

Fully automatic quantification of knee osteoarthritis severity on plain radiographs

H. Oka M.D.†, S. Muraki M.D., Ph.D.†, T. Akune M.D., Ph.D.†, A. Mabuchi M.D., Ph.D.†, T. Suzuki M.D., Ph.D.†, H. Yoshida M.D., Ph.D.†, S. Yamamoto M.D., Ph.D.†, K. Nakamura M.D., Ph.D.§, N. Yoshimura M.D., Ph.D.† and H. Kawaguchi M.D., Ph.D.§*

† 22nd Century Medical Center, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

‡ Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology, Tokyo, Japan

§ Sensory and Motor System Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

Summary

Objective: Although knee osteoarthritis (OA) is a major public health issue causing chronic disability, there is no objective or accurate method for measurement of the structural severity in general clinical practice. Here we have established a fully automatic program KOACAD (knee OA computer-aided diagnosis) to quantify the major OA parameters on plain knee radiographs, validated the reproducibility and reliability, and investigated the association of the parameters with knee pain.

Methods: KOACAD was programmed to measure joint space narrowing at medial and lateral sides, osteophyte formation, and joint angulation. Anteroposterior radiographs of 1979 knees of a large-scale cohort population were analyzed by KOACAD and conventional categorical grading systems.

Results: KOACAD automatically measured all parameters in less than 1 s without intra- or interobserver variability. All parameters, especially medial joint space narrowing, were significantly correlated with the conventional gradings. In the parameters, osteophyte formation was associated with none of the joint space parameters, suggesting different etiologic mechanisms between them. Multivariate logistic regression analysis after adjustment for age and confounding factors revealed that medial joint space narrowing and varus angulation of knee joints were risk factors for the presence of pain (594/1979 knees), while neither lateral joint space nor osteophyte area was.

Conclusion: KOACAD was shown to be useful for objective, accurate, simple and easy evaluation of the radiographic knee OA severity in daily clinical practice. This system may also serve as a surrogate measure for the development of disease-modifying drugs for OA, just as bone mineral density does in osteoporosis.

© 2008 Osteoarthritis Research Society International. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Key words: Osteoarthritis, Knee, Diagnosis, Computer-aided diagnosis, Imaging, Plain radiograph.

Introduction

Due to the rapidly increasing fraction of aging people today, osteoarthritis (OA) is now considered as a major public health issue causing chronic disability in most developed countries. It is estimated that up to 10% of the entire world population, and more than 50% of those aged over 50 years, are suffering from OA¹. Knee OA, affecting about 30% of those over 65 years and as often associated with disability as heart and chronic lung diseases^{2,3}, is characterized by pathological features including joint space narrowing, osteophyte formation, and joint angulation. Although OA and osteoporosis are the two major skeletal disorders with strong social impact⁴, OA falls far behind osteoporosis in the assessment of its disease severity and in the development of disease-modifying drugs. This is mainly due to the lack of an objective and accurate method to

evaluate the structural severity and thereby to assess the efficacy of drugs as surrogate measures like bone mineral density (BMD) in osteoporosis.

Although magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with high resolution has been rapidly advanced as a promising technique, it is still too laborious and expensive to perform in general clinical practice or in population-based epidemiologic studies, and the interpretation remains controversial as a primary end-point in clinical trials of the disease-modifying drugs^{5–7}. Biochemical markers of cartilage turnover are being tested to measure the disease progression; however, their validation as a surrogate measure will require significant additional work^{5,8}. Hence, plain radiography is considered the gold standard as a method that is non-invasive, inexpensive, convenient, simple, and fast to use in assessing OA severity. The most conventional system to grade the radiographic severity has been the Kellgren/Lawrence (K/L) grading⁹. However, this categorical system is limited by incorrect assumptions that progression of distinct OA features like joint space narrowing and osteophyte formation is linear and constant, and that their relationships are proportional. Since the system emphasizes the development of osteophytes, it is unclear how to handle knees with severe joint space narrowing but no osteophyte formation. To overcome the problem,

*Address correspondence and reprint requests to: Dr Hiroshi Kawaguchi, M.D., Ph.D., Sensory and Motor System Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-8655, Japan. Tel: 81-3-3815-5411 ext. 30473; Fax: 81-3-3818-4082; E-mail: kawaguchi-ort@h.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Received 3 January 2008; revision accepted 9 March 2008.

a radiographic atlas of individual features was published by the OA Research Society International (OARSI) in 1995¹⁰ and a revised version in 2007¹¹. This system separately evaluates joint space narrowing and osteophyte formation at the medial and lateral tibiofemoral compartments on radiographs; however, the grading is still limited in reproducibility and sensitivity due to the subjective judgment of individual observers and the categorical classification into four-grade (0–3) scales. Although several semi-automatic methods for objective measurement with continuous variables of joint space or angle using computer-assisted systems have recently been developed, there still remain intra- and interobserver variabilities since initial operations like identifying points or drawing lines must be manually performed^{12–16}.

The present study has developed a novel computer program, KOACAD (knee OA computer-aided diagnosis), which for the first time has realized a fully automatic measurement of major parameters of knee OA: joint space area (JSA) and the minimum joint space width (mJSW) at medial and lateral sides, osteophyte area, and tibiofemoral angle (TFA) on plain anteroposterior radiographs. We examined the reproducibility and reliability of KOACAD by comparing it with conventional grading systems and semi-automatic measurements.

Arthritis is the most common cause of pain in the elderly¹⁷, and knee pain is the principal clinical symptom of knee OA. Although much effort has been devoted toward a definition of knee pain, the correlation with radiographic severity of the knee OA was not as strong as one would expect^{18–20}. Hence, this study finally sought to identify radiographic factors related to knee pain by examining the association of the KOACAD parameters with the presence of pain using a baseline database of our large-scale OA cohort study ROAD (research on OA against disability).

Subjects and methods

SUBJECTS

The ROAD study is a nationwide OA cohort study that started in 2005, and is constituted of four cohorts. So far, we have completed creation of a baseline database including clinical and genomic information of 3040 participants in three cohorts in urban, mountainous, and seacoast areas. The database includes anteroposterior and lateral radiographs of bilateral knees of all participants. For evaluation of the KOACAD system, we used 1979 anteroposterior radiographs from 2002 knees of 1001 participants of the urban cohort after 15 artificial knee joints and eight knees with more than 5° flexion contracture were omitted. The study was conducted with approval of the Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) of the University of Tokyo and the Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology, and all participants provided written informed consent.

RADIOGRAPHY

Plain radiographs with standing on both legs and the knee extended were taken with a horizontal X-ray beam unless otherwise described, using a Fuji 5000 Plus Reader on a 36 × 46 cm Fuji ST-VI Computed Radiography (CR) imaging plate (Fuji Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan) with a 20 × 30 mm rectangular metal plate beside it as a magnification index. Rotation of the foot was adjusted to keep the second metatarsal bone parallel to the X-ray beam. Images were downloaded into Digital Imaging and Communication in Medicine (DICOM) format files with a spatial resolution of 1584 × 2016 pixels (giving a pixel size of 0.01 mm) and 1024 gray levels.

IMAGE PROCESSING BY KOACAD

The KOACAD was programmed to perform the following operations automatically on the digital images above using the object-oriented programming language C++ [Fig. 1(A)]. Initially, correction for radiographic magnification was performed based on the image size of the rectangular metal plate. To reduce the image noise, the entire radiograph underwent filtering three times with a 3 × 3 square neighborhood median filter as reported previously²¹.

Then, the Robert's filter was applied to extract the rough outlines of tibia and femur, so that medial and lateral sides could be judged by the difference of calculated widths of tibia and fibula at the level of 100 pixels above the bottom of the image [Fig. 1(B)].

Next, to determine the region of interest (ROI) including the tibiofemoral joint space, a vertical neighborhood difference filter was applied to identify points with high absolute values of difference of scales. The center of all the points was then calculated, and 480 × 200 pixels of a rectangle with the center was decided as the ROI [Fig. 1(C)]. Within the ROI, the outline of femoral condyle was designated as the upper rim of the joint space by vertical filtering with the 3 × 3 square neighborhood difference filter [Fig. 1(D)]. The two ends were determined using a Canny's filter to remove the noise of lines²², and vertical lines from the ends were designated as the outside rims of the joint space. Outlines of anterior and posterior margins of the tibial plateau were drawn similarly to that of the femoral condyle, and the middle line between the two outlines was designated as the lower rim of the joint space [Fig. 1(E)]. Then, a straight regression line for the lower rim outline was drawn, and their intersections were designated as the inside rims [Fig. 1(F)]. The medial and lateral JSAs were determined as the areas surrounded by the upper, lower, inside, and outside rims above [Fig. 1(G)]. The medial and lateral mJSWs were further determined as the minimum vertical distances in the respective JSA [Fig. 1(H)].

