- Kim, H.J.; Lee, J.H.; Kim, S.J.; Oh, G.S.; Moon, H.D.; Kwon, K.B.; Park, [60] C.; Park, B.H.; Lee, H.K.; Chung, S.Y.; Park, R.; So, H.S. Roles of NADPH oxidases in cisplatin-induced reactive oxygen species generation and ototoxicity. J. Neurosci., 2010, 30, 3933-3946.
- DeWoskin, R.S.; Riviere, J.E. Cisplatin-induced loss of kidney copper and [61] nephrotoxicity is ameliorated by a single dose of diethylhiocarbamate but not mesna. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., 1992, 112, 182-189.
- Pigeolet, E.; Corbisier, P.; Houbion, A.; Lambert, D.; Michiels, C.; Raes, M.; [62] Zachary, M.D.; Ramacle, J. Glutathione peroxidase, superoxide dismutase and catalase inactivation by proxides and oxygen derived free radicals. Mech. Aging Dev., 1990, 51, 283-297.
- Somani, S.M.; Husain, K.; Jagannathan, R.; Rybak, L.P. Amelioration of cisplatin-induced oto- and nephrotoxicity by protective agents. *Ann.* 1631 Neurosci., 2001, 8, 101-113.
- Wang, J.; Ladrech, S.; Pujol, R.; Brabet, P.; Van De Water, T.R.; Puel, J.L. [64] Caspase inhibitors, but not c-Jun NH2-terminal kinase inhibitor treatment, prevent cisplatin-induced hearing loss. Cancer Res., 2004, 64, 9217-9224.
- Church, M.W.; Blakley, B.W.; Burqio, D.L.; Gupta, A.K. WR-2721 [65] (Amifostine) ameliorates cisplatin-induced hearing loss but causes neurotoxicity in hamsters: dose-dependent effects. J. Assoc. Res. Otolaryngol., 2004, 5, 227-237.
- Feghali, J.G.; Liu, W.; Van De Water, T.R. L-n-acetyl-cysteine protection [66] against cisplatin-induced auditory neuronal and hair cell Laryngoscope, 2001, 111, 1147-1155.
- Li, G.; Sha, S.H.; Zotova, E.; Arezzo, J.; Van De Water, T.; Shacht, J. [67] Salicylate protects hearing and kidney function from cisplatin toxicity without compromising its oncolytic action. *Lab. Invest.*, **2002**, *82*, 585-596. Teranishi, M.; Nakashima, T.; Wakabayashi, T. Effects of alpha-tocopherol
- [68] on cisplatin-induced ototoxicity in guinea pigs. Hear. Res., 2001, 151, 61-70.
- Campbell, K.C.; Meech, R.P.; Rybak, L.P.; Hughes, L.F. The effect of D-[69] methionine on cochlear oxidative state with and without cisplatin administration: mechanisms of otoprotection. J. Am. Acad. Audiol., 2003, 14, 144-156.
- Whitworth, C.A.; Ramkumar, V.; Jones, B.; Tsukasaki, N.; Rybak, L.P. [70] Protection against cisplatin ototoxicity by adenosine agonists. *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, **2004**, *67*, 1801-1807.
- Cooper, L.B.; Chan, D.K.; Roediger, F.C.; Shaffer, B.R.; Fraser, J.F.; Musatov, S.; Slesnick, S.H.; Kaplitt, M.G. AAV-mediated delivery of the [71] caspase inhibitor XIAP protects against cisplatin ototoxicity. Otol. Neruotol., **2006**, 27, 484-490.
- Daldal, A.; Odabasi, O.; Serbetcioglu, B. The protective effect of [72] intratympnic dexamethasone on cisplatin-induced ototoxicity in guinea pigs. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg., 2007, 137, 747-752.
- Hill, G.W.; Morest, D.K.; Parham, K. Cisplatin-induced ototoxicity: effect of [73] intratympanic dexamethasone injections. Otol. Neurotol., 2008, 29, 1005-
- So, H.S.; Kim, H.J.; Lee, J.H.; Park, S.Y.; Park, C.; Kim, Y.H.; Kim, J.K.; Lee, K.M.; Kim, K.S.; Chung, S.Y.; Jang, W.C.; Moon, S.K.; Chung, H.T.; [74] Park, R.K. Flunarizine induces Nrf2-mediated transcriptional activation of heme oxygenase-1 in protection of auditory cells from cisplatin. Cell Death Differ., 2006, 13, 1763-1775.
- [75] More, S.S.; Akil, O.; Ianculesch, A.G.; Geier, E.G.; Lustig, L.R.; Giacomini, K.M. Role of the copper transporter, CTR1, in platinum-induced ototoxicity. J. Neurosci., **2010**, 30, 9500-9509.
- Chen, X.; Frisina, R.D.; Bowers, W.J.; Frisina, D.R.; Federoff, H.J., HSV [76] amplicon-mediated neurotrophin-3 expression protects murine spiral ganglion neurons from cisplatin-induced damage. Mol. Ther., 2001, 3, 958-963
- Meen, E.; Blakley, B.; Ouddusi, T. Brain-derived nerve growth factor in the [77] treatment of sensorineural hearing loss. Laryngoscope, 2009, 119, 1590-
- Hoshino, T.; Tabuchi, K.; Hara, A. Effects of NSAIDs on the inner ear: [78] possible involvement in cochlear protection. Pharmaceuticals, 2010, 3, . 1286-1295.
- [79] Cazals, Y. Auditory sensori-neural alterations induced by salicylate. Prog. Neurobiol., 2000, 62, 583-631.
- Chyka, P.A.; Erdman, A.R.; Christianson, G.; Wax, P.M.; Booze, L.L.; Manoguerra, A.S.; Caravati, E.M.; Nelson, L.S.; Olson, K.R.; Cobaugh, D.J.; [80] Scharman, E.J.; Woolf, A.D.; Troutman, W.G. Salicylate poisoning: an evidence-based consensus guideline for out-of-hospital management. Clin. Toxicol., 2007, 45, 95-131.
- [81] Kujawa, S.G.; Fallon, M.; Bobbin, R.P. Intracochlear salicylate reduces lowintensity acoustic and cochlear microphonic distortion products. Hear. Res., 1992, 64, 73-80.
- Ueda, H.; Yamamoto, Y.; Yanagita, N. Effect of aspirin on transiently [82] evoked otoacoustic emissions in guinea pigs. ORL. J. Otorhinolaryngol. Relat. Spec., 1996, 58, 61-67.
- Kakehat, S.; Santos-Sacchi, J. Effects of salicylate and lanthanides on outer [83] hair cell motility and associated gating charge. J. Neurosci., 1996, 16, 4881-

- [841 Tabuchi, K.; Tsuji, S.; Hara, A.; Kusakari, J. Effect of calmodulin antagonists on the compound action potential of the cochlea. Hear. Res., 2000, 145, 59-64.
- [85] Mammano, F.; Ashmore, J.F. Reverse transduction measured in the isolated cochlea by laser Michelson interferometry. Nature, 1993, 365, 838-841.
- Puel, J.L.; Bobbin, R.P.; Fallon, M. Salicylate, mefenamate, meclofenamate, [86] and quinine on cochlear potentials. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg., 1990, 102, 66-73
- Didier, A.; Miller, J.M.; Nuttall, A.L. The vascular component of sodium [87] salicylate ototoxicity in the guinea pig. *Hear. Res.*, **1993**, *69*, 199-206. Dieler, R.; Shehata-Dieler, W.E.; Richter, C.P.; Klinke, R. Effects of
- [88] endolymphatic and perilymphatic application of salicylate in the pigeon. II: fine structure of auditory hair cells. Hear. Res., 1994, 74, 85-98.
- Douek, E.E.; Dodson, H.C.; Bannister, L.H. The effects of sodium salicylate [89] on the cochlea of guinea pigs. J. Laryngol. Otol., 1983, 97, 793-799.
- Janssen, T.; Boege, P.; Oestreicher, E.; Arnold, W. Tinnitus and 2f1-f2 [90] distortion product otoacoustic emissions following salicylate overdose. J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 2000, 107, 1790-1792.
- Wu, T.; Lv, P.; Kim, H.J.; Yamoah, E.N.; Nuttall, A.L. Effect of salicylate [91] on KCNQ4 of the guinea pig outer hair cell. J. Neurophysiol., 2010, 103, 1969-1977
- [92] Wei, L.; Ding, D.; Salvi, R. Salicylate-induced degeneration of cochlea spiral ganglion neurons-apoptosis signaling. Neuroscience, 2010, 168, 288-299
- Chen, G.D.; Kermany, M.H.; D'Elia, A.; Ralli, M.; Tanaka, C.; Bielefeld, E.C.; Ding, D.; Henderson, D.; Salvi, R. Too much of a good thing: longterm treatment with salicylate strengthens outer hair cell function but impairs auditory neural activity. Hear. Res., 2010, 265, 63-69.
- Miller, B.; Sarantis, M.; Traynelis, S.F.; Attwell, D. Potentiation fo NMDA [94]
- receptor currents by arachidonic acid. *Nature*, 1992, 355, 722-725. Ruel, J.; Chen, C.; Pujol, R.; Bobbin, R.P.; Puel, J.L. AMPA-preferring glutamate receptors in cochlear physiology of adult guinea pig. *J. Physiol.*, [95] **1999**, *518*, 667-680.
- Ruel, J.; Bobbin, R.P.; Vidal, D.; Pujol, R.; Puel, J.L. The selective AMPA [96] receptor antagonist GYKI 53784 blocks action potential generation and excitotoxicity in the guinea pig cochlea. Neuropharmacology, 2000, 39, 1959-1973
- [97] Guitton, M.J.; Caston, J.; Ruel, J.; Johnson, R.M.; Pujol, R.; Puel, J.L. Salicylate induces tinnitus through activation of cochlear NMDA receptors. J. Neurosci., 2003, 23, 3944-3952.
- Wei, L.; Ding, D.; Sun, W.; Xu-Friedman, M.A.; Salvi, R. Effects of sodium [98] salicylate on spontaneous and evoked spike rate in the dorsal cochlear nucleus. Hear. Res., 2010, 267, 54-60.
- [99] Knipper, M.; Zimmermann, U.; Muller, M. Molecular aspects of tinnitus.
- Hear. Res., 2009, 266, 60-69. Sun, W.; Lu, J.; Stolzberg, D.; Gray, L.; Deng, A.; Lobarinas, E.; Salvi, R.J. [100] Salicylate increases the gain of the central auditory system. Neuroscience, 2009, 159, 325-334.
- [101] Norena, A.J.; Moffat, G.; Blanc, J.L.; Pezard, L.; Cazals, Y. Neural changes in the auditory cortex of awake guinea pigs after two tinnitus inducers: salicylate and acoustic trauma. Neuroscience, 2010, 166, 1194-1209.
- Hwang, J.H.; Chen, J.C.; Yang, S.Y.; Wang, M.F.; Liu, T.C.; Chan, Y.C. Expression of COX-2 and NMDA receptor genes at the cochlea and [102] midbrain in salicylate-induced tinnitus. Layngoscope, 2011, 121, 361-364.
- Eggermont, J.J. Role of auditory cortex in noise- and drug-induced tinnitus. Γ1031 Am. J. Audiol., 2008, 17, S162-S169.
- Lamm, K.; Arnold, W. The effect of prednisolone and non-steroidal anti-[104] inflammatory agents on the normal and noise-damaged guinea pig inner ear. Hear. Res., 1998, 115, 149-161.
- Kopke, R.D.; Weisskopf, P.A.; Boone, J.L.; Jackson, R.L.; Wester, D.C.; Hoffer, M.E.; Lambert, D.C.; Charon, C.C.; Ding, D.L.; McBride, D. Reduction of noise-induced hearing loss using L-NAC and salicylate in the [105] chinchilla. Hear. Res., 2000. 149. 138-146.
- Yamashita, D.; Jiang, H.Y.; Le Prell, C.G.; Schacht, J.; Miller, J.M. Post-[106] exposure treatment attenuates noise-induced hearing loss. Neuroscience, 2005, 134, 633-642.
- [107] Hoshino, T.; Tabuchi, K.; Hirose, Y.; Uemaetomari, I.; Murashita, H.; Tobita, T.; Hara, A. The non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs protect mouse cochlea against acoustic injury. Tohoku J. Exp. Med., 2008, 216, 53-
- Coleman, J.; Huang, X.; Liu, J.; Kopke, R.; Jackson, R. Dosing study on the [108] effectiveness of salicylate/N-acetylcysteine for prevention of noise-induced hearing loss. Noise Health, 2010, 12, 159-165.
- [109] Hyppolito, M.A.; de Oliveira, J.A.; Rossato, M. Cisplatin ototoxicity and otoprotection with sodium salicylate. Eur. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol., 2006, 263, 798-803.



