

and Prime STARTM HS DNA polymerase (TaKaRa, Otsu, Japan) with following primers: *FGF3*, forward 5'-GG GAA TTC GCC GCC ATG GGC CTA ATC TGG CTG CTA-3' and reverse 5'-CC CTC GAG GCC CAG CTA GTG CGC ACT GGC CTC'; *FGF4*, forward 5'-GG GAA TTC GCC GCC ATG TCG GGG CCC GGG ACG GCC GCG GTA GCG C-3' and reverse 5'-CC CTC GAG GGA GGG TCA CAG CCT GGG GAG GAA GTG GGT GAC CTT C-3'. The stable transfectants expressing *EGFP* or *FGF3* or *FGF4* for each cell line were designated as A549/EGFP, A549/FGF3 and A549/FGF4.

Xenograft studies

Nude mice (BALB/c nu/nu; 6 week-old females; CLEA Japan Inc., Tokyo) were used for the *in vivo* studies and were cared for in accordance with the recommendations for the handling of laboratory animals for biomedical research compiled by the Committee on Safety and Ethical Handling Regulations for Laboratory Animal Experiments, Kinki University. Mice were subcutaneously inoculated with a total of 5×10^6 A549/EGFP, A549/FGF3 or A549/FGF4 cells.

Two weeks after inoculation, the mice were randomized according to tumor size into two groups to equalize the mean pre-treatment tumor size among the three

groups (n=20 in each group). The mice were then treated with a low dose of sorafenib (n=10, 15 mg/kg/day, p.o.) or the vehicle control (n=10, Cremophor EL/ethanol/water, p.o.) for 9 days. The tumor volume was calculated as the length x width² x 0.5 and was assessed every 2-3 days.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analyses were performed to test for differences between groups using the Student *t*-test or Fisher exact test. A *p* value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All the analyses were performed using PAWS Statistics 18 (SPSS Japan Inc., Tokyo, Japan).

Results

Responder to sorafenib who harbored *FGF3/FGF4* gene amplification

A 58-year-old female was diagnosed as having histologically confirmed advanced HCC (Pt. No. 9, Fig. 1A, left panel) with multiple lung metastases. The patient received combination treatment with sorafenib, 5FU and interferon, and a subsequent treatment assessment showed a partial response. Since the disease was well-controlled by sorafenib treatment for 14 months (Fig. 1A, right panel), surgery was performed. To characterize this tumor molecularly, we performed an array-CGH analysis using frozen surgical specimens of the HCC region and paired background liver tissue as a reference control. The array-CGH analysis revealed a low-level gain in the genomic DNA copy number for 1q, 8q, 10p, and 18p and a high level gain at 11q13 (Fig. 1B). Interestingly, the 11q13 region, a rare amplicon in HCC which contains several genes including *fibroblast growth factor 3 (FGF3)*, *FGF4*, *cyclin D1 (CCND1)* and *FGF19*, was highly amplified over 20 copies (Fig. 1C). A western blot analysis showed that FGF3 was overexpressed in the HCC specimen, compared with the paired background liver specimen (Fig. 1D).

The 11q13 locus is known to be a frequently amplified region in several human cancers except for HCC.¹³ Thus, we hypothesized that the amplification of 11q13 may be involved in a marked response to sorafenib.

***FGF3/FGF4* gene amplification is frequently observed in responders to sorafenib**

To address the question of whether *FGF3/FGF4* gene amplification is also found in the HCC of other responders to sorafenib, we examined HCC specimens collected from 11 other medical centers in Japan. Because most of the HCC samples were collected as FFPE samples, we used a TaqMan Copy number assay.¹⁰ A copy number assay revealed that *FGF3/FGF4* amplification was observed in three of the ten (30%) HCC samples that responded to sorafenib, whereas no amplification was observed in the 38 specimens from patients with stable or progressive disease ($p=0.006$, Fig. 2A). The copy numbers for *FGF3/FGF4* were $10.2 \pm 0.8/6.7 \pm 0.8$, $26.7 \pm 0.4/35.1 \pm 3.1$ and $162.5 \pm 9.0/165.0 \pm 12.5$ copies in the amplified samples, while the copy numbers of *FGF3* for all the others samples were below 5 copies. The correlation between the *FGF3* locus and the *FGF4* locus copy numbers was very high ($R=0.998$),

indicating that the DNA copy number assay for *FGF3/FGF4* was a sensitive and reproducible method.