To measure osteophyte area and TFA, the medial and lateral outlines of femur and tibia were drawn by the 3 × 3 square horizontal neighborhood difference filter and Canny's filter as described above. Then, the inflection points for the outlines were calculated. The medial outline of the tibia from the inflection point was drawn upward to the joint level [Fig. 1(I)], and the area that was medially prominent over the smoothly extended outline was designated as the osteophyte area [Fig. 1(J)]. For TFA, a middle line between the medial and lateral outlines of the femur from the top of the image to the inflection points was drawn [Fig. 1(K)], and the straight regression line was determined to be the axis of the femur. Similarly, the straight regression line of the middle line of the tibia from the bottom to the inflection points was designated as the axis of the tibia. The lateral angle between the two axis lines was calculated as TFA [Fig. 1(L)].

ANALYSES

To decide the ideal conditions for the taking of radiographs for the KOACAD analysis, we initially evaluated the reproducibility of the six parameters by an intraclass coefficient of correlation (ICC) on radiographs of 20 individuals taken at a 2-week interval with various knee flexion angles (0, 10, 20, and 30°) and X-ray beam angulations (0, 5, 10, and 15°).

Conventional gradings by the K/L system and the OARSI radiographic atlas were performed by experienced orthopedists on 50 radiographs randomly selected from the 1979 radiographs above, and intra- and interobserver variabilities were evaluated by κ values. The KOACAD parameters were also evaluated by semi-automatic measurement by a conventional computer-assisted program (Quick Grain Standard, Inotech, Hiroshima, Japan) after drawing of the outlines of femur and tibia by the orthopedists, and intra- and interobserver ICCs of each parameter were compared with those of KOACAD.

Correlations of the KOACAD parameters with the K/L grading (0–4) were examined by Spearman's correlation test on the entire 1979 radiographs. Correlations with the OARSI grading (0–3) were similarly examined for five common parameters: the KOACAD mJSW and JSA at the medial and lateral sides were compared with the OARSI joint space narrowing grades at the respective sides, and the KOACAD osteophyte area with the OARSI osteophyte grade of the medial tibial plateau. Since there was no radiograph of OARSI grade 3 of lateral joint space narrowing, correlations of the KOACAD lateral JSA and lateral mJSW were examined with the OARSI grade 0–2.

Correlations among the KOACAD parameters were analyzed using Pearson's correlation test, and parameters with correlation value of more than 0.5 were defined as confounding factors.

For the assessment of factors associated with symptomatic knee pain, age and the six KOACAD parameters were compared between knees with and without pain by Student's *t* test on the 1979 radiographs. Logistic regression analyses were used to estimate odds ratio (OR) and the associated 95% confidence interval (CI). Final multivariate logistic models were created through stepwise elimination of variables of interest from univariate analysis after adjustment for age and confounding factors.

A *P*-value of <0.05 for analysis of safety variables was considered significant. Data analyses were performed using SAS version 9.0 (SAS Institute Inc., NC, USA).

Results

REPRODUCIBILITY OF KOACAD PARAMETERS BY KNEE FLEXION ANGLES AND X-RAY BEAM ANGULATIONS

The KOACAD system could automatically measure the six parameters on an anteroposterior knee radiograph in




Fig. 1. Schema of image processing by KOACAD. (A) A digitized knee radiograph as a DICOM file. (B) Filterings to reduce the image noise and to extract outlines of tibia and femur. (C) ROI and the center including the tibiofemoral joint space. (D) An outline of femoral condyle (blue line) as the upper and outside rims of the joint space. (E) Outlines of anterior and posterior margins of the tibial plateau (green lines), and the middle line between the two outlines (red line) as the lower rim of the joint space. (F) A straight regression line (black line) for the lower rim line, and their intersections as the inside rims. (G) Medial and lateral JSAs (white areas) surrounded by the upper, lower, inside, and outside rims. (H) Medial and lateral mJSWs (brown lines) as the minimum vertical distances in the JSAs. (I) Medial outline (blue line) of the tibia drawn from the calculated inflection point upward to the joint level. (J) Osteophyte area (red area) that is medially prominent over the smoothly extended outline of the tibia. (K) Medial and lateral outlines (blue lines) of the femur and tibia from the edges of the image to the inflection points, and the middle lines (purple lines). (L) TFA as the lateral angle between the straight regression lines (black lines) of the middle lines above in the femur and tibia.

less than 1 s without any manual operation. To decide the ideal conditions of taking radiographs for the KOACAD analysis, we first examined the reproducibility of the parameters measured on radiographs of 20 individuals taken at a 2-week interval with various knee flexion angles and X-ray beam angulations (Table I). The reproducibility of all parameters was highly maintained with 0° of the knee flexion angle (ICC = 0.88–0.99), which became lower as the angle was increased. It was also maintained with 0 and 5° of X-ray beam angulations (ICC = 0.87–0.99), while it was not determined in most of the radiographs with 10 and 15° due to overlap of femoral condyle and tibial plateau. Hence, we decided to take radiographs with the knee extended and a horizontal X-ray beam for the KOACAD measurement.

COMPARISON OF KOACAD WITH CONVENTIONAL SYSTEMS

We measured the six parameters by KOACAD more than twice on 1979 radiographs, and confirmed that all parameters were unchanged independent of observer or time measured (all ICC = 1.0). Contrarily, when we examined the intra- and interobserver variabilities of the conventional categorical grading systems on 50 randomly selected radiographs, the intra- and interobserver variabilities were high by the K/L system (κ value = 0.84 and 0.76) and the OARSI radiographic atlas ($\kappa \leq 0.75$ and ≤ 0.65) (Supplementary Table S1). In addition, the intra- and interobserver ICCs of semi-automatic measurements using a conventional computer-assisted procedure of the parameters were less than 0.7 and 0.6, respectively, for joint space parameters and osteophyte area, and were less than 0.8 for TFA, indicating that even this computer-assisted system is robust with respect to variability in lines drawn by observers for the computer to analyze (Supplementary Table S1).

We then examined the correlations of the KOACAD parameters with the K/L and OARSI gradings on the 1979 radiographs (Table II). All parameters were significantly correlated with the K/L grading ($P < 0.0001$); with medial JSA, medial mJSW, and TFA being most strongly correlated with it. Five common parameters showed good correlation between KOACAD and OARSI grading ($P < 0.0001$), and medial JSA and medial mJSW also showed most of the strong correlations.

CORRELATIONS AMONG THE KOACAD PARAMETERS

Although all KOACAD parameters are known to be affected as OA progresses, the changes are neither proportional nor is the relationship constant. We therefore examined the correlations among the parameters on the 1979 radiographs by Pearson's correlation test (Table III). As expected, correlation values were more than 0.5 between medial JSA and medial mJSW, and between lateral JSA and lateral mJSW, indicating that these are confounding factors for each other. More interestingly, although osteophyte area was measured at the medial tibia, it was significantly associated with neither medial JSA nor mJSW, suggesting different etiologic mechanisms between osteophyte formation and joint destruction. Furthermore, JSA and mJSW at the lateral side were positively correlated with those at the medial side, and TFA was strongly associated with decreased mJSWs not only at the medial side but also at the lateral side. This implies that there is a background generally affecting the whole joint for OA progression rather than the medial-lateral shift of loading axis of mechanical stress within the joint.

CORRELATIONS OF THE KOACAD PARAMETERS WITH KNEE PAIN

To further identify radiographic factors associated with knee pain using the KOACAD system in the 1979 radiographs, we compared the parameters between groups with (594 knees) and without (1385 knees) knee pain (Table IV). Although age was comparable, all parameters were significantly different between the two groups. Especially, medial JSA and medial mJSW were lower and TFA was higher in the group with pain than that without pain. Univariate logistic regression analysis after adjustment for age revealed that female sex (OR = 1.64; 95% CI = 1.47–1.84), medial JSA (1.16; 1.05–1.27), medial mJSW (1.66; 1.49–1.87), and TFA (1.07; 1.03–1.10) were significantly associated with the presence of pain.

