




全国の腹囲の状況(年次推移)




全国の収縮期血圧の状況(2010年度)




腹囲が基準値以上の割合 (男女別年齢調整済み) 2010年




全国の収縮期血圧の状況(年次推移)




血圧高値(収縮期血圧140mmHg以上)の割合 (男女別年齢調整済み) 2010年




全国の拡張期血圧の状況(年次推移)




拡張期血圧の区別割合





全国の拡張期血圧の状況(2010年度)





全国の空腹時血糖の状況(2010年度)




全国の空腹時血糖の状況(年次推移)





全国のHbA1c(JDS)の状況(2010年度)







血糖高値(空腹時血糖126mg/dl以上)の割合(男女別年齢調整済み) 2010年




全国のHbA1c(JDS)の状況(年次推移)






全国のHDLの状況(年次推移)

有効回答数
2008年度総計: 20,243,007人 2009年度総計: 21,601,743人 2010年度総計: 22,440,765人



全体、年齢調整済み平均値の年次推移




HDLの区別割合




全国のLDLの状況(年次推移)

有効回答数
2008年度総計: 20,118,616人 2009年度総計: 21,547,179人 2010年度総計: 22,420,393人



全体、年齢調整済み平均値の年次推移




LDLの区別割合




全国のLDLの状況(2010年度)

有効回答数
男性計: 12,318,896人 女性計: 10,101,497人 総計: 22,420,393人



年齢階級別 平均値




LDLの区別割合




LDL高値(160mg/dl以上)の割合 (男女別年齢調整済み) 2010年

男性



女性



平成 24 年度厚生労働科学研究費補助金（循環器疾患・糖尿病等生活習慣病対策総合研究事業）
 「生活習慣病予防活動・疾病管理による健康指標に及ぼす影響と医療費適正化効果に関する研究」
 （追加研究）

研究代表者 津下 一代 （あいち健康の森健康科学総合センター）

研究分担者 中村 正和 （大阪がん循環器病予防センター）

宮地 元彦 （独立行政法人国立健康・栄養研究所）

村本 あき子 （あいち健康の森健康科学総合センター）

研究協力者 辻 一郎 （東北大学 公衆衛生学）

横山 徹爾 （国立保健医療科学院）

武見 ゆかり （女子栄養大学）

中田 勝己 （福井県健康福祉部健康増進課）

四方 啓裕 （福井県嶺南振興局 若狭健康福祉センター）

愛知県健康福祉部健康担当局健康対策課

大阪府健康医療部 保健医療室 健康づくり課

DVD 資料提供 厚生労働省健康局 がん対策・健康増進課

厚生労働科学研究費補助金 循環器疾患・糖尿病等生活習慣病対策総合研究事業 研究代表者

健康日本 21（第二次）地方計画推進のために
 地方自治体による効果的な健康施策展開のための既存データ（特定健診データ等）活用の手引き

発行：2013 年 3 月

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Angiopietin-like protein 2 sensitively responds to weight reduction induced by lifestyle intervention on overweight Japanese men

A Muramoto^{1,2}, K Tsushita¹, A Kato¹, N Ozaki², M Tabata³, M Endo³, Y Oike³ and Y Oiso²

¹Division of Health Development of Comprehensive Health Science Center, Aichi Health Promotion Foundation, Aichi-ken, Japan; ²Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan and ³Department of Molecular Genetics, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, Japan

Objective: Overexpression of Angiopietin-like protein 2 (Angptl2) in obese adipose tissues promotes adipose tissue inflammation and its-related metabolic abnormalities. In a comparative study with adiponectin, we investigated whether alterations in serum Angptl2 concentrations reflect the effect of lifestyle intervention on weight loss and improved metabolic parameters in overweight subjects.

Methods: A total of 154 Japanese men (age, 40.9 ± 5.1 years; body mass index, 26.9 ± 3.6 kg m⁻²; abdominal circumference, 94.1 ± 8.9 cm) underwent a 3-month lifestyle intervention and underwent follow-up for 3 months thereafter.

Results: Decreased serum Angptl2 levels, but not increased serum adiponectin levels, were immediately apparent at the end of 3-month lifestyle intervention. Angptl2 levels continued to decrease for 3 months in parallel with body weight loss and improvement in metabolic indicators. In subjects showing $\geq 6\%$ weight reduction, markedly reduced Angptl2 levels were detected at the end of 3-month intervention, whereas increased adiponectin levels were detected 3 months after the end of intervention. Multivariate analysis revealed changes in serum Angptl2 levels associated with changes in triglycerides (TGs), aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase. In contrast, changes in serum adiponectin levels were associated with altered high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and fasting plasma glucose levels.

Conclusion: A 3-month lifestyle intervention promoted weight reduction and improved glucose and lipid metabolism, an effect maintained 3 months later. Notably, our findings indicate that decreased Angptl2 levels are a good indicator of reduced visceral fat and metabolic improvement at early stages of lifestyle intervention. Thus, Angptl2 reflects adiposity and might be a key protein to regulate inflammation and TG metabolism, whereas adiponectin levels could reflect improved glucose and HDL-C metabolism.

Nutrition and Diabetes (2011) 1, e20; doi:10.1038/nutd.2011.16; published online 7 November 2011

Keywords: metabolic syndrome; lifestyle intervention; body weight reduction; angiopietin-like protein 2; adiponectin

Introduction

Obesity is a pandemic medical and social problem that increases lifestyle-related diseases, such as cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia and cancer, all of which result in increased mortality.^{1–5} Therefore, antagonizing weight gain is critical to decrease

occurrence of these diseases. Recent reports demonstrate that weight loss has ameliorating effects on type 2 diabetes, hypertension or dyslipidemia, independent of differences in race, sex, age, intervention method and intervention period.^{6–9}

Recently, the concept has emerged that obesity-related inflammation is associated with high risk of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular diseases.^{10–15} Circulating levels of C-reactive protein (CRP), fibrinogen and some adipose tissue-derived cytokines, all associated with inflammation, are decreased by body weight reduction, an occurrence associated with improved insulin resistance.^{6,16–19}

More recently, we revealed that circulating levels of angiopietin-like protein 2 (Angptl2), which is a stress responsive adipose tissues-secreted protein, were higher than

Correspondence: Dr K Tsushita, Division of Health Development of Comprehensive Health Science Center, Aichi Health Promotion Foundation, 1-1 Gengoyama, Morioka, Higashiura-cho, 470-2101 Aichi, Japan.
E-mail: k-tsushita@grp.ahv.pref.aichi.jp
or Dr Y Oike, Department of Molecular Genetics, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kumamoto University, 1-1-1 Honjo, Kumamoto 860-8556, Japan.
E-mail: oike@gpo.kumamoto-u.ac.jp
Received 2 September 2011; accepted 21 September 2011

normal in cases of obesity in human and mice, particularly in cases with visceral fat accumulation, leading to chronic adipose tissue inflammation and subsequent development or progression of insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome.^{20–22} We observed accumulation of fat in the liver and skeletal muscle was mild in Angptl2 knockout mice compared with wild-type mice, and Angptl2 deletion ameliorated adipose tissue inflammation.²⁰ We also observed significant decreases in circulating Angptl2 concentrations in obese diabetic men following treatment with the PPAR γ agonist pioglitazone, and the percent decrease in Angptl2 levels was positively correlated with the percent decreases in visceral fat area. These findings suggest that visceral fat is a likely primary source of circulating Angptl2 and that levels of that factor are significantly correlated with systemic insulin resistance and inflammation.^{20–22}

But it remains unknown whether Angptl2 could respond to weight reduction and its-related metabolic abnormalities by lifestyle intervention, and if so, we'd like to know the difference between angptl2 and adiponectin.

