

metal clips was obtained successfully. Furthermore, metal stent obstruction caused by tumor ingrowth occurred 39 months following diagnosis, which was relieved by inserting a plastic stent into the metal stent placed previously. After 15 courses of chemotherapy, radiation therapy and four instances of endoscopic therapy (Fig. 3), the patient experienced sudden respiratory arrest caused by bronchial obstruction by the parabrachial multiple lung metastatic lesions. Despite intensive care, including intubation and one course of chemotherapy, the patient succumbed to the disease 43 months after diagnosis.

Discussion

DSRCT was first described in 1989 by Gerald and Rosai (8). DSRCT is a rare aggressive tumor with few long-term survivors and the prognosis of patients with DSRCT has not improved substantially since the first description of the disease. The rarity of this tumor has prevented the development of standard therapy for DSRCT. DSRCT has been reported as being associated with a characteristic reciprocal translocation [t(11; 22)(p13; q12)], which fuses the Ewing's sarcoma gene on chromosome 22 to the Wilms' tumor gene on chromosome 11 (9). This translocation is reflected by the immunohistological expression of the Wilms' tumor 1 protein in the tumor (10). The neuron-specific enolase (NSE) and CA125 proteins are tumor markers that are elevated in DSRCT patients and correlate specifically with response to treatment (11). The serum NSE levels in our case correlated well with clinical response, as shown in Fig. 3. The prolonged (15 months) progression-free survival obtained following multiagent chemotherapy suggests that DSRCT is a chemosensitive disease, as mentioned in previous studies (1,12).

Efforts have been made to establish treatments aimed at controlling this disease. One of them was the combination of aggressive surgery (to resect visible disease), radiation therapy to the tumor bed and myeloablative multiagent chemotherapy (6). A recent report from the Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center experience (2) showed that overall survival in 66 patients was 44% at three years and 15% at five years using a combination of the P6 regimen, surgical debulking and radiotherapy to a dose of 30 Gy. However, more than half of these patients had no distant metastasis. Currently, there is no standard therapy for patients with DSRCT, particularly for inoperable/metastatic DSRCT cases, and there are few reports of metastatic DSRCT treatment (13). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case of metastatic DSRCT in a patient who lived for more than three years without surgical resection (14). Multi-institutional randomized control trials for DSRCT are not available due to the rarity of the disease. Another attempt at developing treatments for this disease was the use of a novel molecularly targeted therapy and a new chemical agent (15). We examined the expression of c-kit, androgen receptor, CD20 and epidermal growth factor receptor using a biopsy sample (16,17). However, we found that none of the markers were expressed in tumor cells; therefore, we could not use any molecularly targeted agent in this case.

In this study, we used pirarubicin instead of doxorubicin in the P6 regimen administered to this patient, as pirarubicin may be relatively superior to doxorubicin regarding side effects (18). Moreover, the use of pirarubicin in a multiagent

regimen for DSRCT was previously reported (6,7). Although our study shows only one case of treatment for metastatic DSRCT, the two modified regimens selected for our case may represent a treatment modality for DSRCT patients that has the potential advantages of decreased toxicity and improved completion rate of the chemotherapy regimen.

In conclusion, DSRCT is an aggressive but chemosensitive disease and continuous chemotherapy using an appropriate regimen with possible supportive care is essential for the long-term survival of these patients. This case report may present a treatment option for this rare disease.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the patient and his family for their permission to report our experience with his case.

References

- Gerald WL, Miller HK, Battifora H, Miettinen M, Silva EG and Rosai J: Intra-abdominal desmoplastic small round-cell tumor. Report of 19 cases of a distinctive type of high-grade polyphenotypic malignancy affecting young individuals. *Am J Surg Pathol* 15: 499-513, 1991.
- Lal DR, Su WT, Wolden SL, Loh KC, Modak S and LaQuaglia MP: Results of multimodal treatment for desmoplastic small round cell tumors. *J Pediatr Surg* 40: 251-255, 2005.
- Gerald WL, Ladanyi M, de Alava E, Cuatrecasas M, Kushner BH, LaQuaglia MP and Rosai J: Clinical, pathologic, and molecular spectrum of tumors associated with t(11;22)(p13;q12): desmoplastic small round-cell tumor and its variants. *J Clin Oncol* 16: 3028-3036, 1998.
- Chang F: Desmoplastic small round cell tumors: cytologic, histologic, and immunohistochemical features. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 130: 728-732, 2006.
- Zhang PJ, Goldblum JR, Pawel BR, Fisher C, Pasha TL and Barr FG: Immunophenotype of desmoplastic small round cell tumors as detected in cases with EWS-WT1 gene fusion product. *Mod Pathol* 16: 229-235, 2003.
- Kushner BH, LaQuaglia MP, Wollner N, *et al*: Desmoplastic small round-cell tumor: prolonged progression-free survival with aggressive multimodality therapy. *J Clin Oncol* 14: 1526-1531, 1996.
- Farhat F, Culine S, Lhommé C, *et al*: Desmoplastic small round cell tumors: results of a four-drug chemotherapy regimen in five adult patients. *Cancer* 77: 1363-1366, 1996.
- Gerald WL and Rosai J: Case 2. Desmoplastic small cell tumor with divergent differentiation. *Pediatr Pathol* 9: 177-183, 1989.
- Ladanyi M and Gerald W: Fusion of the EWS and WT1 genes in the desmoplastic small round cell tumor. *Cancer Res* 54: 2837-2840, 1994.
- Hill DA, Pfeifer JD, Marley EF, Dehner LP, Humphrey PA, Zhu X and Swanson PE: WT1 staining reliably differentiates desmoplastic small round cell tumor from Ewing sarcoma/primitive neuroectodermal tumor. An immunohistochemical and molecular diagnostic study. *Am J Clin Pathol* 114: 345-353, 2000.
- Fizazi K, Farhat F, Theodore C, Rixe O, Le Cesne A, Comoy E and Le Chevalier T: Ca125 and neuron-specific enolase (NSE) as tumour markers for intra-abdominal desmoplastic small round-cell tumours. *Br J Cancer* 75: 76-78, 1997.
- Al Balushi Z, Bulduc S, Mulleur C and Lallier M: Desmoplastic small round cell tumor in children: a new therapeutic approach. *J Pediatr Surg* 44: 949-952, 2009.
- Mrabti H, Kaikani W, A hbeddou N, Abahssain H, El Khannoussi B, Amrani M and Errihani H: Metastatic desmoplastic small round cell tumor controlled by an anthracycline-based regimen: review of the role of chemotherapy. *J Gastrointest Cancer*: 8 February, 2011 [Epub ahead of print].
- Miwa S, Kitamura S, Shirai T, *et al*: Desmoplastic small round cell tumour successfully treated with caffeine-assisted chemotherapy: a case report and review of the literature. *Anticancer Res* 30: 3769-3774, 2010.

15. Thijs AM, van der Graaf WT and van Herpen CM: Temsirolimus for metastatic desmoplastic small round cell tumor. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 55: 1431-1432, 2010.
16. Fine RL, Shah SS, Moulton TA, *et al*: Androgen and c-Kit receptors in desmoplastic small round cell tumors resistant to chemotherapy: novel targets for therapy. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 59: 429-437, 2007.
17. Sankhala KK and Chawla SP: Review: desmoplastic small round cell tumor: current treatment approach and role of targeted therapy. *Clin Adv Hematol Oncol* 7: 476-478, 2009.
18. Zhai L, Guo C, Cao Y, *et al*: Long-term results of pirarubicin versus doxorubicin in combination chemotherapy for aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: single center, 15-year experience. *Int J Hematol* 91: 78-86, 2010.

Impact of Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy on Physical Fitness, Physical Activity, and Health-related Quality of Life of Patients With Resectable Esophageal Cancer

Noriatsu Tatematsu, RPT,* Yasumasa Ezoe, MD,† Eiji Tanaka, MD,‡ Manabu Muto, MD,§
Yoshiharu Sakai, MD,‡ and Tadao Tsuboyama, MD*

Objective: Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) followed by radical surgery is the standard treatment for patients with resectable esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) in Japan. However, some adverse events associated with NAC may result in a decrease in physical fitness that may influence the patient's ability to tolerate surgery. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the impact of NAC on physical fitness, physical activity, and health-related quality of life (HRQOL) of patients with ESCC.

Methods: In this prospective study, we investigated 27 consecutive patients with newly diagnosed resectable ESCC who were scheduled to receive NAC followed by surgery between January 2009 and November 2010. Primary endpoints were change from baseline in physical fitness (knee extensor muscle strength and 6-min walking distance) and physical activity after NAC. A secondary endpoint was change from baseline in HRQOL.

Results: Physical fitness and physical activity level after NAC did not differ significantly from those before NAC. With regard to HRQOL, only social functioning was significantly different ($P=0.04$). The change in physical activity demonstrated a significant correlation with the change in 6-minute walking distance ($r=0.45$, $P=0.02$).

Conclusions: NAC had no impact on physical fitness and physical activity in patients with ESCC. This result indicated that there was no need for a physiotherapy intervention during NAC to prevent a decline in these parameters.

