Royal North Shore Hospital, St Leonards NSW 2065 Australia. N Dahodwala was supported by NIH grant K23 AG034236 and the Parkinson Council while working on this project. L Degenhardt is supported by an Australian NHMRC Senior Research Fellowship and funding to support her work for illicit drug dependence was provided by the Australian National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre of the University of New South Wales, Australia. R Dellavalle was supported by the US Department of Veterans Affairs while contributing to this study. S Derrett acknowledges the Health Research Council of New Zealand and the University of Otago for their support. V Feigin and R Krishnamurthi were supported by the National Institute for Stroke and Applied Neurosciences, AUT University. E Fevre acknowledges the Wellcome Trust for grant 085308. W Hall was supported by an NHMRC Australia Fellowship. R Havmoeller was supported by a grant from the Swedish Research Council (#2011-1071). D Hoy was supported by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council. K H Jacobsen was supported by the World Health Organization for her work on hepatitis A. N Kawakami notes that the collection of data ultimately used in this study was supported by the following grants: The World Mental Health Japan (WMH-J) is supported by the Grant for Research on Psychiatric and Neurological Diseases and Mental Health (H13-SHOGAI-023, H14-TOKUBETSU-026, H16-KOKORO-013) from the Japan Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare. He would like to thank staff members, field coordinators, and interviewers of the WMH Japan 2002-2004 Survey. L L Laslett is supported by an Australian Government Australian Postgraduate Award. She also notes that the TasOAC study, the results of which were used in this research, was supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia; Arthritis Foundation of Australia; Tasmanian Community Fund; Masonic Centenary Medical Research Foundation, Royal Hobart Hospital Research Foundation, and University of Tasmania Institutional Research Grants Scheme. R Malekzadeh received funding from a research grant of Tehran University of Medical Sciences to do the related studies. R Matzopoulos acknowledges the two institutions that support his research work: South African Medical Research Council Burden of Disease Research Unit; and the University of Cape Town School of Public Health and Family Medicine. T Merriman acknowledges the Health Research Council of New Zealand. K Naidoo was supported by the Brien Holden Vision Institute. P Nelson was supported by the National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre (UNSW, Australia). R G Nelson acknowledges his research was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. C Olives was funded in part by the Biostatistics, Epidemiologic and Bioinformatic Training in Environmental Health Training Grant (ES015459). D Ozgediz acknowledges the staff and collaborators at the Mulago Hospital and Makerere University in Kampala, Uganda. K Pesudovs received institutional support from Flinders University. R Room's position at the University of Melbourne and Turning Point Alcohol and Drug Centre is funded by the Foundation for Alcohol Research and Education and the Victorian Department of Health. J A Salomon received support from the Burke Global Health Fellowship while working on this study. U Sampson received funding support from the Harold Amos Medical Faculty Development Award of the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and the Vanderbilt Clinical and Translational Scholars Award. L Sanchez-Riera acknowledges the Spanish Society of Rheumatology (Sociedad Española de Reumatología). M Segui-Gomez's participation was partly supported by funds from the European Center for Injury Prevention, Universidad de Navarra. E Smith acknowledges the Department of Health and Ageing, Commonwealth Government of Australia, Institute of Bone and Joint Research (IBJR), University of Sydney (USYD). G D Thurston was supported in part by Center grant ES00260 from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. D J Weatherall was supported by the Wellcome Trust UK, the Medical Research Council UK and the Anthony Cerami and Ann Dunne Research Trust.

References

- Murray CJ, Salomon JA, Mathers CD, Lopez AD. Summary measures of population health: concepts, ethics, measurement and applications. Geneva: WHO, 2002.
- Mathers CD, Sadana R, Salomon JA, Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Healthy life expectancy in 191 countries, 1999. *Lancet* 2001; 357: 1685–91.

