

- stem cells and germ cells of the mammalian embryo, *Nature* 345 (1990) 686–692.
- [20] M.F. Pera, D. Herszfeld, Differentiation of human pluripotent teratocarcinoma stem cells induced by bone morphogenetic protein-2, *Reprod. Fertil. Dev.* 10 (1998) 551–555.
- [21] T. Goto, J. Adjaye, C.H. Rodeck, M. Monk, Identification of genes expressed in human primordial germ cells at the time of entry of the female germ line into meiosis, *Mol. Hum. Reprod.* 5 (1999) 851–860.
- [22] M. Pesce, X. Wang, D.J. Wolgemuth, H. Scholer, Differential expression of the Oct-4 transcription factor during mouse germ cell differentiation, *Mech. Dev.* 71 (1998) 89–98.
- [23] J. Nichols, B. Zevnik, K. Anastassiadis, H. Niwa, D. Klewe-Nebenius, I. Chambers, H. Scholer, A. Smith, Formation of pluripotent stem cells in the mammalian embryo depends on the POU transcription factor Oct4, *Cell* 95 (1998) 379–391.
- [24] H. Niwa, J. Miyazaki, A.G. Smith, Quantitative expression of Oct-3/4 defines differentiation, dedifferentiation or self-renewal of ES cells, *Nat. Genet.* 24 (2000) 372–376.
- [25] B. Abdel-Rahman, M. Fiddler, D. Rappolee, E. Pergament, Expression of transcription regulating genes in human preimplantation embryos, *Hum. Reprod.* 10 (1995) 2787–2792.
- [26] J.L. Chew, Y.H. Loh, W. Zhang, X. Chen, W.L. Tam, L.S. Yeap, P. Li, Y.S. Ang, B. Lim, P. Robson, H.H. Ng, Reciprocal transcriptional regulation of Pou5f1 and Sox2 via the Oct4/Sox2 complex in embryonic stem cells, *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 25 (2005) 6031–6046.
- [27] O. Delattre, J. Zucman, B. Plougastel, C. Desmaze, T. Melot, M. Peter, H. Kovar, I. Joubert, P. de Jong, G. Rouleau, A. Aurias, G. Thomas, Gene fusion with an ETS DNA-binding domain caused by chromosome translocation in human tumours, *Nature* 359 (1992) 162–165.
- [28] F. Urano, A. Umezawa, W. Hong, H. Kikuchi, J. Hata, A novel chimera gene between EWS and E1A-F, encoding the adenovirus E1A enhancer-binding protein, in extraosseous Ewing's sarcoma, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 219 (1996) 608–612.
- [29] F. Mitelman, B. Johansson, F. Mertens, The impact of translocations and gene fusions on cancer causation, *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 7 (2007) 233–245.
- [30] M. Kuroda, T. Ishida, M. Takanashi, M. Satoh, R. Machinami, T. Watanabe, Oncogenic transformation and inhibition of adipocytic conversion of preadipocytes by TLS/FUS-CHOP type II chimeric protein, *Am. J. Pathol.* 151 (1997) 735–744.
- [31] S. Yamaguchi, Y. Yamazaki, Y. Ishikawa, N. Kawaguchi, H. Mukai, T. Nakamura, EWSR1 is fused to POU5F1 in a bone tumor with translocation t(6;22)(p21;q12), *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 43 (2005) 217–222.
- [32] J. Hata, J. Fujimoto, E. Ishii, A. Umezawa, Y. Kokai, Y. Matsubayashi, H. Abe, S. Kusakari, H. Kikuchi, T. Yamada, T. Maruyama, Differentiation of human germ cell tumor cells in vivo and in vitro, *Acta Histochem. Cytochem.* 25 (1992) 563–576.
- [33] E. Schrock, T. Veldman, H. Padilla-Nash, Y. Ning, J. Spurbeck, S. Jalal, L.G. Shaffer, P. Papenhausen, C. Kozma, M.C. Phelan, E. Kjeldsen, S.A. Schonberg, P. O'Brien, L. Biesecker, S. du Manoir, T. Ried, Spectral karyotyping refines cytogenetic diagnostics of constitutional chromosomal abnormalities, *Hum. Genet.* 101 (1997) 255–262.
- [34] M. Sano, A. Umezawa, H. Abe, A. Akatsuka, S. Nonaka, H. Shimizu, M. Fukuma, J. Hata, EAT/mcl-1 expression in the human embryonal carcinoma cells undergoing differentiation or apoptosis, *Exp. Cell Res.* 266 (2001) 114–125.
- [35] S. Gojo, N. Gojo, Y. Takeda, T. Mori, H. Abe, S. Kyo, J. Hata, A. Umezawa, In vivo cardiovascularogenesis by direct injection of isolated adult mesenchymal stem cells, *Exp. Cell Res.* 288 (2003) 51–59.
- [36] T. Sugimoto, A. Umezawa, J. Hata, Neurogenic potential of Ewing's sarcoma cells, *Virchows Arch.* 430 (1997) 41–46.
- [37] Y. Miyagawa, H. Okita, H. Nakajima, Y. Horiuchi, B. Sato, T. Taguchi, M. Toyoda, Y.U. Katagiri, J. Fujimoto, J. Hata, A. Umezawa, N. Kiyokawa, Inducible expression of chimeric EWS/ETS proteins confers Ewing's family tumor-like phenotypes to human mesenchymal progenitor cells, *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 28 (2008) 2125–2137.
- [38] B.L. Yen, H.I. Huang, C.C. Chien, H.Y. Jui, B.S. Ko, M. Yao, C.T. Shun, M.L. Yen, M.C. Lee, Y.C. Chen, Isolation of multipotent cells from human term placenta, *Stem Cells* 23 (2005) 3–9.
- [39] E.K. Waller, J. Olweus, F. Lund-Johansen, S. Huang, M. Nguyen, G.R. Guo, L. Terstappen, The "common stem cell" hypothesis reevaluated: human fetal bone marrow contains separate populations of hematopoietic and stromal progenitors, *Blood* 85 (1995) 2422–2435.
- [40] K. Takahashi, K. Tanabe, M. Ohnuki, M. Narita, T. Ichisaka, K. Tomoda, S. Yamanaka, Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors, *Cell* 131 (2007) 861–872.
- [41] J. Lee, B.K. Rhee, G.Y. Bae, Y.M. Han, J. Kim, Stimulation of Oct-4 activity by Ewing's sarcoma protein, *Stem Cells* 23 (2005) 738–751.
- [42] D. Zhang, A.J. Paley, G. Childs, The transcriptional repressor ZFM1 interacts with and modulates the ability of EWS to activate transcription, *J. Biol. Chem.* 273 (1998) 18086–18091.
- [43] S.L. Palmieri, W. Peter, H. Hess, H.R. Scholer, Oct-4 transcription factor is differentially expressed in the mouse embryo during establishment of the first two extraembryonic cell lineages involved in implantation, *Dev. Biol.* 166 (1994) 259–267.
- [44] T. Barberi, L.M. Willis, N.D. Socci, L. Studer, Derivation of multipotent mesenchymal precursors from human embryonic stem cells, *PLoS Med.* 2 (2005) e161.
- [45] H. Kimura, M. Tada, N. Nakatsuji, T. Tada, Histone code modifications on pluripotential nuclei of reprogrammed somatic cells, *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 24 (2004) 5710–5720.
- [46] H.J. Kraft, S. Mosselman, H.A. Smits, P. Hohenstein, E. Piek, Q. Chen, K. Artzt, E.J. van Zoelen, Oct-4 regulates alternative platelet-derived growth factor alpha receptor gene promoter in human embryonal carcinoma cells, *J. Biol. Chem.* 271 (1996) 12873–12878.
- [47] R. Kalluri, E.G. Neilson, Epithelial–mesenchymal transition and its implications for fibrosis, *J. Clin. Invest.* 112 (2003) 1776–1784.
- [48] C. Martinez-Alvarez, M.J. Blanco, R. Perez, M.A. Rabadan, M. Aparicio, E. Resel, T. Martinez, M.A. Nieto, Snail family members and cell survival in physiological and pathological cleft palates, *Dev. Biol.* 265 (2004) 207–218.
- [49] H. Peinado, F. Portillo, A. Cano, Transcriptional regulation of cadherins during development and carcinogenesis, *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* 48 (2004) 365–375.
- [50] E. de Laplanche, K. Gouget, G. Cleris, F. Dragounoff, J. Demont, A. Morales, L. Bezin, C. Godinot, G. Perriere, D. Mouchiroud, H. Simonnet, Physiological oxygenation status is required for fully differentiated phenotype in kidney cortex proximal tubules, *Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol.* 291 (2006) F750–760.
- [51] L.H. Looijenga, H. Stoop, H.P. de Leeuw, C.A. de Gouveia Brazao, A.J. Gillis, K.E. van Roozendaal, E.J. van Zoelen, R.F. Weber, K.P. Wolffenbuttel, H. van Dekken, F. Honecker, C. Bokemeyer, E.J. Perlman, D.T. Schneider, J. Kononen, G. Sauter, J.W. Oosterhuis, POU5F1 (OCT3/4) identifies cells with pluripotent potential in human germ cell tumors, *Cancer Res.* 63 (2003) 2244–2250.

