CASE REPORT

Perfusion method for bone marrow cell collection in poor mobilizer lymphoma patient

Shinichiro Mori · Shinya Fujita · Yoshihisa Yamamoto ·
Ming Li · Shirou Fukuhara · Shosaku Nomura ·
Susumu Ikehara

Abstract We previously described a unique procedure for the collection of bone marrow cells (BMCs) using a perfusion method (PM). In cynomolgus monkeys, this method resulted in lower contamination with T cells (<10%). Here, we performed PM on a poor mobilizer lymphoma patient. We confirmed the safety of the intra-bone marrow injection of saline to collect the BMCs. The collected BMCs showed minimal contamination with T cells (<15%) and red blood cells (RBCs) (<4%) from the peripheral blood. It took a total of only 30 min to collect the BMCs. Moreover, transfusion of RBCs was unnecessary. There were no relevant post-operative side effects except for self-limiting pain at the sites of collection, and the patient was able to walk around the hospital after the operation.

Keywords Bone marrow cell · Perfusion method · Poor mobilizer · Aspiration method · Malignant lymphoma

S. Mori · S. Fujita · Y. Yamamoto · S. Fukuhara · S. Nomura
The First Department of Internal Medicine,
Kansai Medical University, 10-15 Fumizono-cho,
Moriguchi, Osaka 570-8506, Japan
e-mail: morish@takii.kmu.ac.jp

S. Fujita
e-mail: fujitas@takii.kmu.ac.jp

Y. Yamamoto
e-mail: yamamoto.yoshihisa@gmail.com

S. Fukuhara
e-mail: fukuhara@matsutani.or.jp

S. Nomura
e-mail: nomurash@hirakata.kmu.ac.jp

M. Li · S. Ikehara (✉)
Department of Stem Cell Disorders, Kansai Medical University,
10-15 Fumizono-cho, Moriguchi, Osaka 570-8506, Japan
e-mail: ikehara@takii.kmu.ac.jp

M. Li
e-mail: liming@takii.kmu.ac.jp

1 Introduction

In spite of the enormous advances in medical technology, the procedure for collecting bone marrow cells (BMCs) has not changed in the past 40 years [1]. Therefore, healthy donors have been exposed to anesthetic procedures, blood loss, and multiple needle punctures, resulting in damage to the pelvis. We have carried out extensive experiments on monkeys to establish a novel method for BMC collection that would allow the burden on donors to be reduced [2–4].

The novel method is called the "perfusion method" (PM), while the conventional method is called the "aspiration method" (AM). In the PM, there was minimal contamination of T cells and red blood cells (RBCs) with the peripheral blood (PB) in monkeys. In addition, the PM allowed us to enrich the hemopoietic stem cells (HSCs) [4]. Recently, in China, we demonstrated that BMCs could be successfully collected using the PM even from a healthy relative (donor) of a thalassemia patient (recipient) [5].

In this paper, we discuss the use of the PM for a poor mobilizer patient with recurrent lymphoma.

2 Case presentation

A 58-year-old male patient (60 kg BW) was diagnosed with diffuse large B cell lymphoma in September 2004. He received chemotherapy with 8 cycles of R-CHOP. He achieved his 1 complete remission (CR). However, in February 2006, he relapsed. He received three cycles of R-ESHAP, achieving his 2 CR. Therefore, we attempted to collect peripheral blood stem cells (PBSCs) following R-ESHAP. However, this collection was not successful due to the insufficient number of CD34$^+$ cells (<0.5 × 10^6/kg). After 3 months, we attempted to collect PBSCs using

cyclophosphamide 2 g/m^2 for 2 days. However, we again failed to collect PBSCs. From this result, the patient was diagnosed as a poor mobilizer, and BMC collection was proposed as an alternative source. Our study, including the use of the new PM collection method for poor mobilizer patients, had been approved by our institutional Ethics Committee. The patient gave written informed consent before starting the protocol. We confirmed that there was no bone marrow (BM) involvement before the operation.

Having taken these steps, we used the PM to collect BMCs under general anesthesia in December 2006. As shown in Fig. 1, one BM puncture needle was inserted into the posterior superior iliac spine, and the other needle was inserted into the iliac spine approximately 3–5 cm along the iliac crest from the first needle. The first needle was connected to a syringe containing 30 ml of saline, and the

Fig. 1 Perfusion method for BMC collection. One BM puncture needle is inserted into the posterior superior iliac spine, and the other needle is inserted into the iliac spine 3–5 cm from the first needle along the iliac crest. The first needle is connected to a syringe containing 30 ml saline. The second needle is connected to syringe containing heparin (0.5 ml, 10 U/ml). The saline is then pushed gently from the syringe into the medullary cavity to collect the BM fluid in the syringe containing heparin. This procedure is repeated 3 times bilaterally. This procedure is then performed in reverse bilaterally. Thus, the BMCs are collected using the perfusion method for a total of 12 times

other needle was connected to a syringe containing heparin (0.5 ml, 10 U/ml). The saline was then pushed gently from the syringe into the medullary cavity to collect the BM fluid in the syringe containing heparin. This procedure was repeated 3 times bilaterally and then performed in reverse. Table 1 shows the characterization of BMCs collected using the PM. Of note is that there was minimal contamination of the BMCs with the PB: Ht was approximately 3%, and CD4$^+$ or CD8$^+$ cells were less than 10% each. We collected a total of 390 ml of BM fluids. There were 0.13 × 10^8/kg total nucleated cells and 0.12 × 10^6/kg CD34$^+$ cells. These results indicated that BMCs collected using the PM contain about 10% of the threshold number of progenitors for transplantation. It took a total of only 30 min to collect the BMCs. Moreover, there was no requirement for the transfusion of RBCs. After the operation, there were no relevant side effects except for self-limiting pain at the sites of collection, and the patient could walk around the hospital after the operation. However, we failed to collect sufficient numbers of BMCs, and the patient therefore continued to receive the conventional salvage chemotherapy and radiotherapy after our study.

3 Discussion

Based on our previous data [2–4] using more than 100 cynomolgus monkeys, we have here provided the first report suggesting the safety and usefulness of the PM for a poor mobilizer lymphoma patient. There were neither accidents nor side effects other than mild self-limiting pain at the site of collection, and the patient returned almost to baseline health status very quickly. We also double-checked the patient's data and physical status before and after the PM operation to confirm that there were no remarkable changes. The results suggested that infusing saline directly into the BM cavity does not affect the cardiovascular system or the environment of the marrow. The procedure also involves minimal blood loss and avoids the multiple needle punctures that can damage the pelvic structure. In particular, our method showed 1/10th the

Table 1 Characterization of BMCs harvested by PM from a "poor mobilizer" patient

Source	Cell count (no.) (×10^8)	CD34$^+$ (no.) (×10^6)	CD34$^+$ (%)	Ht (%)	CD4 (%)	CD8 (%)	CD14 (%)	CD19 (%)
PBL (healthy volunteer)					21.3	12.8	6.2	3.6
BM								
Forward	2.8	3.3	1.17	2.5	4.88	9.26	6.43	2.11
Reverse	3.2	3.9	1.22	3.8	5.15	10.56	8.02	2.09
Mean	3	3.6	1.20	3.2	4.99	9.91	7.22	2.1

Total cell counts: 2 × 10^6/ml × 400 ml = 0.8 × 10^9

amount of RBC contamination of the collected BMCs than the conventional AM.