- 3 Salomon JA, Vos T, Hogan DR, et al. Common values in assessing health outcomes from disease and injury: disability weights measurement study for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012; 380: 2129–43.
- 4 Chamie M. What does morbidity have to do with disability? Disabil Rehabil 1995; 17: 323–37.
- 5 Grosse SD, Lollar DJ, Campbell VA, Chamie M. Disability and disability-adjusted life years: not the same. *Public Health Rep* 2009; 124: 197–202.
- 6 Leonardi M, Bickenbach J, Ustun TB, Kostanjsek N, Chatterji S, and the MHADIE Consortium. The definition of disability: what is in a name? *Lancet* 2006; 368: 1219–21.
- 7 Thomas C. How is disability understood? An examination of sociological approaches. Disabil Soc 2004; 19: 569–83.
- 8 Murray C, Evans D. Quantifying individual levels of health: definitions, concepts, and measurement issues. In: Health systems performance assessment: debates, methods and empiricism. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2003: 301–18.
- 9 Murray CJ, Lopez AD. The Global Burden of Disease: a comprehensive assessment of mortality and disability from diseases, injuries, and risk factors in 1990 and projected to 2020. Boston: Harvard School of Public Health on behalf of the World Health Organization and the World Bank, 1996.
- Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Global Health Statistics: A compendium of incidence, prevalence and mortality estimates for over 200 conditions. Boston: Harvard School of Public Health on behalf of the World Health Organization and the World Bank, 1996.
- Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Mortality by cause for eight regions of the world: Global Burden of Disease study. Lancet 1997; 349: 1269–76.
- Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Regional patterns of disability-free life expectancy and disability-adjusted life expectancy: Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet* 1997; 349: 1347–52.
- 13 Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Global mortality, disability, and the contribution of risk factors: Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet* 1997: 349: 1436–42.
- 14 Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Alternative projections of mortality and disability by cause 1990-2020: Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet* 1997; 349: 1498–504.
- 15 World Health Organization. The world health report 1999 making a difference. http://www.who.int/whr/1999/en/whr99_ en.pdf (accessed July 9, 2012).
- 16 World Health Organization. The world health report 2000 health systems: improving performance. http://www.who.int/ whr/2000/en/whr00_en.pdf (accessed July 9, 2012).
- 17 World Health Organization. The world health report 2001—mental health: new understanding, new hope. http://www.who.int/ whr/2001/en/whr01_en.pdf (accessed June 25, 2012).
- 18 World Health Organization. The world health report 2002 reducing risks, promoting healthy life. http://www.who.int/ whr/2002/en/whr02_en.pdf (accessed July 9, 2012).
- 19 World Health Organization. The world health report 2004 changing history http://www.who.int/whr/2002/en/whr02_en.pdf (accessed July 9, 2012).
- 20 Lopez AD, Ahmad OB, Guillot M, et al. World mortality in 2000: life tables for 191 countries. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2002.
- 21 World Health Organization. Regional burden of disease estimates for 2004. http://www.who.int/healthinfo/global_burden_disease/ estimates_regional/en/index.html (accessed July 5, 2012).
- 22 World Bank. World Development Report 1993. Investing in health: world development indicators. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1993.
- 23 Mathers CD, Vos ET, Stevenson CE, Begg SJ. The burden of disease and injury in Australia. Bull World Health Organ 2001; 79: 1076–84.
- 24 Mathers CD, Vos ET, Stevenson CE, Begg SJ. The Australian Burden of Disease Study: measuring the loss of health from diseases, injuries and risk factors. Med J Aust 2000; 172: 592–96.
- 25 Begg SJ, Vos T, Barker B, Stanley L, Lopez AD. The burden of disease and injury in Australia in the new millennium: measuring health loss from diseases, injuries and risk factors. Med J Aust 2008; 188: 36–40.
- 26 Gadelha AMJ, Leite I da C, Valente JG, Schramm JM de A, Portela MC, Campos MR. Projeto Carga de Doenca: relatorio final do projeto—estimativa da carga de doenca do Brasilù, 1998. http:// www.scribd.com/doc/2350704/Projeto-Carga-de-Doenca-Fiocruz (accessed June 26, 2012).