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Steroids





Effects of neuroactive steroids on cochlear hair cell death induced by gentamicin

Mariko Nakamagoe, Keiji Tabuchi*, Bungo Nishimura, Akira Hara

Department of Otolaryngology, Graduate School of Comprehensive Human Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 February 2011 Received in revised form 27 July 2011 Accepted 27 July 2011 Available online 12 August 2011

Keywords: Neuroactive steroid Estradiol Gentamicin Cochlea Dehydroepiandrosterone

ABSTRACT

As neuroactive steroids, sex steroid hormones have non-reproductive effects. We previously reported that 17β-estradiol (βE2) had protective effects against gentamicin (GM) ototoxicity in the cochlea. In the present study, we examined whether the protective action of BE2 on GM ototoxicity is mediated by the estrogen receptor (ER) and whether other estrogens (17 α -estradiol (α E2), estrone (E1), and estriol (E3)) and other neuroactive steroids, dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) and progesterone (P), have similar protective effects. The basal turn of the organ of Corti was dissected from Sprague-Dawley rats and cultured in a medium containing 100 μM GM for 48 h. The effects of βE2 and ICI 182,780, a selective ER antagonist, were examined. In addition, the effects of other estrogens, DHEA and P were tested using this culture system. Loss of outer hair cells induced by GM exposure was compared among groups. βE2 exhibited a protective effect against GM ototoxicity, but its protective effect was antagonized by ICI 182,780. αE2, E1, and E3 also protected hair cells against gentamicin ototoxicity. DHEA showed a protective effect; however, the addition of ICI 182,780 did not affect hair cell loss. P did not have any effect on GM-induced outer hair cell death. The present findings suggest that estrogens and DHEA are protective agents against GM ototoxicity. The results of the ER antagonist study also suggest that the protective action of βE2 is mediated via ER but that of DHEA is not related to its conversion to estrogen and binding to ER. Further studies on neuroactive steroids may lead to new insights regarding cochlear protection.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Recent studies have revealed non-reproductive functions of sex steroid hormones. In the central nervous system, sex steroid hormones are derived from not only circulation but also local synthesis de novo. Considering their synthesis and actions in the central nervous system, they are termed as neurosteroids or neuroactive steroids. Regarding non-reproductive actions of neuroactive steroids, cell protective effects of estrogen, dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), and progesterone (P) have been reported in various organs as well as the central nervous system. It has been demonstrated that several enzymes of the pathway synthesizing these hormones are expressed in the brain [1] and the cochlea [2].

Excessive amounts of gentamicin (GM), an aminoglycoside antibiotic, induce cochlear hair cell death, at least in part, through an apoptotic process [3–5]. Previously, we reported that 17β -estradiol (β E2) had protective effects against GM ototoxicity. Using explants of the rat cochlea, we confirmed that β E2 ameliorated the GM-induced apoptotic death of outer hair cells through the inhibition of the c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) pathway [6]. Estro-

E-mail address: ktabuchi@md.tsukuba.ac.jp (K. Tabuchi).

0039-128X/\$ - see front matter © 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.steroids.2011.07.014

gens are also suggested to exert protective effects against acoustic injury to the mouse cochlea [7]. The protective effects of estrogen against acoustic injury are reportedly mediated by estrogen receptors (ERs) [7].

DHEA and progesterone exert many actions related to neuro-protective effects on the brain. Previous studies have demonstrated that DHEA protects the cochlea against acoustic [8] and ischemia-induced injuries [9] in the guinea pig. However, the protective mechanism of DHEA against cochlear injury has not been clarified. Namely, protective actions of DHEA may be mediated by their conversion to BE2.

In the present study, we examined whether the protective effect of $\beta E2$ against GM ototoxicity was mediated by ERs. The protective effects of other estrogens, DHEA and P, on GM ototoxicity were also tested.

2. Experimental

2.1. Culture techniques

The basal turn of the organ of Corti was dissected from Sprague–Dawley rats on postnatal days 3 (p3) to 5 (p5) and cultured according to the methods of Van de Water and Ruben [10] and Sobkowicz et al. [11]. All animal procedures were carried out

^{*} Corresponding author. Address: Department of Otolaryngology, Graduate School of Comprehensive Human Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba 305-8576, Japan. Tel./fax: +81 29 853 3147.

according to guidelines of the Laboratory Animal Research Center of the University of Tsukuba.

Because methods of culturing cochlear explants were described in detail in our previous report [6], a brief resume is given here. Cochlear explants were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 25 mM HEPES, and 30 U/ml penicillin and were cultured in an incubator at 37 °C with 5% CO $_2$ and 95% humidity. These cultures were maintained in the above-described initial medium overnight (8–12 h) and then were exposed to a medium containing 100 μM GM (Sigma, MO, USA) for 48 h.

Before conducting this study, we examined hair cell loss induced by the exposure of cochlear explants to several concentrations of GM. A medium containing 100 μ M GM showed an approximately 70% loss of outer hair cells [6]. In the present experiment, therefore, cochlear explants were subjected to 100 μ M GM to assess the effects of neurosteroids.

2.2. Treatment with estrogens

Cochlear explants were pretreated overnight with a culture medium containing $\beta E2$ (Sigma, MO, USA) to allow the substance to penetrate hair cell membranes. Each of the 13 explants was then exposed to media containing 100 μM GM and each concentration of $\beta E2$ (1 nM–1 μM) for 48 h. The tested concentration of $\beta E2$ was determined based on our previous report [6]. $\beta E2$ was initially dissolved in ethanol and then diluted in a medium to the final concentration immediately before use.

Cochlear explants were pretreated overnight with a culture medium containing 17α -estradiol (α E2), estrone (E1) or estriol (E3). These agents were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Each of the eight explants was then exposed to media containing $100~\mu$ M GM and 100~nM estrogens for 48 h. The tested concentration of the estrogens was based on our previous report [6]. α E2 and E3 were initially dissolved in ethanol, and E1 was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), then diluted in a medium to the final concentration, 100~nM, immediately before use. These solvents were included in the culture media at concentrations of less than 0.1%.

2.3. Treatment with an ER selective antagonist and β E2

The effect of ICI 182,780, an ER selective antagonist [12], on GM ototoxicity was examined using the explants of the basal turn. ICI 182,780 (Tocris Bioscience, UK) was diluted in ethanol and added to the culture medium at each concentration (1 nM–10 μ M) immediately before use. The explants were pretreated overnight with a culture medium containing ICI 182,780 plus 100 nM β E2 to allow the substance to penetrate hair cell membranes. Each of the eight explants was then exposed for 48 h to media containing 100 μ M GM, ICI 182,780, and E2.

2.4. DHEA treatment

Using the same procedure as that followed for the E2 experiment, each of the eight explants was exposed to media containing 100 µM GM and each concentration of DHEA (MP Biomedicals, France) for 48 h. The tested concentrations of DHEA were 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 nM. DHEA, initially dissolved in ethanol to 10 mM, was diluted in a medium to the final concentration immediately before use.

In addition, we examined whether the effects of DHEA were mediated by its conversion to estrogens and their binding to ERs. Specifically, the effect of DHEA plus ICI 182,780 on gentamicin ototoxicity was tested. Each of the eight explants was exposed to media containing 100 μ M GM, 10 nM DHEA, and 10 nM–1 μ M ICI 182,780 for 48 h.

2.5. P treatment

Each of the eight explants was cultured in media containing 100 μM GM and 0.1 nM–100 μM P (Sigma, MO, USA) for 48 h. P was initially dissolved in DMSO and was diluted in a medium to the final concentration immediately before use.

2.6. Cytochemistry

After the explants were cultured for 48 h in culture media containing 100 μ M GM alone or 100 μ M GM plus the neurosteroids, the explants were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 20 min and then permeabilized with 5% TritonX-100 in PBS with 10% FBS for 10 min. The specimens were stained for phalloidin with a conjugated Alexa Fluoro probe (1:100, Molecular Probes, Inc., CA, USA) at room temperature for 1 h. The specimens were observed under a fluorescence microscope (Axiophoto, Zeiss, Germany). Loss of hair cells was expressed as a percentage and compared among the groups. Phalloidin, a specific marker for cellular F-actin, labels stereociliary arrays and the cuticular plates of hair cells.

2.7. ER staining

Localization of ERs in the organ of Corti explants was examined. Explants were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 20 min and rinsed in PBS (n = 4). Specimens were permeabilized by 0.2% Triton X-100 (Sigma, MO, USA) and incubated for 30 min at room temperature in 1% fetal bovine serum. They were then incubated with ER α or ER β antibody (H-184 and H-150, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., CA, USA) at 4 °C overnight. After rinsing in PBS, they were incubated with the secondary antibody (1:100, fluorescein (FITC)-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG, Sigma, MO, USA) in PBS at 4 °C for 2 h in darkness. After ER staining, hair cells were stained with the Alexa Fluoro phalloidin probe.

2.8. Data analysis

All data are expressed as mean \pm S.E.M. Statistical analysis was performed by unpaired t-test or one-factor ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc tests, as required (StatView 5.0). p-values less than 0.05 were considered significant. All experiments consisted of $n \ge 8$ explants per experimental group.

3. Results

3.1. Control study

Before conducting GM experiments, the effects on hair cells of $\beta E2,\,\alpha E2,\,E1,\,E3,\,DHEA,\,P,\,0.1\%$ ethanol, and 0.1% DMSO as solvents were examined. In the control explants maintained in the initial media containing these agents for 48 h without exposure to GM, almost all hair cells including one row of inner and three rows of outer hair cells were present. Namely, there was no statistically significant hair cell loss when explants were cultured for 48 h in media containing each agent without GM (data not shown). Furthermore, we also confirmed that there was no significant difference in hair cell loss between explants exposed to a medium containing $100\,\mu M$ GM with 0.1% ethanol or 0.1% DMSO for 48 h and those with a medium containing $100\,\mu M$ GM alone (data not shown).

3.2. Protection of hair cells with estrogens against GM ototoxicity

We examined the effect of estrogens on GM-induced outer hair cell damage. $\beta E2$ treatment significantly decreased outer




Fig. 1. Effects of βE2 and ICI 182,780 on GM-induced outer hair cell loss. (A) Quantitative analysis of outer hair cell loss. 100 μM GM induced about 70% outer hair cell loss. βE2 dose-dependently decreased GM-induced outer hair cell loss (one-factor ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test: *p < 0.05 as compared with the GM control group). ICI 182,780 antagonized the protective effect of 100 nM βE2 and affected dose-dependently (one-factor ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test: *p < 0.05). (B–G) Representative photographs of each group. (B) Solvent alone. (C) GM control (100 μM GM alone). (D) 100 μM GM plus 1 nM βE2. (E) 100 μM GM plus 10 nM βE2. (F) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM βE2 and ICI 182,780 1 nM. (H) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM βE2 and 10 nM ICI 182,780. (I) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM βE2 and 100 nM βE2 and 100 nM ICI 182,780. (I) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM βE2 and 100 nM ICI 182,780. (I) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM βE2 and 100 nM ICI 182,780. (I) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM βE2 and 100 nM ICI 182,780. (I) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM βE2 and 100 nM ICI 182,780. (I) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM βE2 and 100 nM ICI 182,780. (I) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM βE2 and 100 nM ICI 182,780. (I) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM βE2 and 100 nM ICI 182,780. (I) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM βE2 and 100 nM ICI 182,780. (I) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM βE2 and 100 nM ICI 182,780. (I) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM βE2 and 100 nM ICI 182,780. (I) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM βE2 and 100 nM ICI 182,780. (I) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM βE2 and 100 nM ICI 182,780. (I) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM βE2 and 100 nM ICI 182,780.

hair cell loss as compared with the control group exposed to GM alone (Fig. 1A, one-factor ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test: $^*p < 0.05$). α E2, E1, and E3 also ameliorated GM ototoxicity (Fig. 2, one-factor ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test: $^*p < 0.05$).

