

## RESULTS OF EARLY EUROPEAN TRIALS

Phase III trials on D2 dissection for curable gastric cancer were carried out by the Medical Research Council and the Dutch Gastric Cancer Group in the early 1990s (3,4). These trials failed to show a survival benefit for D2 over D1 dissection.

The British and Dutch trials demonstrated extremely high hospital mortality after D2, reaching 10 and 13%, respectively. In the British trial, the survival curve of D2 was never better than that of D1 until the end of the trial. In the Dutch trial, the survival curve of D2 caught up with that of D1 after 4 years and remained superior, but the difference between D1 and D2 survival never reached statistical significance.

## DISCUSSION OF THE EARLY TRIALS

The lack of surgical training in the technique of D2 gastrectomy and sub-optimal quality control may explain the inferior outcomes of D2 versus D1 gastrectomy in these early trials. Both trials were carried out without pre-trial training or preliminary studies to confirm the safety of the procedure, and were concluded before many surgeons would have reached the plateau of their learning curve. The 80 hospitals contributing data to the Dutch trial were all relatively low volume units, with most performing only a few gastric resections per year. With such limited experience, it is almost impossible to maintain the quality of the technique and gain adequate experience in managing major complications such as anastomotic leakage, pancreatic fistula or intra-abdominal abscess, all of which can lead to an increase in morbidity and mortality.

Routine resection of the tail of the pancreas in total gastrectomy has been credited with disappointing results. Detailed analysis of the Dutch and British studies showed that splenectomy and distal pancreatectomy were more significant causes of morbidity and mortality than D2 itself (5). In the D2 arm of these trials, splenectomy and distal pancreatectomy were mandatory during total gastrectomy. Resection of the distal pancreas and spleen is no longer deemed a necessary component of modern D2.

Fifteen-year follow-up results of the Dutch trial were recently reported in 2010 (6). The authors reported that D2 was associated with lower loco-regional recurrence and gastric cancer-related death rates than D1. They concluded that D2 is the recommended surgical approach for patients with resectable gastric cancer.

## RECENT TRIALS ON D2 DISSECTION

The Italian Gastric Cancer Study Group (IGCSG) started a prospective one-arm Phase II study in 1994 to confirm the safety and efficacy in increasing survival, using the D2 gastrectomy (7). Following concerns about the high mortality observed in the Dutch and British trials, with total

gastrectomy, they utilized the pancreas-preserving procedure according to the Maruyama technique instead of employing routine distal pancreatectomy (8). Furthermore, they implemented a strict quality control component consisting of pre-trial surgical training at a specialized center in Japan and intra-operative supervision by experienced surgeons. As a result, the Italian trial, including nine hospitals with a total of 191 patients, demonstrated 3% mortality. The survival results of this Phase II study were much better than that of the D2 arms in the Dutch and British trials (9).

Following the favorable results of the Phase II trial, the IGCSG conducted a Phase III trial comparing D1 ( $n = 133$ ) with D2 ( $n = 134$ ), including five specialized hospitals with a total of 267 patients. The post-operative 30-day mortality was 3% for D1 and 2.2% for D2 (10). The safety of D2 performed by experienced surgeons at specialized centers was confirmed in the Phase III study. The survival data from this study is eagerly awaited.

The results of a Phase III trial from Taiwan, comparing D1 ( $n = 110$ ) with D3 ( $n = 111$ ), were reported in 2006 (11). Their D3, according to the old Japanese Classification, in addition to D2, included lymph nodes within the hepato-duodenal ligament, on the superior mesenteric vein, behind the common hepatic artery and on the posterior pancreatic surface but not the para-aortic lymph nodes. This trial was conducted by three experienced surgeons at a single institution and showed statistically significant improvement in survival of D3 compared with D1, demonstrating 5-year survival rates of 59.5 and 53.6%, respectively ( $P = 0.04$ ). This is the first Phase III trial in the world showing survival benefit of radical lymphadenectomy compared with the limited lymphadenectomy. However, this study cannot be considered as solid evidence for the superiority of D3 over D1 because of the rather small sample size and modest survival benefit.

## IS SPLENECTOMY ESSENTIAL TO D2 TOTAL GASTRECTOMY?

Retrospective Japanese studies revealed that 20–30% of patients with advanced gastric cancer in the proximal stomach had nodal metastasis in the splenic hilum (12) and therefore pancreas-preserving splenectomy is part of the standard D2 total gastrectomy (8). After the British and Dutch trials on D2 showed that splenectomy was an important risk factor for post-operative morbidity and mortality, the Japan Clinical Oncology Group (JCOG) conducted a Phase III trial to evaluate the role of splenectomy in total gastrectomy (13). A total of 505 patients with advanced gastric cancer in the upper third of the stomach, without involvement of the greater curvature were randomly assigned to total gastrectomy with ( $n = 254$ ) or without splenectomy ( $n = 251$ ). Recruitment has been completed and final results are awaited. The trial is powered to evaluate the impact on overall survival. If the survival is approximately equivalent, splenic preservation will be the preferred treatment for patients with such tumors.

## ADJUVANT THERAPY

A Phase III study comparing surgery alone to surgery plus post-operative adjuvant chemoradiotherapy (CRT), the INT0116/SWOG9008, showed a large survival benefit of the latter (14). The CRT arm included curative surgery and radiation therapy of 45 Gy with combination chemotherapy using fluorouracil and leucovorin. A total of 556 patients were randomly assigned to surgery alone ( $n = 275$ ) or surgery plus CRT ( $n = 281$ ). The median survival time of surgery alone and surgery plus CRT was 27 and 36 months, respectively ( $P = 0.005$ ). In this trial, 90% of the patients underwent less extensive D0 or D1 surgery while only 10% underwent D2. Although the extent of lymphadenectomy failed to significantly correlate with survival due to the small patient population of D2, detailed analysis showed that inadequate surgery negatively affected survival (15). Sasako et al. (16) noted that the patient population in the CRT arm of this trial was quite similar to the population in a Japanese clinical trial comparing surgery alone to surgery plus adjuvant chemotherapy (17). Most of the prognostic factors, i.e. histological type, tumor location, age, tumor size, and, tumor depth were reasonably comparable between the groups. Nevertheless, the 5-year overall survival of the CRT arm of the INT0116 and the surgery alone arm of the Japanese trial were 42 and 61%, respectively. Sasako et al. strongly suggested that D2 surgery alone might produce better survival than D0/D1 surgery followed by CRT and that the effect of adjuvant CRT may not be so significant if D2 gastrectomy was performed as the standard operation.

The MAGIC trial, a Phase III trial comparing surgery alone to surgery plus peri-operative adjuvant chemotherapy, is the first study demonstrating a clear benefit of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (combined with post-operative chemotherapy) over surgery alone (18). The chemotherapy protocol consisted of three pre-operative and three post-operative cycles of intravenous epirubicin, cisplatin and fluorouracil. A total of 503 patients were randomly assigned to surgery alone ( $n = 253$ ) or surgery plus peri-operative chemotherapy ( $n = 250$ ). The 5-year survival rate of surgery alone and peri-operative chemotherapy group were 23 and 36%, respectively ( $P = 0.009$ ). There was no hazard ratio analysis for the extent of surgery and therefore the benefit of peri-operative adjuvant chemotherapy in addition to D2 surgery remains unclear.

The results of the Adjuvant Chemotherapy Trial of TS-1 for Gastric Cancer (ACTS-GC trial) comparing surgery alone to surgery plus adjuvant S-1 was reported in 2007 (19). Administration of S-1 was started within 6 weeks after curative D2 surgery and continued for 1 year. Patients treated with adjuvant S-1 ( $n = 529$ ) demonstrated a significantly better 3-year survival than those who underwent surgery alone ( $n = 530$ ) (80.5 versus 70.1%,  $P = 0.003$ ). In Japan, adjuvant S-1 therapy has become the standard treatment of choice for Stages II and III gastric cancer patients after curative D2.

## MORE EXTENSIVE SURGERY THAN D2

More extensive surgery than D2 was often carried out in the 1980s and the early 1990s, without any high-level evidence favoring these more extensive procedures. Japanese clinical trials of para-aortic lymph node dissection (PAND) for advanced tumor without apparent metastasis to the nodes and left thoraco-abdominal approach (LTA) for cardiac tumors have shown no survival benefit for patients who underwent such extensive procedures (20,21).

### PARA-AORTIC LYMPH NODE DISSECTION

In advanced gastric cancer, the incidence of microscopic metastases in the para-aortic lymph nodes had been reported from 10 to 30% (22–24). Because the 5-year overall survival rate of patients with para-aortic nodal metastases could be as high as 20% after systematic dissection, PAND had been performed in Japan since the 1980s (25). JCOG conducted a Phase III trial at 24 hospitals in Japan comparing D2 alone ( $n = 263$ ) to D2 plus PAND ( $n = 260$ ) in the late 1990s (JCOG9501) (20). The 5-year overall survival rate was 69.2% for D2 alone and 70.3% for D2 plus PAND. The median operation time was 63 min longer and the median blood loss was 230 ml greater in the group assigned to D2 plus PAND. Treatment with D2 plus PAND did not significantly improve the survival rate in curable gastric cancer when compared with D2. The results may have been disappointing due to the low incidence of para-aortic node metastasis (8%) in this patient population. However, PAND is no longer routinely applied in patients without apparent para-aortic nodal metastases.

Along with para-aortic node metastasis, bulky nodal metastases surrounding the celiac artery and its branches usually suggest poor prognosis. A Phase II trial was carried out by JCOG to evaluate the efficacy and safety of pre-operative chemotherapy followed by D2 plus PAND for locally advanced gastric cancer with bulky celiac nodes and/or para-aortic node metastasis (JCOG0001) (26). The neoadjuvant chemotherapy consisted of irinotecan and cisplatin. This trial was terminated after 55 patients were enrolled because of three treatment-related deaths. The survival outcomes in these patients were promising, with the median survival time of 14.6 months and the 3-year survival rate of 27%.

Following the reasonable results of JCOG0001, JCOG conducted a Phase II trial of pre-operative S-1 plus cisplatin followed by D2 plus PAND for the same patient population as JCOG0001 (JCOG0405) (27). S-1 plus cisplatin is the Japanese standard chemotherapy regimen for unresectable or recurrent gastric cancer. Of 53 enrolled patients, 51 patients were eligible and resection rate and R0 rate were 92 and 82%, respectively. No treatment-related death was observed. Improvement in survival outcome is highly anticipated. The PAND procedure in this scenario is evaluated in combination with neoadjuvant chemotherapy for patients with apparent para-aortic node metastasis.