Thus, the aim of the present study was to investigate whether Angptl2 levels reflect weight reduction, the degree of weight reduction and obesity-related metabolic abnormalities. For comparison, we monitored the influence of weight reduction on adiponectin levels, which reportedly increase in the circulation with weight loss and serve as a biomarker to assess the improvement of obesity and its-related metabolic abnormalities.^{6–9}

Materials and methods

Subjects

'Overweight subjects' were recruited to participate in a lifestyle intervention program through newspaper or website advertising. We defined the subjects with a body mass index (BMI) ≥ 25.0 kg m⁻² or an abdominal circumference ≥ 85 cm as 'overweight subject' in this study, because this criteria is established as an adequate risk for categorizing 'obesity disease' in Japan in relation to obesity-related complications in Japan.²³ All subjects gave written consent after having received verbal and written information about this study. The Ethical Review Board of the Aichi Health Plaza Comprehensive Health Science Center approved the study procedures.

Study design

Subjects underwent lifestyle intervention for 3 months and then were observed without intervention for 3 months thereafter. At the beginning of intervention, and at the 3- and 6-month time points, a questionnaire about lifestyle, anthropometric measurements, blood pressure (BP) measurements and blood tests were performed. The questionnaire contains smoking status, drinking habit and exercise habit. For anthropometric measurements, height, weight,

abdominal circumference and body fat percentage (% fat) were measured. For blood tests, triglyceride (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), fasting plasma glucose (FPG), hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), serum insulin (insulin), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), high-sensitive CRP (hs-CRP), Angptl2 and adiponectin levels were measured.

Lifestyle intervention

Subjects received detailed results of an examination that they underwent at the beginning of intervention and attended a lecture regarding the association of obesity and health problems and the benefits of weight reduction. That lecture included illustrations and graphs depicting the relationship of lifestyle on metabolic syndrome-related conditions. Subjects were then advised by support staff (including a public health nurse, nutritionist and health exercise trainer) to set their own behavioral targets. Then, for 3 months, subjects received lifestyle improvement support for the purpose of weight reduction. Actually, subjects were instructed in proper meal preparation for the energy-balance or received support in the form of exercise training. We instructed them by interview or supported them by e-mail once or twice a month, depending on subjects' living conditions.

Anthropometric measurements

Weight was measured with the subjects wearing light clothing and barefoot. BMI was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m²). Body fat percentage was determined using the bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) method (TBF-102; Tanita Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Abdominal circumference was measured at the level of the umbilicus (horizontal to the ground). Systolic and diastolic blood pressure was measured using an automatic sphygmomanometer (SunTech Medical, Morrisville, NC, USA).

Biochemical analysis

Blood samples were taken after overnight fasting around at 0900 hours. LDL-C was measured using the direct method (Determiner L, LDL-C, Kyowa Medex Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). HbA1c (Japan Diabetes Society) was measured using the latex agglutination method with a commercial test kit (Kyowa Medex Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). The HbA1c defined by the National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program, which is the internationally used HbA1c, is expressed by adding 0.4% to the HbA1c (JDS).²⁴ The HbA1c data are shown by HbA1c (National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program). Insulin was measured using an enzyme immunoassay with a commercial test kit (Eiken Chemical Co, Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). Homeostasis model assessment of the insulin resistance index (HOMA-IR) was calculated using a

method described elsewhere.²⁵ There is a good correlation between HOMA-IR and glucose infusion rate obtained by the euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp method.²⁶ Given the combination of accuracy and ease of testing, we use HOMA-IR as an index of insulin resistance. Hs-CRP levels were measured using the latex agglutination method with a commercial test kit (N-assay LA, CRP-T, Nittobo, Tokyo, Japan). Adiponectin was measured by ELISA using a test kit (Adiponectin ELISA kit; Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan).

Angptl2 was measured by ELISA as previously reported.^{20,27} In brief, the K2-1A1 mouse monoclonal antibody was fixed to 96-well plates. After 10-fold dilution, serum samples were immobilized on plates for 1 h at 37 °C, followed by washing with PBS containing 0.05% Tween20 (PBST) and addition of horseradish peroxidase-conjugated K1-12A4 mouse monoclonal antibody. After 1 h of incubation at 4 °C, plates were washed with PBS containing 0.05% Tween20, and a tetramethylbenzidine detection reagent was added to the wells. After 30 min, the reaction was stopped by addition of an equal amount of 1 N H₂SO₄, and absorbance was measured at 450 nm. We confirmed the validity of this ELISA system through the following experiments. Absorbance at 450 nm increased linearly with human Angptl2 calibrators of 50–350 pg per assay with the least detectable concentration of 50 pg (correlation coefficient >0.99). In all three intra-assay determinations of the same samples showed coefficients of variation less than 5% at all Angptl2 concentrations tested. The mean \pm s.d. concentrations measured (and coefficient of variation) were: sample 1, 1.76 \pm 0.05 ng ml⁻¹ (2.9%); sample 2, 0.43 \pm 0.01 ng ml⁻¹ (3.2%); and sample 3, 0.14 \pm 0.01 ng ml⁻¹ (3.3%). The three inter-assay determinations of the same serum gave coefficients of variation of less than 10%: sample 1, 1.82 \pm 0.09 ng ml⁻¹ (5.2%); sample 2, 0.44 \pm 0.02 ng ml⁻¹ (4.6%); and sample 3, 0.142 \pm 0.01 ng ml⁻¹ (5.0%). Plasma concentrations of other factors were determined using standard clinical biochemistry methods.

Statistical analysis

To assess the effectiveness of lifestyle intervention, changes in population characteristics at the beginning (0), end of the 3-month intervention or end of the 6-month program (3-month intervention plus 3-month observation) were assessed with the Wilcoxon signed rank test.

We used partial correlation analysis to examine association between baseline Angptl2 or adiponectin levels and baseline values of each laboratory measurement. We also analyzed the association between changes in Angptl2 or adiponectin levels and changes in each laboratory parameter, from the beginning of the intervention to 3 and then 6 months later. We tested baseline data and changes for normal distribution by Kolmogorov–Smirnov method. From this result, we logarithmically transformed TG, IRI, HOMA-IR, AST, ALT, hs-CRP at baseline and changes in hs-CRP at 3 and 6 months.

Multiple linear regression analysis was conducted for each change in BP, lipid metabolism, glucose metabolism and liver function from the beginning of the intervention to 3 and 6 months, later as target variables, and values highly correlated with each variation as explanatory variables. Furthermore, subjects were grouped per 2% weight reduction at 3 or 6 months after the beginning of intervention. Changes in laboratory data values were analyzed by analysis of variance or the Kruskal–Wallis test (for changes in diastolic blood pressure and HbA1c after 3 months). Statistically significant changes were compared using multiple comparison by the Bonferroni method.

We used the statistics software PASW Statistics Base 18.0 (SPSS, Tokyo, Japan) and expressed results as means \pm s.d. or s.e.m. Differences at the level of $P < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics of subjects

A total of 154 men were enrolled. The average age was 40.9 \pm 5.1 years and BMI was 26.9 \pm 3.6 kg m⁻² (Table 1). Patients with an endocrine disorder or those undergoing drug treatment for diabetes, hypertension or dyslipidemia were excluded. Clinical and laboratory examination to characterize baseline parameters before lifestyle intervention revealed one patient with diabetes, which required therapy. During the 3-month lifestyle intervention, seven subjects dropped out of the study because of traffic accidents or occupational reasons. During the subsequent 3-month follow-up, 11 of the remaining 146 subjects could not be followed for various reasons, such as occupational issues or lack of motivation. Finally, 135 subjects who could be followed for all 6 months of the program were analyzed (continuation rate of 87.7%) (Figure 1).