Involvement of a novel preimplantation-specific gene encoding the high mobility group box protein *Hmgpi* in early embryonic development

Mitsutoshi Yamada^{1,2}, Toshio Hamatani^{1,*}, Hidenori Akutsu², Nana Chikazawa^{1,2}, Naoaki Kuji¹, Yasunori Yoshimura¹ and Akihiro Umezawa²

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Keio University School of Medicine, 35 Shinanomachi Shinjyuku-ku, Tokyo 160-8582, Japan and ²Department of Reproductive Biology, National Research Institute for Child Health and Development, 2-10-1 Ohkura Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 157-8535, Japan

Received August 24, 2009; Revised October 22, 2009; Accepted November 11, 2009

Mining gene-expression-profiling data identified a novel gene that is specifically expressed in preimplantation embryos. *Hmgpi*, a putative chromosomal protein with two high-mobility-group boxes, is zygotically transcribed during zygotic genome activation, but is not transcribed postimplantation. The *Hmgpi*-encoded protein (HMGPI), first detected at the 4-cell stage, remains highly expressed in pre-implantation embryos. Interestingly, HMGPI is expressed in both the inner cell mass (ICM) and the trophectoderm, and translocated from cytoplasm to nuclei at the blastocyst stage, indicating differential spatial requirements before and after the blastocyst stage. siRNA (siHmgpi)-induced reduction of *Hmgpi* transcript levels caused developmental loss of preimplantation embryos and implantation failures. Furthermore, reduction of *Hmgpi* prevented blastocyst outgrowth leading to generation of embryonic stem cells. The siHmgpi-injected embryos also lost ICM and trophectoderm integrity, demarcated by reduced expressions of Oct4, Nanog and Cdx2. The findings implicated an important role for *Hmgpi* at the earliest stages of mammalian embryonic development.

INTRODUCTION

Preimplantation development encompasses the period from fertilization to implantation. Oocytes cease developing at metaphase of the second meiotic division, when transcription stops and translation is reduced. After fertilization, sperm chromatin is reprogrammed into a functional pronucleus and zygotic genome activation (ZGA) begins, whereby the maternal genetic program governed by maternally stored RNAs and proteins must be switched to the embryonic genetic program governed by *de novo* transcription (1,2). Our previous gene expression profiling during preimplantation development revealed distinctive patterns of maternal RNA degradation and embryonic gene activation, including two major transient 'waves of *de novo* transcription' (3). The first wave during the 1- to 2-cell stage corresponds to ZGA. The second wave during the 4- to 8-cell stage, known as

mid-preimplantation gene activation (MGA), induces dramatic morphological changes to the zygote including compaction and blastocoele formation, particularly given that few genes show large expression changes after the 8-cell stage. ZGA and MGA together generate a novel gene expression profile that delineates the totipotent state of each blastomere at the cleavage stage of embryogenesis, and these steps are prerequisite for future cell lineage commitments and differentiation. The first such differentiation gives rise to the inner cell mass (ICM), from which embryonic stem (ES) cells are derived, as well as the trophectoderm at the blastocyst stage. However, the molecular regulatory mechanisms underlying this preimplantation development and ES-cell generation from the ICM remain unclear.

Induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells are ES cell-like pluripotent cells, generated by the forced expression of defined factors in somatic cells, including Pou5f1/Oct4, Sox2, Klf4

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +81 353633819; Fax: +81 332261667; Email: t-hama@sc.itc.keio.ac.jp

and Myc (4). These iPS factors are thought to reprogram somatic nuclei in a somewhat similar way as ooplasm does in reconstructed oocytes by nuclear transfer (NT). However, with the exception of Oct4, these factors are not highly expressed maternally in oocytes, and only increased by zygotic transcription during preimplantation, based on expression sequence tag (EST) frequencies in Unigene cDNA libraries and microarray data from oogenesis to preimplantation development (5). Although pluripotency is achieved within 2 days in NT embryos reconstructed with a somatic nucleus, it takes approximately 2 weeks for the establishment of iPS cells. Such immediate induction of pluripotency during preimplantation development is attributed to well-organized transcriptional regulation, i.e. waves of transcription whereby maternal gene products trigger ZGA, which in turn fuels MGA. On the other hand, the forced simultaneous transcription of iPS factors in somatic cells does not efficiently induce these waves of transcription, and it takes a long time to activate the other genes necessary for pluripotency. Studying transcriptional regulation during preimplantation development would therefore also help unravel the establishment of iPS cells as well as pluripotency in these cells.

Large-scale EST projects (6–8) and DNA microarray studies (3,9–11) have revealed many novel genes zygotically expressed during preimplantation development. Very few of these genes, however, are exclusively expressed in preimplantation embryos (12), and such genes ought to have important roles during preimplantation development. For example, *Zscan4*, a novel transcription factor, is expressed specifically in 2-cell stage embryos and a subset of ES cells (13). Reduction of *Zscan4* transcript levels by siRNAs delays progression from the 2-cell to the 4-cell stage, and produces blastocysts that neither implant *in vivo* nor proliferate in blastocyst outgrowth culture. Thus, a transcription factor expressed exclusively in preimplantation embryos is potentially a key regulator of global gene expression changes during preimplantation development. On the other hand, reprogramming gene expression during ZGA and MGA requires considerable changes in chromatin structure (14–16), and modulation of chromatin folding affects access of regulatory factors to their cognate DNA-binding sites. This modulation can be achieved by loosening the chromatin structure, by disrupting the nucleosome structure, by DNA bending and unwinding, and by affecting the strength of DNA-histone interactions via postsynthetic modifications of histones (17,18). Many of these structural changes are mediated by a large and diverse superfamily of high-mobility-group (HMG) proteins, which are the second most abundant chromosomal proteins after histones (18).

This study identified a novel preimplantation-specific gene, *Hmgpi*, which encodes a chromosomal protein containing HMG box domains. It reports a detailed expression analysis of *Hmgpi* and the *Hmgpi*-encoded protein (HMGPI), which was translocated from the cytoplasm to nuclei at the blastocyst stage. Loss-of-function studies were also conducted using siRNA technology. The siRNA-induced reduction in *Hmgpi* expression caused developmental loss at preimplantation stages and hampered implantation through reduced proliferation of both ICM-derived cells and trophectodermal cells during peri-implantation development.

RESULTS

Gene structure of a preimplantation-stage-specific gene, *Hmgpi*

In silico analysis identified *Hmgpi* (an HMG-box protein, preimplantation-embryo-specific) as a preimplantation-stage-specific gene encoding a chromosomal protein containing HMG box domains. The *Hmgpi* transcript levels are probably upregulated during ZGA (1- to 2-cell stages) to peak at the 4-cell stage, based on gene expression profiling (3,9) (Fig. 1A). Using the public expressed-sequence tag (EST) database, 16 cDNA clones were found exclusively in preimplantation-embryo libraries (2- to 8-cell stages) (Fig. 1B). One of these contained the full *Hmgpi* gene coding sequence (AK163257) (Fig. 1C), spanning 2579 bp and split into two exons, which encode a protein of 394 amino acids (aa) (NP_001028965) harboring a SANT domain ('SWI3, ADA2, N-CoR, and TFIIB' DNA-binding domain) and two HMG-box domains, based on SMART domain prediction analysis (19) (Fig. 1C). In the NCBI Gene database, the *Hmgpi* gene is called *Ubtfl*-like 1 (*Ubtfl1*) based on aa sequence similarity (36% identity and 58% positives by BLAST) to *Ubtfl*-encoded protein 'upstream binding transcription factor', which contains a SANT domain and six HMG-box domains. Two rat homologs (*Ubtfl1* and *RGDI304745*) and three human homologs (*UBTFL1-3*) of the mouse *Hmgpi* were identified by BLASTing of NP_001028965 against the NCBI nucleotide database. Pairwise alignment scores by BLAST of amino acid sequences for rat and human homologs are 72.3–72.5% and 53.8–54.1%, respectively (Fig. 1D and Supplementary Material, Table S1), while those for nucleotide sequences are 83.7 and 66.8–67.0%, respectively. All these human homologs were predicted by *in silico* genome-based analysis, and have no ESTs in the Unigene database. The absence of human ESTs may reflect the paucity of cDNA libraries of human preimplantation embryos in the Unigene database, despite specific expression of the *Hmgpi* gene in human preimplantation embryos. Based on the number and the type of HMG-box domains, this novel protein could also be categorized into the HMG-box family (HMGB). A dendrogram of aa sequence similarity in HMG family proteins indicates two HMG subgroups (Fig. 1E). One includes the HMG-nucleosome binding family (HMGN) and the HMG-AT-hook family (HMGA), and the other is HMGB that includes HMGPI. All members of HMGB contain two HMG-box domains ('HMG-box' or 'HMG-UBF_HMG-box').