3.3. ER expression in the organ of Corti

Immunostaining of culture explants with ER α and ER β antibodies was examined. In p3–5 rats, both ER α and ER β were observed in the inner and outer hair cells (Fig. 3). This finding is in agreement with the past report of immature rat [13]. All negative controls did not show any staining of ERs.

3.4. A selective ER antagonist inhibits the protective effect of E2 on GM ototoxicity

Treatment with 1–1000 nM ICI 182,780, a selective ER antagonist, without GM exposure for 48 h did not induce any significant hair cell loss. Furthermore, there was no significant hair cell loss in the culture explants with 100 nM $\beta E2$ plus these concentrations of ICI 182,780 without GM exposure. We examined the effects of ICI 182,780 on the protective effects of $\beta E2$ against GM-induced hair cell loss. $\beta E2$ significantly protected hair cells against 100 μM GM (Fig. 1A and F). Addition of ICI 182,780 antagonized the protective effects of 100 nM $\beta E2$. Namely, ICI 182,780 increased hair cell loss as compared with the 100 nM $\beta E2$ plus 100 μM GM subgroup (Fig. 1A and F–I, one-factor ANOVA and Bonferroni post




Fig. 2. Effects of estrogens on GM-induced hair cell loss. (A) Quantitative analysis of outer hair cell loss. Each estrogen protected the cochlea (one-factor ANOVA and Fisher post hoc test: *p < 0.05 as compared with the GM control group). (B–G) Representative photographs of each group. (B) Solvent alone. (C) GM control (100 μM GM alone). (D) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM βΕ2. (E) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM αΕ2. (F) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM E1. (G) 100 μM GM plus 100 μM E3. O: outer hair cell. I: inner hair cell. Scale bar: 50 μm.





Fig. 3. The localization of ERα and ERβ in the explants. (A) and (D): ERα and ERβ were stained by each antibody (green color). (B) and (E): Hair cells were stained with phalloidin (red color). (C) and (F): Merged images of each ER and hair cells. Outer and inner hair cells were stained with both ERs. Scale bar: 10 μm.

hoc test: p < 0.05). The subgroup with 100 nM ICI 182,780, 100 nM β E2, and GM showed almost the same degree of hair cell loss as

that of the control group with GM alone. These results suggest that the protective effects of β E2 against GM may be mediated by ERs.






Fig. 4. Effects of DHEA on GM-induced hair cell loss. (A) Quantitative analysis of outer hair cell loss. DHEA protected the cochlea at concentrations of 1–100 nM (one-factor ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test: *p < 0.05 as compared with the GM control group). ICI 182,780 did not affect DHEA protection at any concentration. (B–J) Representative photographs of each group. (B) Solvent alone. (C) GM control (100 μM GM alone). (D) 100 μM GM plus 0.1 nM DHEA. (E) 100 μM GM plus 1 nM DHEA. (F) 100 μM GM plus 10 nM DHEA. (G) 100 μM GM plus 10 nM DHEA. (H) 100 μM GM plus 10 nM DHEA and 10 nM ICI 182,780. (J) 100 μM GM plus 10 nM DHEA and 1 μM ICI 182,780. O: outer hair cell. I: inner hair cell. Scale bar: 50 μm.

3.5. DHEA protection of outer hair cells against GM ototoxicity is not mediated by $\sf ER$

The effect of DHEA on GM ototoxicity was examined. DHEA decreased outer hair cell loss at concentrations of 1, 10, and 100 nM compared with the GM control group, although 0.1 nM DHEA did not have any protective effect (Fig. 2).

Treatment with 10 nM–1 μ M ICI 182,780 and 10 nM DHEA for 48 h did not induce hair cell loss without GM exposure. In addition, treatment with 10 nM–1 μ M ICI 182,780 and DHEA did not affect

hair cell loss induced by GM as compared with the DHEA subgroup (Fig. 4A and F–J). These findings suggest that the protective effect of DHEA against GM ototoxicity was not mediated by ER.

3.6. P does not affect GM-induced hair cell loss

The effect of P on GM ototoxicity was examined. In contrast to the protective effects observed in the E2- and DHEA-treated groups, P treatment did not affect hair cell loss induced by GM (Fig. 5).




Fig. 5. Effects of P on GM-induced outer hair cell loss. (A) Quantitative analysis of outer hair cell loss. P did not have any effect on GM-induced outer hair cell loss at concentrations of 0.1 nM–100 μM. (B–J) Representative photographs of each group. (B) Solvent alone. (C) GM control (100 μM GM alone). (D) 100 μM GM plus 0.1 nM P. (E) 100 μM GM plus 1 nM P. (F) 100 μM GM plus 10 nM P. (G) 100 μM GM plus 100 nM P. (H)100 μM GM plus 1 μM P. (I) 100 μM GM plus 10 μM P. (J) 100 μM GM plus 100 μM P. (O) outer hair cell. I: inner hair cell. Scale bar: 50 μm.

4. Discussion

Estrogens regulate cellular functions through genomic and nongenomic pathways. Estrogens bind to ERs and affect gene expression in the classical genomic pathway. In addition, ERs are also involved in some types of non-classical pathways [14]. To date, two isoforms of ER have been identified: ER α and ER β . Both subtypes of ER are present in most organs of the body, but the biological differences between the subtypes are unclear. At present, no difference related to gender or age has been shown as for the expression pattern of the receptors [15]. Both receptors are present in the cochlea of rodents and humans, and areas responsible for sound transmission (inner and outer hair cells and spiral ganglion cells) and for

inner ear homeostasis (stria vascularis and spiral ligament) were positive for ERs [16,17]. Our immunohistochemical studies revealed that both subtypes of ERs were present in the culture explants.

βE2 protected the cochlea against GM ototoxicity, as we reported in the previous [6] and the present studies. ICI 182,780 is an ER antagonist that blocks both subtypes [18] and reportedly antagonizes both nuclear ER and extranuclear ER, which can exert rapid nongenomic signaling [18–21].

ER beta has been considered to be of particular importance in the cochlear protection of β E2 from acoustic injury because ER beta but not ER alpha knockout mice are vulnerable to acoustic injury [7]. Further studies will be necessary to clarify biological differences between the two ER subtypes.

Natural estrogens have three different forms (E1, E2 and E3). Of these, $\beta E2$ is the most potent estrogen. Our findings revealed that all three estrogens have protective effects against gentamicin ototoxicity. $\alpha E2$, the natural enantiomer of $\beta E2$, does not generally exist in humans and is considered to be less active on ERs than $\beta E2$ [22]. However, $\alpha E2$ reportedly exerted protective effects against oxidative stress, ischemic injury, Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease in the central nervous systems [23–26] although the precise action of $\alpha E2$ has not been clarified. $\alpha E2$ exhibited protective effects on the cochlear culture explants in the present study. Further experiments may be necessary concerning the protective mechanism of $\alpha E2$ in the cochlea.

DHEA is one of the most abundant neurosteroids synthesized de novo in the nervous system [27]. Its neuromodulatory actions, including regulation of the activity of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and N-methyl-p-aspartate (NMDA) receptors [28,29], are known. DHEA is also an agonist of an intracellular receptor, sigma 1, which is involved in memory processes, stress responses, depression, neuroprotection, and pharmacodependence [30]. In addition, DHEA exhibits antioxidant effects in the central nervous system [31].

Previous studies of the auditory system demonstrated that DHEA sulfate protected the cochlea against acoustic [8] and ischemia-induced injuries [9]. In the present study, we revealed that DHEA also had protective effects against GM ototoxicity to the outer hair cells. At present, the mechanism underlying the cochlear protective activity of DHEA is unknown. Through the steroid synthesis and metabolism pathway, DHEA is converted to testosterone and, in turn, to β E2. Because the protective effect of DHEA revealed in the present study was not inhibited by ICI 182,780, it seems unlikely that the cochlear protection of DHEA is mediated by its conversion to β E2 and binding to ER.

P is another neurosteroid which, along with its metabolites, is demonstrated in the human brain [32,33]. Schumacher et al. [34], suggesting that P was a particularly effective agent for the treatment of traumatic injury to the nervous system, provided five characteristics of P: a large therapeutic window, sensitivity in the aging nervous system, protective effects in both males and females, few negative effects, and no harmful effects in the peripheral tissue. A recent study has shown that P synthesis increases in response to injury, which is consistent with the important roles of protecting and regenerating the nervous system [35–37]. On the other hand, there are some controversies regarding the protective effects of P in the central nervous system [38,39]. It has been reported that P decreases the neuroprotective effects of β E2 [40–42].

The present results suggest that P does not have any effect on GM ototoxicity. P protected neurons of the central nervous system against glutamate-induced toxicity at concentrations of 3–100 nM [43,44] and against ischemic injury at 10 nM–1 μM [45]. Based on these reports, the effect of 0.1 nM–100 μM P was tested in the present study.

In conclusion, estrogens protected the cochlea against GM ototoxicity, especially β E2 affected through an ER-mediated pathway. DHEA also exerted protective effects, but its protective action was not concerned with ER. Given the growing evidence that estrogens and other neurosteroids are involved in many aspects of cochlear pathophysiology, it is important to clarify the precise mechanism of their actions in the cochlea.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by a Grant-in-aid for Scientific Research (C) 20591969 from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan.

References

- [1] Baulieu EE. Neurosteroids: a new function in the brain. Biol Cell 1991;71:3–10.
- [2] Lecain E, Yang TH, Tran Ba Huy P. Steroidogenic enzyme expression in the rat cochlea. Acta Otolaryngol 2003;123:187–91.
- [3] Forge A. Outer hair cell loss and supporting cell expansion following chronic gentamicin treatment. Hear Res 1985;19:171–82.
- [4] Forge A, Li L. Apoptotic death of hair cells in mammalian vestibular sensory epithelia. Hear Res 2000;139:97–115.
- [5] Tabuchi K, Pak K, Chavez E, Ryan AF. Role of inhibitor of apoptosis protein in gentamicin-induced cochlear hair cell damage. Neuroscience 2007;149: 213–22.
- [6] Nakamagoe M, Tabuchi K, Uemaetomari I, Nishimura B, Hara A. Estradiol protects the cochlea against gentamicin ototoxicity through inhibition of the INK pathway. Hear Res 2010:261:67–74.
- [7] Meltser I, Tahera Y, Simpson E, Hultcrantz M, Charitidi K, Gustafsson JA, et al. Estrogen receptor beta protects against acoustic trauma in mice. J Clin Invest 2008;118:1563–70.
- [8] Tabuchi K, Murashita H, Tobita T, Oikawa K, Tsuji S, Uemaetomari I, et al. Dehydroepiandrosterone suifate reduces acoustic injury of the guinea-pig cochlea. J Pharmacol Sci 2005;99:191-4.
- [9] Tabuchi K, Oikawa K, Uemaetomari I, Tsuji S, Wada T, Hara A. Glucocorticoids and dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate ameliorate ischemia-induced injury of the cochlea. Hear Res 2003;180:51–6.
- [10] Van de Water T, Ruben RJ. Growth of the inner ear in organ culture. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 1974;83:1–16.
- [11] Sobkowicz HM, Loftus JM, Slapnick SM. Tissue culture of the organ of Corti. Acta Otolaryngol Suppl 1993;502:3–36.
- [12] Wakeling AE, Bowler J. ICI 182,780, a new antioestrogen with clinical potential. | Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 1992;43:173–7.
- [13] Simonoska R, Stenberg A, Masironi B, Sahlin L, Hultcrantz M. Estrogen receptors in the inner ear during different stages of pregnancy and development in the rat. Acta Otolaryngol 2009;129:1175-81.
- [14] Charitidi K, Meltser I, Tahera Y, Canlon B. Functional responses of estrogen receptors in the male and female auditory system. Hear Res 2009;252:71–8.
- [15] Motohashi R, Takumida M, Shimizu A, Konomi U, Fujita K, Hirakawa K, et al. Effects of age and sex on the expression of estrogen receptor alpha and beta in the mouse inner ear. Acta Otolaryngol 2010;130:204–14.
- [16] Stenberg AE, Wang H, Sahlin L, Hultcrantz M. Mapping of estrogen receptors alpha and beta in the inner ear of mouse and rat. Hear Res 1999;136:29–34.
- [17] Stenberg AE, Wang H, Fish 3rd J, Schrott-Fischer A, Sahlin L, Hultcrantz M. Estrogen receptors in the normal adult and developing human inner ear and in Turner's syndrome. Hear Res 2001;157:87–92.
- [18] MacGregor JI, Jordan VC. Basic guide to the mechanisms of antiestrogen action. Pharmacol Rev 1998;50:151–96.
- [19] Wilson ME, Dubal DB, Wise PM. Estradiol protects against injury-induced cell death in cortical explant cultures: a role for estrogen receptors. Brain Res 2000;873:235–42.
- [20] Fitzpatrick JL, Mize AL, Wade CB, Harris JA, Shapiro RA, Dorsa DM. Estrogenmediated neuroprotection against beta-amyloid toxicity requires expression of estrogen receptor alpha or beta and activation of the MAPK pathway. J Neurochem 2002:82:674–82.
- [21] Wade CB, Dorsa DM. Estrogen activation of cyclic adenosine 5'-monophosphate response element-mediated transcription requires the extracellularly regulated kinase/mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway. Endocrinology 2003;144:832–8.
- [22] Gorski J. A hindsight view of early studies on the estrogen receptor: a personal history. Steroids 1994;59:240–3.
- [23] McClean J, Nuñez JL. 17alpha-Estradiol is neuroprotective in male and female rats in a model of early brain injury. Exp Neurol 2008;210:41–50.
- [24] Yi KD, Cai ZY, Covey DF, Simpkins JW. Estrogen receptor-independent neuroprotection via protein phosphatase preservation and attenuation of persistent extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 activation. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2008;324:1188-95.
- [25] Toran-Allerand CD, Tinnikov AA, Singh RJ, Nethrapalli IS. 17alpha-Estradiol: a brain-active estrogen? Endocrinology 2005;146:3843–50.
- [26] Toran-Allerand CD, Guan X, MacLusky NJ, Horvath TL, Diano S, Singh M, et al. ER-X: a novel, plasma membrane-associated, putative estrogen receptor that is regulated during development and after ischemic brain injury. J Neurosci 2000;20:1694–700.
- [27] Baulieu EE, Robel P. Dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) and dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEAS) as neuroactive neurosteroids. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1998;95:4089–91.
- [28] Majewska MD, Demirgören S, Spivak CE, London ED. The neurosteroid dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate is an allosteric antagonist of the GABAA receptor. Brain Res 1990;526:143–6.
- [29] Bergeron R, de Montigny C, Debonnel GJ. Potentiation of neuronal NMDA response induced by dehydroepiandrosterone and its suppression by progesterone: effects mediated via sigma receptors. Neuroscience 1996;16: 1193–202.
- [30] Maurice T, Phan V, Sandillon F, Urani A. Differential effect of dehydroepiandrosterone and its steroid precursor pregnenolone against the behavioural deficits in CO-exposed mice. Eur J Pharmacol 2000;390:145–55.

