

Table 3 – Characteristics of subjects by country.

| Variable | Male | | | P-value ^a | Female | | | P-value ^a |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | Mean (SD)/% | | | | Mean (SD)/% | | | |
| | Hong Kong (n = 198) | Indonesia (n = 167) | Thailand (n = 203) | | Hong Kong (n = 197) | Indonesia (n = 224) | Thailand (n = 195) | |
| Age | 73.7 (5.4) | 70.3 (5.1) | 73.8 (6.0) | 0.9610 | 73.8 (5.5) | 70.3 (5.8) | 73.7 (5.5) | 0.9078 |
| SF-12 (physical) | 49.7 (9.0) | 47.2 (10.4) | 46.0 (10.9) | 0.0003 | 45.3 (9.0) | 41.8 (11.6) | 43.6 (11.6) | 0.1085 |
| SF-12 (mental) | 56.4 (5.9) | 52.1 (8.1) | 53.1 (8.7) | < 0.0001 | 55.7 (8.1) | 52.3 (8.3) | 52.7 (9.1) | 0.0005 |
| Physical activity (PASE score) | 104.8 (49.8) | 102.7 (67.9) | 93.0 (80.8) | 0.0880 | 81.3 (30.7) | 70.8 (42.7) | 70.5 (47.6) | 0.0081 |
| Marital status: married | 84.1% | 82.4% | 81.3% | 0.7564 | 57.7% | 62.3% | 50.5% | 0.0456 |
| Education | | | | < 0.0001 | | | | < 0.0001 |
| Primary or below | 53.2% | 41.9% | 64.5% | | 76.6% | 55.1% | 72.9% | |
| Secondary | 33.8% | 43.8% | 14.2% | | 18.9% | 34.8% | 15.1% | |
| Tertiary or above | 12.9% | 14.4% | 21.3% | | 4.5% | 10.1% | 12.0% | |
| Demented (MMSE < 24) | 11.0% | 13.4% | 15.8% | 0.3634 | 36.3% | 22.9% | 25.0% | 0.0046 |
| Diabetes | 14.9% | 10.5% | 11.3% | 0.3690 | 9.0% | 14.2% | 13.0% | 0.2243 |
| Reported osteoporosis | 5.0% | 1.7% | 3.5% | 0.2361 | 9.0% | 11.6% | 10.0% | 0.6492 |
| Stroke | 6.0% | 2.3% | 4.4% | 0.2263 | 3.5% | 2.2% | 2.0% | 0.5768 |
| Hypertension | 39.3% | 19.2% | 22.7% | < 0.0001 | 42.3% | 26.7% | 25.0% | 0.0002 |
| Heart disease | 19.9% | 2.3% | 4.9% | < 0.0001 | 14.4% | 3.5% | 4.5% | < 0.0001 |
| Myocardial infarction/angina | 18.4% | 1.2% | 4.9% | < 0.0001 | 11.4% | 4.3% | 3.5% | 0.0014 |
| Congestive heart failure | 2.5% | 0.6% | 2.5% | 0.3150 | 3.5% | 1.3% | 1.0% | 0.1328 |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease | 15.9% | 5.2% | 3.0% | < 0.0001 | 6.5% | 4.3% | 1.5% | 0.0424 |
| Cataracts | 33.3% | 18.6% | 22.2% | 0.0024 | 44.8% | 23.3% | 27.0% | < 0.0001 |
| Gastrectomy | 9.0% | 8.1% | 11.3% | 0.5425 | 4.0% | 14.2% | 7.0% | 0.0005 |
| Cancer | 4.5% | 0.6% | 1.5% | 0.0268 | 3.5% | 2.2% | 1.5% | 0.4115 |
| Fall in past 12 months | 22.9% | 4.1% | 21.7% | < 0.0001 | 20.9% | 14.2% | 31.5% | < 0.0001 |
| Smoker | 9.5% | 29.2% | 19.4% | < 0.0001 | 0.5% | 1.4% | 1.0% | 0.6472 |
| Use of alcohol at least 12 times in past 12 months | 22.9% | 5.5% | 36.1% | < 0.0001 | 0.5% | 0.5% | 6.5% | < 0.0001 |
| Fracture after 50 years of age | 6.0% | 5.5% | 9.4% | 0.2739 | 16.9% | 5.6% | 7.6% | 0.0002 |
| Past longest occupation as a farmer | 1.0% | 14.3% | 44.8% | < 0.0001 | 3.1% | 5.7% | 40.0% | < 0.0001 |

SF-12, Short Form-12; PASE, physical activity screening for elderly; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination.

Bold: P-value < 0.05.

a P-value of Chi-squared for categorical variables or analysis of variance for continuous variables where appropriate.

Discussion

The occurrence of osteoporotic vertebral fracture is particularly common in older postmenopausal Asian women. The common sites involved are T12 and L1 as these levels are, biomechanically, the most vulnerable regiments of the thoracolumbar spine.⁹ The first vertebral fracture is an important indicator for subsequent vertebral fracture, and possibly non-vertebral fracture including hip fracture.^{10,11} Understanding the prevalence of vertebral fracture and deformity is critical for prevention.

Fracture identification can easily be affected by differences in radiographic methods. Fracture definition and methods of morphological assessment also affect the determination of fracture rates. Degenerative spondylosis and old asymptomatic spinal fractures may lead to spuriously high BMD at the site of involvement, thus diminishing the sensitivity in the prediction of fracture. In this study, all the radiographs were

taken according a standardized protocol, and were analysed by trained and experienced assessors to minimize possible observer bias and to acquire more precise and accurate results. The number of reported vertebral fractures was generally lower in both males and females than the number of radiologically determined vertebral fractures in all four countries. As elsewhere, vertebral fractures were often under-reported. Vertebral fractures could also be overlooked when patients present with back pain arising from various spinal conditions.

This study found that the prevalence of vertebral fracture was significantly higher in Japan compared with Hong Kong, Thailand and Indonesia for both sexes. BMD is an important factor,^{12,13} but in this study, no difference was noted between Hong Kong and Japan. This may be explained by the smaller body size of Japanese subjects. In a systematic review, Ruyssen-Witrand et al.¹⁴ showed that vertebral size was an independent risk factor for vertebral fracture. After controlling for well-known confounding factors such as age, height,

Table 4 – Characteristics in subjects with vertebral fracture and control.

| Variable | Unit | Male | | Female | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | Age-adjusted model OR (95% CI) | Multivariate model OR (95% CI) | Age-adjusted model OR (95% CI) | Multivariate model OR (95% CI) |
| Age | 5 | 1.24 (1.03–1.49)^a | 1.17 (0.96–1.44) | 1.48 (1.20–1.84)^a | 1.33 (1.03–1.71) |
| Country | | | | | |
| Hong Kong | – | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Indonesia | Indonesia/Hong Kong | 1.44 (0.79–2.62) | 1.28 (0.68–2.40) | 1.36 (0.67–2.72) | 1.20 (0.53–2.73) |
| Thailand | Thailand/Hong Kong | 1.66 (0.97–2.82) | 1.45 (0.84–2.51) | 1.58 (0.82–3.05) | 1.36 (0.61–2.99) |
| SF-12 – Physical | 10 | 0.65 (0.53–0.80) | 0.68 (0.55–0.84) | 0.67 (0.53–0.85) | 0.73 (0.56–0.95) |
| SF-12 – Mental | 10 | 0.99 (0.75–1.32) | | 0.86 (0.64–1.17) | |
| Physical activity (PASE score) | 50 | 1.00 (0.84–1.19) | | 0.72 (0.50–1.03) | |
| Marital status – married | Yes/no | 1.78 (0.91–3.46) | | 0.68 (0.40–1.18) | |
| Education | | | | | |
| Primary or below | – | 1 | | 1 | |
| Secondary | Secondary/primary | 0.63 (0.36–1.11) | | 0.96 (0.48–1.91) | |
| Tertiary or above | Tertiary/primary | 1.02 (0.55–1.90) | | 0.37 (0.09–1.57) | |
| Demented (MMSE<24) | Yes/no | 1.36 (0.74–2.49) | | 1.34 (0.76–2.37) | |
| Diabetes | Yes/no | 1.09 (0.56–2.14) | | 0.67 (0.26–1.75) | |
| Reported osteoporosis | Yes/no | 1.26 (0.41–3.91) | | 2.01 (0.95–4.24) | |
| Stroke | Yes/no | 2.61 (1.08–6.31) | 2.32 (0.94–5.71) | 1.43 (0.31–6.60) | |
| Hypertension | Yes/no | 1.08 (0.66–1.77) | | 0.72 (0.39–1.31) | |
| Heart disease | Yes/no | 0.80 (0.36–1.77) | | 0.94 (0.35–2.53) | |
| Myocardial infarction/angina | Yes/no | 0.94 (0.42–2.08) | | 0.88 (0.29–2.60) | |
| Congestive heart failure | Yes/no | 1.67 (0.43–6.47) | | 1.74 (0.37–8.26) | |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease | Yes/no | 1.02 (0.46–2.27) | | – | |
| Cataracts | Yes/no | 1.21 (0.73–1.98) | | 1.80 (1.05–3.10) | 2.86 (1.48–5.53) |
| Gastrectomy | Yes/no | 0.83 (0.38–1.83) | | 0.72 (0.25–2.09) | |
| Cancer | Yes/no | – | | 0.64 (0.08–5.04) | |
| Fall in past 12 months | Yes/no | 0.90 (0.49–1.63) | | 1.39 (0.76–2.51) | |
| Smoker | Yes/no | 0.62 (0.33–1.20) | | – | |
| Use of alcohol at least 12 times in past 12 months | Yes/no | 1.30 (0.78–2.17) | | 2.12 (0.45–9.95) | |
| Fracture after 50 years of age | Yes/no | 2.00 (0.93–4.31) | | 1.36 (0.62–2.98) | |
| Past longest occupation as a farmer | Yes/no | 1.19 (0.68–2.08) | | 2.14 (1.13–4.05) | 2.47 (1.07–5.72) |

SF-12, Short Form-12; PASE, Physical Activity Screening for Elderly; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.
 Bold: *P*-value < 0.05.
^a Crude OR.

weight and BMD, small vertebral dimensions including area, cross-sectional area and volume were associated with vertebral fracture.^{14–16} Moreover, there is a difference in the nutrient intake of these two populations; dietary calcium intake in the Japanese population is less than that of the Hong Kong population (550 mg/day in men and 519 mg/day in women vs 628 mg/day and 569 mg/day for people aged ≥ 65 years).^{17,18} Kobayashi reported that the calcium content of river water in Japan was lower than that in most European countries.¹⁹

For Asian women, a review of vertebral fracture revealed that the prevalence of vertebral fracture increases steeply with age in Beijing: the prevalence of vertebral fracture in Chinese women aged 50–59 years was 3.9%, compared with 10.5%, 15% and 31.2% at ages 60–69, 70–79 and ≥ 80 years.^{6,20,21} A similar trend has also been observed among Japanese women residing in Japan and Hawaii^{22,23}; the rates were slightly higher for those living in Japan (8%, 25%, 38% and 43% for ages 65–70, 70–75, 75–80 and 80–85 years, compared

with 5%, 15%, 25% and 27% for those living in Hawaii in 1996), suggesting that environmental factors are more influential than genetic factors.²⁴ Horikawa *et al.* reported a prevalence of 22.6% for Japanese women aged 65–92 years living in a fishing/farming village located in Nansei-cho in 2001.²⁵ Data for Japan in the present study showed similar rates. These data are similar to findings among Caucasian women in the USA, where the prevalence of vertebral fracture was 22% for women aged 70–79 years, and 34% for women aged ≥ 80 years. Hence, observations from the present study suggest that vertebral fracture is as prevalent in Asian women as in Caucasian women.²⁰

The prevalence of vertebral fracture among Chinese women in 1996 in Hong Kong was 29% at age 70–79 years.^{1,3} The current study found a prevalence of vertebral fracture of 2% at age 65–74 years and 15% at age ≥ 75 years among Hong Kong Chinese women. The prevalence of vertebral fracture appears to have decreased significantly over the years between these studies. This may reflect a proper

Table 5 – Comparison of characteristics between Hong Kong and Japan.

| Variable | Mean (SD) | | P-value ^a |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | Hong Kong | Japan | |
| Male | n = 198 | n = 25 | |
| Age (years) | 73.75 (5.40) | 73.28 (4.31) | 0.6784 |
| Weight (kg) | 61.86 (9.35) | 58.34 (6.66) | 0.0692 |
| Height (cm) | 162.64 (5.75) | 160.50 (7.70) | 0.0925 |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 23.35 (3.13) | 22.72 (2.73) | 0.3315 |
| Hip BMD (g/cm ²) | 0.851 (0.129) | 0.823 (0.105) | 0.2956 |
| Spine BMD (g/cm ²) | 0.950 (0.183) | 0.961 (0.151) | 0.7742 |
| Female | n = 197 | n = 27 | |
| Age (years) | 73.76 (5.51) | 72.33 (4.67) | 0.2012 |
| Weight (kg) | 55.01 (9.01) | 48.62 (7.98) | 0.0006 |
| Height (cm) | 151.48 (4.99) | 148.61 (6.23) | 0.0072 |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 23.93 (3.44) | 21.98 (3.05) | 0.0056 |
| Hip BMD (g/cm ²) | 0.697 (0.113) | 0.691 (0.112) | 0.7654 |
| Spine BMD (g/cm ²) | 0.757 (0.141) | 0.787 (0.137) | 0.3028 |

BMD, bone mineral density; SD, standard deviation.

a P-value of t-test for continuous or Chi-squared test for categorical variables.

osteoporosis prevention regime, health promotion and raised public awareness of osteoporosis in Hong Kong since 2001. The Hong Kong experience may set an example to other Asian countries for reference in combating osteoporosis.

Lau et al.¹³ reported that the prevalence of vertebral fracture among Chinese men aged 70–79 years in 1998 in Hong Kong was 16%. The present study shows a similar result; 9.2% and 18% for men aged 65–74 and ≥75 years, respectively.

This study found that both male and female subjects aged ≥75 years with a lower hip BMD have more vertebral fractures. Most vertebral fractures are not caused by direct trauma²⁶ but are linked with low BMD.^{10,12} Previous studies have shown that US women of African descent have the highest bone mass in the spine, while Caucasian women have intermediate values, and Asian women have the lowest values (unadjusted BMD values). An analysis and comparison of different ethnic populations residing in the USA suggested that the BMD of Asian women differed little from that of Caucasian women after adjustment for body size.²⁷ Unadjusted lumbar spine and femoral neck BMDs were 7–12% and 14–24% higher in African-American women compared with Caucasian, Japanese or Chinese women. Among women of comparable weight of <70 kg, there were no differences in lumbar spine BMD among African-American, Chinese and Japanese women, all of whom have higher BMDs than Caucasians.²⁰ This study also noted that there were no differences in lumbar spine and hip BMDs between Hong Kong and Japanese women or men. Kung reported that the pattern and magnitude of age-related bone loss in the lumbar spine was similar between female Asian and Caucasian populations.²⁰ Subjects with a spinal fracture had a spine or hip BMD that was 20–30% lower compared with normal healthy controls. After adjusting for age and body size, each SD reduction in bone density in the Hong Kong Chinese women increased the risk of vertebral fracture approximately two-fold for both spine and femoral neck measurements, whereas in Beijing Chinese women, each SD reduction in BMD at the spine or hip was associated with a 2.5-fold increased risk of vertebral fracture.²⁰ In the present study, among Hong Kong and Japanese women, each SD reduction in hip BMD was associated with a 3.2-fold increased risk of vertebral fracture after adjustment for age and body size, which is consistent with the previous finding. In men, each SD reduction had a 1.5-fold increased risk, showing less

Table 6 – Logistic regression of vertebral fracture.

| Variable | Mean (SD)/frequency (%) | | Unit | Adjusted OR (95% CI) |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | Control | Vertebral fracture | | |
| Male | n = 187 | n = 36 | | |
| Age | 73.57 (5.27) | 74.61 (5.29) | 5 | 1.15 (0.81–1.65) |
| Country | | | | |
| Hong Kong | 171 (91.4%) | 27 (75.0%) | – | 1 |
| Japan | 16 (8.6%) | 9 (25.0%) | Japan/Hong Kong | 3.67 (1.42–9.49) |
| Weight (kg) | 61.48 (9.17) | 60.94 (9.21) | 5 | 1.27 (0.95–1.69) |
| Height (cm) | 162.59 (5.77) | 161.07 (7.14) | 5 | 0.77 (0.55–1.09) |
| Hip BMD (g/cm ²) | 0.855 (0.126) | 0.816 (0.125) | –0.1 | 1.47 (1.001–2.17) |
| Female | n = 201 | n = 23 | | |
| Age | 73.09 (5.31) | 77.43 (4.81) | 5 | 1.90 (1.13–3.20) |
| Country | | | | |
| Hong Kong | 180 (89.6%) | 17 (73.9%) | – | 1 |
| Japan | 21 (10.5%) | 6 (26.1%) | Japan/Hong Kong | 5.99 (1.58–22.68) |
| Weight (kg) | 54.45 (9.10) | 53.42 (9.69) | 5 | 1.61 (1.11–2.33) |
| Height (cm) | 151.51 (5.01) | 148.55 (6.39) | 5 | 0.68 (0.39–1.17) |
| Hip BMD (g/cm ²) | 0.707 (0.109) | 0.608 (0.115) | –0.1 | 3.23 (1.72–6.25) |

BMD, bone mineral density; SD, standard deviation; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Bold: P-value < 0.05.

influence than that in women. However, low BMD is still a causal factor of vertebral fracture.¹²

Lifestyle and medical factors are other important determinants of vertebral fracture. Women who had jobs involving heavy physical labour had a lower prevalence of vertebral fracture than women who had had more sedentary jobs. This suggests that strenuous physical activity during young adulthood is protective against vertebral fracture.⁶ Gregg et al.²⁸ found that physical activity reduced the risk of hip fracture but not vertebral or wrist fractures. However, in this study, subjects with vertebral fracture had significantly lower SF-12 (physical) scores, which implies that poor physical strength may lead to more vertebral fractures. Stroke is another risk factor for vertebral fracture. Kim et al. reported that in the acute stages of stroke, bone loss progressed rapidly. Risks of osteoporosis and vertebral fracture were higher among subjects who had experienced a stroke.²⁹ People with cataracts were more likely to fall, which increases the risk of fracture.³⁰ The prevalence of vertebral fracture was higher in farmers. This may be explained by the lower intake of calcium. Kamiyama et al. performed a survey in various areas in Japan, and found that calcium intake in three farm villages was lower than that in the city (512, 532 and 601 mg vs 633 mg). The prevalence of osteoporosis was also higher in farm villages.³¹

Subjects from Hong Kong had the highest prevalence of hypertension, heart disease and myocardial infarction/angina, but the association with vertebral fracture was insignificant. This implies that hypertension, heart disease and myocardial infarction/angina are not direct risk factors for vertebral fracture. However, Lau et al.³² reported that hypertension was associated with higher BMD; this may explain the fact that the lowest prevalence of vertebral fracture was seen in Hong Kong.

There were more male smokers in Indonesia. However, smoking in Indonesia did not appear to lead to adverse effects resulting in vertebral fracture. Grazio et al.³³ found that current smoking was a risk factor for vertebral fracture, but a study by Bensen et al.³⁴ did not. Similarly, the rate of falls was lowest in Indonesia, but this did not reflect on the prevalence of vertebral fracture, implying that falls are not a strong risk factor for vertebral fracture. This differed from hip fracture, which was always associated with falls and trauma²⁰ and 90% of cases resulted from falls.³⁵

This study was a joint venture between Hong Kong, Thailand, Indonesia and Japan. Radiographic assessments of vertebral fractures were completed carefully in all four countries using the same methodology. As subjects were recruited using community activities and community advertisements, the subjects were members of the general population and hence the results are representative. The percentages of people who worked as farmers in Hong Kong, Indonesia and Thailand were 2.1%, 9.3% and 42.4% in this study, which is close to the population figures: 0.9%, 12.4% and 39%, respectively.^{36–38} Unfortunately, the Indonesian and Thai collaborators in this study did not possess a DXA machine; otherwise data correlation between the groups could be more comprehensive. Likewise, the questionnaire was not used in Japan, which influenced the completeness of this study. Moreover, it would be more convincing if a study with a larger sample size was conducted. Despite the obvious limitations, this study provides

useful data for prevention planning. Some of these data are similar to the results of previous studies, but some are unique (e.g. strong association between low SF-12 (physical) score and vertebral fracture among Asians; smoking habit was not an important risk factor for vertebral fracture).

Acknowledgements

Ethical approval

The institutional review board at each centre approved the study protocol, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Funding

None declared.

Conflict of interest


None declared.

REFERENCES

- Lau EMC, Cooper C. The epidemiology of osteoporosis: the oriental perspective in a world context. *Clin Orthop Relat R* 1996;323:65–74.
- Lau EMC, Woo J, Leung PC, Swaminathan R, Leung D. Low bone mineral density, grip strength and skinfold thickness are important risk factors for hip fracture in Hong Kong Chinese. *Osteoporos Int* 1993;3:66–70.
- Lau EMC, Chan HHL, Woo J, Lin F, Black D, Nevitt M, et al. Normal ranges for vertebral height ratios and prevalence of vertebral fracture in Hong Kong Chinese: a comparison with American Caucasians. *J Bone Miner Res* 1996;11:1364–8.
- Gold DT. The clinical impact of vertebral fractures: quality of life in women with osteoporosis. *Bone* 1996;18:S185–9.
- Rowe SM, Jung ST, Lee JY. Epidemiology of osteoporosis in Korea. *Osteoporos Int* 1997;7:S88–90.
- Xu L, Cummings SR, Qin M, Zhao X, Chen X, Nevitt M, et al. Vertebral fractures in Beijing, China: the Beijing osteoporosis project. *J Bone Miner Res* 2000;15:2019–25.
- Huang C, Ross PD, Wasnich RD. Vertebral fractures and other predictors of back pain among older women. *J Bone Miner Res* 1996;11:1026–32.
- Black DM, Cummings SR, Stone K, Hudes E, Palermo L, Steiger P. A new approach to defining normal vertebral dimensions. *J Bone Miner Res* 1991;6:883–92.
- Cooper C, Atkinson EJ, O'Fallon WM, Melton 3rd LJ. Incidence of clinically diagnosed vertebral fractures: a population-based study in Rochester, Minnesota, 1985–1989. *J Bone Miner Res* 1992;7:221–7.
- Cauley JA, Hochberg MC, Lui LY, Palermo L, Ensrud KE, Hillier TA, et al. Long-term risk of incident vertebral fractures. *JAMA* 2007;298:2761–7.
- Burger H, van Daele PL, Algra D, Hofman A, Grobbee DE, Schutte HE, et al. Vertebral deformities as predictors of non-vertebral fractures. *BMJ* 1994;309:991–2.
- World Health Organization. *Assessment of fracture risk and application to screening for postmenopausal osteoporosis*. In: *WHO technical report series*. Geneva: WHO; 1994. pp. 1–129.
- Lau EM, Chan YH, Chan M, Woo J, Griffith J, Chan HH, et al. Vertebral deformity in Chinese men: prevalence, risk factors,

- bone mineral density, and body composition measurements. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2000;66:47–52.
14. Ruysse-Witrand A, Gossec L, Kolta S. Vertebral dimensions as risk factor of vertebral fracture in osteoporotic patients: a systematic literature review. *Osteoporos Int* 2007;18:1271–8.
 15. Gilsanz V, Boechat MI, Gilsanz R, Loro ML, Roe TF, Goodman WG. Gender differences in vertebral sizes in adults: biomechanical implications. *Radiology* 1994;190:678–82.
 16. Duan Y, Parfitt A, Seeman E. Vertebral bone mass, size, and volumetric density in women with spinal fractures. *J Bone Miner Res* 1999;14:1796–802.
 17. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. *The report of National Health and Nutrition Survey of Japan*. Available at: <http://www.mhlw.go.jp/houdou/2009/11/dl/h1109-1b.pdf>; 2008 [28.10.2010, date last accessed].
 18. Tang NL, Liao CD, Ching JK, Suen EW, Chan IH, Orwoll E, et al. Sex-specific effect of pirin gene on bone mineral density in a cohort of 4000 Chinese. *Bone* 2010;46:543–50.
 19. Kobayashi J. On geographical relationship between the chemical nature of river water and death-rate from apoplexy. *Berichte des Ohara Instituts fur landwirtschafliche Biologie* 1957;11:12–21.
 20. Kung AWC. Epidemiology and diagnostic approaches to vertebral fractures in Asia. *J Bone Miner Metab* 2004;22:170–5.
 21. Liu ZH, Zhao YL, Ding GZ, Zhou Y. Epidemiology of primary osteoporosis in China. *Osteoporos Int* 1997;7:S84–7.
 22. Ross PD, Wasnich RD, Davis JW, Vogel JM. Vertebral dimension differences between Caucasian populations, and between Caucasians and Japanese. *Bone* 1991;12:107–12.
 23. Ross PD, Fujiwara S, Huang C, Davis J, Epstein RS, Wasnich RD, et al. Vertebral fracture prevalence in women in Hiroshima compared to Caucasians or Japanese in the US. *Int J Epidemiol* 1995;24:1171–7.
 24. Huang C, Ross PD, Fujiwara S, Davis JW, Epstein RS, Kodama K, et al. Determinants of vertebral fracture prevalence among native Japanese women and women of Japanese descent living in Hawaii. *Bone* 1996;18:437–42.
 25. Horikawa K, Kasai Y, Yamakawa T, Sudo A, Uchida A. Prevalence of osteoarthritis, osteoporotic vertebral fractures, and spondylolisthesis among the elderly in a Japanese village. *J Orthop Surg* 2006;14:9–12.
 26. Haczynski J, Jakimiuk A. Vertebral fractures: a hidden problem of osteoporosis. *Med Sci Monit* 2001;7:1108–17.
 27. Finkelstein JS, Lee ML, Sowers M, Ettinger B, Neer RM, Kelsey JL, et al. Ethnic variation in bone density in premenopausal and early perimenopausal women: effects of anthropometric and lifestyle factors. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2002;87:3057–67.
 28. Gregg EW, Cauley JA, Seeley DG, Ensrud KE, Bauer DC. Physical activity and osteoporotic fracture risk in older women. Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. *Ann Intern Med* 1998;129:81–8.
 29. Kim HW, Kang E, Im S, Ko YJ, Im SA, Lee JI. Prevalence of pre-stroke low bone mineral density and vertebral fracture in first stroke patients. *Bone* 2008;43:183–6.
 30. Ivers RQ, Cumming RG, Mitchell P, Peduto AJ. Diabetes and risk of fracture: the Blue Mountains Eye study. *Diabetes Care* 2001;24:1198–203.
 31. Kamiyama S, Kobayashi S, Abe S, Takahashi E, Wakamatsu E. Osteoporosis prevalence and nutritional intake among the people in farm, fishing and urban districts. *Tohoku J Exp Med* 1972;107:387–94.
 32. Lau EM, Leung PC, Kwok T, Woo J, Lynn H, Orwoll E, et al. The determinants of bone mineral density in Chinese men—results from mr. os (Hong Kong), the first cohort study on osteoporosis in Asian men. *Osteoporos Int* 2006;17:297–303.
 33. Grazio S, Korsic M, Jajic I. Effects of smoking and alcohol consumption on vertebral deformity in the elderly – an epidemiological study; evaluation of easily measured risk factors in the prediction of osteoporotic fractures. *Coll Antropol* 2005;29:567–72.
 34. Bensen R, Adachi JD, Papaioannou A, Ioannidis G, Olszynski WP, Sebaldt RJ, et al. Evaluation of easily measured risk factors in the prediction of osteoporotic fractures. *BMC Musculoskel Disord* 2005;6:47.
 35. Tinetti ME. Clinical practice. Preventing falls in elderly persons. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348:42.
 36. Census and Statistics Department The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region. 2006 population by-census thematic report: older persons. Available at: www.censtatd.gov.hk [accessed 02.01.12].
 37. Hasoloan MA. *Country report the Indonesian labor market. The OECD forum on the restated OECD jobs strategy in Tokyo*. Available at: www.oecd.org/dataoecd/20/36/37873500.pdf; 2006 [accessed 02.01.12].
 38. Darawuttimaprakorn N, Punpuing S. *Living arrangements and elderly depression*. Kanchanaburi DSS: Population Association of America 2010 Annual Meeting Program; 2010.

Provided for non-commercial research and education use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>

Osteoarthritis and Cartilage



Incidence and risk factors for radiographic lumbar spondylosis and lower back pain in Japanese men and women: the ROAD study

S. Muraki †*, T. Akune †, H. Oka ‡, Y. Ishimoto §, K. Nagata §, M. Yoshida §, F. Tokimura ||, K. Nakamura ¶, H. Kawaguchi #, N. Yoshimura ‡

† Department of Clinical Motor System Medicine, 22nd Century Medical & Research Center, Faculty of Medicine, the University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

‡ Department of Joint Disease Research, 22nd Century Medical & Research Center, Faculty of Medicine, the University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

§ Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Wakayama Medical University, Wakayama, Japan

|| Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Tokyo Geriatric Medical Center, Tokyo, Japan

¶ Rehabilitation Services Bureau, National Rehabilitation Center for Persons with Disabilities, Saitama, Japan

Department of Sensory & Motor System Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, the University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 November 2011

Accepted 14 March 2012

Keywords:

Spondyloarthritis

Epidemiology

Lower back pain

SUMMARY

Objective: To determine the incidence of radiographic lumbar spondylosis (LS) and lower back pain, and their risk factors in Japan using a large-scale population from the nationwide cohort Research on Osteoarthritis/osteoporosis Against Disability (ROAD) Study.

Methods: Participants in the ROAD study who had been recruited between 2005 and 2007 were followed up with lumbar spine radiography for 3 years. A total of 2,282 paired radiographs (75% of the original sample) were scored using Kellgren and Lawrence (KL) grades, and the incidence and progression rate of radiographic LS was analyzed. The incidence of lower back pain was also examined. In addition, associations between risk factors and incident and progressive radiographic LS as well as incident lower back pain were tested.

Results: Given a 3.3-year follow-up, the incidence of KL ≥ 2 radiographic LS was 50.0% and 34.4% (15.3% and 10.5% per year), while that of KL ≥ 3 LS was 15.3% and 23.7% (4.6% and 7.2% per year) in men and women, respectively. The progression rate of LS was 20.5% and 27.4% (6.2% and 8.3% per year) in men and women, respectively. In addition, the incidence of lower back pain was 28.3% and 31.2% (8.6% and 9.5% per year) in men and women. Lower back pain was not significantly associated with incident radiographic LS, while a more severe KL grade at baseline was associated with incident lower back pain.

Conclusion: The present longitudinal study revealed a high incidence of radiographic LS in Japan.

© 2012 Osteoarthritis Research Society International. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Lumbar spondylosis (LS) and lower back pain are considered a major public health issue causing chronic disability of the elderly in most developed countries^{1,2,3–8}. The prevalence of radiographic LS is high in Japan³, with an estimated 37,900,000 individuals aged ≥ 40 years being affected by radiographic LS⁹. According to the recent National Livelihood Survey of the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare in Japan, lower back pain is rated first among symptoms that send men to the hospital¹⁰. Despite the urgent need for

strategies to prevent and treat this condition, several cross sectional studies have investigated the prevalence of radiographic LS^{3,9,11–16}, but only a few have examined the incidence and progression of radiographic LS or their risk factors^{17–21}. In addition, although lower back pain is believed to be the principal clinical symptom of LS, the correlation is not as close as would be expected, and the findings of cross sectional studies have often indicated a disconnect between them^{3,11}. However, the incidences of radiographic LS and lower back pain have never been simultaneously analyzed in a longitudinal study.

The objective of the present study was to clarify the incidence and progression rate of radiographic LS as well as the incidence rate of lower back pain in Japanese men and women using the large-scale, population-based cohort study known as Research on Osteoarthritis/osteoporosis Against Disability (ROAD). In addition, we examined risk factors for the incidence and progression of LS as well as the incidence of lower back pain.

* Address correspondence and reprint requests to: S. Muraki, Department of Clinical Motor System Medicine, 22nd Century Medical & Research Center, Faculty of Medicine, the University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan. Tel: 81-3-5800-9178; Fax: 81-3-5800-9179

E-mail address: murakis-ort@h.u-tokyo.ac.jp (S. Muraki).

Subjects and methods

Subjects

The ROAD study was a nationwide prospective study of bone and joint diseases (with osteoarthritis and osteoporosis as representative bone and joint diseases) in population-based cohorts established in several communities in Japan. A detailed profile of the ROAD study has already been described elsewhere^{3,10,22,23}, and thus a brief summary is provided here. Between 2005 and 2007, we created a baseline database that included clinical and genetic information about 3,040 inhabitants (1,061 men; 1,979 women) in the age range of 23–95 years (mean, 70.6 years), recruited from listings of resident registrations in three communities: an urban region in Itabashi, Tokyo; a mountainous region in Hidakagawa, Wakayama; and a coastal region in Taiji, Wakayama. All participants provided written informed consent, and the study proceeded with the approval of ethics committees of the University of Tokyo and the Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology. Participants completed an interviewer-administered questionnaire of 400 items that included lifestyle information such as smoking habits, alcohol consumption, family history, and medical history. Anthropometric measurements included height and weight, from which the body mass index (BMI) (weight [kg]/height² [m²]) was calculated. Experienced orthopaedists also asked all participants the question regarding lower back pain: "Have you experienced lower back pain on most days during the past month, in addition to now?" Those who answered "yes" were defined as having lower back pain based on previous studies³.

Between 2008 and 2010, we attempted to trace and review all 3,040 participants by inviting them to attend a follow-up interview and undergo repeat radiography. The interviews included questions about current lower back pain and were conducted by the same trained orthopaedists who undertook the baseline study between 2005 and 2007. Anthropometric data including height and weight, were also obtained at follow-up.

Radiographic assessment

Plain radiographs of the lumbar spine at baseline and follow-up were taken in anteroposterior and lateral positions, and the images were downloaded into Digital Imaging and Communication in Medicine (DICOM) files to assess radiographic spondylosis. We used contrast-adjusted images to detect osteophytes and intervertebral spaces when the original images were obscure. Osteophytes were analyzed at endplates. LS at baseline and follow-up was read in pairs according to the Kellgren and Lawrence (KL) grading²⁴ (without blinding to baseline and follow-up status) at each intervertebral level from L1/2 to L5/S by a single experienced orthopaedist (S.M.), who was blinded to the background of each patient. The KL scale defines radiographic OA in 5 categories: KL grade 0, no radiographic features of OA; KL grade 1, minimal osteophytosis only; KL grade 2, definite osteophytosis with some sclerosis of the anterior part of the vertebral plate; KL grade 3, marked osteophytosis and sclerosis of the vertebral plates with slight narrowing of the disc space; and KL grade 4, large osteophytes, marked sclerosis of the vertebral plates, and marked narrowing of the disc space. To evaluate the intraobserver variability of the KL grading, 100 randomly selected radiographs of the lumbar spine were scored by the same observer more than 1 month after the first reading. Furthermore, 100 other radiographs were scored by two experienced orthopaedic surgeons (S.M. and H.O.) using the same radiographic atlas to determine interobserver variability. Intra- and interobserver variability was evaluated by kappa

analysis. These variabilities in the KL grading on lumbar radiographs were sufficient for assessment (0.84 and 0.76, respectively).

For the purposes of this study, we defined three LS outcomes. Incident KL ≥ 2 radiographic LS was defined if all vertebral interspaces had less than grade 2 disease at baseline, and if at least one vertebral interspace had grade ≥ 2 disease at follow-up. Incident KL ≥ 3 radiographic LS was defined if all vertebral interspaces had less than grade 3 disease at baseline, and if at least one vertebral interspace had \geq grade 3 disease at follow-up. Progressive LS was defined as KL ≥ 2 LS at baseline, excluding subjects with KL = 4 LS at all vertebral interspaces because it cannot progress, and an increase of at least one grade in the affected vertebral interspace at follow-up.

Statistical analysis

Differences in age, height, weight, and BMI between men and women were examined using a non-paired Student's *t*-test. The prevalence of radiographic LS and lower back pain between men and women was compared the chi-squared test. We determined risk factors for incident and progressive LS and incident lower back pain using a univariate logistic regression analysis. Independent risk factors were determined by multiple logistic regression analysis with significant risk factors in a univariate logistic regression analysis with age, gender and BMI, as independent variables. Incident lower back pain was defined as no lower back pain at baseline and lower back pain at follow-up. Associations between the number of affected vertebral interspaces and incident lower back pain were assessed using the Cochran-Armitage test for trends. The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of the number of affected vertebral interspaces with incident lower back pain compared with no affected vertebral interspaces was determined using a logistic regression analysis with adjustment for age and BMI. Data were analyzed using SAS version 9.0 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

Results

Of the 3,040 participants in the baseline study between 2005 and 2007, 125 (4.1%) had died by the time of the review 3 years later, 123 (4.0%) did not participate in the follow-up study due to bad health, 69 (2.3%) had moved, 83 (2.7%) declined the invitation to attend the follow-up study and 155 (5.1%) did not participate for other reasons. Among the 2,485 individuals who participated in the follow-up study, we excluded 186 (6.1%) who did not undergo plain radiography and 17 (0.6%) who provided incomplete pain questionnaires, leaving a total of 2,282 (74.4%; 758 men; 1,524 women) from whom paired radiographs and complete pain histories were obtained. Their median age at follow-up was 72.1 \pm 11.5 years. The duration of follow-up between the initial and second radiographs was 3.3 \pm 0.6 (mean \pm SD) years. Those participating in the follow-up study were younger than those who did not survive or who did not participate for other reasons (responders 68.8 years, nonresponders 74.8 years; $P < 0.0001$). The follow-up study participants were also more likely to be women (responders 66.8% women, nonresponders 60.0% women; $P = 0.0007$) and were less likely to have LS at the baseline examination than either those who did not survive to follow-up or those who did not participate for other reasons (responders 68.1%, nonresponders 77.5%; $P < 0.0001$). The prevalence of lower back pain was similar between responders and nonresponders (responders 19.0%, nonresponders 18.7%; $P = 0.91$).

Table I shows the characteristics of the 2,282 participants at baseline in the ROAD study. Men were significantly older than women, and the BMI was higher in men than women. The prevalence of KL ≥ 2 LS was significantly higher in men than women at

Table I
Characteristics of participants at baseline

| | Men | Women | P-values |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|
| Number of subjects | 758 | 1,524 | |
| Age at baseline, years | 69.8 ± 11.0 | 68.3 ± 11.3 | 0.003 |
| Height at baseline, cm | 163.0 ± 6.6 | 150.4 ± 6.4 | <0.0001 |
| Weight at baseline, kg | 62.0 ± 9.7 | 52.1 ± 8.6 | <0.0001 |
| BMI at baseline, kg/m ² | 23.3 ± 3.0 | 23.0 ± 3.4 | 0.054 |
| Grip strength at baseline, kg | 34.3 ± 8.7 | 22.2 ± 6.1 | <0.0001 |
| Prevalence at baseline | | | |
| KL ≥ 2 (%) | 79.9 | 62.3 | <0.0001 |
| KL ≥ 3 (%) | 43.1 | 44.6 | 0.531 |
| Lower back pain (%) | 16.9 | 20.0 | 0.073 |
| Smoking (%) | 21.5 | 3.2 | <0.0001 |
| Alcohol (%) | 63.2 | 23.0† | <0.0001 |

Except where indicated otherwise, values represent mean ± SD.
*P < 0.05 vs. men by non-paired Student's t-test; †P < 0.05 vs men by chi squared test.

baseline, while that of KL ≥ 3 LS and lower back pain was similar between men and women.

Table II shows the rates of incident and progressive radiographic LS as well as that of incident lower back pain. Given the 3.3-year follow-up, the rates of incident KL ≥ 2 and ≥ 3 LS and progressive LS, and incident lower back pain were 38%, 21%, 25%, and 30%, respectively. The incidence of KL ≥ 2 LS was significantly lower, but that of KL ≥ 3 LS was significantly higher in women than in men. The rate of progressive LS was also significantly higher in women than men. The rate of incident and progressive LS increased with age in men and women (P < 0.05) (Fig. 1). The rate of incident lower back pain was not age-dependent in either men or women (P = 0.44 and 0.85, respectively) (Fig. 1). We also showed incidence and progression of LS at each vertebral interspace in Supplementary Table. Among the vertebral interspaces, the incident rate of KL ≥ 2 LS was highest at the L2/3 interspace. While, the incident rate of KL ≥ 3 LS was highest at the L4/5 interspace.

Table III shows baseline risk factors for radiographic LS. Multiple logistic regression analysis showed that age was a risk factor for KL ≥ 2 and KL ≥ 3 LS and that higher BMI was a risk factor for KL ≥ 2, but not for KL ≥ 3. Female gender was a protective factor against the incidence of KL ≥ 2 LS but was a risk factor for the incidence of KL ≥ 3 LS. A higher KL grade at baseline was a risk factor for KL ≥ 3 LS. Lower back pain at baseline, smoking and alcohol consumption were not associated with incident KL ≥ 2 or KL ≥ 3 LS. We further examined the risk factors for progressive LS in individuals with KL ≥ 2 LS, excluding those with KL = 4 LS at all vertebral interspaces (Table IV). Age and female gender were also risk factors for progressive LS, whereas BMI, lower back pain at baseline, smoking and alcohol consumption were not associated with progressive LS. A grade of KL ≥ 3 at baseline was a risk factor for progressive LS compared with KL = 2.

We next examined the risk factors for incident lower back pain (Table IV). KL ≥ 3 LS was associated with incident lower back pain

compared with KL = 0 or 1, whereas age, BMI, gender, smoking and alcohol consumption were not associated with incident lower back pain. We next examined the association between KL grade at each vertebral interspace and incident lower back pain (Table V). In women, KL ≥ 3 LS at L2/3, 3/4, 4/5, and 5/S and the most severely affected interspaces were significantly associated with incident lower back pain compared with KL < 3 at the corresponding interspaces. KL ≥ 3 LS at L2/3, 3/4, 4/5 and 5/S in men tended to be associated with incident lower back pain compared with KL < 3 at the corresponding interspaces, but these findings did not reach statistical significance except for the L3/4 and L5/S interspaces. KL ≥ 3 LS at the L1/2 interspace was not associated with incident lower back pain in men or women. Thus, we further examined the number of KL ≥ 3 vertebral interspaces among L2/3, 3/4, 4/5 and 5/S interspaces (Supplementary Fig. 1). The Cochran-Armitage test for trends showed that the incidence rate of lower back pain significantly increased as the number of affected vertebral interspaces increased in women (P < 0.001), but not in men (P = 0.09). In addition, multiple logistic regression analysis after adjustment for age and BMI showed that having three or more KL ≥ 3 vertebral interspaces was significantly associated with incident lower back pain in men (OR 1.69 95% CI 1.03–2.76) and in women (OR 1.77, 95% CI 1.34–2.34).

Discussion

This is the first population-based study to examine the rates of incident and progressive radiographic LS as well as incident lower back pain, and their risk factors in Japanese men and women. We found high rates of incident and progressive LS and incident lower back pain in Japanese men and women.

Few population-based studies have examined incident radiographic LS^{17,18}. Symmons *et al.* examined radiographic changes in the lumbar spines of Dutch women (mean age, 54 years) using KL grade¹⁷ and found that 4.2% per year of individuals with no disc degeneration (KL grade 0/1) but with recurrent back pain, and 3.2% per year of those with no disc degeneration and no back pain at baseline, had disc degeneration at follow-up. The present study found a 27.6% incidence rate of KL ≥ 2 LS in women aged in their 50s over a period of 3.3 years (9.0% per year), and thus the incidence of KL ≥ 2 LS is apparently considerably higher in Japanese than Caucasian women, although a strict comparison may be limited because of differences in definition of the incidence of LS. Considering the definition of the KL grade, this may suggest that the incidence of osteophytosis is higher in Japanese women than in Caucasian women.

Regarding progression of radiographic LS, Symmons *et al.* reported that 63.1% (7.0% per year) of individuals with disc degeneration and with recurrent back pain, and 55.4% (6.2% per year) of those with disc degeneration but without back pain at baseline, had worse disc degeneration at follow-up¹⁷. The present

Table II
Incidence of radiographic LS and progressive LS as well as incidence of lower back pain

| | KL ≥ 2 LS | | KL ≥ 3 LS | | Progressive LS | | Lower back pain | |
|---------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | No. at risk | Incidence (%) | No. at risk | Incidence (%) | No. at risk | Incidence (%) | No. at risk | Incidence (%) |
| Overall | 727 | 274 (37.7) | 1,276 | 266 (20.8) | 1,530 | 378 (24.7) | 1,849 | 558 (30.2) |
| Men | 152 | 76 (50.0) | 431 | 66 (15.3) | 599 | 123 (20.5) | 630 | 178 (28.3) |
| Women | 575 | 198 (34.4)* | 845 | 200 (23.7)* | 931 | 255 (27.4)* | 1,219 | 380 (31.2) |

Incident KL ≥ 2 and ≥ 3 radiographic LS at the overall vertebral interspace was defined as all vertebral interspaces having less than grade 2 or 3 disease at baseline, and if at least one vertebral interspace was grade 2 or higher or grade 3 or higher at follow-up, respectively.

Progressive LS in the overall interspaces was defined as KL ≥ 2 LS at baseline, excluding subjects with KL = 4 LS at all vertebral interspaces because it cannot progress, and an increase by at least 1 grade in the affected vertebral interspace at follow-up.

Incident lower back pain was defined as no lower back pain at baseline and lower back pain at follow-up.

*P < 0.05 vs men by chi square test.




Fig. 1. Ratios (%) of individuals with incident radiographic LS (KL ≥ 2 and KL ≥ 3), progressive LS and incident lower back pain in each age stratum (<50, 50–59, 60–69, 70–79 and ≥80 years). Data in brackets are the number of individuals in each group.

study found that the progression rate of LS in women aged in their 50s was 20.9% over a period of 3.3 years (6.3% per year) and thus the progression rate of LS appears similar between Japanese and Caucasian women. In the present study, progression of radiographic LS was defined as KL ≥ 2 LS at baseline and an increase of at least one grade in the affected vertebral interspace at follow-up. Considering the definition of the KL grade, progression of radiographic LS may mean incidence or progression of disc space narrowing in subjects with osteophytosis, thus, our results may

indicate that the incidence or progression of disc space narrowing is similar between Japanese and Caucasian women.

Furthermore, the present study included an investigation of KL ≥ 3 LS. To the best of our knowledge, incident KL ≥ 3 LS has never been investigated in a population-based study. LS was not defined according to KL grade but according to osteophytosis and disk space narrowing in the Chingford study¹⁸. That study showed that the progression of disk space narrowing was 3.2% per year in women whose mean age was 54 years at baseline. Our results regarding incident KL ≥ 3 LS might be comparable to these, considering the definition of KL grade, although a detailed comparison provides only limited accuracy. The incidence rate of KL ≥ 3 LS was 15.0% (4.5% per year) in Japanese women aged in their 50s at baseline in the present study, which was also higher than that in Caucasian women. This might in part be related to ethnic variations.

The incidence of KL ≥ 2 spondylosis was notably higher in men than in women, while that of KL ≥ 3 spondylosis was higher in women in the present study. Considering the definition of KL grade, this might mean that the incidence of osteophytosis is higher in men, whereas the incidence of disk space narrowing is higher in women. In fact, osteophytosis of the lumbar spine is more common in men than in women^{11,12}, whereas disk space narrowing is more prevalent in women¹². A cross-sectional study that investigated the extent, prevalence and distribution of spinal spondylosis in women also showed that osteophytosis and disk space narrowing significantly correlated, but each predicted only 19% of the variation in the other¹³. This discordance suggests that different mechanisms influence the initiation of osteophytosis (the principal abnormality in KL grade 2 disease) and disk space narrowing (a principal abnormality in KL grade 3 disease). Our findings have implications for understanding of the pathogenesis of LS, as well as for designing preventive strategies.

In the present study, age, BMI, gender and KL grade at baseline were significantly associated with incident LS; this result differed from the findings of previous studies^{19–21}. The UK twin spine study¹⁹ using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed that age, BMI and gender had no detectable effect on the progression of lumbar disc degeneration. The Finnish twin spine study also showed that body weight was not associated with progression of lumbar disc degeneration²⁰. These differences may be explained not only by the differences in the definition of progressive LS, but also the ages of the subjects between these previous studies and the present study. The subjects in the UK twin study and Finnish twin study were quite younger at baseline than those in the present study (55 years, 49 years and 69 years, respectively). The association of these factors with LS may change among the age strata. In addition, racial differences may exist in the association of these factors with LS, because the prevalence or incidence of LS is different among races³. Age, BMI and female gender were not risk factors for lower back pain in the present study. Lower back pain occurrence might be mainly due to environmental, rather than to individual factors. Elderly men in particular generally retire at around age 60–70 years, and thus the load on the lower back might be greater in men aged below 60 years compared with those over 60 years, which might partly explain the lack of a significant association between age and the incidence of lower back pain. KL ≥ 3 LS was significantly associated with incident lower back pain compared with the absence of LS. Cross sectional studies have shown that the correlation between LS and lower back pain is not as strong as would be expected, and they are often disconnected^{3,11}. However, this longitudinal study discovered that severe radiographic LS is a risk factor for lower back pain. We further found that the association between the number of KL ≥ 3 vertebral interspaces and the incidence of lower back pain differed between men and

Table III
Baseline risk factors for incident radiographic LS.

| | KL ≥ 2 | | | | | KL ≥ 3 | | | | |
|------------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| | No (%) | Crude OR | 95% CI | Adjusted OR | 95% CI | No (%) | Crude OR | 95% CI | Adjusted OR | 95% CI |
| Age, years | | 1.05 | 1.03–1.06 | 1.05 | 1.03–1.06 | | 1.05 | 1.04–1.07 | 1.05 | 1.03–1.06 |
| BMI, kg/m ² | | 1.07 | 1.02–1.12 | 1.07 | 1.02–1.13 | | 1.01 | 0.97–1.06 | | |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | | |
| Men | 76/152 (50.0) | 1.00 | Reference | 1.00 | Reference | 66/431 (15.3) | 1.00 | Reference | 1.00 | Reference |
| Women | 198/575 (34.4) | 0.53 | 0.37–0.76 | 0.50 | 0.34–0.72 | 200/845 (23.7) | 1.71 | 1.27–2.34 | 2.19 | 1.54–3.17 |
| Low back pain | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | 223/607 (36.7) | 1.00 | Reference | | | 219/1078 (20.3) | 1.00 | Reference | | |
| Yes | 51/120 (42.5) | 1.27 | 0.85–1.89 | | | 47/198 (23.7) | 1.22 | 0.85–1.74 | | |
| Smoking | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | 244/661 (36.9) | 1.00 | Reference | | | 246/1136 (21.7) | 1.00 | Reference | 1.00 | Reference |
| Yes | 30/66 (45.5) | 1.42 | 0.85–2.37 | | | 20/140 (14.3) | 0.60 | 0.36–0.97 | 1.01 | 0.58–1.69 |
| Alcohol | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | 184/476 (38.7) | 1.00 | Reference | | | 185/774 (23.9) | 1.00 | Reference | 1.00 | Reference |
| Yes | 90/251 (35.9) | 0.89 | 0.64–1.22 | | | 81/502 (16.1) | 0.61 | 0.46–0.82 | 0.87 | 0.63–1.20 |
| KL grade | | | | | | | | | | |
| KL = 0 or 1 | | | | | | | 1.00 | Reference | 1.00 | Reference |
| KL = 2 | | | | | | | 1.66 | 1.27–2.19 | 1.67 | 1.24–2.25 |

The adjusted ORs were calculated by multiple logistic regression analysis after adjustment for all other significant variables without adjustment. We did not include KL grade in the analysis of incident KL ≥ 2 LS, because all subjects had KL = 0 or 1.

women. The incidence of lower back pain increased as the number of KL ≥ 3 vertebral interspaces increased in women, whereas the incidence was similar in men with 0, 1 and 2 KL ≥ 3 vertebral interspaces, and having 3 or more KL ≥ 3 vertebral interspaces suddenly increased the incidence of lower back pain.

There were several limitations in this study. First, we did not read the X-rays for osteophytes and joint space narrowing scored separately. Furthermore, in the KL classification, atrophic and degenerative features of LS, which likely have different aetiology, were combined; thus, the differences in associations with pain between these features may have been obscured. We are developing a computer-aided diagnostic program to enable fully automated measurements of the major features of LS, including disc space narrowing and osteophytosis on plain radiographs. The second limitation of our study was that a single orthopaedist read both films in pairs without being blinded to baseline and follow-up status. This may likely have caused the reader to over-read progression (i.e., inflate sensitivity) and therefore confer bias. This may be one reason for the higher incidence of LS in the present study compared with other studies. Third, we used only plain radiography to assess LS, although computed tomography (CT)/MRI is standard practice for evaluating nonspecific lower back pain in

many countries. In addition, plain films can be affected by scoliosis, positioning and multiple other factors, which may have affected our results. Fourth, although experienced orthopaedists asked all participants the question regarding lower back pain based on previous studies^{3,8}, we defined lower back pain as present or absent, rather than as a continuous validated measure of pain, such as assessed by the Oswestry Disability Index²⁵. Categorical methods are statistically less powerful than continuous methods. In addition, severity of lower back pain was not assessed in the present study. The association between lower back pain and other variables might have been underestimated in the present study. Furthermore, although the psychosocial dimension is an important factor for lower back pain²⁶, we did not include this in our analysis. Fifth, in the follow-up study, the responders was younger, more likely to be women and less likely to have LS at baseline compared with the nonresponders, which may have affected the results in the present study, because age, gender and KL grade were found to be associated with incident LS in the present study.

In conclusion, the present longitudinal study using a large-scale population from the ROAD study revealed a high incidence of radiographic LS in Japan. Gender seems to be distinctly associated with incident KL ≥ 2 and KL ≥ 3 LS, indicating that different

Table IV
Baseline risk factors for progressive LS and incident lower back pain

| | Progressive LS | | | | | Lower back pain | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| | No (%) | Crude OR | 95% CI | Adjusted OR | 95% CI | No (%) | Crude OR | 95% CI | Adjusted OR | 95% CI |
| Age, years | | 1.05 | 1.04–1.07 | 1.05 | 1.04–1.07 | | 1.00 | 0.99–1.01 | 1.00 | 0.99–1.01 |
| BMI, kg/m ² | | 1.01 | 0.98–1.05 | | | | 1.01 | 0.98–1.04 | 1.01 | 0.98–1.04 |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | | |
| Men | 123/599 (20.5) | 1.00 | Reference | 1.00 | Reference | 178/630 (28.3) | 1.00 | Reference | 1.00 | Reference |
| Women | 255/931 (27.4) | 1.46 | 1.14–1.87 | 1.44 | 1.10–1.91 | 380/1219 (31.2) | 1.15 | 0.93–1.42 | 1.12 | 0.90–1.39 |
| Low back pain | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | 302/1225 (24.7) | 1.00 | Reference | | | 503/1677 (30.0) | 1.00 | Reference | | |
| Yes | 76/305 (24.9) | 1.01 | 0.76–1.35 | | | 55/172 (32.0) | 1.10 | 0.78–1.53 | | |
| Smoking | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | 348/1385 (25.1) | 1.00 | Reference | | | 360/1162 (31.0) | 1.00 | Reference | | |
| Yes | 30/145 (20.7) | 0.78 | 0.50–1.17 | | | 198/687 (28.8) | 0.90 | 0.73–1.11 | | |
| Alcohol | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | 253/958 (26.4) | 1.00 | Reference | | | 177/607 (29.2) | 1.00 | Reference | 1.00 | Reference |
| Yes | 125/572 (21.9) | 0.78 | 0.61–0.99 | | | 118/471 (25.1) | 0.81 | 0.62–1.06 | 0.86 | 0.64–1.14 |
| KL grade | | | | | | | | | | |
| KL = 0 or 1 | | | | | | 263/771 (34.1) | 1.26 | 1.00–1.58 | 1.32 | 1.03–1.69 |
| KL = 2 | 103/549 (18.8) | 1.00 | Reference | | | | | | | |
| KL ≥ 3 | 275/981 (28.0) | 1.69 | 1.31–2.18 | | | | | | | |

The adjusted ORs were calculated by multiple logistic regression analysis after adjustment for all other significant variables without adjustment. We did not include KL grade in the analysis of incident KL ≥ 2 LS, because all subjects had KL = 0 or 1.

Table V
Association of KL₁₋₂ ≥ 3 LS at baseline with incident lower back pain by each vertebral interspace and the severest space in 1,849 subjects with no lower back pain at baseline

| | L1/2 | | L2/3 | | L3/4 | | L4/5 | | L5/S | | The severest | |
|-------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | No. (%) | OR (95% CI) | No. (%) | OR (95% CI) | No. (%) | OR (95% CI) | No. (%) | OR (95% CI) | No. (%) | OR (95% CI) | No. (%) | OR (95% CI) |
| Men | KL < 3 N = 630 | 1.00 1.20 (0.70–2.01) | 142/528 (26.9) 36/102 (35.3) | 1.00 1.57 (0.98–2.48) | 136/512 (26.6) 42/118 (35.6) | 1.00 1.62 (1.04–2.50) | 117/424 (27.6) 61/206 (29.6) | 1.00 1.15 (0.79–1.67) | 130/496 (26.2) 48/134 (35.8) | 1.00 1.65 (1.09–2.49) | 98/368 (26.6) 80/262 (30.5) | 1.00 1.26 (0.88–1.81) |
| Women | KL < 3 N = 1,219 | 1.00 1.28 (0.87–1.87) | 298/1,007 (29.6) 82/212 (38.7) | 1.00 1.52 (1.11–2.10) | 284/960 (29.6) 96/259 (37.1) | 1.00 1.43 (1.06–1.92) | 236/828 (28.5) 144/391 (36.8) | 1.00 1.50 (1.15–1.97) | 284/971 (29.3) 96/248 (38.7) | 1.00 1.56 (1.15–2.10) | 197/710 (27.8) 183/509 (36.0) | 1.00 1.51 (1.16–1.95) |

Multiple logistic regression analysis after adjustment for age was used to calculate OR and 95% CI.

mechanisms might influence the initiation of osteophytosis and joint space narrowing. Lower back pain was not significantly associated with incident radiographic LS, whereas radiographic severe LS was a risk factor for incident lower back pain. Further progress, along with continued longitudinal surveys of the ROAD study, will elucidate the environmental and genetic background of LS.

Author contributions

All authors have made substantial contributions to all three of sections (1), (2) and (3) below;

- (1) The conception and design of the study, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data
- (2) Drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content
- (3) Final approval of the version to be submitted

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S19109007, B20390182, C20591737, C20591774), for Young Scientists (A18689031), and for Exploratory Research (19659305) from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, H17-Men-eki-009, H18-Choujyu-037, H20-Choujyu-009, and H21-Chouju-Wakate-011 from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Research Aid from the Japanese Orthopaedic Association (JOA-Subsidized Science Project Research 2006-1 & 2010-2), and Grant No.166 from the Japan Orthopaedics and Traumatology Foundation.

The authors thank Drs Seizo Yamamoto, Hideaki Ishibashi, Yorito Anamizu and members of Department of Orthopaedics, as well as members of the Department of Radiology at Tokyo Metropolitan Geriatric Medical Center. We also appreciate Mrs Tomoko Takijiri and other members of the Public Office in Hidakagawa, Mrs Tamako Tsutsumi, Mrs Kanami Maeda, and other members of the Public Office in Taiji, for assistance with the location and scheduling of participants for examinations.

Supplementary material

Supplementary data related to this article can be found online at doi:10.1016/j.joca.2012.03.009

References

1. Hadjipavlou AG, Simmons JW, Pope MH, Necessary JT, Goel VK. Pathomechanics and clinical relevance of disc degeneration and annular tear: a point-of-view review. *Am J Orthop* 1999;28:561–71.
2. Emery SE, Ringus VM. Osteoarthritis of the spine. In: Moskowitz RW, Altman RD, Hochberg MC, Buckwalter JA, Goldberg VM, Eds. *Osteoarthritis: Diagnosis and Medical/Surgical Management*. 4th edn. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2007:427–52.
3. Muraki S, Oka H, Akune T, Mabuchi A, En-Yo Y, Yoshida M, et al. Prevalence of radiographic lumbar spondylosis and its association with lower back pain in the elderly of

- population-based cohorts: the ROAD study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2008;68:1401–6.
4. Horng YS, Hwang YH, Wu HC, Liang HW, Mhe YJ, Twu FC, et al. Predicting health-related quality of life in patients with lower back pain. *Spine* 2005;30:551–5.
 5. Kovacs FM, Abaira V, Zamora J, Teresa Gil del Real M, Llobera J, Fernández C, et al. Correlation between pain, disability, and quality of life in patients with common lower back pain. *Spine* 2004;29:206–10.
 6. Leidig-Bruckner G, Minne HW, Schlaich C, Wagner G, Scheidt-Nave C, Bruckner T, et al. Clinical grading of spinal osteoporosis: quality of life components and spinal deformity in women with chronic lower back pain and women with vertebral osteoporosis. *J Bone Miner Res* 1997;12:663–75.
 7. Silverman SL, Piziak VK, Chen P, Misurski DA, Wagman RB. Relationship of health related quality of life to prevalent and new or worsening back pain in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. *J Rheumatol* 2005;32:2405–9.
 8. Muraki S, Akune T, Oka H, En-yo Y, Yoshida M, Saika A, et al. Impact of knee and lower back pain on health-related quality of life in Japanese women: the Research on Osteoarthritis Against Disability (ROAD). *Modern Rheum* 2010;20:444–51.
 9. Yoshimura N, Muraki S, Oka H, Mabuchi A, En-yo Y, Yoshida M, et al. Prevalence of knee osteoarthritis, lumbar spondylosis and osteoporosis in Japanese men and women: The Research on Osteoarthritis/osteoporosis Against Disability (ROAD). *J Bone Miner Metab* 2009;27:620–8.
 10. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. The outline of the results of National Livelihood Survey 2007 available at <http://www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/list/20-19-1.html>
 11. O'Neill TW, McCloskey EV, Kanis JA, Bhalla AK, Reeve J, Reid DM, et al. The distribution, determinants, and clinical correlates of vertebral osteophytosis: a population based survey. *J Rheumatol* 1999;26:842–8.
 12. Yoshimura N, Dennison E, Wilman C, Hashimoto T, Cooper C. Epidemiology of chronic disc degeneration and osteoarthritis of the lumbar spine in Britain and Japan: a comparative study. *J Rheumatol* 2000;27:429–33.
 13. Kramer PA. Prevalence and distribution of spinal osteoarthritis in women. *Spine* 2006;31:2843–8.
 14. Kellgren JH, Lawrence JS. Osteo-arthrosis and disk degeneration in an urban population. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1958;17:388–97.
 15. Lawrence JS. Disc degeneration. Its frequency and relationship to symptoms. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1969;28:121–38.
 16. van Saase JL, van Romunde LK, Cats A, Vandenbroucke JP, Valkenburg HA. Epidemiology of osteoarthritis: Zoetermeer survey. Comparison of radiological osteoarthritis in a Dutch population with that in 10 other populations. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1989;48:271–80.
 17. Symmons DP, van Hemert AM, Vandenbroucke JP, Valkenburg HA. A longitudinal study of back pain and radiological changes in the lumbar spines of middle aged women. I. Clinical findings. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1991;50:158–61.
 18. Hassett G, Hart DJ, Manek NJ, Doyle DV, Spector TD. Risk factors for progression of lumbar spine disc degeneration: the Chingford Study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003;48:3112–7.
 19. Williams FM, Popham M, Sambrook PN, Jones AF, Spector TD, MacGregor AJ. Progression of lumbar disc degeneration over a decade: a heritability study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 70: 1203–7.
 20. Videman T, Battie MC, Parent E, Gibbons LE, Vainio P, Kaprio J. Progression and determinants of quantitative magnetic resonance imaging measures of lumbar disc degeneration: a five-year follow-up of adult male monozygotic twins. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2008;33:1484–90.
 21. Videman T, Battie MC, Ripatti S, Gill K, Manninen H, Kaprio J. Determinants of the progression in lumbar degeneration: a 5-year follow-up study of adult male monozygotic twins. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2006;31:671–8.
 22. Muraki S, Oka H, Akune T, Mabuchi A, En-yo Y, Yoshida M, et al. Prevalence of radiographic knee osteoarthritis and its association with knee pain in the elderly of Japanese population-based cohorts: the ROAD study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2009;17:1137–43.
 23. Yoshimura N, Muraki S, Oka H, Kawaguchi H, Nakamura K, Akune T. Cohort Profile: Research on Osteoarthritis/osteoporosis Against Disability (ROAD) Study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2010;39:988–95.
 24. Kellgren JH, Lawrence JS, Eds. The epidemiology of chronic rheumatism: atlas of standard radiographs of arthritis. Oxford, England: Blackwell Scientific; 1963.
 25. Fairbank J, Couper J, Davies J, O'Brien JP. The Oswestry low back pain questionnaire. *Physiotherapy* 1980;66:271–3.
 26. Hartvigsen J, Frederiksen H, Christensen K. Physical and mental function and incident low back pain in seniors: a population-based two-year prospective study of 1387 Danish Twins aged 70 to 100 years. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2006;31:1628–32.

CERVICAL SPINE

Prevalence of Cervical Cord Compression and Its Association With Physical Performance in a Population-Based Cohort in Japan

The Wakayama Spine Study

Keiji Nagata, MD, PhD,* Noriko Yoshimura, MD, PhD,† Shigeyuki Muraki, MD, PhD,‡ Hiroshi Hashizume, MD, PhD,* Yuyu Ishimoto, MD,* Hiroshi Yamada, MD, PhD,* Noboru Takiguchi, MD,* Yukihiro Nakagawa, MD, PhD,* Hiroyuki Oka, MD,† Hiroshi Kawaguchi, MD, PhD,§ Kozo Nakamura, MD, PhD,¶ Toru Akune, MD, PhD,‡ and Munehito Yoshida, MD, PhD*

Study Design. A population-based magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) study of the cervical spine.

Objective. This study was undertaken in order to investigate the prevalence of cervical cord compression (CCC) and to examine the association between CCC and physical performance measures in a population-based cohort established in Japan.

Summary of Background Data. Population-based cohort studies of the prevalence of CCC, although essential for clarification of the prevalence of slowly progressive disease and specification of the time of incidence of CCC, are not available.

Methods. This cross-sectional study was performed as a part of the Research on Osteoarthritis/osteoporosis Against Disability study, a large-scale population-based cohort study in Japan. From 1011 inhabitants who underwent MRI examinations, images of the cervical spine of 977 subjects (324 men and 653 women, mean age of 66.4 yr) were evaluated. CCC was assessed by sagittal T2-weighted MRI and was defined as spinal cord compression. The prevalence of CCC and its association with myelopathic signs (hyper-reflexia of the patellar tendon and Hoffmann and Babinski reflexes) were examined. In addition, physical performance measures (grip and

release test, grip strength, 6-m walking time, step length, chair-stand time, and one-leg standing time) were tested.

Results. The prevalence of CCC was 24.4% and was significantly higher in men (29.3% in men and 21.9% in women, $P = 0.011$). The prevalence of CCC was higher with increasing age in both sexes. CCC was not significantly associated with any myelopathic signs but was significantly associated with grip and release test, 6-m walking time, step length, and chair-stand time.

Conclusion. In this MRI study, the prevalence of CCC was examined. The present results indicate that CCC correlates with physical performance measures from an early stage of the disease before myelopathic signs appear.

Key words: cervical cord compression, population-based study, MRI, physical performance. **Spine 2012;37:1892–1898**

Cervical cord compression (CCC) is a regressive and degenerative disorder. Symptoms of spinal cord compression are regarded as cervical myelopathy (CM).¹ CM sometimes can become irreversible and lead to a decrease in the performance of activities of daily living.^{2–4}

Considering the regressive nature of CM, and the contemporary unprecedented rapid increase in the number of elderly people in the general population, an urgent need for the development of strategies for prevention of CM has emerged in most developed countries. Nonetheless, the prevalence of CCC, which is basic information needed for the prevention of CM, has not been well characterized. The prevalence of CCC cannot be estimated with hospital surveys, because most patients who visit hospitals have already developed a myelopathic condition. Therefore, a population-based study is essential for clarification of the prevalence of CCC. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is an essential tool for diagnosis of CCC,^{5,6} but no previous population-based studies of CCC using MRI have been performed. Previous studies concerning prevalence of CCC were performed with asymptomatic subjects and were not population-based studies.^{7–9}

From the *Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Wakayama Medical University, Wakayama, Japan; †Departments of Joint Disease Research; ‡Clinical Motor System Medicine, 22nd Century Medical and Research Center, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan; §Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan; and ¶Rehabilitation Services Bureau, National Rehabilitation Center for Persons with Disabilities, Saitama, Japan.

Acknowledgment date: February 2, 2012. Revision date: April 4, 2012. Acceptance date: April 7, 2012.

The manuscript submitted does not contain information about medical device(s)/drug(s).

Grant funds were received in support of this work.

No benefits in any form have been or will be received from a commercial party related directly or indirectly to the subject of this manuscript.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Munehito Yoshida, MD, PhD, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Wakayama Medical University, 811-1 Kimidera, Wakayama City, Wakayama 641-8509, Japan; E-mail: myoshida@wakayama-med.ac.jp

DOI: 10.1097/BRS.0b013e31825a2619

1892 www.spinejournal.com

Copyright © 2012 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

October 2012

TABLE 1. Characteristics of Men and Women Participating in This Study

| | Men | Women |
|--|--------------|-------------|
| N | 324 | 653 |
| Age (yr) | 67.2 ± 13.9 | 66.0 ± 13.4 |
| Height (cm) | 164.6 ± 7.2* | 151.5 ± 7.2 |
| Weight (kg) | 64.5 ± 11.6* | 53.0 ± 9.4 |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 23.7 ± 3.4† | 23.1 ± 3.6 |
| Physical performance measures | | |
| Grip strength (kg) | 38.0 ± 9.1* | 23.9 ± 5.8 |
| Grip and release test, number of times | 24.9 ± 5.8* | 22.5 ± 5.3 |
| 6-m walking time at a usual pace (s) | 5.4 ± 1.5 | 5.8 ± 2.4 |
| Step length at a usual pace (cm) | 58.6 ± 9.2* | 54.6 ± 10.1 |
| 6-m walking time at a maximal pace (s) | 3.6 ± 1.1* | 4.0 ± 1.6 |
| Step length at a maximal pace (cm) | 70.7 ± 10.7* | 61.1 ± 11.2 |
| Chair-stand time (s) | 8.8 ± 3.4 | 9.0 ± 4.2 |
| One-leg standing time (s) | 35.9 ± 24.1 | 35.9 ± 23.6 |
| <i>Significantly different from women by Student t test (*P < 0.001, †P < 0.01). Values are mean ± SD.</i> | | |

Decreases in physical performance are symptoms of CM^{10,11} and can lead to a lower quality of life, especially in elderly patients.^{12,13} Although CCC is commonly seen in asymptomatic subjects, it has not been clarified whether decreases in physical performance are seen in the early stages of CCC before the signs of myelopathy appear.

In this population-based study, CCC was evaluated using MRI, and the association of CCC with physical performance was examined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

This study, was performed in a subcohort of the large-scale population-based cohort study entitled the Research on Osteoarthritis/osteoporosis Against Disability (ROAD). ROAD is a nationwide, prospective study of bone and joint diseases in population-based cohorts established in several communities in Japan. As a detailed profile of the ROAD study has already been described elsewhere,^{14,15} only a brief summary is provided here. To date, a database has been created which includes baseline clinical and genetic information for 3040 inhabitants (1061 men and 1979 women) with an




Figure 1. Prevalence of Cervical Cord Compression (≥grade 2) in sexes by age strata.

age range of 23 to 95 years (mean, 70.6 yr), recruited from listings of resident registrations in 3 communities: an urban region in Itabashi, Tokyo; a mountainous region in Hidakagawa, Wakayama; and a coastal region in Taiji, Wakayama. Participants completed an interviewer-administered questionnaire of 400 items that included lifestyle information, and anthropometric and physical performance measurements were taken. All study participants provided informed consent, and the study design was approved by the appropriate ethics review boards.

The second visit of the ROAD study to Hidakagawa and Taiji was performed between 2008 and 2010. From inhabitants participating in the second visit of the ROAD study, 1063 inhabitants were recruited for MRI examinations. Among those 1063 inhabitants, 52 declined the examination; therefore, 1011 inhabitants were registered in this study. Among those 1011 participants, those who had MRI-sensitive implanted devices (such as a pacemaker) and other disqualifiers were excluded. The cervical spine was scanned with MRI in 985 participants. Furthermore, 4 participants who had undergone a previous cervical operation were excluded from the analysis, and another 4 participants whose MRI interpretation was difficult because of poor image quality were also excluded. In total, MRI results were available for 977 participants (324 men and 653 women), with an age range of 21 to 97 years (mean, 67.2 yr for men and 66.0 yr for women). Anthropometric measurements included height (m), weight (kg), and body mass index (BMI) (weight [kg]/height² [m²]).

EVALUATION OF MYELOPATHIC SIGNS AND PHYSICAL PERFORMANCE

Medical information concerning neck pain, sensory disturbances, the Hoffmann reflex, the Babinski reflex, and the deep tendon reflex of the patellar tendon were gathered by an experienced orthopedic surgeon. The Hoffmann reflex was elicited with the hand in a neutral position by flicking the distal phalanx of the middle finger and observing flexion of the distal phalanx of the thumb.^{16,17} The Babinski reflex was elicited by firmly sweeping from the lateral part of the sole to the base of the toes with a pointed end of a reflex hammer and observing the hallux extensor response.^{18,19} Hyper-reflexia of

TABLE 2. Prevalence of Cervical Cord Compression (\geq Grade 2) at Each Intervertebral Disc Level by Sex and Age

| Age Strata | C2–C3 | C3–C4 | C4–C5 | C5–C6 | C6–C7 | C7–Th1 |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| <i>Men</i> | | | | | | |
| Overall (N = 324) | 0 | 5.3 | 12.7 | 18.5 | 11.4 | 0.9 |
| <50 yr (N = 38) | 0 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 13.2 | 2.6 | 0 |
| 50–59 yr (N = 58) | 0 | 3.5 | 10.3 | 15.5 | 3.5 | 0 |
| 60–69 yr (N = 66) | 0 | 1.5 | 9.1 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 1.5 |
| 70–79 yr (N = 89) | 0 | 2.3 | 12.4 | 18.0 | 13.5 | 1.1 |
| \geq 80 yr (N = 73) | 0 | 13.7 | 23.3 | 27.4 | 16.4 | 1.4 |
| <i>Women</i> | | | | | | |
| Overall (N = 653) | 0 | 3.5 | 8.1 | 14.9 | 6.0 | 0.2 |
| <50 yr (N = 88) | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 8.0 | 2.3 | 0 |
| 50–59 yr (N = 117) | 0 | 0 | 4.3 | 8.6 | 5.1 | 0 |
| 60–69 yr (N = 158) | 0 | 0.6 | 7.0 | 13.9 | 7.6 | 0 |
| 70–79 yr (N = 172) | 0 | 3.5 | 8.7 | 15.7 | 5.2 | 0.6 |
| \geq 80 yr (N = 118) | 0 | 13.6 | 17.8 | 26.3 | 8.5 | 0 |

Values are percentages for each intervertebral disc level.

the patellar tendon, a positive Hoffmann reflex, and a positive Babinski reflex were defined as aggravation on both sides. A myelopathic sign was defined as the presence of hyper-reflexia of the patellar tendon, the Hoffmann reflex, or the Babinski reflex.

For evaluation of physical performance, the following tests were conducted: a 10-s grip and release test (GRT), grip strength, 6-m walking time, step length, chair-stand time (CST), and one-leg standing (OLS) time. Grip strength was measured for each hand using a Toei Light handgrip dynamometer (Toei Light Co., Ltd., Saitama, Japan). To measure walking speed, the time taken to walk 6 m at a usual pace in a hallway was recorded. Similarly, the 6-m walking time at a maximal pace was measured. The time taken for 5 consecutive chair rises without the use of hands was also recorded. OLS time with each leg was measured using a stopwatch (upper limit, 60 s) and the time adopted was the mean of the times for both legs.^{20–25} The participants were given a full explanation of each test but were not given any training.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

MRI was performed on the cervical spine of each participant using a 1.5-T Excelart imaging system (Toshiba, Tokyo, Japan). All participants lay supine during the MRI, with exceptions for those participants with a rounded back, who used a triangular pillow under their heads and knees. The imaging protocol included a sagittal T2-weighted fast spin-echo pulse sequence (repetition time: 4000 ms, echo time: 120 ms, and field of view: 300 × 320 mm) and an axial T2-weighted

fast spin-echo pulse sequence (repetition time: 4000 ms, echo time: 120 ms, and field of view: 180 × 180 mm).

MRI Assessment

Sagittal T2-weighted images were assessed from C2–C3 to C7–Th1. Grading of CCC was performed at each intervertebral level from C2–C3 to C7–Th1 by an orthopedic surgeon (K.N.) with experience of interpreting spinal MRI. Grading was defined as follows: grade 0 = no compression of the spinal cord but subarachnoid space remains; grade 1 = no compression of the spinal cord with subarachnoid space absent; grade 2 = compression of less than one-third of the spinal cord; grade 3 = compression of more than one-third but less than two-thirds of the spinal cord; and grade 4 = compression of more than two-thirds of the spinal cord. CCC was defined as grade 2 or more severe at the most severely affected intervertebral disc level.

To evaluate intraobserver variability, 100 randomly selected MRIs of the cervical spine were rescored by the same observer (K.N.) more than 1 month after the first reading. Furthermore, in order to evaluate interobserver variabilities, another 100 MRIs were examined and scored by a different orthopedic surgeon (H.H.) with experience interpreting spinal MRI. The intraobserver and interobserver variabilities for CCC evaluated by κ analysis were 0.78 and 0.72, respectively, and were deemed sufficient for assessment.

Statistical Analysis

Comparisons of baseline characteristics between sexes were made using the nonpaired Student *t* test for numerical

TABLE 3. Age, Body Mass Index, Myelopathic Signs, and Physical Performance Measures With and Without Cervical Cord Compression in the Overall Study Population

| | No Compression (<Grade 2) | Compression (≥Grade 2) |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| <i>Overall</i> | | |
| N | 739 | 238 |
| Age (yr) | 64.7 ± 13.7 | 71.7 ± 11.7* |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 23.2 ± 3.7 | 23.5 ± 3.3 |
| <i>Myelopathic signs and physical performance measures</i> | | |
| Hyper-reflexia of patellar tendon reflex, N (%) | 49 (6.6) | 20 (8.4) |
| Hoffmann reflex positive, N (%) | 11 (1.5) | 6 (2.5) |
| Babinski reflex positive, N (%) | 10 (1.4) | 8 (3.4) [†] |
| Grip and release test, number of times | 23.7 ± 5.6 | 21.9 ± 5.3* |
| Grip strength (kg) | 29.0 ± 9.6 | 27.2 ± 9.7 [†] |
| 6-m walking time at a usual pace (s) | 5.5 ± 2.1 | 6.3 ± 2.3* |
| Step length at a usual pace (cm) | 57.0 ± 9.0 | 52.8 ± 12.0* |
| 6-m walking time at a maximal pace (s) | 3.7 ± 1.3 | 4.4 ± 1.7* |
| Step length at a maximal pace (cm) | 65.4 ± 11.4 | 60.7 ± 12.9* |
| Chair-stand time (s) | 8.4 ± 3.4 | 10.3 ± 5.1* |
| One-leg standing time (s) | 38.3 ± 23.2 | 28.4 ± 24.0* |
| <i>Values are mean ± SD except where otherwise indicated.</i> | | |
| <i>Significantly different from values of the no compression group (*P < 0.001, †P < 0.01).</i> | | |
| <i>For continuous outcomes, comparison was by the Student t test. For categorical outcomes, comparison was by the χ² test.</i> | | |

variables. To evaluate the association and prevalence of CCC with age, a χ^2 test was used for each sex. Prevalence of myelopathic signs was compared between participants with and without CCC, using the χ^2 test. Measurements of physical performance, such as 6-m walking time, step length, CST, and OLS, were compared between participants with and without CCC, using the nonpaired Student *t* test. In addition, to determine the association of each physical performance with CCC, logistic regression analysis was used after overall adjustment for age, sex, and BMI. All statistical tests were performed at a significance level of 0.05 (2-sided) and were not adjusted

for multiple testing. Data analyses were performed using JMP version 8 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of the 977 participants including anthropometric measurements and physical performance are shown in Table 1. There was no significant difference in age between sexes. Height, weight, and BMI were significantly higher in men than in women. Among physical performances, grip strength, GRT, 6-m walking time, and step length were significantly different between sexes (*P* < 0.05), whereas CST and OLS were not.

The prevalence of CCC in all participants was 24.4% (29.3% in men and 21.9% in women) and was significantly higher in men than in women (*P* = 0.011). As seen in Figure 1, the prevalence of CCC in men by age group for subjects aged 49 years and younger, 50–59, 60–69, 70–79, and 80 years and older was 18.4%, 19.0%, 24.2%, 30.3%, and 46.6%, respectively. Meanwhile, in women, the prevalence of CCC by age group for subjects aged 49 years and younger, 50–59, 60–69, 70–79, and 80 years and older was 8.0%, 12.8%, 20.9%, 22.1%, and 42.4%, respectively. A χ^2 test showed that the prevalence of CCC was higher with age in men and women (*P* = 0.0024 in men and *P* < 0.0001 in women). Furthermore, the prevalence of CCC of grade 3 or more was 5.9% in men and 2.6% in women. No participants had a CCC of grade 4 or more.

Table 2 shows the prevalence of CCC at each intervertebral disc level in men and women. CCC was most frequently recognized in both sexes at C5–C6, followed by C4–C5 and C6–C7. The prevalence of CCC was already higher than 10% at 50 to 59 years of age in C5–C6 in men and was higher than 10% in subjects 80 years and older at every intervertebral disc level (except for C2–C3 and C7–Th1).

Association of CCC with myelopathic signs and physical performance measures is shown in Tables 3 and 4. The prevalence of myelopathic signs, which was defined as having at least 1 myelopathic sign (including patellar tendon hyper-reflexia, Hoffmann reflex, and Babinski reflex), was 3.2% in men and 16.1% in women with CCC. In men, none of the myelopathic signs were significantly different between the participants with and without CCC. Regarding physical performance measures, significant differences between the participants with and without CCC were found in GRT (*P* = 0.0001), grip strength (*P* = 0.001), 6-m walking time at a maximal pace (*P* = 0.0038), step length at a usual pace (*P* = 0.0004), step length at a maximal pace (*P* = 0.001), and OLS (*P* = 0.0003). Significant differences were not seen in the 6-m walking time at a usual pace (*P* = 0.058) or CST (*P* = 0.067). In women, the prevalence of Babinski reflex was significantly higher in participants with CCC than in those without CCC (*P* = 0.019), whereas the prevalence of hyper-reflexia of patellar tendon and Hoffman reflex was not significantly different (*P* = 0.11 and *P* = 0.28, respectively). There were significant differences between participants with and without CCC in all physical performance measures.

TABLE 4. Age, Body Mass Index, Myelopathic Signs, and Physical Performance Measures With and Without Cervical Cord Compression in Men and Women

| | No Compression (<Grade 2) | Compression (≥Grade 2) |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| <i>Men</i> | | |
| N | 229 | 95 |
| Age (yr) | 65.5 ± 14.2 | 71.4 ± 12.1* |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 23.8 ± 3.6 | 23.5 ± 3.0 |
| <i>Myelopathic signs and physical performance measures</i> | | |
| Hyper-reflexia of patellar tendon reflex, N (%) | 7 (3.1) | 2 (2.1) |
| Hoffmann reflex positive, N (%) | 1 (0.4) | 1 (1.1) |
| Babinski reflex positive, N (%) | 2 (0.9) | 1 (1.1) |
| Grip and release test, number of times | 25.7 ± 6.0 | 22.9 ± 5.0* |
| Grip strength (kg) | 39.1 ± 9.1 | 35.4 ± 8.7 [†] |
| 6-m walking time at a usual pace (s) | 5.4 ± 1.5 | 5.7 ± 1.5 |
| Step length at a usual pace (cm) | 59.8 ± 8.9 | 55.8 ± 9.3* |
| 6-m walking time at a maximal pace (s) | 3.5 ± 1.0 | 3.9 ± 1.2 [†] |
| Step length at a maximal pace (cm) | 71.9 ± 10.1 | 67.6 ± 11.6 [†] |
| Chair-stand time (s) | 8.5 ± 3.3 | 9.3 ± 3.5 |
| One-leg standing time (s) | 39.0 ± 23.2 | 28.5 ± 24.6* |
| <i>Women</i> | | |
| N | 510 | 143 |
| Age (yr) | 64.3 ± 13.4 | 71.9 ± 11.5* |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 22.9 ± 3.7 | 23.6 ± 3.5 [†] |
| <i>Myelopathic signs and physical performance measures</i> | | |
| Hyper-reflexia of patellar tendon reflex, N (%) | 42 (8.2) | 18 (12.6) |
| Hoffmann reflex positive, N (%) | 10 (2.0) | 5 (3.5) |
| Babinski reflex positive, N (%) | 8 (1.6) | 7 (4.9) [†] |
| Grip and release test, number of times | 22.8 ± 5.3 | 21.3 ± 5.4 [†] |
| Grip strength (kg) | 24.5 ± 5.7 | 21.9 ± 5.8* |
| 6-m walking time at a usual pace (s) | 5.5 ± 2.3 | 6.7 ± 2.7* |
| Step length at a usual pace (cm) | 55.7 ± 8.8 | 50.8 ± 13.2* |
| 6-m walking time at a maximal pace (s) | 3.8 ± 1.4 | 4.8 ± 1.9* |
| Step length at a maximal pace (cm) | 62.5 ± 10.7 | 56.0 ± 11.7* |
| Chair-stand time (s) | 8.4 ± 3.5 | 11.0 ± 5.8* |
| <i>Values are mean ± SD except where otherwise indicated.</i> | | |
| <i>Significantly different from values of the group of no compression (*P < 0.001, †P < 0.01, #P < 0.05).</i> | | |
| <i>For continuous outcomes, comparison was by the Student t test. For categorical outcomes, comparison was by the χ² test.</i> | | |

In addition, multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to estimate the association of physical performance with CCC after adjustment for age, sex, and BMI (Table 5). As an overall result, GRT, step length at a usual and a maximal

pace, 6-m walking time at a maximal pace, and CST were found to be significantly associated with CCC. The same logistic regression analysis was performed in participants older than 50 years, and the results remained the same.

