

(birth head circumference), and low quality (Stillbirth, neonatal death, preterm birth, infants born small-for-gestational age and protein intake) which includes two of the primary outcomes, to very low quality (birthweight) suggesting that the estimates were very uncertain. In the balanced protein and energy supplementation in pregnancy studies (Summary of findings 2), with significant reductions in stillbirth and infants born small-for-gestational age, and significant increase in birthweight were considered to be of moderate quality. Preterm birth was moderate quality. Neonatal death were of low quality and pre-eclampsia was of very low quality. In the high-protein supplementation in pregnancy studies (Summary of findings 3), the significant increase in infants born small-for-gestational age was of moderate quality in only one study (Rush 1980). In the isocaloric balanced protein supplementation in pregnancy studies (Summary of findings 4), the evidence was judged to be of very low quality (birthweight, weekly gestational weight gain) meaning that the estimates were very uncertain.

### Potential biases in the review process

There were several potential biases in the review process. We made efforts to limit the bias in several ways: two review authors assessed the eligibility for inclusion and assessed the risks of bias independently. Although the authors' views varied, we decided to accept the final conclusions after extensive discussion and reaching a consensus. Carrying out reviews, however, may require a number of subjective judgements, and it is possible that a different review team may have reached different decisions regarding the assessments of eligibility and risks of bias. Feedback from readers will serve to improve the next review update.

### Agreements and disagreements with other studies or reviews

We have included only randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and excluded the quasi-RCTs previously included in the review (Kramer 2003). The new findings of this review are that balanced energy and protein supplementation was associated with significant increases in mean birthweight, while the other major findings are consistent with those of the previous Cochrane Review (Kramer 2003). Prenatal supplementation with multi-micronutrients was associated with a significantly reduced risk of low-birthweight infants and with improved birthweight when compared with iron-folic acid supplementation, although there was no effect on the risk of preterm birth or small-for gestational-age infants (Shah 2009). Researchers should aim to include only those women in trials to increase energy and protein intake who have the potential to benefit. Observational data suggest women who are overweight or obese or who exceed their daily energy and protein requirements during pregnancy are at increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes including: stillbirth and large-for-ges-

tational age and macrosomia (birthweight  $\geq$  4 kg) (Chen 2009; Heslehurst 2008; Thangaratinam 2012), therefore, the effect of increasing protein and energy intakes could have opposite effects on different populations within the same trial if those included are not adequately defined and selected.

## AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

### Implications for practice

This review provides encouraging evidence that nutritional advice to increase protein and energy intake and balanced energy and protein supplementation may reduce some perinatal adverse outcomes. The long-term effects are unclear and it seems likely that targeting undernourished women rather than the whole obstetric population would convey the most benefit. For most of the included trials in this review, the risk of bias was either unclear or high for at least one category examined, and the results of this review should therefore be interpreted with caution.

Nutritional advice appears to be effective in increasing pregnant women's protein intake, and increases fetal growth such as birth head circumference. The 54% relative reduction in preterm birth for nutritional advice in energy and protein compared with no nutritional counselling may be beneficial to pregnant women.

Balanced energy and protein supplementation appears to reduce the risks of stillbirth, although the biological mechanisms underlying these reductions remain unclear. Furthermore, balanced protein and energy intervention, as provided in most trials, results in significant increases in maternal weight gain and infant birthweight, and decreases the risk of infants born in small-for-gestational age. These effects do not seem to confer long-term benefits to the child in terms of growth, neurocognitive development, and adiposity or blood pressure. The available evidence is inadequate to evaluate the potential effects on preterm birth, neonatal death or maternal health.

Based on the available evidence, there is no justification for prescribing high-protein and isocaloric nutritional supplements to pregnant women, although the number of trials and women included are few.

### Implications for research

High-quality randomised trials are needed that target those women who are nutritionally deprived or underweight with reduced energy intake; long-term follow-up is required.

Given the modest benefits in preterm delivery documented for balanced energy and protein advice during pregnancy, future randomised trials need to assess the effects on perinatal outcomes

such as stillbirth, neonatal death and birthweight. Effective interventions, such as the content and frequency of nutritional advice, need to be clarified.

Future energy and protein supplementation trials should focus their attention on outcomes other than fetal growth, especially in undernourished women and particularly on confirming the evidence of intervention on reduced risks of stillbirth and infants born small-for-gestational age. Such trials will require large sample sizes. Any future trials should also assess the effects on women, including duration of labour, caesarean section, macrosomia and postpartum weight retention.

The lack of evidence of benefit, coupled with the possibility of harm, suggests that future trials of high-protein supplementation, and isocaloric protein supplementation should not be considered.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Michael S. Kramer and Ritsuko Kakuma who developed the original review (Kramer 2003) and subsequent updates upon which this updated review is based.

The review authors would like to acknowledge the Pregnancy and Childbirth team for assistance with the preparation of the original review and its update, including the Trials Search Co-ordinator for assistance in developing the search strategy, the editors, co-editors and other staff within the team. We also acknowledge Tommy Tang and Stuart Gilmour, who supported the 2012 update.

As part of the pre-publication editorial process, this review has been commented on by three peers (an editor and two referees who are external to the editorial team) and the Group's Statistical Adviser.

## REFERENCES

### References to studies included in this review

#### Blackwell 1973 {published data only}

Adair LS, Pollitt E. Outcome of maternal nutritional supplementation: a comprehensive review of the Bacon Chow Study. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1985; **41**:948–78.

Adair LS, Pollitt E, Mueller WH. The Bacon Chow Study: effect of nutritional supplementation on maternal weight and skinfold thickness during pregnancy and lactation. *British Journal of Nutrition* 1984; **51**:357–69.

\* Blackwell RQ, Chow BF, Chinn KSK, Blackwell BN, Hsu SC. Prospective maternal nutrition study in Taiwan: rationale, study design, feasibility and preliminary findings. *Nutrition Reports International* 1973; **7**:517–32.

Joos SK, Pollitt E, Mueller WH, Albright DL. The Bacon Chow Study: maternal nutritional supplementation and infant behavioral development. *Child Development* 1983; **54**:669–76.

McDonald EC, Pollitt E, Mueller W, Hsueh AM, Sherwin R. The Bacon Chow study: maternal nutritional supplementation and birth weight of offspring. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1981; **34**:2133–44.

Mueller WH, Pollitt E. The Bacon Chow study: effects of maternal nutritional supplementation on birth measurements of children, accounting for the size of a previous (un-supplemented) child. *Early Human Development* 1984; **10**:127–36.

Mueller WH, Pollitt E. The Bacon Chow Study: effects of nutrition supplementation on sibling-sibling anthropometric correlations. *Human Biology* 1982; **54**:455–68.

Pollitt E, Mueller W. Maternal nutrition supplementation

during pregnancy interferes with physical resemblance of siblings at birth according to infant sex. *Early Human Development* 1982; **7**:251–6.

Wohlleb JC, Pollitt E, Mueller WH, Bigelow R. The Bacon Chow Study: maternal supplementation and infant growth. *Early Human Development* 1983; **9**:79–91.

