Med Oncol (2012) 29:1255–1257
DOI 10.1007/s12032-011-9893-0

CASE REPORT

Interstitial pneumonitis induced by pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in a patient with recurrent ovarian cancer

Kanako Inaba · Takahide Arimoto · Mari Hoya · Kei Kawana · Shunsuke Nakagawa · Shiro Kozuma · Yuji Taketani

Received: 24 February 2011 / Accepted: 28 February 2011 / Published online: 10 March 2011

Abstract Interstitial pneumonitis after treatment with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin (PLD) has been rarely reported. We describe herein a case of interstitial pneumonitis in a 49-year-old woman with relapsed ovarian carcinoma treated with PLD. Twenty-five days after the second administration of PLD, she presented with fever and dry cough, and chest CT scans revealed bilateral interstitial infiltrates and ground-glass opacities. She was diagnosed to have interstitial pneumonitis induced by PLD. Steroid therapy improved her symptoms.

Keywords Interstitial pneumonitis · Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin · Drug induced · Japanese · Ovarian cancer

Introduction

Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin (PLD) is an active drug in recurrent ovarian cancer as demonstrated in trials in the second-line chemotherapy [1–3]. It has been designed to enhance the efficacy and to reduce the toxicities of doxorubicin such as cardiotoxicity, hematologic toxicity, and alopecia by using a unique delivery system: a polyethylene glycol coat [4, 5]. Whereas hand-foot syndrome and planter palmar erythema are widely recognized as adverse effects of PLD, few cases of interstitial pneumonitis after treatment with PLD have been reported. Here, we describe a case of interstitial pneumonitis induced by PLD.

K. Inaba · T. Arimoto (✉) · M. Hoya · K. Kawana · S. Nakagawa · S. Kozuma · Y. Taketani
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8655, Japan
e-mail: tarimoto-tky@umin.ac.jp

Case report

A 48-year-old woman (gravida 4, para 3) with recurrent ovarian cancer was started on third-line chemotherapy with PLD (50 mg/m^2/4 weeks). She was initially diagnosed in February 2009 and underwent complete debulking surgery for a stage IIIC serous ovarian adenocarcinoma. Postoperatively, she received adjuvant chemotherapy with six cycles of paclitaxel (175 mg/m^2) and carboplatin (AUC 6). Four months later, because of peritoneum dissemination and elevation of CA125, she was treated with weekly CPT-11 (95 mg/m^2/week) with progressive disease after four cycles. In April 2010, PLD was given under her excellent performance status.

Twenty-three days after the first administration of PLD, she developed a fever from which she recovered without any treatment. However, 25 days after the second administration of PLD, she presented to our hospital with fever, chill, dry cough, and dyspnea (grade 3 according to Common terminology criteria for adverse events, version 4.0). Physical examination was remarkable for bilateral fine crackles at the lung bases. A chest X-ray and chest CT scans revealed bilateral interstitial infiltrates and ground-glass opacities, though chest CT scans performed before PLD therapy showed clear lung field (Fig. 1a, b). Oxygen saturation by pulse oximetry was 89% on room air and arterial blood gas analysis showed hypoxia (FiO_2 0.32, PaO_2 90.5 mmHg, alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient 94.9 mmHg). Laboratory analysis revealed white blood cells of 2,500/μl with 78% neutrophils, lactate dehydrogenase of 347 IU/l, C-reactive protein of 14.32 mg/dl, and Krebs von den Lungen-6 (KL-6) of 227 U/ml.

Her clinical course and laboratory data indicated that she has interstitial pneumonitis probably induced by PLD. She had not received granulocyte colony-stimulating



Fig. 1 **a** Chest computed tomography (CT) scan before PLD therapy showed clear lung field. **b** Twenty-six days after second administration of PLD, CT revealed bilateral interstitial infiltrates and ground-glass opacities. **c** Two months after steroid therapy, CT showed significant improvement

factor, which could induce interstitial pneumonitis. In addition to PLD, she received ascorbic acid, pyridoxal phosphate hydrate, rebamipide, and brotizolam. As they were all unlikely to induce interstitial pneumonitis, administration of these drugs except PLD was continued. The patient was treated with intravenous methylprednisolone 500 mg/day for 3 days. Azithromycin 1,000 mg per os and intravenous cefepime 4 g/day were administrated until all examinations of infection proved to be negative, including blood culture, β-D-glucan, influenza antigen detection, urinary pneumococcal antigen test, Chlamydia IgA/IgG, candida antibody assays, and galactomannan antigen of aspergillosis.

After the steroid pulse therapy, symptoms resolved promptly and lung function tests improved remarkably. Two months after the diagnosis of interstitial pneumonitis, a chest CT scan showed significant improvement (Fig. 1c). PLD was discontinued and her chemotherapy regimen was changed to docetaxel (70 mg/m^2). She has not shown any respiratory symptoms after cessation of PLD. Currently, she is alive with disease 24 months after the surgery and undergoing fifth-line chemotherapy.