Key Words: esophageal cancer, HRQOL, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, physical activity, physical fitness

(*Am J Clin Oncol* 2011;00:000-000)

Esophageal cancer was the eighth most common malignancy (482,000 cases, 3.8% of all cancers) and the sixth leading cause of cancer death (406,000 deaths, 5.4% of all cancers) worldwide in 2008.¹ Despite optimal treatment, median survival for advanced disease remains <1 year. Even in patients with resectable disease, the prognosis is relatively poor after surgery alone.²⁻⁴ This fact has prompted many investigators to explore perioperative systemic treatment, such as chemotherapy or chemoradiotherapy, to improve survival.

From the *Departments of Human Health Sciences; †Multidisciplinary Cancer Treatment; ‡Surgery; and §Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Reprints: Noriatsu Tatematsu, RPT, Department of Human Health Sciences, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kawahara-cho, Shogoin, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan. E-mail: noriatsu.t@ay4.ecs.kyoto-u.ac.jp.

Copyright © 2011 by Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

ISSN: 0277-3732/11/000-000

DOI: 10.1097/COC.0b013e3182354bf4

In Japan, on the basis of the results of several studies, such as Japan Clinical Oncology Group 9204 and Japan Clinical Oncology Group 9907, neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) with cisplatin combined with 5-fluorouracil followed by radical surgery has become the standard treatment strategy for resectable esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC).^{5,6}

Although NAC is a well-established treatment for improving the outcomes of surgery, several side effects may result in the deterioration of physical fitness, physical activity, and health-related quality of life (HRQOL). Recently, several studies reported the impact of neoadjuvant treatment (chemotherapy or chemoradiotherapy) on HRQOL.⁷⁻⁹ However, there are no reports on the impact of NAC on physical fitness or physical activity. It is important to clarify whether these parameters will be decreased by NAC, because the compromise of physical fitness by NAC may negatively influence the tolerability and outcome of surgery. In addition, the results of this study will be useful to determine whether a physiotherapy intervention is necessary during the neoadjuvant treatment period to improve these parameters.

The objectives of this study were to evaluate the impact of NAC on physical fitness, physical activity, and HRQOL in patients with ESCC and to determine whether physiotherapy is needed during the NAC period.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Subjects

This was a single-center, prospective study conducted to evaluate the impact of NAC on the physical fitness, physical activity, and HRQOL of patients with resectable ESCC. The Institutional Review Board of Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine approved the protocol and consent form for this study, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients. Between January 2009 and November 2010, patients with newly diagnosed ESCC who were scheduled to receive NAC followed by surgery were asked to participate in this study. All the patients who were scheduled to receive NAC followed by surgery were eligible. Patients with gait disturbances or cognitive impairment were excluded. Preoperative chemotherapy consisted of 2 cycles of cisplatin (80 mg/m², intravenously) on day 1 and 5-fluorouracil (800 mg/m²/d in a continuous infusion) on days 1 through 5 at 3-week intervals. Primary outcomes were physical fitness (knee extensor muscle strength and 3-min walking distance) and physical activity. The secondary outcome was HRQOL. We assessed these outcomes before the initiation of NAC (pre-NAC) and after the completion of NAC (post-NAC).

Demographic and Treatment Information

Information regarding age, sex, weight, clinical stage, histologic tumor type, and side effects was obtained from electronic medical records. Side effects were assessed with the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events v3.0. The National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events measure toxicities as grades 1 through 5 (1 is mild, 2 is moderate, 3 is severe, 4 is life threatening or disabling, and 5 is death associated with the adverse event).

Physical Fitness

To assess physical fitness, we tested the knee extensor muscle strength and 6-minute walking distance. Knee extensor muscle strength was assessed with an isometric knee extensor muscle strength machine (IsoForce GT-330, OG GIKEN, Japan). The subject was in the sitting position, and the hip and knee were kept at 90-degree angle. The maximal isometric strength was measured after adequate premeasurement trials. The 6-minute walking distance was measured with the 6-minute walk test, as described by the American Thoracic Society.¹⁰ Subjects walked as far and as fast as they could for 6 minutes. (Subjects were allowed to rest if and as necessary during the 6-min period.) These tests were conducted by physiotherapists who had been trained in the proper techniques for conducting them.

Physical Activity

Physical activity status was assessed using the last 7-day short version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) Japanese version.^{11,12} This measure assessed total vigorous intensity physical activity, total moderate intensity physical activity, total time walking, and time spent sitting during the last 7 days. Each activity type and intensity score is provided a metabolic equivalent (MET) value according to the published protocol (eg, MET for walking = 3.3, cycling = 6.0, moderate intensity = 4.0, vigorous intensity leisure = 8.0) (Craig, IPAQ. At a glance: IPAQ scoring protocol, <http://www.ipaq.ki.se/scoring.htm>, accessed March 20, 2006). According to the published IPAQ scoring protocol, we calculated the average daily physical activity (METs min/d).

Health-related Quality of Life

HRQOL was measured with the European Organization for the Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life (QOL) Core Questionnaire with 30 items.¹³ This QOL scale includes a global health status/QOL scale, 5 functional scales (physical, role, emotional, cognitive, and social functioning), and symptom scales (fatigue, nausea, vomiting, pain, dyspnea, insomnia, appetite loss, constipation, diarrhea, and financial problems). Calculation of scores was carried out according to the European Organization for the Research and Treatment of Cancer QOL Core Questionnaire with 30 items manual. A difference of ≥ 10 points in each scale indicates a clinically important change.¹⁴

Sample Size Calculation and Statistical Analysis

Sample size calculation was based on the difference in the 6-minute walk test; primary outcome in this study. As the walking distance of 54 m (SD = 93) was thought to be clinically an important difference,¹⁵⁻¹⁸ the estimated sample sizes required to achieve a power of the test of 80% and a 2-sided level of significance of 5% were calculated as 27 patients.

Demographic and treatment variables were described using means and SD, medians and ranges, and percentages, where

appropriate. All variables were tested for distribution normality using the Shapiro-Wilks normality test. Differences over the course of NAC (pretreatment to posttreatment) were analyzed using paired-sample *t*-test for continuous variables with normal distribution (weight, knee extensor muscle strength, and 6-min walking distance) and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test for non-normally distributed variables (IPAQ score and HRQOL scores). Spearman rank correlation coefficient was used to evaluate the relationship between changes in physical activity and changes in physical fitness. All statistical analyses were performed with the R statistical package (www.r-project.org). All hypothesis testing was 2-tailed, and *P* values < 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS

During the study period, 33 patients with ESCC underwent NAC before surgery. Among them, 6 were excluded because of gait disturbances ($n=2$), cognitive impairment ($n=1$), and declined participation ($n=3$), so the remaining 27 patients were properly registered and underwent NAC. Patient demographic and treatment data are presented in Table 1. The mean age was 63 years, and 81% were men. The baseline clinical stage (UICC-TNM stage 6th edition) at enrollment was IIA in 11 (41%) patients, IIB in 10 (37%) patients, III in 5 (18%) patients, and IVA in 1 (4%) patient. The histologic type was squamous cell carcinoma in all patients. The occurrence of side effects was as follows: grade 1 in 23 (85%) patients, grade 2 in 16 (59%) patients, grade 3 in 3 (11%) patients, and grade 4 in 1 (4%) patient. The grade 3 chemotherapy-related toxicities were mucositis, abdominal pain, and thrombocytopenia. The grade 4 chemotherapy-related toxicity was hyponatremia. Most patients underwent 2 cycles of preoperative chemotherapy, although 5 (19%) patients underwent only 1 cycle because of severe adverse events ($n=2$) and progression of disease ($n=3$).

Table 2 shows changes in weight, physical fitness data, and physical activity over the course of NAC. Post-NAC variables did not differ significantly from pre-NAC variables. With regard to the global health status/QOL scale, functional scales, and symptom scales, there was a statistically significant difference only in terms of social functioning ($P=0.04$; Table 3).

Results of the correlational analysis are presented in Figures 1A, B. The change in physical activity demonstrated a significant correlation with the change in 6-minute walking distance ($r=0.45$, $P=0.02$), but not with the change in knee extensor muscle strength ($r=-0.01$, $P=0.95$).

DISCUSSION

This is the first report regarding the impact of NAC on physical fitness and physical activity. Results of this prospective study suggested that NAC had no impact on physical fitness, physical activity, and HRQOL in patients with ESCC. We hypothesized that physical activity would decrease because of adverse events, leading to a deterioration in physical fitness. Several studies have reported that treatment (surgery and/or chemotherapy and/or radiation) had a significant negative effect on physical activity.^{19,20} In our study, however, physical fitness and physical activity levels did not decrease over the course of NAC. In addition, the change in physical activity demonstrated a significant positive correlation with the change in 6-minute walking distance. These results indicated that patients who maintained their pretreatment physical activity levels could maintain physical fitness, especially the 6-minute walking distance. Although most patients in this study experienced some kind of adverse event,

TABLE 1. Characteristics of Subjects

	N = 27 (%)
Age (mean ± SD)	63.4 ± 6.8
Sex	
Male	22 (81%)
Female	5 (19%)
Clinical stage	
IIA	11 (48%)
IIB	10 (37%)
III	5 (18%)
IVA	1 (4%)
Histologic tumor type	
Adenocarcinoma	0 (0%)
Squamous cell carcinoma	27 (100%)
Side effects	
Grade 1	23 (85%)
Grade 2	16 (59%)
Grade 3	3 (11%)
Grade 4	1 (4%)
Grade 5	0 (0%)

the severity of these adverse events was relatively mild. This seemed to be one of the reasons that most patients could maintain their physical activity levels. Similarly, HRQOL scores did not deteriorate significantly over the course of NAC, except for social functioning. Our findings are similar to previous work by Safieddine et al, who reported that the impact of NAC on HRQOL in patients with operable esophageal cancer was transient because HRQOL scores returned to baseline levels before surgical intervention.⁷

It was important to understand the impact of NAC on physical fitness, physical activity, and HRQOL in patients with ESCC to determine the need for a physiotherapy intervention to improve these parameters during NAC. The results of the present study indicated that there was no need for a physiotherapy intervention during NAC. However, Nagamatsu et al reported that esophagectomy can be safely performed in patients with a $Vo^2 \text{ max/m}^2$ of at least 800 mL/m².²¹ Thus, physiotherapy may be important before surgery to reduce the risk of postoperative cardiopulmonary complications. Further studies are needed to examine the role of physiotherapy in the treatment of ESCC comprehensively.