Expression of the *Hmgpi* gene and protein

First, we experimentally confirmed the preimplantation-stage-specific expression pattern of *Hmgpi* suggested by the *in silico* analysis. Northern blot analysis using a mouse multiple tissue poly(A)RNA panel (FirstChoice[®] Mouse Blot 1 from Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) failed to detect expression of the *Hmgpi* gene (data not shown). While RT-PCR analysis using cDNA isolated from mouse adult tissues and fetuses (E7, E11, E15 and E17) also failed to show *Hmgpi* expression, RT-PCR analysis for preimplantation embryos indicated *Hmgpi* expression from the 2-cell embryo to the blastocyst




Figure 1. *In silico* analysis of *Hmgpi* expression. (A) Previous microarray analysis of *Hmgpi* expression. *Hmgpi* expression appeared at the 2-cell stage, peaked at the 4-cell stage and then decreased (3). (B) Expression sequence tag (EST) frequencies in Unigene cDNA libraries. Out of 4.7 million mouse ESTs, 16 *Hmgpi* clones were exclusively detected at the cleavage stages: 9, 2 and 5 ESTs from 2-cell, 4-cell and 8-cell libraries, respectively. (C) Exon–intron structures and a putative protein structure of *Hmgpi*. *Hmgpi* has three exon–intron models and one protein model. Predicted protein domains are also shown. (D) Conserved domains of *Hmgpi/Ubtfl1* gene in mouse, rat and human. Pairwise alignment scores of conserved domains between species were shown. (E) Phylogenetic tree of gene nucleotide acid sequences containing HMG domains determined by a sequence distance method and the neighbour-joining (NJ) algorithm (41) using Vector NTI software (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

stage (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, significant expression of *Hmgpi* was detected in ES cells, although not in embryonic carcinoma (EC) cells nor in mesenchymal stem cells (Fig. 2B). The relative abundance of *Hmgpi* transcripts in preimplantation embryos was measured by real-time quantitative RT–PCR (qRT–PCR) analysis (Fig. 2C). Four independent experiments were conducted with four replicates of 10 embryos each. To

normalize the qRT–PCR reaction efficiency, *H2afz* was used as an internal standard (20). *Hmgpi* mRNA levels increased during the 1- to 2-cell stage, peaked at the 4-cell stage, and then gradually decreased during the 8-cell to blastocyst stage (Fig. 2C). The *in silico*-predicted preimplantation-stage-specific expression pattern of *Hmgpi* was therefore validated.




Figure 2. Expression of *Hmgpi* in preimplantation embryos and other tissues. (A) RT-PCR analysis of *Hmgpi* expression during preimplantation and postimplantation development (E7–E17). Three sets of 10 pooled embryos were collected from each stage (O: oocyte, F: fertilized egg, 2: 2-cell embryo, 4: 4-cell embryo, 8: 8-cell embryo, M: morula, and B: blastocyst) and used for RT-PCR analysis. The predicted sizes of the PCR products of *Hmgpi* and *Gapdh* are 406 and 373 bp, respectively. No PCR products were detected in the no-RT negative control (4-cell embryo). (B) RT-PCR analysis of *Hmgpi* expression in adult tissues, ES cells, EC cells and mesenchymal stem cells. mRNA was isolated from mouse tissues (H: heart, Bl: bladder, S: spleen, Lu: lung, Li: liver, Mu: muscle, K: kidney, T: testis, ES: ES cells, EC: EC cells, and MSC: mesenchymal stem cells). No PCR products were detected in the no-RT negative control (ES cells). (C) Real-time quantitative RT-PCR analysis of *Hmgpi* expression during preimplantation development. Fold differences in amounts of *Hmgpi* mRNA from the same numbers of oocytes (O), fertilized eggs (F), 2-cell embryos (2), 4-cell embryos (4), 8-cell embryos (8), morulae (M) and blastocysts (B) are shown after normalization to an internal reference gene (mouse *H2afz*). Values are means \pm SE from four separate experiments. (D) *De novo* (zygotic) transcription of the *Hmgpi* gene. α -Amanitin studies revealed that *Hmgpi* is transcribed zygotically, but not maternally. *Hmgpi* expression was not observed before the 2-cell stage and α -amanitin completely inhibited *de novo* transcription at the 2-cell stage (closed rhombus: control group, open square: α -amanitin-treated group). The expression levels were normalized using *H2afz* as a reference gene. Values are means \pm SE from four separate experiments. (E) Immunocytochemical analysis of HMGPI expression. MII oocytes and preimplantation embryos were immunostained with an anti-HMGPI antibody (red) and an anti-Histone-H2B antibody as a positive control of nuclear staining (green). Nuclei are shown by DAPI staining (blue). HMGPI protein was detected from 4-cell embryos to blastocysts. (F) Immunoblot analysis of HMGPI during preimplantation development. An amount of extracted protein corresponding to 100 oocytes or embryos was loaded per lane. Actin was used as a loading control. The representative result is shown from three independent experiments.




Figure 3. Localization of HMGPI in preimplantation embryos. (A) Nuclear translocation of HMGPI protein at the blastocyst stage. HMGPI was mainly detected in the cytoplasm of preimplantation embryos (from 4-cell embryos to morulae), but in the nuclei of blastocysts. Nuclei are shown by immunostaining with an anti-Histone-H2B antibody (green) and DAPI staining (blue). (B) Confocal microscopy images of blastocyst outgrowth and ES cells stained with antibodies to *Hmgpi* and *Oct4*, and with DAPI. Scale bar = 50 μ M. (C) Western blotting analysis of HMGPI in cytoplasmic (Cy) and nuclear (Nu) fractions of ES cells. Lamin A/C and tubulin were used as markers of the nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions, respectively.

We then performed qRT-PCR analysis using α -amanitin to investigate *de novo* (zygotic) transcription of the *Hmgpi* gene. The supplementation of α -amanitin during *in vitro* culture from the 1-cell stage significantly reduced *Hmgpi* mRNA expression in the 2-cell embryos at post-hCG 43 and 53 h (early and late 2-cell stage, respectively) (Fig. 2D), implying that *Hmgpi* is transcribed zygotically during the major burst of ZGA, but not maternally.

To study the temporal and spatial expression pattern of the *Hmgpi*-encoded protein (HMGPI), we raised a polyclonal antibody against *Hmgpi* peptides. Western blot analysis of extracts from the mouse blastocysts showed only a single band corresponding to 46 kDa detected by the anti-HMGPI antibody. In addition, preincubation with the HMGPI peptide antigen abol-

ished detection of the HMGPI protein, while preincubation with a control peptide had no effect on the immunodetection (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1). Although *Hmgpi* transcription started at the 2-cell stage, peaked at the 4-cell stage and then gradually decreased until the blastocyst stage (Fig. 2C), immunostaining and immunoblotting analysis revealed HMGPI expression from the 4-cell stage until the blastocyst stage, indicating a delayed expression pattern of HMGPI compared with that of the *Hmgpi* transcript. It was also notable that both ICM cells and trophoblastic cells retained HMGPI expression in blastocysts.

On the other hand, immunostaining for HMGPI in preimplantation embryos showed a unique subcellular localization pattern. Although a putative nuclear protein due to its role




Figure 4. Loss-of-function study by siRNA technology. (A) Transcript levels of *Hmgpi* in embryos injected with control siRNA (siControl) and *Hmgpi* siRNA (siHmgpi) by real-time quantitative RT-PCR analysis. The expression levels were normalized using *H2afz* as a reference gene. Values are means \pm SE for four separate experiments. (B) Laser scanning confocal microscopy images of HMGPI protein expression in a 4-cell embryo, 8-cell embryo, morula and blastocyst after injection with siControl or siHmgpi (red, HMGPI; blue, chromatin). (C and D) Immunoblot analysis of HMGPI expression at the blastocyst stage in siControl-injected and siHmgpi-injected embryos. The relative amount of HMGPI (46 kDa) was determined at the blastocyst stage (left: siControl-injected embryos, right: siHmgpi-injected embryos). The expression levels were normalized using actin expression (42 kDa) as a reference. Values are means \pm SE from three separate experiments.




Figure 5. Function of *Hmgpi* in preimplantation development. (A) A pair of representative photos showing the development of embryos injected with *Hmgpi* siRNA (siHmgpi) and Control siRNA (siControl). The siHmgpi-injected embryos arrested at the morula stage are indicated by arrows. Scale bar = 100 μ M. (B) For Nanog, Oct4 and Cdx2 immunostaining, all blastocysts in the siHmgpi-injected and siControl-injected groups were processed simultaneously. The laser power was adjusted so that the signal intensity was below saturation for the developmental stage that displayed the highest intensity and all subsequent images were scanned at that laser power. This allowed us to compare signal intensities for Nanog, Oct4 and Cdx2 expression between the siHmgpi-injected and siControl-injected embryos (Supplementary Material, Table S2).

as a transcription factor, HMGPI was detected mainly in the cytoplasm without any evidence of a nuclear localization from the 4-cell to the morula stage, suggesting a role other than transcriptional regulation (Fig. 2E). In contrast, HMGPI was localized to the nuclei rather than to the cytoplasm of blastocysts (Figs 2E and 3A). During blastocyst outgrowth, HMGPI was expressed in the nuclear region of most outgrowing cells, with scant amounts detected in the cytoplasm (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, Oct4-positive cells derived from the ICM showed particularly strong positive staining for HMGPI in the nucleus, suggesting a specific role as a nuclear protein in ES cells (Fig. 3B). On more closely examining HMGPI in ES cells, we found that almost all the Oct4-positive undifferentiated ES cells in a colony also expressed HMGPI (Fig. 3B), and immunoblotting confirmed HMGPI expression in both nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions of ES cells (Fig. 3C).