TABLE 5. Association Between Cervical Cord Compression (\geq Grade 2) and Physical Performance Measures

| | OR | 95% CI | P |
|---|------|-----------|-------|
| Overall* | | | |
| Grip and release test, N (+1SD) | 0.26 | 0.08–0.79 | 0.02 |
| Grip strength, kg (+1 SD) | 0.22 | 0.03–1.37 | 0.11 |
| 6-m walking time at a usual pace, s (+1 SD) | 4.88 | 0.81–31.1 | 0.09 |
| Step length at a usual pace, cm (+1 SD) | 0.04 | 0.03–0.45 | 0.01 |
| 6-m walking time at a maximal pace, s (+1 SD) | 14.1 | 2.51–85.0 | 0.003 |
| Step length at a maximal pace, cm (+1 SD) | 0.13 | 0.03–0.46 | 0.002 |
| Chair-stand time, s (+1 SD) | 11.1 | 2.00–64.5 | 0.006 |
| One-leg standing time, s (+1 SD) | 0.87 | 0.51–1.50 | 0.62 |

*OR was calculated by multiple logistic regression analysis after adjustment for age, sex, and body mass index in the overall study population.

OR indicates odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

DISCUSSION

This study is the first population-based study to use MRI to clarify the prevalence of CCC and its association with myelopathic signs and physical performance measures in Japanese men and women. The prevalence of CCC was higher with increasing age in both sexes. There was no significant association between CCC and myelopathic signs. Regarding physical performance measures, GRT, step length at a usual and a maximal pace, 6-m walking time at a maximal pace, and CST were significantly associated with CCC.

Regarding the prevalence of CCC, Matsumoto *et al*⁸ reported that CCC caused by disc protrusion beyond the vertebral body was found in 7.6% of all intervertebral discs using MRI in asymptomatic subjects. This study was the first to clarify the prevalence of CCC, age, and sex differences using MRI in a population-based cohort study.

Previous studies have shown that the prevalence of cervical spondylotic myelopathy was higher in men than in women.^{2,26} However, as has been described earlier, and to the best of our knowledge, there have been no previous population-based studies regarding sex differences with CCC. Irvine *et al*²⁷ reported that the prevalence of cervical spondylosis was higher in men than in women, but the study was not population-based and diagnosis was made with x-ray films. This study is the first to clarify that the prevalence of CCC is more frequent in men than in women.

This study also used multiple logistic regression to examine the association of CCC with myelopathic signs and found that there was no significant association between CCC and hyper-reflexia of patellar tendon, Hoffman reflex, and the Babinski reflex. It is well recognized that, among the elderly, exaggerated reflexes are uncommon, whether they be caused by peripheral neuropathy or other causes. Therefore, diagnosis of early-stage CM using myelopathic signs is often difficult, especially among the elderly. In addition, the prevalence of severe CCC ($>$ grade 3) was only 5.9% in men and 2.6%

in women, and most of the participants with CCC had slight to moderate spinal compression. These findings may affect the results of this study, which found no significant association between CCC and myelopathic signs. With regard to physical performance measures, many were significantly associated with CCC in this study. The GRT, 6-m walking time at a maximal pace, and CST, all of which required agility, were significantly associated with CCC. This indicates that a decrease in agility may be observed early in the course of CM, and these kinds of physical performance measures may be useful indices for diagnosis of early-stage CM.

Limitations of the Study

There are several limitations in this study. First, although this study included more than 1000 participants, these participants may not represent the general population because they were recruited from only 2 areas of Japan. However, anthropometric measurements were compared between the participants of this study and the general Japanese population,²⁸ and no significant differences in BMI were found between participants in this study and the Japanese population at large in both sexes (BMI [SD] in men: 23.71 [3.41] and 23.95 [2.64], $P = 0.33$, respectively, and in women: 23.06 [3.42] and 23.50 [3.69], $P = 0.07$, respectively). In addition, the proportion of current smokers and current drinkers (those who regularly smoked or drank more than 1 drink per mo) in the general Japanese population was compared with the study population. Proportions of current smokers and drinkers in men and that of current drinkers in women were significantly higher in the general Japanese population than in the study population, and there was no significant difference in current smokers in women (male smokers, 32.6% in the Japanese population and 25.2% in study participants, $P = 0.015$; female smokers, 4.9% in the Japanese population and 4.1% in study participants, $P = 0.50$; male drinkers, 73.9% in the Japanese population and 56.8% in study participants, $P < 0.0001$; female drinkers,

28.1% in the Japanese population and 18.8% in study participants, $P < 0.0001$). These results suggest that it is likely that in this study, participants had healthier lifestyles than the general Japanese population. Second, the prevalence only applies to a portion of the Japanese population and cannot be extrapolated beyond that. Third, ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL) and spondylotic changes were included in CCC. There were a total of 21 participants with OPLL, which was examined by x-ray in the same population. Associations of physical performance between spondylotic changes and OPLL may be different; however, only 14 (1.4% in total) OPLL participants had CCC and therefore would not strongly affect the results of this study.

CONCLUSION

This cross-sectional population-based study revealed a high prevalence of CCC in the elderly. The prevalence of CCC was more frequent in men than in women. The highest prevalence of intervertebral lesions was at the C5–C6 level. The GRT, 6-m walking time at a maximal pace, and CST may be useful tools for diagnosis of the early stages of CM.

➤ Key Points

- This is the first study to reveal the prevalence of CCC, using a population-based study.
- The prevalence of CCC was 24.4% in this cohort.
- CCC was associated with physical performance both from an early stage of the disease and before signs of myelopathy.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Mrs. Tomoko Takijiri, other members of the Public Office in Hidakagawa Town, Mrs. Tamako Tsutsumi, Mrs. Kanami Maeda, and other members of the Public Office in Taiji Town for their assistance in scheduling of participants to the locations for examinations.

References

1. Wilkinson M. The morbid anatomy of cervical spondylosis and myelopathy. *Brain* 1960;83:589–617.
2. Nurick S. The pathogenesis of the spinal cord disorder associated with cervical spondylosis. *Brain* 1972;95:87–100.
3. Nurick S. The natural history and the results of surgical treatment of the spinal cord disorder associated with cervical spondylosis. *Brain* 1972;95:101–8.
4. Iwasaki M, Kawaguchi Y, Kimura T, et al. Long-term results of expansive laminoplasty for ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament of the cervical spine: more than 10 years follow up. *J Neurosurg* 2002;96:180–9.
5. Yone K, Sakou T, Yanase M, et al. Preoperative and postoperative magnetic resonance image evaluations of the spinal cord in cervical myelopathy. *Spine* 1992;17:S388–92.
6. Keiper MD, Zimmerman RA, Bilaniuk LT. MRI in the assessment of the supportive soft tissues of the cervical spine in acute trauma in children. *Neuroradiology* 1998;40:359–63.
7. Boden SD, McCowin PR, Davis DO, et al. Abnormal magnetic-resonance scans of the cervical spine in asymptomatic subjects. A prospective investigation. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1990;72:1178–84.
8. Matsumoto M, Fujimura Y, Suzuki N, et al. MRI of cervical intervertebral discs in asymptomatic subjects. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1998;80:19–24.
9. Teresi LM, Lufkin RB, Reicher MA, et al. Asymptomatic degenerative disk disease and spondylosis of the cervical spine: MR imaging. *Radiology* 1987;164:83–8.
10. Kuhtz-Buschbeck JP, Johnk K, Mader S, et al. Analysis of gait in cervical myelopathy. *Gait Posture* 1999;9:184–9.
11. Maezawa Y, Uchida K, Baba H. Gait analysis of spastic walking in patients with cervical compressive myelopathy. *J Orthop Sci* 2001;6:378–84.
12. King JT Jr, McGinnis KA, Roberts MS. Quality of life assessment with the medical outcomes study short form-36 among patients with cervical spondylotic myelopathy. *Neurosurgery* 2003;52:113–20; discussion 121.
13. Matsuda Y, Shibata T, Oki S, et al. Outcomes of surgical treatment for cervical myelopathy in patients more than 75 years of age. *Spine* 1999;24:529–34.
14. Yoshimura N, Muraki S, Oka H, et al. Cohort profile: research on Osteoarthritis/Osteoporosis Against Disability study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2010;39:988–95.
15. Yoshimura N, Muraki S, Oka H, et al. Prevalence of knee osteoarthritis, lumbar spondylosis, and osteoporosis in Japanese men and women: the research on osteoarthritis/osteoporosis against disability study. *J Bone Miner Metab* 2009;27:620–8.
16. Houten JK, Noce LA. Clinical correlations of cervical myelopathy and the Hoffmann sign. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2008;9:237–42.
17. Sung RD, Wang JC. Correlation between a positive Hoffmann's reflex and cervical pathology in asymptomatic individuals. *Spine* 2001;26:67–70.
18. Rhee JM, Heflin JA, Hamasaki T, et al. Prevalence of physical signs in cervical myelopathy: a prospective, controlled study. *Spine* 2009;34:890–5.
19. Harrop JS, Hanna A, Silva MT, et al. Neurological manifestations of cervical spondylosis: an overview of signs, symptoms, and pathophysiology. *Neurosurgery* 2007;60:S14–20.
20. Judge JO, Davis RB III, Ounpuu S. Step length reductions in advanced age: the role of ankle and hip kinetics. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 1996;51:M303–12.
21. Judge JO, Lindsey C, Underwood M, et al. Balance improvements in older women: effects of exercise training. *Phys Ther* 1993;73:254–62; discussion 263–5.
22. Bohannon RW. Comfortable and maximum walking speed of adults aged 20–79 years: reference values and determinants. *Age Ageing* 1997;26:15–9.
23. Steffen TM, Hacker TA, Mollinger L. Age- and gender-related test performance in community-dwelling elderly people: Six-Minute Walk Test, Berg Balance Scale, Timed Up & Go Test, and gait speeds. *Phys Ther* 2002;82:128–37.
24. Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, et al. A short physical performance battery assessing lower extremity function: association with self-reported disability and prediction of mortality and nursing home admission. *J Gerontol* 1994;49:M85–94.
25. Bohannon RW. Sit-to-stand test for measuring performance of lower extremity muscles. *Percept Mot Skills* 1995;80:163–6.
26. Lees F, Turner JW. Natural history and prognosis of cervical spondylosis. *Br Med J* 1963;2:1607–10.
27. Irvine DH, Foster JB, Newell DJ, et al. Prevalence of cervical spondylosis in a general practice. *Lancet* 1965;1:1089–92.
28. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. The report of the National Health and Nutrition Survey 2005. Available at: www.mhlw.go.jp/bunya/kenkou/eiyoudl/h20-houkoku-04.pdf