#### Briley 2002 {published data only}

Briley C, Flanagan NL, Lewis NM. In-home prenatal nutrition intervention increased dietary iron intakes and reduced low birthweight in low-income African-American women. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 2002; **102**(7):984–7.

#### Ceesay 1997 {published data only}

Ceesay SM, Saadykhan S, Prentice AM, Cole TJ, Day KC, Rowland MGM, et al. Effect on birth weight of a community-based supplementation programme for pregnant Gambian women: first year results. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society* 1992; **51**:77A.

\* Ceesay SN, Prentice AM, Cole TJ, Foord F, Weaver LT, Poskitt EME, et al. Effects on birth weight and perinatal mortality of maternal dietary supplements in rural Gambia: 5 year randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 1997; **315**:786–90.

Hawkesworth S, Prentice AM, Fulford AJ, Moore SE. Dietary supplementation of rural Gambian women during pregnancy does not affect body composition in offspring at 11–17 years of age. *Journal of Nutrition* 2008; **138**(12):2468–73.

Hawkesworth S, Prentice AM, Fulford AJ, Moore SE. Maternal protein-energy supplementation does not affect adolescent blood pressure in The Gambia. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2009; **38**(1):119–27.

Moore SE, Collinson AC, Prentice AM. Immune function in rural Gambian children is not related to season of birth,

birth size, or maternal supplementation status. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2001;**74**:840–7.

**Elwood 1981** {published and unpublished data}

Ben-Shlomo Y, Holly J, McCarthy A, Savage P, Davies D, Davey Smith G. Prenatal and postnatal milk supplementation and adult insulin-like growth factor I: long-term follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* 2005;**14**(5):1336–9.

Ben-Shlomo Y, McCarthy A, Hughes R, Tilling K, Davies D, Smith GD. Immediate postnatal growth is associated with blood pressure in young adulthood: the Barry Caerphilly growth study. *Hypertension* 2008;**52**:638–44.  
Elwood PC, Haley TJL, Hughes SJ, Sweetnam PM, Gray OP, Davies DP. Child growth (0–5 years), and the effect of entitlement to a milk supplement. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 1981;**56**:831–5.

**Girija 1984** {published and unpublished data}

Girija A, Geervani P, Rao GN. Influence of dietary supplementation during pregnancy on lactation performance. *Journal of Tropical Pediatrics* 1984;**30**:79–83.

**Hunt 1976** {published data only}

Hunt IF, Jacob M, Ostergard NJ, Masri G, Clark VA, Coulson AH. Effect of nutrition education on the nutritional status of low-income pregnant women of Mexican descent. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1976;**29**:675–84.

**Huybregts 2009** {published data only}

Huybregts L, Roberfroid D, Lanou H, Menten J, Meda N, Van Camp J, et al. Prenatal food supplementation fortified with multiple micronutrients increases birth length: a randomized controlled trial in rural Burkina Faso. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2009;**90**(6):1593–600.

**Kafatos 1989** {published and unpublished data}

Kafatos AG, Vlachonikolis IG, Codrington CA. Nutrition during pregnancy: the effects of an educational intervention program in Greece. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1989;**50**:970–9.

**Kardjati 1988** {published data only}

\* Kardjati S, Kusin JA, De With C. Energy supplementation in the last trimester of pregnancy in East Java: I. Effect on birthweight. *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 1988;**95**:783–94.

Kardjati S, Kusin JA, Schofield WM, De With C. Energy supplementation in the last trimester of pregnancy in East Java, Indonesia: effect on maternal anthropometry. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1990;**52**:987–94.  
Kusin JA, Kardjati S, Houtkooper JM, Renqvist UH. Energy supplementation during pregnancy and postnatal growth. *Lancet* 1992;**340**:623–6.

Van Steenberg WM, Kusin JA, Kardjati S, De With C. Energy supplementation in the last trimester of pregnancy in East Java, Indonesia: effect on breast-milk output. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1989;**50**:274–9.

**Mora 1978** {published data only}

Christiansen N, Mora JO, Navarro L, Herrera MG. Effects of nutritional supplementation during pregnancy upon birth weight: the influence of pre-supplementation on diet. *Nutrition Reports International* 1980;**21**:615–24.

Herrera MG, Mora JO, De Paredes B, Wagner M. Maternal weight/height and the effect of food supplementation during pregnancy and lactation. Maternal nutrition during pregnancy and lactation. A Nestlé Foundation workshop; 1979 April 26–27; Lausanne, Switzerland. Bern: Hans Huber, 1980:252–63.

Mora JO, Clement J, Christiansen N, Suescun J, Wagner M, Herrera MG. Nutritional supplementation and the outcome of pregnancy. III. Perinatal and neonatal mortality. *Nutrition Reports International* 1978;**18**:167–75.

\* Mora JO, De Navarro L, Clement J, Wagner M, De Paredes B, Herrera MG. The effect of nutritional supplementation on calorie and protein intake of pregnant women. *Nutrition Reports International* 1978;**17**:217–28.  
Mora JO, De Paredes B, Wagner M, De Navarro L, Suescun J, Christiansen N, et al. Nutritional supplementation and the outcome of pregnancy. I. Birth weight. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1979;**32**:455–62.

Mora JO, Herrera MG, Suescun J, De Navarro L, Wagner M. The effects of nutritional supplementation on physical growth of children at risk of malnutrition. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1981;**34**:1885–92.

Mora JO, Sanchez R, De Paredes B, Herrera MG. Sex related effects of nutritional supplementation during pregnancy on fetal growth. *Early Human Development* 1981;**5**:243–51.

Overholt C, Sellers SG, Mora JO, de Paredes B, Herrera MG. The effects of nutritional supplementation on the diets of low-income families at risk of malnutrition. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1982;**36**:1153–61.

Vuori L, Christiansen N, Clement J, Mora JO, Wagner M, Herrera MG. Nutritional supplementation and the outcome of pregnancy. II. Visual habituation at 15 days. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1979;**32**:463–9.

Vuori L, De Navarro L, Christiansen N, Mora JO, Herrera MG. Food supplementation of pregnant women at risk of malnutrition and their newborns' responsiveness to stimulation. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology* 1980;**22**:61–71.

Waber DP, Vuori-Christiansen L, Ortiz N, Clement JR, Christiansen NE, Mora JO, et al. Nutritional supplementation, maternal education, and cognitive development of infants at risk of malnutrition. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1981;**34**:807–13.

**Ross 1985** {published data only}

Ross SM, Nel E, Naeye RL. Differing effects of low and high bulk maternal dietary supplements during pregnancy. *Early Human Development* 1985;**10**:295–302.

**Rush 1980** {published and unpublished data}

Jacobson HN. A randomized controlled trial of prenatal nutritional supplementation. *Pediatrics* 1980;**65**:835–6.  
Pereira M, Rush D, Campbell-Brown M, Rosso P,

Winick M, Brasel JA, et al. Effects of prenatal nutritional supplementation on the placenta: report of a randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1982;**36**:229–34.

Rush D, Kristal A, Blanc W, Navarro C, Chauhan P, Campbell-Brown M, et al. The effects of maternal cigarette smoking on placental morphology, histomorphometry, and biochemistry. *American Journal of Perinatology* 1986;**3**:263–72.