Effect of siRNA on *Hmgpi* mRNA level and protein synthesis

To investigate a role of *Hmgpi* in early embryonic development, we knocked down *Hmgpi* expression in mouse preimplantation embryos. We employed an oligonucleotide-based siRNA (denoted here siHmgpi and obtained from PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Zygotes injected with *Hmgpi* siRNAs (siHmgpi) or control siRNA (siControl) and non-injected zygotes as negative controls were cultured. *Hmgpi* expression was severely suppressed in the siHmgpi-injected embryos, and significantly lower than those in the siControl-injected or non-injected embryos (Fig. 4A). The siControl-injected embryos did not show any difference from the non-injected embryos in *Hmgpi* expression (data not shown). In addition, immunofluorescent staining clearly demonstrated that the siRNA injection reduced HMGPI protein expression in an individual preimplantation embryo (Fig. 4B). In the same set of experiments, the HMGPI levels were also assayed by western blotting (Figs 4C and 4D). HMGPI expression was significantly reduced in siHmgpi-injected blastocysts (0.89 ± 0.10) compared with that in negative controls (0.28 ± 0.08 ; $P < 0.05$).

Furthermore, we confirmed that siHmgpi had no influence on the expression of other genes with sequence similarities to *Hmgpi*, namely *Ubtf*, *Hmgb1*, *Hmgb2* and *Hmgb3*. Although *Ubtf*, *Hmgb1*, *Hmgb2* and *Hmgb3* were all expressed in control preimplantation embryos, the siHmgpi construct used in this study did not affect the expression of these genes in the siHmgpi-injected embryos (Supplementary Material, Fig. S2). On the other hand, it has been demonstrated that loss-of-function of these genes produces no distinct phenotypes at the pre- and peri-implantation stages (21).

Effect of *Hmgpi* siRNA on preimplantation development

To study the function of *Hmgpi* during preimplantation development, siHmgpi-injected or siControl-injected zygotes were cultured *in vitro* until the blastocyst stage. The embryos injected with siHmgpi at 21–23 h after hCG administration often failed to become blastocysts at 3.5 days postcoitum (dpc) (Fig. 5A). In addition, the reduction in *Hmgpi* expression significantly suppressed preimplantation development, whereby $68.9 \pm 1.3\%$ of siHmgpi-injected embryos became blastocysts, while $94.1 \pm 1.3\%$ of siControl-injected embryos reached the blastocyst stage (Supplementary Material, Fig. S3; $P < 0.0001$). Most of the siHmgpi-injected embryos that failed to become blastocysts showed developmental arrest after the morula stage and did not appear to form blastocoels, suggesting impairment of trophectodermal development (Supplementary Material, Fig. S3). To analyze the phenotype of siHmgpi-injected embryos further, we performed immunofluorescence staining of lineage-specific markers such as Cdx2, Nanog and Oct4 at the blastocyst stage. Although siHmgpi-injected embryos that reached the blastocyst stage appeared morphologically intact, the expression of lineage-specific markers was reduced (Fig. 5B). Cdx2, which is required for implantation and extra-embryonic development, was particularly and markedly down-regulated in trophectodermal cells, while Nanog and Oct4



were likewise downregulated in ICM cells of the siHmgpi-injected embryos (Fig. 5B and Supplementary Material, Table S2). Thus, *Hmgpi* is essential for the earliest embryonic development; both ICM and trophoctodermal development.

Effect of *Hmgpi* siRNA on *in vivo* and *in vitro* peri-implantation development

To investigate the role of *Hmgpi* in proliferation of the ICM and trophoctodermal cells, siHmgpi-injected and siControl-injected embryos were further cultured *in vitro* from the blastocyst stage, and attachment and outgrowth of each embryo on gelatin-coated culture plates was examined. HMGPI expression in siHmgpi-injected embryos was significantly reduced, and immunostaining showed that many colonies of ICM cells in the embryos collapsed during outgrowth culture (Fig. 6A and B). Although the vast majority of ICMs from siControl-injected embryos showed successful attachment ($80.3 \pm 4.9\%$) and vigorous outgrowth ($96.2 \pm 2.7\%$), those from siHmgpi-injected embryos failed to proliferate or produced only a residual mass ($19.3 \pm 3.8\%$) despite successfully attaching ($79.0 \pm 2.8\%$) (Fig. 6C; attachment ns; outgrowth, $P < 0.001$). These results implied that *Hmgpi* is essential for proliferation of ICM and trophoctodermal cells in peri-implantation development, and for derivation of ES cells.

We then investigated cell proliferation and apoptosis during blastocyst outgrowth. Comparable incorporation of BrdU in blastocyst outgrowths of siHmgpi-injected embryos was less than that of siControl-injected embryos. Proliferation was significantly reduced in ICM-derived cells and dramatically suppressed in trophoblast cells (Fig. 6D). Embryonic fibroblasts were used as a feeder layer in this study and could support ICM cells, thus proliferation should have proceeded regardless of trophoctodermal cell support. Therefore, the collapsed ICM-derived colonies in the current experiment were not a secondary effect of reduced proliferation in trophoblast cells, but a direct effect of the siHmgpi-induced decrease in ICM proliferation. Apoptosis was not detected in any cells during blastocyst outgrowth of siHmgpi-injected embryos, based on the absence of active caspase3 (Fig. 6E). Taken together, these findings show that *Hmgpi* is indispensable for proliferation of the ICM and trophoctodermal cells in peri-implantation development and for the generation of ES cells.

Finally, we tested whether the experimental blastocysts could develop *in vivo* by transferring siHmgpi-injected and siControl-injected blastocysts into the uterus of pseudopregnant mice. Only 45.8 ± 9.7 and $24.7 \pm 3.3\%$ of blastocysts injected with siHmgpi implanted and developed, respectively, whereas most of the siControl-injected embryos showed successful implantation and ongoing development (76.5 ± 4.0 and $66.6 \pm 3.3\%$, respectively) (Fig. 6F; implanted, $P < 0.05$; ongoing pregnancy, $P < 0.0001$). These results confirmed a role for *Hmgpi* in peri-implantation embryonic development.

DISCUSSION

We previously analyzed the dynamics of global gene expression changes during mouse preimplantation development (3). Understanding these preimplantation stages is important for both reproductive and stem cell biology. Many genes showing wave-like activation patterns (e.g. ZGA and MGA) during preimplantation were identified, and any or all of these may contribute to the complex gene regulatory networks. *Hmgpi*, one of the few novel preimplantation-specific genes, is involved in early development, implantation and ES cell derivation.

Structure-based prediction of *Hmgpi* function

Structural information about a protein sometimes hints at functional mechanisms, which remain unknown for *Hmgpi*'s clear role in early embryonic development. The HMG family proteins are abundant nuclear proteins that bind to DNA in a non-sequence-specific manner, influence chromatin structure and enhance the accessibility of binding sites to regulatory factors (17). Based on the number and the type of HMG domains, *Hmgpi* is relevant to the HMGB subfamily, characterized by containing two HMG-box domains ('HMG-box' or 'HMG-UBF_HMG-box'), rather than either the HMGA or HMGN subgroups. *Hmgpi* is also known as *Ubtfl1* in the NCBI gene database, based on sequence similarity to *Ubtfl*, a well-known ZGA gene (3,22). *Ubtfl*, encoding a SANT domain and six HMG-box domains, functions exclusively in RNA polymerase I (Pol I) transcription (23) and acts through its multiple HMG boxes to induce looping of DNA, which creates a nucleosome-like structure to modulate tran-

Figure 6. Function of *Hmgpi* in peri-implantation development. (A) Blastocyst outgrowth and alkaline phosphatase (AP) activity in the siHmgpi-injected and siControl-injected embryos, carried out according to a standard procedure (42). Representative images of phase-contrast microscopy for blastocyst outgrowth and fluorescent immunocytochemistry for AP are shown. Scale bar = 100 μ M. (B) Confocal microscopy images of blastocyst outgrowth for the siHmgpi-injected and siControl-injected embryos, stained with antibodies to Hmgpi and Oct4. Nuclei are shown by DAPI staining. Scale bar = 100 μ M. (C) Successful rate of blastocyst outgrowth for siHmgpi-injected and siControl-injected embryos. Successful outgrowth in this assay was indicated by the presence of proliferating cells after 6 days in culture. The experiment was repeated four times. (D) BrdU incorporation assay for blastocyst outgrowth of the siHmgpi-injected and siControl-injected embryos. Cell proliferation was determined by BrdU incorporation (ICM: arrowhead, trophoctodermal cells: arrow). The trophoctodermal component contained few cells and BrdU incorporation was confined to the ICM core; however, cell proliferation was reduced in the blastocyst outgrowth of siHmgpi-injected embryos compared with that of the siControl-injected embryos. Nuclei are shown by DAPI staining. Scale bar = 100 μ M. (E) Immunocytochemistry with an anti-caspase3 antibody in blastocyst outgrowth of the siHmgpi-injected and siControl-injected embryos. Apoptotic cells were not apparent in the blastocyst outgrowth of either injected embryo. Nuclei are shown by DAPI staining. Scale bar = 100 μ M. (F) Successful rate of siHmgpi-injected and siControl-injected embryo transfer. We transferred 3.5 dpc blastocysts into the uteri of 2.5 dpc pseudopregnant ICR female mice. The pregnant ICR mice were sacrificed on day 12.5 of gestation and the total numbers of implantation sites and of live and dead embryos/fetuses were counted. The experiment was repeated four times.

scription of the 45S precursor of ribosomal RNA (rRNA) by Pol I (24,25). Because the association of UBTF with rRNA genes *in vivo* is not restricted to the promoter and extends across the entire transcribed portion, UBTF promotes the formation of nucleolar organizer regions, indicative of 'open' chromatin (26). Based on the sequence similarity between UBTF and HMGPI, HMGPI might also bind to DNA in a non-specific manner, and modulate chromatin during peri-implantation when dynamic chromatin change is essential.