Unfortunately, however, this study resulted in a poor cell yield. Little is known about why certain patients are poor PBSC mobilizers. Previous reports have also indicated that conventional BMC collection does not provide any clinical benefit on second-line stem cell collection in poor PBSC mobilizers [6, 7]. Therefore, the low yield of BMCs may be because the BM HSCs had been exhausted due to hematological toxicity from intensive chemotherapy.

We believe that the PM is far superior to the AM for the following reasons:

1. The PM reduces the burden on donors.

   (i) Shortens the time required for the collection of BMCs (4 h → 30 min).
   (ii) Attenuates the back pain in donors because of the reduction in the number of bone holes required (200 vs. 8).

2. The PM allows pure BMCs [including HSCs and mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs)] to be harvested due to the reduced contamination with the PB.

   (i) Reduces T cell percentages (>20 vs. <10%). The incidence of GvHD in the PM is far lower in the AM. We have recently provided evidence that rabbits treated with PM + BMT (IBM-BMT) show significantly longer survival than rabbits treated with AM + BMT [8].
   (ii) Reduces Ht values (35% → 4%). No necessity to remove RBCs.

In conclusion, the PM was well tolerated in this poor mobilizer lymphoma patient, and our novel approach may ameliorate the burden on bone marrow transplantation donors. At present, we are attempting to investigate how the BMC yield can be increased using the PM in healthy donors.

References

1. Thomas ED, Storb R. Technique for human marrow grafting. Blood. 1970;36:507–15.
2. Kushida T, Inaba M, Ikebukuro K, Ngahama T, Oyaizu H, Lee S, et al. A new method for bone marrow cell harvesting. Stem Cells. 2000;18:453–6.
3. Kushida T, Inaba M, Ikebukuro K, Ichioka N, Esumi T, Oyaizu H, et al. Comparison of bone marrow cells harvested from various bones of cynomolgus monkeys at various ages by perfusion or aspiration methods: a preclinical study for human BMT. Stem Cells. 2002;20:155–62.
4. Inaba M, Adachi Y, Hisha H, Hosaka N, Maki M, Ueda Y, et al. Extensive studies on perfusion method plus intra-bone marrow-bone marrow transplantation using cynomolgus monkeys. Stem Cells. 2007;25:2098–103.
5. Li C, He Y, Feng X, Inaba M, Adachi Y, Takada K, et al. An innovative approach to bone marrow collection and transplantation in a patient with beta-thalassemia major: marrow collection using a perfusion method followed by intra-bone marrow injection of collected bone marrow cells. Int J Hematol. 2007;85:73–7.
6. Goterris R, Hernandez-Boluda JC, Teruel A, Gomez C, Lis MJ, Terol MJ, et al. Impact of different strategies of second-line stem cell harvest on the outcome of autologous transplantation in poor peripheral blood stem cell mobilizers. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2005;36:847–53.
7. Watts MJ, Sullivan AM, Leverett D, Peniket AJ, Perry AR, Williams CD, et al. Back-up bone marrow is frequently ineffective in patients with poor peripheral-blood stem-cell mobilization. J Clin Oncol. 1998;16:1554–60.
8. Cui Y, Nakamura S, Shi M, Feng W, Cui W, Guo K, et al. A successful haploidentical bone marrow transplantation method in rabbits: perfusion method plus intra-bone marrow-bone marrow transplantation. Transpl Immunol. 2010;24:33–9.

Outcome of unrelated umbilical cord blood transplantation in 88 patients with primary immunodeficiency in Japan

Tomohiro Morio,[1] Yoshiko Atsuta,[2] Daisuke Tomizawa,[1] Tokiko Nagamura-Inoue,[3] Koji Kato,[4] Tadashi Ariga,[5] Keisei Kawa,[6] Kazutoshi Koike,[7] Hisamichi Tauchi,[8] Michiko Kajiwara,[9] Toshiro Hara,[10] and Shunichi Kato[11] for the Japanese Cord Blood Bank Network

[1]*Department of Paediatrics and Developmental Biology, Tokyo Medical and Dental University Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Tokyo,* [2]*Department of Haematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation Data Management/Biostatistics, Nagoya University School of Medicine, Nagoya,* [3]*Department of Cell Processing and Transfusion, Research Hospital, Institute of Medical Science, University of Tokyo and Tokyo Cord Blood Bank, Tokyo,* [4]*Department of Paediatrics, Japanese Red Cross Nagoya First Hospital, Nagoya,* [5]*Department of Paediatrics, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine, Sapporo,* [6]*Department of Haematology/Oncology, Osaka Medical Centre and Research Institute for Maternal and Child Health, Osaka,* [7]*Department of Paediatric Haematology, Ibaraki Children's Hospital, Ibaraki,* [8]*Department of Paediatrics, Ehime University School of Medicine, Ehime,* [9]*Department of Blood Transfusion, Tokyo Medical and Dental University Medical Hospital, Tokyo,* [10]*Department of Paediatrics, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, and* [11]*Department of Cell Transplantation and Regenerative Medicine, Tokai University School of Medicine, Isehara, Japan*

Summary

We report the results of umbilical cord blood transplantation (UCBT) performed in 88 patients with primary immunodeficiency (PID) between 1998 and 2008 in Japan; severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID, $n = 40$), Wiskott–Aldrich syndrome (WAS, $n = 23$), chronic granulomatous disease ($n = 7$), severe congenital neutropaenia (SCN, $n = 5$) and other immunodeficiencies ($n = 13$). Five-year overall survival (5-year OS) for all patients was 69% [95% confidence interval (CI), 57–78%], and was 71% and 82% for SCID and WAS, respectively. The main cause of death before day 100 was infection (17/19), while that after day 100 was graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) (5/7). Using multivariate analyses, pre-transplant infection, no conditioning, ≥2 human leucocyte antigen (HLA) mismatches or diagnosis other than SCID, SCN or WAS were all associated with poor prognosis. Reduced-intensity conditioning was associated with decreased overall mortality compared with myeloablative therapy. The cumulative incidence of grade 2–4 acute GVHD at day 100 was 28% (95% CI, 19–38%), and that of chronic GVHD at day 180 was 13% (95% CI, 7–23%). We conclude that UCBT should be considered for PID patients without an HLA-matched sibling. The control of pre-transplant infection and selection of HLA-matched donors will lead to a better outcome.

Keywords: primary immunodeficiency, severe combined immunodeficiency, Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome, cord blood transplantation, reduced-intensity conditioning.

Allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) has been successfully used as a curative therapy for most severe forms of primary immunodeficiency (PID) (Zeidler *et al*, 2000; Antoine *et al*, 2003; Sakata *et al*, 2004; Rao *et al*, 2005; Kobayashi *et al*, 2006; Mazzolari *et al*, 2007; Dvorak & Cowan, 2008; Griffith *et al*, 2008; Cuvelier *et al*, 2009). Stem cell transplantation from a human leucocyte antigen (HLA)-identical family donor provides better prognosis than bone marrow transplantation from an unrelated donor (Antoine *et al*, 2003). Survival with this type of transplantation from a matched unrelated donor has improved significantly over the years in patients with severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID), whereas no improvement in survival has been observed with this transplantation in non-SCID patients (Antoine *et al*, 2003). The optimal stem cell source for PID patients with no HLA-identical sibling remains to be determined (Dvorak & Cowan, 2008; Griffith *et al*, 2008; Cuvelier *et al*, 2009).