At the baseline, correlation analysis adjusted by age showed a significant positive correlation of Angptl2 levels with adiposity, as estimated by BMI, abdominal circumference and fat mass (Table 2a). In contrast, baseline adiponectin levels showed an inverse correlation with adiposity (Table 2a).

Correlation analysis adjusted by age and BMI to compare baseline Angptl2 levels with clinical and laboratory data showed a significant positive correlation of Angptl2 with diastolic Blood Pressure, TG, Insulin and HOMA-IR and a significant inverse correlation of Angptl2 with HDL-C (Table 2b). By contrast, baseline adiponectin levels were inversely correlated with TG, Insulin, HOMA-IR, AST, ALT and hs-CRP, and showed a significant positive correlation with HDL-C. Baseline Angptl2 and adiponectin levels showed an inverse relationship trend ($P = 0.056$) (Table 2b). When we added smoking status, drinking habit and exercise habit as control variables, association between Angptl2 and adiponectin at baseline was significant ($r = -0.215$, $P = 0.014$).

Table 1 Characteristics of the 135 subjects at 0 (baseline), 3 and 6 months after initiation of the intervention

	Baseline	3 months	P-value	6 months	P-value
Age	40.9 ± 5.1				
Weight (kg)	79.4 ± 11.8	77.0 ± 11.5	<0.001	76.4 ± 11.6	<0.001
BMI (kg m ⁻²)	26.9 ± 3.6	26.1 ± 3.6	<0.001	25.9 ± 3.6	<0.001
Abdominal circumference (cm)	94.1 ± 8.9	91.4 ± 9.0	<0.001	90.5 ± 9.5	<0.001
% fat (%)	26.6 ± 5.4	24.6 ± 4.7	<0.001	24.4 ± 4.8	<0.001
Fat mass (kg)	21.6 ± 7.5	19.4 ± 6.7	<0.001	19.1 ± 6.8	<0.001
SBP (mm Hg)	121.6 ± 12.4	121.9 ± 11.9	0.523	121.7 ± 12.3	0.992
DBP (mm Hg)	72.4 ± 10.3	76.6 ± 9.9	<0.001	75.4 ± 10.6	<0.001
TG (mg per 100 ml)	149.8 ± 114.2	122.6 ± 71.7	<0.001	136.2 ± 116.3	0.009
HDL-C (mg per 100 ml)	58.4 ± 13.2	55.5 ± 12.1	<0.001	57.1 ± 12.8	0.051
LDL-C (mg per 100 ml)	134.8 ± 35.3	125.1 ± 31.0	<0.001	126.7 ± 33.2	<0.001
LDL-C/HDL-C	2.43 ± 0.84	2.39 ± 0.86	0.166	2.35 ± 0.89	0.155
FPG (mg per 100 ml)	99.9 ± 12.0	96.3 ± 9.7	<0.001	96.3 ± 9.9	<0.001
HbA1c (%)	5.38 ± 0.45	5.20 ± 0.36	<0.001	5.22 ± 0.35	<0.001
Insulin (mcU ml ⁻¹)	10.12 ± 9.17	7.37 ± 5.14	<0.001	8.09 ± 5.74	<0.001
HOMA-IR	2.57 ± 2.71	1.79 ± 1.37	<0.001	1.96 ± 1.49	<0.001
AST (IU l ⁻¹)	25.5 ± 9.0	22.2 ± 8.5	<0.001	23.0 ± 8.7	0.005
ALT (IU l ⁻¹)	37.1 ± 21.9	28.6 ± 15.9	<0.001	30.0 ± 20.2	<0.001
Hs-CRP (mg per 100 ml)	0.086 ± 0.156	0.086 ± 0.125	0.907	0.090 ± 0.120	0.811
Angptl2 (ng ml ⁻¹)	3.02 ± 1.18	2.79 ± 1.11	0.001	2.65 ± 1.08	<0.001
Adiponectin (mcg ml ⁻¹)	6.59 ± 3.78	6.22 ± 3.94	<0.001	6.45 ± 3.93	0.108

Abbreviations: Angptl2, angiotensin-like protein 2; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of the insulin resistance index; Hs-CRP, high sensitive C-reactive protein; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TG, triglyceride. Data are presented as means ± s.d. Statistical differences of clinical and laboratory data at the 3- and 6-month time points compared with baseline values were examined using the Wilcoxon signed rank test.




Figure 1 Flow of participants through the intervention and follow-up study.

Changes in anthropometric and biochemical parameters after 3 and 6 months

As shown in Table 1, subjects' weight at 3 and 6 months after the beginning of lifestyle intervention was decreased significantly compared with baseline values (reduced by 2.4 ± 2.5 kg and 2.9 ± 3.5 kg, respectively). In addition, BMI, abdominal circumference, % fat, fat mass, TG, LDL-C, FPG, HbA1c, insulin, HOMA-IR, AST and ALT levels were

Table 2a Correlations of Angptl2 and adiponectin levels with anthropometric measurements at baseline levels

	Angptl2		Adiponectin	
	r	P	r	P
BMI	0.257	0.003	-0.242	0.005
Abdominal circumference	0.262	0.002	-0.321	<0.001
Fat mass	0.268	0.002	-0.277	<0.001

Abbreviations: Angptl2, angiotensin-like protein 2; BMI, body mass index. Analysis was performed and adjusted by age. *r* and *P* indicate correlation coefficients and *P*-values, respectively.

significantly reduced, indicating that the 3-month lifestyle intervention significantly reduced adiposity and ameliorated glucose and lipid metabolism. There was no significant change in hs-CRP levels at 3 and 6 months after the beginning of lifestyle intervention compared with those observed at baseline. Serum Angptl2 levels were significantly decreased from 3.02 ± 1.18 to 2.79 ± 1.11 ng ml⁻¹ (vs baseline value, *P*=0.001) 3 months after the beginning of intervention, and significantly decreased to 2.65 ± 1.08 ng ml⁻¹ (vs baseline value, *P*<0.001) at the 6-month time point. Although serum adiponectin levels were expected to increase based on previous reports,⁶⁻⁹ they decreased from 6.59 ± 3.78 μg ml⁻¹ to 6.22 ± 3.94 μg ml⁻¹ (vs baseline value, *P*=0.001) by the 3-month time point. At the 6-month time point, serum adiponectin levels were significantly increased

compared with those at the 3-month time point ($P=0.006$), however, they were not higher than baseline values (vs baseline value, $P=0.108$).

Table 2b Correlations of Angptl2 and adiponectin levels with blood pressure and laboratory data at baseline levels

	Angptl2		Adiponectin	
	r	P	r	P
SBP	0.096	0.271	-0.151	0.084
DBP	0.247	0.004	-0.149	0.087
Log TG	0.255	0.003	-0.291	0.001
HDL-C	-0.424	<0.001	0.299	<0.001
LDL-C	0.070	0.421	-0.112	0.200
FPG	0.085	0.331	-0.069	0.432
HbA1c	0.039	0.657	-0.108	0.217
Log Insulin	0.386	<0.001	-0.235	0.006
Log HOMA-IR	0.368	<0.001	-0.232	0.007
Log AST	0.100	0.250	-0.193	0.026
Log ALT	0.058	0.506	-0.240	0.005
Log Hs-CRP	0.093	0.287	-0.278	0.001
Angptl2	—	—	-0.166	0.056
Adiponectin	-0.166	0.056	—	—

Abbreviations: Angptl2, angiotensin-like protein 2; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of the insulin resistance index; Hs-CRP, high sensitive C-reactive protein; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TG, triglyceride. Analysis was performed and adjusted by age and BMI. *r* and *P* indicate correlation coefficients and *P*-values, respectively.