Alternatively, HMGPI may act as a cytokine during preimplantation development in a similar manner to HMGB1. HMGB proteins are found primarily in the cell nucleus, but also to varying extents in the cytosol (27,28), and have been suggested to shuttle between compartments (17). HMGB1 is indeed passively released from nuclei upon cell death and actively secreted as a cytokine (29), and the addition of recombinant HMGB1 into culture medium enhances *in vitro* development of mouse zygotes to the blastocyst stage in the absence of BSA supplementation (30). Although HMGPI failed to be detected in culture media after *in vitro* culture of preimplantation embryos or ES cells in this study (data not shown), two different modes of Hmgpi action, chromatin modulator and secreted mediator, should be taken into consideration as discussed later.

Role of *Hmgpi* during peri-implantation

The HMGPI protein was first detected in 4-cell embryos and then abundantly expressed in 8-cell embryos, morulae, ICM, trophectoderm and ES cells. Although *Hmgpi* transcription peaked at the 4-cell stage, the most dramatic siRNA effect appeared at the blastocyst and subsequent stages. This discrepancy between temporal expression and phenotype is attributed to three possible mechanisms. First, protein expression is generally delayed from transcription, indicated here by the *Hmgpi* transcripts and HMGPI protein expression peaking at the 4-cell stage and blastocyst stage, respectively. Similarly, *Stella* (31) and *Pms2* (32) are maternal-effect genes, but do not cause developmental loss until later preimplantation stages. A second possibility is the incompleteness of siRNA knockdown. One limitation of such knockdown experiments is the potential variability in levels of silencing of a target gene, which could in turn underlie the observed phenotypic variability in the present study. Embryos with complete suppression of *Hmgpi* may exhibit developmental arrest at earlier stages (e.g. at the morula stage), while those with less suppression may not display a phenotype until the later stages (e.g. at the implantation stage). Ideally, the suppression level of each embryo could be experimentally analyzed to correlate with the phenotype. The third possibility is spatial translocation of HMGPI protein in the blastocyst cells. The HMGPI expression pattern indicated differential spatial requirements during early embryogenesis, supported by the apparent ability of HMGPI to shuttle between the nucleus and the cytoplasm; the cytoplasmic HMGPI observed from the 4-cell to morula stages and the nuclear HMGPI in blastocysts and ES cells could have different functions. A bipartite nuclear localization signal (NLS) peptide (FKKEKEDFQKKMRQFKK) similar to NLS of HMGN2/HMG-17 (33) is also present in the HMGPI sequence. Thus, the nuclear HMGPI in blastocysts

and ES cells might exert a critical transcriptional role to regulate gene expression essential for peri-implantation development. Indeed, the siHmgpi-induced knockdown of *Hmgpi* expression downregulated *Cdx2* in trophectodermal cells and *Oct4* and *Nanog* in ICM cells, with subsequently reduced proliferation of trophectodermal cells and ICM-derived cells during blastocyst outgrowth.

Genes indispensable for derivation of ES cells

Like *Hmgpi*, *Zscan4* is another exclusively zygotic gene not expressed at any other developmental stage (13). *Zscan4* is a putative transcription factor harboring a SCAN domain and zinc finger domains, and transcribed not only in preimplantation embryos but also in ES cells (13). Reduction of *Zscan4* by RNA interference showed a phenotype similar to that induced by *Hmgpi* knockdown: developmental deterioration at the preimplantation stages, especially cleavage pause at 2-cell stage, and failure in blastocyst outgrowth, ES-cell derivation and implantation. Thus, a preimplantation-specific gene expression pattern could indicate a function in ES-cell derivation and/or maintenance. Indeed, *Hmgpi* was also expressed in entire ES colonies, whereas *Zscan4* shows a peculiar mosaic expression pattern in undifferentiated ES cell colonies. Furthermore, the *Hmgpi* gene is highly expressed in ES cells, but not in EC cells; *Hmgpi* is thus eligible as a putative ECAT (ES cell-associated transcript), whose ESTs are overrepresented in cDNA libraries from ES cells compared with those from somatic tissues and other cell lines including EC cells (34). It is also likely that *Hmgpi* is expressed in iPS cells, based on *in silico* analyses of expression profiles [NCBI GEO database, e.g. GSE10806 (35)]. Thus, *Hmgpi* is likely to have a role in maintaining pluripotent cells, since the ECATs such as *Nanog*, *Eras* and *Gdf3* are required for pluripotency and proliferation of ES cells (34,36,37). In the current study, *Hmgpi* was indeed involved in blastocyst outgrowth of ICM cells. On the other hand, several genes including ECAT members have been implicated in trophectodermal development as well as in early embryonic development. Like *Hmgpi* that was expressed in both ICM cells and trophectodermal cells, *Dnmt3l/Ecat7* has a role in embryonic and extra-embryonic tissues in early developmental stages. *DNMT3L* is recruited by *DNMT3A2* to chromatin (38) to function in DNA methylation in ES cells, and defects in maternal *DNMT3L* induce a differentiation defect in the extra-embryonic tissue (39). The reduced CDX2 expression in blastocysts and poor BrdU incorporation during blastocyst outgrowth following siHmgpi knockdown suggested the potential involvement of *Hmgpi* in trophectodermal development.

In summary, *Hmgpi* is required early on in mammalian development to generate healthy blastocysts that implant successfully and produce ES cells. HMGPI translocates into the nucleus from cytoplasm at the blastocyst stage, which is importantly a turning point of early embryonic development when DNA-methylation levels are at their lowest and implantation takes place. The nuclear HMGPI in blastocysts and ES cells is expected to act as a transcription factor to regulate gene expression networks underlying the generation, self-renewal and maintenance of pluripotent cells. Because E7 embryos have already stopped expressing *Hmgpi*, it is likely

that *Hmgpi* stage-specifically regulates a set of genes that drive peri-implantation development. It will be valuable to identify both cofactors that bind HMGPI and recognize specific DNA sequences, as well as genes that are regulated by *Hmgpi* using ES cells. A better understanding of the *Hmgpi* transcriptional network will also improve culture methods for healthy blastocysts and for generating, maintaining and differentiating ES cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Identification of the mouse *Hmgpi* gene by *in silico* analysis

Preimplantation-specific genes were identified based on global gene expression profiling of oocytes and preimplantation embryos (3,40) and expressed sequence tag (EST) frequencies in the Unigene database. SMART (19) was used for domain prediction analysis. Orthologous relationships between HMG family genes were identified from phylogenetic-tree amino acid sequences determined by a sequence distance method and the Neighbor Joining (NJ) algorithm (41) using Vector NTI software (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

Collection and manipulation of embryos

Six- to 8-week-old B6D2F1 mice were superovulated by injecting 5 IU of pregnant-mare serum gonadotropin (PMS; Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA, USA) followed by 5 IU of human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG; Calbiochem) 48 h later. The Institutional Review Board of the National Research Institute for Child Health and Development, Japan granted ethics approval for embryo collection from the mice. Unfertilized eggs were harvested 18 h after the HCG injection by a standard published method (42), and the cumulus cells were removed by incubation in M2 medium (EmbryoMax M-2 Powdered Mouse Embryo Culture Medium; Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) supplemented with 300 µg/ml hyaluronidase (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). The eggs were then thoroughly washed, selected for good morphology and collected. Fertilized eggs were also harvested from mated superovulated mice in the same way as unfertilized eggs and embryos with two pronuclei (PN) were collected to synchronize *in vitro* embryo development. Fertilized eggs were cultured in synthetic oviductal medium enriched with potassium (EmbryoMax KSOM Powdered Mouse Embryo Culture Medium; Millipore) at 37°C in an atmosphere of 95% air/5% CO₂. Cultured blastocysts were transferred into pseudopregnant recipients as described previously (42). We transferred 3.5 dpc blastocysts into the uteri of 2.5 dpc pseudopregnant ICR female mice. RNA interference experiments were carried out by microinjecting <10 pl (25 ng/µl) of oligonucleotides (siHmgpi and siControl) into the cytoplasm of zygotes. The optimal siRNAs were determined by testing different concentrations (5, 10, 25 and 50 ng/µl) of three siRNAs (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), resuspended and diluted with the microinjection buffer (Millipore). Their target sequences are listed in Supplementary Material, Table S3. More than 10 independent experiments were performed to study the effect of *Hmgpi* knockdown on preimplantation development and implantation.