Considering that medial mJSW and medial JSA, as well as lateral mJSW and lateral JSA, were found to be confounders for each other (Pearson's correlation value > 0.5 ; Table III), we performed a multivariate analysis after adjustment for age and confounding factors in both genders

Table I
Reproducibility of KOACAD parameters measured on radiographs of an individual with various knee flexion angles and X-ray beam angulations

Knee flexion angle (°)	0	10	20	30
KOACAD parameters (ICC)				
Medial JSA (mm ²)	0.88	0.77	0.74	0.74
Lateral JSA (mm ²)	0.92	0.87	0.73	0.73
Medial mJSW (mm)	0.96	0.92	0.90	0.78
Lateral mJSW (mm)	0.95	0.86	0.88	0.80
Osteophyte area (mm ²)	0.99	0.91	0.79	0.81
TFA (°)	0.94	0.93	0.86	0.86
X-ray beam angulation (°)				
	0	5	10	15
KOACAD parameters (ICC)				
Medial JSA (mm ²)	0.88	0.87	ND	ND
Lateral JSA (mm ²)	0.92	0.92	(17/20)	(20/20)
Medial mJSW (mm)	0.96	0.96		
Lateral mJSW (mm)	0.95	0.95		
Osteophyte area (mm ²)	0.99	0.99		
TFA (°)	0.94	0.93		

Reproducibility of six parameters was evaluated by an ICC on radiographs of 20 individuals taken at a 2-week interval. ND: not determined due to overlap of femur and tibia.

Table II
Correlations of the KOACAD parameters with the K/L and OARSI gradings

	0	1	2	3	4	R^2
<i>K/L grading</i>						
Number	162	625	956	205	31	
Medial JSA (mm ²)	112.4 ± 1.8	97.0 ± 0.9	91.1 ± 0.7	83.2 ± 1.9	52.4 ± 5.4	-0.29
Lateral JSA (mm ²)	114.3 ± 2.0	110.6 ± 1.1	107.2 ± 0.9	105.3 ± 1.9	106.2 ± 6.1	-0.09
Medial mJSW (mm)	3.9 ± 0.1	3.4 ± 0.0	3.1 ± 0.0	2.5 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.2	-0.41
Lateral mJSW (mm)	4.7 ± 0.1	4.4 ± 0.0	4.3 ± 0.1	4.2 ± 0.1	4.2 ± 0.3	-0.11
Osteophyte area (mm ²)	2.7 ± 1.4	2.0 ± 0.2	3.2 ± 0.2	7.9 ± 1.3	10.9 ± 4.2	0.15
TFA (°)	175.7 ± 0.2	176.2 ± 0.1	177.4 ± 0.1	179.6 ± 0.3	184.2 ± 1.2	0.31
<i>OARSI grading</i>						
Medial JSA (mm ²) (n)	105.9 ± 0.9 (602)	89.8 ± 0.7 (953)	90.0 ± 1.3 (317)	65.4 ± 2.2 (107)		-0.34
Lateral JSA (mm ²) (n)	109.6 ± 0.6 (1926)	87.7 ± 4.2 (38)	61.7 ± 7.3 (15)	— (0)		-0.16
Medial mJSW (mm) (n)	3.6 ± 0.0 (602)	3.1 ± 0.0 (953)	2.7 ± 0.0 (317)	1.8 ± 0.1 (107)		-0.45
Lateral mJSW (mm) (n)	4.3 ± 0.0 (1926)	3.3 ± 0.2 (38)	2.5 ± 0.3 (15)	— (0)		-0.19
Osteophyte area (mm ²) (n)	2.0 ± 0.2 (1212)	2.8 ± 0.4 (421)	4.7 ± 0.6 (215)	14.7 ± 0.7 (131)		0.25

Analyses were performed by Spearman's correlation test on 1979 radiographs, and data are expressed by means ± s.e.m. (all P -values < 0.0001).

(Table V). It was found that low medial mJSW and high TFA were associated with the presence of pain, while neither lateral mJSW nor osteophyte area was.

Discussion

In the present study, we established a fully automatic computer-assisted program, KOACAD that can quantitate the major features of knee OA on plain radiographs. This system has achieved objective, accurate, simple and easy assessment of the structural severity of knee OA without any manual operation in general clinical practice or in population-based epidemiologic studies. The system could also accurately evaluate distinct features of knee OA like joint space narrowing, osteophyte formation, and joint angulation in one sitting. By applying this system to the baseline data in the ROAD study, medial joint space narrowing and varus angulation, though neither lateral joint space narrowing nor osteophyte formation, was shown to be associated with symptomatic knee pain.

Independent measurement of the parameters by KOACAD enabled us to examine the correlation of distinct features of OA, which may lead to better understanding of the OA pathophysiology. For example, a lack of association between osteophyte formation and joint space narrowing indicates independent backgrounds of the two representative features of knee OA. A previous prospective study using a famous OA cohort, the Chingford study, has reported that there was no association between the two features²³. Although the authors described in the paper that this might possibly be due to inaccurate and subjective measurement on radiographs, the present KOACAD analysis has

confirmed the reliability by accurate and objective measurement. A recent cross-sectional study has also shown that osteophyte formation was unrelated not only to joint space narrowing on plain radiographs, but also to cartilage loss measured by quantitative MRI²⁴. Furthermore, by creating an OA model through induction of instability in mouse knee joints, we have identified a cartilage specific molecule, carmerin, that regulates osteophyte formation without affecting cartilage destruction during the OA progression^{25,26}. Further clinical and basic research will disclose the distinct backgrounds of the two OA features. The correlation analysis among the parameters also revealed that joint space narrowing at medial and lateral sides was positively correlated, indicating an etiologic mechanism that affects the whole joint. Although this does not necessarily deny the mechanistic contribution of medial-lateral shift of the loading axis within the joint to the OA progression, the limitation of efficacy of a valgus knee brace, lateral wedged insole, or valgus high tibial osteotomy for medial compartment OA of the knee may at least partly be explained by the result.

For accurate and reproducible assessment of tibiofemoral joint space on plain radiographs, a variety of radiographic methods have been developed. Several reports have claimed that positioning of the knee with several angles of flexion provides more accurate joint space measurement than conventional extended knees due to superimposition of the anterior and posterior margins of the tibial plateau^{13,27,28}. Among the reports, angulation of the X-ray beam and rotation of the foot were different, and some of them included fluoroscopic assistance for the adjustment of margins of the tibial plateau. Despite these efforts, none of the radiographic protocols has realized high reproducibility or sensitivity for long-term longitudinal

Table III
Correlations among the KOACAD parameters

	Medial JSA	Lateral JSA	Medial mJSW	Lateral mJSW	Osteophyte area	TFA
Medial JSA	1.00					
Lateral JSA	0.22 (<0.0001)	1.00				
Medial mJSW	0.70 (<0.0001)	0.13 (0.0008)	1.00			
Lateral mJSW	0.18 (<0.0001)	0.72 (<0.0001)	0.22 (<0.0001)	1.00		
Osteophyte area	0.02 (NS)	-0.13 (0.0006)	0.04 (NS)	-0.13 (NS)	1.00	
TFA	-0.08 (0.03)	0.03 (NS)	-0.21 (<0.0001)	-0.19 (<0.0001)	-0.02 (NS)	1.00

Analyses were performed by Pearson's correlation test on 1979 radiographs, and data are expressed as Pearson's correlation values and P -values in the parentheses. NS: not significant ($P > 0.05$).

Table IV
Differences of age and the KOACAD parameters between knees with and without pain

	Pain (+)	Pain (-)	P-value
Participants (men/women)	594 (124/470)	1385 (575/810)	
Age (years)	76.8 ± 4.7	77.0 ± 4.4	NS
Parameters			
Medial JSA (mm ²)	88.0 ± 1.0	95.7 ± 0.7	<0.0001
Lateral JSA (mm ²)	105.9 ± 1.1	110.2 ± 0.7	0.0013
Medial mJSW (mm)	2.9 ± 1.0	3.3 ± 1.2	<0.0001
Lateral mJSW (mm)	4.3 ± 0.1	4.4 ± 0.0	0.0044
Osteophyte area (mm ²)	4.8 ± 5.4	2.9 ± 7.0	0.0002
TFA (°)	177.9 ± 3.3	176.9 ± 4.3	<0.0001

Analyses were performed on 1979 radiographs, and data are expressed by means ± s.e.m. *P*-values were determined by Student's *t* test. NS: not significant (*P* > 0.05).

studies^{27,29}. And, first of all, since these methods increase the cost and require the technician to be specifically trained, they are unlikely to be applicable in general clinical practice or population-based epidemiologic studies. Meanwhile, the conventional standing extended view knee radiographs that the KOACAD system adopted are known to be sensitive to change if the tibial plateau is adequately aligned³⁰. To overcome variability of the tibiofemoral joint space by the positioning of the knee and the angulation of the X-ray beam causing the misalignment of the anterior and posterior margins of the tibial plateau, the KOACAD system for the first time designated the middle line between outlines of anterior and posterior margins of the tibial plateau as the lower rim of the radiographic joint space. In fact, reproducibility of all KOACAD parameters was highly maintained with 0° knee flexion and 0–5° X-ray angulation (Table I). This, however, indicates that OA patients with flexion contracture of the knee cannot be appropriately assessed by the KOACAD system, so that patients with more than 5° flexion contracture were excluded from the present study.