Changes in serum Angptl2 levels showed a significant positive correlation with changes in BMI, abdominal circumference and fat mass immediately after the intervention, whereas those in serum adiponectin levels showed an inverse correlation with BMI and fat mass values, but not with abdominal circumference (Table 3). By the 6-month time point, changes in serum Angptl2 levels continued to show a significant positive correlation with BMI, abdominal circumference and fat mass values, whereas serum adiponectin levels were inversely correlated with these parameters (Table 3).

Regarding changes in clinical parameters, decreases in serum Angptl2 levels showed a significant positive correlation with TG, AST, ALT and hs-CRP immediately after the intervention, whereas those in serum adiponectin levels showed a positive correlation with HDL-C and LDL-C. At the end of the program, changes in serum Angptl2 levels showed a significant positive correlation with TG, AST and hs-CRP values, and an inverse correlation with HDL-C, whereas serum adiponectin levels showed a positive correlation with HDL-C values and an inverse correlation with FPG (Table 3). Notably, we observed an inverse correlation between Angptl2 and adiponectin levels after 6 months, but not after 3 months ($r=-0.186$, $P=0.032$). Similar associations were observed after 3 months and after 6 months when we added smoking status, drinking habit and exercise habit as control variables ($r=0.070$, $P=0.432$ and $r=-0.203$, $P=0.020$, respectively).

Table 3 Correlations between changes in Angptl2 (left) and adiponectin (right) levels and changes in adiposity, blood pressure and laboratory data at 3 and 6 months after beginning the intervention relative to baseline data, which was estimated before the intervention began

From baseline	Δ Angptl2				Δ Adiponectin				
	To 3 months		To 6 months		To 3 months		To 6 months		
	r	P	r	P	r	P	r	P	
ΔAdiposity									
Δ BMI	0.370	<0.001	0.362	<0.001	-0.190	0.028	-0.190	<0.001	
Δ Abdominal circumference	0.265	0.002	0.311	<0.001	-0.017	0.843	-0.017	0.003	
Δ Fat mass	0.254	0.003	0.353	<0.001	-0.209	0.015	-0.209	<0.001	
ΔClinical and laboratory data									
Δ SBP	0.078	0.372	0.021	0.812	0.071	0.415	-0.066	0.451	
Δ DBP	0.165	0.057	0.119	0.172	0.106	0.226	0.044	0.612	
Δ TG	0.360	<0.001	0.194	0.025	0.102	0.241	0.080	0.359	
Δ HDL-C	-0.055	0.526	-0.196	0.024	0.203	0.019	0.369	<0.001	
Δ LDL-C	-0.114	0.193	-0.100	0.250	0.179	0.039	0.145	0.096	
Δ FPG	0.021	0.813	0.157	0.072	-0.132	0.130	-0.273	0.001	
Δ HbA1c	0.082	0.350	0.107	0.221	0.134	0.123	0.045	0.610	
Δ Insulin	-0.086	0.324	-0.037	0.676	0.040	0.649	0.081	0.355	
Δ HOMA-IR	-0.109	0.213	-0.044	0.615	0.024	0.782	0.070	0.425	
Δ AST	0.272	0.002	0.195	0.024	0.110	0.208	-0.066	0.454	
Δ ALT	0.216	0.012	0.161	0.064	0.118	0.176	-0.032	0.718	
Δ Log Hs-CRP	0.241	0.005	0.257	0.003	-0.062	0.480	-0.021	0.809	
Δ Angptl2	—	—	—	—	0.068	0.436	-0.186	0.032	
Δ Adiponectin	0.068	0.436	-0.186	0.032	—	—	—	—	

Abbreviations: Angptl2, angiotensin-like protein 2; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of the insulin resistance index; Hs-CRP, high sensitive C-reactive protein; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TG, triglyceride. Analysis was performed and adjusted by age (for adiposity) and BMI (for clinical and laboratory data). *r* and *P* indicate correlation coefficients and *P*-values, respectively.

Table 4 Multiple linear regression analysis to examine changes in clinical data mediated by the intervention

Target variable	Explanatory variable											
	Δ BMI		Δ Abdominal Circumference		Δ Fat mass		Δ Log Hs- CRP		Δ Angptl2		Δ Adiponectin	
	β	P	β	P	β	P	β	P	β	P	β	P
<i>From baseline to 3months</i>												
Δ DBP	0.023	0.872	0.151	0.223	-0.127	0.234	-0.079	0.379	0.197	0.04	0.056	0.53
Δ TG	-0.103	0.431	0.07	0.54	0.17	0.084	-0.195	0.019	0.42	<0.001	0.077	0.348
Δ HDL-C	-0.167	0.238	0.075	0.542	0.073	0.492	-0.041	0.649	-0.066	0.486	0.208	0.02
Δ LDL-C	0.531	<0.001	-0.175	0.135	0.045	0.655	0.032	0.701	-0.141	0.117	0.189	0.025
Δ AST	0.044	0.74	0.085	0.464	0.178	0.075	-0.009	0.919	0.268	0.003	0.111	0.183
Δ ALT	0.116	0.384	-0.039	0.739	0.241	0.017	-0.034	0.682	0.213	0.019	0.144	0.086
<i>From baseline to 6months</i>												
Δ TG	-0.079	0.642	0.168	0.272	0.088	0.455	-0.015	0.868	0.221	0.023	0.129	0.177
Δ HDL-C	-0.185	0.24	0.148	0.294	-0.048	0.659	-0.047	0.566	-0.123	0.166	0.338	<0.001
Δ FPG	0.176	0.277	-0.104	0.474	0.103	0.358	0.028	0.744	0.09	0.326	-0.257	0.005

Abbreviations: Angptl2, angiotensin-like protein 2; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of the insulin resistance index; Hs-CRP, high sensitive C-reactive protein; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TG, triglyceride. Target variables: changes in SBP, DBP, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, FPG, HbA1c, insulin, HOMA-IR, AST and ALT levels from intervention onset to 3 and 6 months. Explanatory variables: changes in BMI, abdominal circumference, fat mass, log hs-CRP, Angptl2 and adiponectin levels at 3- and 6-month time points.

We next performed multiple linear regression analysis to examine which changes contributed to improvement of clinical data. As shown in Table 4, changes in serum Angptl2 concentrations were positively correlated with diastolic blood pressure, TG, AST and ALT values, whereas changes in serum adiponectin concentrations were positively correlated with HDL-C values at 3 months. At the end of the program (6 months), changes in TG were positively correlated with serum Angptl2 concentrations, whereas changes in HDL-C were positively correlated with serum adiponectin concentrations, while FPG changes were inversely correlated with adiponectin levels.

Weight reduction rates and changes in laboratory parameters

At 3 and 6 months after the beginning of the intervention, subjects were grouped by the degree of weight reduction rate into 5 groups: a weight gain group (3 months; $n=19$, 6 months; $n=23$), the 0 to <2% weight reduction group, which was designated as the unchanged control group for the following analysis (3 months; $n=35$, 6 months; $n=32$), the 2 to <4% weight reduction group (3 months; $n=41$, 6 months; $n=26$), the 4 to <6% weight reduction group (3 months; $n=20$, 6 months; $n=17$ and the 6% or more weight reduction group (3 months; $n=20$, 6 months; $n=37$).