- 27 Concha Barrientos M, Aguilera Sanhueza X, Salas Vergara J. Estudio de Carga de Enfermedad, Informe final. Estudio Prioridades de Inversión en Salud. República de Chile: Ministerio de Salud, 1996.
- 28 Ministerio de Salud. Estudio de carga de enfermedad y carga atribuible, Chile. Informe Final. Chile: Ministerio de Salud, 2007.
- 29 Escobar M, Gallardo H, Giraldo G, Londoño J, Rodríguez J. La carga de la enfermedad en Colombia. Santa Fé: Ministerio de Salud de Bogotá, 1994.
- 30 Rodríguez J, Gallardo H. Carga Global de Enfermedad. Colombia, 1985–1995. República de Colombia: Ministerio de Salud, Imprenta Nacional, 2000.
- 31 Rodríguez J, Peñaloza E, Acosta N. Carga de Enfermedad Colombia. Resultados alcanzados. Bogotá: Pontifica Universidad Javeriana. Centro de Proyectos para el Desarrollo, 2008.
- 32 Ministerio de Salud de Costa Rica. Medición de la carga de enfermedad en Costa Rica, 2005. En: Programa de Desarrollo del Sector Salud. Componente Fortalecimiento Institucional del Ministerio de Salud. Costa Rica: Ministerio de Salud, 2008.
- 33 Seuc AH, Domínguez E. Evolution of disease mortality burden in Cuba: 1990-2005. Cad Saude Publica 2010; 26: 615–23.
- 34 Lozada P, Aguinaga L, Páez R, Olmedo C, Pozo A. El Peso de la Enfermedad en el Ecuador. Quito: Partnerships for Health Reform, 1999.
- 35 Fouad D, El-Essawy OM. Egypt disability-adjusted life years and life expectation models and human development. EcoMod2007 Conference, 2007. http://www.ecomod.net/sites/default/files/ document-conference/ecomod2007/18.doc (accessed July 27, 2012).
- 36 Lai T, Habicht J, Kiivet R-A. Measuring burden of disease in Estonia to support public health policy. Eur J Public Health 2009; 19: 541–47.
- 37 Highlights on health in Estonia 2005. Estonia, WHO Country Office: World Health Organization, 2006.
- 38 Lapostolle A, Lefranc A, Gremy I, Spira A. Sensitivity analysis in summary measure of population health in France. Eur J Public Health 2008; 18: 195–200.
- 39 Hyder AA, Rotllant G, Morrow RH. Measuring the burden of disease: healthy life-years. Am J Public Health 1998; 88: 196–202.
- 40 NCMH Background Papers—Burden of Disease in India. New Delhi: Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, 2005.
- 41 Kosen S, Sidharta Y. Estimation of national burden of disease in Indonesia: a challenge of epidemiological transition. Jakarta: Health Services Research and Development Center, National Institute of Health Research and Development, Ministry of Health, 1998.
- 42 Mariotti S, D'Errigo P, Mastroeni S, Freeman K. Years of life lost due to premature mortality in Italy. Eur J Epidemiol 2003; 18: 513–21.
- 43 Ikeda S, Tabata K. Estimation of disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) in Japan using a simplified method. J Health Care Soc 1998; 8: 83–99.
- 44 Fukuda Y, Hasegawa T, Yatsuya H, Tabata K. Disease burden and disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) in Japan. J Health Welfare Stat 1999; 46: 28–33.
- 45 Yoon S-J, Bae S-C, Lee S-I, et al. Measuring the burden of disease in Korea. J Korean Med Sci 2007; 22: 518–23.
- 46 Oh IH, Yoon SJ, Kim EJ. The burden of disease in Korea. J Korean Med Assoc 2011; 54: 646–52.
- 47 Bowie C. The burden of disease in Malawi. Malawi Med J 2007; 18: 104–10.
- 48 Yusoff AF, Mustafa AN, Kaur GK, et al. Malaysian Burden of Disease and Injury Study. http://espace.uq.edu.au/view/UQ:172329 (accessed June 26, 2012).
- 49 Stevens G, Dias RH, Thomas KJA, et al. Characterizing the epidemiological transition in Mexico: national and subnational burden of diseases, injuries, and risk factors. PLoS Med 2008; 5: e125.
- 50 Lozano R, Gómez-Dantés H, Franco M, Rodríguez A. Carga de la enfermedad en municipios urbanos marginados, México 2004–2008, BID, Observatorio de la Salud para América Latina y el Caribe. México: Funsalud, 2009.
- 51 Lozano R. El peso de la enfermedad en México: avances y desafíos. In: Observatorio de la Salud. Necesidades, servicios y políticas. México: Funsalud, 1997: 23–61.
- 52 Lozano R, Murray CJL, Frenk J, Bobadilla J-L. Burden of disease assessment and health system reform: results of a study in Mexico. J Int Dev 1995; 7: 555–63.