Digital images by computed radiographic techniques offer several advantages compared with conventional analog film-screen radiography, and are increasingly available in routine patient management because they allow image enhancement, quantification, archiving, transmission, simultaneous access to the image at multiple sites, and reduction in radiation dose³¹. Although this study used digitized images as the DICOM file, we have confirmed that images digitized from analog radiographs by general image scanners could be used for the KOACAD analysis with perfect reproducibility (ICC = 1.0). In addition, since KOACAD is programmed based on a personal computer, and not on a massive workstation, it can be used anywhere, even away from clinics.

Table V
Multivariate logistic regression analysis for OR and 95% CI of the KOACAD parameters for knee pain

	Men (699)		Women (1280)	
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Medial mJSW	1.46	1.16–1.90	1.41	1.23–1.63
Lateral mJSW	0.99	0.79–1.23	1.10	0.98–1.24
Osteophyte area	0.99	0.96–1.04	0.99	0.98–1.00
TFA	1.07	1.01–1.13	1.07	1.03–1.10

Data were calculated by stepwise logistic regression analysis after adjustment for age and confounding factors on 1979 radiographs.

The relationship between the radiographic findings and the symptomatic pain in knee joints remains controversial, but at least the severity of radiographic OA is not linearly correlated with that of pain^{18–20}. Although the present multivariate analysis was able to detect significant associations of knee pain with low medial mJSW and high TFA, they were not strong (Table V). This may be due to the complicated mechanism underlying the pain. Although articular cartilage is viewed as a major target tissue of OA, knee pain may arise from a number of different structures like joint capsule, ligaments, menisci, bursae, and the bone marrow. Pathological structures caused by OA may contribute to pain indirectly. For example, inflammatory synovitis and associated capillaries are innervated by pain fibers and may be affected in OA³². Furthermore, previous MRI surveys among patients with radiographic knee OA showed that knee pain was due not only to OA-related disorders, but also to spontaneous osteonecrosis and bone marrow edema around the knee joint^{33–35}. A limitation of the KOACAD system is that these periarticular disorders are not included in the parameters but are best shown by MRI, which might possibly lead to failures in the treatment of knee pain.

Another limitation of this study is a lack of longitudinal investigation to validate the sensitivity of the KOACAD system. One criticism has been that plain radiographs are insensitive to change over time, and that even a small radiographic change is associated with substantial cartilage loss³⁶. Nevertheless, the current recommendations suggest that clinical studies of knee OA should include a structural measure of OA severity^{5,28}. This emphasizes the need for further refinement in the definition of radiographic outcomes in prospective clinical trials. Recent longitudinal studies using quantitative MRI have shown that subjects with knee OA lose 5% of their tibial cartilage volume per year^{37,38} and that the cartilage loss is correlated with worsening of symptoms and portends knee replacement^{20,39}. Although the cartilage loss detected by quantitative MRI is much greater than that detected in plain radiographs, the MRI-based cartilage volume correlates with the change of radiographic features to some extent^{40,41}. Since the KOACAD system can provide continuous measures of parameters of OA severity, it is possible that the system is as sensitive to change over time as quantitative MRI. Also, the association between knee pain and radiographic features cannot be appropriately assessed in a cross-sectional survey, but should be evaluated over a defined period of time, as indicated by previous reports^{42,43}. Our baseline survey in the ROAD study has included quantitative MRI on a group of randomly selected participants. In 2008–2010, we are planning a second survey including the KOACAD radiographic analysis on more than 3000 participants and the quantitative MRI on a portion of these. Comparison of the KOACAD parameters and the MRI findings will validate the sensitivity of the KOACAD system over time, and lead to further understanding of the association between knee pain and radiographic features.

In conclusion, we have established a fully automatic computer-assisted program, KOACAD, to quantify knee OA severity on plain radiographs, and validated its high reproducibility and reliability in a cross-sectional study. This system may not only be useful for objective evaluation of knee OA patients in daily clinical practice or in population-based epidemiologic studies, but also act as a proper surrogate measure for the development of disease-modifying drugs for OA. We hope in the future that this system will be prevalently used worldwide to lead to international criteria for diagnosis and treatment of knee OA, just like BMD in osteoporosis.

Conflict of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (A18689031), H17-Men-eki-009 from the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare, and Research Aid from the Japanese Orthopaedic Association.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material for this article may be found, in the online version, at doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2008.03.011.