- [31] Aragno M, Parola S, Brignardello E, Mauro A, Tamagno E, Manti R, et al. Dehydroepiandrosterone prevents oxidative injury induced by transient ischemia/reperfusion in the brain of diabetic rats. Diabetes 2000;49:1924–31.
- [32] Beyenburg S, Watzka M, Clusmann H, Blümcke I, Bidlingmaier F, Elger CE, et al. Messenger RNA of steroid 21-hydroxylase (CYP21) is expressed in the human hippocampus. Neurosci Lett 2001;308:111–4.
- [33] Lephart ED, Lund TD, Horvath TL. Brain androgen and progesterone metabolizing enzymes: biosynthesis, distribution and function. Brain Res Brain Res 2001;37:25–37.
- [34] Schumacher M, Guennoun R, Stein DG, De Nicola AF. Progesterone: therapeutic opportunities for neuroprotection and myelin repair. Pharmacol Ther 2007;116:77–106.
- [35] De Nicola AF, Gonzalez SL, Labombarda F, Deniselle MC, Garay L, Guennoun R, et al. Progesterone treatment of spinal cord injury: effects on receptors, neurotrophins, and myelination. J Mol Neurosci 2006;28:3–15.
- [36] Singh M. Mechanisms of progesterone-induced neuroprotection. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2005;1052;145–51.
- [37] Mahesh VB, Dhandapani KM, Brann DW. Role of astrocytes in reproduction and neuroprotection. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2006;26(246):1–9.
- [38] Toung TJ, Chen TY, Littleton-Kearney MT, Hurn PD, Murphy SJ. Effects of combined estrogen and progesterone on brain infarction in reproductively senescent female rats. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2004;24:1160–6.

- [39] Rosario ER, Ramsden M, Pike CJ. Progestins inhibit the neuroprotective effects of estrogen in rat hippocampus. Brain Res 2006;12(1099):206–10.
 [40] Carroll JC, Rosario ER, Pike CJ. Progesterone blocks estrogen neuroprotection
- [40] Carroll JC, Rosario ER, Pike CJ. Progesterone blocks estrogen neuroprotection from kainate in middle-aged female rats. Neurosci Lett 2008;445(3):229–32.
- 41] Aguirre CC, Baudry M. Progesterone reverses 17beta-estradiol-mediated neuroprotection and BDNF induction in cultured hippocampal slices. Eur J Neurosci 2009;29:447–54.
- [42] Jayaraman A, Pike CJ. Progesterone attenuates oestrogen neuroprotection via downregulation of oestrogen receptor expression in cultured neurones. J Neuroendocrinol 2009;21:77–81.
- [43] Nilsen J, Brinton RD. Impact of progestins on estrogen-induced neuroprotection: synergy by progesterone and 19-norprogesterone and antagonism by medroxyprogesterone acetate. Endocrinology 2002;143: 205–12.
- 44] Kaur P, Jodhka PK, Underwood WA, Bowles CA, de Fiebre NC, de Fiebre CM, et al. Progesterone increases brain-derived neurotrophic factor expression and protects against glutamate toxicity in a mitogen-activated protein kinase- and phosphoinositide-3 kinase-dependent manner in cerebral cortical explants. J Neurosci Res 2007;85:2441-9.
- [45] Ardeshiri A, Kelley MH, Korner IP, Hurn PD, Herson PS. Mechanism of progesterone neuroprotection of rat cerebellar Purkinje cells following oxygen-glucose deprivation. Eur J Neurosci 2006;24:2567-74.

Protective Effects of Corticosteroids and Neurosteroids on Cochlear injury

Keiji Tabuchi*, Mariko Nakamagoe, Bungo Nishimura, Kentaro Hayashi, Masahiro Nakayama, and Akira Hara

Department of Otolaryngology, Graduate School of Comprehensive Human Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan

Abstract: Dysfunction of the cochlea causes sensorineural hearing loss. Glucocorticoids have been clinically applied for sensorineural hearing loss of sudden onset, including idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss, acoustic injury, Meniere's disease, and immune-mediated hearing loss. However, clinical studies on sudden sensorineural hearing loss have revealed conflicting results regarding the efficacy of glucocorticoids. The findings obtained from animal experiments have demonstrated that glucocorticoids exhibited protective effects on some types of cochlear injury, but there were limitations regarding glucocorticoid therapy. Recently, the actions of neurosteroids in the cochlea have drawn much attention from auditory researchers. Clinical and experimental studies of the auditory system have indicated that estrogens affect auditory perception. Furthermore, estrogens and dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) exhibit protective effects on cochlear injury. This article was aimed to give an overview of steroid treatment for protection of the inner ear against various cochlear injuries. Findings obtained from animal studies are focused on.

Keywords: Cochlea, sensorineural hearing loss, glucocorticoid, neurosteroid, estrogen, steroid.

INTRODUCTION

Steroid hormones bind to intracellular receptors, and thereby regulate gene expression and protein synthesis. Mammalian steroid hormones can be divided into five groups based on the receptors to which they bind: corticosteroid hormones (glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid hormones), androgen, estrogen, and progesterone. Most steroid hormones are secreted by the adrenal cortex, but some sex hormones are secreted by the testis or ovary.

Glucocorticoids have been used for the treatment of inner ear disorders such as idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss, acoustic injury, acute labyrinthitis, and immunemediated hearing loss. Although glucocorticoids have been used in the treatment of sensorineural hearing loss of sudden onset, clinical studies on sudden sensorineural hearing loss have revealed conflicting results regarding the efficacy of glucocorticoid therapy [1-3]. This is considered to be partially attributable to a variety of pathological conditions. The heterogeneity of the timing of glucocorticoid therapy may result in the heterogeneity of the treatment results. In addition, the precise protective mechanism of glucocorticoids has not yet been clarified.

Sex steroid hormones, including estrogen, progesterone, testosterone, and dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), are produced in the ovaries, testes, and adrenal glands. However, small amounts of these steroid hormones originate from non-endocrine tissue. Specifically, they can be synthesized de novo in the central nervous system from cholesterol, and are known as neurosteroids or neuroactive steroids. Recent

1573-4064/11 \$58.00+.00

studies have demonstrated that estrogens play important roles in maintaining the hearing function. The protective actions of estrogens and DHEA in the cochlea have also been reported.

In this review, we describe recent findings derived from animal experiments regarding steroid therapy for cochlear injuries.

GLUCOCORTICOID

Protective Effects of Glucocorticoid on Cochlear Injuries

Animal studies have demonstrated that glucocorticoids exhibit protective effects against various cochlear injuries. Prednisolone and methylprednisolone ameliorated ischemia-reperfusion injury of the cochlea in the guinea pig and chinchilla [4, 5]. These glucocorticoids protected cochlear sensory hair cells [6]. The protective effects were observed over a wide range of dosages of glucocorticoids when they were administered intravenously before the onset of ischemia [4]. The local application of dexamethasone to the round window niche also prevents cochlear damage caused by transient ischemia [7].

Glucocorticoids, including dexamethasone, prednisolone, and methylprednisolone, have protective effects against acoustic injury of the cochlea. They prevent the auditory threshold shift and hair cell death induced by acoustic overexposure under various treatment protocols [8-12].

The protective effects of glucocorticoids were also reported in cochlear injury induced by ototoxic agents. Although aminoglycosides are highly effective antibiotics against aerobic Gram-negative bacteria, these agents have well-known side effects, causing vestibular, cochlear, and renal toxicity. The clinical usage of aminoglycosides has often been limited because of their ototoxic side effects. Glucocorticoids reportedly protect hair cells against the oto-

© 2011 Bentham Science Publishers Ltd.

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Otolaryngology, Graduate School of Comprehensive Human Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba 305-8575, Japan; Tel/Fax: +81-298-53-3147; E-mail: ktabuchi@md.tsukuba.ac.jp

toxicity of aminoglycosides [13, 14]. Cisplatin is another representative ototoxic drug. It is a common anti-cancer agent used to treat many different types of cancer including esophageal, lung, uterus, and head and neck cancers. Animal experiments have demonstrated that glucocorticoids exert protective effects in this type of injury. Dexamethasone reduces auditory threshold shifts induced by high-dose cisplatin, and ameliorates the reduction in hair cell function after cisplatin therapy [15, 16].

Severe otitis media may induce labyrinthitis and cause cochlear dysfunction [17-20]. The damage is usually limited to the cochlear basal turn in this type of cochlear injury [21] because the round window membrane allows bacterial toxins to pass from the middle ear into the scala tympani of the cochlear basal turn. A disrupted round window membrane is thought to facilitate the passage of harmful substances from the middle to inner ear [22]. Experimental studies have demonstrated that glucocorticoids attenuate cochlear damage induced by labyrinthitis [21, 23].

Although glucocorticoids have been used in the treatment of sensorineural hearing loss, clinical studies have revealed conflicting results regarding the efficacy of glucocorticoid therapy [1-3]. This is considered to be partially attributable to the fact that sensorineural hearing loss of sudden onset includes a variety of pathological conditions. Furthermore, the heterogeneity of the timing of glucocorticoid therapy may result in the heterogeneity of the treatment results. The results of animal studies clearly demonstrated that glucocorticoids exhibited protective effects on some types of sensorineural hearing loss, as described above. Recent studies suggested that the timing of glucocorticoid treatment was extremely important to obtain optimal treatment results [24]. The protective effects of glucocorticoids seemed to decrease in post- as compared to pre-ischemic treatment [4]. In addition, the therapeutic time window of glucocorticoids is very short after the onset of acoustic injury [10]. These recent basic studies on cochlear injuries will advance our understanding of the effectiveness and limitations of glucocorticoids in the treatment of sensorineural hearing loss induced by various cochlear injuries.