At the 3-month time point, one-way analysis revealed significant differences in alteration in LDL-C, FPG, AST and ALT among the 5 groups (Figure 2a). Multiple comparison analysis revealed significant decreases in LDL-C in the 6% or more weight reduction group and significant decreases in ALT in the 4 to <6% weight reduction group compared with the unchanged control group. At the 6-month time point, one-way analysis revealed significant differences in

alteration of HDL-C, LDL-C, FPG, HbA1c, AST and ALT among the 5 groups (Figure 2b). Multiple comparison analysis showed significant decreases in LDL-C in the 6% or more weight reduction group and decreases in AST and ALT in the 4-<6% group and 6% or more compared with the unchanged control group.

One-way analysis also revealed significant differences in alteration of serum Angptl2 levels among the 5 groups at both 3- and 6-month time points (Figures 2c and d), whereas significant alterations in serum adiponectin concentration were seen only at the 6 month time point. Serum Angptl2 concentrations tended to decrease with increased percentage of weight reduction, and the 6% or more weight reduction group showed a significant decrease relative to the unchanged control group at both the 3- and 6-month time points (Figures 2c and d). By contrast, serum adiponectin concentrations tended to increase with increased percentage of weight reduction, and the 6% or more weight reduction group showed a significant increase compared with the unchanged control group at 6 months after the beginning of the intervention, a change that was not observed at the 3-month time point (Figures 2c and d).

Discussion

We conducted a 3-month lifestyle intervention for men who were overweight and observed maintenance of weight reduction for another 3 months thereafter. Significant weight reduction was obtained, improved lipid and glucose metabolism and lowered plasma liver enzymes were observed immediately after the end of the 3-month lifestyle intervention. Moreover, improvement was maintained until the 6-month time point. Notably, decreased serum Angptl2

levels were seen immediately after the end of 3-month lifestyle intervention and continued for the entire 6-month period. This finding was significant in the 6% or more weight

reduction group. By contrast, an expected increase in serum adiponectin levels was observed only in subjects with $\geq 6\%$ weight reduction at the 6-month time point.




Figure 2 Changes in BP, laboratory parameters related to lipid and glucose metabolism and liver function, and serum Angptl2 and adiponectin levels in each weight reduction group. (a, b) Changes are evaluated at 3 (a) and 6 (b) months after beginning the intervention. (c, d) Changes in serum Angptl2 and adiponectin at 3 (c) and 6 (d) months after beginning the intervention. Vertical axes indicate changes in laboratory data, and the horizontal axes indicate percent weight reduction. Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance analysis of variance and compared between groups by multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni method. The Kruskal–Wallis test was used to evaluate changes in DBP and HbA1c at the 3-month point (a). Data are presented as means \pm s.e.m.




Figure 2 Continued.

In previously reported intervention studies, such as the Diabetes Prevention Program,²⁸ the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study²⁹ and the Malmo feasibility study,³⁰ longer 6- or 12-month interventions supported on a one-to-one basis by case managers were undertaken. By comparison, our intervention was mild, because only one or a few case workers were available to the subjects for 3 months. However, it is noteworthy that our intervention resulted in significant weight reduction and moreover, improvement was maintained for another 3 months after the end of the first 3-month intervention.

Many previous studies have shown that circulating adiponectin concentrations are inversely correlated with adiposity and BMI.⁶⁻⁹ Decreased adiponectin was found in cases of visceral fat accumulation, and, conversely, weight reduction promoted adiponectin increases.⁶⁻⁹ Here, significantly increased serum adiponectin levels were not detected until 6 months after the intervention was started, whereas loss of body weight and adiposity as estimated by BMI, abdominal circumference, percent fat and fat mass were detected at 3 months after the beginning of intervention. These facts might mean adiponectin responds to weight reduction slowly, so early changes in laboratory data might not be induced by adiponectin.

Recently, the multimeric adiponectin is considered the active form and is better correlated with metabolic parameters. Bobbert *et al.*⁷ reported that weight reduction brought increased quantities of high molecular weight isoforms of adiponectin, but total adiponectin showed no change. On the other hand, some studies have shown increased total adiponectin by lifestyle intervention.^{6,8}

In this study, we measured total adiponectin, so further studies will be needed for the multimeric adiponectin.

When we examined the association between weight reduction percentage and adiponectin levels, adiponectin began to increase in the >6% weight reduction group after 3 months and in the >4% weight reduction groups after 6 months; however, significant adiponectin increases were restricted to the >6% weight reduction groups after 6 months. Thus, greater weight reduction over longer follow-up periods are required to detect increases in circulating adiponectin after an intervention is initiated. Nonetheless, changes in HDL-C were positively correlated with serum adiponectin concentrations, and changes in FPG and adiposity as estimated by BMI, abdominal circumference and fat mass showed an inverse relationship with adiponectin levels. Overall, our observations suggest that adiponectin might not be a highly sensitive marker of improved metabolism at early time points when substantial weight loss is not yet apparent, but rather may be a good marker of metabolic improvement in the late phase based on normalization of adipocytes following significant weight loss. This idea is consistent with the idea that adiponectin is produced from only adipocytes, and its production from enlarged and/or inflammatory adipocytes seen in obesity is significantly decreased.⁶⁻⁹

Increased Angptl2 levels owing to visceral fat accumulation cause chronic inflammation and subsequent metabolic disturbance.²⁰⁻²² We found that Angptl2 levels tended to decrease immediately in subjects showing 2% or more weight reduction and continued until 3 months after the intervention. Angptl2 gradually decreased with increased

weight reduction, and those decreases in the 6% or more weight reduction group were significant compared with the unchanged control group, indicative of an early effect of weight reduction on improved metabolism. We speculate that differences in changes of circulating levels of Angptl2 and adiponectin after the intervention are due to the types of cells expressing each factor: Angptl2 is produced by adipocytes and other cell types, such as vascular endothelia cells and monocyte/macrophages, while adiponectin expression is restricted to adipocytes. Angptl2 level changes showed a significant positive correlation with changes in adiposity and levels of hs-CRP, TG, AST and ALT and a significant inverse correlation with HDL-C and adiponectin levels. By contrast, adiponectin levels showed a significant positive correlation with changes in HDL-C and a significant inverse correlation with the changes in FPG, adiposity and Angptl2. Interestingly, Angptl2 and adiponectin levels were inversely correlated. It is noteworthy that changes in Angptl2 levels are closely associated with changes in inflammation, TG metabolism and ALT, whereas changes in adiponectin are associated with glucose metabolism.

There are several limitations to this study. We used BIA method to determine body fat percentage. Some studies showed a good relationship between BIA and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry,³¹ whereas others indicate that the BIA method lacked accuracy.³² Further studies are required to evaluate Angptl2 as an appropriate marker of amelioration of obesity and its-related metabolic disturbances. In particular, it is important to determine whether changes of Angptl2 observed here apply over longer follow-up periods and to a wider population of subjects, such as females, or individuals with severe obesity or with metabolic disease.