- 53 Dgedge M, Novoa A, Macassa G, et al. The burden of disease in Maputo City, Mozambique: registered and autopsied deaths in 1994. Bull World Health Organ 2001; 79: 546–52.
- Melse JM, Essink-Bot ML, Kramers PG, Hoeymans N, and the Dutch Burden of Disease Group. A national burden of disease calculation: Dutch disability-adjusted life-years. Am J Public Health 2000; 90: 1241–47.
- 55 Ministry of Health. The burden of disease and injury in New Zealand—Public Health Intelligence Occasional Bulletin No. 1. New Zealand: Ministry of Health, 2001.
- 56 Hyder AA, Morrow RH. Applying burden of disease methods in developing countries: a case study from Pakistan. Am J Public Health 2000; 90: 1235–40.
- 57 Velásquez Valdivia A. Análisis del Estudio de Carga de Enfermedad en el Perú-MINSA 2004 y Propuesta Metodológica para el Ajuste con Datos Nacionales de Morbilidad. Lima: Promoviendo alianzas y estrategias, Abt Associates Inc, 2006.
- 58 de Salud M. Estudio de Carga de Enfermedad en el Perú, 2004. Lima, Perú: DGE, 2006.
- 59 Janković S, Vlajinac H, Bjegović V, et al. The burden of disease and injury in Serbia. Eur J Public Health 2007; 17: 80–85.
- 60 Phua HP, Chua AV, Ma S, Heng D, Chew SK. Singapore's burden of disease and injury 2004. Singapore Med J 2009; 50: 468–78.
- 61 Bradshaw D, Groenewald P, Laubscher R, et al. Initial burden of disease estimates for South Africa, 2000. S Afr Med J 2003; 93: 682–88.
- 62 Norman R, Bradshaw D, Schneider M, Pieterse D, Groenewald P. Revised burden of disease estimates for the comparative risk factor assessment, South Africa 2000. South African Medical Research Council, 2006.
- 63 Gènova-Maleras R, Alvarez-Martín E, Morant-Ginestar C, Fernández de Larrea-Baz N, Catalá-López F. Measuring the burden of disease and injury in Spain using disability-adjusted life years: an updated and policy-oriented overview. *Public Health* 2012; published online Oct 9. DOI:S0033-3506(12)00318-6.10.1016/j.puhe.2012.08.012.
- 64 Catalá López F, Alvarez Martín E, Gènova Maleras R, Morant Ginestar C. Relationship between research funding in the Spanish National Health System and the burden of disease. Rev Esp Salud Publica 2009; 83: 137–51.
- 65 Peterson S, Backlund I, Diderichsen F. Sjukdomsbördan i Sverige: en svensk DALY-kalkyl [Burden of disease in Sweden—a Swedish DALY calculation]. Stockholm: National Public Health Institute, 1998.
- 66 Bundhamcharoen K, Odton P, Phulkerd S, Tangcharoensathien V. Burden of disease in Thailand: changes in health gap between 1999 and 2004. BMC Public Health 2011; 11: 53.
- 67 Bundhamcharoen K, Teerawattananon Y, Vos T, Begg S. Thai Working Group on Burden of Disease and Injuries: burden of disease and injuries in Thailand: priority setting for policy. Thainland: Ministry of Public Health, 2002.
- 68 The Thai Working Group on Burden of Disease and Injury. Burden of disease for priority of health problems in Thailand 1999.
 J Health Sci 2004; 13: 239–56.
- 69 Samutharuk B, Vecharuk P, Kongkamnerd R, Sitthiamorn C. Measurement of disease burden on Thai society: guideline of health problem prioritisation. Nonthaburi: Health System Research Institute, 1999.
- 70 Ministry of Health. Baskent University. Burden of disease final report. Ankara: Refik Saydam Hygiene Center Presidency Refik Saydam School of Public Health Directorate, 2004.
- 71 Green S, Miles R. The burden of disease and illness in the UK: a preliminary assessment to inform the development of UK health research and development priorities. Oxford: Healthcare Associates, 2007.
- 72 Michaud CM, McKenna MT, Begg S, et al. The burden of disease and injury in the United States 1996. Popul Health Metr 2006; 4: 11.
- 73 McKenna MT, Michaud CM, Murray CJL, Marks JS. Assessing the burden of disease in the United States using disability-adjusted life years. Am J Prev Med 2005; 28: 415–23.
- 74 Viet Nam Burden of Disease and Injury Study 2008. Viet Nam burden of disease and injury study 2008. Hanoi: Medical Publishing House, 2011.
- 75 Chapman G, Hansen KS, Jelsma J, et al. The burden of disease in Zimbabwe in 1997 as measured by disability-adjusted life years lost. Trop Med Int Health 2006; 11: 660–71.