Rush D, Kristal A, Navarro C, Chauhan P, Blanc W, Naeye R, et al. The effects of dietary supplementation during pregnancy on placental morphology, pathology, and histomorphometry. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1984;**39**:863–71.

Rush D, Stein Z, Susser M. The rationale for, and design of, a randomized controlled trial of nutritional supplementation in pregnancy. *Nutrition Reports International* 1973;**7**:547–53.

\* Rush D, Stein Z, Susser M. A randomized controlled trial of prenatal nutritional supplementation in New York City. *Pediatrics* 1980;**65**:683–97.

Rush D, Stein Z, Susser M. Controlled trial of prenatal nutrition supplementation defended. *Pediatrics* 1980;**66**:656–8.

Rush D, Stein Z, Susser M. Diet in pregnancy: a randomized controlled trial of nutritional supplements. *Birth Defects* 1980;**16**:1–187.

Stein Z, Susser M, Rush D. Prenatal nutrition and birth weight: experiments and quasi-experiments in the past decade. *Journal of Reproductive Medicine* 1978;**21**:287–97.

#### Sweeney 1985 {published data only}

Sweeney C, Smith H, Foster JC, Specht J, Kochenour NK, Prater BM. Effects of a nutrition intervention program during pregnancy: maternal data phases 1 and 2. *Journal of Nurse-Midwifery* 1985;**30**:149–58.

#### Viegas 1982a {published data only}

Viegas OAC, Scott PH, Cole TJ, Mansfield HN, Wharton P, Wharton BA. Dietary protein energy supplementation of pregnant Asian mothers at Sorrento, Birmingham. I. Unselective during second and third trimesters. *BMJ* 1982;**285**:589–92.

#### Viegas 1982b {published data only}

Viegas OAC, Scott PH, Cole TJ, Eaton P, Needham PG, Wharton BA. Dietary protein energy supplementation of pregnant Asian mothers at Sorrento, Birmingham. II. Selective during third trimester only. *BMJ* 1982;**285**:592–5.

### References to studies excluded from this review

#### Aaltonen 2005 {published data only}

\* Aaltonen J, Ojala T, Laitinen K, Isolauri E. Programming of infants systolic blood pressure by accelerated foetal growth during early pregnancy. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 2005;**40**(5):624.

Aaltonen J, Ojala T, Laitinen K, Pirainen TJ, Poussa TA, Isolauri E. Evidence of infant blood pressure programming by maternal nutrition during pregnancy: a prospective

randomized controlled intervention study. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2008;**152**:79–84.

Aaltonen J, Ojala T, Laitinen K, Poussa T, Ozanne S, Isolauri E. Impact of maternal diet during pregnancy and breastfeeding on infant metabolic programming: a prospective randomized controlled study. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2011;**65**(1):10–9.

Huurre A, Laitinen K, Rautava S, Korkeamaki M, Isolauri E. Impact of maternal atopy and probiotic supplementation during pregnancy on infant sensitization: a double-blind placebo-controlled study. *Clinical and Experimental Allergy* 2008;**38**(8):1342–8.

Isolauri E. The effects of maternal nutrition during pregnancy and breast feeding on the risk of allergic disease in child (NAMI). ClinicalTrials.gov (<http://clinicaltrials.gov/>) (accessed 6 Nov 2007).

Laitinen K, Poussa T, Isolauri E, Nutrition, Allergy, Mucosal Immunology and Intestinal Microbiota Group. Probiotics and dietary counselling contribute to glucose regulation during and after pregnancy: a randomised controlled trial. *British Journal of Nutrition* 2009;**101**:1679–87.

Luoto R, Laitinen K, Nermes M, Isolauri E. Impact of maternal probiotic-supplemented dietary counselling on pregnancy outcome and prenatal and postnatal growth: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *British Journal of Nutrition* 2010;**103**(12):1792–9.

Luoto R, Nermes M, Laitinen K, Isolauri E. Impact of maternal probiotic-supplemented dietary counselling on pregnancy outcome and prenatal and postnatal growth: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Pediatric Academic Societies Annual Meeting; 2009 May 2–5; Baltimore, USA. 2009.

Piirainen T, Isolauri E, Lagstrom H, Laitinen K. Impact of dietary counselling on nutrient intake during pregnancy: a prospective cohort study. *British Journal of Nutrition* 2006;**96**:1095–104.

#### Adams 1978 {published data only}

Adams SO, Barr GD, Huenemann RL. Effect of nutritional supplementation in pregnancy. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 1978;**72**:144–7.

#### Anderson 1995 {published data only}

Anderson AS, Campbell DM, Shepherd R. The influence of dietary advice on nutrient intake during pregnancy. *British Journal of Nutrition* 1995;**73**:163–77.

#### Atton 1990 {published data only}

\* Atton C, Watney PJM. Selective supplementation in pregnancy: effect on birth weight. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics* 1990;**3**:381–92.

Watney PJM, Atton C. Dietary supplementation in pregnancy. *British Medical Journal* 1986;**293**:1102.

#### Badrawi 1993 {published data only}

Badrawi H, Hassanein MK, Badraoui MHH, Wafa YA, Shawky HA, Badrawi N. Pregnancy outcome in obese