This study has some limitations. First, our study was conducted with small sample size, which might not have had enough power to detect significant differences in outcomes. It was possible that each outcome might reach statistical significance with more patients. However, even so, they might not be clinically significant; such as 1 kg weight loss, minimal difference in knee strength, or 2% difference in walk distance. Although only the 17% difference in IPAQ might be a clinically significant difference with more patients, the

TABLE 3. Changes in EORTC QLQ-C30 Over the Course of NAC Treatment

	Pre-NAC	Post-NAC	P
Global health status (QOL score)	66.7 (16.7-100)	66.7 (16.7-91.7)	NS
Functional scales			
Physical	93.3 (66.7-100)	93.3 (60-100)	NS
Role	100 (33.3-100)	100 (33.3-100)	NS
Emotional	75 (41.7-100)	83.3 (50-100)	NS
Cognitive	83.3 (50-100)	83.3 (33.3-100)	NS
Social	100 (33.3-100)	83.3 (0-100)	0.04
Symptom scales			
Fatigue	22.2 (0-55.6)	22.2 (0-66.7)	NS
Nausea and vomiting	0 (0-33.3)	0 (0-66.7)	NS
Pain	0 (0-50)	0 (0-33.3)	NS
Dyspnea	0 (0-66.7)	0 (0-33.3)	NS
Insomnia	0 (0-66.7)	0 (0-66.7)	NS
Appetite loss	0 (0-66.7)	0 (0-100)	NS
Constipation	0 (0-100)	0 (0-100)	NS
Diarrhea	0 (0-33.3)	0 (0-33.3)	NS
Financial difficulties	0 (0-100)	0 (0-100)	NS

Values expressed as median (range).

EORTC QLQ-C30 indicates European Organization for the Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Core Questionnaire with 30 items, NAC, neoadjuvant chemotherapy; NS, not significant; QOL, quality of life.

conclusion of this study might not be changed, because IPAQ was the secondary outcome. In addition, the small sample size precluded subgroup analyses stratified by the demographic and treatment characteristics of the patients. Second, we evaluated the impact of chemotherapy alone as a neoadjuvant treatment, because NAC followed by surgery is the standard treatment for the patients with resectable disease in Japan. However, the standard neoadjuvant treatment for the esophageal cancer in Western countries, such as in United States or in Europe, is chemotherapy with more strong combination regimen or chemoradiotherapy. Although it might be possible that inclusion of patients who received neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy or different regimen of NAC might have changed the results of this study, we could not discuss about it from the results of this study. Third, the frequency of the excluded patients [6 patients (18.2%)] was relatively high in this study with the small sample size. Moreover, among them, 2 patients were excluded because of gait disturbances. This might introduce a potential of selection bias, because the finally analyzed patients were limited to the patients with relatively better condition. The fourth limitation was the assessment of physical activity. The Japanese short version of IPAQ is validated and reliable. However, it is not a direct assessment tool of real physical activity, such as daily walking steps.^{22,23} Thus, it should be noted that the IPAQ data alone were

TABLE 2. Changes in Weight, Physical Fitness Data, and Physical Activity Over the Course of NAC Treatment

	Pre-NAC	Post-NAC	P
Weight (kg)	57.5 ± 11.8	56.5 ± 11.6	NS
Physical fitness			
Knee extensor muscles strength (Nm/kg)	2.5 ± 0.6	2.4 ± 0.5	NS
6-min walk distance (m)	574.9 ± 77.8	565.1 ± 75.3	NS
Physical activity			
IPAQ (METs min/d)	119.1 (0-605.6)	99 (0-819)	NS

Weight and physical fitness values expressed as mean ± SD. IPAQ values expressed as median (range).

IPAQ, indicates International Physical Activity Questionnaire; MET, metabolic equivalent; NAC, neoadjuvant chemotherapy; NS, not significant.




FIGURE 1. Relationship between changes in physical activity and changes in physical fitness. The change in 6-minute walking distance was correlated positively with the change in International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) ($r=0.45$, $P=0.02$) (A), whereas the change in knee extensor muscle strength had no correlation ($r=-0.01$, $P=0.95$) (B). METs indicate metabolic equivalent.

probably insufficient to draw definitive conclusions that patients maintained their physical activity levels during NAC.

In conclusion, NAC had no impact on physical fitness, physical activity, and HRQOL in patients with ESCC. The results of this study indicated that there was no need to implement a physiotherapy intervention during NAC to prevent a decline in these parameters. As the number of patients was rather small, and the assessment tool used was insufficient, further study of a larger number of cases with more quantitative assessment tools is required to confirm the impact of NAC on physical fitness, physical activity, and HRQOL.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge the contributions of all study participants.

REFERENCES

1. Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, et al. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. *Int J Cancer*. 2010;127:2893–2917.
2. Altorki N, Kent M, Ferrara C, et al. Three-field lymph node dissection for squamous cell and adenocarcinoma of the esophagus. *Ann Surg*. 2002;236:177–183.
3. Kelsen DP, Ginsberg R, Pajak TF, et al. Chemotherapy followed by surgery compared with surgery alone for localized esophageal cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 1998;339:1979–1984.
4. Bosset JF, Gignoux M, Triboulet JP, et al. Chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery compared with surgery alone in squamous-cell cancer of the esophagus. *N Engl J Med*. 1997;337:161–167.
5. Igaki H, Kato H, Ando N, et al. A randomized trial of postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil versus neoadjuvant chemotherapy for clinical stage II/III squamous cell carcinoma of the thoracic esophagus (JCOG 9907). ASCO Annual Meeting, 2008.
6. Ando N, Iizuka T, Ide H, et al. Surgery plus chemotherapy compared with surgery alone for localized squamous cell carcinoma of the thoracic esophagus: a Japan Clinical Oncology Group Study—JCOG9204. *J Clin Oncol*. 2003;21:4592–4596.
7. Safieddine N, Xu W, Quadri S, et al. Health-related quality of life in esophageal cancer: effect of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy followed by surgical intervention. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2009;137:36–42.
8. Blazeby JM, Sanford E, Falk SJ, et al. Health-related quality of life during neoadjuvant treatment and surgery for localized esophageal carcinoma. *Cancer*. 2005;103:1791–1799.
9. van Meerten E, van der Gaast A, Looman CW, et al. Quality of life during neoadjuvant treatment and after surgery for resectable esophageal carcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2008;71:160–166.
10. ATS Committee on Proficiency Standards for Clinical Pulmonary Function Laboratories. ATS Statement: guidelines for the six-minute walk test. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2002;166:111–117.
11. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, et al. International Physical Activity Questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2003;35:1381–1395.
12. Murase N, Katsumura T, Ueda C, et al. Validity and reliability of Japanese version of International Physical Activity Questionnaire. *Jpn J Health Welf Stat*. 2002;1–9.
13. Aaronson NK, Admedzai S, Bergman B, et al. The European organization for research and treatment of cancer QLQ-C30: a quality-of-life instrument for use in international clinical trials in oncology. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1993;365–376.
14. Osoba D, Rodrigues G, Myles J, et al. Interpreting the significance of changes in health-related quality-of-life scores. *J Clin Oncol*. 1998;139–144.
15. Redelmeier DA, Bayoumi AM, Goldstein RS, et al. Interpreting small differences in functional status: the Six Minute Walk test in chronic lung disease patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1997;155:1278–1282.
16. Troosters T, Gosselink R, Decramer M. Six minute walking distance in healthy elderly subjects. *Eur Respir J*. 1999;14:270–274.
17. Steffen TM, Hacker TA, Mollinger L. Age- and gender-related test performance in community-dwelling elderly people: six-minute walk test, Berg Balance Scale, Timed Up & Go Test, and gait speeds. *Phys Ther*. 2002;82:128–137.
18. Kervio G, Carre F, Ville NS. Reliability and intensity of the six-minute walk test in healthy elderly subjects. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2003;35:169–174.
19. Irwin ML, Crumley D, McTiernan A, et al. Physical activity levels before and after a diagnosis of breast carcinoma: the Health, Eating, Activity, and Lifestyle (HEAL) study. *Cancer*. 2003;97:1746–1757.
20. Coumeya KS, Friedenreich CM. Relationship between exercise pattern across the cancer experience and current quality of life in colorectal cancer survivors. *J Altern Complement Med*. 1997;3:215–226.
21. Nagamatsu Y, Shima I, Yamana H, et al. Preoperative evaluation of cardiopulmonary reserve with the use of expired gas analysis during exercise testing in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the thoracic esophagus. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2001;121:1064–1068.
22. de Bruin ED, Hartmann A, Uebelhart D, et al. Wearable systems for monitoring mobility-related activities in older people: a systematic review. *Clin Rehabil*. 2008;22(10–11):878–895.
23. Bravata DM, Smith-Spangler C, Sundaram V, et al. Using pedometers to increase physical activity and improve health: a systematic review. *JAMA*. 2007;298:2296–2304.