Culture of ES cells and blastocyst outgrowth

A mouse ES cell line (B6/129ter/sv line) was first cultured for two passages on gelatin-coated culture dishes in the presence of leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) to remove contaminating feeder cells. Cells were then seeded on gelatin-coated 6-well plates at a density of $1-2 \times 10^5$ /well ($1-2 \times 10^4$ /cm²) and cultured for 3 days in complete ES medium: KnockOut DMEM (Invitrogen) containing 15% KnockOut Serum Replacement (KSR; Invitrogen), 2000 U/ml ESGRO (mLIF; Chemicon, Temecula, CA, USA), 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids, 2 mM GlutaMax (Invitrogen), 0.1 mM beta-mercaptoethanol (2-ME; Invitrogen) and penicillin/streptomycin (50 U/50 µg/ml; Invitrogen). Blastocyst outgrowth experiments were carried out according to a standard procedure (42). In brief, zona pellucidae of blastocysts at 3.5 dpc were removed using acidic Tyrode's solution (Sigma). The blastocysts were cultured individually in the ES medium on gelatinized chamber slides at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. The cultured cells were examined and photographed daily. Alkaline phosphatase activity was measured using a specific detection kit (Vector Laboratories, CA, USA) after 6 days in culture. Four independent experiments were performed.

Immunostaining of oocytes and preimplantation embryos

Samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Wako Pure Chemical, Osaka, Japan) with 0.1% glutaraldehyde (Wako) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 10 min at room temperature (RT), and then permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 (Sigma) in PBS for 30 min. Immunocytochemical staining was performed by incubating the fixed samples with primary antibodies for 60 min, followed by secondary antibodies for 60 min. A polyclonal antibody to mouse HMGPI was raised in rabbits against three synthesized peptides designed according to sequence specificity, homology between mouse and human HMGPI, antigenicity, hydrophilicity and synthetic suitability [(i) CIQGHHDGAQSSRQDFTD, (ii) CMSMSGG RSSKFGRTQS, (iii) ESPRTVSSDMKFGQC; Medical & Biological Laboratories Co, Nagoya, Japan). The anti-HMPGI was used at 1:300 dilution, followed by Alexa Fluor 546 goat anti-rabbit IgG (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) as the secondary antibody. The anti-Histone H2B antibody (Medical & Biological Laboratories Co, Nagoya, Japan) was used at 1:300 dilution as positive control of nuclear staining, followed by Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-mouse IgG (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) as the secondary antibody. Blastocysts were immunostained using a monoclonal anti-Oct4 antibody (mouse IgG2b isotype, 200 µg/ml; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), rabbit polyclonal anti-Nanog antibody (ReproCELL, Tokyo, Japan), mouse monoclonal anti-Cdx2 antibody (CELL MARQUE, Rocklin, CA, USA), mouse monoclonal anti-BrdU antibody (Santa Cruz) and rabbit monoclonal anti-active caspase 3 (Abcam) antibody, all diluted at 1:50–300. The appropriate secondary antibodies (IgG) were diluted at 1:300 and supplied by Molecular Probes/Invitrogen: goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated with Alexa Fluor 546 and goat anti-mouse IgG(H + L) conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488. The cellular DNA (nuclei) was stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Wako; diluted

1:300). The cells were then washed with PBS and viewed by laser confocal microscopy (LSM510, Zeiss). For HMGPI immunostaining, all samples were processed simultaneously. The laser power was adjusted so that the signal intensity was below saturation for the developmental stage that displayed the highest intensity and all subsequent images were scanned at that laser power. This allowed us to compare signal intensities for HMGPI expression at different developmental stages. The other molecules in blastocysts and outgrowth were viewed and imaged as for the HMGPI expression.

Immunocytochemistry of blastocyst outgrowths and ES cells

Cultured ES cells and blastocyst outgrowths were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at 4°C, treated with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma) in PBS for 15 min at RT, and then incubated for 30 min at RT in protein-blocking solution consisting of PBS supplemented with 5% normal goat serum (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). The samples were then incubated overnight with the primary antibodies to OCT4, HMGPI, BrdU or active caspase 3 in PBS at 4°C. The cells were then extensively washed in PBS and incubated at RT with Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-mouse IgG1 (anti-OCT4 and anti-BrdU antibodies, diluted 1:300; Molecular Probes) or Alexa Fluor 546 goat anti-rabbit IgG(H + L) (anti-HMGPI and anti-caspase 3 antibodies, diluted 1:300), and nuclei were counterstained with DAPI for 30 min. To prevent fading, cells were then mounted in Dako fluorescent mounting medium (Dako).

Incorporation of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU)

E3.5 blastocysts and blastocyst outgrowths were cultured for 16 h in KSOM and ES medium, respectively, supplemented with 10 μ M BrdU (Sigma). Samples were then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min, washed in PBS and then treated with 0.5 M HCl for 30 min.

RNA extraction and real-time quantitative reverse transcriptase (qRT)-PCR

Embryos for qRT-PCR analysis were collected at 18 h post-hCG and cultured as described above. They were harvested at 0.5, 1.25, 1.75, 2.25, 2.75 and 3.75 dpc to obtain fertilized eggs 2-cell, 4-cell, 8-cell, morula and blastocyst embryos, respectively. Three subsets of 10 and 50 synchronized and intact embryos were transferred in PBS supplemented with 3 mg/ml polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) and stored in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA from 10 and 50 embryos was extracted using the PicoPure RNA Isolation Kit (Arcturus, La Jolla, CA, USA). The reverse transcription reaction, primed with polyA primer, was performed using Superscript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA isolated was reverse transcribed in a 20 μ l volume. The resulting cDNA was quantified by qRT-PCR analysis using the SYBR Green Realtime PCR Master Mix (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) and ABI Prism 7700 Sequence Detection System (PE Applied Biosystems) as described previously (43). An amount of cDNA equivalent to 1/2 an embryo was used for

each real-time PCR reaction with a minimum of three replicates, with no-RT and no-template controls for each gene. Data were normalized against *H2afz* by the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method (44). PCR primers for the genes of *Hmgpi*, *H2afz* and *Gapdh* were listed in Supplementary Material, Table S4. Calculations were automatically performed by ABI software (Applied Biosystems). For alpha-amanitin studies, fertilized eggs were first harvested at 18 h post-hCG, instead of eggs already advanced to the two-pronucleus stage. After 3 h of incubation, eggs that carried both male and female pronuclei were selected at 21 h post-hCG and randomly assigned to two experimental groups: with and without addition of alpha-amanitin to the culture medium. The eggs were further cultured in KSOM at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ until the specified time point (32, 43 and 54 h post-hCG). Embryos used for alpha-amanitin studies and RNA interference experiments were subjected to qRT-PCR as described for the normal preimplantation embryos.

Immunoblot analysis

Protein samples from embryos were solubilized in Sample Buffer Solution without 2-ME (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan), resolved by NuPAGE Novex on Tris-acetate mini gels (Invitrogen), and transferred to Immobilon-P transfer membrane (Millipore). The membrane was soaked in protein blocking solution (Blocking One solution, Nacalai) for 30 min at RT before an overnight incubation at 4°C with primary antibody, also diluted in blocking solution. The membrane was then washed three times with TBST (Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20), incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (0.04 μ g/ml) directed against the primary antibody for 60 min, and washed three times with TBST. The signal was detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (SuperSignal West Dura Extended Duration Substrate, Thermochemical, Rockford, IL, USA) following the manufacturer's recommendations. The intensity of the band was quantified using NIH Image J software. Briefly, the signal was outlined and the mean intensity and background fluorescence were measured. The specific signal was calculated by dividing the band intensities for HMGPI by those for actin.

Statistical analysis

Differences between groups were evaluated statistically using Student's *t*-test or ANOVA, with *P*-values < 0.05 considered significant.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Material is available at *HMG* online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr Takashi Hiiragi for valuable advice and critical reading of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest statement. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that would prejudice the impartiality of the scientific work.

FUNDING

This work was supported, in part, by Grants-in-Aid from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (19591911 to T.H., 21390456 to H.A.), by a National Grant-in-Aid from Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare (H21-001, H20-001 to T.H., H18-004 to H.A. and N.K.) and by a Grant-in-Aid from the Yamaguchi- Endocrine Organization to T.H. Funding to pay the Open Access publication charges for this article was provided by Grants-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (21791581 to M.Y.).