***FGF3/FGF4* gene amplification mediates the overexpression of *FGF3/FGF4* mRNA**

We examined the mRNA expression levels of *FGF3/FGF4* in nine HCC samples that were available as frozen samples among the 48 sorafenib-treated samples, as shown in Fig. 2A. One amplified sample (Pt. No. 9) expressed extremely high mRNA levels of *FGF3/FGF4*, compared with non-amplified samples (Fig. 2B).

The results demonstrated that *FGF3/FGF4* gene amplification mediates the overexpression of *FGF3/FGF4* mRNAs and proteins (Fig. 2B and 1D).

FISH analysis confirmed *FGF3/FGF4* gene amplification

We used a FISH analysis to examine *FGF3/FGF4* amplification and to verify the results of the above PCR-based DNA copy number assay. All *FGF3/FGF4*-amplified clinical samples were confirmed as exhibiting high level *FGF3*-amplification using a FISH analysis (Fig. 3). One patient showed multiple scattered signals (Pt. No. 9), while two patients showed large clustered signals

(Pt. Nos. 10 and 8). Non-amplified HCC (Pt. No. 25) showed a negative result for gene amplification. These results clearly demonstrated the presence of *FGF3/FGF4*-amplified HCC among the clinical samples, and the FISH analysis results were consistent with those for the copy number assay.

Frequency of *FGF3/FGF4* gene amplification in HCC

To determine the frequency of *FGF3/FGF4* gene amplification in HCC, we performed a copy number assay for HCC samples without sorafenib treatment in a series of surgical specimens. Two of the 82 (2.4%) HCC samples exhibited *FGF3/FGF4* gene amplification, with copy numbers of 10.7/15.3 and 133.3/112.7 copies, respectively (Fig. 4). One amplified HCC was a poorly differentiated tumor, while the other was a moderately differentiated tumor.

Clinicopathological features of responders to sorafenib

Detailed clinicopathological features of sorafenib-responders were shown in Table 1. Comparison of clinical factors including age, sex, viral status, AFP level, PIVKA-II, clinical stage, primary tumor size, metastatic status, histological type and tumor response between responders and non-responders was described in

Table 2. Notably, multiple lung metastases over five nodules was significantly higher among responders to sorafenib (responders, 5/13, 38%; non-responders, 2/42, 5%; $p=0.006$). Although the difference was not significant, poorly differentiated HCC tended to be more common among responders to sorafenib (responders, 5/13, 38%; non-responders, 6/42, 14%; $p=0.13$). These results suggest that multiple lung metastases and a poorly differentiated histology may be clinical biomarkers for sorafenib treatment in patients with HCC.

Sorafenib potently inhibits cellular growth in *FGF3/FGF4*-amplified and *FGFR2*-amplified cell lines

We examined the growth inhibitory effect of sorafenib in various cancer cell lines to evaluate whether activated FGFR signaling is involved in the response to sorafenib. Among 26 cell lines, KYSE220 was the only *FGF3/FGF4*-amplified cell line (data not shown), while HSC-43, HSC-39 and KATOIII were the only *FGFR2*-amplified cell lines.¹⁴ Sorafenib potently inhibited cellular growth in these four cell lines at a sub- μM IC_{50} (Fig. 5A). The IC_{50} values were as follows: HSC43, 0.8 μM ; HSC39, 0.6 μM ; KATOIII, 0.4 μM and KYSE220, 0.18 μM . These results suggest that activated FGFR signaling may be involved in the response to

sorafenib.

Sorafenib inhibits tumor growth in *FGF4*-introducing cell lines *in vivo*

Finally, we established cancer cell lines stably overexpressing *EGFP*, *FGF3* or *FGF4* to examine the relationship between the gene function of *FGF3* or *FGF4* and drug sensitivity to sorafenib *in vivo*. Western blotting confirmed that exogenously expressed *FGF3* and *FGF4* were secreted into the culture medium (Figure 5B). Sorafenib inhibited the *FGF4*-conditioned-medium-mediated expression levels of phosphorylated-FGFR (Figure 5C). A similar result was obtained using recombinant *FGF4* (data not shown). Mice inoculated with these cell lines were treated with a low dose of sorafenib (15 mg/kg/day, p.o.) or without sorafenib (vehicle control, p.o.). *FGF3* overexpression did not increase the tumor volume compared with *EGFP* tumors; however *FGF4* overexpression aggressively increased tumor volume and clearly enhanced the malignant phenotype (Figure 5D). Notably, the low-dose sorafenib treatment significantly inhibited the growth of the A549/*FGF4* tumors, while it was not effective against A549/*EGFP* and A549/*FGF3* tumors (Figure 5D). These results suggested that the overexpression of *FGF4* is partially involved in the response to sorafenib.