Macroscopic estimation of submucosal invasion in the esophagus

Manabu Muto, MD, PhD,^a Shuko Morita, MD, PhD,^a Yasumasa Ezoe, MD,^a
Takahiro Horimatsu, MD,^a Shin-ichi Miyamoto, MD, PhD,^a Takako Yoshii, MD, PhD,^b
Toshiro Iizuka, MD,^c Tsutomu Chiba, MD, PhD^a

^aDepartment of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Kyoto University, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan.

^bDepartment of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Kanagawa Cancer Center, Kyoto, Japan.

^cDepartment of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Toranomon Hospital, Kyoto, Japan.

KEYWORDS:

EUS;
Esophageal cancer;
Submucosal invasion

In esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, the depth of invasion into the wall is closely associated with metastasis to lymph nodes. Esophageal cancer invading the muscularis mucosae could be curably treated by endoscopic submucosal dissection but cancer with submucosal invasion necessitates surgical resection and/or chemoradiotherapy. Therefore, pretreatment diagnosis of invasion depth is crucially important for selecting appropriate treatment strategies for each individual patient. To estimate the depth of cancer invasion for early squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus, standard endoscopy with image enhancement and endoscopic ultrasound are currently considered the best methods.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

According to the staging manual published by the American Joint Committee on Cancer,¹ cancer confined to the mucosa or the muscularis mucosae is categorized as mucosal cancer (T1a). T1a esophageal cancer comprises carcinoma in situ (high-grade intraepithelial neoplasia), cancer invading the lamina propria mucosae, and cancer invading the muscularis mucosae. T1b cancer includes cancer with submucosal invasion.

In esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, the depth of invasion into the wall is closely associated with metastasis to lymph nodes (Figure 1).² It is important to note that the frequency of metastasis in the lymph nodes in cancer confined to the mucosa is not zero, but 3%. The risk increases to 12% in cancer invading the muscularis mucosae and markedly increases to 26%-46% in patients with submucosal invasion. Because cancers confined to the mucosal layer correlate with a low frequency of metastasis and because surgery confers a high risk of morbidity and mortality, they are considered excellent candidates for minimally invasive

treatment by endoscopic mucosal resection or endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD). Cancer invading the muscularis mucosae may still be treated by ESD, but cancer with submucosal invasion necessitates surgical resection and/or chemoradiotherapy.^{3,4} Given that endoscopic resection has some risks of bleeding and perforation, pretreatment diagnosis of invasion depth is crucial for selecting appropriate treatment strategies for each individual patient.

To estimate the depth of cancer invasion for early squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus, standard endoscopy with image enhancement and endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) are considered the best methods. Other methods such as the "barium meal," computed tomography, and positron emission tomography⁵ are considered less accurate.

Indications

Conventional endoscopy with image-enhanced endoscopy is quite accurate to diagnose cancer in situ (high grade-intraepithelial neoplasia) or cancer with minimal subepithelial invasion^{6,7}; in those cases, EUS is rarely indicated. In our practice, we used high-magnification endoscopy with narrow-band imaging to view the surface

Address reprint requests to Manabu Muto, MD, PhD, Department of Gastroenterology, Kyoto University, 54 Kawaharacho, Shogoin, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan. E-mail: mmuto@kuhp.kyoto-u.ac.jp




Figure 1 Risk of lymph node metastasis in the esophagus. In esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, the depth of invasion into the wall is closely associated with an increasing risk of lymph node metastasis. (Color figure is available online at www.techgastroscopy.com.)

microvessels of early esophageal carcinoma. The patterns of these surface microvessels—called intraepithelial papillary capillary loops (IPCL)—have been shown to be predictive of the degree of mucosal and submucosal invasion.⁸

IPCL can be classified into eight different patterns; thus, the use of IPCL classification can be complex. A simpler way might be to classify the IPCL as regular and irregular. Early squamous cell carcinoma typically appears as a patch of mucosa with irregular IPCLs, which is clearly demarcated from the surrounding normal mucosa with regular IPCLs. When the carcinoma is limited to the mucosa, its surface is typically smooth and pliable. When the carcinoma

has invaded the submucosa, its surface has nodular elevation, reddishness, and deeper depression. EUS can be helpful in these cases to rule out deeply submucosal invasive cancers.

Preparation

When peristalsis interferes with observation, an antispasmodic agent is required. Sedation is also necessary for stable observation.

Instruments

To visualize the distinct tissue layer of the esophageal wall, 20- or 30-MHz miniature probes should be used. This high-resolution imaging demonstrates 9-layered echo structures (Figure 2). Generally, the tumor can be seen as a low echoic mass by EUS. If the cancerous lesion invades to the submucosal layer, EUS deliver a low echoic mass in the high echo layer corresponding to the submucosal layer. A balloon can be attached to the tip of the endoscope to keep deaerated water in the esophageal lumen and prevent regurgitation to the pharynx. An endoscope with a water jet function is desirable to keep the esophageal lumen distended to obtain a clear image.

Techniques

To obtain clear images, several useful methods have been formulated. The most important issue is to keep air or air bubbles away from the scanning site.




Figure 2 EUS image of the normal esophageal wall by 20-MHz miniprobe demonstrates 9-layered structures (arrow). The first 5 layers correspond to the echogenic luminal surface (high echo), mucosa (low echo), lamina propria (high echo), muscularis mucosae (low echo), and submucosa (high echo). Next are the inner circular (low echo) and outer longitudinal layers (low echo) of the muscularis propria. They are separated by a thin hyperechoic layer of connective tissue (high echo).




Figure 3 Photographs and scheme of EUS using the balloon method. To keep deaerated water in the esophageal lumen and prevent regurgitation to the pharynx, a balloon should be attached the endoscope itself. After insertion of the endoscope, the tip of endoscope should be directed toward the lesion. At the best position, the balloon should be dilated. With sufficient dilation of the balloon, deaerated water fills the esophageal lumen using the water jet function of the endoscope. Thereafter, scanning of the lesion will be started with a miniature probe. (Color figure is available online at www.techgiendoscopy.com.)




Figure 4 Superficial esophageal carcinoma (squamous cell carcinoma). (A) Conventional white light image shows a reddish area. (B) Narrow-band imaging indicates a well-demarcated brownish area with a white coat. (C) Lugol's staining indicates a well-demarcated unstained area. (D) EUS image demonstrates a low echoic mass located in the submucosal layer (arrow). (E) This superficial cancer was removed by ESD and submucosal invasion of the cancer was confirmed histologically. (Color figure is available online at www.techgiendoscopy.com.)




Figure 5 Superficial esophageal carcinoma (squamous cell carcinoma). (A) Conventional white light image indicates a depressed reddish area. (B) Lugol's staining indicates a well-demarcated unstained area. (C) EUS image demonstrates a low echoic mass located in the submucosal layer (arrow). (D) This superficial cancer was removed by ESD and it was confirmed histologically that this tumor invaded to the muscularis mucosae but not into the submucosal layer. This case was suspected to have submucosal invasion clinically; however, the depth of invasion was histologically confirmed as mucosal cancer. (Color figure is available online at www.techgastroscopy.com.)

Balloon method

The balloon method (Figure 3) is the generalized method. A balloon of a size that fits the endoscope should be selected.

1. To keep deaerated water in the esophageal lumen and prevent regurgitation to the pharynx, a balloon (eg, inner diameter = 10-11 mm) should be attached the endoscope itself (Figure 3A).
2. After insertion of the endoscope, we must direct the tip of the endoscope toward the lesion.
3. At the best position, the balloon should be dilated (Figure 3B).
4. With sufficient dilation of the balloon, we fill the esophageal lumen with deaerated water using the water jet function of the endoscope.
5. Thereafter, we approach the lesion and scan it with the miniature probe (Figure 3C).




Figure 6 Photo and schema of EUS using the condom method. To keep deaerated water in the condom, a condom for gynecologic ultrasound examination should be attached to the tip of endoscope itself. (Color figure is available online at www.techgastroscopy.com.)




Figure 7 Superficial esophageal carcinoma (squamous cell carcinoma). (A) Conventional white light image indicates a reddish area with an irregular surface. (B) EUS image indicates a low echoic mass located in the submucosal layer. (C) This superficial cancer was removed by ESD and submucosal invasion of the cancer was histologically confirmed. (Color figure is available online at www.techgiendoscopy.com.)

Sample cases are presented in Figures 4 and 5.

Condom method

Similar to the balloon method, the condom method (Figure 6) is useful to keep water in the esophageal lumen because this method can keep water in the condom itself.

A sample case is presented in Figure 7.

Echo jelly method

Echo jelly (Figure 8) is also useful to keep air away from the lesion. A sample case is presented in Figure 9.