In conclusion, we showed that a 3-month lifestyle intervention induced weight reduction and improved glucose and lipid metabolism, changes that continued for 3 months thereafter. Our findings indicate that decreased Angptl2 levels are a good indicator of reduced visceral fat and metabolic improvement at early stages of lifestyle intervention. Thus, Angptl2 reflects adiposity and might be a key protein to regulate inflammation and TG metabolism, whereas adiponectin levels could reflect improved glucose and HDL-C metabolism.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

We express our sincere appreciation to Mr Yoshiki Aratani, Ms Kaori Itakura, Ms Chiaki Ono, Mr Takuya Ozeki, Mr Masaki Wada and other members of the Aichi Health Plaza Comprehensive Health Science Center who

contributed to this study. Dr Kazuyo Tsushita was funded by the Health Labour Sciences Research Grant and Suzuken memorial foundation. Dr Yuichi Oike was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan (No. 21390245), and by grants from the Takeda Science Foundation, and the Tokyo Biochemical Research Foundation.

References

- 1 Lee IM, Manson JE, Hennekens CH, Paffenbarger Jr RS. Body weight and mortality. A 27-year follow-up of middle-aged men. *JAMA* 1993; **270**: 2823–2828.
- 2 Reaven GM. Syndrome X: 6 years later. *J Intern Med Suppl* 1994; **736**: 13–22.
- 3 Stevens J, Cai J, Pamuk ER, Williamson DF, Thun MJ, Wood JL. The effect of age on the association between body-mass index and mortality. *N Engl J Med* 1998; **338**: 1–7.
- 4 Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ. The metabolic syndrome. *Lancet* 2005; **365**: 1415–1428.
- 5 Mokdad AH, Ford ES, Bowman BA, Dietz WH, Vinicor F, Bales VS *et al*. Prevalence of obesity, diabetes, and obesity-related health risk factors, 2001. *JAMA* 2003; **289**: 76–79.
- 6 Esposito K, Pontillo A, Di Palo C, Giugliano G, Masella M, Marfella R *et al*. Effect of weight loss and lifestyle changes on vascular inflammatory markers in obese women: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 2003; **289**: 1799–1804.
- 7 Bobbert T, Rochlitz H, Wegewitz U *et al*. Changes of adiponectin oligomer composition by moderate weight reduction. *Diabetes* 2005; **54**: 2712–2719.
- 8 Mather KJ, Funahashi T, Matsuzawa Y, Edelstein S, Bray GA, Kahn SE *et al*. Adiponectin, change in adiponectin, and progression to diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *Diabetes* 2008; **57**: 980–986.
- 9 Madsen EL, Rissanen A, Bruun JM, Skogstrand K, Tonstad S, Hougaard DM *et al*. Weight loss larger than 10% is needed for general improvement of levels of circulating adiponectin and markers of inflammation in obese subjects: a 3-year weight loss study. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2008; **158**: 179–187.
- 10 Engström G, Hedblad B, Stavenow L, Lind P, Janzon L, Lindgärde F. Inflammation-sensitive plasma proteins are associated with future weight gain. *Diabetes* 2003; **52**: 2097–2101.
- 11 Festa A, D'Agostino Jr R, Howard G, Mykkanen L, Tracy RP, Haffner SM. Chronic subclinical inflammation as part of the insulin resistance syndrome: the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS). *Circulation* 2000; **102**: 42–47.
- 12 Festa A, D'Agostino Jr R, Tracy RP, Haffner SM. Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. Elevated levels of acute-phase proteins and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 predict the development of type 2 diabetes: the insulin resistance atherosclerosis study. *Diabetes* 2002; **51**: 1131–1137.
- 13 Koenig W, Sund M, Fröhlich M, Fischer HG, Löwel H, Döring A *et al*. C-Reactive protein, a sensitive marker of inflammation, predicts future risk of coronary heart disease in initially healthy middle-aged men: results from the MONICA (Monitoring Trends and Determinants in Cardiovascular Disease) Augsburg Cohort Study, 1984 to 1992. *Circulation* 1999; **99**: 237–242.
- 14 Retterstol L, Eikvar L, Bohn M, Bakken A, Erikssen J, Berg K. C-reactive protein predicts death in patients with previous premature myocardial infarction—a 10 year follow-up study. *Atherosclerosis* 2002; **160**: 433–440.
- 15 Jousilahti P, Salomaa V, Rasi V, Vahtera E, Palosuo T. The association of c-reactive protein, serum amyloid a and fibrinogen

- with prevalent coronary heart disease-baseline findings of the PAIS project. *Atherosclerosis* 2001; **156**: 451–456.
- 16 Kopp HP, Kopp CW, Festa A, Krzyzanowska K, Kriwanek S, Minar E *et al*. Impact of weight loss on inflammatory proteins and their association with the insulin resistance syndrome in morbidly obese patients. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2003; **23**: 1042–1047.
 - 17 Ryan AS, Nicklas BJ. Reductions in plasma cytokine levels with weight loss improve insulin sensitivity in overweight and obese postmenopausal women. *Diabetes Care* 2004; **27**: 1699–1705.
 - 18 Heilbronn LK, Noakes M, Clifton PM. Energy restriction and weight loss on very-low-fat diets reduce C-reactive protein concentrations in obese, healthy women. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2001; **21**: 968–970.
 - 19 Yatsuya H, Jeffery RW, Langer SL, Mitchell N, Flood AP, Welsh EM *et al*. Changes in C-reactive protein during weight loss and the association with changes in anthropometric variables in men and women: LIFE Study. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2010; **35**: 684–691.
 - 20 Tabata M, Kadomatsu T, Fukuhara S, Miyata K, Ito Y, Endo M *et al*. Angiopoietin-like protein 2 promotes chronic adipose tissue inflammation and obesity-related systemic insulin resistance. *Cell Metab* 2009; **10**: 178–188.
 - 21 Oike Y, Tabata M. Angiopoietin-like proteins-potential therapeutic targets for metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease. *Circ J* 2009; **73**: 2192–2197.
 - 22 Ouchi N, Parker JL, Lugus JJ, Walsh K. Adipokines in inflammation and metabolic disease. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2011; **11**: 85–97.
 - 23 The Examination Committee of Criteria for 'Obesity Disease' in Japan, Japan Society for the Study of Obesity. New criteria for 'obesity disease'. *Japan Circ J* 2002; **66**: 987–992.
 - 24 Seino Y, Nanjo K, Tajima N, Kadowaki T, Kashiwagi A, Araki E *et al*. Report of the Committee on the classification and diagnostic criteria of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetol Int* 2010; **1**: 2–20.
 - 25 Haffner SM, Miettinen H, Stern MP. The homeostasis model in the San Antonio Heart Study. *Diabetes Care* 1997; **20**: 1087–1092.
 - 26 Wallace TM, Levy JC, Matthews DR. Use and abuse of HOMA modeling. *Diabetes Care* 2004; **27**: 1487–1495.
 - 27 Okada T, Tsukano H, Endo M, Tabata M, Miyata K, Kadomatsu T *et al*. Synoviocyte-derived Angiopoietin-like protein 2 contributes to synovial chronic inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis. *Am J Pathol* 2010; **176**: 2309–2319.
 - 28 Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE *et al*. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med* 2002; **346**: 393–403.
 - 29 Tuomilehto J, Lindstrom J, Eriksson JG *et al*. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *N Engl J Med* 2001; **344**: 1343–1350.
 - 30 Eriksson KF, Lindgärde F. Prevention of type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus by diet and physical exercise. The 6-year Malmö feasibility study. *Diabetologia* 1991; **34**: 891–898.
 - 31 Bolanowski M, Nilsson RE. Assessment of human body composition using dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry and bioelectrical impedance analysis. *Med Sci Monit* 2001; **5**: 1029–1033.
 - 32 Pateyjohns IR, Brinkworth GD, Buckley JD, Noakes M, Clifton PM. Comparison of three bioelectrical impedance methods with DXA in overweight and obese men. *Obesity* 2006; **14**: 2064–2070.