Discussion

The *FGF3* gene was first identified and characterized based on its similarity to the mouse *fgf3/int-2* gene, which is a proto-oncogene activated in virally induced mammary tumors in mice.¹⁵ Meanwhile, the *FGF4* gene was first identified in gastric cancer as an oncogene *HST*, which has the ability to induce the neoplastic transformation of NIH 3T3 cells upon transfection.¹⁶ These genes were initially regarded as proto-oncogenes. *FGF3* and *FGF4* genes are located side-by-side and are also closely located to the *FGF19* and *cyclin D1 (CCND1)* genes (within 0.2 Mb of the 11q13 region).¹³ The 11q13 region is known as a gene-dense region, and gene amplification of this region is frequently observed in various solid cancers including breast cancer, squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck, esophageal cancer, and melanoma at frequencies of 13 – 60%.¹³ On the other hand, the frequency of *FGF3/FGF4* amplification in HCC remains largely unclear. Relatively small cohort studies have shown that 1 out of 20 HCC exhibited *FGF3* amplification as determined using a CGH analysis,¹⁷ and 3 out of 45 HCC examined using a Southern blot analysis had a copy number of over 5;¹⁸ meanwhile, amplification was not detected in 0 out of 42 surgically resected HCCs.¹⁹ In the present study, two of the 82 (2.4%) HCC

samples exhibited *FGF3/FGF4* gene amplification in the HCC series. If only 2-3% of HCC patients harbor the *FGF3/FGF4* amplification, its value as a biomarker seems to be limited in clinics because a frequency of 2-3% is too low to stratify the patients for specific targeted therapy. However, a combination of biomarkers including *FGF3/FGF4* amplification, lung metastasis, tumor differentiation and other unrevealed dysregulation of FGFR signaling may increase the response prediction. In addition, 2-3% of *FGF3/FGF4* amplification may be a promising therapeutic target for future FGFR-targeted therapies in the treatment of HCCs.

Tumor shrinkage might be due to the mixed effect (sorafenib + 5FU + interferon) of combination therapy in the initially described patient (Pt. No. 9). However, during this patient's long clinical course, tumor regrowth was observed following withdrawal of sorafenib because of oral hemorrhage, and tumor re-shrinkage was observed when sorafenib treatment recommenced. Thus, we considered that tumor shrinkage might be achieved by the effect of sorafenib on its own, rather than 5FU + interferon.

Regarding determinants of drug sensitivity to sorafenib, the mechanism of hypersensitivity in the gastric cancer cell lines HSC-39, HSC-43 and KATO-III is

FGFR2 gene amplification and thought to be the addiction of these cell lines to this gene,¹⁴ since sorafenib has a relatively weak but significant inhibitory effect on *FGFR1* at a concentration of 580 ± 100 nM.³ This result suggests that the blockade of *FGFR* signaling by sorafenib may lead to a significant treatment response, at least in *FGFR2* amplified cells. In this study, we found that *FGF4*, but not *FGF3* overexpression, was partially involved in the sensitivity to sorafenib *in vivo*. The limitations of the study are the small number of responder patients and the potential bias in their selection because of the retrospective study design. Further clinical study of responders to sorafenib is necessary. We are presently undertaking a prospective molecular translational study (2010–2012) in a cohort of Japanese patients with sorafenib-treated HCC.

Multiple lung metastases were frequently observed among responders to sorafenib (38%) but were less common among non-responders (5%). Based on a Japanese follow-up survey of patients with primary HCC, lung metastasis was observed in 7% (169/2355) of the patients at the time of autopsy.²⁰ Another study demonstrated that 15% of patients were found to have extrahepatic metastases, and lung metastasis was detected in 6% of 995 consecutive HCC patients.²¹ When compared with these data from large-scale studies, the frequency of lung

metastasis among responders to sorafenib seems quite high. In addition, a poorly differentiated histological type tended to be more common among responders, although the correlation was not significant.

In conclusion, we found that *FGF3/FGF4* gene amplification, multiple lung metastases, and a poorly differentiated histological type may be involved in the response to sorafenib.