Discussion

Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin is a reformulated version of doxorubicin, which takes the active agent doxorubicin and places it into a phospholipid bilayer called a liposome and another outer layer of methoxypolyethylene glycol. This coating allows PLD to evade detection and destruction by the immune system and to remain longer in the blood circulation.

PLD has a different toxicity profile compared with free doxorubicin. Though cumulative cardiac toxicities are unique to free doxorubicin, cardiac toxicities associated with PLD are rarely reported. Toxicities relatively unique to PLD are hand-foot syndrome or plantar palmar erythema (PPE), which are rarely reported with free doxorubicin.

It is reported that lung toxicity induced by doxorubicin is rare. Several cases of interstitial pneumonitis associated with doxorubicin or PLD have been described [6, 7]. It was unclear whether the lung toxicities were directly attributable to doxorubicin in published case reports, because all patients were concurrently receiving other agents, mostly antineoplastic drugs, which were also implicated in causing lung toxicity.

In our case, though the symptoms were initially severe, discontinuation of PLD and steroid therapy immediately resolved them. Serum KL-6 levels have been reported to correlate with grade of interstitial lung disease [8]. Normal serum KL-6 level in this case might associate with her excellent clinical course.

Two possible mechanisms of drug-induced interstitial pneumonitis have been described, one of which is the direct toxicity of the drug to the pulmonary organ and the other is immunological mechanism, although the etiology of PLD-induced interstitial pneumonitis is unclear.

Drug-induced pulmonary toxicity in Japan got a great deal of attention because of pulmonary toxicity induced by molecular-targeted chemotherapeutic drugs, gefitinib and an antirheumatic drug, leflunomide. It is reported that the

rates of interstitial lung disease associated with gefitinib and leflunomide are 2 and 1.1% in Japan and 0.3 and 0.02% in the United States, respectively. These data indicate that chemotherapeutic-drug-induced pulmonary toxicity is more frequent in Japan than in other nations [9, 10]. Fatal pneumonitis induced by gefitinib or leflunomide is less frequent in other Asian countries than in Japan. It may be that such drugs including PLD cause fatal pneumonitis predominantly in Japanese. The differences of genetic background or lifestyle between Japanese and non-Japanese might be involved in this event.

Drug-induced interstitial pneumonitis should be taken into consideration in the differential diagnosis of otherwise unexplained ground-glass lung lesions. Pulmonary toxicity induced by PLD is rare, but awareness of this toxicity is important, since it could be lethal. Additional investigation is required to elucidate how PLD induces interstitial pneumonitis or whether PLD-induced interstitial pneumonitis is more frequent in Japanese.

Conflict of interest No author has any conflict of interest.

References

1. Gordon AN, et al. Recurrent epithelial ovarian carcinoma: a randomized phase III study of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin versus topotecan. J Clin Oncol. 2001;19:3312–22.
2. Gordon AN, et al. Long-term survival advantage for women treated with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin compared with topotecan in a phase 3 randomized study of recurrent and refractory epithelial ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol. 2004;95:1–8.
3. Ferrandina G, et al. Phase III trial of gemcitabine compared with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in progressive or recurrent ovarian cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26:890–6.
4. Uziely B, et al. Liposomal doxorubicin: antitumor activity and unique toxicity during two complementary phase I studies. J Clin Oncol. 1995;13:1777–85.
5. Thigpen JT, et al. Role of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol. 2005;96:10–8.
6. Huober J, Schoch O, Templeton A, Christian S, Thurlimann B. Interstitial pneumonitis after treatment with bevacizumab and pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in a patient with metastatic breast cancer. Chemotherapy. 2010;56:69–70.
7. Kim KM, et al. Rituximab-CHOP induced interstitial pneumonitis in patients with disseminated extranodal marginal zone B cell lymphoma. Yonsei Med J. 2008;49(1):155–8.
8. Satoh H, Kurishima K, Ishikawa H, Ohtsuka M. Increased levels of KL-6 and subsequent mortality in patients with interstitial lung diseases. J Intern Med. 2006;260:429–34.
9. Cohen MH, Williams GA, Sridhara R, Chan G, Pazdur R. FDA drug approval summary: gefitinib (ZD1839) (Iressa) tablets. Oncologist. 2003;8(4):303–6.
10. Takeishi M, et al. Leflunomide induced acute interstitial pneumonia. J Rheumatol. 2005;32(6):1160–3.