**LTA APPROACH FOR CARDIAC TUMOR**

The incidence of lower mediastinal lymph node metastasis from cardiac tumors is reported from 10 to 40% (28–32). Because of the inaccessibility of the mediastinal nodes, the LTA had often been used to treat gastric cancer in the cardia (28,29). A randomized Phase III trial was carried out by JCOG to compare the LTA to the abdominal–transhiatal (TH) approach in the treatment of gastric cancer of the cardia with esophageal invasion of <3 cm (JCOG9502) (21). A total of 167 patients were enrolled and randomly assigned to TH ( $n = 82$ ) or the LTA ( $n = 85$ ). At the first interim analysis, 5-year overall survival rate was 52.3% in the TH group and 37.9% in the LTA group. Mortality and morbidity were worse after the LTA (mortality 4%, morbidity 49%) compared with the TH group (0, 34%). The predicted probability of the LTA having a significantly better overall survival than TH group at the final analysis was only 3.65%, and the trial was closed before achieving the projected sample size ( $n = 302$ ). These results do not support routine use of the LTA in treating such tumors.

**MULTI-VISCERAL RESECTIONS FOR GASTRIC CANCER**

For locally advanced gastric cancer with invasion of the head of the pancreas or duodenum, pancreato-duodenectomy may be required. This procedure was rarely performed due to the substantial associated morbidity and mortality until some favorable results were recently reported with a 5-year survival rate of 16–34% (33–36). Although the rate of morbidity after pancreato-duodenectomy is high, this procedure can be attempted by experienced surgeons at specialized hospitals in order to achieve an R0 resection. This may be attempted with the caveat that survival benefit is only likely for patients with a low burden of lymphatic disease (35,37).

For linitis plastica type gastric cancer, which is diffusely infiltrative and often incurable, wide resection such as the left upper abdominal evisceration with or without Appleby's procedure was sometimes attempted (38,39). However, many of these tumors were eventually incurable, and some curable tumors showed a very poor prognosis even after extensive surgery. Surgery alone is currently thought to be inadequate, and the addition of neoadjuvant chemotherapy has demonstrated interesting results for marginally resectable tumors (40).

**LESS EXTENSIVE SURGERY FOR EARLY GASTRIC CANCER**

Examination of lymph node status from extensive lymphadenectomy performed in all stages of gastric cancer has resulted in a vast amount of knowledge concerning the extent and pattern of nodal metastasis. We accordingly know that certain subsets of early gastric cancer have a rare chance of nodal metastasis and in this group extensive lymphadenectomy is unnecessary (41–44).

**FUNCTION-PRESERVING GASTRECTOMY**

Early gastric cancer has an excellent prognosis after surgical treatment, with 5-year survival rates of more than 90%. Since the early 1990s, function-preserving surgery has been introduced in the treatment of early gastric cancer to minimize post-gastrectomy syndromes with the intention of creating a better quality-of-life, while maintaining a high level of radicality (45–47).

**PYLORUS-PRESERVING GASTRECTOMY**

Pylorus-preserving gastrectomy (PPG) is a function-preserving procedure initially described for treatment of peptic ulcer disease by Maki et al. in 1967 (48). Early gastric cancer in the gastric body rarely spreads to the suprapyloric nodes, with an incidence of <1% (49). The pyloric branch of the vagal nerve running alongside the right gastric artery can be preserved by omitting the removal of the suprapyloric nodes so as to maintain the function of the pylorus. As a result, PPG is currently indicated for such tumors. A pyloric cuff of ~2–5 cm in length is preserved to prevent rapid gastric emptying and consequent dumping syndrome. Infrapyloric vessels are preserved to maintain the blood supply of the pyloric cuff. It has been reported that the incidence of the post-prandial dumping syndrome, biliary reflux and gallstone formation is decreased, and body weight recovery is as good as compared with Billroth I reconstruction (47,50–53). Survival outcome after PPG is comparable to that after conventional gastrectomy (49).

**PROXIMAL GASTRECTOMY**

Early gastric cancer located in the proximal third of the stomach rarely spreads to the distal peri-gastric lymph nodes (54). Proximal gastrectomy has been applied to these patients so as to maintain a gastric reservoir. Pylorus function is preserved with this method by preserving vagal nerves in a way similar to PPG. This procedure has clear advantages over total gastrectomy regarding short-term side effects and long-term survival (54,55). Reflux esophagitis is a common complication after proximal gastrectomy (56–58), and an anti-reflux procedure is usually combined with proximal gastrectomy (54,59).

**LAPAROSCOPIC GASTRECTOMY**

Since the early 1990s, laparoscopic surgery has been adopted as minimally invasive treatment for early gastric cancer. Laparoscopic wedge resection with a lesion-lifting method and intra-gastric mucosal resection were initially developed for the treatment of early gastric cancer without the risk of lymph node metastasis (60,61). Since Kitano et al. (62) first reported laparoscopic-assisted distal gastrectomy (LADG) with lymph node dissection, this procedure has been widely applied worldwide for early gastric cancer with a low risk of lymph node metastasis.

There have been four small randomized controlled trials, which reported that LADG has several advantages over open surgery, including early recovery, less pain and less impaired pulmonary function. At the same time, there appears to be no difference in morbidity and mortality when compared with open distal gastrectomy (ODG) (63–66). A recent multi-center retrospective study with 1294 patients conducted by the Japanese Laparoscopic Surgery Study Group reported morbidity and mortality rates after laparoscopic gastrectomy to be 14.8 and 0%, respectively, and showed a comparably good survival outcome to open gastrectomy (67).

A Phase II study estimating the feasibility of LADG in the treatment of Stage I gastric cancer patients was carried out by JCOG (JCOG0703). The results demonstrate that LADG can be performed safely with an acceptable morbidity by experienced surgeons (68). Following the result of this Phase II study, JCOG has initiated a large Phase III trial comparing LADG with ODG for Stage I cancer (JCOG0912).

The Korea Laparoscopic Gastrointestinal Surgery Study Group conducted a multi-institutional Phase III trial (KLASS trial) to assess the short- and long-term outcomes of LADG for early gastric cancer (69). A total of 342 patients were randomly assigned to LADG ( $n = 179$ ) or ODG ( $n = 161$ ) and the morbidity rates were 10.5 and 14.7%, respectively ( $P = 0.137$ ). The mortality rates were 1.1 and 0% in the LADG and ODG groups ( $P = 0.497$ ), respectively. Survival outcomes from this trial are still awaited.

## CONCLUSION

D2 gastrectomy is still considered the gold standard surgical treatment for advanced gastric cancer but multi-modality treatments combined with surgery may further improve survival. There are now several surgical options for early gastric cancer depending on the risk of nodal metastasis. The efficacy of LADG for early gastric cancer is currently being assessed. If the results are favorable, then LADG may also be appropriate for more advanced disease. These specialist procedures will require good quality control achieved through supervision and training by experienced surgeons in high volume centers.

## Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Mr. Rajwinder Nijjar (Heart of England NHS foundation trust, Birmingham, UK) for suggestions from the perspective of the West.

## Conflict of interest statement

None declared.

## References

1. Maruyama K, Okabayashi K, Kinoshita T. Progress in gastric cancer surgery in Japan and its limits of radicality. *World J Surg* 1987;11:418–25.
2. Sawai K, Takahashi T, Suzuki H. New trends in surgery for gastric cancer in Japan. *J Surg Oncol* 1994;56:221–6.
3. Bonenkamp JJ, Hermans J, Sasako M, van de Velde CJ, Welvaart K, Songun I, et al. Extended lymph-node dissection for gastric cancer. *N Engl J Med* 1999;340:908–14.
4. Cuschieri A, Weeden S, Fielding J, Bancewicz J, Craven J, Joypaul V, et al. Patient survival after D1 and D2 resections for gastric cancer: long-term results of the MRC randomized surgical trial. Surgical Co-operative Group. *Br J Cancer* 1999;79:1522–30.
5. Sasako M. Risk factors for surgical treatment in the Dutch Gastric Cancer Trial. *Br J Surg* 1997;84:1567–71.
6. Songun I, Putter H, Kranenbarg EM, Sasako M, van de Velde CJ. Surgical treatment of gastric cancer: 15-year follow-up results of the randomised nationwide Dutch D1D2 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2010;11:439–49.
7. Degiuli M, Sasako M, Ponti A, Soldati T, Danese F, Calvo F. Morbidity and mortality after D2 gastrectomy for gastric cancer: results of the Italian Gastric Cancer Study Group prospective multicenter surgical study. *J Clin Oncol* 1998;16:1490–3.
8. Maruyama K, Sasako M, Kinoshita T, Sano T, Katai H, Okajima K. Pancreas-preserving total gastrectomy for proximal gastric cancer. *World J Surg* 1995;19:532–6.
9. Degiuli M, Sasako M, Ponti A, Calvo F. Survival results of a multicentre phase II study to evaluate D2 gastrectomy for gastric cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2004;90:1727–32.
10. Degiuli M, Sasako M, Ponti A. Morbidity and mortality in the Italian Gastric Cancer Study Group randomized clinical trial of D1 versus D2 resection for gastric cancer. *Br J Surg* 2010;97:643–9.
11. Wu CW, Hsiung CA, Lo SS, Hsieh MC, Chen JH, Li AF, et al. Nodal dissection for patients with gastric cancer: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2006;7:309–15.
12. Sasako M, McCulloch P, Kinoshita T, Maruyama K. New method to evaluate the therapeutic value of lymph node dissection for gastric cancer. *Br J Surg* 1995;82:346–51.
13. Sano T, Yamamoto S, Sasako M. Randomized controlled trial to evaluate splenectomy in total gastrectomy for proximal gastric carcinoma: Japan clinical oncology group study JCOG 0110-MF. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2002;32:363–4.
14. Macdonald JS, Smalley SR, Benedetti J, Hundahl SA, Estes NC, Stemmermann GN, et al. Chemoradiotherapy after surgery compared with surgery alone for adenocarcinoma of the stomach or gastroesophageal junction. *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:725–30.
15. Hundahl SA, Macdonald JS, Benedetti J, Fitzsimmons T. Surgical treatment variation in a prospective, randomized trial of adjuvant chemotherapy in gastric cancer: the effect of undertreatment. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2002;9:278–86.
16. Sasako M, Saka M, Fukagawa T, Katai H, Sano T. Surgical treatment of advanced gastric cancer: Japanese perspective. *Dig Surg* 2007; 24:101–7.
17. Miyashiro I, Furukawa H, Sasako M, Yamamoto S, Nashimoto A, Nakajima T, et al. No survival benefit with adjuvant chemotherapy for serosa-positive gastric cancer: randomized trial of adjuvant chemotherapy with cisplatin followed by oral fluorouracil in serosa-positive gastric cancer. Japan Clinical Oncology Group 9206–2. In: ASCO Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium, Hollywood, FL, 2005. Abstract No. 4.
18. Cunningham D, Allum WH, Stenning SP, Thompson JN, Van de Velde CJ, Nicolson M, et al. Perioperative chemotherapy versus surgery alone for resectable gastroesophageal cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355:11–20.
19. Sakuramoto S, Sasako M, Yamaguchi T, Kinoshita T, Fujii M, Nashimoto A, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy for gastric cancer with S-1, an oral fluoropyrimidine. *N Engl J Med* 2007;357:1810–20.
20. Sasako M, Sano T, Yamamoto S, Kurokawa Y, Nashimoto A, Kurita A, et al. D2 lymphadenectomy alone or with para-aortic nodal dissection for gastric cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2008;359:453–62.
21. Sasako M, Sano T, Yamamoto S, Sairenji M, Arai K, Kinoshita T, et al. Left thoracoabdominal approach versus abdominal–transhiatal approach