References

1. Jemal A, Murray T, Ward E, Samuels A, Tiwari RC, Ghafoor A, et al. Cancer statistics, 2005. *Ca Cancer J Clin* 2005;55:10-30.
2. Yamamoto J, Kosuge T, Takayama T, Shimada K, Yamasaki S, Ozaki H, et al. Recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after surgery. *Br J Surg* 1996;83:1219-1222.
3. Wilhelm SM, Carter C, Tang L, Wilkie D, McNabola A, Rong H, et al. BAY 43-9006 exhibits broad spectrum oral antitumor activity and targets the RAF/MEK/ERK pathway and receptor tyrosine kinases involved in tumor progression and angiogenesis. *Cancer Res* 2004;64:7099-7109.
4. Llovet JM, Ricci S, Mazzaferro V, Hilgard P, Gane E, Blanc JF, et al. SHARP Investigators Study Group. Sorafenib in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 2008;359:378-390.
5. Cheng AL, Kang YK, Chen Z, Tsao CJ, Qin S, Kim JS, et al. Efficacy and safety of sorafenib in patients in the Asia-Pacific region with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: a phase III randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2009;10:25-34.
6. So BJ, Bekaii-Saab T, Bloomston MA, Patel T. Complete clinical response of

metastatic hepatocellular carcinoma to sorafenib in a patient with hemochromatosis: a case report. *J HematolOncol.* 2008;1:18.

7. Nakazawa T, Hidaka H, Shibuya A, Koizumi W. Rapid regression of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma associated with elevation of des-gamma-carboxyprothrombin after short-term treatment with sorafenib - a report of two cases. *Case Rep Oncol.* 2010;3:298-303.

8. Paez JG, Janne PA, Lee JC, Tracy S, Greulich H, Gabriel S, et al. EGFR mutations in lung cancer: correlation with clinical response to gefitinib therapy. *Science* 2004;304:1497-1500.

9. Lynch TJ, Bell DW, Sordella R, Gurubhagavatula S, Okimoto RA, Brannigan BW, et al. Activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor underlying responsiveness of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;350:2129-2139.

10. Matsumoto K, Arai T, Hamaguchi T, Shimada Y, Kato K, Oda I, et al. FGFR2 gene amplification and clinicopathological features in gastric cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2012;14:727-732.

11. Matsumoto K, Arai T, Tanaka K, Kaneda H, Kudo K, Fujita Y, et al. mTOR signal and hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha regulate CD133 expression in

- cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 2009;69:7160-7164.
12. Kaneda H, Arao T, Tanaka K, Tamura D, Aomatsu K, Kudo K, et al. FOXQ1 is overexpressed in colorectal cancer and enhances tumorigenicity and tumor growth. *Cancer Res* 2010;70:2053-2063.
13. Ormandy CJ, Musgrove EA, Hui R, Daly RJ, Sutherland RL. Cyclin D1, EMS1 and 11q13 amplification in breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2003;78:323-335.
14. Takeda M, Arao T, Yokote H, Komatsu T, Yanagihara K, Sasaki H, et al. AZD2171 shows potent antitumor activity against gastric cancer over-expressing fibroblast growth factor receptor 2/keratinocyte growth factor receptor. *Clin Cancer Res* 2007;13:3051-3057.
15. Peters G, Brookes S, Smith R, Dickson C. Tumorigenesis by mouse mammary tumor virus: evidence for a common region for provirus integration in mammary tumors. *Cell* 1983;33:369-377.
16. Sakamoto H, Mori M, Taira M, Yoshida T, Matsukawa S, Shimizu K, et al. Transforming gene from human stomach cancers and a noncancerous portion of stomach mucosa. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1986;83:3997-4001.
17. Takeo S, Arai H, Kusano N, Harada T, Furuya T, Kawauchi S, et al.

Accepted Article
Examination of oncogene amplification by genomic DNA microarray in hepatocellular carcinomas: comparison with comparative genomic hybridization analysis. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 2001;130:127-132.

18. Nishida N, Fukuda Y, Komeda T, Kita R, Sando T, Furukawa M, et al.

Amplification and overexpression of the cyclin D1 gene in aggressive human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 1994;54:3107-3110.

19. Chochi Y, Kawauchi S, Nakao M, Furuya T, Hashimoto K, Oga A, et al. A

copy number gain of the 6p arm is linked with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: an array-based comparative genomic hybridization study. *J Pathol* 2009;217:677-684.

20. Ikai I, Arii S, Ichida T, Okita K, Omata M, Kojiro M, et al. Report of the 16th

follow-up survey of primary liver cancer. *Hepatol Res* 2005;32:163-172.

21. Uka K, Aikata H, Takaki S, Shirakawa H, Jeong SC, Yamashina K, et al.

Clinical features and prognosis of patients with extrahepatic metastases from hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2007;13:414-420.