Protective Mechanisms of Glucocorticoids in Cochlear Injuries

Two major isoforms of glucocorticoid receptors have been reported: glucocorticoid receptors α and β. It has been demonstrated that glucocorticoid receptor a is expressed in almost all cells and is fully functional after binding to glucocorticoids. On the other hand, glucocorticoid receptor β accounts for only 0.2-1.0% of total expression of glucocorticoid receptors and does not bind to glucocorticoids [25]. Immunohistochemical studies have demonstrated that glucocorticoid receptors are widely expressed in the cochlea [26, 27], although exact distribution of each glucocorticoid receptor has not been clarified. Namely, these glucocortioid receptors are abundantly expressed in the spiral ligament, and their expression has also been demonstrated in the other cochlear parts including the stria vascularis, organ of Corti, and spiral ganglion cells [26-28].

Glucocorticoids exert their actions via genomic and nongenomic pathways. The genomic actions are mediated by intracellular glucocorticoid receptors. The glucocorticoid receptors exist in cytosol consisting multiprotein complex with immunophilins, heat shock proteins, co-chaperones, and the mitogen-activated protein kinases. The binding of glucocorticoids to glucocorticoid recptors results in dissociation of the glucocorticoid receptors from the multiprotein complex. The glucocorticoid receptor then dimerizes and translocates into the nucleus [25]. The glucocorticoid/glucocorticoidreceptor complex induces or inhibits gene transcription by direct interactions with the glucocorticoid response elements (GREs) [29]. The non-genomic actions of glucocorticoids, on the other hand, may involve multiple mechanisms. Namely, such actions may be mediated by the non-specific interactions of glucocorticoids with cellular membranes, or mediated by intracellular and/or membrane-associated receptors. Recent studies have demonstrated using a glucocorticoid receptor antagonist, RU486, that glucocorticoids ameliorate cochlear injuries by binding to glucocorticoid receptors, at least in part [12, 30].

Generally, glucocorticoids inhibit the release of proinflammatory cytokines and stimulate the production of antiinflammatory cytokines. Proinflammatory cytokines are also considered to be one of the important mediators of various cochlear injuries [31]. Glucocorticoids inhibit the synthesis and release of inflammatory cytokines in the cochlea [32]. Kalinec et al. [33] recently showed that glucocorticoids activate a myosin IIC-mediated mechanism that drives annexin A1 from the lipid droplets to the apical region of Hensen cells, where it is released into the external milieu. Their findings suggest that annexin A1 may be one of the major mediators of the anti-inflammatory effects of glucocorticoids in the cochlea. The inhibition of phospholipase A2 by glucocorticoids may mediate cochlear protection [12]. In addition, glucocorticoids enhance the synthesis of glutathione to decrease oxidative stress [34].

Nicolaides et al. [35] recently suggested that the effects of glucocorticoids on the immune system were mediated by protein-protein interactions, such as the interaction between the glucocorticoid receptor and nuclear factor kappa B, activator protein-1(AP-1), and signal transducers and activators of transcription (STATs). In addition to the classical genomic actions of glucocorticoids, they may protect the cochlea by affecting signal transduction cascades thorough protein-protein interactions. Tahera et al. [36] suggested that the glucocorticoid-induced translocation of nuclear factor kappa B from the cytosol into the nucleus was related to cell survival in acoustic injury.

Mineralocorticoids

Yao and Rarey [37] reported that mineralocorticoid receptors were expressed in the cochlea including inner and outer hair cells of the organ of Corti, stria vascularis, spiral ligament, spiral limbus, and spiral ganglion cells. Their expression was also demonstrated in the endolymphatic sac [38]. Although mineralocorticoid receptors are widely expressed in the cochlea, the precise action of mineralocorticoids remains unclear in cochlear pathophysiology. Turne et al. [39] reported that aldosterone was effective to preserve hearing level in MRL/MpJ-Fas^{lpr} autoimmune mice, and assumed that the protective effect was mediated by preserving the ion homeostatic functions of the cochlea. However, Mori et al. [40] reported that the intravenous administration of aldosterone did not induce any change in the DC potential of the endolymphatic sac. Furthermore, Peters et al. [41] reported, based on human and animal data, that the mineralocorticoid aldosterone was not the crucial regulator of sodium transport in the cochlea.

Estrogen

17β-Estradiol (E2) is produced in the ovary, testis, and adrenal gland. Like other steroidal hormones, E2 enters the cell cytosol across the cell membrane and combines with an intra-nuclear receptor. The dimer (E2/estrogen receptor complex) activates target genes, which leads to the synthesis of corresponding mRNAs and proteins. The main physiological function of E2 is the sexual maturation of women, but recent reports have demonstrated that E2 also acts as a neurosteroid [42] and protects neurons against neuronal degeneration and death [43]. Besides the nervous system, E2 has protective effects against ischemic cardiovascular injury [44], renal failure [45], liver dysfunction [46], and oxidative damage to skeletal muscles [47]. Clinical and experimental studies have indicated that E2 plays significant roles in the auditory physiology [48]. Auditory perception changes during the menstrual cycle of women (Haggard and Gaston, 1978). Low-frequency tones and interaural time differences for sound localization were particularly susceptible to changes in the menstrual cycle [49]. Ovariectomy reportedly elevated auditory thresholds in rats [50]. Furthermore, otoacoustic emission, an indicator of outer hair cell function, is larger in females than males [51].

When the expression of estrogen receptors was investigated in the inner ears of rodents and humans, it was found that areas responsible for sound transmission (inner and outer hair cells and spiral ganglion cells) and inner ear homeostasis (stria vascularis and spiral ligament) were positive for estrogen receptors [52, 53]. The precise actions mediated via estrogen receptors α and β in the cochlea have not been clarified. In estrogen receptor β knockout mice, however, a rapid decline in hearing function after birth was observed and the mice became virtually deaf by one year of age [54]. The absence of hair cells and loss of the entire organ of Corti initiated in the basal turn of the cochlea were observed in the knockout mice. These findings suggest that estrogen receptor β is important for maintaining hair and spiral ganglion cells that are essential for the normal inner ear function.

Recent reports have demonstrated that estrogens have protective effects against cochlear injury. Meltser et al. [55] reported the significant elevation of ERβ protein expression in the cochlea of both male and female mice 24 h after acoustic trauma. They also reported that E2 had a protective effect against acoustic injury in mice, probably through binding to estrogen receptor β [55]. E2 also had protective effects against the gentamicin-induced damage of hair cells [56]. Recent findings have demonstrated that gentamicin-induced hair cell death occurs, at least in part, through an apoptotic process [57, 58]. An earlier report indicated that the c-jun Nterminal kinase (JNK) pathway was activated during the course of gentamicin-induced apoptosis and that gentamicininduced hearing loss was alleviated by a JNK inhibitor [59, 60]. E2 treatment attenuated the activation of JNK and decreased the terminal deoxynucleotidyl tranferase-mediated biotinylated UTP nick-end labeling (TUNEL) of hair cells [56]. These findings suggest that E2 ameliorated the gentamicin-induced apoptotic death of hair cells through inhibition of the JNK pathway.

Dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA)

DHEA is one of the most abundant neurosteroids, and is reportedly synthesized de novo in the nervous system [61]. It has been shown that DHEA acts as a neuromodulator by regulating the activity of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA), and sigma 1 receptors [62, 63]. In addition, DHEA also exerts antioxidative actions [64]. It has been demonstrated that DHEA protects the cochlea against ischemia-reperfusion [4] and acoustic [65] injuries. DHEA also exhibits protective effects against gentamicin ototoxicity (Nakamagoe et al., unpublished observation). When the cochlea is exposed to acoustic trauma or ischemiareperfusion injury, free radical generation and glutamate excitotoxicity reportedly occur within it [66]. The precise protective mechanism of DHEA against cochlear injuries has not been clarified. However, it seems reasonable to suppose that it involves the same mechanism as reported in the central nervous system.

Progesterone and Testosterone

To date, the exact roles of progesterone and testosterone have not been made clear in cochlear pathophysiology. Although progesterone exhibits protective effects on neural cells in the brain [67], there is no report that progesterone protects the cochlea. Progesterone is used in conjunction with estrogen in hormone replacement therapy, and aged women undergoing hormone replacement therapy with progesterone and estrogen reportedly show poorer hearing abilities than those using estrogen alone [68]. Additionally, in peri-menopausal mice, hormone replacement therapy using both progesterone and estrogen accelerates age-related hearing loss compared to therapy utilizing estrogen alone [69]. Because the concurrent administration of estrogen and progesterone potently enhances prolactin release, these findings may be due to the bone dysmorphology of the otic capsule caused by hyperprolactinemia [70].

SUMMARY

The development of effective treatments for cochlear lesions and sensorineural hearing loss requires an understanding of the therapeutic agents as well as mechanisms of cochlear disease. Experimental studies have clearly established that glucocorticoids protect the cochlea against various cochlear injuries. Because of the difficulties associated with human clinical investigations, experimental animal studies offer opportunities to examine and explain the therapeutic mechanisms of glucocorticoid treatment. Recent findings of experimental animal studies have also demonstrated that treatment with neurosteroids, such as estrogens and DHEA, may be helpful for cochlear protection against various cochlear injuries. Of course, further studies are necessary to clarify the exact protective mechanisms of these steroid agents. An advance in our understanding of steroid therapy for cochlear lesions may improve the outcome of treatment for sensorineural hearing loss.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by a Grant-in-aid for Scientific Research ((C) 20591969) from the Ministry of Education. Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan.

REFERENCES

- Alexiou, C.; Arnold, W.; Fauser, C.; Schratzenstaller, B.; Gloddek, B.; Fuhrmann, S.; Lamm, K. Sudden sensorineural hearing loss: Does application of glucocorticoids make sense? Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg., 2001, 127, 253-258.
- [2] Kanzaki, J.; Taiji, H.; Ogawa, K. Evaluation of hearing recovery and efficacy of steroid treatment in sudden deafness. Acta Otolaryngol. (Stockh) Suppl., 1988, 456, 31-36.
- [3] Moskowitz, D.; Lee, K.J.; Smith, H.W. Steroid use in idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. Laryngoscope, 1984, 94, 664-
- [4] Tabuchi, K.; Oikawa, K.; Uemaetomari, I.; Tsuji, S.; Wada, T.; Hara, A. Glucocorticoids and dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate ameliorate ischemia-induced injury of the cochlea. Hear. Res., 2003, 18
- Maetani, T.; Hyodo, J.; Takeda, S.; Hakuba, N.; Gyo, K. Predniso-[5] lone prevents transient ischemia-induced cochlear damage in gerbils. Acta Otolaryngol. Suppl., 2009, 562, 24-27.
- [6] Tabuchi, K.; Oikawa, K.; Murashita, H.; Hoshino, T.; Tsuji, S.; Hara, A. Protective effects of glucocorticoids on ischemiareperfusion injury of outer hair cells. Laryngoscope, 2006, 116, 627-629.
- Morawski, K.; Telischi, F.F.; Bohorquez, J.; Niemczyk, K. Pre-[7] venting hearing damage using topical dexamethazsone during reversible cochlear ischemia: an animal model. Otol. Neurotol., 2009, 30, 851-857
- [8] Takahashi, K.; Kusakari, J.; Kimura, S.; Wada, T.; Hara, A. The effect of methylprednisolone on acoustic trauma. Acta Otolaryngol., 1996, 116, 209-212.
- [9] Lamm, K.; Arnold, W. The effect of prednisolone and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents on the normal and noisedamaged guinea pig inner ear. Hear. Res., 1998, 115, 149-161.
- Tabuchi, K.; Murashita, H.; Sakai, S.; Hoshino, T.; Uemaetomari, [10] I.; Hara, A. Therapeutic Time Window of Methylprednisolone in Acoustic Injury. Otol. Neurotol., 2006, 27, 1176-1179.
- [11] Takemura, K.; Komeda, M.; Yagi, M.; Himeno, C.; Izumikawa, M.; Doi, T.; Kuriyama, H.; Miller, J.M.; Yamashita, T. Direct inner ear infusion of dexamethasone attenuates noise-induced trauma in guinea pig. Hear. Res., 2004, 196, 58-68.
- Hirose, Y.; Tabuchi, K.; Oikawa, K.; Murashita, H.; Sakai, S, Hara, A. The effects of the glucocorticoid receptor antagonist RU486 and phospholipase A2 inhibitor quinacrine on acoustic injury of the mouse cochlea. Neurosci. Lett., 2007, 413, 63-67.
- [13] Himeno, C.; Komeda, M.; Izumikawa, M.; Takemura, K.; Yagi, M.; Weiping, Y.; Doi, T.; Kuriyama, H.; Miller, J.M.; Yamashita, T. Intra-cochlear administration of dexamethasone attenuates aminoglycoside ototoxicity in the guinea pig. Hear. Res., 2002, 167,
- Park, S.K.; Choi, D.; Russell, P.; John, E.O.; Jung, T.T. Protective effect of corticosteroid against the cytotoxicity of aminoglycoside otic drops on isolated cochlear outer hair cells. Laryngoscope, 2004, 114, 768-771.
- [15] Daldal, A.; Odabasi, O.; Serbetcioglu, B. The protective effect of intratympanic dexamethasone on cisplatin-induced ototoxicity in guinea pigs. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg., 2007, 137, 747-752.
- [16] Hill, G.W.; Morest, D.K.; Parham, K. Cisplatin-induced ototoxicity: effect of intratympanic dexamethasone injections. Otol. Neurotol., 2008, 29, 1005-1011.
- [17] Paparella, M.M.; Morizono, T.; Le, C.T.; Mancini, F.; Sipila, P.; Choo, Y.B.; Liden, G.; Kim, C.S. Sensorineural hearing loss in otitis media. Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol., 1984, 93, 623-629.
- [18] Morizono, T.; Giebink, G.S.; Paparella, M.M.; Sikora, M.A.; Shea, D. Sensorineural hearing loss in experimental purulent otitis media due to Streptococcus pneumonia. Arch. Otolaryngol., 1985, 111,
- [19] Ryding, M.; Konradsson, K.; Kalm, O.; Prellner, K. Effects of leukotrienes and prostaglandins on cochlear blood flow, auditory