Umbilical cord blood grafts from unrelated donors have been successfully used, primarily in children and subsequently in adults (Kurtzberg *et al*, 1996; Wagner *et al*, 1996; Gluckman *et al*, 1997; Rubinstein *et al*, 1998; Rocha *et al*, 2000, 2004; Laughlin *et al*, 2004). Theoretically, unrelated cord blood transplantation (UCBT) has the following distinct advantages in PID patients: (i) the cord blood product is rapidly accessible in most cases; (ii) the incidence and severity of graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) is not excessive, even in mismatched transplantation and (iii) the risk of latent viral transmission is low. The disadvantages of UCBT include slower haematopoietic/immunological reconstitution and graft failure, which have been observed with UCBT for malignant disorders, and naivety of lymphocytes to pathogens (Brown *et al*, 2008; Griffith *et al*, 2008; Szabolcs *et al*, 2008). Rapid immune reconstitution is particularly important in PID patients with ongoing infection who undergo UCBT.

The limited data available show that UCBT can be a curative measure in patients with SCID, Wiskott–Aldrich syndrome (WAS), chronic granulomatous disease (CGD) and severe congenital neutropaenia (SCN) (Knutsen & Wall, 2000; Bhattacharya *et al*, 2003, 2005; Fagioli *et al*, 2003; Knutsen *et al*, 2003; Kobayashi *et al*, 2006). Most of the available data have come from a single centre, and thus, detailed information on the outcome and problems associated with UCBT in PID patients is still lacking. In this study, we report the results of UCBT performed in 88 PID patients between 1998 and 2008 in Japan.

Methods

Collection of data

All UCBTs carried out for PIDs through the Japan Cord Blood Bank Network (JCBBN) between August 1998 and January 2008 was enrolled in this study. Eighty-eight patients with PID underwent UCBT during this period. All data were provided by JCBBN, which collects recipients' clinical information at day 100 after transplantation. Recipients' data on survival, disease status and long-term complications are renewed annually by administering follow-up questionnaires. Latest data acquisition was performed in November 2009. The present study was approved by the institutional ethical and data management committees of JCBBN.

Patients

A summary of patients enrolled in this study is shown in Table I. Forty patients had SCID (45%) and 48 (55%) had non-SCID. Patients with familial haemophagocytic syndrome were not included in this study. The median age at the time of transplantation was 10 months (range, 0–248 months).

Procedures

Cryopreserved, unrelated cord blood cells were used as the source of haematopoietic stem cells. The type of conditioning used and median cell dose infused are shown in Table I.

In most cases, HLA matching was performed by both serological and DNA typing for HLA-A, HLA-B and HLA-DRB1. In this study, HLA mismatch was defined according to serological or low-resolution molecular typing for HLA-A and HLA-B and high-resolution molecular typing for HLA-DRB1. Of the UCB donors, 29 (33%) were HLA fully compatible. Of the mismatched donors, 40 (45%) were 1-antigen mismatched, 15 (17%) were 2-antigen mismatched and four (5%) were 3-antigen mismatched (Table I). In 48 patients in whom high-resolution genotypical typing was performed for HLA-A, HLA-B and HLA-DRB1, 11 were fully matched, 13 were 1-antigen mismatched, 16 were 2-antigen mismatched, five were 3-antigen mismatched and three were 4-antigen mismatched.

Immunosuppressive prophylaxis against GVHD after UCBT consisted of ciclosporin A (CyA)- and tacrolimus-based regimens in 48 and 35 patients, respectively. Five patients were not administered any immunosuppressive drug after UCBT.

Various techniques including karyotyping, HLA typing and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization for the XY chromosome and variable number of tandem repeats were used to confirm the engraftment of donor cells.

Definitions

Neutrophil recovery was defined by an absolute neutrophil count of at least $0.5 \times 10^9/l$ for three consecutive days. Platelet recovery was defined by a count of at least $20 \times 10^9/l$, unsupported by transfusion for 7 d. Reticulocyte recovery was defined by a count of at least 20‰.

Patients without conditioning or with only anti-thymocyte globulin (ATG) were categorized as receiving no conditioning. Patients administered busulfan (BU)/cyclophosphamide (CY) ± total body irradiation (TBI) or total lymphoid irradiation

Table I. Age at the time of transplantation, type of conditioning and HLA disparity.

	Patients (N)	Median age at transplantation (months) (range)	Median cell dose (×10⁷/kg) (range)	Second or third transplantation (N)	Conditioning			HLA disparity			
					No (N)	RIC (N)	MAT (N)	0 (N)	1 (N)	2 (N)	3 (N)
Total	88	9 (0–248)	8·60 (1·89–31·1)	8	14	31	43	29	40	15	4
SCID	40	6·5 (0–27)	11·4 (4·55–31·1)	1	12	18	10	17	15	5	3
WAS	23	14 (4–84)	6·49 (2·89–13·6)	1	0	2	21	7	10	6	0
CGD	7	63 (31–248)	6·00 (1·89–12·3)	5	1	4	2	2	4	1	0
SCN	5	10 (4–124)	5·99 (4·16–9·19)	0	0	1	4	1	4	0	0
Others	13	37 (6–194)	8·11 (3·01–19·8)	1	1	6	6	2	7	3	1

RIC, reduced-intensity conditioning; MAT, myeloablative therapy. Definition of conditioning regimens are described in *Methods* section. 'Others' include four CD40L deficiency, two common variable immunodeficiency and one of each of the following disorders: Major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II deficiency, DiGeorge syndrome, X-linked lymphoproliferative disorder, NEMO (NF-κ-B essential modulator) deficiency, IPEX (immunodysregulation polyendocrinopathy enteropathy X-linked) syndrome, Idiopathic CD4 lymphopenia and Blau syndrome.

(TLI), BU/CY + ATG ± TLI, BU/CY + fludarabine (Flu) or CY/etoposide/high-dose cytarabine were categorized as receiving myeloablative therapies (MATs). CY dose ranged from 120 to 240 mg/kg (median, 200 mg/kg) in patients receiving MAT.

TBI < 4 Gy was classified as 'low-dose TBI'. Patients administered Flu/melphalan (L-PAM) ± low-dose TBI or TLI, Flu/BU ± TLI or Flu/CY (50–60 mg/kg) ± low-dose TBI/TLI, Flu + low-dose TBI or Flu + ATG were categorized as receiving reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC). L-PAM dose was ≤140 mg/m² in patients receiving RIC.

GVHD was graded according to the standard criteria (Przepiorka *et al*, 1995).