Complications

Because the esophageal lumen is filled with deaerated water, caution must be exercised regarding aspiration caused by regurgitation. Excessive balloon expansion is a risk for esophageal injury and hemorrhage. When a patient complains of a chest pain after injection of 15-20 cc of air, the balloon is sufficiently dilated in close contact with the esophageal wall and no more pressure should be applied.

Conclusions

A completely accurate diagnosis of the depth of early esophageal carcinoma can be difficult to attain. Standard





Figure 8 Schema of EUS by the jelly method. (Color figure is available online at www.techgiendoscopy.com.)




Figure 9 Superficial esophageal carcinoma (squamous cell carcinoma). (A) Conventional white light image shows a reddish area with nodular change. (B) EUS image demonstrates a low echoic mass located in the submucosal layer. (C) This superficial cancer was removed by endoscopic mucosal resection and submucosal invasion of the cancer was confirmed histologically. (Color figure is available online at www.techgastroscopy.com.)

endoscopy with image enhancement and, if available, with high-magnification combined with EUS is useful in assessing the depth of invasion of lesions being considered for endoscopic resection. In cases treated by endoscopic mucosal resection/ESD, if the depth of invasion was found to have deeper invasion than estimated by pretreatment diagnosis, surgical resection and/or chemoradiotherapy may be necessary as an additional curative treatment. Currently, endoscopic resection is used in cases with shallower invasion. However, given of the risks of lymph node metastasis, informed consent that includes a thorough explanation of all possibilities is required.

References

1. Edge SB, Byrd DR, Compton CC, et al (eds): *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual* (ed 7). New York, Springer, 2010, pp 103-115
2. Kodama M, Kakegawa T: Treatment of superficial cancer of the esophagus: A summary of responses to a questionnaire on superficial cancer of the esophagus in Japan. *Surgery* 123:432-439, 1998
3. Kato H, Sato A, Fukuda H, et al: A phase II trial of chemoradiotherapy for stage I esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: Japan Clinical Oncology Group Study (JCOG9708). *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 39:638-643, 2009
4. Kurokawa Y, Muto M, Minashi K, et al: A phase II trial of combined treatment of endoscopic mucosal resection and chemoradiotherapy for clinical stage I esophageal carcinoma: Japan Clinical Oncology Group Study JCOG0508. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 39:686-689, 2009
5. Plukker JT, van Westreenen HL: Staging in oesophageal cancer. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 20:877-891, 2006
6. Kaltenbach T, Sano Y, Friedland S, et al: American Gastroenterological Association (AGA) Institute technology assessment on image-enhanced endoscopy. *Gastroenterology* 134:327-340, 2008
7. Muto M, Minashi K, Yano T, et al: Early detection of superficial squamous cell carcinoma in the head and neck region and esophagus by narrow band imaging: A multicenter randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Oncol* 28:1566-1572, 2010
8. Inoue H, Honda T, Nagai K, et al: Ultra-high magnification endoscopic observation of carcinoma in situ of the esophagus. *Dig Endosc* 9:16-18, 1997

Magnifying Narrowband Imaging Is More Accurate Than Conventional White-Light Imaging in Diagnosis of Gastric Mucosal Cancer

YASUMASA EZOE,* MANABU MUTO,[‡] NORIYA UEDO,[§] HISASHI DOYAMA,^{||} KENSHI YAO,[¶] ICHIRO ODA,[#] KAZUHIRO KANEKO,^{**} YOSHIRO KAWAHARA,^{††} CHIZU YOKOI,^{§§} YASUSHI SUGIURA,^{||} HIDEKI ISHIKAWA,^{¶¶} YOJI TAKEUCHI,[§] YOSHIBUMI KANEKO,^{||} and YUTAKA SAITO[#]

*Department of Multidisciplinary Cancer Treatment, and [‡]Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto; [§]Department of Gastrointestinal Oncology, Osaka Medical Cancer for Cancer and Cardiovascular Diseases, Osaka; [¶]Department of Gastroenterology, Ishikawa Prefectural Central Hospital, Ishikawa; ^{||}Department of Endoscopy, Fukuoka University Chikushi Hospital, Fukuoka; [#]Endoscopy Division, National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo; ^{**}Division of Digestive Endoscopy and Gastrointestinal Oncology, National Cancer Center Hospital East, Chiba; ^{††}Division of Endoscopy, Okayama University, Okayama; ^{§§}Department of Gastroenterology, National Center for Global Health and Medicine, Tokyo; ^{||}Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Kitano Hospital, Osaka; and ^{¶¶}Department of Molecular, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan

BACKGROUND & AIMS: It is difficult to accurately diagnose patients with depressed gastric mucosal cancer based on conventional white-light imaging (C-WLI) endoscopy. We compared the real-time diagnostic yield of C-WLI for small, depressed gastric mucosal cancers with that of magnifying narrow-band imaging (M-NBI). **METHODS:** We performed a multicenter, prospective, randomized, controlled trial of patients with undiagnosed depressed lesions ≤ 10 mm in diameter identified by esophagogastroduodenoscopy. Patients were randomly assigned to groups that were analyzed by C-WLI ($n = 176$) or M-NBI ($n = 177$) immediately after detection; the C-WLI group received M-NBI after C-WLI. We compared the diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity between C-WLI and M-NBI and assessed the diagnostic yield of M-NBI conducted in conjunction with C-WLI. **Results:** Overall, 40 gastric cancers (20 in each group) were identified. The median diagnostic values for M-NBI and C-WLI were as follows: accuracy, 90.4% and 64.8%; sensitivity, 60.0% and 40.0%; and specificity, 94.3% and 67.9%, respectively. The accuracy and specificity of M-NBI were greater than those of C-WLI ($P < .001$); the difference in sensitivity was not significant ($P = .34$). The combination of M-NBI with C-WLI significantly enhanced performance compared with C-WLI alone; accuracy increased from (median) 64.8% to 96.6% ($P < .001$), sensitivity increased from 40.0% to 95.0% ($P < .001$), and specificity increased from 67.9% to 96.8% ($P < .001$). **CONCLUSIONS: M-NBI, in conjunction with C-WLI, identifies small, depressed gastric mucosal cancers with 96.6% accuracy, 95.0% sensitivity, and 96.8% specificity. These values are better than for C-WLI or M-NBI alone.**

Keywords: Gastric Cancer; Early Detection; Benign; Malignant; Neoplasm; Biopsy.

Gastric cancer is the fourth most common malignancy and the second leading cause of death from cancer worldwide.¹ Early detection and curative treatment are the best strategies for improving patient survival. Esophagogastroduodenoscopy is the most sensitive method of early detection of gastric cancers. However, an

accurate early diagnosis of gastric mucosal cancer is difficult with conventional white-light imaging (C-WLI) endoscopy; nevertheless, it remains the standard endoscopic examination modality worldwide.

Detection of mucosal cancers ≤ 20 mm in diameter is ideal, because they are curable using minimally invasive treatments such as endoscopic mucosal resection and endoscopic submucosal dissection.^{2,3} Among the gastric mucosal cancers, the depressed type is the predominant morphology.⁴⁻⁶ However, small depressed cancers (≤ 10 mm in diameter) are more difficult to distinguish from benign abnormalities (such as inflammation) compared with elevated cancers. Although chromoendoscopy using indigo carmine has contributed to an improvement in the diagnosis of gastric mucosal cancers,⁷ there is no evidence of the superiority of chromoendoscopy over C-WLI. Therefore, C-WLI endoscopy remains the standard imaging modality for diagnosing gastric mucosal cancers.

Histologic evaluation of biopsy specimens from suspicious lesions is conventionally used to confirm a diagnosis. A highly accurate diagnosis without the need for a biopsy is the ultimate goal of endoscopists, because this would decrease the number of unnecessary biopsies, especially when confirming a negative biopsy of any suspicious cancerous lesion. This could reduce the risk of postbiopsy bleeding, costs associated with the procedure, and the workload on pathologists.

Magnifying narrow-band imaging (M-NBI), a recently developed advanced endoscopic imaging technology, was reported to be useful for the accurate diagnosis of gastric abnormalities such as cancers,⁸⁻¹³ adenomas,¹⁴ and intestinal metaplasia.¹⁵ However, no randomized trials have been conducted to compare M-NBI with C-WLI. The present study was designed to assess and compare the real-time diagnostic yield of C-WLI for depressed gastric mucosal

Abbreviations used in this paper: CI, confidence interval; C-WLI, conventional white-light imaging; M-NBI, magnifying narrow-band imaging; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value.

© 2011 by the AGA Institute

0016-5085/\$36.00

doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2011.08.007

cancers with that of M-NBI when performed by skilled endoscopists.

Patients and Methods

Study Design and Participants

This randomized, controlled, open-label, multicenter trial was conducted at 9 centers in Japan. This study was conducted according to the Standards for the Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (STARD) initiative¹⁶ and the Declaration of Helsinki.

The frequency of synchronous or metachronous multiple gastric cancers was reported as 3 to 5 per 100 patient-years,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ which is higher than the incidence of gastric cancer in the general population. In other words, patients with gastric cancer might constitute a cancer-enriched population, which may be a more suitable model for screening of potential gastric cancers than the general population. Therefore, we recruited patients aged 20 years or older with untreated gastric cancers and patients with a history of gastric cancer. Patients who had been treated with endoscopic mucosal resection or endoscopic submucosal dissection were included in the latter group, because their stomachs were preserved with minimum injury. We excluded patients who had been treated with surgical resection, because the stomach was either removed or was reduced in size. Other exclusion criteria were serious complications that could interfere with the examination protocol and the use of medication that might interfere with the collection of a biopsy specimen. Written informed consent was obtained, and the institutional review board of each participating hospital approved the study. The clinical trial number of this study was UMIN-CTR00001072.