- for gastric cancer of the cardia or subcardia: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2006;7:644–51.
22. Baba M, Hokita S, Natsugoe S, Miyazono T, Shimada M, Nakano S, et al. Paraaortic lymphadenectomy in patients with advanced carcinoma of the upper-third of the stomach. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2000;47:893–6.
  23. Isozaki H, Okajima K, Fujii K, Nomura E, Izumi N, Mabuchi H, et al. Effectiveness of paraaortic lymph node dissection for advanced gastric cancer. *Hepatogastroenterology* 1999;46:549–54.
  24. Maeta M, Yamashiro H, Saito H, Katano K, Kondo A, Tsujitani S, et al. A prospective pilot study of extended (D3) and superextended para-aortic lymphadenectomy (D4) in patients with T3 or T4 gastric cancer managed by total gastrectomy. *Surgery* 1999;125:325–31.
  25. Yonemura Y, Segawa M, Matsumoto H, Tsugawa K, Ninomiya I, Fonseca L, et al. Surgical results of performing R4 gastrectomy for gastric cancer located in the upper third of the stomach. *Surg Today* 1994;24:488–93.
  26. Yoshikawa T, Sasako M, Yamamoto S, Sano T, Imamura H, Fujitani K, et al. Phase II study of neoadjuvant chemotherapy and extended surgery for locally advanced gastric cancer. *Br J Surg* 2009;96:1015–22.
  27. Kawashima Y, Sasako M, Tsuburaya A, Sano T, Tanaka Y, Nashimoto A, et al. Phase II study of preoperative neoadjuvant chemotherapy (CX) with S-1 plus cisplatin for gastric cancer (GC) with bulky and/or para-aortic lymph node metastases: a Japan Clinical Oncology Group Study (JCOG0405). In: ASCO Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium, San Francisco, CA, 2008. Abstract No. 118.
  28. Kawaura Y, Mori Y, Nakajima H, Iwa T. Total gastrectomy with left oblique abdominotheracic approach for gastric cancer involving the esophagus. *Arch Surg* 1988;123:514–8.
  29. Kodama I, Kofuji K, Yano S, Shinozaki K, Murakami N, Hori H, et al. Lymph node metastasis and lymphadenectomy for carcinoma in the gastric cardia: clinical experience. *Int Surg* 1998;83:205–9.
  30. Maruyama K, Sasako M, Kinoshita T, Sano T, Katai H. Surgical treatment for gastric cancer: the Japanese approach. *Semin Oncol* 1996;23:360–8.
  31. Husemann B. Cardia carcinoma considered as a distinct clinical entity. *Br J Surg* 1989;76:136–9.
  32. Dresner SM, Lamb PJ, Bennett MK, Hayes N, Griffin SM. The pattern of metastatic lymph node dissemination from adenocarcinoma of the esophagogastric junction. *Surgery* 2001;129:103–9.
  33. Saka M, Mudan SS, Katai H, Sano T, Sasako M, Maruyama K. Pancreaticoduodenectomy for advanced gastric cancer. *Gastric Cancer* 2005;8:1–5.
  34. Wang XB, Yang LT, Zhang ZW, Guo JM, Cheng XD. Pancreaticoduodenectomy for advanced gastric cancer with pancreaticoduodenal region involvement. *World J Gastroenterol* 2008;14:3425–9.
  35. Nunobe S, Hiki N, Ohyama S, Fukunaga T, Seto Y, Yamaguchi T. Survival benefits of pancreaticoduodenectomy for gastric cancer: relationship to the number of lymph node metastases. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 2008;393:157–62.
  36. Lee HJ, Park do J, Lee KU. Pancreaticoduodenectomy for locally advanced gastric cancer. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2007;54:977–80.
  37. Martin RC, 2nd, Jaques DP, Brennan MF, Karpeh M. Extended local resection for advanced gastric cancer: increased survival versus increased morbidity. *Ann Surg* 2002;236:159–65.
  38. Furukawa H, Hiratsuka M, Iwanaga T, Imaoka S, Ishikawa O, Kabuto T, et al. Extended surgery—left upper abdominal exenteration plus Appleby's method—for type 4 gastric carcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* 1997;4:209–14.
  39. Furukawa H, Hiratsuka M, Iwanaga T. A rational technique for surgical operation on Borrmann type 4 gastric carcinoma: left upper abdominal exenteration plus Appleby's method. *Br J Surg* 1988;75:116–9.
  40. Kinoshita T, Sasako M, Sano T, Katai H, Furukawa H, Tsuburaya A, et al. Phase II trial of S-1 for neoadjuvant chemotherapy against scirrhous gastric cancer (JCOG 0002). *Gastric Cancer* 2009;12:37–42.
  41. Gotoda T, Yanagisawa A, Sasako M, Ono H, Nakanishi Y, Shimoda T, et al. Incidence of lymph node metastasis from early gastric cancer: estimation with a large number of cases at two large centers. *Gastric Cancer* 2000;3:219–25.
  42. Nakamura K, Morisaki T, Sugitani A, Ogawa T, Uchiyama A, Kinukawa N, et al. An early gastric carcinoma treatment strategy based on analysis of lymph node metastasis. *Cancer* 1999;85:1500–5.
  43. Tsujitani S, Oka S, Saito H, Kondo A, Ikeguchi M, Maeta M, et al. Less invasive surgery for early gastric cancer based on the low probability of lymph node metastasis. *Surgery* 1999;125:148–54.
  44. Yamao T, Shirao K, Ono H, Kondo H, Saito D, Yamaguchi H, et al. Risk factors for lymph node metastasis from intramucosal gastric carcinoma. *Cancer* 1996;77:602–6.
  45. Sawai K, Takahashi T, Fujioka T, Minato H, Taniguchi H, Yamaguchi T. Pylorus-preserving gastrectomy with radical lymph node dissection based on anatomical variations of the infrapyloric artery. *Am J Surg* 1995;170:285–8.
  46. Kodama M, Koyama K. Indications for pylorus preserving gastrectomy for early gastric cancer located in the middle third of the stomach. *World J Surg* 1991;15:628–33; discussion 33–4.
  47. Isozaki H, Okajima K, Momura E, Ichinona T, Fujii K, Izumi N, et al. Postoperative evaluation of pylorus-preserving gastrectomy for early gastric cancer. *Br J Surg* 1996;83:266–9.
  48. Maki T, Shiratori T, Hatafuku T, Sugawara K. Pylorus-preserving gastrectomy as an improved operation for gastric ulcer. *Surgery* 1967;61:838–45.
  49. Morita S, Katai H, Saka M, Fukagawa T, Sano T, Sasako M. Outcome of pylorus-preserving gastrectomy for early gastric cancer. *Br J Surg* 2008;95:1131–5.
  50. Kodama M, Koyama K, Chida T, Arakawa A, Tur G. Early postoperative evaluation of pylorus-preserving gastrectomy for gastric cancer. *World J Surg* 1995;19:456–60; discussion 61.
  51. Imada T, Rino Y, Takahashi M, Suzuki M, Tanaka J, Shiozawa M, et al. Postoperative functional evaluation of pylorus-preserving gastrectomy for early gastric cancer compared with conventional distal gastrectomy. *Surgery* 1998;123:165–70.
  52. Enjoji A, Ura K, Ozeki K, Tsukamoto M, Ikematsu Y, Kanematsu T. Cyclic motor activity of the gallbladder maintained in a pylorus-preserving gastrectomy in dogs. *Surg Today* 1996;26:489–95.
  53. Nunobe S, Sasako M, Saka M, Fukagawa T, Katai H, Sano T. Symptom evaluation of long-term postoperative outcomes after pylorus-preserving gastrectomy for early gastric cancer. *Gastric Cancer* 2007;10:167–72.
  54. Katai H, Sano T, Fukagawa T, Shinohara H, Sasako M. Prospective study of proximal gastrectomy for early gastric cancer in the upper third of the stomach. *Br J Surg* 2003;90:850–3.
  55. Katai H, Morita S, Saka M, Taniguchi H, Fukagawa T. Long-term outcome after proximal gastrectomy with jejunal interposition for suspected early cancer in the upper third of the stomach. *Br J Surg* 2010;97:558–62.
  56. Kim JH, Park SS, Kim J, Boo YJ, Kim SJ, Mok YJ, et al. Surgical outcomes for gastric cancer in the upper third of the stomach. *World J Surg* 2006;30:1870–6; discussion 7–8.
  57. An JY, Youn HG, Choi MG, Noh JH, Sohn TS, Kim S. The difficult choice between total and proximal gastrectomy in proximal early gastric cancer. *Am J Surg* 2008;196:587–91.
  58. Katsoulis IE, Robotis JF, Kouraklis G, Yannopoulos PA. What is the difference between proximal and total gastrectomy regarding postoperative bile reflux into the oesophagus? *Dig Surg* 2006;23:325–30.
  59. Sakuramoto S, Yamashita K, Kikuchi S, Futawatari N, Katada N, Moriya H, et al. Clinical experience of laparoscopy-assisted proximal gastrectomy with Toupet-like partial fundoplication in early gastric cancer for preventing reflux esophagitis. *J Am Coll Surg* 2009;209:344–51.
  60. Ohgami M, Otani Y, Kumai K, Kubota T, Kim YI, Kitajima M. Curative laparoscopic surgery for early gastric cancer: five years experience. *World J Surg* 1999;23:187–92; discussion 92–3.
  61. Ohashi S. Laparoscopic intraluminal (intra-gastric) surgery for early gastric cancer. A new concept in laparoscopic surgery. *Surg Endosc* 1995;9:169–71.
  62. Kitano S, Iso Y, Moriyama M, Sugimachi K. Laparoscopy-assisted Billroth I gastrectomy. *Surg Laparosc Endosc* 1994;4:146–8.
  63. Kitano S, Shiraishi N, Fujii K, Yasuda K, Inomata M, Adachi Y. A randomized controlled trial comparing open vs laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy for the treatment of early gastric cancer: an interim report. *Surgery* 2002;131:S306–11.
  64. Lee JH, Han HS. A prospective randomized study comparing open vs laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy in early gastric cancer: early results. *Surg Endosc* 2005;19:168–73.
  65. Huscher CG, Mingoli A, Sgarzini G, Sansonetti A, Di Paola M, Recher A, et al. Laparoscopic versus open subtotal gastrectomy for

- distal gastric cancer: five-year results of a randomized prospective trial. *Ann Surg* 2005;241:232-7.
66. Hayashi H, Ochiai T, Shimada H, Gunji Y. Prospective randomized study of open versus laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy with extraperigastric lymph node dissection for early gastric cancer. *Surg Endosc* 2005;19:1172-6.
  67. Kitano S, Shiraishi N, Uyama I, Sugihara K, Tanigawa N. A multicenter study on oncologic outcome of laparoscopic gastrectomy for early cancer in Japan. *Ann Surg* 2007;245:68-72.
  68. Kurokawa Y, Katai H, Fukuda H, Sasako M. Phase II study of laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy with nodal dissection for clinical stage I gastric cancer: Japan Clinical Oncology Group Study JCOG0703. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2008;38:501-3.
  69. Kim HH, Hyung WJ, Cho GS, Kim MC, Han SU, Kim W, et al. Morbidity and mortality of laparoscopic gastrectomy versus open gastrectomy for gastric cancer: an interim report—a phase III multicenter, prospective, randomized Trial (KLASS Trial). *Ann Surg* 2010;251:417-20.