- 76 Schopper D, Pereira J, Torres A, et al. Estimating the burden of disease in one Swiss canton: what do disability adjusted life years (DALY) tell us? Int J Epidemiol 2000; 29: 871–77.
- 77 Kominski GF, Simon PA, Ho A, Luck J, Lim Y-W, Fielding JE. Assessing the burden of disease and injury in Los Angeles County using disability-adjusted life years. *Public Health Rep* 2002; 117: 185–91.
- 78 Zhou S-C, Cai L, Wan C-H, Lv Y-L, Fang P-Q. Assessing the disease burden of Yi people by years of life lost in Shilin county of Yunnan province, China. BMC Public Health 2009; 9: 188.
- 79 Friedman C, McKenna MT, Ahmed F, et al. Assessing the burden of disease among an employed population: implications for employer-sponsored prevention programs. J Occup Environ Med 2004: 46: 3–9.
- 80 Hsairi M, Fekih H, Fakhfakh R, Kassis M, Achour N, Dammak J. Années de vie perdues et transition épidémiologique dans le gouvernorat de Sfax (Tunisie). Sante Publique 2003; 15: 25–37.
- 81 Dodhia H, Phillips K. Measuring burden of disease in two inner London boroughs using Disability Adjusted Life Years. J Public Health (Oxf) 2008; 30: 313–21.
- 82 Prince M, Patel V, Saxena S, et al. No health without mental health. Lancet 2007: 370: 859–77.
- 83 Polinder S, Haagsma JA, Lyons RA, et al. Measuring the population burden of fatal and nonfatal injury. *Epidemiol Rev* 2012; 34: 17–31.
- 84 Mathers CD, Ezzati M, Lopez AD. Measuring the burden of neglected tropical diseases: the global burden of disease framework. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2007; 1: e114.
- 85 Mathur P, Shah B. Research priorities for prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases in India. *Indian J Community Med* 2011; 36 (suppl 1): S72–77.
- 86 Murray CJL, Ezzati M, Flaxman AD, et al. The Global Burden of Disease Study 2010: design, definitions, and metrics. *Lancet* 2012; 380: 2063–66.
- 87 Lopez AD, Mathers CD, Ezzati M, Jamison DT, Murray CJ, eds. Global burden of disease and risk factors. Washington, DC: Oxford University Press and The World Bank, 2006.
- 88 Naghavi M, Makela S, Foreman K, O'Brien J, Pourmalek F, Lozano R. Algorithms for enhancing public health utility of national causes-of-death data. *Popul Health Metr* 2010; 8: 9.
- 89 Ahern RM, Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, Gakidou E, Murray CJ. Improving the public health utility of global cardiovascular mortality data: the rise of ischemic heart disease. Popul Health Metr 2011; 9: 8.
- 90 Wang H, Dwyer-Lindgren L, Lofgren KT, et al. Age-specific and sex-specific mortality in 187 countries, 1970–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012; 380: 2071–94.
- 91 King G, Tomz M, Wittenberg J. Making the most of statistical analyses: improving interpretation and presentation. Am J Pol Sci 2000; 44: 347–61.
- 92 Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, et al. Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012; 380: 2095–128.
- 93 Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, et al. Years lived with disability (YLD) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012; 380: 2163–96.