To detect a target lesion, screening was performed using C-WLI endoscopy. Previously undetected lesions were considered ideal potential targets for evaluating the diagnostic yield without bias. Therefore, the target lesions for this study were "newly detected and undiagnosed" small, depressed gastric lesions ≤ 10 mm in diameter. We did not target lesions that had been analyzed histologically. Small, depressed lesions with apparent erosion or ulceration were also not evaluated, because it is difficult to visualize surface changes in these lesions. If the patient had multiple such lesions, only the first lesion detected was selected for examination. The diameter of each lesion was estimated by comparing it with the size of the biopsy forceps.

Randomization and Masking

When a target small, depressed lesion was detected by C-WLI screening, patients were immediately assigned randomly to undergo detailed examination using C-WLI or M-NBI at a 1:1 ratio. After the randomization, all endoscopists knew which imaging method would be used for the detailed examination when making a diagnosis of the target lesion. Randomization was performed promptly on-site using tables of random numbers stratified by hospital, and the results thereof were kept in sealed, numbered envelopes. The random allocation sequence was prepared at the data management center. Both the assignment result and the corresponding envelope number were recorded by the data management center. At each participating hospital, sealed envelopes were stored by a third party who was not involved in the study, and the envelopes were opened by an assistant physician in serial order only when randomization was performed. The assigned patient identification number, envelope number, and assignment result were

recorded on-site and faxed to the data management center on the day of the examination.

Procedure and End Points

The study design and the protocol examination are outlined in Supplementary Figure 1 and Supplementary Materials and Methods. The diagnosis for the target lesion was made by one endoscopist according to predetermined diagnostic criteria for C-WLI and M-NBI without any consultation with other physicians, and an assistant physician immediately recorded the results using a case report form. For each modality, the interval between the start of the observation and the time at which an endoscopic diagnosis was made was measured using a stopwatch. For the C-WLI group, M-NBI examination was performed after completion of a diagnosis based on C-WLI. This procedure was used to evaluate the effect of using M-NBI in conjunction with C-WLI. After all records were compiled, at least one biopsy specimen was obtained from the target lesion.

The primary aim of the study was to compare the diagnostic accuracy between C-WLI and M-NBI. The secondary aim was to compare diagnostic sensitivity, specificity, and examination time between C-WLI and M-NBI and to evaluate the effects of an additional M-NBI study after the initial C-WLI in terms of diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and examination time. Histopathology diagnosis of obtained biopsy specimens was used as a gold standard for the diagnosis.

Endoscopy System

The NBI system is an innovative optical image-enhanced technology that involves a narrow-bandwidth NBI filter in the video endoscopy system. The central wavelengths of the NBI filters are 415 nm and 540 nm, and each has a bandwidth of 30 nm. Because 415-nm and 540-nm light are well absorbed by hemoglobin, the microvascular architecture of the mucosal surface can be visualized readily. Details of this system have been reported elsewhere.²⁰⁻²²

We used high-resolution magnifying endoscopy with a capability of 80-fold optical magnification (GIF-Q240Z, GIF-H260Z, and GIF-FQ260Z; Olympus Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan) and a high-resolution liquid-crystal monitor (OE191H; Olympus Medical Systems). We alternated between the 2 imaging modalities (C-WLI and M-NBI) by pushing a button on the endoscope (Evis Lucera Spectrum System; Olympus Medical Systems). We used a fixed structure enhancement setting and color tone for the video processor.

Participating Endoscopists

All examinations were performed by 31 endoscopic specialists accredited by the Japan Gastroenterological Endoscopy Society in 9 institutes. Before the onset of the study, all participating endoscopists were trained using images of small, depressed lesions to minimize diagnostic variation between them.

Diagnostic Criteria for C-WLI and M-NBI

Figure 1 shows a representative endoscopic image of a small, depressed gastric cancer and a small, depressed benign lesion. The diagnostic method based on endoscopic findings is outlined in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

The endoscopic diagnostic criteria for small, depressed gastric cancers using C-WLI were defined based on previous reports of C-WLI findings: an irregular margin and a spiny depressed area.²³ The observation of 2 findings (irregular margin and spiny




Figure 1. Representative endoscopic findings for gastric small, depressed lesions. A–C show a case of cancer, and D–F show a case of noncancerous lesions. A shows an endoscopic image obtained using C-WLI. A small, depressed lesion (*arrowheads*) is evident in the anterior wall of the lower part of the gastric body. This lesion was evaluated as having an irregular margin and a spiny depressed area. B shows an endoscopic image obtained using M-NBI, which enabled clear visualization of the demarcation line and an irregular microvascular pattern. A' and B' are schematic representations of the images shown in A and B, respectively. C shows a lesion that was histologically diagnosed as a differentiated adenocarcinoma, Vienna Classification C4. D shows an image obtained using C-WLI. A small reddish area (*arrowheads*) is evident in the anterior wall of the upper part of the gastric body. Because the depressed area was not "spiny" and because a definite margin was not apparent, this case was evaluated as not having a spiny depressed area or an irregular margin. E shows an image obtained using M-NBI, which enabled clear visualization of a demarcation line and the absence of an irregular microvascular pattern. D' and E' are schematic representations of the images shown in D and E, respectively. F shows a lesion that was histologically diagnosed as gastritis, Vienna Classification C1.

depressed area) in the target lesion was classified according to 3 categories: present, absent, or indeterminate.

The endoscopic diagnostic criteria for small, depressed gastric cancers using M-NBI were defined based on previous reports by Yao et al: a demarcation line between the depressed cancerous lesion and the surrounding noncancerous area and an irregular microvascular pattern inside the lesion.²⁴ Observations of 2 findings (demarcation line and irregular microvascular pattern) in the target lesion were also classified according to 3 categories: present, absent, or indeterminate.

Endoscopic diagnoses were determined according to the combined visibility of the 2 findings as follows (Supplementary Figure 2). (1) If both findings were present, the diagnosis was "cancer." (2) If either finding was indeterminate, the diagnosis was "inconclusive." (3) If either or both findings were absent, the diagnosis was "noncancerous."

For analyzing diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity, lesions diagnosed as "inconclusive" were considered as endoscopic "noncancerous" lesions.

Pathology Diagnosis

The biopsy specimens were evaluated using H&E staining. The diagnostic pathology criteria were based on the revised Vienna classification.²⁵ C4 (mucosal high-grade neoplasia) or C5 (submucosal invasion by neoplasia) were diagnosed as cancer, and C1 (negative for neoplasia), C2 (indefinite for neoplasia), or C3 (mucosal low-grade neoplasia) were diagnosed as noncancerous lesions. In this study, we used a central system of consultation with a main expert pathologist. If an indeterminate lesion were to be encountered, it was scheduled to be reviewed by this consulting pathologist in making a final diagnosis.

Statistical Analysis

We assumed that the accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of C-WLI and M-NBI compared with histologic diagnosis would be 60% and 85%, respectively. To set a probability for error of 0.05 and attain a power of 80% for testing the superiority of M-NBI, 108 patients including at least 43 cancerous lesions were needed. Next, we calculated how many patients would need to be screened. Because the frequency of small depressed lesions was reported to be 8.1% in the general population,⁹ the required size of the screening sample was 1100 patients.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software, version 17 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). For diagnostic performance, accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) are presented as percentages with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Continuous variables are expressed as medians and interquartile ranges. Analyses of the difference in diagnostic performance between C-WLI and M-NBI were conducted using the population whose diagnoses had been confirmed by pathology using Pearson's χ^2 test. Analyses of the effect of additional M-NBI after the initial C-WLI on diagnostic performance were conducted using the population whose diagnoses had been confirmed by pathology and McNemar testing. Analysis of the examination duration was conducted using the population who completed protocol examination and the Mann-Whitney nonparametric test for comparisons between C-WLI and M-NBI, as well as the Wilcoxon signed rank test for comparisons between C-WLI and C-WLI plus M-NBI. All probability values calculated in this analysis were 2 sided, and $P < .05$ was considered significant.

Results

Between June 2008 and May 2010, 1365 patients were enrolled in the study. Eight patients refused to participate and 4 were registered twice; therefore, the remaining 1353 patients were registered correctly and underwent endoscopic screening. Screening was discontinued for 2 patients because of a large amount of residual digesta in the stomach and a severe vomiting reflex. Endoscopic screening was completed for the remaining 1351 patients.

Of the screened patients, 362 (26.8%) had newly detected and undiagnosed small, depressed lesions and were randomly assigned to one of 2 groups: (1) 180 patients were examined using C-WLI followed by M-NBI, and (2) 182 patients were examined using M-NBI alone. Four patients in the C-WLI group (one patient's lesion was >10 mm in diameter, one was discontinued from the examination because of Mallory-Weiss syndrome, and 2 had a missed biopsy) and 5 patients in the M-NBI group (one was examined with an unpermitted endoscope and 4 missed biopsy) were excluded. Data for 176 patients in the C-WLI group and 177 patients in the M-NBI group were used for the final analysis (Figure 2). The demographic and lesion characteristics of the 2 groups were balanced. In both groups, 13% of patients had newly diagnosed gastric cancer (20 per group; Table 1).