# Efficacy of celiac branch preservation in Roux-en-y reconstruction after laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy

Hiroyuki Yamada, MD, PhD,<sup>a</sup> Kazuyuki Kojima, MD, PhD,<sup>a</sup> Mikito Inokuchi, MD, PhD,<sup>a</sup> Tatsuyuki Kawano, MD, PhD,<sup>a</sup> and Kenichi Sugihara, MD, PhD,<sup>b</sup> Tokyo, Japan

**Background.** The present study investigated the efficacy of preserving the celiac branch of the vagus nerve after laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy (LADG) with Roux-en-Y (R-Y) reconstruction.

**Methods.** Between January 2004 and September 2008, a total of 159 consecutive patients who underwent LADG for gastric carcinoma were classified into groups according to preservation of the celiac branch of the vagus nerve—preservation group (P-LADG; n = 70) and the resection group (R-LADG; n = 89). The parameters analyzed included patient and tumor characteristics, operative details, postoperative outcomes, and nutritional state. The endoscopic findings of the gastric remnant and lower esophagus were evaluated at 12 months postoperatively.

**Results.** In regard to postoperative complications, no significant differences were found between groups. With R-LADG, 14 patients suffered from dumping syndrome (15.7%), compared with only 2 patients with P-LADG (2.9%; P = .007). The amount of meal consumption compared with the preoperative value and the rate of weight reduction at 12 months postoperatively did not differ significantly between groups. Endoscopic findings showed significantly more residue with P-LADG (34.3%) than with R-LADG (16.9%; P = .011).

**Conclusion.** The celiac branch is useful in regulating gastrointestinal motility by maintaining postoperative physiologic function. Celiac branch preservation seems to represent a feasible and beneficial method for LADG. (Surgery 2010;■:■-■.)

From the Department of Esophagogastric Surgery,<sup>a</sup> University Hospital of Medicine, Tokyo; and the Department of Surgical Oncology,<sup>b</sup> Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Tokyo, Japan

DISORDERS SUCH AS MALABSORPTION, POSTPRANDIAL DUMPING SYNDROME, REFLUX ESOPHAGITIS, ALKALINE GASTRITIS, AND DELAYED GASTRIC EMPTYING are all unfavorable sequelae in patients after distal gastrectomy.<sup>1-3</sup> These functional disturbances induce a variety of postgastrectomy symptoms that adversely affect the patient's quality of life. Various limited surgical procedures for early gastric cancer (EGC) that allow functional preservation of the gastric remnant, therefore, are being performed to reduce these disorders and maintain quality of life.

Injury to the hepatic branches from the anterior vagal trunk and autonomic nerves around the common hepatic artery (CHA) is known to result in gallstone formation,<sup>4,5</sup> and injury to the celiac

branch from the posterior vagal trunk reportedly impairs pancreatic insulin release.<sup>6,7</sup> To prevent such disorders, methods to preserve the vagus nerve system have been introduced in distal gastrectomy for EGC. Conversely, in Japan, laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy (LADG) increasingly has been employed for the treatment of gastric cancer to improve quality of life in the early and late postoperative phases.<sup>8,9</sup> Recently, vagus nerve preservation has been combined with laparoscopic gastrectomy, as laparoscopic surgery offers the advantage of a magnified view that allows clear identification of the nerve branches.<sup>5,10</sup>

Hagiwara et al<sup>11</sup> reported that preservation of the celiac branch in distal gastrectomy reduces postgastrectomy disturbances, and it demonstrated good visceral function in 5 patients using barium radiography; however, the underlying mechanisms were not clearly explained in their report. To evaluate the roles and mechanisms of action for the celiac branch, some studies have addressed the pathophysiology of the upper gastrointestinal tract. Yunoki<sup>12</sup> reported that the celiac branch of the vagus nerve is not essential to the initiation

Accepted for publication March 5, 2010.

Reprint requests: Hiroyuki Yamada, MD, PhD, Department of Esophagogastric Surgery, University Hospital of Medicine, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, 1-5-45 Yushima, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8519, Japan. E-mail: h-yamada.srg2@tmd.ac.jp.

0039-6060/\$ - see front matter

© 2010 Mosby, Inc. All rights reserved.

doi:10.1016/j.surg.2010.03.002

of interdigestive motor activities but plays a role in increasing the frequency of recurring motor activity during the interdigestive state. Ando et al<sup>6</sup> reported that the motility index of gastrointestinal motility was higher with the preservation of the celiac branch than with the resection of the celiac branch in fasted and fed states, but no significant differences were found in gastric emptying.

The effects of celiac branch preservation after distal gastrectomy on the gastrointestinal tract have yet to be clarified. This study evaluated the influences of the celiac branch from a clinical perspective in LADG with Roux-en-Y (R-Y) reconstruction.

## METHODS

Between January 2004 and September 2008, 159 consecutive patients with preoperatively diagnosed early gastric carcinoma without lymph node metastasis underwent LADG in the Department of Esophagogastric Surgery at Tokyo Medical and Dental University. The extent of lymph node dissection was D1 plus alpha or beta, as defined by the Japanese Gastric Cancer Association guidelines.<sup>13</sup> All operations were performed by 3 expert surgeons. Patients were enrolled retrospectively in the present study, after excluding 2 patients with simultaneous operations for other cancers and 1 patient who had undergone laparoscopic surgery for transverse colon cancer. A preoperative assessment was made by endoscopy, endoscopic ultrasonography, abdominal ultrasonography, and computer tomography for characterization of the tumors. Preservation of the celiac branch of the vagus nerve was performed for lesions preoperatively diagnosed as mucosal cancer without lymph node metastasis, and the operator made the final judgment of whether to perform nerve preservation in consideration of the physical situation of the patient. Patients were classified according to preservation of the celiac branch into a preservation group (P-LADG;  $n = 70$ ) and a resection group (R-LADG;  $n = 89$ ). The following parameters were recorded: patient age and sex; American Society of Anesthesiologists-physical status (ASA-PS) classification, body mass index (BMI), tumor characteristics, operative time, estimated blood loss, postoperative hospital stay, postoperative complications, and clinical symptoms. Postoperative complications were defined as any event requiring specific medical or surgical treatment. All patients were interviewed 12 months postoperatively about clinical symptoms such as heartburn, diarrhea, constipation, and early dumping syndrome (EDS). EDS was identified if any of the following symptoms (based on the criteria for dumping

syndrome established by the Japan Society of Gastroenterological Surgery<sup>14</sup>) were present immediately after eating: palpitations, pallor, suffusion, abdominal pain, weakness, sweating, or dizziness. Diarrhea was defined as a postoperative new-onset change in bowel habits resulting in 2 or more loose or watery stools in 1 week, with or without postprandial abdominal cramps or urgency. Nutritional parameters were assessed postoperatively by body weight, food intake, and laboratory findings (serum albumin and total cholesterol). Abdominal ultrasonography and computed tomography were performed 12 months postoperatively to detect postoperative recurrence. Endoscopic examination was performed on all patients at 12 months postoperatively to evaluate the condition of the lower esophageal mucosa and gastric remnant stump as well as to detect the presence of bile and the amount of residue in the gastric stump. Endoscopic findings of the gastric remnant were evaluated according to the "residue, gastritis, bile" classification.<sup>15</sup> The incidence of gastritis and residue of grade 2 or greater were calculated.

**Surgical procedures for LADG.** The anatomical distribution and numbering of regional lymph nodes were based on the Japanese classification of the gastric carcinoma, 2nd English ed.<sup>16</sup>

LADG was conducted in a standard manner, as described.<sup>5</sup> Briefly, the patient was placed in the reverse Trendelenburg position. A 5-trocar technique was used for the laparoscopic procedures. The first 12-mm trocar and flexible electrolaparoscope (Fujinon, Tokyo, Japan) were introduced through an umbilical incision after creating low-pressure pneumoperitoneum (10 mmHg) using an open technique. Lymph nodes along the left gastric artery (LGA), CHA, and celiac axis were dissected in addition to D1 lymph node dissection. The procedure began with a division of the omentum along the gastroepiploic vessels to harvest lymph nodes (No. 4d) using ultrasonically activated coagulating shears (laparoscopic coagulating curved shears [LCS]; Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Cincinnati, OH). The main root of the left gastroepiploic vessels was divided using a LigaSure device (Valleylab; TycoHealthcare, Norwalk, CT), then lymph nodes along these vessels were harvested (No. 4sb). Roots of the right gastroepiploic vein were identified and secured with clips. The right gastroepiploic artery then was isolated and divided at the origin. Through this procedure, the infrapyloric lymph nodes (No. 6) were dissected. The duodenum was transected using an endoscopic liner stapler (Endo-GIA; United States Surgical,





Fig 1. Exposure of the junction of the celiac branch and LGA. The celiac branch runs to the celiac ganglion along the LGA.




Fig 2. The LGA was divided at the position where the celiac branch joins the LGA.

Norwalk, CT). A seromuscular suture with a laparoscopic hand-sewn technique was used for the duodenal stump. The right gastric vessels were divided and suprapyloric lymph nodes (No. 5) were dissected. The lesser omentum was divided toward the abdominal esophagus to remove the right paracardial lymph nodes (No. 1) and the lymph nodes along the lesser curvature (No. 3) as well as to preserve the hepatic branch from the anterior vagal trunk. When the celiac branch was preserved, the posterior vagal trunk running along the posterior wall of the abdominal esophagus was isolated with vessel tape. Celiac branches of the posterior vagal trunk run down along the lesser curvature to the celiac ganglion. Lymph nodes along the anterior CHA (No. 8) were dissected toward the celiac artery by LCS. In this step, the hepatic nerve plexus running along the CHA was preserved. Lymph nodes along the LGA (No. 7) and celiac artery (No. 9) were stripped toward the position where the celiac branch of the posterior vagal trunk joins the LGA (Fig 1). The LGA was divided with double clips after isolation of the celiac branch. The vessel tape then was retracted to the right, and the gastric branches were divided using the LCS. Vagus nerve-preserving lymph node dissection was completed (Fig 2).