Table 1. Clinicopathological characteristics in responders of sorafenib

No.	Age (Y)	Sex	Viral status	AFP (ng/ml)	PIVKA (mAU/ml)	Stage	Primary Liver	Meta Lung	Meta Others	Histological type	Combination Treatment	Treatment Response	FGF3/FGF4 Amp
1	52	M	B	198	140	IV	2cm, x3	multi	Ad. grand	mod	(-)	PR	(-)
2	63	M	B	24	1983	III	6 cm	(-)	(-)	mod	(-)	CR	(-)
3	58	M	C	16	14	III	9cm, multi	(-)	(-)	well	(-)	PR	(-)
4	62	M	B	8	130	IV	(-)	x3	(-)	mod-poor	(-)	PR	(-)
5	47	F	C	1872	728	IV	2cm, multi	multi	(-)	poor	+ TAI	CR	(-)
6	66	M	C	290	18507*	IV	5cm	(-)	(-)	mod	(-)	CR	(-)
7	71	M	C	404100	1328	IV	5cm, multi	multi	(-)	poor	(-)	CR	(-)
8	66	M	non	49	7173	IV	(-)	x2	Pleu, LN	mod	(-)	PR	Amp
9	58	F	B	715	101	IV	11cm	multi	(-)	comb.	+ 5FU/IFN	PR	Amp
10	80	F	C	378	21	III	3cm, x3	(-)	(-)	poor, mod**	(-)	CR	Amp
11	57	M	C	46835	2730	IV	14cm, multi	multi	(-)	mod	(-)	CR	n.d.
12	77	M	B	435	71000	IV	4cm, multi	(-)	(-)	mod	(-)	PR	n.d.
13	84	M	non	5410	847000*	IV	13cm, multi	(-)	(-)	poor	(-)	PR	n.d.

non, nonB-nonC; Primary Liver, HCC in the liver; Meta Lung, lung metastasis; Meta Others, other metastatic sites; Comb.,

HCC with cholangiocarcinoma component; n.d., not done; IFN, interferon; Amp, gene amplification. *, warfarin treatment

(+); ** from two different HCC nodules.

Table 2. Clinicopathological characteristics and *FGF3/FGF4* gene amplification in responders and non-responders to sorafenib

		Responders n=13	Non-responders n=42	<i>p</i> value
Age (years)	Median	63	66	0.98
	Range	47 - 84	22 - 89	
Sex	M	10	30	0.97
	F	3	12	
Viral status	HBV	5	10	0.69
	HCV	6	16	
	B+C	0	1	
	non	2	15	
AFP (ng/ml)	Median	378	56	0.33
	Range	8 - 404100	2 - 114248	
PIVKA-II (mAU/ml)	Median	728	81	0.78
	Range	14 - 847000	11 - 147000	
Clinical Stage	II	0	1	0.73
	III	3	13	
	IV	10	28	
Primary tumor (cm)	Median	5	3	0.20
	Range	0 - 14	0 - 15	
Lung meta	(-)	6	31	0.13
	(+)	7	11	
Multiple Lung meta	5 <	8	40	0.006
	5 ≥	5	2	
Other meta	(-)	11	26	0.24
	(+)	2	16	
Histological type	Well	1	7	0.13
	Moderate	6	26	
	Poor	5	6	
	Combination	1	3	
Response	CR	6	-	N.D.
	PR	7	-	
	SD	-	16	
	PD	-	24	
	N.E	-	2	

non, nonB-nonC; Lung meta, lung metastasis; Other meta, other metastatic

sites; Combination, HCC with cholangiocarcinoma component; n.d., not done. *p*

values of viral status and histological type were calculated between HBV vs.

HVC and poorly differentiated vs. non-poorly differentiated.

Figure legends

Fig. 1.

A hepatocellular carcinoma that exhibited a marked response to sorafenib treatment harbors *FGF3/FGF4* gene amplification. (A) Abdominal CT images obtained upon pre-treatment (left panel) and two months after treatment (right panel). (B) Comparative genomic hybridization analysis of the HCC tumor. Paired background liver tissue was used as a reference sample. A gain of genomic copy number (> 4 copies, red) and a loss (< 0.5 copies, blue) were shown by the indicated colors. (C) Whole copy numbers of chromosome 11 are shown. A highly amplified region is described in the lower panel. (D) Western blot analysis of FGF3 (Arrow) in HCC and paired background liver samples. IB, immunoblotting.

Liver, paired background liver.

Fig. 2.

FGF3/FGF4 gene amplification is frequently observed in responders to sorafenib in HCC. (A) *FGF3/FGF4* gene amplification was determined using the TaqMan copy number assay in DNA samples obtained from 48 HCC samples that had been treated with sorafenib. *FGF3* amplification of over 5 copies was observed in