- pregnant mothers. *Journal of Perinatal Medicine* 1992;**20** (Suppl 1):203.
- \* Badrawi H, Hassanein MK, Badroui MHH, Wafa YA, Shawky HA, Badrawi N. Pregnancy outcome in obese pregnant mothers. *New Egypt Journal of Medicine* 1993;**8** (6):1717–26.
- Campbell 1975** *{published data only}*  
Blumenthal I. Diet and diuretics in pregnancy and subsequent growth of offspring. *British Medical Journal* 1976;**2**:733.
- \* Campbell DM, MacGillivray I. The effect of a low calorie diet or a thiazide diuretic on the incidence of pre-eclampsia and on birthweight. *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 1975;**82**:572–7.
- Campbell 1983** *{published data only}*  
Campbell DM. Dietary restriction in obesity and its effect on neonatal outcome. Nutrition in pregnancy. Proceedings of 10th Study Group of the RCOG; 1983; London, UK. London: RCOG, 1983:243–50.
- Campbell Brown 1983** *{published data only}*  
Campbell Brown M. Protein energy supplements in primigravid women at risk of low birthweight. In: Campbell DM, Gillmer MDG editor(s). *Nutrition in pregnancy. Proceedings of the 10th Study Group of the RCOG*. London: RCOG, 1983:85–98.
- Clapp 1997** *{published data only}*  
\* Clapp JF. Diet, exercise, and feto-placental growth. *Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics* 1997;**260**:101–8.
- Clapp JF. Effects of dietary carbohydrate on the glucose and insulin response to mixed caloric intake and exercise in both nonpregnant and pregnant women. *Diabetes Care* 1998;**21** (Suppl 2):B107–B112.
- Dirige 1987** *{published data only}*  
Dirige OV, McNutr SW, Hamatake CK, McGee RI, Manayan CA. The effect of nutrition education on the nutritional status of pregnant Filipino women in Hawaii. *Nutrition Research* 1987;**7**:197–209.
- Ebbs 1941** *{published data only}*  
Ebbs JH, Scott WA, Tisdall FF, Moyle WJ, Bell M. Nutrition in pregnancy. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 1942;**46**:1–6.
- \* Ebbs JH, Tisdall FF, Scott WA. The influence of prenatal diet on the mother and child. *Journal of Nutrition* 1941;**22**: 515–6.
- Eneroth 2010** *{published data only}*  
Eneroth H, El Arifeen S, Persson LA, Lonnerdal B, Hossain MB, Stephensen CB, et al. Maternal multiple micronutrient supplementation has limited impact on micronutrient status of Bangladeshi infants compared with standard iron and folic acid supplementation. *Journal of Nutrition* 2010;**140** (3):618–24.
- Fard 2004** *{published data only}*  
Fard NM, Mehrabian F, Sarraf-zadegan N, Sajadi F. Fat-modified diets during pregnancy and lactation and serum lipids after birth. *Indian Journal of Pediatrics* 2004;**71**: 683–7.
- Fung 2010** *{published data only}*  
Fung EB, Ritchie LD, Walker BH, Gildengorin G, Crawford PB. Randomized, controlled trial to examine the impact of providing yogurt to women enrolled in WIC. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior* 2010;**42**(3 Suppl):S22–29.
- Guelinckx 2010** *{published data only}*  
Guelinckx I, Devlieger R, Mullie P, Vansant G. Effect of lifestyle intervention on dietary habits, physical activity, and gestational weight gain in obese pregnant women: a randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2010;**91**(2):373–80.
- Hankin 1962** *{published data only}*  
Hankin ME, Symonds EM. Body weight, diet and pre-eclamptic toxemia of pregnancy. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 1962;**4**: 156–60.
- Iyengar 1967** *{published data only}*  
Iyengar L. Effects of dietary supplements late in pregnancy on the expectant mother and her newborn. *Indian Journal of Medical Research* 1967;**55**:85–9.
- Kaseb 2002** *{published data only}*  
Kaseb F, Kimiagar M, Ghafarpour M, Valaai N. Effect of traditional food supplementation during pregnancy on maternal weight gain and birthweight. *International Journal for Vitamin & Nutrition Research* 2002;**72**(6):389–93.
- Kinra 2008** *{published data only}*  
Kinra S, Rameshwar Sarma KV, Ghafoorunissa, Mendu VV, Ravikumar R, et al. Effect of integration of supplemental nutrition with public health programmes in pregnancy and early childhood on cardiovascular risk in rural Indian adolescents: long term follow-up of hyderabad nutrition trial. *BMJ* 2008;**337**:a605.
- Lechtig 1975** *{published data only}*  
Behrman JR, Calderon MC, Preston SH, Hodinott J, Martorell R, Stein AD. Nutritional supplementation in girls influences the growth of their children: prospective study in Guatemala. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2009;**90** (5):1372–9.
- Conlisk AJ, Barnhart HX, Martorell R, Grajeda R, Stein AD. Maternal and child nutritional supplementation are inversely associated with fasting plasma glucose concentration in young Guatemalan adults. *Journal of Nutrition* 2004;**134**(4):890–7.
- Delgado H, Martorell R, Brineman E, Klein RE. Nutrition and length of gestation. *Nutrition Research* 1982;**2**:117–26.
- Delgado HL, Martorell R, Klein RE. Nutrition, lactation, and birth interval components in rural Guatemala. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1982;**35**:1468–76.
- Hodinott J, Maluccio JA, Behrman JR, Flores R, Martorell R. Effect of a nutrition intervention during early childhood on economic productivity in Guatemalan adults. *Lancet* 2008;**371**(9610):411–6.
- \* Lechtig A, Habicht JP, Delgado H, Klein RE, Yarbrough C, Martorell R. Effect of food supplementation during

- pregnancy on birthweight. *Pediatrics* 1975;**56**:508–20.
- Lechtig A, Klein RE, Daza CH, Read MS, Kahn SG. Effects of maternal nutrition on infant health: implications for action. *Archivos Latinoamericanos de Nutricion* 1979;**29**: 1–26.
- Lechtig A, Yarbrough C, Delgado H, Martorell R, Klein RE, Behar M. Effect of moderate maternal malnutrition on the placenta. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1975;**123**:191–201.
- Merchant K, Martorell R, Haas J. Maternal and fetal responses to the stresses of lactation concurrent with pregnancy and of short recuperative intervals. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1990;**52**:280–8.
- Rasmussen KM, Habicht JP. Maternal supplementation differentially affects the mother and newborn. *Journal of Nutrition* 2010;**140**(2):402–6.
- Stein AD, Barnhart HX, Hickey M, Ramakrishnan U, Schroeder DG, Martorell R. Prospective study of protein-energy supplementation early in life and of growth in the subsequent generation in Guatemala. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2003;**78**:162–7.
- Stein AD, Wang M, Ramirez-Zea M, Flores R, Grajeda R, Melgar P, et al. Exposure to a nutrition supplementation intervention in early childhood and risk factors for cardiovascular disease in adulthood: evidence from Guatemala. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2006;**164**(12):1160–70.
- Webb AL, Conlisk AJ, Barnhart HX, Martorell R, Grajeda R, Stein AD. Maternal and childhood nutrition and later blood pressure levels in young Guatemalan adults. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2005;**34**(4):898–904.
- Luke 2001** *{published data only}*  
 Luke B, Misiunas R, Anderson E, Hediger M, Burpee B, Gogliotti S, et al. Prenatal program to improve neonatal and early childhood outcomes in twins [abstract]. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2001; Vol. 185, issue 6 Suppl:S105.
- Mardones 1988** *{published and unpublished data}*  
 Mardones-Santander F, Rosso P, Stekel A, Ahumada E, Llaguno S, Pizzaro F, et al. Effect of a milk-based food supplement on maternal nutritional status and fetal growth in underweight Chilean women. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1988;**47**:413–9.
- Metcoff 1985** *{published and unpublished data}*  
 Metcoff J, Costiloe P, Crosby WM, Dutta S, Sandstead H, Milne D, et al. Effects of WIC supplement on maternal nutritional status between 19 and 36 weeks pregnancy. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1983;**37**:703.
- Metcoff J, Costiloe P, Crosby WM, Dutta S, Sandstead H, Milne D, et al. Interaction between birth weight and smoking altered by WIC supplementation during pregnancy. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1983;**37**: 710.
- \* Metcoff J, Costiloe P, Crosby WM, Dutta S, Sandstead HH, Milne D, et al. Effect of food supplementation (WIC) during pregnancy on birth weight. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1985;**41**:933–47.
- Moses 2006** *{published data only}*  
 Moses RG, Barker M, Winter M, Petocz P, Brand-Miller JC. Can a low-glycemic index diet reduce the need for insulin in gestational diabetes mellitus? A randomized trial. *Diabetes Care* 2009;**32**(6):996–1000.
- Moses RG, Luebcke M, Davis WS, Coleman KJ, Tapsell LC, Petocz P, et al. Effect of a low-glycemic-index diet during pregnancy on obstetric outcomes. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2006;**84**(4):807–12.
- Moses RG, Luebcke M, Petocz P, Brand-Miller JC. Maternal diet and infant size 2 y after the completion of a study of a low-glycemic-index diet in pregnancy. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2007;**86**(6):1806.
- Qureshi 1973** *{published data only}*  
 Qureshi S, Rao NP, Madhavi V, Mathur YC, Reddi YR. Effect of maternal nutrition supplementation on the birth weight of the newborn. *Indian Journal of Pediatrics* 1973; **10**:541–4.
- Ross 1938** *{published data only}*  
 Ross RA, Perlzweig WA, Taylor HM, McBryde A, Yates A, Kondritzer AA, et al. A study of certain dietary factors of possible etiologic significance in toxemias of pregnancy. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1938;**35**: 426–40.
- Tompkins 1954** *{published data only}*  
 Kasius RV, Randall A, Tompkins WT, Wiehl DG. Maternal and newborn nutrition studies at Philadelphia Lying-In Hospital. Newborn studies I. Size and growth of babies and mothers receiving nutritional supplements. *Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly* 1955;**33**:230–45.
- \* Tompkins WT, Wiehl DG. Effect of nutrient supplements on obese patients during pregnancy. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 1954;**4**(4):365–74.
- Wiehl DG, Tompkins WT. Size of babies of obese mothers receiving nutrient supplements. *Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly* 1954;**32**:125–40.
- Tontisirin 1986** *{published data only}*  
 Tontisirin K, Booranasubkajorn U, Hongsumarn A, Thewtong D. Formulation and evaluation of supplementary foods for Thai pregnant women. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1986;**43**:931–9.
- Wolff 2008** *{published data only}*  
 Wolff S, Legarth J, Vangsgaard K, Toubro S, Astrup A. A randomized trial of the effects of dietary counseling on gestational weight gain and glucose metabolism in obese pregnant women. *International Journal of Obesity* 2008;**32**(3):495–501.
- Woods 1995** *{published data only}*  
 Woods LL, Gaboury CL. Importance of baseline diet in modulating renal reserve in pregnant women [abstract]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 1995;**6**(3):688.