In the reconstruction phase, a small midline upper abdominal incision 5 cm in length was made. The stomach was externalized through the incision and dissected with the linear stapling device at least 1 cm proximal to the tumor site. After the remnant stomach was returned to the abdominal cavity, the jejunum was externalized through the incision, and the mesentery and

jejunum were divided at a portion 25 cm distal to the ligament of Treitz. Extracorporeal anastomosis of the proximal end of the jejunum to the distal jejunum was created 30 cm distal from the jejunal division using Endo-GIA (45 mm, white cartridge). The common entry hole was closed using a 1-layer running suture. After returning the jejunum to the abdominal cavity, the jejunal loop was brought up the antecolic route and isoperistaltic gastrojejunostomy was performed by side-to-side anastomosis using the Endo-GIA (60 mm, blue cartridge). The common entry hole was closed using a 1-layer running suture.

**Statistical analysis.** All values except postoperative hospital stay are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation with comparisons between groups made using the  $\chi^2$  test and Student *t* test. Postoperative hospital stay is expressed as median  $\pm$  median absolute deviation (MAD) and comparisons between groups were made using the Mann-Whitney *U* test. Values of  $P < .05$  were considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using StatView software (Abacus Concepts, Berkeley, CA).

## RESULTS

Characteristics and operative records of patients are summarized in Table I. No significant differences were observed between groups for age, ASA-PS, BMI, stage of gastric cancer, operative time, estimated blood loss, retrieved lymph nodes, or postoperative hospital stay. A significant difference was found in sex ratio between groups. The time to first flatus was shorter in P-LADG than in R-LADG, although the difference was not statistically significant.

**Table I.** Patients characteristics and operation records

	P-LADG (70)	R-LADG (89)	P value
Age (year)	63.1 ± 11.7	63.2 ± 13.4	.950
Sex (male/female)	42/28	66/23	.058
ASA-PS			
1	40	42	.159
2	25	41	
3	2	6	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )*	22.1 ± 2.0	23.3 ± 2.4	.078
Stage (n)			
IA	62	71	.438
IB	7	14	
II	1	3	
IIIA	0	1	
Operation time (min)*	265.7 ± 52.0	271.9 ± 45.0	.233
Blood loss (mL)	76.1 ± 87.4	99.3 ± 77.6	.079
Retrieved LN (number)	34.5 ± 14.0	33.9 ± 13.7	.813
Time to first flatus (day)*	2.5 ± 0.5	3.0 ± 1.0	.063
Time to fever resolution (day)*	4.0 ± 1.0	4.0 ± 1.0	.233
Postoperative hospital stay (day) (range)*	6.5 ± 1.5 (4–27)	7.0 ± 1.0 (5–47)	.205

Values are mean ± SD.

\*Values represent median ± MAD.

LN, Lymph node.

**Table II.** Postoperative complications

	P-LADG (70)	R-LADG (89)	P value
Anastomotic leakage (%)	0.0	0.0	—
Anastomotic stricture (%)	2.9	2.2	.807
Delirium (%)	1.4	6.7	.105
Wound infection (%)	1.4	2.2	.707

In regard to postoperative complications, no significant differences were identified between groups (Table II). Anastomotic leakage was not observed. Four patients suffered from anastomotic stricture. With R-LADG, 1 patient required endoscopic balloon dilation, and the remainder was treated conservatively. No cases of so-called functional stasis after R-Y reconstruction were found in the present study.

Clinical symptoms were examined 12 months postoperatively. Incidence of heartburn, diarrhea, and constipation did not differ between groups. Dumping syndrome was seen in 14 patients with R-LADG (15.7%), compared with only 2 patients with P-LADG (2.9%;  $P = .007$ ; Table III).

The state of nutrition is shown in Table IV. No significant difference was observed in the rate of weight reduction at 12 months postoperatively between groups. The amount of meal consumed compared with preoperative value at 12 months postoperatively did not differ significantly between

groups. Serum albumin and total cholesterol levels at 12 months postoperatively were similar between groups.

Endoscopic findings were evaluated by 2 expert scopists at 12 months postoperatively (Table V). The amount of residue over grade 2 was significantly greater with P-LADG (34.3%) than with R-LADG (16.9%;  $P = .011$ ). No significant differences were found in remnant gastritis, bile reflux, and reflux esophagitis between groups.

Mean follow-up periods for P-LADG and R-LADG were 45.5 ± 14.6 months and 30.6 ± 12.6 months, respectively. Two R-LADG patients died from peritoneal recurrence and heart failure at 27 and 46 months after the operation, respectively. All remaining patients are currently alive without recurrence.

## DISCUSSION

The vagus nerve comprises afferents and efferents known as sensory neurons and motor neurons respectively, and 90% of the subdiaphragmatic vagus nerve consists of afferents. Afferents in the celiac branch innervate the celiac plexus and then distribute across the alimentary canal from the duodenum to the transverse colon, as well as to the body and tail of the pancreas, and transmit information from visceral organs to the hypothalamus.<sup>17</sup> Conversely, the celiac branch plays a role as an efferent pathway for neuroregulation related to glucose-sensitive units in the hepato-portal system.<sup>18</sup>

**Table III.** Results of questionnaire about postoperative condition

	P-LADG (70)	R-LADG (89)	P value
Clinical symptom (%)			
Heartburn	8.6	9.0	.927
Diarrhea	14.3	9.0	.295
Constipation	15.7	6.7	.696
Dumping syndrome	2.9	15.7	.007

EDS is a serious complication that may occur after gastric surgery in about 5–10% of patients.<sup>19</sup> EDS, typically starting 10–30 min postprandially, usually involves both vasomotor and gastrointestinal complaints. EDS results from a release of intestinal hormones such as bradykinin, serotonin, and histamine, which lead to a large fluid shift from the intravascular space into the intestinal lumen and to subsequent decreases in the quantity of circulating plasma. The vasodilator plasma bradykinin is generated by the action of proteolytic kininogenase enzymes on plasma globulin substrates. In a previous report, greatly increased levels of free plasma bradykinin were found during a dumping attack, whereas blood serotonin concentration remained within the normal range.<sup>20</sup>

Several studies have suggested that the intestinal sensory innervation plays an important role in physiologic regulation.<sup>21,22</sup> Miao et al<sup>17,23</sup> reported that the sensitivity of nociceptive-neuroendocrine negative feedback control is modulated by activity in abdominal vagal afferents. Specifically, the inhibition of bradykinin-induced plasma extravasation generated by this negative feedback loop was potentiated by about 2 orders of magnitude after selectively cutting the celiac branches of the subdiaphragmatic vagus nerve but not after cutting the gastric or hepatic branches. In the study of esophagectomy, patients with vagal sparing were significantly less likely to experience EDS than patients who had undergone either transhiatal or en bloc esophagectomy.<sup>24,25</sup> In the present study, the incidence of EDS was significantly lower with P-LADG than with R-LADG ( $P = .007$ ). Celiac afferents that innervate the small intestine reportedly respond to distension or contraction of the organ and to intraluminal chemical stimulation,<sup>26-28</sup> and afferent activity in the celiac branch is considered to be involved in the regulation of nociceptive system-initiated depression of bradykinin-induced plasma extravasation.

After distal gastrectomy, the remnant stomach usually does not contract.<sup>29</sup> In a study using canine

**Table IV.** Nutritional status at 12 month after operation

	P-LADG (70)	R-LADG (89)	P value
Body weight (%)	90.2 ± 6.2	90.1 ± 7.4	.940
Food intake (%)	81.2 ± 15.3	82.9 ± 13.5	.471
Serum albumin (mg/dl)	4.3 ± 0.9	4.3 ± 0.3	.565
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	183.8 ± 30.6	188.8 ± 110.7	.725

Values are mean ± SD.

models, no obvious phase III contractions were observed in the remnant stomach after distal gastrectomy, regardless of celiac branch preservation.<sup>6</sup> Contractile patterns of the duodenum reportedly influence gastric emptying after B-I gastrectomy,<sup>30</sup> and motility of the efferent limb reportedly is closely related to gastric emptying after distal gastrectomy with R-Y gastrojejunostomy.<sup>31</sup> Van der Mijle et al<sup>32</sup> reported that motility disturbances in the Roux limb were not observed more frequently in patients with either moderately or severely impaired vagal function compared with patients with normal vagal function after R-Y gastrojejunostomy. Conversely, Woodward et al<sup>33</sup> reported that animals with gastric vagotomy showed significantly faster gastric emptying than animals with truncal vagotomy ( $P = .02$ ) after antrectomy and R-Y gastrojejunostomy; however, the relationship between gastric emptying and motility of the jejunum has yet to be clarified. The effects of the vagus nerve system on gastrointestinal motility after distal gastrectomy with R-Y reconstruction have not been elucidated. In this study, the amount of residue was significantly greater with P-LADG than with R-LADG ( $P = .011$ ); however, incidences of heartburn and levels of food intake were similar between groups, and no cases of poor gastric emptying affecting food intake were found. The symptoms of early dumping are thought to result from the rapid emptying of hyperosmolar chyme into the small bowel. The low incidence of EDS in P-LADG may be related to frequent stasis in the Roux limb as a result of celiac branch preservation.

Diarrhea is significantly more common after truncal vagotomy than after selective vagotomy.<sup>34</sup> Postvagotomy diarrhea is caused by the rapid entry of fluid, electrolytes, and malabsorbed nutrients into the colon after meals.<sup>35,36</sup> In this study, a decreased incidence of diarrhea was not observed in patients with celiac branch preservation.

**Table V.** Endoscopic findings of the gastric remnant and the lower esophagus

	<i>P-LADG</i> (70)	<i>R-LADG</i> (89)	<i>P value</i>
Residual food (%)	34.3	16.9	.011
Remnant gastritis (%)	8.6	7.9	.872
Bile reflux (%)	2.9	4.5	.591
Reflux esophagitis (%)	7.1	16.9	.067

Rosa-e-Silva et al reported that patients with type I diabetes mellitus and sympathetic denervation show an abnormally rapid transit of a liquid meal through the distal small bowel, which may play a part in diarrhea production.<sup>37</sup> Ichikura et al reported that patients with lymph node dissection around the celiac artery were significantly more likely to complain of diarrhea than those without ( $P = .001$ ).<sup>38</sup> Denervation of the sympathetic nervous system (celiac and mesenteric plexus and splanchnic nerves) after lymph node dissection, thus, is considered to influence the occurrence of postoperative diarrhea. Serotonin reportedly mediates fast and slow excitatory neurotransmissions and is implicated in gastrointestinal disorders such as irritable bowel syndrome, diarrhea, and functional dyspepsia.<sup>39,40</sup> Serotonin stimulates vagal and enteric afferent nerve fibers, which initiate the peristaltic reflux and also plays a role in descending pathways from the brainstem that modulate afferent information processed by the dorsal horn. These serotonergic descending fibers are considered important as inhibitors of sympathetic outflow.<sup>41</sup> Postgastrectomy diarrhea, thus, may be attributed to disorders of the adrenergic and serotonergic neurons controlling gastrointestinal function, as well as to sympathetic nervous denervation.