Statistical analyses

The probability of survival was estimated by the product-limit method, and the log-rank test was used for group comparisons. Cumulative incidence curves were used in a competing-risks setting to calculate the probability of neutrophil, platelet and reticulocyte recovery and that of acute and chronic GVHD. Death before recovery was the competing event for haematological recovery, and death without GVHD was the competing event for GVHD. Gray's test was used for group comparisons of cumulative incidence (Gray, 1988; Gooley *et al*, 1999). The Cox regression model was used to analyse data for the identification of prognostic factors. Factors found to be significant ($P < 0·05$) or marginally significant ($P < 0·1$) in univariate analysis were included in multivariate analysis. The variables considered were patient age at the time of transplantation, diagnosis, duration from diagnosis to transplantation, second or third transplantation, HLA disparity, presence of infection at the time of transplantation, conditioning regimen and cell dose infused. Variables with >2 categories were included in the final model using dichotomized dummy variables when at least one of the categories showed significant effect on survival. Continuous variables were dichotomized for the prognostic factor analyses. Variables were dichotomized as follows; patient age greater or

<12 months at transplantation, dichotomized at a median nucleic cell dose of <8·2 × 10⁷/kg vs. ≥8·2 × 10⁷/kg and CD34 cell dose of <2·1 × 10⁵/kg and ≥2·1 × 10⁵/kg, shorter than or equal to or longer than 180 d for time to transplant. All *P*-values were two-sided.

Results

Engraftment

Sixty-seven patients (76%) achieved stable engraftment. The cumulative incidence of neutrophil, platelet and reticulocyte recovery at day 100 after transplantation was 77% [95% confidence interval (CI), 66–85%], 56% (95% CI, 45–65%) and 64% (95% CI, 53–73%) respectively (Fig 1A, B; data not shown). The median time for neutrophil, platelet and reticulocyte recovery was 19 d (range, 9–104 d), 40 d (range, 10–122 d) and 27 d (range, 12–98 d), respectively. The cumulative incidences of neutrophil recovery were not statistically different among the disease groups (SCID, 74%; WAS, 91% and others, 68% at day 100 after transplantation) (Fig 1C), although incidence was low in CGD patients ($N = 7$, 43%).

The time required for neutrophil recovery was similar in all disease groups, while that required for platelet recovery varied to some extent among the different disease groups. Platelet engraftment was slightly delayed in WAS patients, but the time required for engraftment in these patients was not significantly different from that required in other patients (Fig 1D).

Forty-three, 31 and 14 patients received MAT, RIC and no conditioning, respectively. No difference was observed in the incidence of neutrophil recovery between the MAT and RIC groups (84% vs. 87% at day 100). Similarly, no difference was observed in platelet recovery between these two groups (data not shown).

The cell dose infused ranged from 1·89 to 31·1 × 10⁷/kg, with a median of 8·60 × 10⁷/kg. No correlation was observed between the cell dose infused and engraftment.

Fig 1. Cumulative incidence of neutrophil and platelet recovery after UCBT. (A) The cumulative incidence of neutrophil recovery 77% (95% CI, 66–85%). (B) The cumulative incidence of platelet recovery 56% (95% CI, 45–65%). The cumulative incidence of neutrophil (C) and platelet (D) recovery according to disease category is shown.


Fig 2. Kaplan–Meier estimates of overall survival after umbilical cord transplantation.

Five of 21 patients with engraftment failure received a second transplantation. Two WAS patients achieved successful engraftment in the second transplantation, while one SCID and two CGD patients did not survive the second transplantation. Only two of the remaining 16 patients who rejected the UCB graft remained alive at the latest data analysis.

Survival and causes of death

Of the 88 PID patients who underwent UCBT, 62 remained alive at the latest follow-up. Five-year OS for all patients was 69% (95% CI, 57–78%) (Fig 2), while that for SCID and WAS patients was 71% and 82%, respectively. All five SCN patients

remained alive, although one patient had rejected the graft on day 79 after UCBT. Three of seven CGD patients survived UCBT; this low survival rate may be due to the fact that UCBT was selected in five patients after the first or second failed bone marrow transplantation (BMT). Seven of 14 patients categorized as 'other diseases' remained alive at the latest follow-up.

Table II summarizes the survival and causes of death after UCBT. Of the 26 patients who died, 19 had died within day 100 (17 from infection) and seven (SCID, six and congenital CD4 lymphopenia, one) had died within day 28 after UCBT.

Causes of early death (≤28 d) were cytomegalovirus (CMV) disease (three patients), *Pneumocystis* pneumonia (one patient), interstitial pneumonia (one patient), bacterial infection (one patient) and veno-occlusive disease (VOD) (one patient). All those who died of CMV disease had CMV pneumonia before transplantation.

The cause of death between days 28 and 100 in the remaining 12 patients was bacterial infection (seven had concomitant fungal infection, one also had VOD and one had CMV disease), CMV disease (two patients), fungal infection (one patient), multiple organ failure (one patient) and VOD (one patient). Four of seven CGD patients died of bacterial or fungal infection without engraftment. Although detailed data on bacterial/fungal infections at the time of transplantation were not collected, all the CGD patients were administered both antimicrobial and antifungal agents at the time of transplantation.

The causes of death after day 100 were GVHD (five patients), Epstein–Barr virus (EBV)-associated post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder (EBV-PTLD, one patient) and

Table II. Survival and causes of death.

| | Cases (N) | Alive (N) | Death (day) | | | Infection at CBT (N) | Cause of death (<day 100) | | | Cause of death (≥day 100) | |
			<28 (N)	<100 (N)	≥100 (N)		Bac/Fung infection (N)	Viral infection (N)	Others (N)	GVHD (N)	Others (N)
Total	88	62	7	19	7	18	10	7	VOD 3 MOF1	5	PTLD 1 AI 1
SCID	40	29	6	9	2	11	2	6	1 (VOD)	1	1 (AI)
WAS	23	19	0	1	3	1	1	0	0	3	0
CGD	7	3	0	4	0	5	4	0	1 (VOD)	0	0
SCN	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Others	13	6	1	5	2	1	3	1	1 (VOD) 1 (MOF)	1	1 (PTLD)

Bac/Fung infection, bacterial and/or fungal infection. VOD, veno-occlusive disease; MOF, multiple organ failure; AI, adrenal insufficiency; PTLD, post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder. Cause of death total does not equal the number of deceased patients because one patient died of VOD and bacterial infection.

adrenal insufficiency (one patient). None of the other patients died of infection after day 100.

GVHD

All but five patients in the present study received either CyA- or tacrolimus-based immunosuppressant prophylaxis for GVHD. The cumulative incidence of grade 2–4 acute GVHD at day 100 was 28% (95% CI, 19–38%), and that of grade 3–4 GVHD was 8% (95% CI, 4–15%) (Fig 3A, D).

The incidence of grade 2–4 GVHD was higher in patients who underwent 2- or 3-antigen-mismatched UCBT compared with those who underwent HLA-matched or HLA-1-antigen–mismatched UCBT, but it was not statistically significant ($P = 0.071$) (Fig 3B). On the other hand, no difference was observed in the incidence of grade 3–4 GVHD between <2-antigen-mismatched and >2-antigen-mismatched transplants (Fig 3E), although grade 3–4 GVHD was not observed by high-resolution DNA typing in patients who underwent genotypically HLA-matched transplantation.


