Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) can be defined as a transitional stage between “normal” and “dementia” (Petersen 2004). Because 80% of subjects with MCI develop Alzheimer's disease (AD) within a period of 6 years, we can regard MCI as an early process of AD (Petersen et al. 2001). Early identification of risk of AD in subjects with MCI is important for dementia prevention. In particular, MCI subjects with major memory loss are at particularly high risk of developing AD (Busse et al. 2006). In addition, the olfactory impairment was a predictor of increased risk

for development of AD (Tabert et al. 2005; Devanand et al. 2008; Schubert et al. 2008).

Brain atrophy and β -amyloid deposition have been observed in the medial temporal lobe (Nelson et al. 2012), such as in the entorhinal cortex (Braak and Braak 1991; Mufson et al. 1999; Ries et al. 2008) and hippocampus (Chetelat et al. 2011), which are related to the memory loss in the early stage of AD. These regions are also neural substrates for olfactory pathways. In a previous study using clinicopathological analyses, odor identification was found to be