Laparoscopic surgery has the advantage of providing a magnified view that allows precise manipulation of blood vessels and nerves. Observation from various angles enables the identification of the celiac branch located in the back of the stomach. We believe that the laparoscopic approach is more suited to delicate procedures such as nerve preservation as compared with conventional open operations.

In conclusion, we consider celiac branch preservation as beneficial in reducing EDS by maintaining postoperative physiologic function, and operative outcomes did not differ significantly regardless of celiac branch preservation in our study; however, because the roles of the celiac nerve in reducing dumping syndrome have not been elucidated, the present results should be

verified in a long-term follow-up of these patients, and additional randomized control studies are warranted to determine the clinical efficacy of preserving the celiac branch in LADG.

#### REFERENCES

1. Wu CW, Hsieh MC, Lo SS, Lui WY, P'Eng FK. Quality of life of patients with gastric adenocarcinoma after curative gastrectomy. *World J Surg* 1997;21:777-82.
2. Tomita R, Tanjoh K, Fujisaki S, Fukuzawa M. Relation between gastroduodenal interdigestive migrating motor complex and postoperative gastrointestinal symptoms before and after cisapride therapy following distal gastrectomy for early gastric cancer. *World J Surg* 2000;24:1250-7.
3. Woodward ER, Hocking MP. Postgastrectomy syndromes. *Surg Clin North Am* 1987;67:509-20.
4. Ihasz M, Griffith CA. Gallstones after vagotomy. *Am J Surg* 1981;141:48-50.
5. Kojima K, Yamada H, Inokuchi M, Kawano T, Sugihara K. Functional evaluation after vagus nerve-sparing laparoscopically assisted distal gastrectomy. *Surg Endosc* 2008;22:2003-8.
6. Ando H, Mochiki E, Ohno T, Kogure N, Tanaka N, Tabe Y, et al. Effect of distal subtotal gastrectomy with preservation of the celiac branch of the vagus nerve to gastrointestinal function: an experimental study in conscious dogs. *Ann Surg* 2008;247:976-86.
7. Kinami S, Miwa K, Sato T, Miyazaki I. Section of the vagal celiac branch in man reduces glucagon-stimulated insulin release. *J Auton Nerv Syst* 1997;64:44-8.
8. Shiraishi N, Yasuda K, Kitano S. Laparoscopic gastrectomy with lymph node dissection for gastric cancer. *Gastric Cancer* 2006;9:167-76.
9. Shimizu S, Noshiro H, Nagai E, Uchiyama A, Tanaka M. Laparoscopic gastric surgery in a Japanese institution: analysis of the initial 100 procedures. *J Am Coll Surg* 2003;197:372-8.
10. Uyama I, Sakurai Y, Komori Y, Nakamura Y, Syoji M, Tonomura S, et al. Laparoscopic gastrectomy with preservation of the vagus nerve accompanied by lymph node dissection for early gastric carcinoma. *J Am Coll Surg* 2005;200:140-5.
11. Hagiwara A, Imanishi T, Sakakura C, Otsuji E, Kitamura K, Itoi H, et al. Subtotal gastrectomy for cancer located in the greater curvature of the middle stomach with prevention of the left gastric artery. *Am J Surg* 2002;183:692-6.
12. Yunoki Y. Effects of resection of celiac and pyloric branches of vagus nerve on the interdigestive motor activity of the upper digestive tract and biliary tree. *J Smooth Muscle Res* 1995;31:33-41.
13. Nakajima T. Gastric cancer treatment guidelines in Japan. *Gastric Cancer* 2002;5:1-5.
14. Tomita R, Fujisaki S, Tanjoh K. Pathophysiologic studies on the relationship between postgastrectomy syndrome and gastric emptying function at 5 years after pylorus-preserving distal gastrectomy for early gastric cancer. *World J Surg* 2003;27:725-33.
15. Nagano H, Ohyama S, Sakamoto Y, Ohta K, Yamaguchi T, Muto T, et al. The endoscopic evaluation of gastritis, gastric remnant residue, and the incidence of secondary cancer after pylorus-preserving and transverse gastrectomies. *Gastric Cancer* 2004;7:54-9.
16. Japanese Gastric Cancer A. Japanese classification of gastric carcinoma, 2nd ed. *Gastric Cancer* 1998;1:10-24.

17. Miao FJ, Janig W, Levine JD. Vagal branches involved in inhibition of bradykinin-induced synovial plasma extravasation by intrathecal nicotine and noxious stimulation in the rat. *J Physiol* 1997;498:473-81.
18. Nagase H, Inoue S, Tanaka K, Takamura Y, Nijjima A. Hepatic glucose-sensitive unit regulation of glucose-induced insulin secretion in rats. *Physiol Behav* 1993;53:139-43.
19. Eagon JC, Miedema BW, Kelly KA. Postgastroectomy syndromes. *Surg Clin North Am* 1992;72:445-65.
20. Smith AN, Zeitlin IJ. The role of bradykinin in vasomotor aspects of the carcinoid and dumping syndromes. *Br J Surg* 1966;53:867-9.
21. Mei N. Recent studies on intestinal vagal afferent innervation. Functional implications. *J Auton Nerv Syst* 1983;9:199-206.
22. Blackshaw LA, Grundy D, Scratcherd T. Involvement of gastrointestinal mechano- and intestinal chemoreceptors in vagal reflexes: an electrophysiological study. *J Auton Nerv Syst* 1987;18:225-34.
23. Miao FJ, Janig W, Green PG, Levine JD. Inhibition of bradykinin-induced plasma extravasation produced by noxious cutaneous and visceral stimuli and its modulation by vagal activity. *J Neurophysiol* 1997;78:1285-92.
24. Peyre CG, DeMeester SR, Rizzetto C, Bansal N, Tang AL, Ayazi S, et al. Vagal-sparing esophagectomy: the ideal operation for intramucosal adenocarcinoma and barrett with high-grade dysplasia. *Ann Surg* 2007;246:665-71.
25. Banki F, Mason RJ, DeMeester SR, Hagen JA, Balaji NS, Crookes PF, et al. Vagal-sparing esophagectomy: a more physiologic alternative. *Ann Surg* 2002;236:324-35.
26. Schwartz GJ, Moran TH. CCK elicits and modulates vagal afferent activity arising from gastric and duodenal sites. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1994;713:121-8.
27. Schwartz GJ, Moran TH. Sub-diaphragmatic vagal afferent integration of meal-related gastrointestinal signals. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 1996;20:47-56.
28. Berthoud HR, Kressel M, Raybould HE, Neuhuber WL. Vagal sensors in the rat duodenal mucosa: distribution and structure as revealed by in vivo DiI-tracing. *Anat Embryol* 1995;191:203-12.
29. Mochiki E, Asao T, Kuwano H. Gastrointestinal motility after digestive surgery. *Surg Today* 2007;37:1023-32.
30. Buhner S, Ehrlein HJ, Thoma G, Schumpelick V. Effects of nutrients on gastrointestinal motility and gastric emptying after Billroth-I gastrectomy in dogs. *Dig Dis Sci* 1988;33:784-94.
31. Miedema BW, Kelly KA, Camilleri M, Hanson RB, Zinsmeister AR, O'Connor MK, et al. Human gastric and jejunal transit and motility after Roux gastrojejunostomy. *Gastroenterology* 1992;103:1133-43.
32. Van der Mijle HC, Kleibeuker JH, Limburg AJ, Beekhuis H, Lamers CB, van Schilfgaarde R. Role of vagal dysfunction in motility and transit disorders of jejunal Roux limb after Roux-en-Y gastrojejunostomy. *Dig Dis Sci* 1994;39:827-33.
33. Woodward A, Schu W, Wojtowycz AR, Sillin LF. Gastric emptying of solids after Roux-en-Y gastrectomy: is extragastric vagal innervation important? *Surgery* 1991;110:793-8.
34. Stoddard CJ, Johnson AG, Duthie HL. The four to eight year results of the Sheffield trial of elective duodenal ulcer surgery—highly selective or truncal vagotomy? *Br J Surg* 1984;71:779-82.
35. Ladas SD, Isaacs PE, Quereshi Y, Sladen G. Role of the small intestine in postvagotomy diarrhea. *Gastroenterology* 1983;85:1088-93.
36. O'Brien JD, Thompson DG, McIntyre A, Burnham WR, Walker E. Effect of codeine and loperamide on upper intestinal transit and absorption in normal subjects and patients with postvagotomy diarrhoea. *Gut* 1988;29:312-8.
37. Rosa-e-Silva L, Troncon LE, Oliveira RB, Foss MC, Braga FJ, Gallo Junior L. Rapid distal small bowel transit associated with sympathetic denervation in type I diabetes mellitus. *Gut* 1996;39:748-56.
38. Ichikura T, Tomimatsu S, Okusa Y, Mochizuki H. Improved physical condition by limiting lymphadenectomy around the coeliac artery after distal gastrectomy for gastric cancer. *Eur J Surg* 1999;165:123-32.
39. Gershon MD. Review article: serotonin receptors and transporters—roles in normal and abnormal gastrointestinal motility. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2004;20:3-14.
40. Sikander A, Rana SV, Prasad KK. Role of serotonin in gastrointestinal motility and irritable bowel syndrome. *Clin Chim Acta* 2009;403:47-55.
41. Coote JH, Macleod VH. The influence of bulbospinal monoaminergic pathways on sympathetic nerve activity. *J Physiol* 1974;241:453-75.

## Construct validity of the LapVR virtual-reality surgical simulator

Naoki Iwata · Michitaka Fujiwara · Yasuhiro Kodera ·  
Chie Tanaka · Norifumi Ohashi · Goro Nakayama ·  
Masahiko Koike · Akimasa Nakao

Received: 21 February 2010 / Accepted: 23 May 2010  
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2010

### Abstract

**Background** Laparoscopic surgery requires fundamental skills peculiar to endoscopic procedures such as eye–hand coordination. Acquisition of such skills prior to performing actual surgery is highly desirable for favorable outcome. Virtual-reality simulators have been developed for both surgical training and assessment of performance. The aim of the current study is to show construct validity of a novel simulator, LapVR (Immersion Medical, San Jose, CA, USA), for Japanese surgeons and surgical residents.

**Methods** Forty-four subjects were divided into the following three groups according to their experience in laparoscopic surgery: 14 residents (RE) with no experience in laparoscopic surgery, 14 junior surgeons (JR) with little experience, and 16 experienced surgeons (EX). All subjects executed “essential task 1” programmed in the LapVR, which consists of six tasks, resulting in automatic measurement of 100 parameters indicating various aspects of laparoscopic skills.

**Results** Time required for each task tended to be inversely correlated with experience in laparoscopic surgery. For the peg transfer skill, statistically significant differences were observed between EX and RE in three parameters, including total time and average time taken to complete the procedure and path length for the nondominant hand. For the cutting skill, similar differences were observed between EX and RE in total time, number of unsuccessful cutting attempts, and path length for the

nondominant hand. According to the programmed comprehensive evaluation, performance in terms of successful completion of the task and actual experience of the participants in laparoscopic surgery correlated significantly for the peg transfer ( $P = 0.007$ ) and cutting skills ( $P = 0.026$ ).