References

- Sharma L, Kapoor D. Epidemiology of osteoarthritis. In: Moskowitz RW, Altman RD, Hochberg MC, Buckwalter JA, Goldberg VM, Eds. *Osteoarthritis: Diagnosis and Medical/Surgical Management*. 4th edn. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2007:3–26.
- Guccione AA, Felson DT, Anderson JJ, Anthony JM, Zhang Y, Wilson PW, *et al.* The effects of specific medical conditions on the functional limitations of elders in the Framingham Study. *Am J Public Health* 1994;84:351–8.
- Felson DT, Zhang Y. An update on the epidemiology of knee and hip osteoarthritis with a view to prevention. *Arthritis Rheum* 1998;41:1343–55.
- Yelin E, Callahan LF. The economic cost and social and psychological impact of musculoskeletal conditions. *National Arthritis Data Work Groups. Arthritis Rheum* 1995;38:1351–62.
- Abadie E, Ethgen D, Avouac B, Bouvenot G, Branco J, Bruyere O, *et al.* Recommendations for the use of new methods to assess the efficacy of disease-modifying drugs in the treatment of osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2004;12:263–8.
- Burstein D, Gray ML. Is MRI fulfilling its promise for molecular imaging of cartilage in arthritis? *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2006;14:1087–90.
- Eckstein F, Burstein D, Link TM. Quantitative MRI of cartilage and bone: degenerative changes in osteoarthritis. *NMR Biomed* 2006;19:822–54.
- Bauer DC, Hunter DJ, Abramson SB, Altur M, Corr M, Felson D, *et al.* Classification of osteoarthritis biomarkers: a proposed approach. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2006;14:723–7.
- Kellgren JH, Lawrence JS. Radiological assessment of osteoarthrosis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1957;16:494–502.
- Altman RD, Hochberg M, Murphy WA Jr, Wolfe F, Lequesne M. Atlas of individual radiographic features in osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 1995;3(Suppl A):3–70.
- Altman RD, Gold GE. Atlas of individual radiographic features in osteoarthritis, revised. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2007;15(Suppl A):A1–A56.
- Dacre JE, Huskisson EC. The automatic assessment of knee radiographs in osteoarthritis using digital image analysis. *Br J Rheumatol* 1989;28:506–10.
- Buckland-Wright JC, Macfarlane DG, Williams SA, Ward RJ. Accuracy and precision of joint space width measurements in standard and macroradiographs of osteoarthritic knees. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1995;54:872–80.
- Prakash U, Wigderowitz CA, McGurty DW, Rowley DF. Computerised measurement of tibiofemoral alignment. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2001;83:819–24.
- Bruyere O, Henrotin YE, Honore A, Rovati LC, Seidel L, Dardenne C, *et al.* Impact of the joint space width measurement method on the design of knee osteoarthritis studies. *Aging Clin Exp Res* 2003;15:136–41.
- Takahashi T, Yamanaka N, Komatsu M, Ogawa Y, Yoshida S, Yamamoto H. A new computer-assisted method for measuring the tibio-femoral angle in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2004;12:256–9.
- Linaker CH, Walker-Bone K, Palmer K, Cooper C. Frequency and impact of regional musculoskeletal disorders. *Baillieres Clin Rheumatol* 1999;13:197–215.
- Summers MN, Haley WE, Reveille JD, Alarcon GS. Radiographic assessment and psychologic variables as predictors of pain and functional impairment in osteoarthritis of the knee or hip. *Arthritis Rheum* 1988;31:204–9.
- Cicutini FM, Baker J, Hart DJ, Spector TD. Association of pain with radiological changes in different compartments and views of the knee joint. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 1996;4:143–7.
- Wluka AE, Wolfe R, Stuckey S, Cicutini FM. How does tibial cartilage volume relate to symptoms in subjects with knee osteoarthritis? *Ann Rheum Dis* 2004;63:264–8.
- Boyle RD, Thomas RC. Median filter. In: Boyle RD, Thomas RC, Eds. *Computer Vision: a First Course*. Blackwell Scientific Publications 1988:32–4.
- Canny J. A computational approach to edge detection. *IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell* 1986;8:679–714.
- Hart DJ, Doyle DV, Spector TD. Incidence and risk factors for radiographic knee osteoarthritis in middle-aged women: the Chingford Study. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999;42:17–24.
- Jones G, Ding C, Scott F, Glisson M, Cicutini F. Early radiographic osteoarthritis is associated with substantial changes in cartilage volume and tibial bone surface area in both males and females. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2004;12:169–74.
- Kamekura S, Kawasaki Y, Hoshi K, Shimoaka T, Chikuda H. Contribution of runt-related transcription factor 2 to the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis in mice after induction of knee joint instability. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;54:2462–70.
- Yamada T, Kawano H, Koshizuka Y, Fukuda T, Yoshimura K, Kamekura S, *et al.* Calcitonin contributes to chondrocyte calcification during endochondral ossification. *Nat Med* 2006;12:665–70.
- Brandt KD, Mazza SA, Conrozier T, Dacre JE, Peterfy CG, Provvedini D, *et al.* Which is the best radiographic protocol for a clinical trial of a structure modifying drug in patients with knee osteoarthritis? *J Rheumatol* 2002;29:1308–20.
- Strand V, Hochberg MC. Study design and outcome measures in osteoarthritis clinical trials. In: Moskowitz RW, Altman RD, Hochberg MC, Buckwalter JA, Goldberg VM, Eds. *Osteoarthritis: Diagnosis and Medical/Surgical Management*. 4th edn. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2007:313–25.
- Mazza SA, Brandt KD, Buckwalter KA. Detection of radiographic joint space narrowing in subjects with knee osteoarthritis: longitudinal comparison of the metatarsophalangeal and semiflexed anteroposterior views. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003;48:385–90.
- Mazza SA, Brandt KD, Dieppe PA, Doherty M, Katz BP, Lane KA. Effect of alignment of the medial tibial plateau and x-ray beam on apparent progression of osteoarthritis in the standing anteroposterior knee radiograph. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001;44:1786–94.
- Sanfridsson J, Holje G, Svahn G, Ryd L, Jonsson K. Radiation dose and image information in computed radiography. A phantom study of angle measurements in the weight-bearing knee. *Acta Radiol* 2000;41:310–6.
- Saito T, Koshino T. Distribution of neuropeptides in synovium of the knee with osteoarthritis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2000;376:172–82.
- Karachalios T, Zibis A, Papanagiotou P, Karantanas AH, Malizos KN, Roidis N. MR imaging findings in early osteoarthritis of the knee. *Eur J Radiol* 2004;50:225–30.
- Felson DT, Chaisson CE, Hill CL, Totterman SM, Gale ME, Skinner KM, *et al.* The association of bone marrow lesions with pain in knee osteoarthritis. *Ann Intern Med* 2001;134:541–9.
- Boilet AJ. Edema of the bone marrow can cause pain in osteoarthritis and other diseases of bone and joints. *Ann Intern Med* 2001;134:591–3.
- Ravaud P, Giraudeau B, Auleley GR, Drape JL, Rousset B, Paolozzi L, *et al.* Variability in knee radiographing: implication for definition of radiological progression in medial knee osteoarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1998;57:624–9.
- Wluka AE, Stuckey S, Snaddon J, Cicutini FM. The determinants of change in tibial cartilage volume in osteoarthritic knees. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002;46:2065–72.
- Burgkart R, Glaser C, Hinterwimmer S, Hudelmaier M, Engmeier KH, Reiser M, *et al.* Feasibility of T and Z scores from magnetic resonance imaging data for quantification of cartilage loss in osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003;48:2829–35.
- Cicutini FM, Jones G, Forbes A, Wluka AE. Rate of cartilage loss at two years predicts subsequent total knee arthroplasty: a prospective study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2004;63:1124–7.
- Raynauld JP, Martel-Pelletier J, Berthiaume MJ, Labonte F, Beaudoin G, de Guise JA, *et al.* Quantitative magnetic resonance imaging evaluation of knee osteoarthritis progression over two years and correlation with clinical symptoms and radiologic changes. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50:476–87.
- Cicutini F, Hankin J, Jones G, Wluka A. Comparison of conventional standing knee radiographs and magnetic resonance imaging in assessing progression of tibiofemoral joint osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2005;13:722–7.
- Ledingham J, Regan M, Jones A, Doherty M. Factors affecting radiographic progression of knee osteoarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1995;54:53–8.
- van Dijk GM, Dekker J, Veenhof C, van den Ende CH. Course of functional status and pain in osteoarthritis of the hip or knee: a systematic review of the literature. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;55:779–85.



Prevalence of radiographic lumbar spondylosis and its association with low back pain in the elderly of population-based cohorts: the ROAD study

Shigeyuki Muraki, Hiroyuki Oka, Toru Akune, Akihiko Mabuchi, Yoshio En-yo, Muneto Yoshida, Akihiko Saika, Takao Suzuki, Hideyo Yoshida, Hideaki Ishibashi, Seizo Yamamoto, Kozo Nakamura, Hiroshi Kawaguchi and Noriko Yoshimura

Ann Rheum Dis published online 21 Aug 2008;
doi:10.1136/ard.2007.087296

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://ard.bmj.com/cgi/content/abstract/ard.2007.087296v1>

These include:

Rapid responses

You can respond to this article at:
<http://ard.bmj.com/cgi/eletter-submit/ard.2007.087296v1>

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article

Notes

Online First contains unedited articles in manuscript form that have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication but have not yet appeared in the paper journal (edited, typeset versions may be posted when available prior to final publication). Online First articles are citable and establish publication priority; they are indexed by PubMed from initial publication. Citations to Online First articles must include the digital object identifier (DOIs) and date of initial publication.

To order reprints of this article go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/subscriptions/>

Prevalence of radiographic lumbar spondylosis and its association with low back pain in the elderly of population-based cohorts: the ROAD study

Shigeyuki Muraki,¹ Hiroyuki Oka,¹ Toru Akune,¹ Akihiko Mabuchi,¹ Yoshio En-yo,² Munehito Yoshida,² Akihiko Saika,² Takao Suzuki,³ Hideyo Yoshida,³ Hideaki Ishibashi,³ Seizo Yamamoto,³ Kozo Nakamura,⁴ Hiroshi Kawaguchi,⁴ and Noriko Yoshimura¹

¹22nd Century Medical & Research Center, and ⁴Sensory & Motor System Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tokyo, Tokyo. ²Orthopaedic Surgery, Wakayama Medical University, Wakayama. ³Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology, Tokyo.

Running title: Prevalence of radiographic lumbar spondylosis and association with pain

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in ARD and any other BMJ PGL products and sublicences such use and exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence (<http://ARD.bmj.com/fora/licence.pdf>).

Address correspondence and reprint requests to: Hiroshi Kawaguchi, M.D., Ph.D.
Sensory & Motor System Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tokyo,
Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-8655, Japan.
e-mail: kawaguchi-ort@h.u-tokyo.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Although lumbar spondylosis is a major cause of low back pain and disability in the elderly, few epidemiologic studies have been performed. We investigated the prevalence of radiographic lumbar spondylosis using a large-scale population, and examined the association with low back pain.

Methods: From a nationwide cohort study ROAD (Research on Osteoarthritis Against Disability), 2,288 participants (≥ 60 years; 818 men and 1,470 women) living in urban, mountainous and seacoast communities were analyzed. The radiographic severity at lumbar intervertebral levels from L1/2 to L5/S was determined by the Kellgren/Lawrence (KL) grading.

Results: In the overall population, prevalence of radiographic spondylosis with $KL \geq 2$ and ≥ 3 at the severest intervertebral level was 75.8 and 50.4%, respectively, and that of low back pain was 28.8%. Although the $KL \geq 2$ spondylosis was more prevalent in men, the $KL \geq 2$ spondylosis and low back pain were more prevalent in women. Age and body mass index were risk factors for both $KL \geq 2$ and $KL \geq 3$ spondylosis. Although $KL = 2$ spondylosis was not significantly associated with low back pain compared to $KL = 0$ or 1, $KL \geq 3$ spondylosis was related to the pain only in women.

Conclusions: The present cross-sectional study using a large population revealed a high prevalence of radiographic lumbar spondylosis in the elderly. Gender seems to be distinctly associated with $KL \geq 2$ and $KL \geq 3$ lumbar spondylosis, and disc space narrowing with or without osteophytosis in women may be a risk factor for low back pain.

Key words: Osteoarthritis, spondylosis, lumbar spine, prevalence, pain

INTRODUCTION

Lumbar spondylosis is considered a major public health issue causing chronic disability of the elderly in most developed countries (1,2). Despite the urgent need for strategies for the prevention and treatment of this condition, the epidemiologic data on lumbar spondylosis such as its prevalence and association with symptoms are sparse. With the goal of establishing epidemiologic indexes to evaluate clinical evidence for the development of the disease-modifying treatment, we set up a large-scale nationwide cohort study for bone and joint disease called ROAD (Research on Osteoarthritis Against Disability) in 2005. We have to date created a baseline database with detailed clinical and genetic information on three population-based cohorts in urban, mountainous and seacoast communities of Japan.