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>

Original Article

Does Maintenance of Body Shape Contribute to Health in Middle-Aged Women? -Relationship Between Changes in Body Shape During Over Thirty Years and Laboratory Findings-Akemi Ozeki ¹⁾, Akiko Muramoto ¹⁾, Yumiko Tanmatsu ²⁾, Taizou Kishimoto ²⁾, Akio Shinozaki ²⁾, Kazuyo Tsushita ¹⁾

1) Division of Health Development, Comprehensive Health Science Center, Aichi Health Promotion Foundation

2) Wacoal Corp, Human Science Research Center

Abstract

Body weight gain or obesity has been reported to increase the risk of developing lifestyle-related diseases. However, few longitudinal studies have examined the relationship between body shape change and health, and the few that do exist used body shapes reported by the subjects.

We investigated whether or not maintaining a “youthful” body shape helps prevent the development of lifestyle-related diseases in healthy women. Changes in body shape in a group of healthy women were evaluated over 30 years starting from sometime in their 20s by visually judging pictures of the subjects and using body shape vectors. Subjects were classified into two groups: a group of 12 (mean age: 44.9±5.1 years) who were judged to have maintained their youthful body shape (maintained group) and a group of 16 (mean age: 47.5±6.1 years) who were judged not to have maintained their shape (non-maintained group). Body size and composition measurements were then compared with biochemical markers that measure the risk of developing lifestyle-related diseases. Mean body weight in the maintained group was 4.0 kg less than in the non-maintained group, while body fat mass was 4.6 kg less. In addition, the maintained group showed better scores for biochemical and pulse wave velocity tests.

Taken together, our results suggest that women who maintain their youthful body shape into their later years have a reduced risk of the lifestyle-related diseases that frequently accompany age.

KEY WORDS: middle-aged women, body shape, aging, lifestyle-related disease, PWV**Introduction**

According to the 2008 National Health and Nutrition Survey in Japan, the percentage of obese Japanese women has steadily decreased since 2000 ¹⁾, a trend attributed more to women's efforts to maintain their youthful body shape than to prevent disease ^{2,3)}, as even those with normal body shapes aspire for further weight loss to counter typical body shape changes that accompany pregnancy, menopause, and aging ^{4,5)}.

While several studies have investigated the relationship between weight change, obesity, and visceral fat with age and the risk of developing lifestyle-related diseases ⁶⁻⁹⁾, the effect of maintaining one's youthful body shape (in terms of appearance) on reducing such risks has been given significantly less attention, particularly in healthy women.

We therefore investigated the relationship between body-shape changes evaluated objectively by body shape vectors and risk of developing lifestyle-related diseases in generally healthy middle-aged women over a 30-year period.

Subjects

The Human Science Research Center at the Wacoal Corp. (Kyoto-city, Kyoto) has performed continuous physical measurements on female subjects since 1964, including 101 subjects who have been studied over 30 years (third to sixth decade of life).

The 101 initial subjects were classified into two groups by visually judging their body shape using standardized pictures of the subjects (described further in Methods below) and the body shape vector method proposed by Kurokawa *et al.* ¹⁰⁾ Based on these results, the subjects were divided into two groups: one consisting of 25 subjects who had maintained their youthful body shapes (maintained group) and 76 who did not (non-maintained group). Subjects in both groups were then asked to undergo physical measurements and laboratory tests to assess risk of developing lifestyle-related diseases on scheduled dates. Twenty-eight subjects ultimately agreed (mean age: 46.4±5.7 years): 12 from the maintained group (mean age: 44.9±5.1 years) and 16 from the non-maintained group (mean age: 47.5±6.1 years) (*Fig. 1*).




Fig. 1. Selection of subjects

Methods

Evaluating and classifying body shape

Visual judgment of body shape was performed using standardized pictures of the subjects in their undergarments taken from their front, diagonal, side, and back in the standing position, with their ears and eyes on the same horizontal line as determined by Martin's anthropometry. Classification of body shape as maintained or non-maintained was based on measuring whether or not the bust was sagging, the waist had vanished, the hips were flattened or sagging, the entire abdomen protruded, and a backward tilt and abdominal protrusion were evident. Body shape evaluations were made by 5 researchers who each evaluate 500-1000 people annually and were capable of performing Martin's anthropometry with a measurement error of less than 5 mm.

The front and side view body shape vectors for the earliest and latest age recorded for each subject were obtained via Kurokawa's method¹⁰⁾. To obtain body shape vectors, the vertical or y-axis was set at the jugular notch of the superior margin of the sternum for both the front and side views, while the horizontal or x-axis was set at the jugular notch of the superior margin of the sternum for the front view and at the midaxillary middle point and the inferior margin of the pubic symphysis for the side view. Differences in the vector elemental values between the two ages corresponding to the five aforementioned criteria were calculated by the methods described in *Table 1* and *Fig. 2*. The rank sum of the five items was obtained to which the subjects were ranked in ascending order. Using this order and the results from the pictures, the subjects were divided into two groups.

Measuring body size and body composition

The abdominal circumference of each subject was measured at the umbilical level in the standing position with light exhalation based on the abdominal circumference measurement method for metabolic syndrome diagnostic criteria described in the Standardized Program for Health Checkups and Health Consultation Program (final version)¹¹⁾. Body fat percentage, muscle mass of the trunk and limbs, and body fat mass were measured via dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) using QDR-1500A (HOLOGIC, Inc., Bedford, MA, USA). Bone mineral density (BMD) was

measured at lumbar vertebrae 2-4 via DXA and compared with the young-adult-matched (YAM) value and the average value of the same age group. In addition, bone mineral densities of the entire body as well as 10 separate body parts (left and right arms, left and right ribs, thoracic and lumbar vertebrae, pelvis, left and right legs, head) were evaluated via DXA total body scan.

Clinical laboratory tests

Biochemical analyses were conducted to quantify lifestyle-related disease markers such as total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), HDL cholesterol (HDL-C), LDL cholesterol (LDL-C), fasting plasma glucose (FPG), uric acid (UA), hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and γ -glutamyl transpeptidase (γ GTP). Blood pressure and pulse wave velocity (PWV) were measured using a BP-203RPEII (Omron Colin, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo). For PWV, the brachial-ankle PWV (baPWV) and ankle-brachial index (ABI) were studied.

Table 1 Body shape evaluation criteria by macroscopic observation of pictures and body shape vectors

	Visual judgment evaluation criteria	Body shape vector measurements (See Fig. 2 for body shape vectors)
Bust	Sagging	Jugular notch of the sternum – nipple height
Abdomen	Protruding	Side view: coordinates (1), (4), (7), and (11)
Waist	Vanished	Front view: coordinates (5) and (13)
Hips	Flattened and/or sagging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The most protruding point of the hip curve – deepest point of the back curve. • Hip height
Posture	Backwards tilt and protruding abdomen	Side view: (tilt) most protruding point of the back curve – most protruding point of the hip curve; (protrusion) most protruding point of the abdomen – jugular notch of the superior margin of the sternum




Fig. 2. Sequence of points represented by the body shape vector of Kurokawa *et al.* and the points used for the evaluation criteria.

Lifestyle assessment

We asked subjects to fill in a questionnaire about daily exercise and dietary habit. We assessed the amount of energy intake and the ratio of fat intake by using a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire.