REFERENCES

- DePamphilis, M.L., Kaneko, K.J. and Vassilev, A. (2002) Activation of zygotic gene expression in mammals. DePamphilis, M.L. (ed.), *Advances in Developmental Biology and Biochemistry*, Vol. 12, Elsevier Science, B.V.
- Latham, K.E. and Schultz, R.M. (2001) Embryonic genome activation. *Front. Biosci.*, **6**, D748–D759.
- Hamatani, T., Carter, M.G., Sharov, A.A. and Ko, M.S. (2004) Dynamics of global gene expression changes during mouse preimplantation development. *Dev. Cell.*, **6**, 117–131.
- Takahashi, K. and Yamanaka, S. (2006) Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. *Cell*, **126**, 663–676.
- Hamatani, T., Yamada, M., Akutsu, H., Kuji, N., Mochimaru, Y., Takano, M., Toyoda, M., Miyado, K., Umezawa, A. and Yoshimura, Y. (2008) What can we learn from gene expression profiling of mouse oocytes? *Reproduction*, **135**, 581–592.
- Ko, M.S., Kitchen, J.R., Wang, X., Threat, T.A., Hasegawa, A., Sun, T., Grahovac, M.J., Kargul, G.J., Lim, M.K., Cui, Y. *et al.* (2000) Large-scale cDNA analysis reveals phased gene expression patterns during preimplantation mouse development. *Development*, **127**, 1737–1749.
- Okazaki, Y., Furuno, M., Kasukawa, T., Adachi, J., Bono, H., Kondo, S., Nikaido, I., Osato, N., Saito, R., Suzuki, H. *et al.* (2002) Analysis of the mouse transcriptome based on functional annotation of 60,770 full-length cDNAs. *Nature*, **420**, 563–573.
- Solter, D., de Vries, W.N., Evsikov, A.V., Peaston, A.E., Chen, F.H. and Knowles, B.B. (2002) Fertilization and activation of the embryonic genome. Rossant, J. and Tam, P.P.L. (eds), *Mouse Development: Patterning, Morphogenesis, and Organogenesis*, Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 5–19.
- Wang, Q.T., Piotrowska, K., Ciemerych, M.A., Milenkovic, L., Scott, M.P., Davis, R.W. and Zernicka-Goetz, M. (2004) A genome-wide study of gene activity reveals developmental signaling pathways in the preimplantation mouse embryo. *Dev. Cell.*, **6**, 133–144.
- Wang, S., Cowan, C.A., Chipperfield, H. and Powers, R.D. (2005) Gene expression in the preimplantation embryo: in-vitro developmental changes. *Reprod. Biomed. Online*, **10**, 607–616.
- Zeng, F., Baldwin, D.A. and Schultz, R.M. (2004) Transcript profiling during preimplantation mouse development. *Dev. Biol.*, **272**, 483–496.
- Choo, K.B., Chen, H.H., Cheng, W.T., Chang, H.S. and Wang, M. (2001) In silico mining of EST databases for novel pre-implantation embryo-specific zinc finger protein genes. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.*, **59**, 249–255.
- Falco, G., Lee, S.L., Stanghellini, I., Bassey, U.C., Hamatani, T. and Ko, M.S. (2007) Zscan4: a novel gene expressed exclusively in late 2-cell embryos and embryonic stem cells. *Dev. Biol.*, **307**, 539–550.
- Kanka, J. (2003) Gene expression and chromatin structure in the pre-implantation embryo. *Theriogenology*, **59**, 3–19.
- Schultz, R.M. and Worrad, D.M. (1995) Role of chromatin structure in zygotic gene activation in the mammalian embryo. *Semin. Cell Biol.*, **6**, 201–208.
- Thompson, E.M., Legouy, E. and Renard, J.P. (1998) Mouse embryos do not wait for the MBT: chromatin and RNA polymerase remodeling in genome activation at the onset of development. *Dev. Genet.*, **22**, 31–42.
- Stros, M., Launholt, D. and Grasser, K.D. (2007) The HMG-box: a versatile protein domain occurring in a wide variety of DNA-binding proteins. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.*, **64**, 2590–2606.
- Zhang, Q. and Wang, Y. (2008) High mobility group proteins and their post-translational modifications. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **1784**, 1159–1166.
- Schultz, J., Milpetz, F., Bork, P. and Ponting, C.P. (1998) SMART, a simple modular architecture research tool: identification of signaling domains. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **95**, 5857–5864.
- Mamo, S., Gal, A.B., Bodo, S. and Dinnyes, A. (2007) Quantitative evaluation and selection of reference genes in mouse oocytes and embryos cultured in vivo and in vitro. *BMC Dev. Biol.*, **7**, 14.
- Hock, R., Furusawa, T., Ueda, T. and Bustin, M. (2007) HMG chromosomal proteins in development and disease. *Trends Cell Biol.*, **17**, 72–79.
- Svarcova, O., Dinnyes, A., Polgar, Z., Bodo, S., Adorjan, M., Meng, Q. and Maddox-Hyttel, P. (2009) Nucleolar re-activation is delayed in mouse embryos cloned from two different cell lines. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.*, **76**, 132–141.
- Sanij, E., Poortinga, G., Sharkey, K., Hung, S., Holloway, T.P., Quin, J., Robb, E., Wong, L.H., Thomas, W.G., Stefanovsky, V. *et al.* (2008) UBF levels determine the number of active ribosomal RNA genes in mammals. *J. Cell Biol.*, **183**, 1259–1274.
- Stefanovsky, V.Y., Pelletier, G., Hannan, R., Gagnon-Kugler, T., Rothblum, L.I., Moss, T., Bazett-Jones, D.P. and Crane-Robinson, C. (2001) An immediate response of ribosomal transcription to growth factor stimulation in mammals is mediated by ERK phosphorylation of UBF DNA looping in the RNA polymerase I enhancosome is the result of non-cooperative in-phase bending by two UBF molecules. *Mol. Cell.*, **8**, 1063–1073.
- Stefanovsky, V.Y., Pelletier, G., Bazett-Jones, D.P., Crane-Robinson, C. and Moss, T. (2001) DNA looping in the RNA polymerase I enhancosome is the result of non-cooperative in-phase bending by two UBF molecules. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **29**, 3241–3247.
- Mais, C., Wright, J.E., Prieto, J.L., Raggett, S.L. and McStay, B. (2005) UBF-binding site arrays form pseudo-NORs and sequester the RNA polymerase I transcription machinery. *Genes Dev.*, **19**, 50–64.
- Falciola, L., Spada, F., Calogero, S., Langst, G., Voit, R., Grummt, I. and Bianchi, M.E. (1997) High mobility group 1 protein is not stably associated with the chromosomes of somatic cells. *J. Cell Biol.*, **137**, 19–26.
- Bonaldi, T., Talamo, F., Scaffidi, P., Ferrera, D., Porto, A., Bachi, A., Rubartelli, A., Agresti, A. and Bianchi, M.E. (2003) Monocytic cells hyperacetylate chromatin protein HMGB1 to redirect it towards secretion. *EMBO J.*, **22**, 5551–5560.
- Wang, H., Bloom, O., Zhang, M., Vishnubhakat, J.M., Ombrellino, M., Che, J., Frazier, A., Yang, H., Ivanova, S., Borovikova, L. *et al.* (1999) HMGB-1 as a late mediator of endotoxin lethality in mice. *Science*, **285**, 248–251.
- Cui, X.S., Shen, X.H. and Kim, N.H. (2008) High mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) is implicated in preimplantation embryo development in the mouse. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.*, **75**, 1290–1299.
- Payer, B., Saitou, M., Barton, S.C., Thresher, R., Dixon, J.P., Zahn, D., Colledge, W.H., Carlton, M.B., Nakano, T. and Surani, M.A. (2003) Stella is a maternal effect gene required for normal early development in mice. *Curr. Biol.*, **13**, 2110–2117.
- Gurtu, V.E., Verma, S., Grossmann, A.H., Liskay, R.M., Skarnes, W.C. and Baker, S.M. (2002) Maternal effect for DNA mismatch repair in the mouse. *Genetics*, **160**, 271–277.
- Hock, R., Scheer, U. and Bustin, M. (1998) Chromosomal proteins HMGB-14 and HMGB-17 are released from mitotic chromosomes and imported into the nucleus by active transport. *J. Cell Biol.*, **143**, 1427–1436.
- Mitsui, K., Tokuzawa, Y., Itoh, H., Segawa, K., Murakami, M., Takahashi, K., Maruyama, M., Maeda, M. and Yamanaka, S. (2003) The homeoprotein Nanog is required for maintenance of pluripotency in mouse epiblast and ES cells. *Cell*, **113**, 631–642.
- Kim, J.B., Zaehres, H., Wu, G., Gentile, L., Ko, K., Sebastiano, V., Arauzo-Bravo, M.J., Ruau, D., Han, D.W., Zenke, M. *et al.* (2008)