Lumbar spondylosis is characterized by disc degeneration and osteophytosis (2,3). Although this disorder has been widely studied in a clinical setting, few population-based radiologic studies have been attempted (4-11). The reported prevalence of radiographic lumbar spondylosis differs greatly in these reports from about 40 to 85%. This may be due to limitation of the sample size and variability of age. Hence, the present study initially investigated the prevalence and distribution of this disorder according to age, gender and community using cohorts of 2,288 participants 60 years or older in the baseline survey of the ROAD study.

The most popular grading system for the radiographic severity of osteoarthritis is the Kellgren / Lawrence (KL) system with classification into five-grade (0-4) scales, and $KL \geq 2$ is the conventional standard of the diagnosis (12). For lumbar spondylosis, KL grade 2 is defined as osteophyte formation and grade 3 as disc space narrowing in addition to osteophyte formation (12), although there are few epidemiologic studies which have applied the KL system to evaluate the lumbar spine (5, 6, 9). Hence, to assess osteophyte formation alone and disc space narrowing with or without osteophytosis separately, the present study examined not only the prevalence of $KL \geq 2$ spondylosis but also that of $KL \geq 3$ spondylosis.

Although low back pain is believed to be the principal clinical symptom of lumbar spondylosis, its association with the radiographic severity remains unclarified. The correlation was not as strong as one would expect, and there is often a disconnect between them (7,8). In previous reports, radiographic spondylosis was determined at the severest intervertebral level, but it is possible that other levels with milder spondylotic change might give rise to low back pain. This study therefore assessed the radiographic severity at all intervertebral levels of the lumbar spine by the KL system, and examined the association between the radiographic severity and low back pain.

METHODS

Participants

The ROAD study is a nationwide prospective cohort study for bone and joint diseases constituted of population-based cohorts established in several communities in Japan. To date, we have completed creation of a baseline database including clinical and genomic information of 3,040 inhabitants (men 1,061, women 1,979) in the age range 23-95 years (mean 70.6) in three communities: an urban region in Itabashi, Tokyo, a mountainous region in Hidakagawa, Wakayama, and a seacoast region in Taiji, Wakayama. Participants in the urban region were recruited from those of a cohort study (13) in which the participants were randomly drawn from the Itabashi-ward residents register database and the response rate in the age groups of 60 years or older were 75.6%. Participants in the mountainous and seacoast regions were recruited from

listings of resident registration and the response rates in the age groups of 60 years or older were 68.4 and 29.3%, respectively. All participants provided written informed consent, and the study was conducted with approval of ethical committees of the University of Tokyo and the Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology. Participants completed an interviewer-administered questionnaire of 400 items which included lifestyle information such as smoking habits, alcohol consumption, family history, past history, physical activity, reproductive variables, and health-related quality of life. Anthropometric measurements included height, weight, arm span, bilateral grip strength, and body mass index (BMI; weight [kg] / height [m²]). Medical information was taken by well-experienced orthopaedic surgeons (S.M. and H.O.) on systemic, local and mental status including information of low back, knee, and hip pain, swelling and range of motion of the joints, and patellar and achilles tendon reflex. All participants were interviewed regarding low back pain by asking, "In the past month, have you had pain on most days lasting?", and those who answered yes were defined as having low back pain. Blood and urine samples were collected for biochemical and genetic examinations. Plain radiographs of lumbar spine, knee and hip were taken for all participants. Participants were confirmed to be comparable to the Japanese general population according to the national nutrition survey by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (Japan). Height was 162.5 and 149.7 cm in men and women, respectively, in the ROAD study vs. 162.6 and 149.9 cm in the Japanese general population. Weight was 61.3 and 51.8 kg vs. 61.6 and 53.8 kg. Percentage of population with a smoking habit was 26.4 and 3.2% vs. 29.4 and 4.0%. From the baseline data of the overall participants, the present study analyzed 2,288 (818 men and 1,470 women) who were 60 years or older.

Radiographic assessment

Plain radiographs of the lumbar spine were taken at anteroposterior and lateral position, and the images were downloaded into Digital Imaging and Communication in Medicine (DICOM) format files to assess radiographic spondylosis. We used contrast-adjusted images to detect osteophytes and intervertebral spaces when the original images were obscure. Osteophytes were analyzed at endplates. The severity of lumbar spondylosis was determined according to the KL grading (12) at each intervertebral level from L1/2 to L5/S by a single well-experienced orthopaedist (S.M.) who was masked to the patients' backgrounds. To evaluate the intraobserver variability of the KL grading, 100 randomly selected radiographs of the lumbar spine were scored by the same observer more than one month after the first reading. Furthermore, 100 other radiographs were scored by two experienced orthopaedic surgeons (S.M. & H.O.) using the same radiographic atlas for interobserver variability. The intra- and interobserver variabilities were evaluated by the kappa analysis. These variabilities of the KL grading on lumbar radiographs have been shown to be sufficient for assessment (0.84 and 0.76, respectively).

Statistical analysis

The non-paired t-test was used to examine the difference of age and BMI between men and women. To compare the percentage of patients with radiographic spondylosis (KL grade ≥ 2 or ≥ 3 at the severest level) and low back pain between men and women, we performed a logistic regression analysis after adjustment for age and BMI. The differences of prevalence among the age-groups were determined using the one-way analysis of covariance and Scheffe's test after adjustment for BMI. The association of the variables such as age, BMI, gender and community

with the radiographic spondylosis and low back pain was evaluated by the multivariate logistic regression analysis. The association of radiographic spondylosis at each intervertebral level with low back pain was determined by the logistic regression analysis after adjustment for age and BMI. The association of the number of intervertebral level with $KL \geq 3$ with low back pain was determined by multiple regression analysis after adjustment for age and BMI. Data analyses were performed using SAS version 9.0 (SAS Institute Inc. NC).

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the overall characteristics of the 2,288 participants 60 years or older in the three cohorts of the ROAD study. Although men were significantly older than women in the overall population and in some communities, BMI was comparable between genders.

Table 2 shows the prevalence of radiographic lumbar spondylosis and low back pain in the overall population and subgroups classified by gender and age-strata. In the overall population, prevalence of radiographic spondylosis with $KL \geq 2$ and ≥ 3 at the severest intervertebral level was 75.8 and 50.4%, respectively, and that of low back pain was 28.8%. The prevalence of osteoporotic fracture at lumbar spine was 10.7%. Logistic regression analysis after adjustment for age and BMI revealed that the prevalence of radiographic spondylosis with $KL \geq 2$ was higher in men than in women. Meanwhile, that of $KL \geq 3$ radiographic spondylosis and low back pain was higher in women than in men. When the prevalence was compared among the generations, radiographic spondylosis ($KL \geq 2$ and ≥ 3) and low back pain tended to increase with age. Interestingly, the difference was greater between <70 and 70-79 than between 70-79 and ≥ 80 .

To identify risk factors for the radiographic spondylosis and low back pain, we further performed the logistic regression analysis to estimate odds ratios and confidence intervals (Table 3). Age and BMI were significantly associated with radiographic spondylosis. Male sex was confirmed to be a risk factor for $KL \geq 2$ spondylosis while female sex for $KL \geq 3$ and low back pain. Among the communities, mountainous area residents had a lower risk for $KL \geq 3$ spondylosis than urban residents.

We then examined the association between radiographic spondylosis and low back pain. Considering that intervertebral levels other than the severest level of radiographic spondylosis might possibly cause low back pain, the spondylosis at all intervertebral levels from L1/2 to L5/S was evaluated: $KL \geq 2$ spondylosis was found to be comparably prevalent at L2/3, L3/4 and L4/5, while $KL \geq 3$ spondylosis was remarkably prevalent at L4/5 in both men and women (Table 4). In fact, among the five levels L4/5 was most frequently determined to be the severest level in both genders (men: L1/2 49.4%, L2/3 59.5%, L3/4 58.0%, L4/5 64.5%, L5/S 48.3%, women: L1/2 49.5%, L2/3 58.0%, L3/4 58.6%, L4/5 65.5%, L5/S 44.3%). We then looked at the percentage of subjects with low back pain in three groups: $KL=0$ or 1, $KL=2$, and $KL \geq 3$, at each intervertebral level and the severest level in the overall population and the three communities (Figure 1). When odds ratios of $KL=2$ and $KL \geq 3$ spondylosis as compared to $KL=0$ or 1 for the pain were estimated by logistic regression analysis after adjustment for age and BMI, $KL=2$ spondylosis was not significantly associated with the pain in either gender at any intervertebral level (Table 5). However, $KL \geq 3$ spondylosis was related at all levels in women while in none of the levels in men. Further, the number of intervertebral level with $KL \geq 3$ spondylosis was significantly associated with low back pain in women ($p < 0.01$), but not in men by multiple regression analysis after adjustment for age and BMI. The association between $KL \geq 3$ spondylosis at the severest level and low back pain in women was conspicuous in younger

generations (<70 and 70-79) (Supplementary Table 1) and in the urban community (Supplementary Table 2).