Ethical considerations

The Human Science Research Center at Wacoal Corp. has been recruiting subjects since 1964 by advertising in popular media. At enrollment, subjects were informed both verbally and in writing of the purpose and disclosure of the measured data, the method of measurements, details of the monitors' activities, management of the collected data, and the extent of publication and confidentiality. Written consent was obtained from all participating subjects. Procedures for enrollment of monitors, physical measurements, regulations regarding publication of pictures, and all other regulatory matters were approved by the Ethics Review Committee at Wacoal Corp.

Subjects who volunteered to receive laboratory tests associated with lifestyle-related diseases were informed verbally and in writing of the purpose of using their laboratory data and the details of the examinations. Subjects were further informed that the use of laboratory data in the study depended on their discretion and that no benefits would be lost in the case of refusal, privacy would always be protected, and the laboratory data would be used only for the purpose of this study. Again, written consent was given by all participants. The use of laboratory data was approved by the Ethics Review Committee of Comprehensive Health Science Center, Aichi Health Promotion Foundation.

Statistical analyses

All data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation. The Mann-Whitney test was used for between-group data comparisons. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS14.0 J for Windows. The level of significance was less than 5%.

Results

Physical size and body composition data

Physical size and body composition at baseline and present are given in *Table 2*. There was no significant difference in both body mass index (BMI) and body weight between groups at baseline.

In the maintained group, no significant BMI and body weight change was observed, whereas BMI changed significantly in the non-maintained group from 19.4 ± 1.3 kg/m² to 21.8 ± 2.4 kg/m² and body weight gain was 5.9 ± 6.7 kg.

Mean BMI at present and body fat mass as measured by DXA were found to be significantly lower in the maintained group than in the non-maintained group. BMI categories as defined by the Japan Society for the Study of Obesity¹²⁾ showed that most subjects had normal weight in both groups (83.3% in the maintained group and 81.3% in the non-maintained group).

Regarding body fat percentages, 11 subjects (91.7%) had values within normal range in the maintained group, while in the non-maintained group, 6 subjects (31.3%) had values within normal range. With regards to fat mass by body part, consistent with the overall body fat percentage, these values were significantly lower in both the arms and trunk of the maintained group (*Table 3*).

When compared with YAM values, the mean BMD in the maintained and non-maintained groups were $94.5\% \pm 10.3\%$ and $96.0\% \pm 10.6\%$, respectively. No statistically significant differences in BMD were noted between groups or by body part. Upon excluding post-menopausal subjects from analysis, however, BMD in the pelvis was found to be significantly lower in the maintained group (*Table 4*).

Values associated with lifestyle-related diseases

While the mean values for all biochemical results were within the normal range for both groups, the values for TC and TG were significantly higher in the non-maintained group than in the maintained group (*Table 5*).

Respective mean values of baPWV, which indicates the

Table 2 *Physical size and body composition at approximately 50 years old*

	Maintained group (n=12)		Non-maintained group (n=16)	
	Baseline	present	Baseline	present
Height (cm)	159.7 ± 3.1	159.8 ± 2.9	157.1 ± 5.8	157.1 ± 6.0
Body weight (kg)	50.9 ± 4.1	49.8 ± 3.2	48.0 ± 4.8	53.9 ± 6.8 **
BMI (kg/m ²)	20.0 ± 1.5	19.5 ± 1.4	19.4 ± 1.3	21.8 ± 2.4 ** ††
Abdominal circumference (cm)	—	69.4 ± 4.2	—	78.7 ± 7.1 †††
Body fat percentage (%)	—	24.6 ± 4.4	—	31.1 ± 3.6 †††
Bone mineral mass (kg)	—	2.0 ± 0.2	—	1.9 ± 0.3
Muscle mass (kg)	—	35.9 ± 2.8	—	35.4 ± 4.0
Fat mass (kg)	—	12.4 ± 2.5	—	17.0 ± 3.5 ††

BMI, body mass index, —, data was not obtained.

Values presented as mean ± standard deviation

Non-parametric test (Wilcoxon signed-rank test) ***p*<0.01 compared with baseline(20-29y) within the same group

Non-parametric test (Mann-Whitney test) ††*p*<0.01, †††*p*<0.001 between groups at present

Table 3 *Fat mass (g) by body part at approximately 50 years old*

	Maintained group (n=12)	Non-maintained group (n=16)
Left arm	675.4 ± 190.4	968.5 ± 213.8 **
Right arm	646.7 ± 193.1	928.0 ± 181.4 **
Body trunk	4891.6 ± 1370.2	7803.9 ± 1913.9 ***
Left leg	2670.4 ± 596.3	3193.7 ± 942.1
Right leg	2625.0 ± 573.0	3238.9 ± 951.2
Head	884.2 ± 63.8	882.6 ± 59.0

Values presented as mean ± standard deviation

Non-parametric test (Mann-Whitney test)

p*<0.05, *p*<0.01, ****p*<0.001

Table 4 *Bone mineral density (g/cm²) by body part at approximately 50 years old (excluding post-menopause subjects)*

Body part	Maintenance group (n=11)	Non-maintenance group (n=12)
Left arm	0.676 ± 0.047	0.675 ± 0.043
Right arm	0.696 ± 0.044	0.698 ± 0.055
Left ribs	0.599 ± 0.068	0.595 ± 0.064
Right ribs	0.590 ± 0.056	0.593 ± 0.074
Thoracic vertebrae	0.831 ± 0.114	0.841 ± 0.095
Lumbar vertebrae	0.986 ± 0.122	1.050 ± 0.113
Pelvis	1.059 ± 0.103	1.172 ± 0.011 *
Left leg	1.096 ± 0.105	1.077 ± 0.083
Right leg	1.089 ± 0.101	1.082 ± 0.091
Head	2.240 ± 0.314	2.380 ± 0.241

Values presented as mean ± standard deviation

Non-parametric test (Mann-Whitney test)

p*<0.05, *p*<0.01, ****p*<0.001

Table 5 *Laboratory values associated with lifestyle-related diseases*

	Maintenance group (n=12)	Non-maintenance group (n=16)
SBP (mmHg)	107.4 ± 13.4	110.7 ± 12.4
DBP (mmHg)	60.9 ± 10.0	66.7 ± 9.0
TC (mg/dl)	186.3 ± 30.2	208.4 ± 26.1 *
TG (mg/dl)	54.9 ± 15.4	92.7 ± 45.3 **
HDL-C (mg/dl)	76.8 ± 10.3	75.0 ± 13.9
LDL-C (mg/dl)	101.9 ± 32.3	119.8 ± 23.0
FPG (mg/dl)	89.7 ± 6.3	93.4 ± 7.4
HbA1c (%)	4.8 ± 0.2	4.8 ± 0.3
Uric acid (mg/dl)	3.8 ± 0.7	4.3 ± 1.0
AST (IU/l)	18.7 ± 2.8	18.3 ± 6.7
ALT (IU/l)	16.2 ± 5.5	17.4 ± 16.6
γ-GTP (IU/l)	13.6 ± 2.9	19.9 ± 15.2
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	12.6 ± 0.8	12.6 ± 1.4
Albumin (g/dl)	4.4 ± 0.2	4.4 ± 0.3

SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; TC, total cholesterol;

TG, triglycerides; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C,

low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c,

hemoglobin A1c; UA, uric acid; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT,

alanine aminotransferase; γGTP, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase

Values presented as mean ± standard deviation

Non-parametric test (Mann-Whitney test)

p*<0.05, *p*<0.01, ****p*<0.001