Fig 3. Cumulative probability of acute and chronic GVHD after UCBT. The cumulative incidence of grade 2–4 acute GVHD (aGVHD) at day 100 was 28% (95% CI, 19–38%) (A). The incidence was higher in transplantation mismatched for ≤2 antigens (B) and in that for WAS patients (C). The cumulative incidence of grade 3–4 acute GVHD at day 100 was 8% (95% CI, 4–15%) (D) and the incidence was not different between patients undergoing transplantation for ≥2-antigen mismatched transplant and those undergoing <2-antigen mismatched transplant (E). The cumulative incidence of chronic GVHD at day 180 was 13% (95% CI, 7–23%) (F).

When focusing on differences among the disease groups (Fig 3C), a significantly higher incidence of grade 2–4 GVHD was observed in WAS patients than in non-WAS patients, $P = 0.021$. In addition, three of five WAS patients who developed grade 3–4 GVHD died of either GVHD (two patients) or VOD (one patient).

Chronic GVHD was observed in nine patients, and its cumulative incidence at day 180 was 13% (95% CI, 7–23%) (Fig 3F).

Infections

Twenty-eight patients (SCID, 11; WAS, eight; CGD, three and other diseases, six) developed bacterial infection after UCBT. Sixteen of the 28 patients remained alive at the time of data collection.

Fungal infection mainly caused by *Aspergillus* species was observed in eight patients (CGD, three; SCID, two; WAS, two and X-linked hyperIgM syndrome, one). Three of the eight patients died of bacterial infection, bacterial/fungal infection or GVHD.

Twenty patients (SCID, eight; WAS, four; CGD, two; SCN, two and others, four) developed CMV disease after UCBT. CMV was detected before conditioning in all eight SCID patients of which four patients died of CMV disease after transplantation. Twelve of the 20 patients remained alive at the time of analysis.

Other notable virus-related complications were respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis accompanied by chronic GVHD in one SCID patient and EBV-PTLD in one patient with Blau syndrome; both infections led to a fatal outcome. One WAS patient had severe haemorrhagic colitis caused by Coxsackie virus B infection, which was treated successfully by infusion of expanded CD4 T cells prepared from the infusion residual of donor cord blood (Tomizawa *et al*, 2005). Another WAS patient had persistent norovirus infection. Interstitial pneumonia not due to CMV or *Pneumocystis* was noted in three patients of which one patient had parainfluenza/rhinovirus infection, while the causative agent for infection in the remaining two patients was not identifiable.

Risk factors for overall mortality

Lastly, we analysed the factors contributing to overall survival. Using univariate analyses, the following were found to be significant contributory factors to a poor prognosis: HLA mismatch of ≥2 antigens, time to transplant >180 d, second or third transplantation, ongoing infection at the time of transplantation, no conditioning for UCBT and diagnosis other than SCID, SCN or WAS (Table III). The dose of transfused nucleated cells or CD34-positive cells did not affect the 5-year OS.

Using multivariate regression analyses, the following were found to be significant contributory factors to patient death: infection at the time of transplantation, no conditioning, HLA

Table III. Univariate analyses of factors that contributed to 5-year OS.

Factors	Hazard ratio	95% CI	P-value
Age: ≥12 months	1·73	(0·78–3·83)	0·175
Diagnosis			
WAS and SCN	1·00		
SCID	2·34	(0·75–7·36)	0·145
Other diseases	5·39	(1·70–17·0)	0·004*
Nucleic cell dose: ≥8·2 × 10⁷/kg	1·51	(0·69–3·29)	0·299
CD34 cell dose: ≥2·1 × 10⁵/kg	0·86	(0·36–2·08)	0·744
HLA disparity			
6/6 matched	1·00		
5/6 matched	1·68	(0·58–4·83)	0·337
4/6 matched	3·78	(1·23–11·60)	0·020*
3/6 matched	3·24	(0·63–16·74)	0·160
4/6 or 3/6 matched	2·64	(1·20–5·83)	0·016*
Time to transplant: ≥180 d	1·89	(0·85–4·17)	0·117
Infection at transplant	6·24	(2·61–14·9)	<0·0001*
Second or third transplantation	3·37	(1·26–9·02)	0·016*
Conditioning			
MAT	1·00		
RIC	0·41	(0·13–1·23)	0·111
No conditioning	2·89	(1·21–6·93)	0·017*

*Significant contributory factors to the poor prognosis.

mismatch of >2 antigens and diagnosis other than SCID, SCN or WAS (Table IV). RIC was determined to be the favourable factor for patient survival ($P = 0.01$) (Fig 4 and Table IV).

Discussion

This paper reports the outcome of UCBT for 88 PID patients, the largest cohort of PIDs to receive UCBT to date. The overall survival rate for PID patients undergoing UCBT was comparable to that previously reported for 46 Japanese PID patients undergoing BMT from either HLA-identical siblings or unrelated donors (Sakata *et al*, 2004), and also to that reported by the European Society of Immunodeficiency and other stem cell transplantation centres for PID patients receiving BMT from HLA-matched related donors, HLA-mismatched related donors or unrelated donors (Antoine *et al*, 2003; Rao *et al*, 2005; Dvorak & Cowan, 2008). The time for haematopoietic recovery was comparable to or better than the median recovery time observed in a large cohort of UCBT in children with haematopoietic disorders (Thomson *et al*, 2000; Michel *et al*, 2003) and in adults with leukaemia (Laughlin *et al*, 2004; Atsuta *et al*, 2009). The incidence of grade 2–4 GVHD (28%) in UCBT was lower compared with that reported in unrelated donor BMT in PID patients in Japan (47%) (Sakata *et al*, 2004), with that reported in BMT in 90 SCID patients (34%) (Neven *et al*, 2009) and with that observed in the studies of UCBT for childhood haematological malignancies (Thomson *et al*, 2000; Michel *et al*, 2003; Sawczyn *et al*, 2005). The incidence of chronic GVHD (13%) after UCBT was slightly

Table IV. Multivariate analyses of factors that contributed to 5-year OS.

Factors	HR	95% CI	P-value
Diagnosis			
WAS and SCN	1·00		
SCID	1·71	(0·39–7·38)	0·475
Other diseases	7·50	(2·06–27·19)	0·002*
HLA disparity			
6/6 matched	1·00		
5/6 matched	1·53	(0·50–4·66)	0·454
4/6 matched	5·64	(1·66–19·14)	0·006*
3/6 matched	1·04	(0·68–23·96)	0·124
4/6 or 3/6 matched	3·87	(1·63–9·19)	0·002*
Infection at transplant	4·61	(1·74–12·16)	0·002*
Conditioning			
MAT	1·00		
RIC	0·20	(0·06–0·69)	0·011†
No conditioning	4·87	(1·79–13·3)	0·002*

*Significant contributory factors to an unfavourable prognosis.
†Significant contributory factors to a favourable prognosis.

Fig 4. Kaplan–Meier estimates of overall survival after umbilical cord transplantation. Comparison of overall survival between reduced intensity conditioning (RIC), myeloablative therapy (MAT), and no conditioning is shown. For 5-year OS, MAT *versus* RIC, P = 0·111, MAT *versus* no conditioning, P = 0·017 in univariate analysis.

lower than that after URBMT in PID patients in Japan (20%) (Sakata *et al*, 2004), and was lower compared to that in UCBT studies for childhood leukaemia (Michel *et al*, 2003; Sawczyn *et al*, 2005). Thus, UCBT in PID patients in the present study was associated with a good survival rate, good engraftment rate, rapid haematological recovery and a lower incidence of acute and chronic GVHD.