## References to studies awaiting assessment

- Hawkesworth 2011** *{published data only}*  
 Hawkesworth S, Walker CG, Sawo Y, Fulford AJ, Jarjou LM, Goldberg GR, et al. Nutritional supplementation

during pregnancy and offspring cardiovascular disease risk in The Gambia. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2011;**94**(6 Suppl):1853S–60S.

**Kinra 2011** {published data only}

Kinra S, Sarma KV, Hards M, Smith GD, Ben-Shlomo Y. Is relative leg length a biomarker of childhood nutrition? Long-term follow-up of the Hyderabad Nutrition Trial. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2011;**40**(4):1022–9.

**Walsh 2012** {published data only}

Walsh J, Mahony R, Foley M, McAuliffe F. ROLO study: a randomized control trial of low glycemic index diet to prevent macrosomia in euglycemic women. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2012;**206**(Suppl 1):S4.

## References to ongoing studies

**Moore 2011** {published data only}

Moore S. Investigating the effects of pre-natal and infancy nutritional supplementation on infant immune development in The Gambia: the early nutrition and immune development (ENID) trial. *Current Clinical Trials* (<http://www.current-trials.com>) (accessed 8 July 2011) 2011.

## Additional references

**Aaltonen 2011**

Aaltonen J, Ojala T, Laitinen K, Poussa T, Ozanne S, Isolaari E. Impact of maternal diet during pregnancy and breastfeeding on infant metabolic programming: a prospective randomized controlled study. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2011;**65**(1):10–9.

**Ashworth 1998**

Ashworth A. Effects of intrauterine growth retardation on mortality and morbidity in infants and young children. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1998;**52**(1):S34–41.

**Barker 1998**

Barker DJP. *Mothers, Babies and Health in Later Life*. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 1998.

**Barker 2002**

Barker DJP, Eriksson JG, Forsén T, Osmond C. Fetal origins of adult disease: strength of effects and biological basis. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2002;**31**:1235–9.

**Behrman 2009**

Behrman JR, Calderon MC, Preston SH, Hoddinott J, Martorell R, Stein AD. Nutritional supplementation in girls influences the growth of their children: prospective study in Guatemala. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2009;**90**(5):1372–9.

**Chen 2009**

Chen A, Feresu S, Fernandez C, Rogan W. Maternal obesity and the risk of infant death in the United States. *Epidemiology* 2009;**20**:74–81.

**de Onis 1998**

de Onis M, Villar J, Gülmezoglu M. Nutritional intervention to prevent intrauterine growth retardation:

evidence from randomized controlled trials. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1998;**52**(1):S83–S93.

**Egger 1997**

Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997;**135**(7109):629–34.

**Eriksson 2001**

Eriksson JG, Forsén T, Tuomilehto J, Osmond C, Barker DJ. Early growth and coronary heart disease in later life: longitudinal study. *BMJ* 2001;**322**(7292):949–53.

**Garlick 2000**

Garlick PJ, Reeds PJ. Proteins. *Human Nutrition and Dietetics*. 10th Edition. UK: Churchill Livingstone, 2000: 77.

**Gates 2005**

Gates S. Methodological Guidelines. In: The Editorial Team. Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group. About The Cochrane Collaboration (Cochrane Review Groups (CRGs)) 2005, Issue 1.

**Grieve 1979**

Grieve JFK, Campbell Brown BM, Johnson FD. Dieting in pregnancy: a study of the effect of a high protein low carbohydrate diet on birthweight on an obstetric population. In: Sutherland MW, Stowers JM editor(s). *Carbohydrate Metabolism in Pregnancy and the Newborn 1978*. Berlin: Springer Verlag, 1979:518–33.

**Guyatt 2008**

Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Vist GE, Falck-Ytter Y, Schunemann HJ. GRADE Working Group. Rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations: What is “quality of evidence” and why is it important to clinicians?. *BMJ* 2008;**336**(7651):995–8.

**Harbord 2006**

Harbord RM, Egger M, Sterne JA. A modified test for small-study effects in meta-analyses of controlled trials with binary endpoints. *Statistics in Medicine* 2006;**25**(20): 3443–57.

**Haslehurst 2006**

Haslehurst N, Eells LJ, Simpson H, Batterham A, Wilkinson J, Summerbell CD. Trends in maternal obesity incidence rates, demographic predictors, and health inequalities in 36 821 women over a 15-year period. *An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 2006;**114**:187–94.

**Haslehurst 2008**

Haslehurst N, Simpson H, Eells LJ, Rankin J, Wilkinson J, Lang R, et al. The impact of maternal BMI status on pregnancy outcomes with immediate short-term obstetric resource implications: a meta-analysis. *Obesity Reviews* 2008;**9**:635–83.

**Higgins 2011**

Higgins JPT, Green S, editors. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0* [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from [www.cochrane-handbook.org](http://www.cochrane-handbook.org).