- 94 Murray CJL, Rosenfeld LC, Lim SS, et al. Global malaria mortality between 1980 and 2010: a systematic analysis. *Lancet* 2012; 379: 413–31.
- 95 Frenk J, Chen L, Bhutta ZA, et al. Health professionals for a new century: transforming education to strengthen health systems in an interdependent world. *Lancet* 2010; 376: 1923–58.
- 96 Yelin E, Callahan LF, Group TNADW, and the National Arthritis Data Work Groups. The economic cost and social and psychological impact of musculoskeletal conditions. Arthritis Rheum 1995; 38: 1351–62.
- Coyte PC, Asche CV, Croxford R, Chan B. The economic cost of musculoskeletal disorders in Canada. Arthritis Care Res 1998; 11: 315–25.
- 98 Peden M, Scurfield R, Sleet D, et al. World report on road traffic injury prevention. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2004.
- 69 Hyder AA, Allen KA, Di Pietro G, et al. Addressing the implementation gap in global road safety: exploring features of an effective response and introducing a 10-country program. Am J Public Health 2012; 102: 1061–67.
- 100 World Health Organization, and the United Nations Road Safety Collaboration. http://www.who.int/roadsafety/en/ (accessed June 28, 2012).
- 101 United Nations. The United Nations and Road Safety. http://www. un.org/en/roadsafety/background.shtml (accessed June 28).
- 102 Reiss A, Roth J, eds. Violence in families: understanding and preventing violence. Panel on the understanding and control of violent behavior. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1993.
- 103 Carp FM. Elder abuse in the family: an interdisciplinary model for research. New York: Springer, 2000.
- 104 Schiamberg LB, Gans D. An ecological framework for contextual risk factors in elder abuse by adult children. J Elder Abuse Negl 1999; 11: 79–103.
- 105 Heise LL. Violence against women: an integrated, ecological framework. Violence Against Women 1998; 4: 262–90.
- 106 Chalk RA, King P. Violence in families: assessing prevention and treatment programs. Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 1998.
- 107 World Health Organization. Violence prevention: the evidence, 2010 http://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/violence/ 4th_milestones_meeting/publications/en/ (accessed July 21, 2012).
- 108 Kurmi OP, Semple S, Simkhada P, Smith WCS, Ayres JG. COPD and chronic bronchitis risk of indoor air pollution from solid fuel: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Thorax* 2010; 65: 221–28.
- 109 Hu G, Zhou Y, Tian J, et al. Risk of COPD from exposure to biomass smoke: a metaanalysis. Chest 2010; 138: 20–31.
- 110 Marks G, Burney P. Diseases of the respiratory system. In: The health of adult britain 1841–1994. London: HM Stationery Office, 1997.
- 111 Hurley SF, Matthews JP. The Quit Benefits Model: a Markov model for assessing the health benefits and health care cost savings of quitting smoking. Cost Eff Resour Alloc 2007; 5: 2.
- 112 Logan WPD. Mortality in England and Wales from 1848 to 1947. Popul Stud 1950; 4: 132–78.
- 113 Adair T, Hoy D, Dettrick Z, Lopez AD. 100 years of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) mortality in Australia: the role of tobacco consumption. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2012; 16: 1699–705.

Antenatal dietary advice and supplementation to increase energy and protein intake (Review)

Ota E, Tobe-Gai R, Mori R, Farrar D



This is a reprint of a Cochrane review, prepared and maintained by The Cochrane Collaboration and published in *The Cochrane Library* 2012, Issue 9

http://www.thecochranelibrary.com



Antenatal dietary advice and supplementation to increase energy and protein intake (Review) Copyright © 2012 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
ABSTRACT	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY	2
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS FOR THE MAIN COMPARISON	3
BACKGROUND	ϵ
OBJECTIVES	6
METHODS	6
Figure 1	10
Figure 2	10
RESULTS	11
Figure 3	12
Figure 4	13
Figure 5	15
Figure 6	16
ADDITIONAL SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	18
DISCUSSION	25
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS	26
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	27
	27
	34
DATA AND ANALYSES	53
	55
HISTORY	56
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	56
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	56
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	56
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW	57
INDEX TERMS	57

Antenatal dietary advice and supplementation to increase energy and protein intake (Review) Copyright © 2012 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

[Intervention Review]

Antenatal dietary advice and supplementation to increase energy and protein intake

Erika Ota¹, Ruoyan Tobe-Gai², Rintaro Mori³, Diane Farrar⁴

¹ Department of Global Health Policy, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan. ²School of Public Health, Shandong University, Jinan, China. ³ Department of Health Policy, National Center for Child Health and Development, Tokyo, Japan. ⁴Maternal and Child Health, Bradford Institute for Health Research, Bradford, UK

Contact address: Erika Ota, Department of Global Health Policy, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-0011, Japan. e-i@umin.ac.jp.