Table 2 shows endoscopic diagnoses for all lesions. Inconclusive diagnoses were obtained for 3 lesions (1.7%) using M-NBI, for 6 lesions (3.4%) using C-WLI, and for 2 lesions (1.3%) using C-WLI followed by M-NBI. These lesions were considered endoscopic "noncancerous" lesions for analysis.

The real-time diagnostic accuracy of M-NBI was significantly greater than that of C-WLI (90.4% [95% CI, 85.1%–94.3%] and 64.8% [95% CI, 57.2%–71.8%], respectively; $P < .001$; Table 3). Real-time M-NBI diagnosis had greater specificity than C-WLI diagnosis (94.3% [95% CI, 89.4%–97.3%] and 67.9% [95% CI, 60.0%–75.2%], respectively; $P < .001$; Table 3). The diagnostic sensitivities of M-NBI and C-WLI did not differ significantly (60.0% [95% CI, 36.1%–80.9%] and 40.0% [95% CI, 19.1%–63.9%], respectively; $P = .34$; Table 3). M-NBI in conjunction with C-WLI significantly enhanced the diagnostic performance of the latter; accuracy increased from 64.8% (95% CI, 57.2%–71.8%) to 96.6% (95% CI, 93.5%–99.1%; $P < .001$), sensitivity increased from 40.0% (95% CI, 19.1%–63.9%) to 95.0% (75.1%–99.9%; $P < .001$), and specificity increased from 67.9% (95% CI, 60.0%–75.2%) to 96.8% (92.7%–99.0%; $P < .001$; Table 3).

The median durations of the C-WLI and M-NBI procedures were 21 seconds (interquartile range, 12–40 seconds) and 55 seconds (interquartile range, 23–97 seconds), respectively, and this difference was highly significant ($P < .001$). The median total duration of C-WLI followed by M-NBI (72 seconds [interquartile range, 40–144 seconds]) was significantly longer than that of




Figure 2. Patient enrollment, randomization, and examination.

C-WLI alone ($P < .001$). All patients tolerated the procedures well (Table 3).

Figure 3 shows the PPV and NPV data for each examination. M-NBI significantly improved the PPV compared with C-WLI alone to 57.1% (95% CI, 36.0%–78.3%) from 13.8% (95% CI, 2.9%–22.7%; $P = .001$). Furthermore, C-WLI followed by M-NBI dramatically improved the PPV from 13.8% (95% CI, 2.9%–22.7%) to 79.2% (95% CI, 62.9%–95.4%; $P < .001$). Similarly, the NPV of C-WLI of 89.8% (95% CI, 84.4%–95.3%) was improved by M-NBI to 94.9% (95% CI, 91.4%–98.3%; $P = .16$) and by C-WLI followed by M-NBI to 99.3% (95% CI, 98.1%–100%; $P < .001$).

Detailed C-WLI examination was discontinued during the procedure in one patient (1/362; 0.3%) because of bleeding associated with Mallory-Weiss syndrome. Although the bleeding stopped spontaneously without any endoscopic hemostatic treatment, a biopsy specimen was not obtained because the suspicious target lesion was missed. Two patients (2/362; 0.6%) were hospitalized on the day after examination because of bleeding from the biopsy site; although one patient needed a blood transfusion, both patients were discharged within a few days. None of the 3 patients experienced prolonged adverse effects. There were no serious adverse events directly related to the endoscopic observations.

Table 4 summarizes the clinical courses and pathologic diagnoses of 40 gastric cancers in 40 patients. Thirty-two patients were treated endoscopically (by endoscopic mucosal resection or endoscopic submucosal dissection). Five patients underwent surgical resection for synchronous advanced gastric cancers. The remaining 3 patients did not receive any treatment; 2 had other concomitant noncurable malignancies, and one refused treatment. Histologically, 39 lesions were of the intestinal type and one lesion was of the diffuse type. Regarding the depth of the 37 lesions that were removed, 35 were mucosal cancers, 2 of which were accompanied by submucosal invasion (0.3 mm and 0.8 mm). The depths of the 3 untreated lesions were estimated endoscopically as 2 mucosal cancers and one submucosal cancer.

Discussion

In this multicenter randomized trial, we compared the diagnostic yield of C-WLI with that of M-NBI for small gastric cancers. The primary aim of this study was to compare directly the real-time diagnostic accuracy of 2 randomly assigned endoscopic modalities. One was the worldwide standard method of C-WLI; the other was M-NBI, which is the most advanced imaging method at present. This end point is the most impor-

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Study Participants According to Treatment Group

	C-WLI (n = 176)	M-NBI (n = 177)	P value
Age (y)			
Median (range)	69 (45–93)	69 (37–87)	.56
Sex			
Male	138	140	.79
Female	38	37	
Endoscope			
GIF-Q240Z	71	65	.83
GIF-H260Z	104	109	
GIF-FQ260Z	1	3	
Size of lesion (mm)			
≤5	74	71	.75
>5	102	106	
Mean	5.6	5.6	.97
Location of lesion			
Upper third			
Anterior wall	4	2	.51
Lesser curvature	9	10	
Posterior	22	12	
Greater curvature	4	3	
Middle third			
Anterior wall	7	7	
Lesser curvature	13	25	
Posterior	12	11	
Greater curvature	8	6	
Lower third			
Anterior wall	18	23	
Lesser curvature	25	33	
Posterior	26	18	
Greater curvature	28	27	
Histopathology diagnosis			
Cancer	20	20	1.00
Noncancerous	156	157	

tant aspect of this study, because if C-WLI proves superior to M-NBI, such advanced methods are not needed in practice. However, if M-NBI is indeed better than C-WLI, it should be used more in daily practice. The secondary aim of this study was to evaluate the additional effect of performing M-NBI after C-WLI. This end point is also important, because in daily practice M-NBI is usually performed after C-WLI. Therefore, the results might reflect the practical diagnostic potential. To evaluate these aims, we used a strictly controlled randomized study. Furthermore, the endoscopic diagnosis in each method (C-WLI and M-NBI) was made on-site and independently to avoid any bias.

M-NBI, especially when used in conjunction with C-WLI, significantly enhanced real-time sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of diagnosis; therefore, we concluded that M-NBI is an essential modality for diagnosing small gastric mucosal cancer. Although there are reports on the diagnostic yield of M-NBI for differential diagnosis of gastric lesions, some were performed at only one institute,^{9,10,12,13} one was evaluated by several expert endoscopists using stored images and did not involve real-time assessment,¹² and one included gastric lesions with a definite diagnosis.¹³ To overcome these limitations, our study targeted newly detected and undiagnosed gastric superficial lesions, which were evaluated on-site. For these reasons, the present results are the most reliable and could be a milestone in the field of endoscopic diagnosis of early gastric cancers.

Regarding accuracy and specificity, M-NBI alone yielded excellent results (90.4% and 94.3%, respectively), which were significantly better than those obtained with C-WLI. However, the sensitivities of M-NBI alone (60.0%) and C-WLI alone (40.0%) were lower than the estimated values: 85% for M-NBI and 60% for C-WLI. The low sensitivity of C-WLI might be acceptable considering the difficulty of diagnosing small gastric cancers in daily clinical practice. Although the reason for the low sensitivity of the M-NBI group is unknown, it might be associated with the examination protocol in this study; M-NBI observation was performed without evaluating a gross finding of lesions using C-WLI. In daily practice, magnifying examinations are usually performed after C-WLI. Actually, when performed after the C-WLI observation, M-NBI yielded excellent diagnostic performance in terms of accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity (all values were >95%). In addition, M-NBI and C-WLI followed by M-NBI significantly improved the PPV and NPV compared with C-WLI alone. This has enormous significance in clinical practice, because the examination with high PPV and high NPV might enable the clinician to make appropriate judgments as to which lesion needs pathology to confirm the diagnosis. When the lesion is suspected to be a neoplasm by C-WLI followed by M-NBI, taking a biopsy specimen is highly recommended to confirm the pathology. On the other hand, when the lesion is not suspected to be a neoplasm by M-NBI alone or by C-WLI followed by M-NBI, we could avoid a negative biopsy. These results have the potential to enable so-called “optic biopsy.” Taken together, C-WLI followed by M-NBI might be the best

Table 2. Endoscopic Diagnoses for All Small Depressed Lesions

Group	Method	Cancerous lesion (%)			Noncancerous lesion (%)		
		Correct diagnosis	Incorrect diagnosis	Inconclusive diagnosis	Correct diagnosis	Incorrect diagnosis	Inconclusive diagnosis
M-NBI	M-NBI	12/20 (60.0)	7/20 (35.0)	1/20 (5.0)	146/157 (93.0)	9/157 (5.7)	2/157 (1.3)
C-WLI	C-WLI	8/20 (40.0)	12/20 (60.0)	0/20 (0)	100/156 (64.1)	50/156 (32.1)	6/156 (3.8)
	C-WLI+M-NBI	19/20 (95.0)	1/20 (5.0)	0/20 (0)	149/156 (95.5)	5/156 (3.2)	2/156 (1.3)

Table 3. Diagnostic Performance of C-WLI and M-NBI for Gastric Small Depressed Lesions

Group	Method	Accuracy (95% CI)	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	Examination time (s), median (interquartile range)
M-NBI	M-NBI	90.4 ^a (85.1–94.3)	60.0 (36.1–80.9)	94.3 ^a (89.4–97.3)	55 ^a (23–97)
C-WLI	C-WLI	64.8 (57.2–71.8)	40.0 (19.1–63.9)	67.9 (60.0–75.2)	21 (12–40)
	C-WLI + M-NBI	96.6 ^b (93.5–99.1)	95.0 ^b (75.1–99.9)	96.8 ^b (92.7–99.0)	72 ^b (40–144)

^a*P* < .001 for M-NBI vs C-WLI; ^b*P* < .001 for C-WLI vs C-WLI + M-NBI.

approach for making accurate diagnoses of small gastric cancers.