**Imdad 2011**

Imdad A, Yakoob MY, Bhutta ZA. The effect of folic acid, protein energy and multiple micronutrient supplements in pregnancy on stillbirths. *BMC Public Health* 2011;**11** (Suppl3):S4.

**IOM 1990**

Institute of Medicine. *Nutrition in Pregnancy*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1990:137–75.

**Kardjati 1983**

Kardjati S, Kusin JA, de With C. Food consumption of rural women in East Java. *Nutrition Reports International* 1983;**28**:1341–9.

**Kramer 1987**

Kramer MS. Determinants of low birth weight: methodological assessment and meta-analysis. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 1987;**65**:663–737.

**Kulier 1998**

Kulier R, de Onis M, Gülmezoglu AM, Villar J. Nutritional interventions for the prevention of maternal morbidity. *International Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics* 1998;**63**(3):231–46.

**Laitinen 2009**

Laitinen K, Poussa T, Isolauri E, Nutrition, Allergy, Mucosal Immunology and Intestinal Microbiota Group. Probiotics and dietary counselling contribute to glucose regulation during and after pregnancy: a randomised controlled trial. *British Journal of Nutrition* 2009;**101**:1679–87.

**Luoto 2010**

Luoto R, Laitinen K, Nermes M, Isolauri E. Impact of maternal probiotic-supplemented dietary counselling on pregnancy outcome and prenatal and postnatal growth: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *British Journal of Nutrition* 2010;**103**(12):1792–9.

**Onis 2007**

de Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E, Siyam A, Nishida C, Siekmann J. Development of a WHO growth reference for school-aged children and adolescents. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 2007;**85**(9):660–7.

**Ota 2011**

Ota E, Haruna M, Suzuki M, Anh DD, Tho HL, Thanh Tam NT, et al. The association of gestational weight gain and maternal body mass index on perinatal outcomes in Vietnamese women. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 2011;**89**(2):127–36.

**Prentice 1983**

Prentice AM, Whitehead RG, Watkinson M, Lamb WH, Cole TJ. Prenatal dietary supplementation of African women and birth weight. *Lancet* 1983;**321**(8323):489–92.

**Rasmussen 2010**

Rasmussen KM, Habicht JP. Maternal supplementation differentially affects the mother and newborn. *Journal of Nutrition* 2010;**140**(2):402–6.

**RevMan 2003**

The Cochrane Collaboration. Review Manager (RevMan). 4.2 for Windows. Oxford, England: The Cochrane Collaboration, 2003.

**RevMan 2011**

The Cochrane Collaboration. Review Manager (RevMan). 5.1. Copenhagen, The Nordic Cochrane Centre: The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011.

**Rush 1989**

Rush D. Effects of changes in protein and calorie intake during pregnancy on the growth of the human fetus. In: Chalmers I, Enkin MW, Keirse MJNC editor(s). *Effective Care in Pregnancy and Childbirth*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1989:255–80.

**Rush 2001**

Rush D. Maternal nutrition and perinatal survival. *Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition* 2001;**19** Suppl: S217–S264.

**Shah 2009**

Shah PS, Ohlsson A, Knowledge Synthesis Group on Determinants of Low Birth Weight and Preterm Births. Effects of prenatal multimicronutrient supplementation on pregnancy outcomes: a meta-analysis. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 2009;**180**(21):E99–E108.

**Stein 1975**

Stein Z, Susser M, Saenger G, Marolla F. *Famine and Human Development: the Dutch Hunger Winter of 1944–45*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1975.

**Thangaratinam 2012**

Thangaratinam S, Rogozin ska E, Jolly K, Glinkowski S, Roseboom T, Tomlinson JW, et al. Effects of interventions in pregnancy on maternal weight and obstetric outcomes: meta-analysis of randomised evidence. *BMJ* 2012;**344**: e2088.

**Unicef-WHO 2004**

United Nations Children's Fund and World Health Organization. Low Birth Weight; Country and Global Estimates. *Low Birth Weight; Country and Global Estimates*. New York: UNICEF, 2004.

**Viller 1998**

Villar J, Gülmezoglu AM, de Onis M. Nutritional and antimicrobial interventions to prevent preterm birth: an overview of randomized controlled trials. *Obstetrical & Gynecological Survey* 1998;**53**(9):575–85.

**Viswanathan 2008**

Viswanathan M, Siega-Riz AM, Moos MK, Deierlein A, Mumford S, Knaack J, et al. Outcomes of maternal weight gain. *Evidence Report/Technology Assessment* 2008;**168**: 1–223.

**Wang 2011**

Wang TC, McPherson K, Marsh T, Gortmaker SL, Borown M. Health and economic burden of the projected obesity trends in the USA and the UK. *Lancet* 2011;**378**:815–25.

**References to other published versions of this review**



**CDSR 1996a**

Kramer MS. Energy/protein restriction for high weight-for-height or weight gain during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 1996, Issue 3. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000080]

**CDSR 1996b**

Kramer MS. High protein supplementation in pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 1996, Issue 4. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000105]

**CDSR 1996c**

Kramer MS. Isocaloric balanced protein supplementation in

pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 1996, Issue 4. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000118]

**CDSR 1996d**

Kramer MS. Nutritional advice in pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 1996, Issue 4. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000149]

**Kramer 2003**

Kramer MS, Kakuma R. Energy and protein intake in pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2003, Issue 4. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000032]

\* Indicates the major publication for the study

## CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

### Characteristics of included studies [ordered by study ID]

#### Blackwell 1973

Methods	Interventions 'assigned randomly and blindly', but method not specified
Participants	Well-nourished rural Taiwanese women with 'marginal' diets (estimated daily energy intake is approximately 2000 kcal and protein intake $\leq$ 40 g for adult women in this area from preliminary food survey in 1965)
Interventions	Experimental: chocolate-flavoured liquid supplement given twice daily beginning after prior birth and continuing during index pregnancy; supplement contained 40 g protein and 800 kcal energy plus vitamins/minerals. Control: supplement containing vitamins and minerals only, but given at same times and for same duration
Outcomes	Gestational weight gain, preterm birth, birthweight, small-for-gestational age, length, head circumference, and IQ at age 5
Notes	1) Data presented on dietary substitution, but based on meal survey only. 2) High alleged net energy supplement not associated with significantly higher gestational weight gain. 3) Discrepancies in first-infant LBW rates in 1981 vs 1973 reports. 4) Significant correlation between birthweight and energy (and supplement) intake in controls only. 5) Supplementation continued until 15 months postpartum; data on maternal postpartum weight therefore, omitted from review

#### *Risk of bias*

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Although details are not described, it says the study participants were randomly assigned
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Insufficient information, the method of concealment is not described
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	The 2 supplements were similar and no women were considered to be able to distinguish them
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	506 out of 524 (96.5%) were with complete data for the analysis and this was not ITT

Blackwell 1973 (Continued)

Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	No description on this. Not mentioned on registered protocol
Other bias	Unclear risk	No data were provided for background characteristics.