Editorial group: Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group.

Publication status and date: New search for studies and content updated (conclusions changed), published in Issue 9, 2012. **Review content assessed as up-to-date:** 22 December 2011.

Citation: Ota E, Tobe-Gai R, Mori R, Farrar D. Antenatal dietary advice and supplementation to increase energy and protein intake. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 9. Art. No.: CD000032. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000032.pub2.

Copyright © 2012 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

ABSTRACT

Background

Gestational weight gain is positively associated with fetal growth, and observational studies of food supplementation in pregnancy have reported increases in gestational weight gain and fetal growth.

Objectives

To assess the effects of advice during pregnancy to increase energy and protein intake, or of actual energy and protein supplementation, on energy and protein intakes, and the effect on maternal and infant health outcomes.

Search methods

We searched the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register (22 July 2011) and contacted researchers in the field. We updated the search on 12 July 2012 and added the results to the awaiting classification section of the review.

Selection criteria

Randomised controlled trials of dietary advice to increase energy and protein intake, or of actual energy and protein supplementation, during pregnancy.

Data collection and analysis

Two review authors independently assessed trials for inclusion and assessed risk of bias. Two review authors independently extracted data and checked for accuracy. Extracted data were supplemented by additional information from the trialists we contacted.

Main results

We examined 110 reports corresponding to 46 trials. Of these trials, 15 were included, 30 were excluded, and one is ongoing. Overall, 15 trials involving 7410 women were included.

Nutritional advice (four trials, 790 women)

Women given nutritional advice had a lower relative risk of having a preterm birth (two trials, 449 women) (risk ratio (RR) 0.46, 95% CI 0.21 to 0.98), head circumference at birth was increased in one trial (389 women) (mean difference (MD) 0.99 cm, 95% CI 0.43 to

Antenatal dietary advice and supplementation to increase energy and protein intake (Review) Copyright © 2012 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

1.55) and protein intake increased (three trials, 632 women) (protein intake: MD +6.99 g/day, 95% CI 3.02 to 10.97). No significant differences were observed on any other outcomes.

Balanced energy and protein supplementation (11 trials, 5385 women)

Risk of stillbirth was significantly reduced for women given balanced energy and protein supplementation (RR 0.62, 95% CI 0.40 to 0.98, five trials, 3408 women), mean birthweight was significantly increased (random-effects MD +40.96 g, 95% CI 4.66 to 77.26, $Tau^2 = 1744$, $I^2 = 44\%$, 11 trials, 5385 women). There was also a significant reduction in the risk of small-for-gestational age (RR 0.79, 95% CI 0.69 to 0.90, $I^2 = 16\%$, seven trials, 4408 women). No significant effect was detected for preterm birth or neonatal death.

High-protein supplementation (one trial, 1051 women)

High-protein supplementation (one trial, 505 women), was associated with a significantly increased risk of small-for-gestational age babies (RR 1.58, 95% CI 1.03 to 2.41).

Isocaloric protein supplementation (two trials, 184 women)

Isocaloric protein supplementation (two trials, 184 women) had no significant effect on birthweight and weekly gestational weight gain.

Authors' conclusions

This review provides encouraging evidence that antenatal nutritional advice with the aim of increasing energy and protein intake in the general obstetric population appears to be effective in reducing the risk of preterm birth, increasing head circumference at birth and increasing protein intake, there was no evidence of benefit or adverse effect for any other outcome reported.

Balanced energy and protein supplementation seems to improve fetal growth, and may reduce the risk of stillbirth and infants born small-for-gestational age. High-protein supplementation does not seem to be beneficial and may be harmful to the fetus. Balanced-protein supplementation alone had no significant effects on perinatal outcomes.