The durations of the M-NBI and C-WLI followed by M-NBI examinations were 34 seconds and 51 seconds, respectively, significantly longer than that required for C-WLI alone. However, these durations are clinically acceptable, because we managed to make accurate diagnoses without having to insert a spraying catheter or use indigo carmine. The importance of simple methods and accurate diagnoses for clinical practice is indisputable. Thus, Li et al showed that confocal laser endomicroscopy can be used to identify gastric superficial cancers with high validity and reliability.²⁶ However, confocal laser endomicroscopy requires the intravenous administration of a contrast agent. In contrast, M-NBI can be used by simply pushing a button on the endoscope. In addition, evaluation of demarcation lines and irregular microvascular patterns is sufficient for diagnosis with M-NBI, whereas confocal laser endomicroscopy requires knowledge of histopathology procedures for diagnosis.

Major bleeding caused by an endoscopic biopsy is rarely reported.²⁷ However, in our study, 2 patients experienced bleeding from the biopsy site. The best way of avoiding such bleeding is to avoid unnecessary biopsies. M-NBI, especially when used in conjunction

with C-WLI, could help to reduce the number of unnecessary biopsies.

Our study has some limitations. First, the number of cancerous lesions was small, and it was less than the required sample size. This might be associated with insufficient power to evaluate sensitivity adequately. Then, further large numbers of patients for screening are needed to evaluate the sensitivity for diagnosing small gastric mucosal cancers of each modality. Second, this study was open labeled because the endoscopists knew which imaging modality was in use. Thus, a blinded study was impossible. Third, there is no arm that includes a dye-based imaging method such as indigo carmine or acetic acid. Indigo carmine and acetic acid are useful, but these dyes are only used in a few countries and institutes, and the standard worldwide endoscopic method to diagnose early gastric cancer is still C-WLI without any dye use. In addition, if we added a chromoendoscopy arm in this study, the required sample size would need to be enlarged and the study design and statistical analyses would be excessively complex. For these reasons, we did not include the dye-based imaging method.

Early detection of small gastric cancers makes it possible to effect a cure using minimally invasive treatments such as endoscopic mucosal resection and endoscopic




Figure 3. PPV and NPV in each examination. *The PPV for M-NBI was significantly higher than for C-WLI (*P* = .001). The NPV in M-NBI was higher than that of C-WLI; however, the difference was not significant (*P* = .16). **Both PPV and NPV were significantly enhanced by additional examination using M-NBI compared with C-WLI alone (*P* < .001).

Table 4. Clinical Course and Pathologic Diagnosis of Patients With Gastric Cancers

No. of patients	40
Treatment	
Endoscopic mucosal resection/endoscopic submucosal dissection	2/30
Surgery	5
No treatment	3
Histologic type	
Adenocarcinoma	40
Intestinal type	39
Diffuse type	1
Other diagnosis	0
Pathologic depth	
Mucosa	35
Submucosa	2
Muscularis propria	0
Unknown	3

submucosal dissection. In this study, all of the newly diagnosed small gastric cancers were good candidates for these procedures. Among the 37 cancers removed, 35 (95%) were mucosal. Early diagnosis using M-NBI and minimally invasive treatment is ideal for patients with gastric cancers, because it will improve their survival and quality of life. Although eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* is effective in reducing the incidence of gastric cancer,^{17,28} endoscopic examination using M-NBI in conjunction with C-WLI should be indicated for high-incidence areas such as East Asia, South America, Eastern European countries, and Russia.²⁹

Supplementary Material

Note: To access the supplementary material accompanying this article, visit the online version of *Gastroenterology* at www.gastrojournal.org, and at doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2011.08.007.

References

- Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, et al. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. *Int J Cancer* 2010;127:2893–2917.
- Tada M, Murakami A, Karita M, et al. Endoscopic resection of early gastric cancer. *Endoscopy* 1993;25:445–451.
- Ono H, Kondo H, Gotoda T, et al. Endoscopic mucosal resection for treatment of early gastric cancer. *Gut* 2001;48:225–229.
- Gotoda T, Yanagisawa A, Sasako M, et al. Incidence of lymph node metastasis from early gastric cancer: estimation with a large number of cases at two large centers. *Gastric Cancer* 2000;3:219–225.
- Everett SM, Axon AT. Early gastric cancer in Europe. *Gut* 1997;41:142–150.
- Hirasawa T, Gotoda T, Miyata S, et al. Incidence of lymph node metastasis and the feasibility of endoscopic resection for undifferentiated-type early gastric cancer. *Gastric Cancer* 2009;12:148–152.
- Tajiri H, Ohtsu A, Boku N, et al. Routine endoscopy using electronic endoscopes for gastric cancer diagnosis: retrospective study of inconsistencies between endoscopic and biopsy diagnoses. *Cancer Detect Prev* 2001;25:166–173.
- Nakayoshi T, Tajiri H, Matsuda K, et al. Magnifying endoscopy combined with narrow band imaging system for early gastric cancer: correlation of vascular pattern with histopathology (including video). *Endoscopy* 2004;36:1080–1084.
- Yao K, Iwashita A, Tanabe H, et al. Novel zoom endoscopy technique for diagnosis of small flat gastric cancer: a prospective, blind study. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;5:869–878.
- Ezoe Y, Muto M, Horimatsu T, et al. Magnifying narrow-band imaging versus magnifying white-light imaging for the differential diagnosis of gastric small depressive lesions: a prospective study. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2010;71:477–484.
- Yao K, Iwashita A, Tanabe H, et al. White opaque substance within superficial elevated gastric neoplasia as visualized by magnification endoscopy with narrow-band imaging: a new optical sign for differentiating between adenoma and carcinoma. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2008;68:574–580.
- Kaise M, Kato M, Urashima M, et al. Magnifying endoscopy combined with narrow-band imaging for differential diagnosis of superficial depressed gastric lesions. *Endoscopy* 2009;41:310–315.
- Kato M, Kaise M, Yonezawa J, et al. Magnifying endoscopy with narrow-band imaging achieves superior accuracy in the differential diagnosis of superficial gastric lesions identified with white-light endoscopy: a prospective study. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2010;72:523–529.
- Tamai N, Kaise M, Nakayoshi T, et al. Clinical and endoscopic characterization of depressed gastric adenoma. *Endoscopy* 2006;38:391–394.
- Uedo N, Ishihara R, Iishi H, et al. A new method of diagnosing gastric intestinal metaplasia: narrow-band imaging with magnifying endoscopy. *Endoscopy* 2006;38:819–824.
- Bossuyt PM, Reitsma JB, Bruns DE, et al. The STARD statement for reporting studies of diagnostic accuracy: explanation and elaboration. *Ann Intern Med* 2003;138:W1–W12.
- Aoi T, Marusawa H, Sato T, et al. Risk of subsequent development of gastric cancer in patients with previous gastric epithelial neoplasia. *Gut* 2006;55:588–589.
- Fukase K, Kato M, Kikuchi S, et al. Effect of eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* on incidence of metachronous gastric carcinoma after endoscopic resection of early gastric cancer: an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2008;372:392–397.
- Nakajima T, Oda I, Gotoda T, et al. Metachronous gastric cancers after endoscopic resection: how effective is annual endoscopic surveillance? *Gastric Cancer* 2006;9:93–98.
- Gono K, Yamazaki K, Doguchi N, et al. Endoscopic observation of tissue by narrow band illumination. *Opt Rev* 2003;10:211–215.
- Gono K, Obi T, Yamaguchi M, et al. Appearance of enhanced tissue feature in narrow-band endoscopic imaging. *J Biomed Opt* 2004;9:568–577.
- Muto M, Katada C, Sano Y, et al. Narrow band imaging: a new diagnostic approach to visualize angiogenesis in the superficial neoplasia. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2005;3(Suppl 1):S16–S20.
- Yao K, Nagahama T, So S, et al. Morphological correlation between ordinary and magnifying endoscopic findings with regard to small depressed-type gastric cancers [in Japanese]. *Stomach Intest* 2006;41:781–794.
- Yao K, Oishi T, Matsui T, et al. Novel magnified endoscopic findings of microvascular architecture in intramucosal gastric cancer. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;56:279–284.
- Schlemper RJ, Riddell RH, Kato Y, et al. The Vienna classification of gastrointestinal epithelial neoplasia. *Gut* 2000;47:251–255.
- Li WB, Zuo XL, Li CQ, et al. Diagnostic value of confocal laser endomicroscopy for gastric superficial cancerous lesions. *Gut* 2011;60:299–306.
- Sieg A, Hachmoeller-Eisenbach U, Eisenbach T. Prospective evaluation of complications in outpatient GI endoscopy: a survey among German gastroenterologists. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2001;53:620–627.