Med Oncol (2012) 29:1253–1254
DOI 10.1007/s12032-011-9878-z

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Second-line chemotherapy with docetaxel and carboplatin in paclitaxel and platinum-pretreated ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal cancer

Takahide Arimoto · Shunsuke Nakagawa · Katsutoshi Oda · Kei Kawana · Toshiharu Yasugi · Yuji Taketani

Published online: 6 March 2011

Abstract We retrospectively evaluated the efficacy and toxicity of docetaxel and carboplatin in patients with platinum and paclitaxel-pretreated recurrent ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal cancer. Forty-two women (38 with ovarian cancer, 1 with fallopian tube cancer, 3 with peritoneal cancer) whose cancer had progressed within 12 months of their last treatment with both a platinum agent and paclitaxel were treated with docetaxel (70 mg/m^2, day 1) and carboplatin (area under the curve of 4–6, day 1). Thirty-four patients had measurable disease. The objective response rate was 23% within 0–6 months of the progression-free interval, 50% within 6–12 months, and 32% (11 of 34 patients) for both groups. The median time to tumor progression was 28, 49, 34 weeks, and the median overall survival time was 94, 224, 111 weeks, respectively. The most common toxicity was grade 3/4 neutropenia (98% of patients), with 15 episodes (8.4% of courses) of neutropenic fever. The main nonhematologic toxicity was hypersensitivity; 7 patients (17%) required discontinuation of the therapy. The results of our study indicate that the combination of docetaxel and carboplatin is effective against recurrent ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal cancer with progression-free interval of 6–12 months from previous treatment by paclitaxel and platinum. On the other hand, single-agent chemotherapy would be better than this regimen considering its low response rate and severe hematological toxicity for patients with progression-free interval less than 6 months.

T. Arimoto (✉) · S. Nakagawa · K. Oda · K. Kawana · T. Yasugi · Y. Taketani
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8655, Japan
e-mail: tarimoto-tky@umin.ac.jp

Keywords Docetaxel · Carboplatin · Chemotherapy · Early progression · Recurrent ovarian cancer

The standard regimen as second-line chemotherapy in recurrent ovarian cancer has not been established, especially in the patients with a short progression-free interval from the previous treatment. Docetaxel is an active drug as second-line chemotherapy for recurrent ovarian cancer as well as pegylated liposomal doxorubicin, irinotecan, topotecan, gemcitabine, and etoposide [1].

The purpose of this study was to evaluate activity and toxicity of the combination of docetaxel and carboplatin retrospectively in patients with paclitaxel and platinum resistant (progression-free interval less than 6 months) and partially resistant (progression-free interval of 6–12 months) ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal cancers. Forty-two women (38 with ovarian cancer, 1 with fallopian tube cancer, 3 with peritoneal cancer) whose cancer had progressed within 12 months of their last treatment with both a platinum agent and paclitaxel were treated with docetaxel (70 mg/m^2, day 1) and carboplatin (area under the curve of 4–6, day 1). Thirty-four (81%) patients had measurable disease. Twenty-six (62%) patients had experienced progression of disease within less than 6 months of their last treatment, whereas 16 patients (38%) within 6–12 months. The median number of courses of treatment per patient was 4.5 (range: 1–8 courses). The median follow-up period was 107 weeks (range: 9–373 weeks). The objective response rate was 23% within 0–6 months of the progression-free interval, 50% within 6–12 months, and 32% (11 of 34 patients) for both groups. The median time to tumor progression was 28, 49, and 34 weeks, and the median overall survival time was 94, 224, and 111 weeks, respectively. The most common toxicity was grade 3/4 neutropenia (98% of patients), with 15 episodes

(8.4% of courses) of neutropenic fever. The main nonhematologic toxicity was hypersensitivity; 7 patients (17%) required discontinuation of the therapy. On the other hand, grade 2/3 neuropathy was observed only in two (4.8%) patients.

Several chemotherapeutic agents such as pegylated liposomal doxorubicin, topotecan, irinotecan, gemcitabine, and etoposide have been used in the treatment of platinum-resistant disease with response rates in the range 10–15% [2–5]. The results from our study about overall response rate are in line with other chemotherapeutic agents. Notably, our data about median time to tumor progression and overall survival are longer than the previously reported data of other regimens.

The results of our study indicate that the combination of docetaxel and carboplatin is effective against recurrent ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal cancer with progression-free interval of 6–12 months from previous treatment by paclitaxel and platinum. On the other hand, single-agent chemotherapy would be better than this regimen considering its low response rate and severe hematological toxicity for patients with progression-free interval less than 6 months. However, chemotherapy with docetaxel and carboplatin may improve time to tumor progression and overall survival time in these cases; this regimen can be an alternative in patients whose hematological toxicity is relatively weak at their previous treatment.

Conflict of interest None.

References

1. Sugiyama T. Second-line chemotherapy for recurrent ovarian cancer. Gan To Kagaku Ryoho. 2005;32:28–32.
2. Swisher EM, Mutch DG, Rader JS, Elbendary A, Herzog TJ. Topotecan in platinum- and paclitaxel-resistant ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol. 1997;66:480–6.
3. Gordon AN, Tonda M, Sun S, Rackoff W. Long-term survival advantage for women treated with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin compared with topotecan in a phase 3 randomized study of recurrent and refractory epithelial ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol. 2004;95:1–8.
4. Mutch DG, et al. Randomized phase III trial of gemcitabine compared with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in patients with platinum-resistant ovarian cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25:2811–8.
5. Ferrandina G, et al. Phase III trial of gemcitabine compared with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in progressive or recurrent ovarian cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26:890–6.