Given that the 5-year OS for SCID patients (71%) was better than that for SCID patients receiving bone marrow from HLA-mismatched related donors in both Japan (5-year OS, 36%, Imai, Morio, Kamachi, Kumaki, Ariga, Nonoyama, Miyawaki, and Hara, unpublished observations) and Europe (5-year OS, 52%, Antoine *et al*, 2003), UCBT would be particularly

beneficial for patients requiring rapid access to donor units yet lacking a matched related donor.

The present study found that several key risk factors were associated with overall mortality. First, infection was the major cause of mortality during the first 100 d after UCBT in PID patients, and the frequency was much higher than that observed in other disorders following UCBT (Rocha & Gluckman, 2006; Kurtzberg *et al*, 2008, Szabolcs *et al*, 2008). As predicted and reported in previous studies (Antoine *et al*, 2003; Cuvelier *et al*, 2009), infection at the time of transplantation was associated with poor survival (P < 0·0001), suggesting that the control of pre-existing infection at the time of UCBT is critically important.

Eight of 11 SCID patients who had active infection, mainly CMV pneumonia, died before day 50, while 26 of 28 patients without infection at the time of UCBT remained alive at the time of data collection. UCBT without conditioning was selected for 12 patients, of which seven had CMV infection and one had *Pneumocystis* pneumonia at the time of transplantation. Six out of the seven patients died of CMV infection; and one patient with *Pneumocystis* pneumonia did not survive UCBT.

UCBT in WAS patients achieved a good 5-year OS, as reported in a previous study of 15 cases (Kobayashi *et al*, 2006). One of the key factors would have been the time from diagnosis to transplantation. In our WAS patients, UCBT was performed at a median age of 14 months (range, 4–84 months), when most patients were thrombocytopenic, but did not yet have uncontrolled infection or autoimmunity.

Four CGD patients died of bacterial or fungal infection without engraftment. Although these patients were not categorized as those with active infection at the time of transplantation, they required intravenous administration of antimicrobial and antifungal agents before and after transplantation.

Second, HLA disparity was a risk factor associated with overall mortality. Lower survival was observed in UCB recipients transplanted with a ≥2 antigen-mismatched graft compared with those transplanted with a <2 antigen-mismatched graft [Hazard Ratio (HR) = 3·87, P = 0·002]. Although no difference was observed in 5-year OS between recipients of HLA-matched and those of HLA 1-antigen mismatched UCBT in the present study, we would need data from a larger number of patients with information on more extensive and sensitive HLA typing to discuss the impact of fully matched HLA on transplant outcome.

Finally, non-SCID/SCN/WAS patients showed a significantly lower survival rate (HR = 5·40, P < 0·0001 by multivariate analyses). Although a previous large-scale study showed that results of HSCT according to disease did not show obvious disease-specific findings (Antoine *et al*, 2003), it is not yet known if UCBT is suitable for all types of PIDs. This may indicate donor source other than UCB is preferable for certain types of PID. Although the success of UCBT noted for X-linked hyperIgM syndrome, bare lymphocyte syndrome and

X-linked recessive anhidrotic ectodermal dysplasia with immunodeficiency (Tono *et al*, 2007) is encouraging, optimization of transplantation procedures and determination of suitable timing for UCBT may be necessary for this group of patients. Alternatively, this may simply indicate an expansion of transplantation to less favourable clinical conditions or to less favourable transplantation conditions. Studies on a larger cohort are necessary for drawing any conclusion on whether diagnosis is significant overall.

Recent studies suggest improved survival after BMT for PID with the RIC regimen; however, to date, comparison of CBT using RIC *versus* MAT has not been made. In our study, 87% of patients on the RIC regimen and 66% on the MAT regimen remained alive at the latest follow-up. Multivariate analyses revealed that the RIC regimen is associated with a higher 5-year OS than the MAT regimen (HR = 0·20, *P* = 0·011). Although it is premature to conclude that RIC provides an equal or superior outcome to MAT for all PID patients, non-myeloablative treatment may be beneficial at least for certain types of PID. RIC was selected preferentially in SCID and CGD patients, with good survival rates: 17 of 18 SCID patients and three of four CGD patients remain alive. As a result of this, we are in the process of initiating a clinical trial of UCBT with RIC in SCID patients. On the other hand, only two of 23 WAS patients received RIC. Our previous data showed that a conditioning regimen other than BU/CY or BU/CY/ATG was the only independent factor associated with failure in HSCT for WAS patients (Kobayashi *et al*, 2006). However, whether this holds true for UCBT in younger WAS patients should be determined.

Notably, although the outcome of UCBT for WAS in this cohort was excellent compared with that from previously reported HSCT results using different donor sources (Kobayashi *et al*, 2006; Friedrich *et al*, 2009), UCBT in WAS patients was associated with a high rate of grade 2–4 acute GVHD (11 of 23 patients) and a post-transplant infectious episode (13 of 23 patients). Eight patients experienced bacteraemia/sepsis and six suffered a viral infection (CMV pneumonia, four; Coxsackie virus enterocolitis, one and persistent norovirus infection, one). The high rate of serious infections and GVHD in WAS patients after transplantation warrants further study in search of preventive measures that might include RIC for severe, transplantation-related toxicities.

Long-term follow-up of the clinical and immunological status is necessary when considering the lifespan of PID patients. Recent studies on the long-term outcome after HSCT

for SCID revealed the presence of relatively late complications, such as chronic GVHD, autoimmune events, severe or recurrent infections, chronic human papilloma virus infection, nutritional problems and late rejection in 50% of patients (Mazzolari *et al*, 2007; Neven *et al*, 2009). Similarly, long-term follow-up of HSCT in WAS patients revealed that 20% of patients developed chronic GVHD-independent autoimmunity (Ozsahin *et al*, 2008). One possible measure that might be taken to avoid the chronic problems associated with CBT would be to select a HLA-matched UCB unit, as HLA disparity was a risk factor for both overall survival and the development of GVHD in our study. The advantage of RIC over MAT in preventing late complications needs careful assessment, together with data on mortality, engraftment and early post-transplant complications.

Finally, the issue of SCID patients who died before or without receiving SCT, most likely due to uncontrolled infection, still remains unresolved. This suggests that the early diagnosis of SCID and prevention of opportunistic infection within a protected environment and the administration of appropriate prophylactic drugs is critically important for the improvement of survival in SCID patients in general. To that end, neonatal screening with the employment of T cell receptor excision circles should be beneficial for an improved outcome in SCID patients (McGhee *et al*, 2005; Morinishi *et al*, 2009).

We report the results of UCBT for 88 PID patients in Japan. Despite the limitations of a retrospective, non-randomized study, our study suggests that unrelated umbilical cord blood can be considered as a promising stem cell source for children with congenital immunodeficiency when a HLA-matched related donor is not available.

Acknowledgements

References

Antoine, C., Muller, S., Cant, A., Cavazzana-Calvo, M., Veys, P., Vossen, J., Fasth, A., Heilmann, C., Wulffraat, N., Seger, R., Blanche, S., Friedrich, W., Abinun, M., Davies, G., Bredius, R., Schulz, A., Landais, P. & Fischer, A.; European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplanation; European Society for Immunodeficiency (2003) Long-term survival and transplantation of haemopoietic stem cells for immunodeficiencies: report of the European experience 1968–99. [see comment]. *Lancet*, **361**, 553–560.