**Conclusions** The peg transfer and cutting skills could best distinguish between EX and RE. This study is the first to provide evidence that LapVR has construct validity to discriminate between novice and experienced laparoscopic surgeons.

**Keywords** Laparoscopic surgery · Virtual reality · Simulation training · Assessment

The most apparent benefit of laparoscopic surgery is faster recovery through attenuated postoperative pain. Tireless efforts of surgeons and the invention and distribution of novel techniques and devices have enabled expansion of the indications for this approach to include complex oncological surgery. In addition to knowledge of anatomy and general surgical technique, acquisition of skills peculiar to the laparoscopic approach is essential for conducting these demanding procedures safely and accurately. These include eye–hand coordination, depth perception, and translation of a two-dimensional video image into a three-dimensional working field. Several virtual-reality (VR) simulators have been proposed as devices both for surgical training to improve performance and for measurement of various laparoscopic skills [1–3]. As new and more refined simulators continue to emerge, assessment of validity is indispensable to justify their introduction into the educational curriculum of laparoscopic surgery [1, 4–6]. The aim of the current study is to evaluate construct validity for a

---

N. Iwata (✉) · M. Fujiwara · Y. Kodera · C. Tanaka ·  
N. Ohashi · G. Nakayama · M. Koike · A. Nakao  
Department of Surgery II, Nagoya University Graduate School  
of Medicine, 65, Tsurumai-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya,  
Aichi 466-8550, Japan  
e-mail: iwt-nk@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp

new VR simulator, LapVR, to distinguish between expert and novice laparoscopic surgeons.

## Subjects

Forty-four participants were divided into three groups according to their experience of laparoscopic surgery. Those without any hands-on laparoscopic surgery experience were classified into a novice resident (RE) group ( $n = 14$ , 11 males and 3 females). Those who had performed 1–100 laparoscopic procedures were defined as junior surgeons (JR) ( $n = 14$ , 10 males and 4 females). Those who had performed >100 laparoscopic procedures were defined as experienced surgeons (EX) ( $n = 16$ , 14 males and 2 females). None of the subjects had any prior encounter with the LapVR.

## Equipment and methods

The LapVR (Immersion Inc., San Jose, CA, USA) is a personal-computer-based VR system. It consists of a 20-inch flat liquid-crystal digital display, two laparoscopic instrument handles, a camera device, and two foot pedals (Fig. 1). The software consists of four modules: essential skill, procedural skill, obstetrics/gynecology module, and general surgery procedures module, and contains an original haptic feedback system.

The “essential skill” module contains six tasks: camera navigation, clipping, cutting, peg transfer, knot tying, and needle driving (Fig. 2) at three different levels (levels 1, 2, and 3 representing beginner, intermediate, and advanced levels, respectively), and default settings at each level are defined arbitrarily. The LapVR automatically evaluates each performance by summing a total score of 100 parameters which include factors such as the time taken to complete the task, the number of errors (e.g., the extent of tissue damage, the number of wrongly placed clips, the time needed for grasping a needle tip, the estimated amount of blood loss, etc.), and path lengths of the instruments. At the end of each task, a participant receives a printout revealing details of the performance in the form of a comprehensive evaluation which assesses all parameters and determines whether he or she completed the task successfully.

One instructor (N.I.) provided all subjects with a tutorial concerning the rules of each task and the way to handle the instruments, and supervised the test without active help or advice. After an initial familiarization with the simulator, all subjects attempted “essential skill 1,” namely all six tasks at the beginner’s level. Differences between each group of participants in scores of all of the 100 parameters




Fig. 1 The LapVR virtual-reality surgical simulator


and results of comprehensive evaluation for each of the six tasks between each group of participants were statistically evaluated.

### Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were compared by  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher’s exact test, and differences were considered significant at  $P < 0.05$ . Significance of differences between two groups was determined by Mann–Whitney  $U$  test with Bonferroni correction, and differences were considered significant at  $P < 0.017$ . All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

## Results

Statistically significant differences were observed for 16 of the 100 parameters. EX completed three tasks faster than JR and five tasks faster than RS. JR completed the knot tying task faster than RE (Table 1). Regarding the number of subjects who could complete each task within the time limit, statistical significance between the groups was observed for the cutting ( $P = 0.003$ ) and the knot tying

**Fig. 2** Pictures and brief explanation of the tasks**Table 1** Total time to complete tasks

Task	Time, median (range) (s)					P value			
	EX	JR	RE	EX	RE	EX vs. RE	EX vs. JR	JR vs. RE	
Camera	52	(39–67)	58	(41–98)	53	(40–138)	0.394	0.252	0.765
Clipping	87	(59–141)	103	(68–197)	117	(74–181)	0.010*	0.429	0.260
Cutting	188	(129–374)	311	(138–530)	384	(196–732)	<0.001*	0.002*	0.168
Peg transfer	122	(98–204)	164	(97–266)	207	(107–766)	0.002*	0.015*	0.190
Knot tying	201	(124–409)	284	(123–937)	416	(228–757)	<0.001*	0.044	0.012*
Needle driving	269	(193–561)	421	(220–1015)	615	(280–1699)	<0.001*	0.016*	0.103

PL path length, TT total time

\* Statistically significance

( $P < 0.001$ ) tasks, that is to say, a greater proportion of the EX participants completed the task within the time limit. In the camera navigation, clipping, and peg transfer tasks, the number of participants who could not complete the task within the time limit was small (0, 2, and 2 of 44, respectively). In the needle driving task, however, as many as 12 RE, 11 JR, and 9 EX participants, adding up to more than half of all participants, failed to complete the task within the time limit.

Discrepancies in the trend of scores between the dominant and nondominant hands among experienced and nonexperienced participants also provided useful information. In the cutting task, the difference between EX and RE was statistically significant in terms of path length for the nondominant hand ( $P = 0.014$ ) and the number of unsuccessful cutting attempts ( $P = 0.005$ ), although no difference

was detected in terms of path length for the dominant hand ( $P = 0.109$ ). In the peg transfer task, the difference between EX and RE was statistically significant in terms of path length ( $P < 0.001$ ) and average time ( $P = 0.004$ ) for the nondominant hand. However, no significant difference was observed in terms of path length ( $P = 0.032$ ) and average time ( $P = 0.026$ ) for the dominant hand. In the knot tying task, there was a statistically significant difference between EX and RE in terms of path length for the dominant hand ( $P = 0.008$ ) rather than in terms of path length for the nondominant hand ( $P = 0.046$ ). In the needle driving task, significant differences between EX and RE were seen in terms of path length for both dominant ( $P = 0.016$ ) and nondominant hands ( $P = 0.004$ ) (Table 2).

Finally, the comprehensive evaluation taking into account the scores of all relevant parameters reached the



**Table 2** Comparison of *P* value between dominant and nondominant hand

Task	<i>P</i> value (dominant hand)			<i>P</i> value (nondominant hand)		
	EX vs. RE	EX vs. JR	JR vs. RE	EX vs. RE	EX vs. JR	JR vs. RE
Clipping						
PL	0.967	0.575	0.460	0.383	0.787	0.748
Cutting						
PL	0.109	0.124	0.713	0.014*	0.092	0.535
Peg transfer						
PL	0.032	0.662	0.520	<0.001*	0.546	0.002*
TT	0.026	0.139	0.421	0.004*	0.058	0.214
Knot tying						
PL	0.008*	0.170	0.077	0.046	0.053	0.581
Needle driving						
PL	0.016*	0.025	0.581	0.004*	0.020	0.505

\* Statistically significance

**Table 3** Result of comprehensive evaluation

Task	<i>P</i> value	Successful completion	<i>N</i>		
			EX ( <i>n</i> = 16)	JR ( <i>n</i> = 14)	RE ( <i>n</i> = 14)
Camera	0.073	Yes	8	4	10
		No	8	10	4
Clipping	1.000	Yes	6	6	6
		No	10	8	8
Cutting	0.026*	Yes	12	5	4
		No	4	9	10
Peg transfer	0.007*	Yes	13	5	4
		No	3	9	10
Knot tying	0.102	Yes	4	6	1
		No	12	8	13
Needle driving	0.335	Yes	5	3	1
		No	11	11	13

\*Statistically significance

conclusion that the peg transfer task ( $P = 0.007$ ) and cutting task ( $P = 0.026$ ) were the two tasks for which experienced participants had significantly higher incidence of completing the task successfully when compared with inexperienced participants (Table 3).

## Discussion

VR surgical simulators have been developed for the purpose of saving time and cost when training surgeons and to enhance patient safety [7]. VR simulators are regarded as effective devices both for surgical training and to evaluate surgical skills of trainees [1–3] and have potential to enhance surgical-team training [8]. Use of a proficiency-based training simulator has been proposed as a paradigm shift in surgical skill training [9]. Recent studies have

demonstrated significant correlation between actual operative performance and psychomotor performance in the virtual environment [10], and have shown simulator-based training to translate into improvement in actual surgical performance [11–14]. Before implementation of a new simulator to the surgical curriculum, systematic objective validation is required [1, 4–6]. An assessment should be able to demonstrate several forms of validity. The degree to which the assessment can discriminate between individuals at different technical levels is related to construct validity [6, 15], for which evidence has been reported for simulators such as Xitact LS 5000 [15], LapSim [2, 3, 16–18], LapMentor [1, 4], and ProMIS [19]. The current study aimed to establish construct validity of the newly developed surgical simulator, LapVR. One of the notable new features of LapVR is that haptic feedback can be modified to suit the preference of the user. In addition, the

acceptance threshold for various parameters is user-configurable, and various tasks at different technical levels and requirements can be combined to tailor the curriculum according to the level and goal of the users.

In the current study, performance for the camera navigation task was shown not to reflect laparoscopic experience: none of the parameters related to this task revealed statistical significance between groups with different surgical experience. A similar result had been reported with LapMentor [5]. The explanation for this could simply be that the “camera navigation 1” task, adjusted to the beginner’s level, is too easy to complete even for RE. The clipping task could be characterized as a procedure that could be accomplished by a single hand, not calling for coordination of both hands, which is essential for more complex procedures. Accordingly, a statistical significance was observed in only one parameter, namely total time needed for completion of the task, and this task can be considered not to be a strong discriminator.

On the contrary, the cutting task requires coordination of both hands. The forceps must be controlled by the non-dominant hand to apply appropriate traction while the dominant hand needs to use the scissors to cut at an appropriate angle. This is in line with the actual task often encountered in the operating theater. It is difficult for the inexperienced RE to move their nondominant hand skillfully, leading to prolonged time taken to complete the task. This is evidenced by the result that only the nondominant hand parameter showed statistical significance. Depth perception was another factor influencing the result of this task. Several residents actually manipulated scissors in irrelevant space due to lack of depth perception, frequently resulting in tissue damage and time loss. The difference in the number of unsuccessful cutting attempts could also be attributed to differences in dexterity between the two hands. The importance of the nondominant hand was also highlighted in the peg transfer task, as seen from the result that statistically significant differences were observed in terms of average time and path length for the nondominant hand, although dominant hand parameters did not show any difference. These results suggest that development of dexterity of the nondominant hand could be key to improving laparoscopic skills [4].

