

Fig. 3. The extent of loss of heterozygosity (LOH) in spontaneous and MX-induced LOH mutants from TK6 cells. Ten microsatellite loci of chromosome 17 that are heterozygous in TK6 cells were examined. Black and grey bars represent hemi-LOH and homo-LOH, respectively. The length of the bars indicates the extent of LOH. Twenty-nine spontaneous mutants (10 NG and 19 SG mutants) and 49 MX-induced mutants (1 NG and 48 mutants) were analyzed. The data on spontaneous mutants were taken from the previous paper [17].

4. Discussion

MX, a carcinogen in rats, exhibits both tumour-initiating and -promoting properties *in vitro* and *in vivo* suggesting that this compound may be a complete carcinogen. A large number of *in vitro* studies on the genotoxicity of MX indicate a clearly positive response for MX in various bacterial strains and mammalian cell lines [for a review, see 6]. However, the mechanisms of this genotoxicity are still obscure. In the present study, the genotoxicity of MX and its mechanisms were investigated in the human lymphoblastoid TK6 cells through analysis of three endpoints: DNA damage (alkaline Comet assay), clastogenesis (micronucleus test), and gene mutation (thymidine kinase (*TK*) assay). One of the goals of the current study was to gain insight into the mechanism behind MX tumorigenicity and obtain further information for assessment of MX's role in cancer risk.

The *TK* gene-mutation assay has an advantage over the bacterial and mammalian *hprt* and *Hprt* gene-mutation assays, because it can detect not only point mutations, but also small- and large-scale chromosomal changes, recombination and aneuploidy, which can lead to loss of the functional allele (loss of heterozygosity, LOH). This in turn may result in the inactivation of tumour-suppressor genes. Thus, LOH is considered to be an important event in carcinogenesis [16,17,23].

The present results reveal that MX induced *TK* mutants in TK6 cells in a concentration-dependent manner. The effect was statistically significant at the concentrations of 25–62.5 μM (~5.4–13.6 μg/ml). Our results agree with the previous study of Woodruff et al. [24], which indicated that MX was mutagenic at the *TK* locus in three human B-lymphoblastoid cell lines (MCL-5, AHH-1 $TK^{+/-}$, and h1A1v2 cells) at the same concentration range (3–10 μg/ml). However, the ratio of normally (NG) and slowly growing (SG) colonies and the distribution of mutant types (non-LOH, hemi-LOH, homo-LOH) in that study are not known.

Our findings are also in line with earlier reports that demonstrated the induction of mutations by MX in non-human mammalian cells. It has been shown that MX induces mutations at the hypoxanthine phosphoribosyl transferase (*Hprt*) and Na/K ATPase gene loci in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells [10,25,26], at the *Hprt* gene locus in Chinese hamster V79 [27] and rat liver epithelial WB-F344 cells [3], and at the *Tk* gene locus in L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells [28]. However, the ratio of NG (large-colony) and SG (small-colony) mutants was not similar between TK6 cells and mouse lymphoma cells. The majority of the MX-induced *Tk* mutants in mouse lymphoma cells were small-colony mutants (60–90%, depending on the MX concentration) [28] while our findings with TK6 cells revealed that frequencies of NG and SG mutants were similar. In the study of Harrington-Brock et al. [28], molecular analysis of the *Tk* mutants was not performed, but MX also induced a significant number of chromatid breaks and rearrangements in mouse lymphoma cells and the authors stated that the production of small-colony *Tk* mutants – which imply large genetic alterations such as deletions, rearrangements, and recombinations – was in accordance with the positive clastogenic response. In fact, regardless of the type of mutagen, molecular analysis of *Tk* mutants has demonstrated that most of the large-colony mutants in the mouse lymphoma assay (MLA) also result from large chromosomal alterations. In the MLA, the large-colony/small-colony ratio has been used for evaluating the clastogenicity rather than for evaluating the potential to induce point mutations [29]. In contrast to the *in vitro* gene-mutation studies, no induction of mutations by MX in transgenic *gpt* delta mice was detected [5].

In this study, the distribution of mutant types (non-LOH, hemi-LOH, homo-LOH) of MX was not similar to that observed in spontaneous mutants (Table 1), indicating that the increase in mutation frequency by MX is not associated with a global enhancement of the genomic instability. Instead, the LOH pattern of MX resembled that induced by ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) [17,30]. The present data show that the predominant mutation type in TK6 cells induced by MX was non-LOH (point mutations and small deletions and insertions), but also a considerable proportion of *TK* mutants exhibited LOH events (large deletions and recombinations) indicating MX's clastogenic capacity. A higher proportion of deletions (32% *vs* 21%) were observed in the *TK* mutants induced by MX compared with the spontaneous *TK* mutants. It has been demonstrated that EMS also induces mainly non-LOH mutations (65.2%). The percentages of hemi-LOH and homo-LOH were found to be 31.6% and 3.3%, respectively [30]. Thus, MX and EMS produce similar mutation spectra of *TK* mutants. EMS is an alkylating agent reacting readily with DNA producing alkylated nucleotides. *In vitro*, EMS induces predominantly GC to AT base substitutions [31] while MX induces mainly GC → TA transversions [7–10], but to a lesser

extent also GC → AT transitions [7–9]. In contrast to MX and EMS, the majority of spontaneously arising *TK* mutants were of the LOH type and of those, the predominant mutation type was homo-LOH (Table 1, reported previously [22]).

LOH is the result of inaccurate repair of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs). There are two major mechanisms for generating LOH. DSBs can be repaired in a non-conservative manner through non-homologous end-joining, resulting in hemi-LOH mutation (deletion). Homo-LOH mutation, on the other hand, is considered to be the result of mitotic recombination between homologous alleles [32]. Molecular analysis of LOH mutants reveals the mechanisms leading to LOH. In the present study, the LOH-mapping analysis showed that the LOH events (deleted or substituted portions of the chromosome) extended to the telomere of chromosome 17q and encompassed exons 4 and 7 of the *TK* locus (Fig. 3). Thus, all the homo-LOH and hemi-LOH mutations induced by MX were due to homologous recombination with crossing-over and large terminal deletions, respectively. Since TK6 cells have high levels of telomerase activity, DSBs can be repaired by the addition of new telomere to the broken chromosome end [17]. In contrast to MX, the spontaneously arising hemi-LOH mutations are generally interstitial deletions, that is, the altered chromosome segments do not extend to the telomere (Fig. 3).

MX has been shown to cause DNA and chromosomal damage in a number of human and mammalian cell lines *in vitro* and positive results have also been observed in some *in vivo* studies [for a review, see 6]. In the current study, MX induced a statistically significant concentration-related increase in the frequency of micronuclei (MN), whereas in the DNA damage study by means of the Comet assay only a slight, statistically insignificant increase of DNA damage was found. In this study, the DNA strand breaks (leading to hemi-LOH and homo-LOH) may not be caused by DNA damage directly. Instead, MX's clastogenic activity (MN formation) may involve DSBs supporting a clastogenic mechanism of MX-induced LOH mutation formation. There is, however, some discrepancy between the results of the Comet assay and the gene-mutation assay. The alkaline (pH > 13) version of the Comet assay, which was used in the present study, is capable of detecting diverse types of genetic damage such as DNA single-strand breaks (SSB), alkali-labile sites (ALS), DNA–DNA/DNA–protein cross-links, and SSB associated with incomplete excision-repair sites [21]. The assay, on the other hand, is not a mutagenicity test, and the DNA alterations detected with it are not necessarily related to mutagenesis [33]. But, it is not clear why the DNA lesions leading to mutations (e.g., a high number of small intragenic mutations) observed in the gene-mutation assay in this study did not produce a positive response in the Comet assay. In the MLA, 3 μg/ml (0.01 mM) cyclophosphamide (CPA) is used as a positive control [29]. Some studies have shown that concentrations of CPA higher than 3 μg/ml will be needed to induce a positive response in the Comet assay [34,35]. Potent cytotoxicity was found at the two highest MX concentrations (Fig. 1). Thus, the genetic damage induced at these concentrations may be a consequence of cytotoxicity-related mechanisms. One possible explanation for the contrasting findings between the micronucleus test and the Comet assay may indeed be the influence of cytotoxicity, because it can induce elevated MN frequencies but may not lead to positive effects in the Comet assay [36].

The assays used in this study were also performed in the presence of a rat-liver exogenous metabolic activation system (S9 mix). The finding that S9 mix did not enhance the activity of MX in TK6 cells was not unexpected. In fact, the addition of S9 has been found to decrease the bacterial mutagenicity of MX [37–41]. MX is a direct-acting mutagen inducing point mutations in *S. typhimurium* strains [7–9] and also at the *Hprt* locus in CHO cells [10]. Thus, our observation that most of the MX-induced *TK* mutants were the non-LOH type is supported by the positive results in bacterial and mammalian gene-mutation assays. However, the molecular mechanisms by which MX induces point mutations are unknown. There is as yet no evidence that DNA adducts induced by MX are formed in bacteria or mammalian cells in culture. Thus, it is possible that stable DNA adducts do not play a role in the mutagenic activity of MX. Instead, King et al. [42] have found some evidence for the ability of MX to induce a-basic sites in DNA from MX-treated *Salmonella* TA100 cells.

One common mechanism for chemicals to induce DNA damage is stimulation of the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [43]. The report of Díaz-Llera et al. [44] indicated that ROS induced small-scale DNA damages, such as point mutations, small insertions and deletions at the *HPRT* locus in primary human T-lymphocytes. MX has been shown to induce ROS production in murine L929 fibrosarcoma cells, but only at high concentrations (100–1000 μM) [45,46]. The ROS production was slightly stimulated also in murine NIH 3T3 fibroblasts [45]. It is thus possible that ROS production is one mechanism involved in the induction of gene mutations by MX in TK6 cells, but it is not known whether MX can induce oxidative stress in these cells. On the other hand, the results obtained in the current study with the Comet assay, which has been used to measure oxidative DNA damage [43], do not support the role of ROS production in the induction of mutations by MX in TK6 cells.

The tumour promoter 12-*O*-tetradecanoyl-phorbol-13-acetate (TPA) and microcystin-LR (MCLR), which is also a compound with tumour-promoting activity, preferably induce homo-LOH through homologous recombination [22,30]. Furthermore, the tumour promoter okadaic acid induces minisatellite mutation in NIH3T3 cells, probably through recombinational events [47]. It has been demonstrated that MX also has tumour-promoting activity both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [for a review, see 6]. However, the findings of this study are not comparable with other studies showing that homo-LOH is the predominant mutation type induced by tumour promoters. According to the results of the present study, recombinational events have only a minor contribution among the MX-induced *TK* mutants.