Atsuta, Y., Suzuki, R., Nagamura-Inoue, T., Taniguchi, S., Takahashi, S., Kai, S., Sakamaki, H., Kouzai, Y., Kasai, M., Fukuda, T., Azuma, H., Takanashi, M., Okamoto, S., Tsuchida, M., Kawa, K., Morishima, Y., Kodera, Y. & Kato, S.; Japan Cord Blood Bank Network (2009) Disease-specific analyses of unrelated cord blood transplan-

tation compared with unrelated bone marrow transplantation in adult patients with acute leukemia. *Blood*, 113, 1631–1638.

Bhattacharya, A., Slatter, M., Curtis, A., Chapman, C.E., Barge, D., Jackson, A., Flood, T.J., Abinun, M., Cant, A.J. & Gennery, A.R. (2003) Successful umbilical cord blood stem cell transplantation for chronic granulomatous disease. *Bone Marrow Transplantation*, 31, 403–405.

Bhattacharya, A., Slatter, M.A., Chapman, C.E., Barge, D., Jackson, A., Flood, T.J., Abinun, M., Cant, A.J. & Gennery, A.R. (2005) Single centre experience of umbilical cord stem cell transplantation for primary immunodeficiency. *Bone Marrow Transplantation*, 36, 295–299.

Brown, J.A. & Boussiotis, V.A. (2008) Umbilical cord blood transplantation: basic biology and clinical challenges to immune reconstitution. *Clinical Immunology*, 127, 286–297.

Cuvelier, G.D.E., Schultz, K.R., Davis, J., Hirschfeld, A.F., Junker, A.K., Tan, R. & Turvey, S.E. (2009) Optimizing outcomes of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for severe combined immunodeficiency. *Clinical Immunology*, 131, 179–188.

Dvorak, C.C. & Cowan, M.J. (2008) Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for primary immunodeficiency disease. *Bone Marrow Transplantation*, 41, 119–126.

Fagioli, F., Biasin, E., Berger, M., Nesi, F., Saroglia, E.H., Miniero, R., Martino, S. & Tovo, P.A. (2003) Successful unrelated cord blood transplantation in two children with severe combined immunodeficiency syndrome. *Bone Marrow Transplantation*, 31, 133–136.

Friedrich, W., Schutz, C., Schulz, A., Benninghoff, U. & Honig, M. (2009) Results and long-term outcome in 39 patients with Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome transplanted from HLA-matched and -mismatched donors. *Immunologic Research*, 44, 18–24.

Gluckman, E., Rocha, V., Boyer-Chammard, A., Locatelli, F., Arcese, W., Pasquini, R., Ortega, J., Souillet, G., Ferreira, E., Laporte, J.P., Fernandez, M. & Chastang, C. (1997) Outcome of cord-blood transplantation from related and unrelated donors. Eurocord Transplant Group and the European Blood and Marrow Transplantation Group. [see comment]. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 337, 373–381.

Gooley, T.A., Leisenring, W., Crowley, J. & Storer, B.E. (1999) Estimation of failure probabilities in the presence of competing risks: new representations of old estimators. *Statistics in Medicine*, 18, 695–706.

Gray, R. (1988) A class of k-sample tests for comparing the cumulative incidence of a competing risk. *Annals of Statistics*, 16, 1141–1154.

Griffith, L.M., Cowan, M.J., Kohn, D.B., Notarangelo, L.D., Puck, J.M., Schultz, K.R., Buckley, R.H., Eapen, M., Kamani, N.R., O'Reilly, R.J., Parkman, R., Roifman, C.M., Sullivan, K.E., Filipovich, A.H., Fleisher, T.A. & Shearer, W.T. (2008) Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for primary immune deficiency diseases:

current status and critical needs. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 122, 1087–1096.

Knutsen, A.P. & Wall, D.A. (2000) Umbilical cord blood transplantation in severe T-cell immunodeficiency disorders: two-year experience. *Journal of Clinical Immunology*, 20, 466–476.

Knutsen, A.P., Steffen, M., Wassmer, K. & Wall, D.A. (2003) Umbilical cord blood transplantation in Wiskott Aldrich syndrome. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 142, 519–523.

Kobayashi, R., Ariga, T., Nonoyama, S., Kanegane, H., Tsuchiya, S., Morio, T., Yabe, H., Nagatoshi, Y., Kawa, K., Tabuchi, K., Tsuchida, M., Miyawaki, T. & Kato, S. (2006) Outcome in patients with Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome following stem cell transplantation: an analysis of 57 patients in Japan. *British Journal of Haematology*, 135, 362–366.

Kurtzberg, J., Laughlin, M., Graham, M.L., Smith, C., Olson, J.F., Halperin, E.C., Ciocci, G., Carrier, C., Stevens, C.E. & Rubinstein, P. (1996) Placental blood as a source of hematopoietic stem cells for transplantation into unrelated recipients. [see comment]. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 335, 157–166.

Kurtzberg, J., Prasad, V.K., Carter, S.L., Wagner, J.E., Baxter-Lowe, L.A., Wall, D., Kapoor, N., Guinan, E.C., Feig, S.A., Wagner, E.L. & Kernan, N.A. (2008) Results of the Cord Blood Transplantation Study (COBLT): clinical outcomes of unrelated donor umbilical cord blood transplantation in pediatric patients with hematologic malignancies. *Blood*, 112, 4318–4327.

Laughlin, M.J., Eapen, M., Rubinstein, P., Wagner, J.E., Zhang, M.-J., Champlin, R.E., Stevens, C., Barker, J.N., Gale, R.P., Lazarus, H.M., Marks, D.I., van Rood, J.J., Scaradavou, A. & Horowitz, M.M. (2004) Outcomes after transplantation of cord blood or bone marrow from unrelated donors in adults with leukemia. [see comment]. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 351, 2265–2275.

Mazzolari, E., Forino, C., Guerci, S., Imberti, L., Lanfranchi, A., Porta, F. & Notarangelo, L.D. (2007) Long-term immune reconstitution and clinical outcome after stem cell transplantation for severe T-cell immunodeficiency. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 120, 892–899.

McGhee, S.A., Stiehm, E.R., Cowan, M., Krogstad, P. & McCabe, E.R.B. (2005) Two-tiered universal newborn screening strategy for severe combined immunodeficiency. *Molecular Genetics & Metabolism*, 86, 427–430.

Michel, G., Rocha, V., Chevret, S., Arcese, W., Chan, K.W., Filipovich, A., Takahashi, T.A., Vowels, M., Ortega, J., Bordigoni, P., Shaw, P.J., Yaniv, I., Machado, A., Pimentel, P., Fagioli, F., Verdeguer, A., Jouet, J.P., Diez, B., Ferreira, E., Pasquini, R., Rosenthal, J., Sievers, E., Messina, C., Iori, A.P., Garnier, F., Ionescu, I., Locatelli, F., Gluckman, E. & Eurocord Group (2003) Unrelated cord blood transplantation for childhood acute myeloid leukemia: a Eurocord Group analysis. *Blood*, 102, 4290–4297.