**Briley 2002**

Methods	Randomisation method not reported.
Participants	27 low-income African-American women. Mean pre-pregnancy body mass index is within the normal range for both groups (intervention, 24.7±3.4, control, 23.2 ±4.1 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )
Interventions	Experimental: minimum of 6 individualised in-home nutrition assessment and counselling visits. Control: 2 home visits without counselling.
Outcomes	Energy intake, gestational weight gain, birthweight, and preterm birth
Notes	1) 7 of 27 randomised women dropped out and not included in analysis. 2) Neither participants nor observers apparently blind to allocation

*Risk of bias*

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Women were randomly assigned, though no detailed methods on randomisation were described
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Insufficient information, the method of concealment is not described
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Counselling group is evident and interventions could not be blinded
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	7 women dropped out of 27 women (74.1%) and no ITT.
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	Uncertain, as if the protocol was registered, etc. was not described
Other bias	Low risk	There was no significant difference in demographic background between the groups

Methods	Cluster randomisation by village “using a stratified design according to village size”, but no details provided on method of random allocation or concealment
Participants	Rural Gambian women from 28 villages with “chronically” marginal nutrition. Under-nutrition more pronounced from June to October (the ‘hungry’ season involving low food supply and heavy agricultural work) than from November to May (the dry harvest season with adequate food supply and less strenuous work). The mean maternal body mass index measured after delivery was $20.7 \pm 2.3 \text{ kg/m}^2$ in the control group and $21.3 \pm 2.8 \text{ kg/m}^2$ in the intervention group.
Interventions	Experimental villages: 2 supplement biscuits containing roasted groundnuts, rice flour, sugar, and groundnut oil (4250 kJ (1017 kcal) energy, 22 g protein, 56 g fat, 47 mg calcium, and 1.8 mg iron) consumed daily in presence of birth attendants. Supplementation began at 20 weeks’ gestation. Control villages: no supplement.
Outcomes	Gestational weight gain, GA, birthweight, birth length, head circumference, stillbirth, and neonatal death
Notes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Randomisation by cluster (village), but effects reported for individual births, based on multilevel (3-stage random-effects) modelling with separate error terms for village, mother, and (for mothers with more than 1 pregnancy during study) baby.</li> <li>2) Results reported both overall and stratified by season (hungry vs harvest), but this review based on overall data. Note that definitions of seasons are not entirely consistent with previous (non-randomised) studies from this group and were chosen because ‘post hoc analysis indicated that this selection yielded the greatest discrimination between hungry and harvest season effects’.</li> <li>3) Many outcome analyses are based on individual women and therefore, do not account for the intra-class correlation among women living in the same village. Sample sizes in these outcomes have therefore, been adjusted downward to the nearest integer by dividing by <math>1+(m-1)r</math>, where <math>m</math> is the average number of women per village and <math>r = 0.01</math> is the (assumed) intra-class correlation co-efficient</li> <li>4) Data on LBW used in analysis of infant born small-for-gestational age.</li> <li>5) Number of intervention and control participants reversed in column headings of Table 5</li> </ol>

**Risk of bias**

Bias	Authors’ judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Villages were randomly assigned, but no details provided on method of random allocation
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Insufficient information, the method of allocation concealment is not described
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	The supplement biscuits provided in intervention group only. Intervention was evi-

		dent
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Over 95% agreed and remained in the trial throughout, The analysis presented here covers 2047 normal singleton live births from 1460 different women who delivered during October 1989 to October 1994
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	Not clear if the protocol was registered prior to the study.
Other bias	Low risk	Similar between the groups and multilevel multiple regression was employed

**Elwood 1981**

Methods	Randomisation based on random numbers with sealed envelopes.
Participants	1251 pregnant Welsh women in 2 small towns recruited at time of first reporting of pregnancy in South Wales, UK. No information available for pregnant women's pre-pregnancy BMI
Interventions	Experimental: free tokens worth ½ pint milk each. Control: no intervention.
Outcomes	GA, preterm birth, birthweight, LBW, length, and head circumference
Notes	1) 24% of women lost to follow-up, with evidence of higher losses in control group. 2) No adjustment for higher percentage of smokers in control group. 3) Trial also includes postnatal milk supplement (tokens) in children; all data on postnatal growth in children therefore, omitted from review

**Risk of bias**

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomisation based on random numbers with sealed envelopes.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomisation based on random numbers with sealed envelopes.
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Allocation was evident.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	212 were loss of follow-up. 82% were analysed.

Elwood 1981 (Continued)

Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	Not enough information was provided.
Other bias	Unclear risk	Not enough information was provided.

**Girija 1984**

Methods	Randomly allocated.
Participants	20 poor Indian women in last trimester. Pregnant women's weight at last trimester was approximately 47 kg in both intervention group and control group
Interventions	Experimental: supplement containing 50 g sesame cake, 40 g jaggery, and 10 g oil (417 kcal energy and 30 g protein). Control: normal (unsupplemented) diet.
Outcomes	Gestational weight gain, birthweight, length, head circumference, breast milk output, and weight, length, and head circumference, through 3 months of age
Notes	1) Large losses to follow-up for breast milk output. 2) No SDs reported on postnatal anthropometric outcomes, so data not included in review. 3) No data reported on compliance or dietary substitution. 4) Energy and protein intakes appear higher before supplementation, even in supplemented group. 5) Mean GA (between 36 and 37 weeks in both groups) is incompatible with reported rates of preterm birth (0 of 10 in both groups), so data on preterm birth are omitted from review

**Risk of bias**

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	The participants were randomly assigned though no other details were provided
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Insufficient information, the method of concealment is not described
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	The allocation was evident.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	No information was given.
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	No enough information was given.

Girija 1984 (Continued)

Other bias	Unclear risk	No description on demographic characteristics and others.
------------	--------------	---

**Hunt 1976**

Methods	Method of randomisation not reported.	
Participants	344 Spanish-speaking women with first prenatal clinic visit $\leq$ 21 weeks' gestation in Los Angeles. Pre-pregnancy self-report weight for intervention group was $127 \pm 19$ lb, and control group was $126 \pm 23$ lb	
Interventions	Experimental: nutrition classes (average of 3 per woman). Control: no classes	
Outcomes	Protein and energy intakes; no data on gestational weight gain or pregnancy outcome	
Notes	1) 65 women excluded or lost (not interviewed) post-randomisation. 2) Possible 'contamination' via contact between women in 2 groups. 3) No blinding.	