DISCUSSION

The present study revealed that the prevalence of radiographic lumbar spondylosis with $KL \geq 2$ and $KL \geq 3$ in the elderly (≥ 60 years) was 75.8 and 50.4% respectively, and that of low back pain was 28.8% in the overall population. Although the $KL \geq 2$ spondylosis was more prevalent in men (84.1%) than in women (70.7%), the $KL \geq 2$ spondylosis and low back pain were more prevalent in women. This study also showed that $KL = 2$ spondylosis was not significantly associated with low back pain compared to $KL = 0$ or 1, while $KL \geq 3$ spondylosis was related to the pain only in women.

Most previous epidemiologic studies on lumbar spondylosis were focused on the middle-aged or younger populations, reporting the prevalence to be 46.5-83.7% (4,6-8,10,11). Our previous small-scale study on a younger population has shown that to be 76.3 and 37.4% (9). Interestingly, the subjects were living in a mountainous area in Japan, which was shown to have a lower risk for spondylosis in the present study. The variability may therefore be due to the differences of age, community, the sample size and ethnic variation. In fact, a study on the elderly (≥ 65 years) showed that the prevalence of $KL \geq 2$ spondylosis was 84.8 and 70.6%, similar to the present results, although in a relatively small number of subjects (5). We have reported different prevalence of lumbar spondylosis in Japan and the United Kingdom by a small-scale comparative study (9), which may in part relate to ethnic variation. It should be noticed that this is the first population-based study that investigated the age-related prevalence of lumbar spondylosis in the elderly. Although $KL \geq 2$ and $KL \geq 3$ spondylosis tended to increase with age, significant difference was detected between the sixties and the seventies, but not thereafter. However, this cross-sectional analysis, of course, does not lead to the conclusion that individual lumbar spondylosis hardly progresses after 80 years. Since the ROAD study is a prospective cohort study for more than ten years, the follow-up data will clarify the progression with aging. Furthermore, there was a difference of the prevalence between urban and mountainous communities. Considering that lumbar spondylosis is a common disease whose progression is governed by environmental and genetic factors, the regional difference is inevitable, as previously reported (6). Although age and obesity are known to be representative risk factors for lumbar spondylosis (2), the difference between communities in the present study was significant even after adjustment for age and BMI, indicating the involvement of other factors. Here again, further longitudinal survey in the ROAD study that collects database including detailed environmental and genomic information will elucidate the underlying backgrounds.

Interestingly, $KL \geq 2$ spondylosis was more prevalent in men than in women, while $KL \geq 3$ spondylosis was more prevalent in women. We and others also have reported that osteophytosis of lumbar spine was more common in men than in women (8,9), while disc space narrowing was prevalent in women (9). Based on the definition of the KL grading (12), the discrepancy may be due to distinct etiologic mechanisms between osteophyte formation and disc space narrowing. A cross-sectional study which investigated the extent, prevalence and distribution of spinal spondylosis in women also showed that osteophytosis and disc space narrowing were significantly correlated, but each predicted only 19% of the variation in the other (11). A previous prospective study in knee joints using a famous cohort, the Chingford Study, has

reported that there was no association between osteophyte formation and joint space narrowing (14). A recent study using quantitative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in knee joints has also shown that osteophyte formation was unrelated to cartilage loss (15). Furthermore, in an experimental mouse knee OA model, we have identified a cartilage specific molecule carminerin that induces only osteophyte formation without affecting cartilage degeneration during the OA progression (16,17). Further clinical and basic research will disclose the distinct backgrounds of these two representative OA features.

Symptomatic low back pain was solely associated with $KL \geq 3$ spondylosis in women, but not $KL \geq 2$ spondylosis in either gender or $KL \geq 3$ spondylosis in men. Considering the definition of KL grading again, this may suggest that disc space narrowing, but not osteophytosis, of the lumbar spine contributes to low back pain in consistency with previous reports (18). Difference of the association between genders might possibly be dependent on muscle strength to compensate the spinal instability due to disc space narrowing, since men are known to have greater muscle strength than women in all decades (19). However, approximately 30% of participants without definite radiographic lumbar spondylosis ($KL=0$ or 1) actually had low back pain, and the odds ratio of $KL \geq 3$ spondylosis for the pain was 1.44 and 1.80 in men and women, respectively, which is much lower than the previously reported odds ratio 8.5 of $KL \geq 3$ osteoarthritis in the knee joint for knee pain (20). This may be because low back pain arises from a number of disorders other than disc space narrowing, like nociceptive stimuli, inflammation, muscle weakness, and abnormal load on muscle, ligament or capsular tissues (21). Indeed, disc degeneration was reported to be detected by MRI in at least one lumbar level in all but one of asymptomatic volunteers in a 60-80 age group (22). Furthermore, pain is also influenced by psychological status like depression, since significant association between low back pain and depression has been confirmed in many longitudinal studies (23-25). A recent psychophysical study has shown that anxiety was linked to self-reported and induced low back pain for men, but not for women (26). This might be an alternative reason for lower association between radiographic spondylosis and low back pain in men.

There are several limitations in the present study. First, prevalence figures in this study using a large-scale population based sample of the elderly may be generalized to the Japanese population. However, this study investigated elderly participants who lived independently, not those who lived in institutional settings. Therefore, the calculated prevalence may be underestimated. Second, the definition of low back pain in the present study did not determine the severity. The association of lumbar spondylosis with the severity of low back pain could not be examined in this study. Third, analyses did not include facet joint osteoarthritis nor vertebral fracture, which would likely be associated with low back pain. This is the next task in the ROAD study to be investigated. Fourth, since the KL system emphasizes osteophytosis, it is unclear how to handle lumbar spondylosis with disc space narrowing but no osteophytosis. Since quantitative MRI is still too laborious and expensive to perform in general clinical practice, we are now developing a computer-aided diagnostic program which enables a fully automatic measurement of major features of lumbar spondylosis including disc space narrowing and osteophytosis on plain radiographs.

In conclusion, the present cross-sectional study using a large-scale population from the ROAD study revealed a high prevalence of radiographic lumbar spondylosis in the elderly. The prevalence differed to some extent by age, gender, and community. Gender seems to be distinctly associated with $KL \geq 2$ and $KL \geq 3$ lumbar spondylosis, and disc space narrowing with

or without osteophytosis in women may be a risk factor for low back pain. Further progress, along with continued longitudinal survey in the ROAD study, will elucidate the environmental and genetic backgrounds of lumbar spondylosis and its relation with low back pain.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (A18689031), H17-Men-eki-009 from the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare, and Research Aid from the Japanese Orthopaedic Association.

Conflict of interest/disclosure

There are no conflicts of interest or disclosures regarding the present manuscript.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. The percentage of subjects with low back pain according to the Kellgren/Lawrence grade in the overall population, and urban, mountainous and seacoast communities.

REFERENCES

1. **Hadjipavlou AG**, Simmons JW, Pope MH, Necessary JT, Goel VK. Pathomechanics and clinical relevance of disc degeneration and annular tear: A point-of-view review. *Am J Orthop* 1999;**28**:561-71.
2. **Emery SE**, Ringus VM. Osteoarthritis of the spine. In: Moskowitz RW, Altman RD, Hochberg MC, Buckwalter JA, Goldberg VM, eds. *Osteoarthritis: Diagnosis and Medical/Surgical Management*. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2007:427-52.
3. **Waddell G**. *The back pain revolution*. Edinburgh, Scotland: Churchill Livingstone; 1998:119-34.
4. **Kellgren JH**, Lawrence JS. Osteo-arthritis and disk degeneration in an urban population. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1958;**17**:388-97.
5. **Lawrence JS**. Disc degeneration. Its frequency and relationship to symptoms. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1969;**28**:121-38.
6. **van Saase JL**, van Romunde LK, Cats A, Vandenbroucke JP, Valkenburg HA. Epidemiology of osteoarthritis: Zoetermeer survey. Comparison of radiological osteoarthritis in a Dutch population with that in 10 other populations. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1989;**48**:271-80.
7. **Symmons DP**, van Hemert AM, Vandenbroucke JP, Valkenburg HA. A longitudinal study of back pain and radiological changes in the lumbar spines of middle aged women. II. Radiographic findings. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1991;**50**:162-6.
8. **O'Neill TW**, McCloskey EV, Kanis JA, Bhalla AK, Reeve J, Reid DM, et al. The distribution, determinants, and clinical correlates of vertebral osteophytosis: a population based survey. *J Rheumatol* 1999;**26**:842-8.
9. **Yoshimura N**, Dennison E, Wilman C, Hashimoto T, Cooper C. Epidemiology of chronic disc degeneration and osteoarthritis of the lumbar spine in Britain and Japan: a comparative study. *J Rheumatol* 2000;**27**:429-33.
10. **Hassett G**, Hart DJ, Manek NJ, Doyle DV, Spector TD. Risk factors for progression of lumbar spine disc degeneration: the Chingford Study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003;**48**:3112-7.
11. **Kramer PA**. Prevalence and distribution of spinal osteoarthritis in women. *Spine* 2006;**31**:2843-8.
12. **Kellgren JH**, Lawrence JS, eds. *The epidemiology of chronic rheumatism: atlas of standard radiographs of arthritis*. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific; 1963.
13. **Shimada H**, Lord SR, Yoshida H, Kim H, Suzuki T. Predictors of cessation of regular leisure-time physical activity in community-dwelling elderly people. *Gerontology* 2007;**53**:293-7.
14. **Hart DJ**, Doyle DV, Spector TD. Incidence and risk factors for radiographic knee osteoarthritis in middle-aged women: the Chingford Study. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999;**42**:17-24.
15. **Jones G**, Ding C, Scott F, Glisson M, Cicuttini F. Early radiographic osteoarthritis is associated with substantial changes in cartilage volume and tibial bone surface area in both males and females. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2004;**12**:169-74.
16. **Yamada T**, Kawano H, Koshizuka Y, Fukuda T, Yoshimura K, Kamekura S, et al. Carminerin contributes to chondrocyte calcification during endochondral ossification. *Nat Med* 2006;**12**:665-70.
17. **Kamekura S**, Kawasaki Y, Hoshi K, Shimoaka T, Chikuda H, Maruyama Z, et al. Contribution of runt-related transcription factor 2 to the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis in mice after induction of knee joint instability. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;**54**:2462-70.

18. **Frymoyer JW**, Pope MH, Clements JH, Wilder DG, MacPherson B, Ashikaga T. Risk factors in low-back pain. An epidemiological survey. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1983;**65**:213-8.
19. **Sinaki M**, Nwaogwugwu NC, Phillips BE, Mokri MP. Effect of gender, age, and anthropometry on axial and appendicular muscle strength. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2001;**80**:330-8.
20. **Felson DT**, Naimark A, Anderson J, Kazis L, Castelli W, Meenan RF. The prevalence of knee osteoarthritis in the elderly. The Framingham Osteoarthritis Study. *Arthritis Rheum* 1987;**30**:914-8.
21. **Parkkola R**, Rytokoski U, Kormano M. Magnetic resonance imaging of the discs and trunk muscles in patients with chronic low back pain and healthy control subjects. *Spine* 1993;**18**:830-6.
22. **Boden SD**, Davis DO, Dina TS, Patronas NJ, Wiesel SW. Abnormal magnetic-resonance scans of the lumbar spine in asymptomatic subjects. A prospective investigation. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1990;**72**:403-8.
23. **Larson SL**, Clark MR, Eaton WW. Depressive disorder as a long-term antecedent risk factor for incident back pain: a 13-year follow-up study from the Baltimore Epidemiological Catchment Area sample. *Psychol Med* 2004;**34**:211-9.
24. **Sarzi-Puttini P**, Atzeni F, Fumagalli M, Capsoni F, Carrabba M. Osteoarthritis of the spine. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2005;**34**:38-43.
25. **Hicks GE**, Simonsick EM, Harris TB, Newman AB, Weiner DK, Nevitt MA, et al. Cross-sectional associations between trunk muscle composition, back pain, and physical function in the health, aging and body composition study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2005;**60**:882-7.
26. **Robinson ME**, Dannecker EA, George SZ, Otis J, Atchison JW, Fillingim RB. Sex differences in the associations among psychological factors and pain report: a novel psychophysical study of patients with chronic low back pain. *J Pain* 2005;**6**:463-70.

Table 1. Characteristics of participants

	Men				Women			
	Overall	Urban	Mountainous	Seacoast	Overall	Urban	Mountainous	Seacoast
No. of subjects	818	397	266	155	1,470	742	434	294
Age, years	74.7 ± 6.1	77.3 ± 4.1	72.1 ± 6.2	72.7 ± 7.4	74.0 ± 6.4*	76.4 ± 4.8*	72.1 ± 7.1	70.9 ± 6.8*
Height, cm	161.3 ± 6.3	161.2 ± 5.9	160.3 ± 6.6	163.0 ± 6.1	148.6 ± 6.2	148.6 ± 5.8	146.8 ± 6.4	151.2 ± 5.9
Weight, kg	60.1 ± 9.9	59.8 ± 8.3	59.3 ± 11.4	62.2 ± 10.6	50.9 ± 9.0	50.7 ± 8.4	49.8 ± 9.8	53.1 ± 8.8
BMI, kg/m ²	23.0 ± 3.2	23.0 ± 2.7	23.0 ± 3.8	23.3 ± 3.3	23.0 ± 3.7	22.9 ± 3.4	23.1 ± 4.2	23.2 ± 3.5
Current smoker, %	24.6	25.2	26.3	20.0	3.1*	3.1*	4.4*	1.0*
Current drinker, %	61.2	60.0	67.0	54.8	20.2*	21.0*	22.1*	15.3*

Data are means ± SD. *p<0.05 vs. men in the corresponding group by the non-paired t-test.
BMI = Body mass index

Table 2. Number (percentage) of participants with radiographic lumbar spondylosis and low back pain according to gender and age

	Radiographic lumbar spondylosis			Low back pain
	KL≥2	KL≥3		
Overall	2,288	1,728 (75.8)	1,149 (50.4)	659 (28.8)
Men	818	688 (84.1)	383 (46.8)	201 (24.6)
<70	154	114 (74.0)	51 (33.1)	35 (22.7)
70-79	491	419 (85.3)*	232 (47.3)*	119 (24.2)
80≤	173	155 (89.6)*	100 (57.8)*	47 (27.2)
Women	1,470	1,040 (70.7)†	766 (52.1)†	458 (31.2)†
<70	356	196 (55.1)	128 (36.0)	80 (22.5)
70-79	818	612 (74.8)*	456 (55.7)*	273 (33.4)*
80≤	296	232 (78.3)*	182 (61.5)*	105 (35.5)*

Radiographic spondylosis was determined at the severest level among L1/2-L5/S1.

*p<0.05 vs. subjects aged <70 by Scheffe's test after adjustment for BMI.

There was no significant difference between 70-79 and ≤80 in both genders.

†p<0.05 vs. men by logistic regression analysis after adjustment for age and BMI.

Table 3. Association of gender and community with radiographic lumbar spondylosis and low back pain

	Radiographic lumbar spondylosis				Low back pain	
	KL \geq 2		KL \geq 3		OR	95%CI
	OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI		
Age, years	1.07	1.06-1.09†	1.05	1.04-1.07†	1.02	1.00-1.04*
BMI, kg/m ²	1.06	1.03-1.09†	1.04	1.01-1.06†	1.02	0.99-1.05
Women (vs. Men)	0.68	0.61-0.76†	1.13	1.03-1.23†	1.19	1.08-1.31†
Community (vs. Urban)						
Mountainous	0.82	0.65-1.04	0.56	0.45-0.69†	0.87	0.69-1.08
Seacoast	1.24	0.93-1.66	1.06	0.84-1.34	0.86	0.66-1.11

Radiographic spondylosis was determined at the severest level among L1/2-L5/S1.

The odds ratios were calculated by logistic regression analysis after adjustment for all other variables.

*p<0.05, †p<0.01

OR = odds ratio, CI = confidence interval

Table 4. Number (percentage) of subjects with radiographic lumbar spondylosis at each intervertebral level in all cohorts

	KL \geq 2		KL \geq 3	
	Men	Women	Men	Women
L1/2	474 (57.9)	609 (41.4)	116 (14.2)	254 (17.3)
L2/3	541 (66.1)	749 (51.0)	164 (20.1)	355 (24.2)
L3/4	554 (67.7)	735 (50.0)	194 (23.7)	419 (28.5)
L4/5	523 (63.9)	736 (50.1)	306 (37.5)	605 (41.2)
L5/S	400 (48.9)	576 (39.2)	197 (24.2)	413 (28.1)