The results of this review should be interpreted with caution, the risk of bias was either unclear or high for at least one category examined in several of the included trials and the quality of the evidence was low for several important outcomes. Also the anthropometric characteristics of the general obstetric population is changing, therefore, those developing interventions aimed at altering energy and protein intake should ensure that only those women likely to benefit are included. Large, well designed randomised trials are needed to assess the effects of increasing energy and protein intake during pregnancy in women whose intake is below recommended levels.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Energy and protein intake in pregnancy

During pregnancy, a baby developing inside the womb receives all its nutrition from its mother. Therefore, advising women on their diet and providing food supplements in pregnancy may help babies to grow and thrive. This review of randomised controlled trials examined several aspects of dietary advice and supplementation and produced the following four findings.

- (1) Providing nutritional advice resulted in an increase in the mother's protein intake, fewer preterm births in two trials involving 449 women and increases in birth head circumference in one trial involving 389 women.
- (2) Giving the mothers balanced energy and/protein supplements was associated with clear increases in mean birthweight (11 trials, 5385 women) with fewer stillbirths (five trials, 3408 women) and fewer small-for-gestational age births (seven trials, 4408 women), but the impact on the long-term health of the baby was uncertain, including among undernourished women.
- (3) High-protein supplementation: one trial involving 1051 women showed no benefit for women and potential harm for the baby.
- (4) Isocaloric protein supplementations (i.e. balanced supplements in which the protein replaces an equal quantity of other nutrients, e.g. macronutrients, fat and carbohydrate): in two trials involving 184 women this intervention showed no benefit for women or their babies.

Providing nutritional advice or balanced energy and protein supplements to women during pregnancy may be beneficial; high-protein supplements and Isocaloric protein supplements given in to women in pregnancy may be unhelpful or harmful.

Antenatal dietary advice and supplementation to increase energy and protein intake (Review) Copyright © 2012 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

2

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS FOR THE MAIN COMPARISON [Explanation]

Nutritional advice compared to no counselling or advice during pregnancy for perinatal outcome	Nutritional advice compare	d to no counselling	or advice during	pregnancy fo	r perinatal outcome
--	----------------------------	---------------------	------------------	--------------	---------------------

Patient or population: Pregnant women

Settings:

Intervention: Nutritional advice during pregnancy

Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	No of Participants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
A. Carlotte	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk				
	Control	Nutritional advice during pregnancy				
Stillbirth	Study population		RR 0.37	431	0 00	
	24 per 1000	9 per 1000 (2 to 46)	(0.07 to 1.9)	(1 study)	low ^{1,2}	
	Moderate					
	24 per 1000	9 per 1000 (2 to 46)		y artesta	and the second second	
Neonatal death	Study population		RR 1.28	448	000	
	18 per 1000	23 per 1000 (6 to 83)	(0.35 to 4.72)	(1 study)	low ^{1,2}	
	Moderate					
Samuel Oct (b)	18 per 1000	23 per 1000 (6 to 85)				

Birthweight (g)		The mean birthweight (g) in the intervention groups was 205.75 higher (242.54 lower to 654.03 higher)		426 (2 studies)	⊕○○○ very low ^{1,2,3}	
Birth head circumfer- ence (cm)		The mean birth head circumference (cm) in the intervention groups was 0.99 higher (0.43 to 1.55 higher)		389 (1 study)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ moderate²	
Small-for-gestational	Study population		RR 0.97 (0.45 to 2.11)	404 (1 study)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ I1 2	
Birthweight (g) Birth head circumference (cm) Small-for-gestational age	60 per 1000	58 per 1000 (27 to 127)			low ^{1,2}	
	Moderate					
	60 per 1000	58 per 1000 (27 to 127)				
Preterm birth	Study population		RR 0.46	449 (2 studies)	⊕⊕⊜⊝ low ^{2,3}	
	85 per 1000	39 per 1000 (18 to 84)	(0.21 to 0.98)	(2 studies)	10W ^{2,5}	
ertinii Tare erekitar wowiga Tare a	Moderate					
	92 per 1000	42 per 1000 (19 to 90)				
Protein intake (g/day)		The mean protein intake (g/day) in the intervention groups was 6.99 higher		632 (3 studies)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ low ^{2,4}	

(3.02 to 10.97 higher) an control group risk across lative effect of the intervent

*The basis for the **assumed risk** (e.g. the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio;

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

- ¹ Wide 95% CI.
- ² Sample size is smaller than optimal information size.
- ³ Allocation concealment, blinding, incomplete outcome reporting is high risk of bias in one study.
- ⁴ Random sequence, allocation concealment is unclear in some studies.