Although statistically significant differences were also detected in two parameters related to the knot tying task, all 16 EX left comments that the image of thread was not realistic. Because a formal questionnaire survey was not performed in the current study, assessment of face validity, in which a defined group of subjects are asked to judge the degree of resemblance of the system to reality, is limited [2, 6]. Even with this limitation, face validity in this task seems rather questionable. In fact, Dongen et al. [2] excluded the knot tying task and Woodrum et al. [17] the

suturing task from their study, because they alleged that each of the tasks did not represent the actual procedure. In the needle driving task, three parameters revealed statistically significant differences between the groups of experienced and novice surgeons. However, the result that more than half of the participants failed to complete the task in the predetermined time limit of 5 min indicates that this task in the current form also is unsuitable as an indicator of laparoscopic skill.

Several studies have used some form of original composite score to evaluate construct validity [2, 18]. The authors had considered these original composite scores as impractical, because it was cumbersome for a trainee or instructor to calculate by hand a score based on the outcome of several parameters. Through automatic calculation, LapVR enabled trainees to receive the result of comprehensive evaluation immediately after completion of the tasks. The authors attempted to verify whether the result of comprehensive evaluation given after finishing each task actually reflects experience as a laparoscopic surgeon, finding that the peg transfer and cutting tasks were the two strongest discriminators, aptly reflecting laparoscopic skills of the participants.

The authors regard a task as a more useful discriminator when it contains a greater number of parameters that significantly differ between experienced and inexperienced surgeons. Only 16 of 100 parameters showed statistically significant differences between different groups of surgeons, and four tasks (cutting, peg transfer, knot tying, and needle driving) contained two or more such parameters. Although the knot tying and needle driving tasks reflected laparoscopic experience by revealing differences in multiple parameters, these two tasks did not seem to be best suited as optimal discriminators of surgical experience, because of the weaknesses in face validity and difficulty of the task such that even experienced surgeons often failed to complete the task within the allocated time. The cutting and peg transfer tasks, on the contrary, showed differences between EX and RE in three parameters as well as in the comprehensive evaluation, despite the fact that these tasks were relatively simple to perform.

The next step in evaluating the LapVR as a training tool is to demonstrate that training with the simulator actually translates into performance improvement in the operating room. This requires a randomized trial comparing operating-room performance by participants with or without intensive training using the simulator, as has been conducted to evaluate other simulators [11, 13, 20] and may be warranted for LapVR in the near future.

To summarize, statistically significant differences according to surgical experience were shown in three parameters and in comprehensive evaluation for the cutting and peg transfer tasks, and these were found to be strong

discriminators of laparoscopic experience. The current study is among the first to demonstrate construct validity of the LapVR.

**Acknowledgements** The author would like to thank all participants for their engagement in this study.

**Disclosures** Authors Naoki Iwata, Michitaka Fujiwara, Yasuhiro Kodera, Chie Tanaka, Norifumi Ohashi, Goro Nakayama, Masahiko Koike, and Akimasa Nakao have no conflicts of interest or financial ties to disclose.

## References

- Zhang A, Hunerbein M, Dai Y, Schlag PM, Beller S (2008) Construct validity testing of a laparoscopic surgery simulator (Lap Mentor) (2008) evaluation of surgical skill with a virtual laparoscopic training simulator. *Surg Endosc* 22:1440–1444
- van Dongen KW, Tournioj E, van der Zee DC, Schijven MP, Broeders I (2007) Construct validity of the LapSim: can the LapSim virtual reality simulator distinguish between novices and experts? *Surg Endosc* 21:1413–1417
- Eriksen JR, Grantcharov T (2005) Objective assessment of laparoscopic skills using a virtual reality stimulator. *Surg Endosc* 19:1216–1219
- Yamaguchi S, Konishi K, Yasunaga T, Yoshida D, Kinjo N, Kobayashi K, Ieiri S, Okazaki K, Nakashima H, Tanoue K, Maehara Y, Hashizume M (2007) Construct validity for eye-hand coordination skill on a virtual reality laparoscopic surgical simulator. *Surg Endosc* 21:2253–2257
- McDougall EM, Corica FA, Boker JR, Sala LG, Stoliar G, Borin JF, Chu FT, Clayman RV (2006) Construct validity testing of a laparoscopic surgical simulator. *J Am Coll Surg* 202:779–787
- Carter FJ, Schijven MP, Aggarwal R, Grantcharov T, Francis NK, Hanna GB, Jakimowicz JJ (2005) Consensus guidelines for validation of virtual reality surgical simulators. *Surg Endosc* 19:1523–1532
- Satava RM (1993) Virtual reality surgical simulator. The first steps. *Surg Endosc* 7:203–205
- Reznick RK, MacRae H (2006) Medical education—teaching surgical skills—changes in the wind. *N Engl J Med* 355:2664–2669
- Gallagher AG, Ritter EM, Champion H, Higgins G, Fried MP, Moses G, Smith CD, Satava RM (2005) Virtual reality simulation for the operating room—proficiency-based training as a paradigm shift in surgical skills training. *Ann Surg* 241:364–372
- Kundhal PS, Grantcharov TP (2009) Psychomotor performance measured in a virtual environment correlates with technical skills in the operating room. *Surg Endosc* 23:645–649
- Seymour NE, Gallagher AG, Roman SA, O'Brien MK, Bansal VK, Andersen DK, Satava RM (2002) Virtual reality training improves operating room performance—results of a randomized, double-blinded study. *Ann Surg* 236:458–464
- Aggarwal R, Ward J, Balasundaram I, Sains P, Athanasiou T, Darzi A (2007) Proving the effectiveness of virtual reality simulation for training in laparoscopic surgery. *Ann Surg* 246:771–779
- Grantcharov TP, Kristiansen VB, Bendix J, Bardram L, Rosenberg J, Funch-Jensen P (2004) Randomized clinical trial of virtual reality simulation for laparoscopic skills training. *Br J Surg* 91:146–150
- Sturm LP, Windsor JA, Cosman PH, Cregan P, Hewett PJ, Maddern GJ (2008) A systematic review of skills transfer after surgical simulation training. *Ann Surg* 248:166–179
- Schijven M, Jakimowicz J (2003) Construct validity—experts and novices performing on the Xitact LS500 laparoscopy simulator. *Surg Endosc* 17:803–810
- Duffy AJ, Hogle NJ, McCarthy H, Lew JJ, Egan A, Christos P, Fowler DL (2005) Construct validity for the LAPSIM laparoscopic surgical simulator. *Surg Endosc* 19:401–405
- Woodrum DT, Andreatta PB, Yellamanchilli RK, Feryus L, Gauger PG, Minter RM (2006) Construct validity of the LapSim laparoscopic surgical simulator. *Am J Surg* 191:28–32
- Sherman V, Feldman LS, Stanbridge D, Kazmi R, Fried GM (2005) Assessing the learning curve for the acquisition of laparoscopic skills on a virtual reality simulator. *Surg Endosc* 19:678–682
- Pellen MGC, Horgan LF, Barton JR, Attwood SE (2009) Construct validity of the ProMIS laparoscopic simulator. *Surg Endosc* 23:130–139
- Andreatta PB, Woodrum DT, Birkmeyer JD, Yellamanchilli RK, Doherty GM, Gauger PG, Minter RM (2006) Laparoscopic skills are improved with LapMentor (TM) training—results of a randomized, double-blinded study. *Ann Surg* 243:854–863

## Assessment of Quality of Life After Gastrectomy Using EORTC QLQ-C30 and STO22

Daisuke Kobayashi · Yasuhiro Kodera ·  
Michitaka Fujiwara · Masahiko Koike ·  
Goro Nakayama · Akimasa Nakao

Published online: 23 November 2010  
© Société Internationale de Chirurgie 2010

### Abstract

**Background** The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Quality of Life Questionnaire–Cancer (QLQ-C30) and the site-specific module for gastric cancer (QLQ-STO22) have seldom been used to measure the postoperative health-related quality of life (HRQOL) in Japanese gastric cancer patients. The aim of this study was to evaluate the HRQOL after gastrectomy using these instruments and to compare various aspects of HRQOL among surgical procedures.

**Methods** A total of 98 patients who underwent gastrectomy and had no recurrence were evaluated. Among them, we compared the differences between major surgical procedures consisting of open total gastrectomy (TG,  $n = 8$ ), open distal gastrectomy (DG,  $n = 24$ ), and laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy (LADG,  $n = 44$ ). Questionnaires were completed at baseline and at 1, 3, 6 and 12 months postoperatively.

**Results** The worst scores for most of the items were observed at 1 month after surgery and usually improved thereafter. Scores after TG were the worst of all surgical procedures across all dimensions throughout the period. Scores after LADG were generally superior to those after DG at 1 and 3 months postoperatively but not at 12 months.

**Conclusions** EORTC QLQ-C30 and STO22 detected differences in several aspects of HRQOL among patients treated by the three surgical procedures. The laparoscopic approach resulted in superior short-term outcomes,

whereas TG continued to affect the HRQOL in several items 12 months after surgery.

### Introduction

Although various treatment modalities have been developed to confront gastric cancer, radical surgery remains the only treatment that offers the possibility for cure. Of the numerous surgical procedures that have been developed to dissect gastric cancer, a single procedure needs to be selected for each patient based on location and preoperative staging of the tumor and the general physical status of the patient. In addition to offering the maximum possibility of cure, various function-preserving procedures and approaches have recently been proposed for patients with favorable disease stages [1]. Such limited procedures are commonly performed in Japan owing to the predominance of early-stage cancers, and the proportion of patients who undergo such surgery is expected to increase once the concept of sentinel navigation begins to gain wider recognition.

When evaluating treatment for cancer, health-related quality of life (HRQOL) has been acknowledged as an important endpoint in addition to oncologic outcomes and safety issues [2–6]. Undoubtedly, it is more or less unavoidable for patients who have undergone gastric cancer surgery to suffer from various gastrointestinal symptoms and malfunctions. However, only a few studies have explored this issue scientifically and quantitatively [7–10]. Recently, validation studies for the Japanese-language version of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) core questionnaire (EORTC QLQ-C30) [11] and the site-specific module for gastric cancer (EORTC QLQ-STO22) [12, 13] have been reported [14, 15], but actual clinical data of patients who

D. Kobayashi (✉) · Y. Kodera · M. Fujiwara · M. Koike ·  
G. Nakayama · A. Nakao  
Department of Surgery II, Nagoya University Graduate  
School of Medicine, 65 Tsurumai-cho, Showa-ku,  
Nagoya, Aichi 466-8550, Japan  
e-mail: kobadai@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp