

Table 1 Population characteristics according to supplement use categories, Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective Study

| | | Men (n = 28,903) | | | | | Women (n = 33,726) | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------|
| | | Never use | Past use | Recent use | Consistent use | P | Never use | Past use | Recent use | Consistent use | P |
| No. (%) | | 23,535 (81.4) | 3161 (10.9) | 1026 (3.6) | 1181 (4.1) | | 25,525 (75.7) | 4672 (13.9) | 1567 (4.6) | 1962 (5.8) | |
| Age in years (mean (SE*)) | | 55.7 (0.05) | 57.9 (0.1) | 57.1 (0.2) | 58.2 (0.2) | <.0001 | 56.1 (0.05) | 57.9 (0.1) | 56.7 (0.2) | 57.8 (0.2) | <.0001 |
| Body mass index ≥ 25 kg/m ² (%) | | 29.1 | 26.9 | 27.1 | 25.9 | 0.0049 | 29.0 | 31.0 | 26.3 | 23.6 | <.0001 |
| Smoking status (%) | Former smoker | 16.6 | 18.6 | 19.5 | 21.3 | <.0001 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.4 | <.0001 |
| | Current smoker | 47.8 | 44.8 | 41.4 | 42.2 | | 4.3 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 5.2 | |
| Regular drinker, ≥ 150 g ethanol/wk (%) | | 50.5 | 46.1 | 46.8 | 45.5 | <.0001 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 0.002 |
| Mean MET*-hours/d (mean (SE)) | | 33.1 (0.05) | 32.9 (0.1) | 33.3 (0.2) | 32.7 (0.2) | 0.06 | 32.2 (0.04) | 31.9 (0.09) | 32.3 (0.2) | 32.0 (0.1) | 0.003 |
| Medication (%) | Hypertension | 16.7 | 21.9 | 17.0 | 21.5 | <.0001 | 17.8 | 24.4 | 18.1 | 20.0 | <.0001 |
| | Hyperlipidemia | 3.0 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 4.9 | <.0001 | 6.0 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 9.3 | <.0001 |
| | Diabetes | 3.2 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 4.5 | 0.001 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 0.0005 |
| | Others | 12.2 | 17.1 | 15.4 | 15.1 | <.0001 | 10.9 | 13.1 | 16.3 | 15.9 | <.0001 |
| History (%) | Angina | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.03 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.2 |
| | Diabetes | 5.8 | 6.6 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 0.04 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 0.2 |
| | Gastric ulcer | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 0.07 |
| | Duodenal ulcer | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 0.02 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| | Gastric polyp | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 0.09 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 0.004 |
| | Colonic polyp | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 0.03 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 3.3 | <.0001 |
| | Hepatitis | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 0.0004 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.005 |
| Screening examination (%) | | 83.3 | 84.0 | 87.0 | 88.0 | <.0001 | 86.0 | 86.3 | 88.8 | 89.9 | <.0001 |
| Total energy intake (kcal/day) (mean (SE)) | | 2206 (4.2) | 2200 (12.1) | 2222 (20.4) | 2228 (18.1) | 0.5 | 1886 (3.6) | 1879 (8.6) | 1938 (14.5) | 1925 (12.9) | 0.0001 |
| Energy-adjusted food intake (mean (SE)) | Soy food (g/d) | 12.2 (0.03) | 12.3 (0.07) | 12.4 (0.1) | 12.3 (0.1) | 0.5 | 12.0 (0.08) | 11.9 (0.05) | 11.7 (0.09) | 11.7 (0.08) | 0.5 |
| | Green vegetables (g/d) | 86 (0.5) | 93 (1.6) | 89 (2.3) | 89 (1.8) | 0.0001 | 86 (0.5) | 94 (1.2) | 86 (1.6) | 89 (1.6) | <.0001 |
| | Fruits (g/d) | 172 (1.0) | 187 (2.9) | 189 (4.8) | 204 (4.7) | <.0001 | 239 (1.1) | 242 (2.5) | 242 (4.0) | 254 (3.9) | 0.001 |
| | Fish (g/d) | 91 (0.4) | 91 (1.0) | 92 (1.8) | 92 (1.5) | 0.7 | 87 (0.3) | 86 (0.7) | 86 (1.2) | 86 (1.0) | 0.4 |
| | Red meat (g/d) | 52 (0.3) | 51 (0.7) | 53 (1.3) | 51 (1.0) | 0.5 | 46 (0.2) | 48 (0.5) | 45 (0.8) | 46 (0.7) | 0.0009 |
| Energy-adjusted nutrition intake (mean (SE)) | α-tocopherol (mg/d) | 6.6 (0.02) | 6.8 (0.05) | 7.1 (0.08) | 7.1 (0.07) | <.0001 | 7.3 (0.01) | 7.5 (0.03) | 7.5 (0.05) | 7.6 (0.05) | <.0001 |
| | Vitamin B ₁ (mg/d) | 1.05 (0.003) | 1.10 (0.008) | 1.08 (0.01) | 1.11 (0.01) | <.0001 | 1.08 (0.002) | 1.12 (0.006) | 1.11 (0.009) | 1.11 (0.008) | <.0001 |
| | Vitamin B ₂ (mg/d) | 1.41 (0.003) | 1.45 (0.009) | 1.50 (0.02) | 1.52 (0.01) | <.0001 | 1.43 (0.003) | 1.46 (0.007) | 1.51 (0.01) | 1.54 (0.01) | <.0001 |
| | Niacin (mg/d) | 20.1 (0.04) | 20.1 (0.1) | 20.6 (0.2) | 20.4 (0.1) | 0.002 | 18.1 (0.03) | 18.1 (0.07) | 18.2 (0.1) | 18.3 (0.09) | 0.08 |
| | Vitamin B ₆ (mg/d) | 1.56 (0.002) | 1.58 (0.006) | 1.60 (0.01) | 1.60 (0.01) | <.0001 | 1.46 (0.002) | 1.47 (0.004) | 1.48 (0.008) | 1.49 (0.007) | <.0001 |
| | Vitamin B ₁₂ (μg/d) | 9.1 (0.03) | 9.2 (0.09) | 9.3 (0.1) | 9.4 (0.1) | 0.02 | 8.6 (0.03) | 8.7 (0.06) | 8.7 (0.1) | 8.6 (0.09) | 0.2 |
| | Folate (μg/d) | 377 (0.9) | 385 (2.7) | 401 (4.9) | 399 (4.1) | <.0001 | 409 (0.9) | 413 (2.2) | 422 (3.8) | 426 (3.1) | <.0001 |
| | Pantothenic acid (mg/d) | 6.7 (0.01) | 6.8 (0.03) | 6.9 (0.05) | 7.1 (0.05) | <.0001 | 6.6 (0.008) | 6.7 (0.02) | 6.8 (0.03) | 6.9 (0.03) | <.0001 |
| | Vitamin C (mg/d) | 118 (0.4) | 122 (1.2) | 128 (2.2) | 130 (1.9) | <.0001 | 151 (0.5) | 149 (1.1) | 154 (1.7) | 158 (1.6) | <.0001 |
| | Vitamin D (mg/d) | 10.1 (0.04) | 10.0 (0.1) | 10.4 (0.2) | 10.3 (0.2) | 0.2 | 10.0 (0.04) | 9.9 (0.09) | 9.9 (0.1) | 9.9 (0.1) | 0.4 |

Never use, neither past nor recent use; Past use, past use but not recent use; Recent use, recent use but not past use; Consistent use, both past and recent use.
 *SE, standard error; MET, metabolic equivalent task.

Table 2 Hazard ratios for total cancer and cardiovascular disease according to supplement use categories

| | Person-years | Total | | | | Excluding cases within 5 years | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|------------------|------|
| | | No. of cases | HR*1 (95% CI)* | P | HR2 (95% CI) | P | No. of cases | HR2 (95% CI) | P |
| Men | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Total cancer</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Never use | 220,948 | 2152 | 1.00 (reference) | | 1.00 (reference) | | 1210 | 1.00 (reference) | |
| Past use | 28,863 | 324 | 0.98 (0.87-1.10) | 0.8 | 0.98 (0.87-1.10) | 0.8 | 167 | 0.95 (0.80-1.11) | 0.5 |
| Recent use | 9603 | 102 | 1.00 (0.82-1.22) | 0.97 | 1.01 (0.83-1.23) | 0.9 | 59 | 1.05 (0.80-1.36) | 0.7 |
| Consistent use | 10,863 | 139 | 1.11 (0.94-1.32) | 0.2 | 1.10 (0.93-1.31) | 0.3 | 75 | 1.13 (0.89-1.43) | 0.3 |
| <i>Cardiovascular disease</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Never use | 203,013 | 934 | 1.00 (reference) | | 1.00 (reference) | | 490 | 1.00 (reference) | |
| Past use | 26,639 | 125 | 0.91 (0.75-1.09) | 0.3 | 0.89 (0.73-1.07) | 0.2 | 61 | 0.86 (0.66-1.12) | 0.3 |
| Recent use | 8889 | 31 | 0.71 (0.50-1.02) | 0.06 | 0.72 (0.51-1.04) | 0.08 | 15 | 0.66 (0.39-1.10) | 0.1 |
| Consistent use | 10,059 | 53 | 1.03 (0.78-1.36) | 0.8 | 1.02 (0.77-1.35) | 0.9 | 28 | 1.04 (0.71-1.53) | 0.8 |
| Women | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Total cancer</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Never use | 248,659 | 1299 | 1.00 (reference) | | 1.00 (reference) | | 698 | 1.00 (reference) | |
| Past use | 44,237 | 287 | 1.19 (1.04-1.35) | 0.01 | 1.17 (1.02-1.33) | 0.02 | 157 | 1.21 (1.01-1.44) | 0.04 |
| Recent use | 15,217 | 101 | 1.25 (1.02-1.53) | 0.03 | 1.24 (1.01-1.52) | 0.04 | 56 | 1.26 (0.96-1.66) | 0.1 |
| Consistent use | 18,892 | 97 | 0.94 (0.76-1.16) | 0.6 | 0.92 (0.75-1.13) | 0.4 | 47 | 0.82 (0.61-1.11) | 0.2 |
| <i>Cardiovascular disease</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Never use | 227,570 | 530 | 1.00 (reference) | | 1.00 (reference) | | 262 | 1.00 (reference) | |
| Past use | 40,586 | 116 | 1.11 (0.91-1.36) | 0.3 | 1.08 (0.88-1.32) | 0.5 | 63 | 1.24 (0.94-1.64) | 0.1 |
| Recent use | 13,918 | 43 | 1.30 (0.95-1.77) | 0.1 | 1.32 (0.97-1.81) | 0.08 | 20 | 1.26 (0.80-1.99) | 0.3 |
| Consistent use | 17,309 | 26 | 0.60 (0.40-0.89) | 0.01 | 0.60 (0.41-0.89) | 0.01 | 14 | 0.70 (0.41-1.21) | 0.2 |

Never use, neither past nor recent use; Past use, past use but not recent use; Recent use, recent use but not past use; Consistent use, both past and recent use.

*HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

HR1: Adjusted for age and public health center area.

HR2: Further adjusted for body mass index, smoking status, ethanol intake, occupation, daily total physical activity level, green vegetable intake, total energy intake, medication, and screening examination.

These statistically significant findings remained unchanged when we further adjusted dietary vitamin B₂, B₆, B₁₂, folate, α -tocopherol, vitamin C, and vitamin D intake separately and simultaneously (data not shown).

Age, smoking status, alcohol intake, and dietary intake of vitamin B₂, B₆, B₁₂, folate, α -tocopherol, vitamin C, and vitamin D did not significantly interact with any of the above results (for all interactions, $P > 0.5$).

Discussion

In this prospective cohort study in an Asian population, we found that vitamin supplement use has little effect on the risk of total cancer or CVD in men. In women, however, past and recent use of vitamin supplements may be associated with higher risk of cancer, whereas consistent use may be associated with lower risk of CVD.

Several observational studies have examined the association between vitamin supplements and the risk of cancer and CVD incidence, but results have varied [11-29], partly because vitamin supplement use is an inconsistent behavior in individuals [13,30]. In our

study, we found that only 4.1% of men and 5.8% of women continued to use vitamin supplements from the first to the second survey. Although some studies have found reduced incidence and mortality risk of cancer and CVD with a long duration of vitamin supplement use [13,14,27,28,31-34], to our knowledge, only limited data are available to clarify the consistency of vitamin supplement use over two surveys [13,31]. One prospective cohort study in the United States investigated consistency for vitamin supplement use through two surveys among 145,260 subjects, observing 797 incident cases of colorectal cancer, and found that multivitamin supplement use in the first survey and in both surveys was associated with reduced risk of colorectal cancer, whereas multivitamin supplement use in the second survey had no association with the disease [13]. Another study, in which 3490 deaths were observed among 11,178 study subjects in the United States, found that use of vitamin E supplements at two points within a relatively short period (baseline and study inception 3 years earlier) was associated with reduced risk of coronary heart disease mortality, whereas use at one point did

not show significant association in multivariate analysis [31].

In the present study, the inverse associations for CVD, especially for ischemic brain infarction, was observed with consistent supplement use in women. It is known that homocysteine may promote atherogenesis by damaging the vascular matrix, increasing the proliferation of endothelial cells, and facilitating oxidative injury to vascular walls [56-58] and may be related to CVD [59,60]. Although several large trials of homocysteine-lowering B-vitamin therapy have all failed to demonstrate a reduction in coronary heart disease risk, some studies have shown possible evidence for stroke [9,10]. It has also been reported that B vitamins are important enzymatic cofactors in the synthesis of methionine from homocysteine and that a deficiency in any of them raises homocysteine concentrations in the blood [61,62]. In the present study, when we adjusted for several kinds of dietary B vitamins (vitamin B₂, vitamin B₆, vitamin B₁₂, and folate), similar results were observed. Moreover, the most common vitamin supplement in the second survey was B vitamins in men and women in the present study (36.1% and 25.0%, respectively, among vitamin supplement users). Therefore, the inverse association between the consistent use of vitamin supplement and risk of CVD in women, especially ischemic brain infarction, might be caused by supplementation with B vitamins.

Alternatively, past and recent use of vitamin supplements was associated with higher risk of cancer in women. Women with past use tended to have unhealthy characteristics, such as a higher BMI, a greater likelihood of smoking, and medication use (hypertension and diabetes). Recent use in women may have been prompted by symptoms of ill health because women with recent use had a higher proportion of disease histories (e.g., gastric and colonic polyps) despite their younger age and had a significantly higher proportion of medication use except for hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and diabetes. Furthermore, the association of cancer with recent use was not significant when we estimated the HR after excluding women diagnosed as having cancer within 5 years of baseline, though that might be partly caused by the decreased number of cases. Elevated risk may be partly explained by characteristics of the women that were not measured or could not be controlled for in our study. Moreover, it might be partly caused by a pro-oxidant effect of supplementation with vitamin C [63-65], producing DNA damage and increasing the risk of cancer, because use of vitamin C in the second survey was associated with increased risk of total cancer among women. Furthermore, high-dose antioxidant supplementation might cause an increased risk of cancer among a high-risk group; in addition, two large, randomized clinical trials in which high doses of β -

carotene were used, the Beta-Carotene And Retinol Efficacy Trial (CARET) in the United States and the Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention (ATBC) trial in Finland, found that β -carotene, alone or in combination with vitamin E or retinyl palmitate, increased the incidence of lung cancers compared with placebo among high-risk groups, such as heavy smokers and those with a history of exposure to asbestos [6,7].

In the present study, vitamin supplement use was associated with the risk of total cancer or CVD in women but not in men. The characteristics of subjects with each vitamin supplement pattern were different between men and women, suggesting that these characteristics and unmeasured or residual confounders might cause the sex-based difference in results.

Our study has a potential limitation due to the differences in questionnaires regarding vitamin supplement use between the first and second surveys, and these differences might cause misclassification of vitamin supplement use prevalence, which was lower in the second survey than that in the first survey. Short-time vitamin supplement use of <1 year was regarded as vitamin supplement use in the first survey. In the second survey, re-categorized self-reported categories of vitamin supplementation were used to improve sensitivity in identifying vitamin supplement use [43] and vitamin supplement use was defined by vitamin supplements being taken ≥ 1 time/week for a year or longer. Information of duration was not available in the first survey. In addition, the possibility of selection bias needs to be considered when generalizing the present findings because 15% of the eligible subjects did not reply in the second survey. In our previous report, risks of mortality for all causes, all cancers, and CVD were higher among non-responders to the first survey compared with responders and elevated risk for cancer was observed only in the first 2 years of follow-up, whereas that for stroke was relatively stable for the entire period [66].

The strength of this study was its prospective design, which enabled us to avoid exposure recall bias. We selected subjects from the general population, we kept the sample size large, the response rate for the surveys was acceptable given its setting, and the loss to follow-up was negligible. In addition, the registries of cancer, stroke, and myocardial infarction were of sufficient quality to reduce the misclassification of outcomes. To our knowledge, this is the first prospective cohort study to examine associations between vitamin supplement use pattern and risk of cancer and CVD incidence simultaneously.

Conclusions

Allowing for the methodologic issues, our results from a population-based prospective cohort study in Japan

suggest that vitamin supplement use pattern has an impact on the subsequent risk of total cancer and CVD in women but not men. Elevated risk of cancer among women who were past and recent users of vitamin supplements may be partly explained by preexisting diseases or unhealthy background, which could not be completely controlled for in our study. Although consistent use of vitamin supplements for women might possibly reduce the risk of CVD, further research with detailed long-term data regarding components, doses, and patterns of vitamin supplement use is needed to confirm the generalizability of our findings.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by National Cancer Center Research and Development Fund, Grants-in-Aid for Cancer Research, and by the Third Term Comprehensive 10-Year Strategy for Cancer Control (H21-Sanjigan-Ippan-003) from the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare of Japan.

Study group members:

Members of the JPHC Study Group (principal investigator: S. Tsugane): S. Tsugane, M. Inoue, T. Sobue, and T. Hanaoka, Research Center for Cancer Prevention and Screening, National Cancer Center, Tokyo; J. Ogata, S. Baba, T. Mannami, A. Okayama, and Y. Kokubo, National Cardiovascular Center, Suita; K. Miyakawa, F. Saito, A. Koizumi, Y. Sano, I. Hashimoto, T. Ikuta, and Y. Tanaba, Iwate Prefectural Ninohe Public Health Center, Ninohe; Y. Miyajima, N. Suzuki, S. Nagasawa, Y. Furusugi, and N. Nagai, Akita Prefectural Yokote Public Health Center, Yokote; H. Sanada, Y. Hatayama, F. Kobayashi, H. Uchino, Y. Shirai, T. Kondo, R. Sasaki, Y. Watanabe, Y. Miyagawa, Y. Kobayashi, and M. Machida, Nagano Prefectural Saku Public Health Center, Saku; Y. Kishimoto, E. Takara, T. Fukuyama, M. Kinjo, M. Irei, and H. Sakiyama, Okinawa Prefectural Chubu Public Health Center, Okinawa; K. Imoto, H. Yazawa, T. Seo, A. Seiko, F. Ito, F. Shoji, and R. Saito, Katsushika Public Health Center, Tokyo; A. Murata, K. Minato, K. Motegi, and T. Fujieda, Ibaraki Prefectural Mito Public Health Center, Mito; T. Abe, M. Katagiri, M. Suzuki, and K. Matsui, Niigata Prefectural Kashiwazaki and Nagaoka Public Health Center, Kashiwazaki and Nagaoka; M. Doi, A. Terao, Y. Ishikawa, and T. Tagami, Kochi Prefectural Chuo-higashi Public Health Center, Tosayamada; H. Doi, M. Urata, N. Okamoto, F. Ide, and H. Sueta, Nagasaki Prefectural Kamigoto Public Health Center, Arikawa; H. Sakiyama, N. Onga, H. Takaesu, and M. Uehara, Okinawa Prefectural Miyako Public Health Center, Hirara; F. Horii, I. Asano, H. Yamaguchi, K. Aoki, S. Maruyama, M. Ichii, and M. Takano, Osaka Prefectural Suita Public Health Center, Suita; S. Matsushima and S. Natsukawa, Saku General Hospital, Usuda; M. Akabane, Tokyo University of Agriculture, Tokyo; M. Konishi, K. Okada, and I. Saito, Ehime University, Toon; H. Iso, Osaka University, Suita; Y. Honda, K. Yamagishi, S. Sakurai, and N. Tsuchiya, Tsukuba University, Tsukuba; H. Sugimura, Hamamatsu University, Hamamatsu; Y. Tsubono, Tohoku University, Sendai; M. Kabuto, National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba; S. Tominaga, Aichi Cancer Center Research Institute, Nagoya; M. Iida, W. Ajiki, and A. Ioka, Osaka Medical Center for Cancer and Cardiovascular Disease, Osaka; S. Sato, Osaka Medical Center for Health Science and Promotion, Osaka; N. Yasuda, Kochi University, Nankoku; K. Nakamura, Niigata University, Niigata; S. Kono, Kyushu University, Fukuoka; K. Suzuki, Research Institute for Brain and Blood Vessels Akita, Akita; Y. Takashima and M. Yoshida, Kyorin University, Mitaka; E. Maruyama, Kobe University, Kobe; M. Yamaguchi, Y. Matsumura, S. Sasaki, and S. Watanabe, National Institute of Health and Nutrition, Tokyo; T. Kadowaki, Tokyo University, Tokyo; M. Noda and T. Mizoue, International Medical Center of Japan, Tokyo; Y. Kawaguchi, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Tokyo; and H. Shimizu, Sakihae Institute, Gifu.

Author details

¹Epidemiology and Prevention Division, Research Center for Cancer Prevention and Screening, National Cancer Center, 5-1-1 Tsukiji, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0045, Japan. ²Department of Nutrition, Junior College of Tokyo University of Agriculture, 1-1-1 Sakuragaoka, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 156-8502, Japan. ³Public Health, Department of Social and Environmental Medicine,

Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, 2-2 Yamadaoka, Suita-shi, Osaka 565-0871, Japan.

Authors' contributions

We thank all staff members in each study area for their painstaking efforts to conduct the survey and follow-up. The authors' responsibilities were as follows: ST (principal investigator); M. Inoue, conducted the study, managed the cancer data collection; HI, managed the CVD data collection; AH, analyzed and interpreted the data and prepared the manuscript; SS, M. Iwasaki, TS, NS, TY, and JI helped to conduct the study. All authors provided critical suggestions for revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. AH received a research resident fellowship from the Foundation for Promotion of Cancer Research (Japan) for the 3rd term Comprehensive 10-year Strategy for Cancer Control.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 28 December 2010 Accepted: 8 July 2011

Published: 8 July 2011

References

1. Neuhouser ML: Dietary supplement use by American women: challenges in assessing patterns of use, motives and costs. *J Nutr* 2003, **133**:1992S-1996S.
2. Neuhouser ML, Patterson RE, Levy L: Motivations for using vitamin and mineral supplements. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1999, **99**:851-854.
3. Bjelakovic G, Nikolova D, Gluud LL, Simonetti RG, Gluud C: Antioxidant supplements for prevention of mortality in healthy participants and patients with various diseases. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008, **2**: CD007176.
4. Malouf R, Grimley Evans J: Folic acid with or without vitamin B12 for the prevention and treatment of healthy elderly and demented people. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008, **4**:CD004514.
5. Bjelakovic G, Nikolova D, Simonetti RG, Gluud C: Antioxidant supplements for preventing gastrointestinal cancers. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008, **3**:CD004183.
6. The Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta Carotene Cancer Prevention Study Group: The effect of vitamin E and beta carotene on the incidence of lung cancer and other cancers in male smokers. *N Engl J Med* 1994, **330**:1029-1035.
7. Omenn GS, Goodman GE, Thornquist MD, Balmes J, Cullen MR, Glass A, Keogh JP, Meyskens FL, Valanis B, Williams JH, et al: Effects of a combination of beta carotene and vitamin A on lung cancer and cardiovascular disease. *N Engl J Med* 1996, **334**:1150-1155.
8. Blot WJ, Li JY, Taylor PR, Guo W, Dawsey S, Wang GQ, Yang CS, Zheng SF, Gail M, Li GY, et al: Nutrition intervention trials in Linxian, China: supplementation with specific vitamin/mineral combinations, cancer incidence, and disease-specific mortality in the general population. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1993, **85**:1483-1492.
9. Saposnik G, Ray JG, Sheridan P, McQueen M, Lonn E: Homocysteine-lowering therapy and stroke risk, severity, and disability: additional findings from the HOPE 2 trial. *Stroke* 2009, **40**:1365-1372.
10. Wang X, Qin X, Demirtas H, Li J, Mao G, Huo Y, Sun N, Liu L, Xu X: Efficacy of folic acid supplementation in stroke prevention: a meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2007, **369**:1876-1882.
11. Neuhouser ML, Wassertheil-Smoller S, Thomson C, Aragaki A, Anderson GL, Manson JE, Patterson RE, Rohan TE, van Horn L, Shikany JM, et al: Multivitamin use and risk of cancer and cardiovascular disease in the Women's Health Initiative cohorts. *Arch Intern Med* 2009, **169**:294-304.
12. Park SY, Murphy SP, Wilkens LR, Henderson BE, Kolonel LN: Multivitamin use and the risk of mortality and cancer incidence: the multiethnic cohort study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2011, **173**:906-914.
13. Jacobs EJ, Connell CJ, Chao A, McCullough ML, Rodriguez C, Thun MU, Calle EE: Multivitamin use and colorectal cancer incidence in a US cohort: does timing matter? *Am J Epidemiol* 2003, **158**:621-628.
14. Giovannucci E, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Hunter DJ, Fuchs C, Rosner BA, Speizer FE, Willett WC: Multivitamin use, folate, and colon cancer in women in the Nurses' Health Study. *Ann Intern Med* 1998, **129**:517-524.
15. Zhang SM, Moore SC, Lin J, Cook NR, Manson JE, Lee IM, Buring JE: Folate, vitamin B6, multivitamin supplements, and colorectal cancer risk in women. *Am J Epidemiol* 2006, **163**:108-115.

16. Larsson SC, Akesson A, Bergkvist L, Wolk A: Multivitamin use and breast cancer incidence in a prospective cohort of Swedish women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2010, 91:1268-1272.
17. Ishitani K, Lin J, Manson JE, Buring JE, Zhang SM: A prospective study of multivitamin supplement use and risk of breast cancer. *Am J Epidemiol* 2008, 167:1197-1206.
18. Slatore CG, Littman AJ, Au DH, Satia JA, White E: Long-term use of supplemental multivitamins, vitamin C, vitamin E, and folate does not reduce the risk of lung cancer. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008, 177:524-530.
19. Cho E, Hunter DJ, Spiegelman D, Albanes D, Beeson WL, van den Brandt PA, Colditz GA, Feskanich D, Folsom AR, Fraser GE, et al: Intakes of vitamins A, C and E and folate and multivitamins and lung cancer: a pooled analysis of 8 prospective studies. *Int J Cancer* 2006, 118:970-978.
20. Peters U, Littman AJ, Kristal AR, Patterson RE, Potter JD, White E: Vitamin E and selenium supplementation and risk of prostate cancer in the Vitamins and lifestyle (VITAL) study cohort. *Cancer Causes Control* 2008, 19:75-87.
21. Lawson KA, Wright ME, Subar A, Mouw T, Hollenbeck A, Schatzkin A, Leitzmann MF: Multivitamin use and risk of prostate cancer in the National Institutes of Health-AARP Diet and Health Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2007, 99:754-764.
22. Rodriguez C, Jacobs EJ, Mondul AM, Calle EE, McCullough ML, Thun MJ: Vitamin E supplements and risk of prostate cancer in U.S. men. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2004, 13:378-382.
23. Wright ME, Weinstein SJ, Lawson KA, Albanes D, Subar AF, Dixon LB, Mouw T, Schatzkin A, Leitzmann MF: Supplemental and dietary vitamin E intakes and risk of prostate cancer in a large prospective study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2007, 16:1128-1135.
24. Zhang SM, Giovannucci EL, Hunter DJ, Rimm EB, Ascherio A, Colditz GA, Speizer FE, Willett WC: Vitamin supplement use and the risk of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma among women and men. *Am J Epidemiol* 2001, 153:1056-1063.
25. Knekt P, Ritz J, Pereira MA, O'Reilly EJ, Augustsson K, Fraser GE, Goldbourt U, Heitmann BL, Hallmans G, Liu S, et al: Antioxidant vitamins and coronary heart disease risk: a pooled analysis of 9 cohorts. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004, 80:1508-1520.
26. Rimm EB, Willett WC, Hu FB, Sampson L, Colditz GA, Manson JE, Hennekens C, Stampfer MJ: Folate and vitamin B6 from diet and supplements in relation to risk of coronary heart disease among women. *JAMA* 1998, 279:359-364.
27. Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Ascherio A, Giovannucci E, Colditz GA, Willett WC: Vitamin E consumption and the risk of coronary heart disease in men. *N Engl J Med* 1993, 328:1450-1456.
28. Stampfer MJ, Hennekens CH, Manson JE, Colditz GA, Rosner B, Willett WC: Vitamin E consumption and the risk of coronary disease in women. *N Engl J Med* 1993, 328:1444-1449.
29. Dietrich M, Jacques PF, Pencina MJ, Lanier K, Keyes MJ, Kaur G, Wolf PA, D'Agostino RB, Vasani RS: Vitamin E supplement use and the incidence of cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality in the Framingham Heart Study: Does the underlying health status play a role? *Atherosclerosis* 2009, 205:549-553.
30. Li K, Kaaks R, Linseisen J, Rohrmann S: Consistency of vitamin and/or mineral supplement use and demographic, lifestyle and health-status predictors: findings from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC)-Heidelberg cohort. *Br J Nutr* 2010, 104:1058-1064.
31. Losonczy KG, Harris TB, Havlik RJ: Vitamin E and vitamin C supplement use and risk of all-cause and coronary heart disease mortality in older persons: the Established Populations for Epidemiologic Studies of the Elderly. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1996, 64:190-196.
32. Jacobs EJ, Henion AK, Briggs PJ, Connell CJ, McCullough ML, Jonas CR, Rodriguez C, Calle EE, Thun MJ: Vitamin C and vitamin E supplement use and bladder cancer mortality in a large cohort of US men and women. *Am J Epidemiol* 2002, 156:1002-1010.
33. Jacobs EJ, Connell CJ, Patel AV, Chao A, Rodriguez C, Seymour J, McCullough ML, Calle EE, Thun MJ: Multivitamin use and colon cancer mortality in the Cancer Prevention Study II cohort (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 2001, 12:927-934.
34. Jacobs EJ, Connell CJ, Patel AV, Chao A, Rodriguez C, Seymour J, McCullough ML, Calle EE, Thun MJ: Vitamin C and vitamin E supplement use and colorectal cancer mortality in a large American Cancer Society cohort. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2001, 10:17-23.
35. Lyle BJ, Mares-Perlman JA, Klein BE, Klein R, Greger JL: Supplement users differ from nonusers in demographic, lifestyle, dietary and health characteristics. *J Nutr* 1998, 128:2355-2362.
36. Patterson RE, Neuhauser ML, Hedderson MM, Schwartz SM, Standish LJ, Bowen DJ: Changes in diet, physical activity, and supplement use among adults diagnosed with cancer. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2003, 103:323-328.
37. Ishihara J, Sobue T, Yamamoto S, Sasaki S, Tsugane S: Demographics, lifestyles, health characteristics, and dietary intake among dietary supplement users in Japan. *Int J Epidemiol* 2003, 32:546-553.
38. Imai T, Nakamura M, Ando F, Shimokata H: Dietary supplement use by community-living population in Japan: data from the National Institute for Longevity Sciences Longitudinal Study of Aging (NILS-LSA). *J Epidemiol* 2006, 16:249-260.
39. Hara A, Ohkubo T, Obara T, Tsubota-Utsugi M, Kikuya M, Metoki H, Inoue R, Asayama K, Totsune K, Hoshi H, et al: Demographic and lifestyle characteristics of supplement users: the Ohasama study (in Japanese). *J Drug Interaction Res* 2009, 33:7-13.
40. Kasiman K, Eikelboom JW, Hankey GJ, Lee SP, Lim JP, Lee JH, Chang HM, Wong MC, Chen CP: Ethnicity does not affect the homocysteine-lowering effect of B-vitamin therapy in Singaporean stroke patients. *Stroke* 2009, 40(6):2209-2211.
41. Tsugane S, Sobue T: Baseline survey of JPHC study-design and participation rate. Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective Study on Cancer and Cardiovascular Diseases. *J Epidemiol* 2001, 11(6 Suppl): S24-29.
42. Newman V, Rock CL, Faerber S, Flatt SW, Wright FA, Pierce JP: Dietary supplement use by women at risk for breast cancer recurrence. The Women's Healthy Eating and Living Study Group. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1998, 98:285-292.
43. Ishihara J, Sobue T, Yamamoto S, Sasaki S, Akabane M, Tsugane S: Validity and reproducibility of a self-administered questionnaire to determine dietary supplement users among Japanese. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2001, 55:360-365.
44. Watanabe S, Tsugane S, Sobue T, Konishi M, Baba S: Study design and organization of the JPHC study. Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective Study on Cancer and Cardiovascular Diseases. *J Epidemiol* 2001, 11(6 Suppl):S3-7.
45. World Health Organization: *International Classification of Diseases for Oncology*. 3 edition. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2000.
46. Tunstall-Pedoe H, Kuulasmaa K, Amouyel P, Arveiler D, Rajakangas AM, Pajak A: Myocardial infarction and coronary deaths in the World Health Organization MONICA Project. Registration procedures, event rates, and case-fatality rates in 38 populations from 21 countries in four continents. *Circulation* 1994, 90:583-612.
47. Walker AE, Robins M, Weinfeld FD: The National Survey of Stroke. Clinical findings. *Stroke* 1981, 12(2 Pt 2 Suppl 1):113-44.
48. Iso H, Kobayashi M, Ishihara J, Sasaki S, Okada K, Kita Y, Kokubo Y, Tsugane S: Intake of fish and n3 fatty acids and risk of coronary heart disease among Japanese: the Japan Public Health Center-Based (JPHC) Study Cohort I. *Circulation* 2006, 113:195-202.
49. Iso H, Baba S, Mannami T, Sasaki S, Okada K, Konishi M, Tsugane S: Alcohol consumption and risk of stroke among middle-aged men: the JPHC Study Cohort I. *Stroke* 2004, 35:1124-1129.
50. Tsubono Y, Takamori S, Kobayashi M, Takahashi T, Iwase Y, Itoi Y, Akabane M, Yamaguchi M, Tsugane S: A data-based approach for designing a semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire for a population-based prospective study in Japan. *J Epidemiol* 1996, 6:45-53.
51. Ishihara J, Sobue T, Yamamoto S, Yoshimi I, Sasaki S, Kobayashi M, Takahashi T, Itoi Y, Akabane M, Tsugane S: Validity and reproducibility of a self-administered food frequency questionnaire in the JPHC Study Cohort II: study design, participant profile and results in comparison with Cohort I. *J Epidemiol* 2003, 13(1 Suppl):S134-147.
52. Sasaki S, Kobayashi M, Tsugane S: Validity of a self-administered food frequency questionnaire used in the 5-year follow-up survey of the JPHC Study Cohort I: comparison with dietary records for food groups. *J Epidemiol* 2003, 13(1 Suppl):S57-63.
53. Tsugane S, Kobayashi M, Sasaki S: Validity of the self-administered food frequency questionnaire used in the 5-year follow-up survey of the

- JPHC Study Cohort I: comparison with dietary records for main nutrients. *J Epidemiol* 2003, **13**(1 Suppl):S51-56.
54. Ishihara J, Inoue M, Kobayashi M, Tanaka S, Yamamoto S, Iso H, Tsugane S: Impact of the revision of a nutrient database on the validity of a self-administered food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). *J Epidemiol* 2006, **16**:107-116.
 55. Willet WC: *Nutritional Epidemiology*. 2 edition. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1998.
 56. Jamaluddin MD, Chen I, Yang F, Jiang X, Jan M, Liu X, Schafer AI, Durante W, Yang X, Wang H: Homocysteine inhibits endothelial cell growth via DNA hypomethylation of the cyclin A gene. *Blood* 2007, **110**:3648-3655.
 57. McCully KS: Hyperhomocysteinemia and arteriosclerosis: historical perspectives. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2005, **43**:980-986.
 58. Lentz SR: Mechanisms of homocysteine-induced atherothrombosis. *J Thromb Haemost* 2005, **3**:1646-1654.
 59. Van Guelpen B, Hultdin J, Johansson I, Witthoft C, Weinehall L, Eliasson M, Hallmans G, Palmqvist R, Jansson JH, Winkvist A: Plasma folate and total homocysteine levels are associated with the risk of myocardial infarction, independently of each other and of renal function. *J Intern Med* 2009, **266**:182-195.
 60. Page JH, Ma J, Chiuve SE, Stampfer MJ, Selhub J, Manson JE, Rimm EB: Plasma total cysteine and total homocysteine and risk of myocardial infarction in women: a prospective study. *Am Heart J* 2010, **159**:599-604.
 61. Selhub J, Jacques PF, Wilson PW, Rush D, Rosenberg IH: Vitamin status and intake as primary determinants of homocysteinemia in an elderly population. *JAMA* 1993, **270**:2693-2698.
 62. McNulty H, Dowey le RC, Strain JJ, Dunne A, Ward M, Molloy AM, McAnena LB, Hughes JP, Hannon-Fletcher M, Scott JM: Riboflavin lowers homocysteine in individuals homozygous for the MTHFR 677C>T polymorphism. *Circulation* 2006, **113**:74-80.
 63. Harreus U, Baumeister P, Zieger S, Matthias C: The influence of high doses of vitamin C and zinc on oxidative DNA damage. *Anticancer Res* 2005, **25**:3197-3201.
 64. Podmore ID, Griffiths HR, Herbert KE, Mistry N, Mistry P, Lunec J: Vitamin C exhibits pro-oxidant properties. *Nature* 1998, **392**:559.
 65. Shi M, Xu B, Azakami K, Morikawa T, Watanabe K, Morimoto K, Komatsu M, Aoyama K, Takeuchi T: Dual role of vitamin C in an oxygen-sensitive system: discrepancy between DNA damage and cell death. *Free Radic Res* 2005, **39**:213-220.
 66. Hara M, Sasaki S, Sobue T, Yamamoto S, Tsugane S: Comparison of cause-specific mortality between respondents and nonrespondents in a population-based prospective study: ten-year follow-up of JPHC Study Cohort I. Japan Public Health Center. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2002, **55**:150-156.

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/11/540/prepub>

doi:10.1186/1471-2458-11-540

Cite this article as: Hara et al.: Use of vitamin supplements and risk of total cancer and cardiovascular disease among the Japanese general population: A population-based survey. *BMC Public Health* 2011 **11**:540.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Comparison of postmenopausal endogenous sex hormones among Japanese, Japanese Brazilians, and non-Japanese Brazilians

Motoki Iwasaki^{1*}, Yoshio Kasuga², Shiro Yokoyama³, Hiroshi Onuma³, Hideki Nishimura⁴, Ritsu Kusama⁵, Gerson Shigeaki Hamada⁶, Ines Nobuko Nishimoto⁷, Maria do Socorro Maciel⁸, Juvenal Motola Jr⁹, Fábio Martins Laginha⁹, Roberto Anzai¹⁰, Shoichiro Tsugane¹

Abstract

Background: Differences in sex hormone levels among populations might contribute to the variation in breast cancer incidence across countries. Previous studies have shown higher breast cancer incidence and mortality among Japanese Brazilians than among Japanese. To clarify the difference in hormone levels among populations, we compared postmenopausal endogenous sex hormone levels among Japanese living in Japan, Japanese Brazilians living in the state of São Paulo, and non-Japanese Brazilians living in the state of São Paulo.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted using a control group of case-control studies in Nagano, Japan, and São Paulo, Brazil. Participants were postmenopausal women older than 55 years of age who provided blood samples. We measured estradiol, estrone, androstenedione, dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEAS), testosterone and free testosterone by radioimmunoassay; bioavailable estradiol by the ammonium sulfate precipitation method; and sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) by immunoradiometric assay. A total of 363 women were included for the present analyses, comprising 185 Japanese, 44 Japanese Brazilians and 134 non-Japanese Brazilians.

Results: Japanese Brazilians had significantly higher levels of estradiol, bioavailable estradiol, estrone, testosterone and free testosterone levels, and lower SHBG levels, than Japanese. Japanese Brazilians also had significantly higher levels of bioavailable estradiol, estrone and DHEAS and lower levels of SHBG and androstenedione than non-Japanese Brazilians. Levels of estradiol, testosterone and free testosterone, however, did not differ between Japanese Brazilians and non-Japanese Brazilians. These differences were observed even after adjustment for known breast cancer risk factors. We also found an increase in estrogen and androgen levels with increasing body mass index, but no association for most of the other known risk factors.

Conclusions: We found higher levels of estrogens and androgens in Japanese Brazilians than in Japanese and levels similar to or higher than in non-Japanese Brazilians. Our findings may help explain the increase in the incidence and mortality rate of breast cancer among Japanese Brazilians.

* Correspondence: moiwasak@ncc.go.jp

¹Epidemiology and Prevention Division, Research Center for Cancer Prevention and Screening, National Cancer Center, Tokyo, Japan
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Background

The incidence and mortality rate of breast cancer vary considerably across countries and regions [1]. Although Japan has a lower risk for female breast cancer than Western countries, the incidence has gradually increased over the past 30 years [2,3]. The incidence and mortality rates in Japanese immigrants living in the United States and Brazil have approximated those in the host country [4-8]. For example, the mortality rate of first-generation Japanese immigrants to São Paulo, Brazil, increased from 1979 to 2001, with rates being intermediate between Japanese living in Japan and Brazilians living in the state of São Paulo [6].

Many epidemiologic studies have indicated that endogenous sex hormones, particularly estrogens, play an important role in the etiology of breast cancer [9]. A pooled analysis of nine prospective studies showed that higher estrogens and their androgen precursors were associated with a higher risk of breast cancer in postmenopausal women [9]. Differences in sex hormone levels among populations might therefore contribute to the variation in breast cancer incidence across countries and regions. Clarification of the difference in sex hormone levels among populations and their determinants might help our understanding of the etiology and prevention of breast cancer.

A relatively large number of epidemiological studies have examined sex hormone levels among ethnic groups and factors associated with sex hormone levels [10-16]. To our knowledge, however, no study has investigated sex hormone levels among Japanese Brazilians. In addition, although previous studies consistently showed that body weight and obesity were associated with higher estrogen levels in postmenopausal women [10-12,15], findings regarding other factors that influence circulating sex hormone levels have been inconsistent [10-14,16].

We have conducted a cross-sectional study using a control group of case-control studies in Nagano, Japan, and São Paulo, Brazil. The present study compared postmenopausal endogenous sex hormone levels among Japanese living in Japan, Japanese Brazilians living in São Paulo and non-Japanese Brazilians living in São Paulo, and examined factors associated with these levels.

Methods

Study participants

Participants were postmenopausal women who were enrolled as controls in multicenter, hospital-based, case-control studies of breast cancer. In addition to determining lifestyle factors and genetic susceptibility to the risk of breast cancer, the protocols of these studies were also designed to compare potential risk factors among Japanese living in Nagano, Japan, and Japanese Brazilians and non-Japanese Brazilians living in the state of São Paulo,

Brazil. Details of this study have been described previously [17]. The study protocol was approved by Comissão Nacional de Ética em Pesquisa, Brasília, Brazil, and by the institutional review board of the National Cancer Center, Tokyo, Japan.

Briefly, eligible cases were a consecutive series of female patients ages 20 to 74 years with newly diagnosed and histologically confirmed invasive breast cancer. Inhabitants of the state of São Paulo were recruited and asked their ethnicity. Japanese and their descendants were defined as Japanese Brazilians, and Caucasian, black and mixed ethnicity populations were defined as non-Japanese Brazilians. A total of 405 individuals (98%) participated in Nagano, and 83 Japanese Brazilians (91%) and 389 non-Japanese Brazilians (99%) participated in São Paulo. In the study in Nagano, eligible controls were selected from among medical checkup examinees in two of the four hospitals and were confirmed not to have cancer. One control was matched for each case by age (within 3 years) and by residential area. Among potential controls, one examinee refused to participate and two refused to provide blood samples. In the study in São Paulo, eligible controls were preferentially selected from among cancer-free patients who visited the same hospital as the index cases. One control was matched for each case by age (within 5 years) and by ethnicity. Among potential controls, 22 patients refused to participate (participation rate, 96%). Consequently, we obtained written, informed consent from a total of 877 matched pairs (405 for Japanese, 83 for Japanese Brazilians and 389 for non-Japanese Brazilians).

Of 877 controls, we selected postmenopausal women over 55 years of age who provided blood samples and reported an energy intake between 500 and 4,000 kcal. Menopausal status was determined by self-report, and energy intake was assessed using a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). The present study included a total of 382 women comprising 185 Japanese, 46 Japanese Brazilians and 151 non-Japanese Brazilians.

Data collection

Participants in Nagano were asked to complete a self-administered questionnaire, while in-person interviews were conducted in São Paulo by trained interviewers using a structured questionnaire. The two questionnaires contained closely similar questions concerning demographic characteristics, medical history, family history of cancer, menstrual and reproductive history, anthropometric factors, physical activity, smoking habits and dietary factors assessed by FFQ.

Participants in Nagano provided blood samples at the time they returned their self-administered questionnaire, and those in São Paulo provided blood samples at the time of the interview. Blood samples were divided into

serum aliquots in Nagano and into plasma aliquots and buffy coat layers in São Paulo. All blood samples were shipped to the Epidemiology and Prevention Division, Research Center for Cancer Prevention and Screening, National Cancer Center, Tokyo, Japan, and stored at -80°C until analysis.

Laboratory analysis

We used a radioimmunoassay method to measure estradiol, estrone, androstenedione, dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEAS), testosterone and free testosterone in serum for the Nagano participants and in plasma for the São Paulo participants. The following kits were used: estradiol (DSL-4800 Ultra-Sensitive Estradiol Radioimmunoassay Kit; Diagnostic System Laboratories, Inc., Webster, TX, USA), estrone (DSL-8700 Estrone Radioimmunoassay Kit; Diagnostic System Laboratories, Inc.), androstenedione (DPC · Androstenedione; Diagnostic Products Corporation, Llanberis, UK), DHEAS (DPC · DHEA-S Kit, Diagnostic Products Corporation), testosterone (DPC · Testosterone Kit; Diagnostic Products Corporation) and free testosterone (DPC · Free Testosterone Kit; Diagnostic Products Corporation). Bioavailable estradiol (free and albumin-bound estradiol) was measured using the ammonium sulfate precipitation method. Sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) was measured by immunoradiometric assay (IRMA) using Spectria SHBG IRMA (Orion Diagnostica, Espoo, Finland). The kit for estrone was applicable to serum samples only, although other kits or methods were applicable to both serum and plasma samples. We therefore measured estrone levels in both serum and plasma from the same women over 50 years of age ($n = 38$) and calibrated estrone levels in plasma on the basis of a regression function, although the two levels were highly correlated (correlation coefficient = 0.94) and the percentage difference was relatively small (mean = -4%; 95% confidence interval, -9% to 1%). Lower detection limits (LODs) were 5 pg/mL for estradiol, 15 pg/mL for estrone, 6.25 nM/L for SHBG, 0.1 ng/mL for androstenedione, 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ for DHEAS, 0.05 ng/mL for testosterone and 0.4 pg/mL for free testosterone. Measurement values below the LOD were assigned half the value of the LOD if measurable values below the LOD were not available. The intra-assay coefficients of variation were 6.5% for estradiol at a mean concentration of 24.9 pg/mL ($n = 12$), 10.6% for bioavailable estradiol at a mean concentration of 48.1% ($n = 10$), 5.6% for estrone at a mean concentration of 101.7 pg/mL ($n = 10$), 4.7% for SHBG at a mean concentration of 104.6 nM/L ($n = 10$), 9.4% for androstenedione at a mean concentration of 1.33 ng/mL ($n = 10$), 5.2% for DHEAS at a mean concentration of 75 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ ($n = 10$), 4.5% for testosterone at a mean concentration of 0.83 ng/mL ($n = 10$) and 11.6%

for free testosterone at a mean concentration of 5.4 pg/mL ($n = 10$). Interassay coefficients of variation were 9.7% for estradiol at a mean concentration of 28.0 pg/mL ($n = 8$), 11.9% for bioavailable estradiol at a mean concentration of 52.3% ($n = 9$), 11.1% for estrone at a mean concentration of 90.1 pg/mL ($n = 8$), 5.5% for SHBG at a mean concentration of 124.0 nM/L ($n = 10$), 9.8% for androstenedione at a mean concentration of 1.10 ng/mL ($n = 20$), 5.3% for DHEAS at a mean concentration of 92.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ ($n = 20$), 7.7% for testosterone at a mean concentration of 0.90 ng/mL ($n = 20$) and 9.0% for free testosterone at a mean concentration of 6.4 pg/mL ($n = 10$). All hormone assays were performed by a commercial laboratory (Mitsubishi Kagaku Bio-Clinical Laboratories, Tokyo, Japan).

Statistical analysis

We excluded a total of 19 participants with estrone values >125 pg/mL, estradiol values >75 pg/mL or testosterone values >125 ng/dL (indicating postmenopausal hormone use), leaving 185 Japanese, 44 Japanese Brazilians and 134 non-Japanese Brazilians for inclusion in the present analyses.

All hormone values were natural log-transformed to produce approximately normal distributions. Geometric mean hormone levels according to the three populations, known breast cancer risk factors and lifestyle factors were calculated using multivariate regression analysis. The following variables were used for adjustment: age, ethnic group, age at first menarche, age at menopause, number of births, age at first birth, height, body mass index (BMI), smoking status, alcohol drinking habits and physical activity during the past 5 years. Analysis of covariance was used to test for differences in mean hormone levels across the three populations, known breast cancer risk factors and lifestyle factors. For comparisons among the three populations, Japanese Brazilians living in São Paulo were used as the reference group. Linear trends for mean hormone levels were tested in the multivariate regression model using categories of each factor as ordinal or continuous variables. All P values reported are two-sided, and the statistical significance level was set at $P < 0.05$. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS software version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

The characteristics of the study populations are presented in Table 1. Japanese participants had a later menarche, fewer births and lower BMI, and they smoked less, drank more and were physically more active than the other two populations. On the other hand, non-Japanese Brazilians had earlier ages at menopause and at first birth, more births and greater BMI,

Table 1 Characteristics of study populations

| | Japanese living in Nagano, Japan | Japanese Brazilians living in São Paulo, Brazil | Non-Japanese Brazilians living in São Paulo, Brazil | P for difference |
|--|----------------------------------|---|---|------------------|
| Number of participants | 185 | 44 | 134 | |
| Mean age (\pm SE), yr | 62.8 (0.40) | 63.8 (0.82) | 63.6 (0.47) | 0.37 |
| p^a | 0.31 | Reference | 0.84 | |
| Family history of breast cancer, n (%) | 17 (9.2) | 5 (11.4) | 14 (10.5) | 0.92 |
| p^a | 0.68 | Reference | 0.98 | |
| History of benign breast disease, n (%) | 11 (6.0) | 4 (9.1) | 8 (6.0) | 0.46 |
| p^a | 0.65 | Reference | 0.62 | |
| Mean age at first menarche (\pm SE), yr | 13.9 (0.12) | 13.2 (0.26) | 13.3 (0.15) | <0.01 |
| p^a | <0.01 | Reference | 0.75 | |
| Mean age at menopause (\pm SE), yr | 50.0 (0.34) | 50.8 (0.69) | 48.2 (0.40) | <0.01 |
| p^a | 0.29 | Reference | <0.01 | |
| Nulliparous, n (%) | 17 (9.2) | 5 (11.4) | 15 (11.2) | 0.55 |
| p^a | 0.58 | Reference | 0.81 | |
| Number of births (more than four births), n (%) ^b | 6 (3.6) | 12 (30.8) | 57 (47.9) | <0.01 |
| p^a | <0.01 | Reference | <0.01 | |
| Mean age at first birth (\pm SE), yr ^b | 26.2 (0.34) | 26.5 (0.72) | 23.6 (0.41) | <0.01 |
| p^a | 0.65 | Reference | <0.01 | |
| Breast feeding (yes), n (%) ^b | 154 (93.3) | 35 (89.7) | 107 (89.9) | 0.72 |
| p^a | 0.27 | Reference | 0.61 | |
| Mean height (\pm SE), cm | 152.9 (0.43) | 151.8 (0.89) | 157.1 (0.52) | <0.01 |
| p^a | 0.29 | Reference | <0.01 | |
| Mean body mass index (\pm SE), kg/m ² | 23.4 (0.28) | 24.7 (0.57) | 27.0 (0.34) | <0.01 |
| p^a | 0.04 | Reference | <0.01 | |
| Smoking (ever smoker), n (%) | 6 (3.3) | 7 (15.9) | 38 (28.4) | <0.01 |
| p^a | <0.01 | Reference | <0.01 | |
| Alcohol drinking (drinker), n (%) | 67 (36.2) | 5 (11.4) | 25 (18.7) | <0.01 |
| p^a | <0.01 | Reference | 0.63 | |
| Physical activity in past 5 years (yes), n (%) | 85 (46.5) | 19 (43.2) | 26 (19.4) | <0.01 |
| p^a | <0.01 | Reference | <0.01 | |

^aP values for comparison with Japanese Brazilians living in São Paulo, Brazil; ^bAmong parous women only.

and they smoked more and were taller and physically less active than the other two populations. Japanese Brazilians had an earlier menarche, more births and greater BMI, and they smoked more, drank less and were physically less active than Japanese, but they had later ages at menopause and first birth, fewer births and lower BMI, and they smoked less and were shorter and physically more active than non-Japanese Brazilians.

Because of an insufficient amount of sampled blood, we did not measure the levels of the following hormones: estradiol for 17 participants; bioavailable estradiol, estrone or SHBG for two participants each; or androstenedione for one participant. The proportion of participants with levels below the LOD were 0.9% for estradiol, 3.6% for estrone, 0% for bioavailable estradiol

and SHBG, 0.6% for androstenedione and DHEAS, 24% for testosterone and 69% for free testosterone.

Adjusted hormone levels varied significantly across the three populations for all hormones (Table 2). Japanese Brazilians had significantly higher levels of estradiol, bioavailable estradiol, estrone, testosterone and free testosterone, and lower SHBG levels, than Japanese, whereas levels of androstenedione and DHEAS did not differ between the two populations (Table 2). Similar results were seen for analyses stratified by BMI (under and over 25), except for androstenedione level, which did not differ between Japanese Brazilians and Japanese whose BMI was under 25, but androstenedione level was significantly lower among Japanese Brazilians than among Japanese whose BMI was over 25 (Table 3).

Table 2 Adjusted geometric mean hormone levels in three populations^a

| | Japanese living in Nagano, Japan | Japanese Brazilians living in São Paulo, Brazil | Non-Japanese Brazilians living in São Paulo, Brazil | P for difference |
|---|----------------------------------|---|---|------------------|
| Estradiol, pg/mL | | | | |
| Age-adjusted | 9.0 | 13.8 | 15.5 | <0.01 |
| (95% CI) | (8.6 to 9.4) | (12.5 to 15.3) | (14.6 to 16.5) | |
| <i>p</i> ^a | <0.01 | Reference | 0.052 | |
| Multivariate ^b | 9.7 | 14.3 | 15.5 | <0.01 |
| (95% CI) | (8.7 to 10.9) | (12.5 to 16.4) | (14.0 to 17.1) | |
| <i>p</i> ^a | <0.01 | Reference | 0.28 | |
| Bioavailable estradiol, % | | | | |
| Age-adjusted | 23.1 | 30.6 | 22.9 | <0.01 |
| (95% CI) | (22.1 to 24.1) | (28.0 to 33.4) | (21.7 to 24.1) | |
| <i>p</i> ^a | <0.01 | Reference | <0.01 | |
| Multivariate ^b | 23.7 | 30.2 | 20.6 | <0.01 |
| (95% CI) | (21.6 to 26.0) | (27.0 to 33.8) | (19.0 to 22.3) | |
| <i>p</i> ^a | <0.01 | Reference | <0.01 | |
| Estrone, pg/mL | | | | |
| Age-adjusted | 23.0 | 40.3 | 34.1 | <0.01 |
| (95% CI) | (22.0 to 24.0) | (36.8 to 44.1) | (32.4 to 35.9) | |
| <i>p</i> ^a | <0.01 | Reference | <0.01 | |
| Multivariate ^b | 23.8 | 41.1 | 33.3 | <0.01 |
| (95% CI) | (21.5 to 26.3) | (36.5 to 46.3) | (30.6 to 36.4) | |
| <i>p</i> ^a | <0.01 | Reference | <0.01 | |
| Sex hormone-binding globulin, nM/L | | | | |
| Age-adjusted | 74.1 | 54.3 | 60.2 | <0.01 |
| (95% CI) | (69.4 to 79.1) | (47.5 to 62.0) | (55.8 to 65.1) | |
| <i>p</i> ^a | <0.01 | Reference | 0.18 | |
| Multivariate ^b | 68.4 | 53.0 | 70.7 | 0.01 |
| (95% CI) | (59.5 to 78.5) | (44.9 to 62.4) | (62.6 to 79.7) | |
| <i>p</i> ^a | <0.01 | Reference | <0.01 | |
| Androstenedione, ng/mL | | | | |
| Age-adjusted | 0.65 | 0.56 | 1.04 | <0.01 |
| (95% CI) | (0.60 to 0.70) | (0.47 to 0.66) | (0.95 to 1.15) | |
| <i>p</i> ^a | 0.12 | Reference | <0.01 | |
| Multivariate ^b | 0.73 | 0.60 | 1.00 | <0.01 |
| (95% CI) | (0.61 to 0.88) | (0.48 to 0.76) | (0.85 to 1.18) | |
| <i>p</i> ^a | 0.06 | Reference | <0.01 | |
| DHEAS, µg/dL | | | | |
| Age-adjusted | 50.6 | 58.0 | 44.5 | 0.03 |
| (95% CI) | (46.3 to 55.4) | (48.2 to 69.8) | (40.0 to 49.4) | |
| <i>p</i> ^a | 0.19 | Reference | 0.01 | |
| Multivariate ^b | 57.2 | 63.1 | 46.7 | 0.04 |
| (95% CI) | (46.6 to 70.2) | (49.4 to 80.6) | (39.2 to 55.8) | |
| <i>p</i> ^a | 0.38 | Reference | 0.02 | |
| Testosterone, ng/mL | | | | |
| Age-adjusted | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.18 | <0.01 |
| (95% CI) | (0.02 to 0.03) | (0.07 to 0.17) | (0.14 to 0.24) | |
| <i>p</i> ^a | <0.01 | Reference | 0.06 | |
| Multivariate ^b | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.14 | <0.01 |
| (95% CI) | (0.02 to 0.04) | (0.06 to 0.20) | (0.09 to 0.22) | |
| <i>p</i> ^a | <0.01 | Reference | 0.38 | |
| Free testosterone, pg/mL | | | | |

Table 2 Adjusted geometric mean hormone levels in three populations^a (Continued)

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------|
| Age-adjusted | 0.21 | 0.39 | 0.44 | <0.01 |
| (95% CI) | (0.19 to 0.23) | (0.33 to 0.46) | (0.40 to 0.48) | |
| <i>P</i> ^a | <0.01 | Reference | 0.18 | |
| Multivariate ^b | 0.22 | 0.39 | 0.39 | <0.01 |
| (95% CI) | (0.19 to 0.26) | (0.32 to 0.47) | (0.34 to 0.45) | |
| <i>P</i> ^a | <0.01 | Reference | 0.92 | |

DHEAS, dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; ^a*P* values for comparison with Japanese Brazilians living in São Paulo, Brazil; ^bAdjusted for age (continuous), age at first menarche (continuous), age at menopause (continuous), number of births (0, 1, 2 or 3, 4+), age at first birth (≤ 22 , 23 to 26, ≥ 27 yr, nulliparous), height (continuous), body mass index (continuous), smoking (never smokers, past smokers, current smokers), alcohol drinking (nondrinkers, occasional drinker, regular drinkers), and physical activity in past 5 years (no, ≤ 2 days/wk, ≥ 3 days/wk).

Japanese Brazilians had significantly higher levels of bioavailable estradiol, estrone and DHEAS, and lower levels of SHBG and androstenedione, than non-Japanese Brazilians. Levels of estradiol, testosterone and free testosterone, however, did not differ between Japanese Brazilians and non-Japanese Brazilians (Table 2). Similar results were obtained when analyses were stratified by BMI (under and over 25), except for estrone and DHEAS. Levels of estrone were significantly higher among Japanese Brazilians than among non-Japanese Brazilians in individuals with a BMI under 25, but estrone levels did not differ between the two populations in individuals whose BMI was over 25, while DHEAS level did not differ regardless of BMI (under or over 25) (Table 3).

We further examined associations between endogenous sex hormone levels and known breast cancer risk factors or lifestyle factors (Table 4). BMI was significantly associated with higher estradiol, bioavailable estradiol, estrone, androstenedione, testosterone and free testosterone levels, as well as lower SHBG levels, but was not associated with DHEAS levels. Stratified analyses by study site (that is, the study in Nagano vs. the study in São Paulo) showed similar results for the two study sites. No statistically significant associations were observed between sex hormone levels and family history of breast cancer, history of benign breast disease, age at first menarche, age at menopause, parity, number of births, age at first birth, breast-feeding, height, smoking, alcohol drinking or physical activity during the past 5 years except for the following. We found a significantly higher level of SHBG among women who had a later age at menopause and among shorter women. We also observed a significantly higher level of DHEAS among women who had more births and a significantly lower level of testosterone among physically more active women. In stratified analyses by study site, however, we did not observe any findings which were consistent between the sites.

Discussion

In this cross-sectional study among postmenopausal Japanese, Japanese Brazilian and non-Japanese Brazilian

women, we found significant differences in endogenous sex hormones among the three populations even after adjustment for known breast cancer risk factors. In particular, levels of estrogen and androgen in Japanese Brazilians were higher than levels in Japanese and were similar to or higher than levels in non-Japanese Brazilians. This pattern was observed for women with BMI values under and over 25. We also confirmed an increase in estrogen and androgen levels and a decrease in SHBG levels with increasing BMI.

As an initial comment, several methodological limitations of this study should be considered. First, our findings might be subject to the difference in study methods between Japan and Brazil, albeit that the two studies were conducted under a similar protocol. For example, we used serum samples for Japanese and plasma samples for both Japanese Brazilians and non-Japanese Brazilians. In this regard, we measured estrone levels in both serum and plasma from the same participants ($n = 38$). Although both levels were highly correlated (correlation coefficient = 0.94) and the percentage difference was relatively small (mean = -4%; 95% confidence interval, -9% to 1%), we used corrected values for the present study because the kit for estrone was applicable to serum samples only. Concurrently, we compared estrone levels among the three populations using crude values and observed the same results. The difference in blood samples is therefore unlikely to have affected the difference in sex hormone levels between the two populations. Given that blood collection methods also differed between the Japan and Brazil study sites, in addition to the types of blood samples used, we cannot exclude the possibility that our findings were affected by these differences. Another example is the difference in questionnaire data and data collection methods between Japan and Brazil. If such differences led to exposure misclassification, this might explain the observed absence of associations between sex hormone levels and known breast cancer risk factors or lifestyle factors. Second, although at least more than 96% of participants had detectable levels of estradiol, estrone, bioavailable estradiol, SHBG, androstenedione and DHEAS, the

Table 3 Adjusted geometric mean hormone levels^a of three populations with stratification by body mass index^b

| | Japanese living in Nagano, Japan | Japanese Brazilians living in São Paulo, Brazil | Non-Japanese Brazilians living in São Paulo, Brazil | P for difference |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|------------------|
| Estradiol, pg/mL | | | | |
| Low (BMI < 25) | 9.5 | 14.2 | 15.0 | <0.01 |
| <i>p</i> ^c | <0.01 | Reference | 0.60 | |
| High (BMI ≥25) | 8.2 | 12.2 | 14.5 | <0.01 |
| <i>p</i> ^c | <0.01 | Reference | 0.06 | |
| Bioavailable estradiol, % | | | | |
| Low (BMI <25) | 22.4 | 28.7 | 17.9 | <0.01 |
| <i>p</i> ^c | <0.01 | Reference | <0.01 | |
| High (BMI ≥ 25) | 25.6 | 32.5 | 23.4 | <0.01 |
| <i>p</i> ^c | <0.01 | Reference | <0.01 | |
| Estrone, pg/mL | | | | |
| Low (BMI < 25) | 22.5 | 40.4 | 32.1 | <0.01 |
| <i>p</i> ^c | <0.01 | Reference | <0.01 | |
| High (BMI ≥25) | 23.2 | 38.4 | 34.2 | <0.01 |
| <i>p</i> ^c | <0.01 | Reference | 0.19 | |
| Sex hormone-binding globulin, nM/L | | | | |
| Low (BMI < 25) | 76.6 | 62.8 | 85.8 | 0.03 |
| <i>p</i> ^c | 0.04 | Reference | <0.01 | |
| High (BMI ≥25) | 59.6 | 43.8 | 59.5 | 0.03 |
| <i>p</i> ^c | 0.02 | Reference | 0.02 | |
| Androstenedione, ng/mL | | | | |
| Low (BMI < 25) | 0.64 | 0.63 | 0.91 | 0.03 |
| <i>p</i> ^c | 0.90 | Reference | 0.02 | |
| High (BMI ≥25) | 0.76 | 0.51 | 1.05 | <0.01 |
| <i>p</i> ^c | 0.03 | Reference | <0.01 | |
| DHEAS, µg/dL | | | | |
| Low (BMI < 25) | 51.9 | 64.7 | 48.7 | 0.21 |
| <i>p</i> ^c | 0.13 | Reference | 0.11 | |
| High (BMI ≥25) | 54.6 | 52.2 | 43.4 | 0.29 |
| <i>p</i> ^c | 0.81 | Reference | 0.32 | |
| Testosterone, ng/mL | | | | |
| Low (BMI < 25) | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.13 | <0.01 |
| <i>p</i> ^c | <0.01 | Reference | 0.27 | |
| High (BMI ≥25) | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.18 | <0.01 |
| <i>p</i> ^c | <0.01 | Reference | 0.69 | |
| Free testosterone, pg/mL | | | | |
| Low (BMI < 25) | 0.18 | 0.32 | 0.31 | <0.01 |
| <i>p</i> ^c | <0.01 | Reference | 0.90 | |
| High (BMI ≥25) | 0.26 | 0.46 | 0.48 | <0.01 |
| <i>p</i> ^c | <0.01 | Reference | 0.85 | |

BMI, body mass index; DHEAS, dehydroepianrosterone sulfate; ^aAdjusted for age (continuous), age at first menarche (continuous), age at menopause (continuous), number of births (0, 1, 2 or 3, 4+), age at first birth (≤22, 23 to 26, ≥27, nulliparous), height (continuous), BMI (continuous), smoking (never smokers, past smokers, current smokers), alcohol drinking (nondrinkers, occasional drinkers, regular drinkers) and physical activity in the past 5 years (no, ≤2 days/wk, ≥3 days/wk); ^bThe total participants in the low and high BMI groups were 199 and 156, respectively; ^c*P* values for comparison with Japanese Brazilians living in São Paulo, Brazil.

proportion of participants with levels below the LOD was relatively high for testosterone (24%) and free testosterone (69%). Our findings for testosterone and free testosterone should therefore be interpreted cautiously. Third, since our study included only a small number of

Japanese Brazilians ($n = 44$), the findings might be due to chance and should be interpreted with caution.

We found higher circulating levels of estrogen and androgen in Japanese Brazilians than in Japanese, which were not accounted for by differences in the prevalence

Table 4 Adjusted geometric mean hormone levels by breast cancer risk factors and lifestyle-factors^a

| Breast cancer risk and lifestyle factors | Participants, n | Estradiol, pg/mL | Bioavailable estradiol, % | Estrone, pg/mL | Sex hormone-binding globulin, nM/L | Androstenedione, ng/mL | DHEAS, µg/dL | Testosterone, ng/mL | Free testosterone, pg/mL |
|--|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Family history of breast cancer | | | | | | | | | |
| No | 327 | 13.9 | 22.7 | 32.6 | 66.2 | 0.84 | 52.7 | 0.09 | 0.34 |
| Yes | 36 | 13.8 | 21.2 | 31.6 | 74.6 | 0.80 | 51.4 | 0.05 | 0.36 |
| <i>P</i> for difference | | 0.90 | 0.18 | 0.57 | 0.12 | 0.66 | 0.83 | 0.08 | 0.40 |
| History of benign breast disease | | | | | | | | | |
| No | 339 | 13.9 | 22.6 | 32.5 | 66.9 | 0.84 | 52.9 | 0.09 | 0.34 |
| Yes | 23 | 14.3 | 22.0 | 33.5 | 69.0 | 0.78 | 52.1 | 0.08 | 0.31 |
| <i>P</i> for difference | | 0.69 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.75 | 0.61 | 0.92 | 0.72 | 0.38 |
| Age at first menarche, yr | | | | | | | | | |
| <12 | 101 | 13.7 | 22.9 | 31.6 | 66.7 | 0.83 | 49.5 | 0.08 | 0.33 |
| 13 or 14 | 166 | 13.9 | 22.2 | 32.4 | 65.2 | 0.83 | 54.8 | 0.09 | 0.34 |
| 15+ | 96 | 13.9 | 22.6 | 33.6 | 69.7 | 0.85 | 53.3 | 0.08 | 0.35 |
| <i>P</i> for trend | | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.18 | 0.51 | 0.78 | 0.43 | 0.99 | 0.60 |
| <i>P</i> for trend ^b | | 0.70 | 0.47 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.68 | 0.29 | 0.83 | 0.39 |
| Age at menopause, yr | | | | | | | | | |
| <48 | 116 | 14.0 | 23.0 | 32.6 | 64.5 | 0.89 | 57.0 | 0.08 | 0.34 |
| 49 to 51 | 108 | 14.0 | 22.0 | 33.1 | 70.2 | 0.78 | 51.6 | 0.09 | 0.34 |
| 52+ | 139 | 13.6 | 22.5 | 32.1 | 67.0 | 0.80 | 48.5 | 0.09 | 0.33 |
| <i>P</i> for trend | | 0.47 | 0.65 | 0.68 | 0.57 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.66 | 0.75 |
| <i>P</i> for trend ^b | | 0.80 | 0.06 | 0.93 | 0.02 | 0.32 | 0.51 | 0.59 | 1.00 |
| Parity | | | | | | | | | |
| Parous | 326 | 13.8 | 22.0 | 32.3 | 67.5 | 0.80 | 48.4 | 0.08 | 0.33 |
| Nulliparous | 37 | 13.7 | 23.3 | 32.9 | 67.2 | 0.87 | 58.0 | 0.10 | 0.34 |
| <i>P</i> for difference | | 0.89 | 0.28 | 0.73 | 0.95 | 0.42 | 0.11 | 0.51 | 0.86 |
| Number of births ^c | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 32 | 13.7 | 20.6 | 32.8 | 69.6 | 0.77 | 43.7 | 0.10 | 0.30 |
| 2 or 3 | 219 | 13.4 | 22.2 | 31.6 | 67.8 | 0.79 | 43.9 | 0.08 | 0.32 |
| 4+ | 75 | 14.7 | 22.3 | 33.2 | 65.8 | 0.86 | 56.0 | 0.08 | 0.35 |
| <i>P</i> for trend | | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.71 | 0.55 | 0.38 | 0.046 | 0.76 | 0.20 |
| Age at first birth ^c , yr | | | | | | | | | |
| <22 | 79 | 13.2 | 21.3 | 31.5 | 70.9 | 0.80 | 44.0 | 0.09 | 0.31 |
| 23 to 26.9 | 138 | 13.9 | 21.5 | 33.1 | 68.1 | 0.78 | 46.7 | 0.07 | 0.33 |
| 27+ | 109 | 14.7 | 22.3 | 33.1 | 64.3 | 0.84 | 52.2 | 0.10 | 0.32 |
| <i>P</i> for trend | | 0.09 | 0.29 | 0.52 | 0.16 | 0.47 | 0.11 | 0.40 | 0.89 |
| <i>P</i> for trend ^b | | 0.10 | 0.32 | 0.53 | 0.37 | 0.58 | 0.39 | 0.47 | 0.81 |
| Breast-feeding ^c | | | | | | | | | |
| No | 27 | 14.3 | 23.2 | 33.5 | 63.4 | 0.82 | 46.9 | 0.09 | 0.33 |
| Yes | 296 | 13.7 | 21.9 | 32.2 | 67.6 | 0.81 | 47.2 | 0.08 | 0.32 |

Table 4 Adjusted geometric mean hormone levels by breast cancer risk factors and lifestyle-factors^a (Continued)

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|
| <i>P</i> for difference | | 0.59 | 0.33 | 0.53 | 0.47 | 0.87 | 0.96 | 0.85 | 0.87 |
| Height, cm | | | | | | | | | |
| <150.9 | 107 | 13.8 | 22.3 | 32.2 | 69.4 | 0.84 | 54.7 | 0.09 | 0.34 |
| 151 to 156.9 | 126 | 14.3 | 22.1 | 33.4 | 67.2 | 0.81 | 51.9 | 0.08 | 0.34 |
| 157+ | 124 | 13.7 | 23.2 | 32.2 | 63.8 | 0.85 | 51.7 | 0.09 | 0.34 |
| <i>P</i> for trend | | 0.83 | 0.31 | 0.99 | 0.16 | 0.91 | 0.54 | 0.71 | 0.86 |
| <i>P</i> for trend ^b | | 0.62 | 0.07 | 0.65 | 0.01 | 0.33 | 0.96 | 0.47 | 0.72 |
| BMI, kg/m ² | | | | | | | | | |
| <24.9 | 199 | 13.3 | 20.9 | 31.1 | 75.3 | 0.77 | 51.1 | 0.07 | 0.30 |
| 25 to 29.9 | 116 | 14.5 | 24.2 | 32.2 | 60.2 | 0.79 | 48.4 | 0.09 | 0.34 |
| 30+ | 40 | 15.5 | 26.4 | 38.4 | 51.2 | 1.15 | 65.3 | 0.16 | 0.50 |
| <i>P</i> for trend | | 0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.01 | 0.21 | 0.01 | <0.01 |
| <i>P</i> for trend ^b | | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.13 | 0.01 | <0.01 |
| Smoking | | | | | | | | | |
| Never smoker | 310 | 13.2 | 24.3 | 32.0 | 62.9 | 0.80 | 53.5 | 0.09 | 0.35 |
| Past smoker | 37 | 13.6 | 23.7 | 32.4 | 62.3 | 0.77 | 51.4 | 0.06 | 0.38 |
| Current smoker | 14 | 14.9 | 20.0 | 33.2 | 76.3 | 0.94 | 52.8 | 0.12 | 0.29 |
| <i>P</i> for difference | | 0.48 | 0.06 | 0.91 | 0.28 | 0.55 | 0.95 | 0.43 | 0.28 |
| Alcohol drinking | | | | | | | | | |
| Nondrinker | 266 | 14.0 | 22.0 | 32.7 | 69.9 | 0.85 | 49.4 | 0.10 | 0.34 |
| Occasional drinker | 39 | 14.1 | 23.5 | 32.4 | 63.7 | 0.82 | 59.1 | 0.08 | 0.34 |
| Regular drinker | 58 | 13.5 | 22.2 | 32.4 | 67.1 | 0.83 | 49.8 | 0.08 | 0.34 |
| <i>P</i> for difference | | 0.76 | 0.48 | 0.97 | 0.42 | 0.89 | 0.29 | 0.48 | 0.98 |
| Physical activity in past 5 years | | | | | | | | | |
| No | 231 | 14.0 | 22.5 | 32.8 | 66.7 | 0.84 | 52.2 | 0.11 | 0.34 |
| ≤2 days/wk | 63 | 13.8 | 22.1 | 32.1 | 67.5 | 0.79 | 50.6 | 0.05 | 0.33 |
| ≥3 days/wk | 68 | 13.5 | 23.3 | 32.1 | 66.8 | 0.85 | 55.8 | 0.07 | 0.35 |
| <i>P</i> for trend | | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 0.56 | 0.02 | 0.60 |

DHEAS, dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate; BMI, body mass index; ^aAdjusted for age (continuous), ethnic group (Japanese, Japanese Brazilians, non-Japanese Brazilians (Caucasian, mixed, Black), age at first menarche (continuous), age at menopause (continuous), number of births (0, 1, 2 or 3, 4+), age at first birth (≤22, 23 to 26, ≥27 yr, nulliparous), height (continuous), BMI (continuous), smoking (never smokers, past smokers, current smokers), alcohol drinking (nondrinkers, occasional drinkers, regular drinkers) and physical activity in the past 5 years (no, ≤2 days/wk, ≥3 days/wk); ^bContinuous variables; ^cAmong parous women only.

of known breast cancer risk factors. This hormonal profile in Japanese Brazilians is consistent with the higher incidence and mortality rate of breast cancer in this population [4-6]. For instance, the age-adjusted incidence per 100,000 population for breast cancer among first-generation Japanese Brazilians from 1969 to 1978 was 24, while the incidences among Japanese from 1973 to 1977 were 12.7 in Osaka and 17.5 in Miyagi [4]. The standard mortality ratio for breast cancer among first-

generation Japanese Brazilians from 1999 to 2001 on the basis of age-specific rates for Japanese in 2000 was 139 [5].

We also found higher circulating levels of bioavailable estradiol and estrone in Japanese Brazilians than in non-Japanese Brazilians, although levels of estradiol, testosterone and free testosterone did not significantly differ between the two populations. In the Multiethnic Cohort Study, Japanese Americans had significantly higher

estradiol levels than Caucasians and a slightly higher risk factor-adjusted incidence of breast cancer [10,18]. Although previous studies have shown lower incidence and mortality rates of breast cancer among Japanese Brazilians than among non-Japanese Brazilians [4-6], our findings suggest that the recent incidence and mortality rates among Japanese Brazilians might be similar to or higher than those of non-Japanese Brazilians.

The significant difference in sex hormone levels between Japanese Brazilians and Japanese might be determined by long-term exposure to environmental and lifestyle factors in Brazil. These differences were observed even after adjustment for known breast cancer risk factors, including BMI, which is a major determinant of estrogen levels in postmenopausal women. Although diet is one environmental factor that substantially differs between Japan and Brazil, the present study did not take into account dietary factors because we used different FFQ in the case-control studies in Nagano and São Paulo. Given that the report from the World Cancer Research Fund and American Institute for Cancer Research in 2007 showed no convincing or probable dietary risk factors for breast cancer [19], however, the difference in sex hormone levels between the two populations might not be explained by dietary factors only.

We observed an increase in estrogen and androgen levels and a decrease in SHBG levels with increasing BMI. Our findings are in general agreement with those of previous studies, and these associations have been consistently observed among both Asian and Western populations [10-13,15]. On the other hand, the determinants of sex hormone levels in postmenopausal women have not been firmly established, notwithstanding a relatively large number of epidemiological studies [10-14,16]. In the present study, we found a higher level of SHBG among women who had a later age at menopause and among shorter women. We also observed a higher level of DHEAS among women who had more births and a lower level of testosterone among physically more active women. In addition to the lack of consistency in these findings between the two study sites (that is, the study in Nagano vs. the study in São Paulo), our findings are inconsistent with those of previous studies, which found no significant associations among age at menopause, height and SHBG level, for example, or number of births and DHEAS level [12-14]. Higher physical activity levels were associated with lower levels of both estrogen and androgen [11,16], while another study reported no such association [10]. Given this lack of consistency with previous studies, our findings might be explained by multiple comparisons.

Conclusions

We found that levels of estrogen and androgen in Japanese Brazilians were higher than those in Japanese and similar to or higher than levels in non-Japanese Brazilians. Our findings may explain the previously observed increase in the incidence and mortality rate of breast cancer among Japanese Brazilians.

Abbreviations

BMI: body mass index; DHEAS: dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate; FFQ: food frequency questionnaire; IRMA: immunoradiometric assay; LOD: lower detection limit; SHBG: sex hormone-binding globulin.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Research on Risk of Chemical Substances from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan, and by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (17015049) and for Young Scientists (B) (17790378 and 19790415) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan and the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, and Foundation for Promotion of Cancer Research in Japan. We are grateful to the participants of the "São Paulo-Japan Breast Cancer Study Group": T. Hanaoka, M. Kobayashi, J. Ishihara, S. Ikeda, and C. Nishimoto (Research Center for Cancer Prevention and Screening, National Cancer Center, Tokyo); C. I. Yamaguchi, C. M. Kunieda, and S. S. Sugama (Nikkei Disease Prevention Center, São Paulo); C. K. Taniguchi and J. A. Marques (Departamento de Ginecologia, Hospital Pérola Byington, São Paulo); M. R. Eichhorn (Departamento de Nutrição, Hospital Pérola Byington, São Paulo); M. M. Netto, H. Ieyasu, S. M. T. Carvalho, J. B. D. Collins, and C. E. M. Fontes (Departamento de Mastologia, Hospital A.C. Camargo, São Paulo); L. P. Kowalski and J. M. F. Toyota (Departamento de Cirurgia de Cabeça e Pescoço e Otorrinolaringologia, A. C. Camargo Hospital, São Paulo); E. M. Barbosa (Departamento de Mastologia, Instituto Brasileiro de Controle ao Câncer, São Paulo); O. Ferraro (Departamento de Mastologia, Hospital do Servidor Público Estadual Francisco Morato de Oliveira, São Paulo); E. H. Hotta and D. A. Petti (Instituto de Ginecologia e Mastologia, Hospital Beneficência Portuguesa); and S. Mendes (Instituto Brasileiro de Mastologia e Ginecologia, Hospital Beneficência Portuguesa).

Author details

¹Epidemiology and Prevention Division, Research Center for Cancer Prevention and Screening, National Cancer Center, Tokyo, Japan. ²Department of Surgery, Nagano Matsuhiro General Hospital, Nagano, Japan. ³Department of Breast and Thyroid Surgery, Nagano Red Cross Hospital, Nagano, Japan. ⁴Department of Surgery, Nagano Municipal Hospital, Nagano, Japan. ⁵Department of Surgery, Nagano Hokushin General Hospital, Nagano, Japan. ⁶Nikkei Disease Prevention Center, São Paulo, Brazil. ⁷Statistical Section/Head and Neck Surgery and Otorhinolaryngology Department, Hospital A.C. Camargo, São Paulo, Brazil. ⁸Breast Surgery Department, Hospital A.C. Camargo, São Paulo, Brazil. ⁹Department of Breast Surgery, Hospital Pérola Byington, São Paulo, Brazil. ¹⁰Department of Breast Surgery, Hospital Santa Cruz, São Paulo, Brazil.

Authors' contributions

MI made substantial contribution to the conception and design of the study, as well as the analysis and interpretation of data, and was involved in drafting the manuscript. YK, SY, HO, HN, RK, GSH, INN, MSM, JM, FML and RA made substantial contributions to the study conception and design and the acquisition of data and were involved in critically revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. ST made substantial contributions to the study conception and design, as well as the analysis and interpretation of data, and was involved in critically revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 7 January 2011 Accepted: 16 February 2011
Published: 16 February 2011

References

1. Ferlay J, Bray F, Pisani P, Parkin DM: *GLOBOCAN 2002 Cancer Incidence, Mortality and Prevalence Worldwide* IARC CancerBase No. 5, version 2.0. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) Press; 2004.
2. Matsuda T, Marugame T, Kamo K, Katanoda K, Ajiki W, Sobue T: Cancer incidence and incidence rates in Japan in 2003: based on data from 13 population-based cancer registries in the Monitoring of Cancer Incidence in Japan (MCIJ) Project. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2009, **39**:850-858.
3. Hirabayashi Y, Zhang M: Comparison of time trends in breast cancer incidence (1973-2002) in Asia, from cancer incidence in five continents, Vols IV-IX. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2009, **39**:411-412.
4. Tsugane S, Gotlieb SL, Laurenti R, de Souza JM, Watanabe S: Cancer mortality among Japanese residents of the city of São Paulo, Brazil. *Int J Cancer* 1990, **45**:436-439.
5. Iwasaki M, Mameri CP, Hamada GS, Tsugane S: Cancer mortality among Japanese immigrants and their descendants in the state of São Paulo, Brazil, 1999-2001. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2004, **34**:673-680.
6. Iwasaki M, Mameri CP, Hamada GS, Tsugane S: Secular trends in cancer mortality among Japanese immigrants in the state of São Paulo, Brazil, 1979-2001. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2008, **17**:1-8.
7. Locke FB, King H: Cancer mortality risk among Japanese in the United States. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1980, **65**:1149-1156.
8. Shimizu H, Ross RK, Bernstein L, Yatani R, Henderson BE, Mack TM: Cancers of the prostate and breast among Japanese and white immigrants in Los Angeles County. *Br J Cancer* 1991, **63**:963-966.
9. Key T, Appleby P, Barnes I, Reeves G: Endogenous sex hormones and breast cancer in postmenopausal women: reanalysis of nine prospective studies. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2002, **94**:606-616.
10. Setiawan VW, Hairman CA, Stanczyk FZ, Le Marchand L, Henderson BE: Racial/ethnic differences in postmenopausal endogenous hormones: the Multiethnic Cohort Study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2006, **15**:1849-1855.
11. McTiernan A, Wu L, Chen C, Chlebowski R, Mossavar-Rahmani Y, Modugno F, Perri MG, Stanczyk FZ, Van Horn L, Wang CY, Women's Health Initiative Investigators: Relation of BMI and physical activity to sex hormones in postmenopausal women. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2006, **14**:1662-1677.
12. Boyapati SM, Shu XO, Gao YT, Dai Q, Yu H, Cheng JR, Jin F, Zheng W: Correlation of blood sex steroid hormones with body size, body fat distribution, and other known risk factors for breast cancer in postmenopausal Chinese women. *Cancer Causes Control* 2004, **15**:305-311.
13. Nagata C, Kabuto M, Takatsuka N, Shimizu H: Associations of alcohol, height, and reproductive factors with serum hormone concentrations in postmenopausal Japanese women: steroid hormones in Japanese postmenopausal women. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 1997, **44**:235-241.
14. McTiernan A, Wu L, Barnabei VM, Chen C, Hendrix S, Modugno F, Rohan T, Stanczyk FZ, Wang CY, WHI Investigators: Relation of demographic factors, menstrual history, reproduction and medication use to sex hormone levels in postmenopausal women. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2008, **108**:217-231.
15. Lukanova A, Lundin E, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Muti P, Mure A, Rinaldi S, Dossus L, Micheli A, Arslan A, Lenner P, Shore RE, Krogh V, Koenig KL, Riboli E, Berrino F, Hallmans G, Stattin P, Toniolo P, Kaaks R: Body mass index, circulating levels of sex-steroid hormones, IGF-I and IGF-binding protein-3: a cross-sectional study in healthy women. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2004, **150**:161-171.
16. Chan MF, Dowsett M, Folkard E, Bingham S, Wareham N, Luben R, Welch A, Khaw KT: Usual physical activity and endogenous sex hormones in postmenopausal women: the European prospective investigation into cancer-Norfolk population study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2007, **16**:900-905.
17. Iwasaki M, Hamada GS, Nishimoto IN, Netto MM, Motola J Jr, Laginha FM, Kasuga Y, Yokoyama S, Onuma H, Nishimura H, Kusama R, Kobayashi M, Ishihara J, Yamamoto S, Hanaoka T, Tsugane S: Dietary isoflavone intake and breast cancer risk in case-control studies in Japanese, Japanese Brazilians, and non-Japanese Brazilians. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2009, **116**:401-411.
18. Pike MC, Kolonel LN, Henderson BE, Wilkens LR, Hankin JH, Feigelson HS, Wan PC, Stram DO, Nomura AM: Breast cancer in a multiethnic cohort in Hawaii and Los Angeles: risk factor-adjusted incidence in Japanese equals and in Hawaiians exceeds that in whites. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2002, **11**:795-800.
19. World Cancer Research Fund and American Institute for Cancer Research: *Food, Nutrition, Physical Activity and the Prevention of Cancer: A Global Perspective* Washington, DC: American Institute for Cancer Research; 2007.

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1741-7015/9/16/prepub>

doi:10.1186/1741-7015-9-16

Cite this article as: Iwasaki et al.: Comparison of postmenopausal endogenous sex hormones among Japanese, Japanese Brazilians, and non-Japanese Brazilians. *BMC Medicine* 2011 **9**:16.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central
and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit



Fragment c gamma receptor gene polymorphisms and breast cancer risk in case–control studies in Japanese, Japanese Brazilians, and non-Japanese Brazilians

Motoki Iwasaki · Naoki Shimada · Yoshio Kasuga · Shiro Yokoyama · Hiroshi Onuma · Hideki Nishimura · Ritsu Kusama · Gerson S. Hamada · Ines N. Nishimoto · Hirofumi Iyeyasu · Juvenal Motola Jr. · Fábio M. Laginha · Roberto Anzai · Shoichiro Tsugane

Received: 3 June 2010 / Accepted: 29 July 2010 / Published online: 10 August 2010
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC. 2010

Abstract Previous studies showing the presence of antibodies against tumor-associated antigens in healthy individuals suggest that antibody-dependent cell cytotoxicity (ADCC) might play a role in the development of breast cancer. We hypothesized that functional polymorphisms in fragment c gamma receptor (FcγR) genes were associated with breast cancer risk. We conducted hospital-based case–control studies of patients aged 20–74 years with invasive breast cancer, and matched controls from medical checkup examinees in Nagano, Japan and from cancer-free patients in São Paulo, Brazil. A total of 869 pairs (403 Japanese, 80

Japanese Brazilians and 386 non-Japanese Brazilians) were genotyped for two single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs): a histidine (H)/arginine (R) polymorphism at position 131 of FcγRIIa (FcγRIIa H131R) and a valine (V)/phenylalanine (F) polymorphism at position 158 of FcγRIIIa (FcγRIIIa F158V). We found no statistically significant association between either of the two SNPs and breast cancer risk regardless of population. In analyses of the three populations combined, adjusted odds ratio (OR) was 0.93 [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.66–1.32] for women with the R/R versus H/H genotype of the FcγRIIa H131R polymorphism and 1.04 (95% CI 0.69–1.57) for the

M. Iwasaki and N. Shimada contributed equally to this work.

M. Iwasaki (✉) · S. Tsugane
Epidemiology and Prevention Division, Research Center
for Cancer Prevention and Screening, National Cancer Center,
5-1-1 Tsukiji, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0045, Japan
e-mail: moiwasak@ncc.go.jp

N. Shimada
The Clinical Training Center, The University
of Tokyo Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

Y. Kasuga
Department of Surgery, Nagano Matsushiro
General Hospital, Nagano, Japan

S. Yokoyama · H. Onuma
Department of Breast and Thyroid Surgery,
Nagano Red Cross Hospital, Nagano, Japan

H. Nishimura
Department of Surgery, Nagano Municipal Hospital,
Nagano, Japan

R. Kusama
Department of Surgery, Nagano Hokushin
General Hospital, Nagano, Japan

G. S. Hamada
Nikkei Disease Prevention Center, São Paulo, Brazil

I. N. Nishimoto
Statistical Section, Head and Neck Surgery and
Otorhinolaryngology Department, Hospital A.C. Camargo,
São Paulo, Brazil

H. Iyeyasu
Breast Surgery Department, Hospital A.C. Camargo,
São Paulo, Brazil

J. Motola Jr. · F. M. Laginha
Department of Breast Surgery, Hospital Pérola Byington,
São Paulo, Brazil

R. Anzai
Department of Breast Surgery, Hospital Santa Cruz,
São Paulo, Brazil

V/V versus F/F genotype of the FcγRIIIa F158V polymorphism. On combination of the two SNPs, compared to women with both the R/R genotype of the FcγRIIIa H131R polymorphism and F/F genotype of the FcγRIIIa F158V polymorphism, the adjusted OR for women with both the H/H and V/V genotype was 0.68 (95% CI 0.37–1.27). In conclusion, our findings suggest that ADCC might not play a major role in the etiology of breast cancer.

Keywords Fragment c gamma receptor gene · Single nucleotide polymorphism · Breast cancer · Case–control study · Immigrants

Abbreviations

| | |
|------|--|
| ADCC | Antibody-dependent cell cytotoxicity |
| CI | Confidence interval |
| FcγR | Fragment c gamma receptor |
| HER2 | Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 |
| MUC1 | Epithelial mucin |
| NK | Natural killer |
| OR | Odds ratio |
| SNP | Single nucleotide polymorphism |

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy in women in Japan and many other parts of the world [1, 2]. Although sex hormones, particularly estrogens, play an important role in the etiology of breast cancer [3], present knowledge has proved insufficient to allow the disease to be overcome, and the identification of other important etiological factors thus requires further study.

It has been hypothesized that the immune system recognizes malignant cells as foreign agents and eliminates them. Although several epidemiological studies have supported this hypothesis, only a few studies have investigated the role of the immune system in the etiology of breast cancer [4–6]. Natural killer (NK) cells are large granular lymphocytes that mediate innate immunity against pathogens and tumors. Natural cytotoxicity is believed to play an important role in host anti-cancer defense mechanisms. In their cohort study of 3,625 participants in Japan with 11-year follow-up, e.g., Imai and colleagues [5] showed that high cytotoxic activity of peripheral blood lymphocytes was associated with a decreased risk of total cancer, while Dewan et al. [6] recently reported that NK activities of peripheral blood mononuclear cells from breast cancer patients were significantly lower than those of healthy individuals.

NK cells are also capable of mediating antibody-dependent cell cytotoxicity (ADCC) against antibody-coated

targets via the expression of a low-affinity receptor for IgG [fragment c gamma receptor (FcγR) III]. Many kinds of autoantibodies against tumor-associated antigens have been investigated, some of which are also detected in healthy control sera [7]. Anti-epithelial mucin (MUC1) antibodies, e.g., are frequently detected in healthy individuals, particularly in women during pregnancy and lactation [8, 9]. Notably, Forsman and colleagues [10] reported that serum from multiparous women, but not nulliparous women or men, contained antibodies which selectively mediated ADCC against established mammary carcinoma cell lines. Given that breast cancer risk is higher in nulliparous than multiparous women, this finding suggests that ADCC might play a role in the development of breast cancer.

Immune effector cells, including NK cells, recognize antibodies bound to target cells through FcγRs and elicit ADCC activity, which can be modulated by FcγR gene polymorphisms. At least two functional FcγR gene polymorphisms that may affect the killing function of immune effector cells have been identified: a histidine (H)/arginine (R) polymorphism at position 131 of FcγRIIIa (FcγRIIIa H131R) and a valine (V)/phenylalanine (F) polymorphism at position 158 of FcγRIIIa (FcγRIIIa F158V) [11–14]. The H allele of the FcγRIIIa H131R polymorphism has higher binding efficiency to human IgG2 than the R allele, and confers enhanced phagocytic activity [13, 14]. The V allele of the FcγRIIIa F158V polymorphism has higher affinity for human IgG than the F allele and cells bearing this allele mediate ADCC more effectively than those with the F allele [11, 12]. In fact, ADCC is a potential anti-tumor mechanism behind targeted therapy with the humanized monoclonal antibody trastuzumab for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-positive breast cancer [15]. FcγR gene polymorphisms have, therefore, been suggested to modulate the clinical efficacy of trastuzumab-based therapy in patients with metastatic HER2-positive breast cancer [16].

In addition to this putative effect of polymorphisms in the FcγR gene in modulating ADCC activity, roles in several other mechanisms in the immune system have been suggested, with FcγRs on leukocytes also modulating phagocytosis, clearance of immune complexes, superoxide generation, degranulation, cytokine production, and regulation of antibody production [17].

To better understand the role of the immune system in the etiology of breast cancer, we tested the hypothesis that polymorphisms in the FcγR gene are associated with the risk of breast cancer using data from hospital-based case–control studies in Nagano, Japan and São Paulo, Brazil.

Materials and methods

Study subjects

We conducted multicenter, hospital-based case–control studies of breast cancer in Japan and Brazil. In addition to determining lifestyle factors and genetic susceptibility to the risk of breast cancer, the protocols of these studies were also designed to compare potential risk factors among Japanese living in Nagano, Japan, and Japanese Brazilians and non-Japanese Brazilians living in São Paulo, Brazil [18, 19]. Eligible case patients were a consecutive series of female patients aged 20–74 years with newly diagnosed and histologically confirmed invasive breast cancer. Case patients were recruited between 2001 and 2005 at four hospitals in Nagano, and between 2001 and 2006 at eight hospitals in São Paulo. A total of 405 case patients (98%) participated in Nagano, and 83 Japanese Brazilian (91%) and 389 non-Japanese Brazilian case patients (99%) in São Paulo. In the Nagano study, eligible control subjects were selected from medical checkup examinees in two of the four hospitals who were confirmed not to have cancer. One control subject was matched for each case patient by age (within 3 years) and residential area during the study period. Among potential controls, one examinee declined participation and two declined the provision of blood samples. Consequently, we obtained written informed consent from 405 matched pairs. In the São Paulo study, eligible control subjects were preferentially selected from cancer-free patients who visited the same hospital as the index patients. One control was matched with each case by age (within 5 years) and ethnicity during the study period. Among potential control subjects, 22 patients declined participation (participation rate = 96%). Consequently, we obtained written informed consent from 472 matched pairs (83 for Japanese Brazilians and 389 for non-Japanese Brazilians). The study protocol was approved by CONEP (Comissão Nacional de Ética em Pesquisa), Brasília, Brazil and by the institutional review board of the National Cancer Center, Tokyo, Japan.

Data collection

Participants in Nagano were asked to complete a self-administered questionnaire, while those in São Paulo were given in-person interviews conducted by trained interviewers using a structured questionnaire. The two questionnaires contained closely similar questions concerning demographic characteristics, medical history, family history of cancer, menstrual and reproductive history, anthropometric factors, physical activity, and smoking habits.

Information on estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR) status was obtained from medical records. Hormone receptor status was determined by either enzyme-linked immunoassay or immunohistochemical assay. Hormone receptor positivity values were determined either as specified by the laboratory that performed the assay or in accordance with the laboratory's written interpretation thereof, or both.

Participants in Nagano provided blood at the time they returned their self-administered questionnaire, and those in São Paulo at the time of interview. Blood samples were divided into serum aliquots in Nagano and into plasma aliquots and buffy layers in São Paulo. All blood samples were shipped to the Epidemiology and Prevention Division, Research Center for Cancer Prevention and Screening, National Cancer Center, Tokyo, Japan and stored at -80°C until analysis.

Genotyping

Genomic DNA samples were extracted from peripheral blood using Qiagen FlexiGene[®] DNA Kits (Qiagen K.K., Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer's protocol. We genotyped two single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), namely FcgRIIa H131R (rs1801274) and FcgRIIIa F158V (rs396991) by TaqMan[®] SNP Genotyping Assays (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Cases and matched controls were analyzed in the same well by laboratory personnel who did not know the case–control status. The quality of genotyping was assessed by duplicate quality control samples ($n = 140$), with concordance rates of 100% for FcgRIIa H131R and 99% for FcgRIIIa F158V.

Statistical analysis

We excluded subjects whose DNA samples were not available, leaving a total of 869 pairs (403 Japanese, 80 Japanese Brazilians and 386 non-Japanese Brazilians). Comparison of baseline characteristics between cases and controls was evaluated by the Mantel–Haenszel test using matched-pair strata in each population. Genotype frequencies were tested for deviation from the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium with the Chi-square test. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of breast cancer for SNPs and their combination were calculated using a conditional logistic regression model. Stratified analyses according to menopausal status and parity were calculated using an unconditional logistic regression model. Tests for interaction were performed based on the difference between two likelihood ratios of the models with and without the interaction terms. Associations between SNPs and hormone receptor-defined breast cancer were assessed by an unconditional polytomous logistic regression model. The Wald