In conclusion, we have shown that MX induces primarily small mutations (e.g., point mutations), but it has also clastogenic properties causing LOH in human cells. Point mutations were generally not found at two key genes (*ras*, *p53*) in MX-induced liver tumours in rats [11]. Thus, other specific genes involved in tumorigenesis may be affected by treatment with MX. Indeed, the results of this study give some indication that MX is capable of inactivating tumour-suppressor genes in human cells as a result of LOH which may contribute to cancer development.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Asko Vepsäläinen for his advice in the statistical analyses. This study was supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

References

[1] IARC, Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risk to Humans. Some Drinking-water Disinfectants and Contaminants, Including Arsenic, vol. 84, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, 2004, pp. 441–475.
[2] S.D. Richardson, M.J. Plewa, E.D. Wagner, R. Schoeny, D.M. DeMarini, Occurrence, genotoxicity, and carcinogenicity of regulated and emerging disinfection by-products in drinking water: a review and roadmap for research, Mutat. Res. 636 (2007) 178–242.

[3] J. Mäki-Paakkanen, P. Hakulinen, Assessment of the genotoxicity of the rat carcinogen 3-chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2(5*H*)-furanone (MX) in rat liver epithelial cells *in vitro*, Toxicol. In Vitro 22 (2008) 535–540.
[4] P. Hakulinen, E. Rintala, J. Mäki-Paakkanen, H. Komulainen, Altered expression of connexin43 in the inhibition of gap junctional intercellular communication by chlorohydroxyfuranones in WB-F344 rat liver epithelial cells, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 212 (2006) 146–155.
[5] A. Nishikawa, K. Sai, K. Okazaki, H.Y. Son, K. Kanki, M. Nakajima, N. Kinae, T. Nohmi, J.E. Trosko, T. Inoue, M. Hirose, MX, a by-product of water chlorination, lacks *in vivo* genotoxicity in *gpt* delta mice but inhibits gap junctional intercellular communication in rat WB cells, Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 47 (2006) 48–55.
[6] T.A. McDonald, H. Komulainen, Carcinogenicity of the chlorination disinfection by-product MX, J. Environ. Sci. Health C 23 (2005) 163–214.
[7] D.M. DeMarini, A. Abu-Shakra, C.F. Felton, K.S. Patterson, M.L. Shelton, Mutational spectra in *Salmonella* of chlorinated, chloraminated, or ozonated drinking water extracts: comparison to MX, Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 26 (1995) 270–285.
[8] J.M.T. Hyttinen, M. Niittykoski, K. Jansson, Lack of uniformity in the mutational spectra of chlorohydroxyfuranones in *Salmonella typhimurium* strain TA100, Mutagenesis 10 (1996) 321–323.
[9] S. Knasmüller, E. Zöhrer, L. Kronberg, M. Kundi, R. Franzén, R. Schulte-Hermann, Mutational spectra of *Salmonella typhimurium* revertants induced by chlorohydroxyfuranones, byproducts of chlorine disinfection of drinking water, Chem. Res. Toxicol. 9 (1996) 374–381.
[10] J.M.T. Hyttinen, S. Myöhänen, K. Jansson, Kinds of mutations induced by 3-chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2(5*H*)-furanone (MX) in the *hprt* gene of Chinese hamster ovary cells, Carcinogenesis 17 (1996) 1179–1181.
[11] H. Komulainen, P. Hakulinen, K. Servomaa, K. Makkonen, R. Vasara, J. Mäki-Paakkanen, V.-M. Kosma, No consistent pattern of mutations in *p53* and *ras* genes in liver tumors of rat treated with the drinking water mutagen 3-chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2(5*H*)-furanone (MX), Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 36 (2000) 292–300.
[12] F. Le Curieux, T. Munter, L. Kronberg, Identification of adenine adducts formed in reaction of calf thymus DNA with mutagenic chlorohydroxyfuranones found in drinking water, Chem. Res. Toxicol. 10 (1997) 1180–1185.
[13] R. Franzén, K. Tanabe, M. Morita, Isolation of a MX-guanosine adduct formed at physiological conditions, Chemosphere 36 (1998) 2803–2808.
[14] T. Munter, F. Le Curieux, R. Sjöholm, L. Kronberg, Reaction of the potent bacterial mutagen 3-chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2(5*H*)-furanone (MX) with 2′-deoxyadenosine and calf thymus DNA: identification of fluorescent propenoformyl derivatives, Chem. Res. Toxicol. 11 (1998) 226–233.
[15] T. Munter, F. Le Curieux, R. Sjöholm, L. Kronberg, Identification of an ethenoformyl adduct formed in the reaction of the potent bacterial mutagen 3-chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2(5*H*)-furanone with guanosine, Chem. Res. Toxicol. 12 (1999) 46–52.
[16] H.L. Liber, W.G. Thilly, Mutation assay at the thymidine kinase locus in diploid human lymphoblasts, Mutat. Res. 94 (1982) 467–485.
[17] M. Honma, Generation of loss of geterozygosity and its dependency on p53 status in human lymphoblastoid cells, Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 45 (2005) 162–176.
[18] T.R. Skopek, H.L. Liber, B.W. Penman, W.G. Thilly, Isolation of a human lymphoblastoid line heterozygous at the thymidine kinase locus: possibility for a rapid human cell mutation assay, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 84 (1978) 411–416.
[19] N.P. Singh, M.T. McCoy, R.R. Tice, E.L. Schneider, A simple technique for quantitation of low levels of DNA damage in individual cells, Exp. Cell Res. 175 (1988) 184–191.
[20] M.M. Moore, M. Honma, J. Clements, K. Harrington-Brock, T. Awoki, G. Bolcsfoldi, M. Cifone, D. Collard, M. Fellows, K. Flanders, B. Gollapudi, P. Jenkinson, P. Kirby, S. Kirchner, J. Kraycer, S. McEnaney, W. Muster, B. Myhr, M. O'Donovan, J. Oliver, M.-C. Ouldelhkim, K. Pant, R. Preston, C. Riach, R. San, H. Shimada, L.F. Stankowski Jr., Mouse lymphoma thymidine kinase gene mutation assay: follow-up international workshop on genotoxicity test procedures, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 2000, Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 40 (2002) 292–299.
[21] R.R. Tice, E. Agurell, D. Anderson, B. Burlinson, A. Hartmann, H. Kobayashi, Y. Miyamae, E. Rojas, J.-C. Ryu, Y.F. Sasaki, Single cell gel/Comet assay: guidelines for *in vitro* and *in vivo* genetic toxicology testing, Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 35 (2000) 206–221.
[22] L. Zhan, H. Sakamoto, M. Sakuraba, D.-S. Wu, L.-S. Zhang, T. Suzuki, M. Hayashi, M. Honma, Genotoxicity of microcystin-LR in human lymphoblastoid TK6 cells, Mutat. Res. 557 (2004) 1–6.
[23] J.A. Tischfield, Loss of heterozygosity or: how I learned to stop worrying and love mitotic recombination, Am. J. Hum. Genet. 61 (1997) 995–999.
[24] N.W. Woodruff, J.L. Durant, L.L. Donhoffner, B.W. Penman, C.L. Crespi, Human cell mutagenicity of chlorinated and unchlorinated water and the disinfection byproduct 3-chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2(5*H*)-furanone (MX), Mutat. Res. 495 (2001) 157–168.
[25] K. Jansson, J.M.T. Hyttinen, Induction of gene mutation in mammalian cells by 3-chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2(5*H*)-furanone (MX), a chlorine disinfection by-product in drinking water, Mutat. Res. 322 (1994) 129–132.
[26] J. Mäki-Paakkanen, K. Jansson, T. Vartiainen, Induction of mutation, sister-chromatid exchanges, and chromosome aberrations by 3-chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2(5*H*)-furanone in Chinese hamster ovary cells, Mutat. Res. 310 (1994) 117–123.
[27] H. Matsumura, M. Watanabe, K. Matsumoto, T. Ohta, 3-Chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2(5*H*)-furanone (MX) induces gene mutations and inhibits metabolic cooperation in cultured Chinese hamster cells, J. Toxicol. Environ. Health 43 (1994) 65–72.
[28] K. Harrington-Brock, C.L. Doerr, M.M. Moore, Mutagenicity and clastogenicity of 3-chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2(5*H*)-furanone (MX) in L5178Y/TK$^{+/-}$-3.7.2C mouse lymphoma cells, Mutat. Res. 348 (1995) 105–110.
[29] M. Honma, T. Sofuni, The mouse lymphoma assay (MLA) using the microwell method, in: W.N. Choy (Ed.), Genetic Toxicology and Cancer Risk Assessment, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 2001, pp. 141–161.
[30] M. Honma, J.B. Little, Recombinagenic activity of the phorbol ester 12-*O*-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate in human lymphoblastoid cells, Carcinogenesis 16 (1995) 1717–1722.
[31] E. Gocke, H. Bürgin, L. Müller, T. Pfister, Literature review on the genotoxicity, reproductive toxicity, and carcinogenicity of ethyl methanesulfonate, Toxicol. Lett. 190 (2009) 254–265.
[32] D. Lasko, W. Cavenee, M. Nordenskjöld, Loss of constitutional heterozygosity in human cancer, Annu. Rev. Genet. 25 (1991) 281–314.
[33] G. Speit, S. Hanelt, R. Helbig, A. Seidel, A. Hartmann, Detection of DNA effects in human cells with the Comet assay and their relevance for mutagenesis, Toxicol. Lett. 88 (1996) 91–98.
[34] A. Hartmann, K. Herkommer, M. Glück, G. Speit, DNA-damaging effect of cyclophosphamide on human blood cells *in vivo* and *in vitro* studied with the single-cell gel test (Comet assay), Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 25 (1995) 180–187.
[35] K. Oshida, E. Iwanaga, K. Miyamoto, Y. Miyamoto, Comet assay in murine bone-marrow cell line (FDC-P2), Toxicol. In Vitro 24 (2010) 1039–1044.
[36] A. Hartmann, A. Elhajouji, E. Kiskinis, F. Poetter, H.-J. Martus, A. Fjällman, W. Frieauff, W. Suter, Use of the alkaline Comet assay for industrial genotoxicity screening: comparative investigation with the micronucleus test, Food Chem. Toxicol. 39 (2001) 843–858.
[37] J.R. Meier, W.F. Blazak, R.B. Knohl, Mutagenic and clastogenic properties of 3-chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2(5*H*)-furanone: a potent bacterial mutagen in drinking water, Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 10 (1987) 411–424.
[38] Y. Ishiguro, R.T. LaLonde, C.W. Dence, Mutagenicity of chlorine-substituted furanones and their inactivation by reaction with nucleophiles, Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 6 (1987) 935–946.
[39] T. Vartiainen, S. Lampelo, J. Tuomisto, S. Lötjönen, The strong mutagen 3-chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2(5*H*)-furanone (MX) of drinking water is drastically metabolized by placental S9 and rat liver S9 with and without vitamin C, Mutat. Res. 216 (1989) 282–283.
[40] L. Tikkanen, L. Kronberg, Genotoxic effects of various chlorinated butenoic acids identified in chlorinated drinking water, Mutat. Res. 240 (1990) 109–116.
[41] D.A. Cozzie, R. Kanniganti, M.J. Charles, J.D. Johnson, L.M. Ball, Formation and characterization of bacterial mutagens from reaction of the alternative disinfectant monochloramine with model aqueous solutions of fulvic acid, Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 21 (1993) 237–246.
[42] L.C. King, S.D. Hester, S.H. Warren, D.M. DeMarini, Induction of abasic sites by the drinking-water mutagen MX in *Salmonella* TA100, Chem. Biol. Interact. 180 (2009) 340–343.
[43] A. Azqueta, S. Shaposhnikov, A.R. Collins, DNA oxidation: investigating its key role in environmental mutagenesis with the Comet assay, Mutat. Res. 674 (2009) 101–108.
[44] S. Díaz-Llera, A. Podlutsky, A.M. Österholm, S.M. Hou, B. Lambert, Hydrogen peroxide induced mutations at the HPRT locus in primary human T-lymphocytes, Mutat. Res. 469 (2000) 51–61.
[45] O. Zeni, F. Salvemini, R. Di Pietro, D. Buonincontri, H. Komulainen, M. Romanò, M.R. Scarfì, Induction of oxidative stress in murine cell lines by 3-chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2(5*H*)-furanone (MX), Toxicol. Lett. 14 (2004) 79–85.
[46] O. Zeni, R. Di Pietro, G. d'Ambrosio, R. Massa, M. Capri, J. Naarala, J. Juutilainen, M.R. Scarfi, Formation of reactive oxygen species in L929 cells after exposure to 900 MHz RF radiation with and without co-exposure to 3-chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2(5*H*)-furanone, Radiat. Res. 167 (2007) 306–311.
[47] H. Nakagama, S. Kaneko, H. Shima, H. Inamori, H. Fukuda, R. Kominami, T. Sugimura, M. Nagao, Induction of minisatellite mutation in NIH 3T3 cells by treatment with the tumor promoter okadaic acid, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 94 (1997) 10813–10816.

Environmental Science & Technology

ARTICLE

pubs.acs.org/est

Genotoxicity of Colloidal Fullerene C_{60}

Shun Matsuda,[†] Saburo Matsui,[‡] Yoshihisa Shimizu,[†] and Tomonari Matsuda*[,†]

[†]Research Center for Environmental Quality Management, Kyoto University, 1-2 Yumihama, Otsu, Shiga 5200811, Japan

[‡]Matsui Consulting Firm of the Environment, 10-45 Hanozonouchihata-cho, Ukyo-ku, Kyoto 6168045, Japan

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Previous genotoxicity tests of aqueous fullerene C_{60} suspension (aqu-C_{60}) yielded both positive and negative results. In the present study, aqu-C_{60} elicited positive responses in two bacterial genotoxicity tests, the *Bacillus subtilis* Rec-assay and the *umu* test at concentrations as low as 0.048 mg/L and 0.43 mg/L, respectively. In mammalian cell experiments, aqu-C_{60} showed a significant growth inhibitory effect on human hepatocarcinoma HepG2 cells at 0.46 mg/L. The level of the oxidative DNA lesion 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2′-deoxyguanosine, measured by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry, was slightly but not significantly increased in HepG2 cells treated with 0.46 mg/L for 24 h, whereas the level of the lipid peroxidation-related DNA lesion α-methyl-γ-hydroxy-1,N^2-propano-2′-deoxyguanosine was not changed. Under the same conditions, we did not detect any bulky DNA adducts, as measured by ^{32}P-postlabeling/polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis analysis. Our data suggest that aqu-C_{60} has DNA-damaging potential and that the DNA damage is not due to covalent DNA adduct formation by C_{60} itself.



1. INTRODUCTION

Fullerene C_{60} (C_{60}) is one of the most attractive nanoparticles because of its unique physical and chemical properties and its burgeoning application to electronics, cosmetics, medicine, and so on. Greater use of C_{60} will lead to its increased emission into the environment and greater exposure opportunity for living organisms. Therefore, many researchers are concerned not only with the convenience of C_{60} but also its potential hazardous effects. Although C_{60} is insoluble in water, it can be stably dispersed in aqueous solution by simply stirring in water for a long time.[1−3] This raises the possibility that C_{60} could remain a stable aquatic pollutant, prompting toxicological evaluation of aqueous C_{60} suspension (aqu-C_{60}).

Althougth there are several studies of the genotoxicity of aqu-C_{60}, some test systems showed positive results[1,4,5] but others negative.[4,6,7] Therefore, further studies on the genotoxic potential of aqu-C_{60} are required.

In the present study, we carried out an array of genotoxicity tests: 1) we used the *Bacillus subtilis* Rec-assay and the *umu* test to model induction of a DNA repair response caused by aqu-C_{60}; and 2) we exposed mammalian cells to aqu-C_{60} to measure the levels of bulky DNA adducts by using ^{32}P-postlabeling/polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis analysis as well as the lipid peroxidation (LPO)-related lesion α-methyl-γ-hydroxy-1,N^2-propano-2′-deoxyguanosine (CdG) and the oxidative lesion 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2′-deoxyguanosine (8-oxodG) by using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. C_{60} was a kind gift from Dr. Hirohito Tsue (Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan). Yeast extract and Bacto tryptone were purchased from BD Bioscience (Franklin Lakes, USA). S-9 mix was purchased from Wako. Alkaline phosphatase was purchased from SIGMA (St. Louis, USA). Micrococcal nuclease and spleen phosphodiesterase were purchased from Worthington (Lakewood, USA).

Bacterial Strains. *Salmonella typhimurium* TA1535/pSK1002 strain for the *umu* test was a kind gift from Dr. Yoshimithu Oda (Osaka Prefectural Institute of Public Health, Osaka, Japan). For the *Bacillus subtilis* Rec-assay, the *Bacillus subtilis* H17 (Rec+) and M45 (Rec-) strains were used.

Preparation of Aqueous C_{60} Suspension and Characterization. Before experiments, C_{60} was purified with HPLC. C_{60} was dissolved in toluene, and the solution was injected onto the Shim-pack FC-ODS column (150 mm × 4.6 mm) (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) and subsequently eluted in an isocratic mode with 60% toluene in acetonitrile at flow rate of 1.0 mL/min with monitoring the absorbance at 333 nm. The peak showing UV spectrum at 333 nm of C_{60} was collected and evaporated to dryness.

Received: November 1, 2010
Accepted: March 29, 2011
Revised: March 25, 2011
Published: April 11, 2011

ACS Publications © 2011 American Chemical Society

Preparation of aqu-C_{60} followed Deguchi et al.[8] with slight modification. Ten mg of C_{60} was dispersed in 100 mL of THF, degassed with a nitrogen purge for five hours, and stirred overnight in the dark. After filtration (pore size: 0.45 μm), an equal amount of water was added to the solution. The solution was evaporated to 90 mL by using a rotary evaporator, following which 20 mL of water was added. The evaporation and water-addition steps were repeated twice. Finally the solution was evaporated to 100 mL and insoluble C_{60} in the solution was removed by filtration (pore size: 0.45 μm). UV−vis spectra of the aqu-C60 were scanned within the wavelength of 220−600 nm using Gene Spec V (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). Size distribution was determined by SALD-2100 laser diffraction particle size analyzer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). Concentration of the prepared aqu-C_{60} was determined by absorbance at 263 nm with molar absorbance coefficient reported by Mchedlov-Petrossyan et al. and Deguchi et al.[8,9] (ε, 1.1×10^5 M^{-1} cm^{-1}). Final concentration in toxicity tests was calculated from dilution magnification of the prepared aqu-C_{60}.

***Bacillus subtilis* Rec-Assay.** *Bacillus subtilis* M45 (Rec-) strain is a *recA* gene deficient strain lacking DNA recombination repair system and SOS response induction. Consequently, Rec- strain is much more sensitive than *Bacillus subtilis* H17 (Rec+) strain to wide spectra of DNA damage such as DNA strand breaks, pyrimidine dimers, alkylations, cross-links, and bulky DNA adducts.[10] Therefore, genotoxicity of the interested chemical can be judged to compare the survival curve of Rec- with that of Rec+.

Both Rec+ and Rec- strains were grown in Luria−Bertani (LB) broth at 37 °C with vigorous shaking until the turbidity of the cultures at 595 nm reached from 0.1 to 1.0 using a microplate reader. The cultures were then diluted with LB broth until the turbidity at 595 nm ≈ 0.02. Four microliters of water (negative control), the aqu-C_{60} sample were pipetted into the wells of a 96-well microplate. Fifty microliters of the diluted culture and 46 μL of LB broth were added to each well, and then the turbidity of the mixtures at 595 nm (A_{595} (before)) was measured. The microplate was sealed and incubated at 37 °C for 5 h with vigorous shaking. After incubation, the turbidity of the mixtures at 595 nm (A_{595} (after)) was measured. Survival of Rec+ and Rec- strains was calculated using the following equation: Survival (%) = $\{A_{595}$ (after) - A_{595} (before)$\}_{sample}/\{A_{595}$ (after) - A_{595} (before)$\}_{control}$.

***Umu* Test.** *Salmonella typhimurium* TA1535/pSK1002 strain used for *umu* test is introduced a plasmid pSK1002 carrying a fused gene *umuC-lacZ* and the expression of *umuC* is inducible by these DNA-damaging agents. The strain enables us to judge genotoxicity of the interested chemical by measuring the β-galactosidase activity in the cells produced by the fusion gene.

The detailed protocol of the *umu* test was described elsewhere.[11] Four microliters of water (negative control) or the aqu-C_{60} sample and 96 μL of an exponentially growing culture of TA1535/pSK1002 for the S9-absent experiment or the mixture of the bacterial culture and the S9 mix at a ratio of 1.7:0.3 for the S9-present experiment were added to the wells of a 96-well microplate. 4-Nitroquinoline 1-oxide (4-NQO) and 2-aminoanthracene (2-AA) as positive controls for the S9-absent and the S9-present experiment, respectively, and dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) as their negative control were used. After incubation, bacterial growth was measured as turbidity at 595 nm with a microplate reader. For chlorophenol red-β-D-galactopyranoside (CPRG), the absorbance at 540 nm was measured. The relative β-galactosidase activity (RGA) was calculated using the following equation: RGA (units) = A_{540} (CPRG)/A_{595} (growth turbidity). The values in this equation were corrected by subtracting the value of the absorbance blank. The experiment was performed independently three times.

Cell Culture. Human hepatocarcinoma cell line HepG2 was obtained through the courtesy of the Cell Resource Center for Biomedical Research of Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan and was maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (IWAKI, Funabashi, Japan) or phenol red-free DMEM (for MTS assay) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA) at 37 °C in a humidified 5% CO_2 atmosphere.

MTS Assay. HepG2 cells seeded in a 24-well plate were treated with 450 μL of culture medium plus 50 μL of aqu-C_{60} (final concentration, 0.46 mg/L) for 24 and 72 h. Cell viability after treatment was assessed by using an MTS (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium, inner salt) assay according to the manufacturer's instructions (Promega, WI, USA).

Cell Treatment with aqu-C_{60} and DNA Extraction. HepG2 cells grown to 70% confluence in 100-mm dishes were incubated for 24 h with either 1 mL of water (negative control) or aqu-C_{60} (the final concentration, 0.46 mg/L) plus 9 mL of the culture medium. After the cells were harvested, DNA was extracted from the cellular pellet according to Ravanat et al.[12] using NaI for DNA precipitation. The DNA pellet was dissolved in 200 μL of 0.1 mM desferrioxamine. The DNA concentration of the samples was calculated by measuring the absorbance at 260 nm.

Enzymatic DNA Digestion. For LC/MS/MS experiments, each DNA sample (25 μg) was mixed with 15 μL of digestion buffer (17 mM sodium succinate, 8 mM $CaCl_2$, pH 6.0) containing 22.5 units of micrococcal nuclease and 0.075 units of spleen phosphodiesterase. Together, three stable isotope-labeled DNA adduct internal standards, [$^{15}N_5$]-8-oxodG, and [$^{15}N_5$]-α-S-methyl-γ-hydroxy-1,N^2-propano-2′-deoxyguanosine (CdG_1), and [$^{15}N_5$]-α-R-methyl-γ-hydroxy-1,N^2-propano-2′-deoxyguanosine (CdG_2), were also added to the solution. After incubation at 37 °C for 3 h, 1.5 units of alkaline phosphatase (SIGMA, St. Louis, USA), 5 μL of 20 mM $ZnSO_4$, 10 μL of 0.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, and 67 μL of water were added. The mixture was then incubated for another 3 h at 37 °C. The digested DNA was concentrated to approximately 20 μL by speed-vac concentrator, and 100 μL of methanol was added to precipitate enzymes and excess salt. The supernatant was recovered, and the precipitate was washed by 100 μL of methanol. The supernatant and the methanol fraction were combined and evaporated to dryness.

DNA Adducts Quantification. LC/MS/MS experiments were performed on a Shimadzu LC system (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) and a Quattro Ultima Pt triple stage quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters-Micromass, Milford, MA). The digested DNA samples were resuspended in 50 μL of 30% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). 50 μL aliquots of sample were injected onto a Shim-pack FC-ODS column (150 mm × 4.6 mm) (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) and subsequently eluted in an isocratic mode with 2% methanol in water initially for 0.1 min, a linear gradient of 2% to 40% methanol in water from 2 to 40 min, and a subsequent linear gradient of 40% to 80% methanol in water from 40 to 45 min at flow rate of 0.4 mL/min. The mass spectrometric conditions were performed with the following parameters: ion mode, positive; capillary voltage, 3.5 kV; ion source temperature, 130 °C; desolvation gas flow rate, 700 L/h; cone gas flow rate, 35 L/h. The characteristic parameters for each DNA adduct



Figure 1. Characterization of aqu-C60. (a) The color images of aqu-C60. (b) UV–vis absorbance spectra of aqu-C60. (c) Particle size distribution of 2.3 mg/L aqu-C60, aqu-C60 in LB broth (2.3 mg/L) and aqu-C60 in DMEM (2.3 mg/L). The average particle size of C60 was determined to be 122 nm in water, 320 nm in LB broth, and 330 nm in DMEM.



Figure 2. Survival curves for Rec+ and Rec- cells treated with aqu-C60 in the *Bacillus subtilis* Recassay. The values represent the mean of three independent experiments ± SD. Asterisks (*, **, and ***) denote $p < 0.05$, 0.01, and 0.001 calculated using Student's *t* test between Rec+ and Rec-.

measurement were as follows (cone voltage (V), collision energy (eV), base ion → product ion): [$^{15}N_5$]-8-oxodG (40, 12, 288.8 → 172.8), [$^{15}N_5$]-CdG$_1$ and CdG$_2$ (35, 10, 343.0 → 227.0), 8-oxo-dG (40, 12, 283.8 → 167.8), and CdG$_1$ and CdG$_2$ (35, 10, 338.0 → 222.0). The amount of each DNA adduct was quantified by calculating the peak area ratio of the target DNA adduct and its specific internal standard. Calibration curves were obtained by



Figure 3. Aqu-C60 showed a positive genotoxic response in the umu test with S9- (a) or S9+ (b). 4- NQO and 2-AA were used as positive controls in the S9- and S9+ experiments, respectively. The values represent the mean of three independent experiments ± SD. Asterisks (*, **, and ***) denote $p < 0.05$, 0.01, and 0.001 calculated using Student's *t* test versus the control.



Figure 4. Cytotoxicity of aqu-C60 against HepG2 cells. After cells were treated with 0.46 mg/L aqu-C60 or water (control) for 24 h (a) and 72 h (b), MTS assay was performed. The values represent the mean of three independent experiments ± SD. Asterisks (**) denote $p < 0.01$ calculated using Student's *t* test versus the control.

authentic standards applied with isotope internal standards. DNA adduct levels in each sample were calculated as described in a previous report.[13]

3. RESULTS

Aqu-C_{60} Characterization. The aqu-C_{60} exhibited yellow color (Figure 1(a)). The UV–visible absorption spectra of the aqu-C_{60} shown in Figure 1(b) were consistent with the spectra shown in a previous report.[8] Size distribution of the aqu-C_{60} ranged from 59 to 241 nm (Figure 1(c)). The average size was determined to be 117 nm. However, the distribution was shifted to larger (241–554 nm) when aqu-C_{60} was dispersed in LB broth or DMEM. The average size was determined to be 320 nm in LB broth and 330 nm in DMEM.

Bacterial Genotoxicity Test. The results of the *Bacillus subtilis* Rec-assay are shown in Figure 2. While aqu-C_{60} did not affect the survival of the Rec+ strain even at 0.43 mg/L, the survival of the Rec- strain decreased in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 2). The surviving fraction (67.7 ± 1.98%) of the Rec- strain at the highest concentration (0.43 mg/L) was significantly lower than that (96.7 ± 3.67%) of the Rec+ strain ($p < 0.001$).

The results of the *umu* test are shown in Figure 3. The RGA represents the relative genotoxic strength of the tested chemical. 4-NQO and 2-AA, which are positive controls for the S9-absent and S9-present experiments, respectively, produced dose-dependent increases in RGA. In the S9-absent experiment (Figure 3 (a)), the RGA of aqu-C_{60} was increased dose-dependently



Figure 5. DNA adduct levels of the oxidative lesion 8-oxodG (a) and the LPO-related lesions CdG1 and CdG2 (b). 70% confluent HepG2 cells in 100-mm dishes were incubated for 24 h with either 0.46 mg/L aqu-C60 or water (negative control). The cells were then harvested, and DNA adduct levels were measured. The values represent the mean of three independent experiments ± SD.

and showed a significant increase at the highest concentration tested (0.43 mg/L) ($p < 0.01$). However, the increase in RGA was not evident in the S9-present experiment (Figure 3 (b)). The results of the *Bacillus subtilis* Rec-assay and the *umu* test indicate that aqu-C_{60} elicits a genotoxic response in bacterial cells.

Effect of aqu-C_{60} on Mammalian Cell Proliferation. Because it was reported that C_{60} tends to accumulate in the liver,[14] we used human hepatocarcinoma HepG2 cells for a cell viability assay. The cells were treated with 0.46 mg/L C_{60} for 24 and 72 h, and then the absorbance at 490 nm was measured using MTS assay. While no effect was observed in cell viability after the short time aqu-C_{60} exposure (24 h), aqu-C_{60} produced a significant inhibitory effect on cell proliferation after long time aqu-C_{60} exposure (72 h) (Figure 4).

Table 1. Summary of Aqueous C_{60} Suspension Genotoxicity Tests[a]

experimental system	cell line or tissue	dose	result	ref
bacterial reverse mutation assay	*Salmonella typhimurium* TA100, TA1535, TA98, TA1537 and *Escherichia coli* WP2*uvrA*/pKM101	5 mg/plate	–	7
		1 mg/plate	–	6
	Chromosomal Damage in Mammalian Cells			
comet assay	human lympocytes	0.0022 mg/L	+	1
	FE1-Muta mouse lung epithelial cells	100 mg/L	+	16
	lung of male C57BL/6J mice	0.2 mg/mouse, intratracheal instillation	+	4
micronuclei test	A549 cells	0.02 mg/L	+	4
chromosomal aberration test	Chinese hamster CHI/IU cells	5000 mg/L	–	7
		200 mg/L	–	6
	Transgenic Mutagenesis Systems			
Gpt delta transgenic mouse				
Spi- mutation assay	primary embryo fibroblasts (*in vitro*)	14 μM	+	5
	lung (*in vivo*)	0.2 mg/mouse 4 times, intratracheal instillation	–	4
Gpt mutation assay	lung (*in vivo*)	0.2 mg/mouse, intratracheal instillation	+	4
FE1-MutaTM Mouse				
Cll mutation assay	lung epithelial cells (*in vitro*)	100 mg/L	–	16
8-oxodG level in DNA	liver (Li), lung (Lu), and colon (Co) of female Fisher 344 rats	0.064 (Li), 0.64 (Lu, Co) mg/kg body weight, oral gavage	Li +, Lu +, Co -	27
	Results from This Study			
Bacillus subtilis Rec-assay	*Bacillus subtilis* H17 and M45	0.048 mg/L	+	this study
Umu test	*Salmonella typhimurium* TA1535/pSK1002	0.43 mg/L	+	this study
^{32}P-postlabeling	HepG2	0.46 mg/L	–	this study
Oxidative DNA adduct formation				
8-oxodG	HepG2	0.46 mg/L	±	this study
CdG	HepG2	0.46 mg/L	–	this study

[a] +: positive/significantly increased: -: negative/not changed; ±: tended to increase but the increase is not significant.

Quantification of Oxidative DNA Adducts and Bulky DNA Adducts. C_{60} is known as an ROS generator[15−17] and an inducer of LPO.[18−23] ROS and LPO products can modify nucleic acid bases to form DNA adducts, such as 8-oxodG, implicated in genotoxicity.[24] Therefore, we elucidated whether aqu-C_{60} can increase the levels of oxidative DNA adducts in human hepatocarcinoma HepG2 cells. As candidate oxidative DNA adducts we chose 8-oxodG, CdG_1, and CdG_2. The results of quantification of oxidative DNA adducts are shown in Figure 5 (a) and (b). The levels of CdG_1 and CdG_2 were essentially unchanged following aqu-C_{60} treatment as compared to the control; a slight but nonsignificant increase in the level of 8-oxodG lesions was observed. In the same condition, the cell viability of HepG2 was not changed (Figure 4 (a)). Bulky DNA adducts were also measured by using the ^{32}P-postlabeling method, but we could not detect any bulky DNA adducts caused by aqu-C_{60} (Figure S1).

4. DISCUSSION

The size distribution shift by LB broth and DMEM observed in Figure 1(c) is thought to be due to salt in the media as reported previously.[25] The size of colloidal C_{60} particle in those test media (241−554 nm) was considerably big. Although mammalian cells may take it by endocytosis, it is hard to imagine that the bacterial cells can intake such a big particle as it is. So that, we feel that only a small portion of the aqu-C_{60} was taken up by the bacterial test strains. The availability of the particle aqu-C_{60} by bacterial and mammalian cells needs to be elucidated in further study.

In this study, we prepared aqu-C_{60} by the THF−water exchange method. It was reported that γ-butyrolactone (GBL), a toxic byproduct of THF, contaminated in the aqu-C_{60} was prepared by this method.[26] We checked the contamination by using LC/MS/MS, and the concentration of GBL in our aqu-C_{60} solution was 0.064% (v/v). We also checked if this concentration of GBL affected the test results (Figures S2 and S3). The results indicated that the influence of the contaminated GBL could be negligible.

A summary of the results of genotoxicity tests available in literature, together with our data, is presented in Table 1. Aqu-C_{60} elicited positive genotoxic responses in experimental systems which detect early events in mutagenesis, including DNA damage and DNA repair responses, such as the comet assay,[1,4,16] the micronucleus test,[4] the *Bacillus subtilis* Rec-assay, and the *umu* test. These lines of evidence support the hypothesis that aqu-C_{60} has DNA-damaging potential. On the other hand, the results from some other experimental systems have been negative or conflicting: bacterial reverse mutation assay (negative[6,7]), chromosomal aberration test (negative[6,7]), and transgenic mutagenesis systems (positive,[4,5] negative[4]). As describe above, the mutagenic effect of aqu-C_{60} is not conclusive. That gives us an impression that the mutagenic effect of aqu-C_{60} might be modest. The result of ^{32}P-postlabeling/polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis analysis indicates that aqu-C_{60} does not make covalent DNA adducts. So that C_{60} seems to make DNA damage by some indirect mechanisms.

ROS generation is considered an important property of C_{60}. Two mechanisms of ROS induction by C_{60} have been reported. First, C_{60} is excited from the singlet state to the triplet state by light. The excited triplet state of C_{60} produces singlet oxygen by energy transfer.[17] Second, the excited triplet state of C_{60} is converted to the reduced triplet state in the presence of reducing agents such as NADH. The reduced triplet state of C_{60} produces superoxide anion radical by electron transfer.[15] It was reported that exposure to aqu-C_{60} increased LPO in human dermal fibroblasts, HepG2 cells, human astrocytes, the brain of juvenile largemouth bass, rat lung, and adult male fathead minnows, as measured by the thiobarbituric acid assay for malondialdehyde.[20−23] These ROS and LPO products can oxidatively damage DNA to form DNA adducts such as 8-oxodG. Folkmann et al. reported that the levels of 8-oxodG were increased in the liver and lung but not the colon of rats after intragastric administration of C_{60} suspended in both saline and corn oil.[27] However, we did not observe significant changes in the levels of 8-oxodG in HepG2 cells after treatment with 0.46 mg/L aqu-C_{60} for 24 h despite the known ROS-generating ability of C_{60} (Figure 5). On the other hand, there are few studies on LPO-related DNA adducts in connection with particle toxicology. The levels of LPO-related DNA adducts CdG_1 and CdG_2 were also not significantly changed in this study (Figure 5(b)). One of the possible reasons for this discrepancy is that refined systems for removing 8-oxodG[28] and CdGs[29] in mammalian cells could have overcome the increased levels of these DNA adducts induced by aqu-C_{60}.

Overall, our data suggest that aqu-C_{60} has DNA-damaging potential and that the DNA damage is not due to covalent DNA adduct formation by C_{60} itself. The mechanism by which aqu-C_{60} induces DNA damage and the resulting mutation needs to be elucidated in further study.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Material and methods and Figures S1-S3. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Phone: +81-77-527-6224. Fax: +81-77-524-9869. E-mail: matsuda@z05.mbox.media.kyoto-u.ac.jp.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by KAKENHI (18101003 and 18014009) and Grants-in-aid for cancer research from the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare, Japan.

REFERENCES

(1) Dhawan, A.; Taurozzi, J. S.; Pandey, A. K.; Shan, W.; Miller, S. M.; Hashsham, S. A.; Tarabara, V. V. Stable colloidal dispersions of C60 fullerenes in water: evidence for genotoxicity. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *40* (23), 7394–7401.

(2) Brant, J. A.; Labille, J.; Bottero, J. Y.; Wiesner, M. R. Characterizing the impact of preparation method on fullerene cluster structure and chemistry. *Langmuir* **2006**, *22* (8), 3878–3885.

(3) Cheng, X. K.; Kan, A. T.; Tomson, M. B. Naphthalene adsorption and desorption from Aqueous C-60 fullerene. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2004**, *49* (3), 675–683.

(4) Totsuka, Y.; Higuchi, T.; Imai, T.; Nishikawa, A.; Nohmi, T.; Kato, T.; Masuda, S.; Kinae, N.; Hiyoshi, K.; Ogo, S.; Kawanishi, M.; Yagi, T.; Ichinose, T.; Fukumori, N.; Watanabe, M.; Sugimura, T.; Wakabayashi, K. Genotoxicity of nano/microparticles in in vitro micronuclei, in vivo comet and mutation assay systems. *Part. Fibre Toxicol.* **2009**, *6*, 23.

(5) Xu, A.; Chai, Y.; Nohmi, T.; Hei, T. K. Genotoxic responses to titanium dioxide nanoparticles and fullerene in gpt delta transgenic MEF cells. *Part. Fibre Toxicol.* **2009**, *6*, 3.

(6) Shinohara, N.; Matsumoto, K.; Endoh, S.; Maru, J.; Nakanishi, J. In vitro and in vivo genotoxicity tests on fullerene C60 nanoparticles. *Toxicol. Lett.* **2009**, *191* (2−3), 289–296.

(7) Mori, T.; Takada, H.; Ito, S.; Matsubayashi, K.; Miwa, N.; Sawaguchi, T. Preclinical studies on safety of fullerene upon acute oral administration and evaluation for no mutagenesis. *Toxicology* **2006**, *225* (1), 48–54.

(8) Deguchi, S.; Alargova, R. G.; Tsujii, K. Stable dispersions of fullerenes, C-60 and C-70, in water. Preparation and characterization. *Langmuir* **2001**, *17* (19), 6013–6017.

(9) Mchedlov-Petrossyan, N. O.; Klochkov, V. K.; Andrievsky, G. V. Colloidal dispersions of fullerene C-60 in water: some properties and regularities of coagulation by electrolytes. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* **1997**, *93* (24), 4343–4346.

(10) Matsui, S.; Semba, N.; Matsuda, T.; Yamada, H. New Index Rec-Volume for the Evaluation of DNA Toxic Pollution in the Water Environment. *Water Sci. Technol. WSTED 4* **1992**, *25* (11).

(11) Oda, Y.; Funasaka, K.; Kitano, M.; Nakama, A.; Yoshikura, T. Use of a high-throughput umu-microplate test system for rapid detection of genotoxicity produced by mutagenic carcinogens and airborne particulate matter. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* **2004**, *43* (1), 10–19.

(12) Ravanat, J. L.; Douki, T.; Duez, P.; Gremaud, E.; Herbert, K.; Hofer, T.; Lasserre, L.; Saint-Pierre, C.; Favier, A.; Cadet, J. Cellular background level of 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2′-deoxyguanosine: an isotope based method to evaluate artefactual oxidation of DNA during its extraction and subsequent work-up. *Carcinogenesis* **2002**, *23* (11), 1911–1918.

(13) Matsuda, T.; Yabushita, H.; Kanaly, R. A.; Shibutani, S.; Yokoyama, A. Increased DNA damage in ALDH2-deficient alcoholics. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **2006**, *19* (10), 1374–1378.

(14) Moussa, F.; Pressac, M.; Genin, E.; Roux, S.; Trivin, F.; Rassat, A.; Ceolin, R.; Szwarc, H. Quantitative analysis of C60 fullerene in blood and tissues by high-performance liquid chromatography with photodiode-array and mass spectrometric detection. *J. Chromatogr., B: Biomed. Sci. Appl.* **1997**, *696* (1), 153–159.

(15) Yamakoshi, Y.; Sueyoshi, S.; Fukuhara, K.; Miyata, N. center dot OH and O-2(center dot-) generation in aqueous C-60 and C-70 solutions by photoirradiation: An EPR study. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120* (47), 12363–12364.

(16) Jacobsen, N. R.; Pojana, G.; White, P.; Moller, P.; Cohn, C. A.; Korsholm, K. S.; Vogel, U.; Marcomini, A.; Loft, S.; Wallin, H. Genotoxicity, cytotoxicity, and reactive oxygen species induced by single-walled carbon nanotubes and C(60) fullerenes in the FE1-Mutatrade markMouse lung epithelial cells. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* **2008**, *49* (6), 476–487.

(17) Arbogast, J. W.; Darmanyan, A. P.; Foote, C. S.; Rubin, Y.; Diederich, F. N.; Alvarez, M. M.; Anz, S. J.; Whetten, R. L. Photophysical Properties of C60. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1991**, *95* (1), 11–12.

(18) Kamat, J. P.; Devasagayam, T. P.; Priyadarsini, K. I.; Mohan, H.; Mittal, J. P. Oxidative damage induced by the fullerene C60 on photosensitization in rat liver microsomes. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **1998**, *114* (3), 145–159.

(19) Kamat, J. P.; Devasagayam, T. P.; Priyadarsini, K. I.; Mohan, H. Reactive oxygen species mediated membrane damage induced by fullerene derivatives and its possible biological implications. *Toxicology* **2000**, *155* (1−3), 55–61.

(20) Oberdorster, E. Manufactured nanomaterials (fullerenes, C60) induce oxidative stress in the brain of juvenile largemouth bass. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2004**, *112* (10), 1058–1062.

(21) Sayes, C. M.; Gobin, A. M.; Ausman, K. D.; Mendez, J.; West, J. L.; Colvin, V. L. Nano-C60 cytotoxicity is due to lipid peroxidation. *Biomaterials* **2005**, *26* (36), 7587–7595.

(22) Zhu, S.; Oberdorster, E.; Haasch, M. L. Toxicity of an engineered nanoparticle (fullerene, C60) in two aquatic species, Daphnia and fathead minnow. *Mar. Environ. Res.* **2006**, *62* Suppl S5−9.

(23) Sayes, C. M.; Marchione, A. A.; Reed, K. L.; Warheit, D. B. Comparative pulmonary toxicity assessments of C60 water suspensions in rats: few differences in fullerene toxicity in vivo in contrast to in vitro profiles. *Nano Lett.* **2007**, *7* (8), 2399–2406.

(24) Evans, M. D.; Dizdaroglu, M.; Cooke, M. S. Oxidative DNA damage and disease: induction, repair and significance. *Mutat. Res.* **2004**, *567* (1), 1–61.

(25) Lyon, D. Y.; Fortner, J. D.; Sayes, C. M.; Colvin, V. L.; Hughe, J. B. Bacterial cell association and antimicrobial activity of a C60 water suspension. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **2005**, *24* (11), 2757–2762.

(26) Henry, T. B.; Menn, F. M.; Fleming, J. T.; Wilgus, J.; Compton, R. N.; Sayler, G. S. Attributing effects of aqueous C60 nano-aggregates to tetrahydrofuran decomposition products in larval zebrafish by assessment of gene expression. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2007**, *115* (7), 1059–1065.

(27) Folkmann, J. K.; Risom, L.; Jacobsen, N. R.; Wallin, H.; Loft, S.; Moller, P. Oxidatively damaged DNA in rats exposed by oral gavage to C60 fullerenes and single-walled carbon nanotubes. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2009**, *117* (5), 703–708.

(28) Nakabeppu, Y.; Tsuchimoto, D.; Ichinoe, A.; Ohno, M.; Ide, Y.; Hirano, S.; Yoshimura, D.; Tominaga, Y.; Furuichi, M.; Sakumi, K. Biological significance of the defense mechanisms against oxidative damage in nucleic acids caused by reactive oxygen species: from mitochondria to nuclei. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **2004**, *1011*, 101–111.

(29) Johnson, K. A.; Fink, S. P.; Marnett, L. J. Repair of propanodeoxyguanosine by nucleotide excision repair in vivo and in vitro. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1997**, *272* (17), 11434–11438.

Mutation Research 721 (2011) 21–26

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Mutation Research/Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/gentox
Community address: www.elsevier.com/locate/mutres



Application of the DNA adductome approach to assess the DNA-damaging capability of *in vitro* micronucleus test-positive compounds

Kyoko Kato [a], Eiji Yamamura [a], Masanobu Kawanishi [b], Takashi Yagi [b,*], Tomonari Matsuda [c], Akio Sugiyama [a], Yoshifumi Uno [a]

[a] Safety Research Laboratories, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation, 1-1-1 Kazusakamatari, Kisarazu, Chiba 292-0818, Japan
[b] Environmental Genetics Laboratory, Frontier Science Innovation Center, Osaka Prefecture University, 1-2 Gakuen-cho, Sakai, Osaka 599-8570, Japan
[c] Research Center for Environmental Quality Management, Kyoto University, 1-2 Yumihama, Otsu, Shiga 520-0811, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 29 July 2010
Received in revised form 4 November 2010
Accepted 28 November 2010
Available online 23 December 2010

Keywords:
Adductome
DNA adduct
In vitro micronucleus test
LC/MS/MS

ABSTRACT

The *in vitro* micronucleus (MN) test is widely used for screening genotoxic compounds, but it often produces false-positive results. To consider the significance of positive results, it is important to know whether DNA adducts are formed in the cells treated with the test compound. Recently, Matsuda et al. developed the DNA adductome approach to detect DNA adducts comprehensively ([4] Kanaly, et al., Antioxid. Redox Signal., 2006, 8, 993–1001). We applied this method to assess the DNA-damaging capability of *in vitro* MN test-positive compounds. CHL/IU cells were treated with compounds from three categories: (1) carcinogens causing DNA alkylation, ethyl methanesulfonate and *N*-methyl-*N*′-nitro-*N*-nitrosoguanidine; (2) carcinogens producing DNA bulky adducts, 2-amino-6-phenyl-1-methylimidazo[4,5-*b*]pyrene, benzo[*a*]pyrene, 7,12-dimethylbenz[*a*]anthracene, and 4-nitroquinoline-1-oxide, and (3) non-carcinogens, caffeine, maltol, and sodium chloride, with or without metabolic activation. With the conditions in which all test compounds gave positive results in the MN tests, DNA was extracted from the cells and hydrolyzed to deoxyribonucleosides, which were subsequently subjected to LC/ESI-MS/MS analysis. All carcinogens (categories 1 and 2) produced various DNA adduct peaks, and some of the *m*/*z* peak values corresponded to known adducts. No non-carcinogens produced DNA adducts, indicating that these compounds produced MN through different mechanisms from the adduct formation. These results indicate that the adductome approach is useful to demonstrate DNA damage formation of MN test-positive compounds and to understand their mechanisms of action.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In regulatory science, *in vitro* genotoxicity tests are used for examinations of gene mutations and chromosomal alterations due to DNA damage caused by chemicals. The tests can predict carcinogenic potential of new chemicals applicable as pharmaceuticals, industrial materials, food additives, and cosmetic ingredients. If a compound shows a positive result from these tests, further *in vitro* studies to clarify the mechanism of its action (MOA) or *in vivo* genotoxicity tests are required to assess the risk for human health. Kirkland et al. demonstrated recently that the results from *in vitro* genotoxicity tests, especially the chromosome aberration assay and the micronucleus test in Chinese hamster cells and the mouse lymphoma tk locus assay, are highly discrepant from the results from rodent *in vivo* carcinogenicity tests [1].

Direct or indirect DNA reaction with a compound is an example of MOA, and should be first considered after a positive result is obtained in *in vitro* genotoxicity tests [2]. Direct DNA-reactive compounds are considered to have a non-effective threshold in the dose–response relationship in carcinogenesis; however, non-DNA-reactive (indirect) compounds have a threshold. It is considered that there is no cancer risk below the threshold level exposure; therefore, evidence of direct or indirect reaction of the genotoxicity test-positive compound is important for its cancer risk evaluation. A rapid, sensitive, and accurate method to measure cellular DNA damage, that is, direct DNA reactivity in cells, at the same experimental condition as the genotoxicity test will be required to clarify the MOA of the compound.

DNA damage formation can be measured using various analytical methods [3]. The amount of DNA adducts can be determined by measuring radioactive decay or accelerator mass spectrometry of radiolabeled adduct residues in DNA of the cells treated with radiolabeled chemicals. When the labeled compounds are not available, adducts can be measured by ^{32}P post-labeling analysis, physicochemical methods including mass spectrometry, fluorescence

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 72 254 9802; fax: +81 72 254 9938.
E-mail address: yagi-t@riast.osakafu-u.ac.jp (T. Yagi).

1383-5718/$ – see front matter © 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/j.mrgentox.2010.11.012

spectrometry, and electrochemical detection, or by immunochemical methods. Each of these approaches has different merits and limitations, and the measurement of DNA adduct formation needs a specific experimental protocol that is dependent on the reactivity and characteristics of each compound. None of these methods is very sensitive and accurate to quantitate the amount of DNA damage at the low concentration used in *in vitro* genotoxicity tests.

Recently, Kanaly et al. developed the "DNA adductome" approach to detect DNA adducts comprehensively using high-performance liquid chromatography equipped with tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) [4]. The technique allows comprehensive monitoring of multiple types of DNA adducts that have different molecular weights even though their molecular structures are unknown. The technique can detect adducts in cellular DNA with extremely high sensitivity by comparing the "adductome maps" of treated and untreated cells, and is applicable to the analysis of DNA damage produced in various experimental protocols *in vivo* and *in vitro*.

In this study, we combined this adductome approach with the *in vitro* micronucleus (MN) test to examine whether adductome analysis is useful in regulatory science. Chinese hamster lung (CHL) cells were treated with representative MN-inducing compounds with different MOA, and the increase in the MN incidence was confirmed. Following chemical treatment with the identical condition to the MN test, DNA was extracted from the cells, and DNA adducts were measured by adductome analysis. DNA adducts should not be detected in cells treated with non-DNA-reacting compounds such as caffeine, maltol, and sodium chloride, whereas DNA adducts should be detected in cells treated with directly DNA-reacting compounds such as *N*-methyl-*N*′-nitro-*N*-nitrosoguanidine (MNNG) and 4-nitroquinoline-1-oxide (4-NQO). If the adductome analysis in the MN test condition is valid in this pilot study, newly found MN-positive compounds would be rapidly evaluated in terms of whether they are directly or indirectly reactive to DNA by adductome analysis, which may become a new standard method for the MOA evaluation of *in vitro* genotoxic compounds.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Test chemicals and reagents

Nine compounds were selected for the MN test and adductome analysis, which were classified into three categories: group A, carcinogens known to produce alkyl residues including ethylmethanesulfonate (EMS) and *N*-methyl-*N*′-nitro-*N*-nitrosoguanidine (MNNG); group B, carcinogens known to make bulky DNA adducts including 2-amino-6-phenyl-1-methylimidazo[4,5-b]pyrene (PhIP), benzo[*a*]pyrene (B[*a*]P), 7,12-dimethylbenz[*a*]anthracene (DMBA), and 4-nitroquinoline-1-oxide (4-NQO), and group C, non-carcinogens including caffeine, maltol, and sodium chloride (NaCl). EMS, B[*a*]P, 4-NQO, and caffeine were purchased from Sigma Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA), and the other chemicals were purchased from Wako Chemical (Osaka, Japan). They were dissolved in distilled water (DW), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), phosphate buffered saline (PBS), physiological saline (saline), or minimum essential medium with 10% calf serum (MEM), immediately before treatment (Table 1). The solvent for each test chemical was used as a negative control. If a chemical required metabolic activation to exert its genotoxicity, rat liver S9 mix, which was designed for the *in vitro* chromosomal aberration test (Kikkoman Corporation, Noda, Japan), was added simultaneously during the treatment period (Table 1).

O^6-methyl deoxyguanosine was purchased from Chemsyn Science Laboratories (Kansas, USA). N^7-methyl deoxyguanosine was synthesized according to the method reported by Yang et al. [5]. [$^{15}N_5$, $^{13}C_{10}$]-2-(2′-deoxyguanosine-8yl)-3-aminobenzanthrone ([$^{15}N_5$, $^{13}C_{10}$]-dG-8-ABA) was kindly supplied by Dr. Takamura of Kanagawa Institute of Technology. These compounds were used for chromatogram standards for the LC/ESI-MS/MS analysis.

2.2. Cells

CHL/IU cells were obtained from DS Pharma Biomedical Co. Ltd. (Osaka, Japan) and used in all experiments. The cells were maintained in Eagle's minimum essential medium (MEM; Nissui Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated (56 °C for 30 min) calf serum (CS; Hana-Nesco-Bio Co., Tokyo, Japan) in a 5%-CO_2 incubator at 37 °C.

2.3. MN test

The cells were seeded in ϕ60 mm plastic dishes at 1.6×10^4 cells/dish for the micronucleus tests. The cells were treated with the test chemicals for 6 h in the absence or presence of S9 mix followed by a 20-h recovery period (Fig. 1). Then, the cells were trypsinized and counted. Cytotoxicity was evaluated using the relative cell survival rate, which was defined as the number of chemical-treated cells divided by the number of solvent-treated cells. The cells were spun down and then resuspended in KCl hypotonic solution (75 mM) for 5 min at room temperature. The hypotonized cells were fixed twice in methanol:glacial acetic acid (3:1). Finally, the cells were suspended in methanol containing 1% acetic acid and dropped onto glass slides. After drying, the cells were stained with 0.04% acridine orange solution and subjected to microscopic examination. One thousand intact interphase cells were observed using a microscope, and the incidence of the MN cells was calculated. Fisher's exact test was performed for a statistical analysis.

2.4. DNA extraction

The cells were seeded in ϕ150 mm plastic dishes at 10×10^4 cells/dish for DNA extraction. The cells were treated with test chemicals for 6 h in the absence or presence of S9 mix (Fig. 1). The treatment was carried out with the same experimental protocol as the MN test. The cells were detached by trypsinization, and cellular DNA was extracted according to the method described previously [1]. Purified DNA was suspended in distilled water, and the DNA concentration was determined by measuring absorbance at 260 nm using a UV-vis spectrophotometer. An aliquot of DNA (100 μg) was transferred to a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube and subjected to evaporation.

2.5. Digestion of DNA samples

DNA was enzymatically hydrolyzed to nucleosides by the micrococcal nuclease/spleen phosphodiesterase (MCN/SPD) method or the nuclease P1 method as described below. In the MCN/SPD method, DNA (100 μg) was enzymatically hydrolyzed to 2′-deoxyribonucleoside-3′-monophosphates for 3 h at 37 °C by the addition of 45 μL of buffer (17 mM sodium succinate and 8 mM $CaCl_2$ at pH 6.0) and 9 μL of MCN/SPD mix consisting of 7.5 units/μL MCN (Worthington Biochemical, Lakewood, NJ) and 0.025 units/μL SPD (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Then, 3 units of alkaline phosphatase, 30 μL of 0.5 M Tris–HCl (pH 8.5), 15 μL of 20 mM $ZnSO_4$, and 200 μL of water were added and further incubated for 3 h at 37 °C.

In the nuclease P1 method, DNA (100 μg) was enzymatically hydrolyzed to 2′-deoxyribonucleoside-5′-monophosphates by the addition of 300 μL of buffer (30 mM sodium acetate at pH 5.3 and 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol), 15 μL of 20 mM $ZnSO_4$, 15 μL of water, 3 units of alkaline phosphatase (Wako, Osaka, Japan), and 6 units of nuclease P1 (Wako, Osaka, Japan) for 3 h at 37 °C. Then, 60 μL of 0.5 M Tris–HCl (pH 8.5) was added and incubated for another 3 h at 37 °C.

The digested samples were extracted twice with methanol. The resultant methanol fraction was completely evaporated, and the remaining 2′-deoxyribonucleosides were dissolved in 160 μL of 30% DMSO containing an internal standard (11.5 nM [$^{15}N_5$, $^{13}C_{10}$]-dG-8-ABA).

2.6. Adductome analysis by LC/ESI-MS/MS

The analysis was performed using the Shimadzu HPLC System (Shimadzu), which consists of LC-10ADvp bipumps, a SIL-10ADvp autosampler, a Shim-pack XR-ODS (3.0 mm × 75 mm, 2.2 μm, Shimadzu), and a SPD-10 ADvp UV–Vis detector. The HPLC mobile phases A and B were water and methanol, respectively. The HPLC flow rate was set at 0.2 mL/min. The HPLC gradient started at 5% B, was increased linearly to 80% B over 20 min, and returned to the initial condition over 1 min, which was maintained for a further 10 min. The HPLC system was interfaced with a Quattro Ultima Pt (Waters-Micromass) tandem quadrupole mass spectrometer with an electrospray interface. The temperature of the electrospray source was maintained at 130 °C, and the desolvation temperature was maintained at 380 °C. Nitrogen was used as the desolvation gas (700 L/h), and the cone gas was set to 30 L/h. The capillary voltage was set at 3.5 kV. The collision cell pressure and collision energy were set to 3.8×10^{-3} mBar and 15 eV, respectively. The adducts were analyzed by MS/MS using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). Ion transition was set at $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow [M+H-116]^+$, the [M+H] of which ranged from *m/z* 250 to *m/z* 702. The LC/ESI-MS/MS was set to monitor 32 ion transitions simultaneously in each injection and 10 μL of each sample was injected 15 times. The ion transitions for an internal standard (*m/z* 526 → *m/z* 405) were monitored in each injection. The absorbance at 254 nm was also monitored with a UV–Vis detector to monitor DNA digestion, and the peak area of 2′-deoxyguanosine (dG) was used for data analysis peak normalization as described below.

2.7. Data analysis

DNA adduct peaks were extracted by comparing chromatograms between the controls (solvent-treated samples) and chemical-treated samples using the following criteria: the signal to noise (S/N) ratio of the detected peak should be more than 3, and the peak area should be 3 times larger than the control peak. When a possible adduct peak was detected, a repeated MN test and adductome analysis were

Table 1
Summary of *in vitro* micronucleus tests.

Chemical	Solvent	Dose (μg/mL)	S9 mix	Cytotoxicity (relative cell survival) (%)	MN frequency (%)[b]	Control MN frequency (%)[b]
EMS	PBS	1000	–	101.0	10.15[c]	1.65[c]
MNNG	DMSO	2	–	98.5	13.25[c]	1.90[c]
PhIP	DMSO	12	+	79.5	16.00[c]	0.75[c]
B[a]P	DMSO	10	+	52.5	11.00[c]	1.25[c]
DMBA	DMSO	3	+	69.5	17.50[c]	0.75[c]
4-NQO	DMSO	0.5	–	62.1	5.90[c]	0.70[c]
Caffeine	DW	2000	–	92.7	4.35[c]	0.60[c]
Maltol	Saline	200	–	69.3	4.75[c]	0.55[c]
NaCl	MEM[a]	7500	–	85.2	4.00[c]	0.75[c]

[a] Culture medium (MEM supplemented with 10% CS).
[b] Mean of duplicate culture.
[c] $p < 0.001$ vs. controls by Fisher's exact test.

conducted to confirm reproducibility. The peak area was calculated using Masslynx version 4.0 (Waters) and normalized using the peak areas of dG and the internal standard (I.S.) as described by the following equation: Normalized peak area = (peak area of putative DNA adducts)/(dG area)/(I.S. area) × 10^7.

3. Results

3.1. Induction of micronucleated (MN) cells

The results from *in vitro* micronucleus tests with CHL/IU cells are summarized in Table 1. Since all test compounds are known to induce MN cells with various MOA in the presence or absence of S9-mix, the appropriate experimental conditions were determined in the present experiments. All test compounds induced significantly higher MN incidences (>4.0%) than the corresponding controls (solvents) at the concentrations giving higher than 50% cell survival. The incidence of MN cells in the negative control (solvent) ranged from 0.7 to 1.9%. The carcinogens, PhIP, B[*a*]P, and DMBA, significantly induced MN in the presence of S9-mix ($p < 0.001$), whereas other carcinogens, EMS, MNNG, and 4-NQO, and non-carcinogens, caffeine, maltol, and sodium chloride, induced MN in the absence of S9-mix ($p < 0.001$). These treatment conditions were used for the subsequent comprehensive DNA adductome analysis.

3.2. DNA adductome analysis

In the LC–MS/MS chromatograms of all samples derived from the cells treated with the 6 test carcinogens (groups A and B), putative DNA adduct peaks were detected. The detected peak molecular ion (*m/z*), retention times, normalized peak areas, and identified or presumed DNA adducts obtained from the chromatograms are summarized in Table 2. Among the test carcinogens, most adduct peaks were detected by both digestion methods; however, the PhIP-8-dG adduct was detected only by the nuclease P1 method, and the B[*a*]P and DMBA-induced DNA adducts were detected only by the MCN/SPD method. Non-carcinogens(group C) yielded no DNA adduct peaks, even under the conditions that showed positive results in the MN tests. The possible structures of some DNA adducts were estimated from their *m/z* according to the findings of previous reports (Fig. 2).

A representative chromatogram of *N*-methyl-*N*′-nitro-*N*-nitrosoguanidine (MNNG)-treated samples is shown in Fig. S1. Two peaks at *m/z* 282 corresponding to the molecular ion of methylated dG were detected in the MNNG-treated samples. The first peak (retention time: 7.6 min) was identified as N^7-methyl-2′-deoxyguanosine (N^7-methyl-dG), and the second peak (retention time: 13.7 min) was identified as O^6-methyl-2′-deoxyguanosine (O^6-methyl-dG) by comparison with the chromatograms of each standard substance.

For ethylmethanesulfonate (EMS), two peaks at *m/z* 296 were detected (Fig. S2), and the molecular ion corresponded to ethylated dG. The first and second peaks were thought to be N^7-ethyl-2′-deoxyguanosine (N^7-ethyl-dG) and O^6-ethyl-2′deoxyguanosine (O^6-ethyl-dG), respectively, because the amount and polarity of N^7-ethyl-dG would be higher than those of O^6-ethyl-dG [6].

For 2-amino-6-phenyl-1-methylimidazo[4,5-b]pyrene (PhIP), the peaks at *m/z* 450 and 490 were detected (Figs. S3 and S4), and the *m/z* 490 corresponded to *N*-(deoxyguanosin-8-yl)-PhIP (PhIP-8-dG).

For benzo[*a*]pyrene (B[*a*]P), two peaks at *m/z* 570 were detected (Fig. S5). These peaks were considered to be 10-(deoxyguanosine-N^2-yl)-7,8,9-trihydroxy-7,8,9,10-tetrahydrobenzo[*a*]pyrene (B[*a*]P-DE-N^2-dG).

For 7,12-dimethylbenz[*a*]anthracene (DMBA), 12 possible DNA adducts were detected (Figs. S6–S12).

For 4-nitroquinoline-1-oxide (4-NQO), several peaks were detected (Fig. S13–S16). The *m/z* 410 corresponded to 3-(deoxyadenosin-N^6-yl)-4-aminoquinoline 1-oxide (4-AQO-N^6-dA), and *m/z* 426 corresponded to 3-(deoxyguanosine-N^2-yl)-4-aminoquinoline 1-oxide (4-AQO-N^2-dG) and *N*-(deoxyguanosine-8-yl)-4-aminoquinoline 1-oxide (4-AQO-8-dG).

All adduct peaks with their *m/z*, retention times, and peak areas are illustrated in the adductome maps (Fig. 3).



Fig. 1. Schematic outline of the *in vitro* MN test and adductome analysis.

Table 2
Summary of adductome analysis.

Group	Chemical	Peak no.	m/z	RT (min)	Normalized peak area		Identified or presumed adducts
					MCN/SPD method	NucleaseP1 method	
A	MNNG	1	282	7.6	2163	2240	N^7-methyl-dG*
		2		13.7	157	158	O^6-methyl-dG*
	EMS	1	296	9.6	2994	5816	N^7-ethyl-dG
		2		16.0	33	235	O^6-ethyl-dG
B	PhIP	1	450	19.1	N.D.	5	–
		2	490	19.6	N.D.	16	PhIP-dG
	B[*a*]P	1	570	22.1	2	N.D.	B[*a*]P-DE-N^2-dG
		2		22.5	6	N.D.	
	DMBA	1	558	24.6	3	N.D.	DMBA-DE-dA
		2	572	19.3	8	N.D.	–
		3		21.4	10	N.D.	–
		4	574	19.3	5	N.D.	DMBA-DE-dG
		5		22S.7	13	N.D.	
		6		23.5	25	N.D.	
		7	590	17.6	12	N.D.	–
		8		18.1	17	N.D.	
		9	596	23.5	5	N.D.	Sodium adducts of No.6
		10	606	18.7	14	N.D.	–
		11		20.7	3	N.D.	–
		12	612	19.3	4	N.D.	–
	4NQO	1	371	14.2	7	4	–
		2	410	12.3	155	112	4-AQO-N^6-dA
		3		17.3	N.D.	6	
		4	426	14.2	4	3	4-AQO-N^2-dG or 4-AQO-8-dG
		5		14.7	4	24	
		6	456	14.6	4	12	–
C	Caffeine Maltol NaCl	No specific peak was detected					

"N.D." means "not detected". "–" represents unknown adduct.
Adducts with and without asterisk show "identified" and "presumed" adducts, respectively.

4. Discussion

In this study, we used the adductome approach to detect the DNA damage caused by the compounds that gave positive results in the MN test condition. Three categories of compounds with different MOA for MN induction were selected. All tested carcinogens were confirmed to form DNA adducts; in contrast, three non-carcinogens yielded no DNA adduct peaks.

In the group A compounds consisting of DNA alkylating agents, O^6- and N^7-methyl-dG and O^6- and N^7-ethyl-dG were detected in the MNNG- and EMS-treated cells, respectively. Although N^3-methyl-dA and N^3-ethyl-dG have been found in other chromatographic analyses [6,7], these adducts were not detected in this adductome analysis, which was probably due to their instability. Another minor lesion, 1-methyl dG, was not detected because its amount was considered to be lower than the detection limit. These results indicate that alkylation of O^6 and N^7 positions of dG would be proof of DNA damage by the group A compounds in the MN-positive experimental condition.

In the group B compounds producing DNA bulky adducts, each compound yielded at least two DNA adduct peaks in the adductome analysis. PhIP yielded two peaks at m/z 450 and 490; the former peak is one of unidentified minor adducts [8], but the latter peak is coincident with PhIP-8-dG, the major adduct formed through a reactive intermediate *N*-acetoxy-PhIP [8]. B[*a*]P yielded two peaks at m/z 570, which are coincident with the molecular ions of the major adducts B[*a*]P-DE-N^2-dG consisting of four types of stereoisomers [9]. DMBA yielded twelve possible adduct peaks, which agrees with the report showing at least eight DNA adducts induced by DMBA with the ^{32}P-post-labeling analysis [11]. Three DMBA-induced peaks at m/z 574 would be stereoisomers of the DMBA-dG adduct, and a peak at m/z 558 is coincident with the molecular ion of DMBA-dA, but other peaks are unknown adducts. Six possible DNA adduct peaks were detected in the 4-NQO-treated cellular DNA. Two peaks at m/z 410 and 426 correspond to 4-AQO-dG and 4-AQO-dA adducts, respectively, in which several types of 4-NQO binding to C8, N^2, and N^6 of dG and dA are included [12–15], and other peaks cannot be identified because 4-NQO produces various base lesions with different half-life periods [16,17]. These results indicate that the adductome analysis can detect various types of DNA bulky adducts that were identified with the existing methods by other investigators. The efficiency of the adduct peak detection is different between nuclease P1 and MCN/SPD digestion methods in each compound because their enzyme activities on adducted-base excision would vary dependent on the adduct structures. The use of both digestion methods is necessary to detect DNA adducts when new chemicals are tested.

None of the group C compounds, caffeine, maltol, and sodium chloride, which are non-carcinogens but known to produce MN, yielded adduct peaks. Caffeine may interact with DNA repair enzymes and/or nucleotide precursor pools [19], and shows positive results in various genotoxicity tests [18]. Despite a great number of investigations over the past 50 years, the MOA of these compounds is not well understood. The cytotoxic effect of maltol can be explained by its pro-oxidant properties; the maltol/metal complex generates reactive oxygen species (ROS) causing the production of hydroxyl radicals and leading to the formation of DNA base adducts [20]. However, no ROS-related DNA adducts were detected in the present analysis. Sodium chloride increased the incidence of MN cells at extremely high concentrations (c.a. 128 mM). Hyperosmotic medium can cause chromosomal aberrations in CHO cells, mutations at the TK locus in L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells, and at the HPRT locus in V79 cells [21]. However, the mechanisms by which abnormalities are induced in cells subjected to high osmotic pressure are unknown. Although the failure to detect DNA adducts with the non-carcinogens does not mean necessarily that DNA adducts were not formed, DNA adductome is the promising



Fig. 2. Structures of DNA adducts estimated from their detected *m/z* values indicated in Table 2. The structures of DMBA-DE-N^6-dG and DMBA-DE-N^2-dG were estimated by the adduction pattern of other PAH compounds.

approach to distinguish false-positive genotoxic compounds from MN-positive compounds. The reliability of this approach will be improved more if the sensitivity of LC/MS/MS equipment is increased and the adductome protocol is more sophisticated.

In summary, with the conditions in which the test compounds significantly increased the frequency of MN cells, only carcinogens (groups A and B) yielded adduct peaks as expected (Table 2 and Fig. 3). The advantages of this adductome approach are as follows: (1) multiple types of DNA adducts can be detected comprehen-



Fig. 3. DNA adductome maps of MN test positive carcinogens. CHL/IU cells were treated with Group A chemicals (carcinogens causing DNA alkylation) or Group B chemicals (carcinogens producing bulky DNA adducts), and the extracted DNA was digested by the MCN/SPD method (MNNG, EMS, B[*a*]P, DMBA) or nuclease P1 method (4-NQO and PhIP). The size of each bubble represents the "normalized peak area" shown in Table 2. Group A chemicals: EMS, pink; MNNG, brown. Group B chemicals: PhIP, blue; B[*a*]P, red; DMBA, yellow; 4-NQO, green. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

sively, (2) the structures of the detected adducts can be identified from their *m/z* and their analytical standards, and (3) various experimental designs can be applied to both *in vitro* and *in vivo* samples. These experimental features resolve some limitations of the existing methods for analyzing DNA adduct formation.

This study is a pilot experiment to confirm the usefulness of the adductome approach to detect DNA adduct s produced by the compounds showing positive results in the MN test with different MOA. This approach enables detection of various types of DNA adducts formed by typical carcinogens, and does not enable detection of any adducts for non-carcinogens. We conclude that the adductome approach would be applicable to assess the DNA-damaging capability of many types of *in vitro* MN test-positive compounds, and also be useful for understanding MOA of the test compounds.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research was performed as a cooperative research project among three institutions, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation, Kyoto University, and Osaka Prefecture University, which was supported by a fund from Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.mrgentox.2010.11.012.

References

[1] D. Kirkland, M. Aardema, L. Henderson, L. Müller, Evaluation of the ability of a battery of 3 *in vitro* genotoxicity tests to descriminate rodent carcinogens and non-carcinogens. I. Sensitivity, specificity and relative predictivity, Mutat. Res. 584 (2005) 1–256.

[2] G.M. Williams, J. Whysner, Epigenetic carcinogens: evaluation and risk assessment, Exp. Toxicol. Pathol. 48 (1996) 189–195.

[3] D.H. Phillips, P.B. Farmer, F.A. Beland, R.G. Nath, M.C. Poirier, M.V. Reddy, K.W. Turteltaub, Methods of DNA adduct determination and their application to testing compounds for genotoxicity, Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 25 (2000) 222–233.

[4] R.A. Kanaly, T. Hanaoka, H. Sugimura, H. Toda, S. Matsui, T. Matsuda, Development of the adductome approach to detect DNA damage in humans, Antioxid. Redox Signal. 8 (2006) 993–1001.

[5] Y. Yang, D. Mikolic, S.M. Swanson, R.B. van Breeman, Quantitative determination of N7-methyldeoxyguanosine and O6-methyldeoxyguanosine in DNA by LC–UV–MS–MS, Anal. Chem. 74 (2002) 5376–5382.

[6] D.T. Beranek, Distribution of methyl and ethyl adducts following alkylation with monofunctional alkylating agents, Mutat. Res. 231 (1990) 11–30.

[7] P.D. Lowley, C.J. Thatcher, Methylation of deoxyribonucleic acid in cultured mammalian cells by *N*-methyl-*N'*-nitro-*N*-nitrosoguanidine, Biochem. J. 116 (1970) 693–707.

[8] D. Lin, K.R. Kaderlik, R.J. Turesky, D.W. Miller, J.O. Lay Jr., F.F. Kadlubar, Identification of *N*-(deoxyguanosin-8-yl)-2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine as the major adduct Formed by the food-borne carcinogen, 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine, with DNA, Chem. Res. Toxicol. 5 (1992) 691–697.

[9] Q. Ruan, H.H. Kim, H. Jiang, T.M. Penning, R.G. Harvey, I.A. Blair, Quantification of benzo[a]pyrene diol epoxide DNA-adducts by stable isotope dilution liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 20 (2006) 1369–1380.

[11] K.W. Singletary, H.M. Parker, J.A. Milner, Identification and *in vivo* formation of 32P-postlabeled rat mammary DMBA-DNA adducts, Carcinogenesis 11 (1990) 1959–1963.

[12] S. Galiègue-Zoutina, B. Bailleul, M. Loucheux-Lefevre, Adducts from *in vivo* auction of the carcinogen 4-hydroxyaminoquinoline 1-oxide in rats and from *in vitro* reaction of 4-acetoxyaminoquinoline 1-oxide with DNA and polynucleotides, Cancer Res. 45 (1985) 520–525.

[13] B. Bailleul, S. Galiègue, M. Loucheux-Lefebre, Adducts from the Reaction of O, O'-diacetyl or o-acetyl derivatives of the carcinogen 4-hydroxyaminoquinoline 1-oxide with purine nucleosides, Cancer Res. 41 (1981) 4559–4565.

[14] B. Bailleul, S. Galiègue-Zoutina, B. Perly, M. Loucheux-Lefebre, Structural identification of the purine ring-opened form of *N*-(deoxyguanosine-8yl)-4-aminoquinoline 1-oxide, Carcinogenesis 6 (1985) 319–332.

[15] S. Galiègue-Zoutina, B. Bailleul, Y. Ginot, B. Perly, P. Vigny, M. Loucheux-Lefebre, N2-guanyl and N6-adenyl arylation of chicken erythrocyte DNA by the ultimate carcinogen of 4-nitroquinoline 1-oxide, Cancer Res. 46 (1986) 1858–1863.

[16] Y. Arima, C. Nishigori, T. Takeuchi, S. Oka, K. Morimoto, A. Utani, Y. Miyachi, 4-nitroquinoline 1-oxide forms 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine in human fibroblasts through reactive oxygen species, Toxicol. Sci. 91 (2006) 382–392.

[17] T. Hofer, C. Badouard, E. Bajak, J. Ravanat, Å. Mattsson, I.A. Cotgreave, Hydrogen peroxide causes greater oxidation in cellular RNA than DNA, Biol. Chem. 386 (1995) 333–337.

[18] H.S. Rosenkranz, F.K. Ennever, Evaluation of the genotoxicity of theobromine and caffeine, Food Chem. Toxicol. 25 (1987) 247–251.

[19] W.U. Müller, T. Bauch, A. Wojcik, W. Böcker, C. Streffer, Comet assay studies indicate that caffeine-mediated increase in radiation risk of embryos is due to inhibition of DNA repair, Mutagenesis 11 (1996) 57–60.

[20] K. Murakami, K. Ishida, K. Watanabe, R. Tsubouchi, M. Haneda, M. Yoshino, Prooxidant action of maltol: role of transition metals in the generation of reactive oxygen species and enhanced formation of 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine formation in DNA, Biometals 19 (2006) 253–257.

[21] S.M. Galloway, D.A. Deasy, C.L. Bean, A.R. Kraynak, M.J. Armstrong, M.O. Bradley, Effects of high osmotic strength on chromosome aberrations, sister-chromatid exchanges and DNA strand breaks, and the relation to toxicity, Mutat. Res. 189 (1987) 15–25.