Morinishi, Y., Imai, K., Nakagawa, N., Sato, H., Horiuchi, K., Ohtsuka, Y., Kaneda, Y., Taga, T., Hisakawa, H., Miyaji, R., Endo, M., Oh-Ishi, T., Kamachi, Y., Akahane, K., Kobayashi, C., Tsuchida, M., Morio, T., Sasahara, Y., Kumaki, S., Ishigaki, K., Yoshida, M., Urabe, T., Kobayashi, N., Okimoto, Y., Reichenbach, J., Hashii, Y., Tsuji, Y., Kogawa, K., Yamaguchi, S., Kanegane, H., Miyawaki, T., Yamada, M., Ariga, T. & Nonoyama, S. (2009) Identification of severe combined immunodeficiency by T-cell receptor excision circles quantification using neonatal guthrie cards. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 155, 829–833.

Neven, B., Leroy, S., Decaluwe, H., Le Deist, F., Picard, C., Moshous, D., Mahlaoui, N., Debre, M., Casanova, J.-L., Dal Cortivo, L., Madec, Y., Hacein-Bey-Abina, S., de Saint Basile, G., de Villartay, J.-P., Blanche, S., Cavazzana-Calvo, M. & Fischer, A. (2009) Long-term outcome after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation of a single-center cohort of 90 patients with severe combined immunodeficiency. *Blood*, 113, 4114–4124.

Ozsahin, H., Cavazzana-Calvo, M., Notarangelo, L.D., Schulz, A., Thrasher, A.J., Mazzolari, E., Slatter, M.A., Le Deist, F., Blanche, S., Veys, P., Fasth, A., Bredius, R., Sedlacek, P., Wulffraat, N., Ortega, J., Heilmann, C., O'Meara, A., Wachowiak, J., Kalwak, K., Matthes-Martin, S., Gungor, T., Ikinciogullari, A., Landais, P., Cant, A.J., Friedrich, W. & Fischer, A. (2008) Long-term outcome following hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation in Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome: collaborative study of the European Society for Immunodeficiencies and European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Blood*, 111, 439–445.

Przepiorka, D., Weisdorf, D., Martin, P., Klingemann, H.G., Beatty, P., Hows, J. & Thomas, E.D. (1995) 1994 consensus conference on acute GVHD grading. *Bone Marrow Transplantation*, 15, 825–828.

Rao, K., Amrolia, P.J., Jones, A., Cale, C.M., Naik, P., King, D., Davies, G.E., Gaspar, H.B. & Veys, P.A. (2005) Improved survival after unrelated donor bone marrow transplantation in children with primary immunodeficiency using a reduced-intensity conditioning regimen. *Blood*, 105, 879–885.

Rocha, V. & Gluckman, E.; Eurocord and European Blood and Marrow Transplant Group. (2006) Clinical use of umbilical cord blood hematopoietic stem cells. *Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation*, 12, 34–41.

Rocha, V., Wagner, Jr, J.E., Sobocinski, K.A., Klein, J.P., Zhang, M.J., Horowitz, M.M. & Gluckman, E. (2000) Graft-versus-host disease in children who have received a cord-blood or bone marrow transplant from an HLA-identical sibling. Eurocord and International Bone Marrow Transplant Registry Working Committee on Alternative Donor and Stem Cell Sources. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 342, 1846–1854.

Rocha, V., Labopin, M., Sanz, G., Arcese, W., Schwerdtfeger, R., Bosi, A., Jacobsen, N., Ruutu,

T., de Lima, M., Finke, J., Frassoni, F. & Gluckman, E.; Acute Leukemia Working Party of European Blood Marrow Transplant, Group; Eurocord-Netcord Registry (2004) Transplants of umbilical-cord blood or bone marrow from unrelated donors in adults with acute leukemia. [see comment]. *New England Journal of Medicine*, **351**, 2276–2285.

Rubinstein, P., Carrier, C., Scaradavou, A., Kurtzberg, J., Adamson, J., Migliaccio, A.R., Berkowitz, R.L., Cabbad, M., Dobrila, N.L., Taylor, P.E., Rosenfield, R.E. & Stevens, C.E. (1998) Outcomes among 562 recipients of placental-blood transplants from unrelated donors. [see comment]. *New England Journal of Medicine*, **339**, 1565–1577.

Sakata, N., Kawa, K., Kato, K., Yabe, H., Yabe, M., Nagasawa, M., Mugishima, H., Kigasawa, H., Tsuchida, M., Akiyama, Y., Morisima, Y., Kodera, Y. & Kato, S. (2004) Unrelated donor marrow transplantation for congenital immunodeficiency and metabolic disease: an update of the experience of the Japan Marrow Donor Program. *International Journal of Hematology*, **80**, 174–182.

Sawczyn, K.K., Quinones, R., Malcolm, J., Foreman, N., Garrington, T., Gore, L., Gao, D. & Giller, R. (2005) Cord blood transplant in childhood ALL. [see comment]. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, **45**, 964–970.

Szabolcs, P. & Niedzwiecki, D. (2008) Immune reconstitution in children after unrelated cord blood transplantation. *Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation*, **14**, 66–72.

Thomson, B.G., Robertson, K.A., Gowan, D., Heilman, D., Broxmeyer, H.E., Emanuel, D., Kotylo, P., Brahmi, Z. & Smith, F.O. (2000) Analysis of engraftment, graft-versus-host disease, and immune recovery following unrelated donor cord blood transplantation. *Blood*, **96**, 2703–2711.

Tomizawa, D., Yuki, A., Nagasawa, M., Morio, T., Kajiwara, M., Sekine, T., Shimizu, N., Kato, M., Yachie, A. & Mizutani, S. (2005) Novel adopted immunotherapy for mixed chimerism after unrelated cord blood transplantation in Omenn syndrome. *European Journal of Haematology*, **75**, 441–444.

Tono, C., Takahashi, Y., Terui, K., Sasaki, S., Kamio, T., Tandai, S., Sato, T., Kudo, K., Toki, T.,

Tachibana, N., Yoshioka, T., Nakahata, T., Morio, T., Nishikomori, R. & Ito, E. (2007) Correction of immunodeficiency associated with NEMO mutation by umbilical cord blood transplantation using a reduced-intensity conditioning regimen. *Bone Marrow Transplantation*, **39**, 801–804.

Wagner, J.E., Rosenthal, J., Sweetman, R., Shu, X.O., Davies, S.M., Ramsay, N.K., McGlave, P.B., Sender, L. & Cairo, M.S. (1996) Successful transplantation of HLA-matched and HLA-mismatched umbilical cord blood from unrelated donors: analysis of engraftment and acute graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*, **88**, 795–802.

Zeidler, C., Welte, K., Barak, Y., Barriga, F., Bolyard, A.A., Boxer, L., Cornu, G., Cowan, M.J., Dale, D.C., Flood, T., Freedman, M., Gadner, H., Mandel, H., O'Reilly, R.J., Ramenghi, U., Reiter, A., Skinner, R., Vermylen, C. & Levine, J.E. (2000) Stem cell transplantation in patients with severe congenital neutropenia without evidence of leukemic transformation. *Blood*, **95**, 1195–1198.