- sensitivity, and histology in the guinea pig. Am. J. Otol., 1988, 19,
- [20] Spandow, O.; Anniko, M.; Hellstrom, S. Inner ear disturbances following inoculation of endotoxin into the middle ear. Acta Otolaryngol., 1989, 107, 90-96.
- [21] Jang, C.H.; Cho Y.B.; Choi, C.H.; Park, S.Y.; Pak, S.C. Effect of topical dexamethasone on sensorineural hearing loss in endotoxininduced otitis media. In Vivo, 2007, 21, 1043-1047.
- [22] Engel, F.; Blatz, R.; Schliebs, R.; Palmer, M.; Bhakdi, S. Bacterial cytolysin perturbs round window membrane permeability barrier in vivo: possible cause of sensorineural hearing loss in acute otitis media. Infect. Immun., 1998, 66, 343-346.
- [23] Sone, M.; Hayashi, H.; Yamamoto, H.; Tominaga, M.; Nakashima, T. A comparative study of intratympanic steroid and NO synthase inhibitor for treatment of cochlear lateral wall damage due to acute otitis media. Eur. J. Pharmacol., 2003, 482, 313-318.
- [24] Tabuchi, K.; Oikawa, K.; Nishimura, B.; Hirose, Y.; Tanaka, S.; Hara, A. Glucocorticoid Therapy for Cochlear Injuries: Pharmacology and Evidence from Animal Experiments. Glucocorticoids; Effects, Action Mechanisms and Therapeutic Uses; Chp 10. Pelt, AC., Ed. Nova Science Publishers, 2009, pp. 1-14.
- Jin, D.X.; Lin, Z.; Lei, D.; Bao, J. The role of glucocorticoids for [25] spiral ganglion neuron survival. Brain Res., 2009, 1277, 3-11.
- [26] Rarey, K.E.; Curtis, L.M.; ten Cate, W.J.F. Tissue specific levels of glucocorticoid receptor within the rat inner ear. Hear. Res., 1993, 64, 205-210.
- [27] Rarey, K.E.; Gerhardt, K.J.; Curtis, L.M.; ten Cate, W.J.F. Effect of stress on cochlear glucocorticoid protein: Acoustic stress. Hear. Res., 1995, 82, 135-138.
- ten Cate, W.J.F.; Curtis, L.M.; Small, G.M.; Rarey, K.E. Localiza-[28] tion of glucocorticoid receptors and glucocorticoid receptor mRNAs in the rat cochlea. Laryngoscope, 1993, 103, 865-871.
- [29] Joels, M.; De Kloet, E.R. Coordinative mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptor-mediated control on tuberoinfundibular neurons in hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus of anesthetized rats. Neuroscie Lett., 1988, 87, 35-40.
- [30] Tahera, Y.; Meltser, I.; Johansson, P.; Bian Z.; Stierna, P.; Hansson, A.C.; Canlon, B. NF-kappaB mediated glucocorticoid response in the inner ear after acoustic trauma. J. Neurosci. Res., **2006**, 83, 1066-1076.
- [31] Fujioka, M.; Kanzaki, S.; Okano, H.J.; Masuda, M.; Ogawa, K.; Okano, H. Proinflammatory cytokines expression in noise-induced damaged cochlea. J. Neurosci. Res., 2006, 83, 575-583.
- [32] Maeda, K.; Yoshida, K.; Ichimiya. I.; Suzuki, M. Dexamethasone inhibits tumor necrosis factor-alpha-induced cytokine secretion from spiral ligament fibrocytes. Hear. Res., 2005, 202, 154-160.
- [33] Kalinec, F.; Webster, P.; Maricle, A.; Guerrero, D.; Chakravarti, D.N.; Chakravarti, B.; Gellibolian, R.; Kalinec, G. Glucocorticoidstimulated, transcliption-independent release of annexin A1 by cochlear Hensen cells. Br. J. Pharmacol., 2009, 158, 1820-1834.
- [34] Nagashima, R.; Ogita, K. Enhanced biosynthesis of glutathione in the spiral ganglion of the cochlea after in vivo treatment with dexamethasone in mice. Brain Res., 2006, 1117, 101-108.
- [35] Nicolaides, N.C.; Galata, Z.; Kino, T.; Chrousos, G.P.; Charmandari, E. The human glucocorticoid receptor: molecular basis of biologic function. Steroids, 2010, 75, 1-12.
- [36] Tahera, Y.; Meltser, I.; Johansson. O.; Hansson, A.C.; Canlon, B. Glucocorticoid receptor and nuclear factor-kappa B interactions in restraint stress-mediated protection against acoustic trauma. Endocrinology, 2006, 147, 4430-4437.
- [37] Yao, X.; Rarey, K.E. Localization of the mineralcorticoid receptor in rat cochlear tissue. Acta Otolaryngol., 1996, 116, 493-496.
- [38] Furuta, H.; Sato, C.; Kawaguchi, Y.; Miyashita, T.; Mori, N. Expression of mRNAs encoding hormone receptors in the endolymphatic sac of the rat. Acta Otolaryngol., 1999, 119, 53-57.
- [39] Turne, D.R.; Kempton, J.B.; Gross, N.D. Mineralocorticoid receptor mediates glucocorticoid treatment effects in the autoimmune moouse ear. Hear. Res., 2006, 212, 22-32.
- [40] Mori, N.; Yura, K.; Uozumi, N.; Sakai, S.I. Effect of aldosterone antagonist on the DC potential in the endolymphatic sac. Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol., 1991, 100, 72-75.
- [41] Peters, T.A.; Levtchenko, E.; Cremers, C.W.; Curfs, J.H.; Monnens, L.A. No evidence of hearing loss in pseudohypoaldosteronism type 1 patients. Acta Otolaryngol., 2006, 126, 237-239.
- [42] Tsutsui, K. Biosynthesis and organizing action of neurosteroids in the developing Purkinje cell. Cerebellum, 2006, 5, 89-96.

- [43] Suzuki, S.; Brown, C.M.; Wise, P.M. Mechanisms of neuroprotection by estrogen. *Endocrine*, **2006**, *29*, 209-215.
- [44] Dubey, R.K.; Jackson, E.K. Cardiovascular protective effects of 17beta-estradiol metabolites. *J. Appl. Physiol.*, **2001**, *91*, 1868-1882
- [45] Takakoka, M.; Yuba, M.; Fujii, T.; Ohkita, M.; Matsumura, Y. Oestrogen protects against ischaemic acute renal failure in rats by suppressing renal endothelin-1 overproduction. *Clin. Sci. (Lond.)*, 2002, 48, 434S-437S.
- [46] Hamden, K.; Carreau, S.; Ellouz, F.; Masmoudi, H.; El, F.A. Protective effect of 17beta-esradiol on oxidative stress and liver dysfunction in aged male rats. *J. Physiol. Biochem.*, **2007**, *63*, 195-201.
- [47] Persky, A.M., Green, P.S., Stubley, L.; Howel, C.O.; Zaulyanov, L.; Brazeau, G.A.; Simpkins, J.W. Protective effect of estrogens against oxidative damage to heart and skeletal muscle in vivo and in vitro. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med., 2000, 223, 59-66.
- [48] Charitidi, K.; Meltser, I.; Tahera, Y.; Canlon, B. Functional responses of estrogen receptors in the male and female auditory system. *Hear. Res.*, 2009, 252, 71-78.
- [49] Haggard, M.; Gaston, J.B. Changes in auditory perception in the menstrual cycle. *Br. J. Audiol.*, **1978**, *12*, 105-118.
- [50] Coleman, J.R.; Campbell, D.; Cooper, W.A.; Welsh, M.G.; Moyer, J. Auditory brainstem responses after ovariectomy and estrogen replacement in rat. *Hear. Res.*, 1994, 80, 209-215.
- [51] McFadden, D. Masculinizing effects on otoacoustic emissions and auditory evoked potentials in women using oral contraceptives. *Hear. Res.*, 2000, 142, 23-33.
- [52] Stenberg, A.E.; Wang, H.; Sahlin, L.; Hultcrantz, M. Mapping of estrogen receptors alpha and beta in the inner ear of mouse and rat. *Hear. Res.*, 1999, 136, 29-34.
- [53] Stenberg, A.E.; Wang, H.; Fish, J. 3rd.; Schrott-Fischer, A.; Sahlin, L.; Hultcrantz, M. Estrogen receptors in the normal adult and developing human inner ear and in Turner's syndrome. *Hear. Res.*, 2001. 157, 87-92.
- [54] Simonoska, R.; Stenberg, A.E.; Duan, M.; Yakimchuk, K.; Fridberger, A.; Sahlin, L.; Gustafsson, J.A.; Hultcrantz, M. Inner ear pathology and loss of hearing in estrogen receptor-beta deficient mice. J. Endocrinol., 2009, 201, 397-406.
- [55] Meltser, I.; Tahera, Y.; Simpson, E.; Hultcrantz, M.; Charitidi, K.; Gustafsson, J.A. Canlon, B. Estrogen receptor beta protects against acoustic trauma in mice. J. Clin. Invest., 2008, 118, 1563-1570.
- [56] Nakamagoe, M.; Tabuchi, K.; Uemaetomari, I.; Nishimura, B.; Hara, A. Estradiol protects the cochlea against gentamicin ototoxicity through inhibition of the JNK pathway. *Hear. Res.*, 2010, 261, 67-74.

- [57] Forge, A. Outer hair cell loss and supporting cell expansion following chronic gentamic treatment. Hear. Res., 1985, 19, 171-182.
- [58] Forge, A.; Li, L. Apoptotic death of hair cells in mammalian vestibular sensory epithelia. *Hear. Res.*, 2000, 139, 97-115.
- [59] Wang, J.; Van De Water, T.R.; Bonny, C.; de Ribaupierre, F.; Puel, J.L.; Zine, A. A peptide inhibitor of c-Jun N-terminal kinase protects against both aminoglycoside and acoustic trauma-induced auditory hair cell death and hearing loss. J. Neurosci., 2003, 23, 8596-8607.
- [60] Ylikoski, J.; Xing-Qun, L.; Virkkala, J.; Pirvola, U. Blockade of c-Jun N-terminal kinase pathway attenuates gentamicin-induced cochlear and vestibular hair cell death. *Hear. Res.*, 2002, 166, 33-43.
- [61] Baulieu, E.E.; Robel, P. Dehydroepiandrosterone and dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate as neuroactive neurosteroids. *J. Endocrinol.*, 1996, 150, S221-239.
- [62] Majewska, M.D. Neurosteroids: endogenous bimodal modulators of the GABAA receptor. Mechanism of action and physiological significance. *Prog. Neurobiol.*, 1992, 38, 379-395.
- [63] Bergeron, R.; de Montigny, C.; Debonnel, G. Potentiation of neuronal NMDA response induced by dehydroepiandrosterone and its suppression by progesterone: effects mediated via sigma receptors. J. Neurosci., 1996, 16, 1193-1202.
- [64] Aragno, M.; Parola, S.; Brignardello, E.; Mauro, A.; Tamagno, E.; Manti, R.; Danni, O.; Boccuzzi, G. Dehydroepiandrosterone prevents oxidative injury induced by transient ischemia/reperfusion in the brain of diabetic rats. *Diabetes*, 2000, 49, 1924-1931.
- [65] Tabuchi, K.; Murashita, H.; Tobita, T.; Oikawa, K.; Tsuji, S.; Ue-maetomari, I.; Hara, A. Dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate reduces acoustic injury of the guinea-pig cochlea. J. Pharmacol. Sci., 2005, 99, 191-194.
- [66] Hara, A.; Serizawa, F.; Tabuchi, K.; Senarita, M.; Kusakari, J. Hydroxyl radical formation in the perilymph of asphyxic guinea pig. Hear. Res., 2000, 143, 110-114.
- [67] Singh, M. Progesterone-induced neuroprotection. *Endocrine*, 2006, 29, 271-274.
- [68] Guimaraes, P.; Frisina, S.T.; Mapes, F.; Tadros, S.F.; Frisina, D.R.; Frisina, R.D. Progestin negatively affects hearing in aged women. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2006, 103, 14246-14249.
- [69] Price, K.; Zhu, X.; Guimaraes, P.F.; Vasilyeva, O.N.; Frisina, R.D. Hormone replacement therapy diminishes hearing in perimenopausal mice. *Hear. Res.*, 2009, 252, 29-36.
- [70] Horner, K.C. The effect of sex hormones on bone metabolism of the otic capsule--an overview. *Hear. Res.*, **2009**, *252*, 56-60.

Received: August 20, 2010 Revised: October 28, 2010 Accepted: November 01, 2010



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Age-related hearing loss and expression of antioxidant enzymes in BDF1 mice

KEIJI TABUCHI, TOMOFUMI HOSHINO, YUKI HIROSE, KENTARO HAYASHI, BUNGO NISHIMURA, MASAHIRO NAKAYAMA & AKIRA HARA

Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Graduate School of Comprehensive Human Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan

Abstract

Conclusion. Our data suggest that the changes in expression of antioxidant enzymes may cause age-related hearing loss (AHL). Objectives. AHL is an aging process of the inner ear, and oxidant stressors are considered to be one of the leading causes. We investigated the hearing level and expression profile of antioxidant enzymes in aged mice. Methods: Mice aged 3, 6, and 11 months were used. Hearing levels of the mice were examined using the auditory brainstem response (ABR). After measuring the ABR threshold, cochleae were dissected. RNA was isolated from the cochleae, and cDNA was synthesized using the retro-transcription enzyme. Expression of the antioxidant enzymes was measured by quantitative real-time PCR. Results: The ABR thresholds of the BDF1 mice were elevated by 6 months of age. The expression of superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1) and heme oxygenase 1 (HO1) at 11 months of age significantly decreased compared with that of those at 6 months of age. In contrast, a decrease in the expression level was not observed regarding NAD(P)H-quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NOO1).

Keywords: Superoxide dismutase 1, SOD1, heme oxygenase 1, HO1, NAD(P)H-quinone oxidoreductase 1, NOO1

Introduction

Age-related hearing loss (AHL) is the most common type of hearing disorder in aged subjects, and oxidant stressors are considered to be one of the leading causes of AHL in addition to genetic factors [1]. Living organisms have antioxidant systems that act against the oxidant stressors. McFadden et al. [2,3] reported that AHL was accelerated in superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1) knockout mice. Based on their report, antioxidant enzymes may function to inhibit the progression of AHL. However, age-related changes in expression levels of antioxidant enzymes have not been clarified. We investigated the expression profile of the antioxidant enzymes in aged BDF1 mice. We examined three enzymes: heme

oxygenase 1 (HO1), NAD(P)H-quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1), and SOD1, in this study.

The selection of the mouse strain is important in conducting experiments regarding AHL because there are differences in age-related changes in the hearing level and cochlear morphology among strains. Many researchers used inbred mouse strains because they showed relatively uniform phenotypes. The C57Bl/6 mouse strain is considered to be one of the most favorable models for AHL [4]. On the other hand, Mikuriya et al. [5] recently showed that DBA/2 mice exhibited early-onset AHL, suggesting that the DBA/2 mouse strain was also suitable for examination of AHL. BDF1 mice are hybrids of C57Bl/6 and DBA/2 strains. The merit of using this hybrid strain is that it is relatively healthy even when

Correspondence: Keiji Tabuchi, Department of Otolaryngology, Graduate School of Comprehensive Human Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba 305-8575, Japan. Tel: +81 298 53 3147. Fax: +81 298 53 3147. E-mail: ktabuchi@md.tsukuba.ac.jp

aged. We also examined age-related changes in hearing levels and the cochlear morphology in BDF1 mice in this study.

Material and methods

Animals

C57Bl/6 and BDF1 mice were obtained from CLEA Japan Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). The animals were maintained under a normal day/night cycle and had free access to food and water. The care and use of animals were approved by the Animal Research Committee and Safety Committee for Gene Recombination Research of the University of Tsukuba.

Measurement of auditory brainstem response (ABR)

Mice were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of 50 mg/kg pentobarbital sodium. Positive, negative, and ground electrodes were inserted subcutaneously at the vertex, mastoid, and back, respectively [6,7]. ABR responses were evoked by tone bursts of pure tones at 4, 8, and 16 kHz (rise and fall times, 1 ms; duration, 10 ms). Evoked responses were filtered with a band pass of 200–3000 Hz and averaged with 1000 sweeps using a signal processor (Synax 2100, NEC, Tokyo, Japan). The sound intensity was varied in 5 dB steps. The hearing threshold was defined as the lowest intensity yielding the consistent appearance of at least one ABR peak.

Evaluation of hair cell loss and spiral ganglion cell count

The mice were sacrificed under deep anesthesia. Cardiac perfusion was performed with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Cochleae were then removed and immersed in the same fixative for 8 h at 4°C. After fixation, the cochleae were decalcified with ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA). Whole mounts of the organ of Corti were permeabilized with 5% Triton X-100 (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) in PBS with 10% fetal bovine serum for 10 min. The specimens were stained with a rhodamine-phalloidin probe (1:100, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA) at room temperature for 1 h [8]. Phalloidin is a specific marker for cellular F-actin and labels stereociliary arrays and cuticular plate of hair cells. All specimens were thoroughly inspected under a laser confocal microscope from the apex to base (TCS 4D, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Quantitative results were obtained by evaluating 30 outer hair cells associated with 10 inner hair cells in a given microscope field in each turn. The average of three separate counts was used to represent each specimen. For counting spiral ganglion cells, the fixed and decalcified cochleae were embedded in paraffin. The cochleae were cut into midmodiolar sections of 5 μ m thickness. After the sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin, the numbers of spiral ganglion cells were counted.

Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

BDF1 mice were sacrificed under deep anesthesia. Cochleae were dissected under a microscope, and the organ of Corti was removed for the RT-PCR study. Total RNAs were isolated from the culture specimens using an Isogen RNA preparation kit (Nippon Gene, Tokyo, Japan). The cDNA samples were synthesized with Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). Real-time RT-PCR was performed to quantify mRNA levels of HO1, NQO1, and SOD1 using an ABI-PRISM 7700 Sequence Detector System (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, USA). For analyses of HO1 and NQO1, primers and TaqMan probes were added to the master mixture that contained all reagents for PCR (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, USA). For analysis of SOD1, the primers (forward: 5's-GACAAACCTGAGCCCTAAG-3's; 5's-CGACCTTGCTCCTTATTG-3's) were added to the Power SYBR Green RNA-to-CT 1 step kit (Applied Biosystems).

Data analysis

All data are presented as means \pm SEM. ABR threshold shifts and missing hair cell rates were evaluated with two-way ANOVA using StatView 5.0. A p value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

ABR thresholds of aged BDF1 mice and comparison with those of C57BL/67 mice

Hearing thresholds of BDF1 mice were examined using ABR (Figure 1). The ABR thresholds were elevated at 6 months of age as compared with those at 3 months (n = 10 in each group, two-way ANOVA and the Bonferroni test: **p < 0.01). ABR thresholds further increased at 11 months (n = 10 in each group, two-way ANOVA and the Bonferroni test: **p < 0.01 compared with 6 months). In addition to the BDF1 mice, we examined ABR thresholds of C57Bl/6 mice. C57Bl/6 mice also showed an elevation of ABR thresholds on aging. Namely, ABR thresholds were significantly higher at 11 than at 6 months (two-way ANOVA and the Bonferroni test: **p < 0.01).




Figure 1. ABR thresholds of BDF1 and C57Bl/6 mice. ABR thresholds were examined at 3, 6, and 11 months of age in both mouse strains. In BDF1 mice, ABR thresholds were elevated at 6 months as compared with those at 3 months, and those at 11 months were significantly higher than at 6 months (two-way ANOVA: **p < 0.01). In C57Bl/6 mice, ABR thresholds were elevated by 11 months (two-way ANOVA: **p < 0.01). When comparing those two mouse strains, ABR thresholds were higher in BDF1 than in C57Bl/6 mice (two-way ANOVA: *p < 0.05).

ABR thresholds of BDF1 mice were significantly higher than those of C57Bl/6 mice at 11 months (n = 10 in each group).

Loss of hair cells and spiral ganglion cells in aged BDF1 mice

Hair cell loss of each turn of the cochlea was examined using phalloidin-stained surface preparation specimens at 3, 6, and 11 months in BDF1 mice. A significant loss of outer hair cells was observed at 6 months (Figure 2B, two-way ANOVA and the Bonferroni test: $\star\star p < 0.01$). Hair cell loss became more evident by 11 months. On the other hand, the loss of inner hair cells at 6 months was almost the same as that at 3 months. Significant inner hair cell loss was observed by 11 months of age (Figure 2C). There was a tendency whereby more cell loss was observed in the lower than the upper turns, and in the outer than inner hair cells.

The number of spiral ganglion cells was counted using midmodiolar sections at 3, 6, and 11 months of age. Spiral ganglion cells decreased by 11 months of age (Figure 3).

Expression of mRNAs of antioxidant enzymes

The mRNA expression of Ho1, Nqo1, and Sod1 relative to GAPDH was examined at 6 (n = 5) and 11 (n = 5) months. As shown in Figure 4, the expression level of Nqo1 mRNA did not change on aging. However, mRNA expression of Ho1 and Sod1 significantly decreased at 11 months as compared with 6 months (one-way ANOVA: p < 0.05 for expression of Ho1 and Sod1).

Discussion

BDF1 mice were created as a hybrid strain of C57Bl/6 and DBA/2 mice. The BDF1 strain is thus closely related to the C57Bl/6 strain, which rapidly develops AHL [9]. We compared ABR thresholds between the BDF1 and C57Bl/6 mice as a function of age. The BDF1 mice exhibited significant AHL by 6 months. ABR thresholds were elevated in the BDF1 as compared with the C57Bl/6 mice at 11 months of age, showing that BDF1 mice exhibited more severe AHL than C57Bl/6 mice (Figure 1). By this age, BDF1 mice showed the loss of inner and outer hair cells and spiral ganglion cells (Figures 2 and 3).




Figure 2. Hair cell loss in BDF1 mice. (A) Representative photographs of phalloidin-stained hair cells at 11 months. Hair cell loss was evident in the upper turns. (B and C) Quantitative analysis of inner and outer hair cell loss. Hair cell loss was observed at 6 months in outer hair cells, and at 11 months in inner hair cells (two-way ANOVA: **p < 0.01).




Figure 3. Spiral ganglion cells. (A) Representative photograph of spiral ganglion cells at 11 months; arrow, upper second turn; arrowhead, upper first turn. (B) Quantitative analysis of spiral ganglion cells. Spiral ganglion cells decreased by 11 months (two-way ANOVA: *p < 0.05).

The present PCR findings demonstrated that expression levels of antioxidant enzymes changed during aging. SOD1, dismutasing superoxide to hydrogen peroxide, is one of the best characterized enzymes in cochlear pathology. For example, AHL was accelerated in SOD-deficient mice, and SOD-deficient mice were demonstrated to be vulnerable to cochlear acoustic injury [2,3,10]. Tempol, an SOD mimetic agent, also alleviated acoustic injury [7]. In addition to SOD1, antioxidant properties of HO1 were also reported. HO is a rate-limiting enzyme in heme catabolism, which leads to the generation of biliverdin, free iron, and carbon monoxide [11].

Three HO isoforms have been identified, as well as HO1 responses to various oxidative stresses. Regarding cochlear pathology, the expression of HO1 was reportedly induced by intense noise exposure [12]. The pharmacological induction of HO1 suppressed the apoptosis of cochlear HEI-OC1 cells induced by cisplatin [13]. These results indicate that SOD1 and HO1 may serve as safeguards of auditory hair cells against oxidative stress induced by various cochlear injuries. There is a possibility, therefore, that decreases in expression levels of SOD1 and HO1 may accelerate the hair cell loss observed in AHL.




Figure 4. mRNA levels of Ho1, Nqo1, and Sod1 relative to GAPDH. The animals were sacrificed at 6 and 11 months, and mRNA expression was examined. The relative mRNA levels of Ho1 and Sod1 were significantly decreased by aging (one-way ANOVA: *p < 0.05).

NQO1 is a widely distributed FAD-dependent flavoprotein that catalyzes the reduction of quinones, quinoneimines, nitroaromatics, and azo dyes [14]. The antioxidant action of NQO1 is inherent in its catalytic mechanism: the obligatory two-electron reduction of a broad array of quinones to their corresponding hydroquinones using NADPH or NADH as the hydride donor. The induction of NQO1 is well regulated by the Keap1-Nrf2 pathway [14]. NRf2 also drives the induction of HO1. A decrease of HO1 in the cochlea due to aging may not be induced by the modulation of Nrf2 based on the NQO1 result. In this study, we examined the mRNA expression of antioxidant defense enzymes using BDF1 mice. As described above, our PCR findings suggest the involvement of these enzymes in AHL. Because severity of AHL differs among strains, a foreseeable extension of this research would be to include comparison of expression of antioxidant enzymes among strains.

In conclusion, expression levels of HO1 and SOD1 decreased in aged mice. The present results indicate that the condition of antioxidant defenses changes during aging in the cochlea, and suggest that the decrease of antioxidant enzymes may accelerate cochlear degeneration induced by aging. Further, precise studies on the cochlear antioxidant system will elucidate the generation mechanism(s) of AHL, and may help establish a clinical approach to protect mechanosensory hair cells from this type of stress.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Grants-in-aid for Scientific Research (C) 20591969 and 22791567 from the

Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan.

Declaration of interest: The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

References

- [1] Riva C, Donadieu E, Maqnan J, Lavielle JP. Age-related hearing loss in CD/1 mice is associated to ROS formation and HIF target proteins up-regulation in the cochlea. Exp Gerontol 2007;42:327–36.
- [2] McFadden SL, Ding DL, Reaume AG, Flood DG, Salvi RJ. Age-related cochlear hair cell loss is enhanced in mice lacking copper/zinc superoxide dismutase. Neurobiol Aging 1999; 20:1–8.
- [3] McFadden SL, Ding DL, Burkard RF, Jiang H, Reaume AG, Flood DG, et al. Cu/Zn SOD deficiency potentiates hearing loss and cochlear pathology in aged 129,CD-1 mice. J Comp Neurol 1999;413:101–12.
- [4] Keithley EM, Canto C, Zheng QY, Fischel-Ghodsian N, Johnson KR. Age-related hearing loss and ahl locus in mice. Hear Res 2004;188:21–8.
- [5] Mikuriya T, Sugahara K, Sugimoto K, Fujimoto M, Takemoto T, Hashimoto M, et al. Attenuation of progressive hearing loss in a model of age-related hearing loss by a heat shock protein inducer, geranylheranylacetone. Brain Res 2008:1212:9-17.
- [6] Tabuchi K, Suzuki M, Mizuno A, Hara A. Hearing impairment in TRPV4 knockout mice. Neurosci Lett 2005;382:304–8.
- [7] Murashita H, Tabuchi K, Hoshino T, Tsuji S, Hara A. The effects of tempol, 3-aminobenzamide and nitric oxide synthase inhibitors on acoustic injury of the mouse cochlea. Hear Res 2006;214:1–6.
- [8] Tabuchi K, Pak K, Chavez E, Ryan AF. Role of inhibitor of apoptosis protein in gentamicin-induced cochlear hair cell damage. Neuroscience 2007;149:213–22.
- [9] Henry KR, Chole RA. Genotypic differences in behavioral, physiological and anatomical expressions of age-related hearing loss in the laboratory mouse. Audiology 1980;19:369–83.
- [10] Ohlemiller KK, McFadden SL, Ding DL, Flood DG, Reaume AG, Hoffman EK, et al. Targeted deletion of the cytosolic Cu/Zn-superoxide dismutase gene (Sod1) increases susceptibility to noise-induced hearing loss. Audiol Neurootol 1999;4:237–46.
- [11] Maines MD. Heme oxygenase: function, multiplicity, regulatory mechanisms, and clinical applications. FASEB J 1988; 2:2557-68.
- [12] Matsunobu T, Satoh Y, Ogawa K, Shiotani A. Heme oxygenase-1 expression in the guinea pig cochlea induced by intense noise stimulation. Acta Otolaryngol Suppl 2009; 562:18–23.
- [13] Kim HJ, So HS, Lee JH, Lee JH, Park C, Park SY, et al. Heme oxygenase-1 attenuates the cisplatin-induced apoptosis of auditory cells via down-regulation of reactive oxygen species generation. Free Radic Biol Med 2006;40:1810–19.
- [14] Dinkova-Kostova A, Talalay P. NAD(P)H:quinone acceptor oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1), a multifunctional antioxidant enzyme and exceptionally versatile cytoprotector. Arch Biochem Biophys 2010;501:116–23.

Partial Requirement of Endothelin Receptor B in Spiral Ganglion Neurons for Postnatal Development of Hearing*5

Received for publication, March 8, 2011, and in revised form, June 23, 2011 Published, JBC Papers in Press, June 28, 2011, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M111.236802

Michiru Ida-Eto^{‡1}, Nobutaka Ohgami^{‡1}, Machiko Iida[‡], Ichiro Yajima[‡], Mayuko Y. Kumasaka[‡], Kazutaka Takaiwa[§], Takashi Kimitsuki[§], Michihiko Sone[¶], Tsutomu Nakashima[¶], Toyonori Tsuzuki[∥], Shizuo Komune[§], Masashi Yanagisawa**, and Masashi Kato^{‡2}

From the [‡]Unit of the Environmental Health Sciences, Department of Biomedical Sciences, College of Life and Health Sciences, Chubu University, Kasugai, Aichi 487-8501, Japan, the §Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Kyushu University Graduate School of Medicine, Fukuoka 812-8582, Japan, the Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya 466-8550, Japan, the Department of Pathology, Nagoya Daini Red Cross Hospital, Nagoya 466-8650, Japan, and the **Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas 75390

Impairments of endothelin receptor B (Ednrb/EDNRB) cause the development of Waardenburg-Shah syndrome with congenital hearing loss, hypopigmentation, and megacolon disease in mice and humans. Hearing loss in Waardenburg-Shah syndrome has been thought to be caused by an Ednrbmediated congenital defect of melanocytes in the stria vascularis (SV) of inner ears. Here we show that Ednrb expressed in spiral ganglion neurons (SGNs) in inner ears is required for postnatal development of hearing in mice. Ednrb protein was expressed in SGNs from WT mice on postnatal day 19 (P19), whereas it was undetectable in SGNs from WT mice on P3. Correspondingly, Ednrb homozygously deleted mice (Ednrb^{-/-} mice) with congenital hearing loss showed degeneration of SGNs on P19 but not on P3. The congenital hearing loss involving neurodegeneration of SGNs as well as megacolon disease in $Ednrb^{-/-}$ mice were markedly improved by introducing an Ednrb transgene under control of the dopamine β -hydroxylase promoter ($Ednrb^{-/-}$;DBH-Ednrb mice) on P19. Neither defects of melanocytes nor hypopigmentation in the SV and skin in $Ednrb^{-/-}$ mice was rescued in the $Ednrb^{-/-}$; DBH-Ednrb mice. Thus, the results of this study indicate a novel role of Ednrb expressed in SGNs distinct from that in melanocytes in the SV contributing partially to postnatal hearing development.

thelin receptor B (Ednrb) (4), is characterized by hypopigmentation, megacolon disease and hearing loss. Endothelin receptor B (Ednrb/EDNRB) belongs to the G protein-coupled receptor family, mediating pleiotropic actions of endothelins (5, 6). ET-1, ET-2, and ET-3 are ligands for Ednrb with equal affinity (6, 7). Impairments of Ednrb/EDNRB have been shown to cause embryonic defects of melanocytes and enteric ganglion neurons derived from the neural crest, resulting in hypopigmentation, megacolon disease, and congenital hearing loss. In rodent models, piebald-lethal rats in which Ednrb is spontaneously mutated (8) and Ednrb homozygous

Waardenburg syndrome (WS)3 involves hearing loss and

hypopigmentation. The incidence of WS is 1/10,000 – 20,000

people (1). Waardenburg-Shah syndrome (WS type IV,

WS-IV), caused by mutations of the transcription factor Sox10

(2), the cytokine endothelin (ET)-3 (3) and its receptor endo-

knock-out $(Ednrb^{-/-})$ mice (9) have been shown to have typical WS-IV phenotypes. Thus, these previous studies indicate that Ednrb is one of the key regulatory molecules for embryonic development of melanocytes and peripheral neurons including neurons in the enteric nervous system.

The inner ears contain the organ of Corti and stria vascularis (SV). The organ of Corti, which contains two kinds of sensory cells (inner hair cells and outer hair cells), is responsible for mechanotransduction, by which sound impulses are converted into neural impulses. Auditory information from the sensory cells is transmitted to spiral ganglion neurons (SGNs) as the primary sensory carrier for the auditory system, followed by eventual transmission to the auditory cortex (10, 11). Impairments of SGNs have been shown to cause hearing loss (12). Our recent study has also shown that c-Ret-mediated degeneration of SGNs directly causes severe congenital hearing loss (13). The SV consists of marginal cells, melanocytes (also known as intermediate cells), and basal cells and has been shown to maintain high levels of potassium ion for endocochlear potential (EP)

^{*} This work was supported in part by Grants-in-aid for Scientific Research (B) 19390168 and 20406003 (to M. K.), Grants-in-aid for Young Scientists (B) 18790738 (to N. O.) and 20791232 (to M. I.-E.) from the Ministry of Educa $tion, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology \, (MEXT), Center \, of \, Excellence$ Project (Health Science Hills) for Private Universities from MEXT and Chubu University Grant S0801055 (to N.O. and M.K.), Lydia O'Leary Memorial Foundation (to M. K.), Asia and Africa Science Platform Program from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (to M. K.), a research grant from the Tokyo Biochemical Research Foundation (to M. K.), Adaptable and Seamless Technology Transfer Program through Target-driven R&D, Japan Science and Technology Agency (to N. O.), Research Foundation from the Institute of Science and Technology Research in Chubu University (to M. K.), and Chubu University Grants A, B, and C (to N. O. and M. K.).

The on-line version of this article (available at http://www.jbc.org) contains supplemental Methods, additional references, and Figs. \$1-\$7.

Both authors contributed equally to this work.

²To whom correspondence should be addressed: Unit of Environmental Health Sciences, Dept. of Biomedical Sciences, College of Life and Health Sciences, Chubu University, No. 50 Bldg., 1200 Matsumoto, Kasugai, Aichi 487-8501, Japan. Tel.: 81-568-51-7364; Fax: 81-568-51-9635; E-mail: katomasa@isc.chubu.ac.jp.

³ The abbreviations used are: WS, Waardenburg syndrome; ABR, auditory brainstem response; dB SPL, decibel sound pressure level; DBH, dopamine β -hydroxylase; Dct, dopachrome tautomerase; EDNRB, endothelin receptor B; EP, endocochlear potential; ET, endothelin; Kir4.1, inward rectifier potassium channel; P3, P16, and P19, postnatal days 3, 16, and 19, respectively; SGN, spiral ganglion neuron; SV, stria vascularis; TEM, transmission electron microscopy.