*Risk of bias*

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	The women were randomly assigned to a control or treatment group. Method of randomisation not reported
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Insufficient information, the method of concealment is not described
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	No blinding, possible 'Contamination' via contact between women in the groups
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	279 (81%) women were followed. Reasons for missing outcome data balanced in numbers across groups
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	No protocol, insufficient information to permit judgement.
Other bias	Unclear risk	Insufficient information to assess whether an important risk of bias exist

**Huybregts 2009**

Methods	A non-blinded, individually randomised controlled trial. A randomisation scheme was generated by a computer program in permuted blocks of 4. Randomisation numbers were sealed in opaque envelopes by administrative staff
Participants	1296 Pregnant women in 2 villages In rural Burkina Faso. BMI at entry of the trial for intervention group was $20.8 \pm 2.2$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> , and control group was $21.0 \pm 2.2$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> .
Interventions	Intervention: prenatal multiple micronutrient (MMN) + fortified food supplement (FFS) Control: MMN
Outcomes	Anthropometric measures at birth, LBW, infant born small-for-gestational age, LGA, GA, preterm
Notes	

**Risk of bias**

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	A randomisation scheme was generated by a computer program in permuted blocks of 4. Randomisation numbers were sealed in opaque envelopes by administrative staff
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes.
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No blinding but care was taken to blind staff who performed the anthropometric measurements at delivery; measurement bias was therefore unlikely
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Analysis for 87% of the 1175 live singleton deliveries enrolled
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	The trial was registered at clinical trials.gov as NCT00909974
Other bias	Low risk	The compliance was closely verified by using a community-based network of home visitors



**Kafatos 1989**

Methods	Randomisation of 20 clinics using computer-generated random numbers
Participants	568 pregnant women in rural area in Northern Greece < 27 weeks' gestation. Initial BMI was $23.10 \pm 0.2$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> in intervention group, and $22.7 \pm 0.2$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> in control group.
Interventions	Experimental: nutrition counselling to improve 'quality' of diet ('high nutrient value'). Control: no counselling
Outcomes	Energy and protein intake, serum vitamin and mineral levels, gestational weight gain, birthweight, birth length and head circumference, GA, LBW, infant born small-for-gestational age, preterm birth, stillbirth, and neonatal death
Notes	<p>1) Analysis based on individual women, rather than clinic. To account for the intra-class correlation among women attending the same clinic, sample sizes have been adjusted downward to the nearest integer by dividing by <math>1+(m-1)r</math>, where <math>m</math> is the average number of women per clinic (30.0 intervention and 26.8 control) and <math>r = 0.01</math> is the (assumed) intra-class correlation.</p> <p>2) Dietary intake unblinded, and energy intake higher in experimental group prior to intervention.</p> <p>3) Inconsistent results: lower preterm rate, yet no difference in mean GA; higher head and chest circumferences but no difference in birthweight.</p> <p>4) Discrepancies in sample sizes for different outcomes, even birthweight vs LBW rate.</p> <p>5) SEM of GA in intervention (experimental) group assumed to be 0.10, not the 0.01 shown in Table 3</p>

**Risk of bias**

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	A cluster randomisation of 20 clinics using computer-generated random numbers
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomisation by clinic using computer-generated random numbers, clinic enrolled all women to minimise selection bias for allocation concealment
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No blinding but the possible contamination effects of the educational program in that women from the same village or neighbourhood attending the same clinic would be enrolled in the same group
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	For dietary records, Intervention group 216 (86.7%), control group 180(94.2%) were followed up after allocation
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	Insufficient information to permit judgement.

Kafatos 1989 (Continued)

Other bias	High risk	Energy intake higher in experimental group prior to intervention
------------	-----------	--

**Kardjati 1988**

Methods	“Blind” randomisation based on household numbers, with use of random-numbers table	
Participants	747 women in 3 villages in rural East Java (an area known to be ‘nutritionally vulnerable’ (Kardjati 1983) at 26-28 weeks’ gestation. Total mean $\pm$ SD pre-pregnant BMI was 18.7 $\pm$ 2.0 kg/m <sup>2</sup> .	
Interventions	Experimental: supplement containing a dry powder (50% fat, 10% casein, and 40% glucose) providing 465 kcal energy and 7.1 g protein (‘high energy’). Control: supplement containing 52 kcal energy and 6.2 g protein (‘low energy’)	
Outcomes	Gestational weight gain, birthweight, and breast milk output	
Notes	<p>1) Although data on birthweight were not analysed according to ITT, they are included in this review because birthweight was similar in the 2 study groups and in non-compliers (both groups combined).</p> <p>2) Data on gestational weight gain are based on the combined results in all 3 compliance strata but are missing for approximately one-third of study participants.</p> <p>3) Data on breast milk output based on a selection of 50% of ‘randomly’-selected study participants (only 10% of total study sample). Data excluded on 16 ‘uncooperative’ or ‘repeatedly absent’ participants.</p> <p>4) Data on postnatal infant growth reported in Kusin 1992 have been excluded from review, because poor compliers were excluded from the analysis (i.e., not based on ITT)</p>	

*Risk of bias*

Bias	Authors’ judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	The household numbers were the basis for allocation using random number tables
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Using random number tables.
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	While the study was not blind, the randomisation was, since the characteristics of the pregnant women cannot be inferred from the household numbers
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Birthweight was recorded in 419 liveborn singletons (87%). Gestational weight gain is missing for approximately one-third of study participants

Kardjati 1988 (Continued)

Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	Protocol not available.
Other bias	High risk	The absence of a difference in mean birth-weight between the HE and LE groups as a whole may be attributed to a masking effect of the better home diet in the experimental period

**Mora 1978**

Methods	Allocation method not reported.
Participants	456 poor first-or second-trimester women from Bogota slum for whom at least 50% of previous children had weight-for-height < 85% of Colombian standard. No information about maternal anthropometry (weight or BMI) provided
Interventions	Experimental: supplement containing 60 g dried skim milk, 150 g enriched bread, and 20 g vegetable oil (856 kcal energy and 38.4 g protein) beginning in third trimester. Control: normal (unsupplemented) diet.
Outcomes	Pre-eclampsia, GA, preterm birth, birthweight, LBW, stillbirth, perinatal mortality, neonatal mortality
Notes	1) Compliance assessed but data not presented. 2) Substitution assessed by single 24-hour recall 8 weeks after starting supplement. 3) Preterm birth rate not increased, but higher mortality reported among those born preterm. 4) Data on term LBW used in analysis of infant born small-for-gestational age

**Risk of bias**

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Randomly assigned either to a supplemented or an unsupplemented group
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Allocation method not reported.
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	No blinding.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Supplemented group (186/226, 82.3%), unsupplemented group(173/230, 75.2%) were followed. Total sample and subsample in table2 showed no significant difference in characteristics

Mora 1978 (Continued)

Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	No protocol available, insufficient information to judge this
Other bias	High risk	Compliance not mentioned.

**Ross 1985**

Methods	Allocation method not reported.
Participants	127 Black South African women < 20 weeks' gestation. Study women averaged > 70 kg at 20 weeks
Interventions	Experimental: supplement containing 700-800 kcal energy and 36-44 g protein. 2 types of supplements were given: a high-bulk mixture of beans and maize, given as mush with added vitamins, and a low-bulk porridge containing dried skimmed milk, maize, flour, vitamins, and minerals; the high- and low-bulk groups are combined in the experimental group for this review. Control: placebo pills (zinc-supplemented group is excluded from review)
Outcomes	Gestational weight gain (after 20 weeks), GA, and birthweight
Notes	1) Higher gestational weight gain in control group argues against causal association with birthweight. 2) No data presented on compliance or substitution. 3) Number of women originally randomised not reported ('90% continued ... to delivery'). 4) Original sample size not given nor its justification.

**Risk of bias**

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	127 Zuru women were randomly assigned to 4 groups.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Allocation method not reported.
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	No blinding.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Number of women originally randomised not reported, or no missing case
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	Protocol is not available.
Other bias	High risk	No data presented on compliance or substitution.