- Pluripotent stem cells induced from adult neural stem cells by reprogramming with two factors. *Nature*, **454**, 646–650.
36. Levine, A.J. and Brivanlou, A.H. (2006) GDF3, a BMP inhibitor, regulates cell fate in stem cells and early embryos. *Development*, **133**, 209–216.
 37. Takahashi, K., Mitsui, K. and Yamanaka, S. (2003) Role of ERas in promoting tumour-like properties in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nature*, **423**, 541–545.
 38. Nimura, K., Ishida, C., Koriyama, H., Hata, K., Yamanaka, S., Li, E., Ura, K. and Kaneda, Y. (2006) Dnmt3a2 targets endogenous Dnmt3L to ES cell chromatin and induces regional DNA methylation. *Genes Cells*, **11**, 1225–1237.
 39. Arima, T., Hata, K., Tanaka, S., Kusumi, M., Li, E., Kato, K., Shiota, K., Sasaki, H. and Wake, N. (2006) Loss of the maternal imprint in Dnmt3Lmat^{-/-} mice leads to a differentiation defect in the extraembryonic tissue. *Dev. Biol.*, **297**, 361–373.
 40. Pan, H., O'Brien, M.J., Wigglesworth, K., Eppig, J.J. and Schultz, R.M. (2005) Transcript profiling during mouse oocyte development and the effect of gonadotropin priming and development in vitro. *Dev. Biol.*, **286**, 493–506.
 41. Saitou, N. and Nei, M. (1987) The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **4**, 406–425.
 42. Nagy, A., Gertsenstein, M., Vintersten, K. and Behringer, R. (2003) *Manipulating the Mouse Embryo: A Laboratory Manual*, 3rd edn. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.
 43. Hamatani, T., Falco, G., Carter, M.G., Akutsu, H., Stagg, C.A., Sharov, A.A., Dudekula, D.B., VanBuren, V. and Ko, M.S. (2004) Age-associated alteration of gene expression patterns in mouse oocytes. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, **13**, 2263–2278.
 44. Falco, G., Stanghellini, I. and Ko, M.S. (2006) Use of Chuk as an internal standard suitable for quantitative RT-PCR in mouse preimplantation embryos. *Reprod. Biomed. Online*, **13**, 394–403.

Efficient reprogramming of human and mouse primary extra-embryonic cells to pluripotent stem cells

Shogo Nagata^{1,2}, Masashi Toyoda³, Shinpei Yamaguchi¹, Kunio Hirano¹, Hatsune Makino³, Koichiro Nishino³, Yoshitaka Miyagawa⁴, Hajime Okita⁴, Nobutaka Kiyokawa⁴, Masato Nakagawa⁵, Shinya Yamanaka⁵, Hidenori Akutsu³, Akihiro Umezawa³ and Takashi Tada^{1,2*}

¹Stem Cell Engineering, Institute for Frontier Medical Sciences, Kyoto University, 53 Kawahara-cho, Shogoin, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan

²JST, CREST, 4-1-8 Hon-cho, Kawaguchi-shi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan

³Department of Reproductive Biology, National Research Institute for Child Health and Development, 2-10-1 Ookura, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 157-8535, Japan

⁴Department of Developmental Biology, National Research Institute for Child Health and Development, 2-10-1 Ookura, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 157-8535, Japan

⁵Center for iPS Cell Research and Application (CiRA), Institute for Integrated Cell-Material Sciences, Kyoto University, 53 Kawaharacho, Shogoin, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan

Practical clinical applications for current induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) technologies are hindered by very low generation efficiencies. Here, we demonstrate that newborn human (h) and mouse (m) extra-embryonic amnion (AM) and yolk-sac (YS) cells, in which endogenous *KLF4/Klf4*, *c-MYC/c-Myc* and *RONIN/Ronin* are expressed, can be reprogrammed to hiPSCs and miPSCs with efficiencies for AM cells of 0.02% and 0.1%, respectively. Both hiPSC and miPSCs are indistinguishable from embryonic stem cells in colony morphology, expression of pluripotency markers, global gene expression profile, DNA methylation status of *OCT4* and *NANOG*, teratoma formation and, in the case of miPSCs, generation of germline transmissible chimeric mice. As copious amounts of human AM cells can be collected without invasion, and stored long term by conventional means without requirement for *in vitro* culture, they represent an ideal source for cell banking and subsequent 'on demand' generation of hiPSCs for personal regenerative and pharmaceutical applications.

Introduction

Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) have been generated through nuclear reprogramming of somatic cells via retrovirus or lentivirus-mediated transduction of exogenous reprogramming factors Oct4, Sox2, Klf4 and C-Myc (Yamanaka 2007). This has led to greatly enhanced promise for exploring the causes of, and potential cures for, many genetic diseases, as well as increased promise for regenerative medicine. Improvements in delivery methodology have further facilitated iPSC generation by minimizing the

requirement for genetic modification (Feng *et al.* 2009). Notably, generation of genetic modification-free iPSCs with reprogramming proteins (Kim *et al.* 2009; Zhou *et al.* 2009) suggests regenerative medicine with personal iPSCs could soon be realized. However, the markedly low efficiency of iPSC generation, with all adult somatic cell types tested to date, remains problematic (Wernig *et al.* 2008). Technological advancements in this field have mainly been achieved using mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs), in which the efficiency of iPSC generation is 10–100 times higher than that with adult somatic cells (Yu *et al.* 2007; Wernig *et al.* 2008). Therefore, current methods would appear to be less than ideal for generating iPSCs from adult somatic cells.

Communicated by: Fuyuki Ishikawa

*Correspondence: ttada@frontier.kyoto-u.ac.jp

DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2443.2009.01356.x

© 2009 The Authors

Journal compilation © 2009 by the Molecular Biology Society of Japan/Blackwell Publishing Ltd.

Genes to Cells (2009) 14, 1395–1404 1395

Here, to find nuclear reprogramming-sensitive cells collectable with no risk by physical invasion, we generated iPSCs from human and mouse newborn extra-embryonic membranes, amnion (AM) and yolk sac (YS), which consist huge amounts of discarded cells after birth. Interestingly, the efficiency of mouse iPSC (miPSC) generation from the AM was comparable to that of MEFs by retroviral transduction with *Oct4*, *Sox2*, *Klf4* and *c-Myc*. Importantly, human iPSC (hiPSC) is also efficiently generated from human AM cells. Expression of the endogenous *KLF4/Klf4*, *c-MYC/c-Myc* and *RONIN/Ronin* in human/mouse AM cells may function in facilitating the generation efficiency of iPSCs. The human AM cell, which is conventionally freeze-storable, could be a useful cell source for the generation of pluripotent stem cells including iPSCs mediated by nuclear reprogramming in the purpose of personal regenerative and pharmaceutical cure in the future of infants.

Results

Generation of iPSCs from mouse AM and YS cells

Extra-embryonic membranes, AM (amniotic ectoderm and mesoderm layers) and YS (visceral yolk sac endoderm and mesoderm layers) express a high level of proto-oncogene (Curran *et al.* 1984) which function, at least in part, to maintain and protect the fetus in utero. In E18.5 mouse embryos just before birth, AM and YS can be easily recognized microscopically (Fig. 1a). The membranes were dissected from *Oct4-GFP* (OG)/*Neo-LacZ* (Rosa26) embryos as approximately 5–10 mm² sections and digested with collagenase. Isolated cells were cultured for 4–5 days resulting in morphologically heterogeneous populations (Fig. 1a) in which OG expression was undetectable. Approximately 1×10^5 cells were then retrovirally transfected with exogenous *Oct4*, *Sox2*, *Klf4* and *c-Myc* (OSKM). After approximately 3 weeks, OG-positive embryonic stem cell (ESC)-like miPSC colonies were picked and expanded without drug selection. All AM (female) and YS (male)-miPSC lines generated here, which closely resembled ESCs in morphology (Fig. 1a), had a $2n = 40$ normal karyotype (data not shown).

Characterization of AM and YS-miPSCs

As with ESCs, all AM- and YS-miPSC colonies were positive for alkaline phosphatase (ALP) (Fig. 1b).

Immunohistochemical analyses also demonstrated that the cells were positive for pluripotent cell-specific nuclear proteins *Oct4* and *Nanog*, and the surface glycoprotein SSEA1 (Fig. 1b). Thus, the expression profile of all marker proteins tested in AM and YS-miPSCs was similar to that observed in ESCs.

To examine the global transcription profile of these cells, comparative Affymetrix gene expression microarray analyses were performed between AM cells, YS cells, YS-miPSCs and R1 ESCs (Fig 1c). The global gene expression profile of YS-miPSCs was significantly different from that of YS cells. We detected a similar behavior between AM-miPSCs and AM cells (data not shown). Notably, the profile was similar to that of ESCs (Fig. 1c). Together, the data indicate that significant global nuclear reprogramming had occurred in these cells in response to OSKM transfection. We next applied RT-PCR analysis to gain a more focused transcriptional profile of pluripotent cell-specific marker genes in the induced cells. We found that *Nanog*, *Rex1*, *ERAs*, *Gdf3*, *Zfp296* and *Ronin* were expressed in both AM and YS-miPSCs, whereas the AM and YS genes, *Igf1* and *Cd16* were silenced (Fig. 1c). Notably, *Ronin* was expressed not only in AM and YS-miPSCs but also in the precursor AM and YS cells. To investigate whether the exogenous *Oct4*, *Sox2*, *Klf4* and *c-Myc* genes were silenced by DNA methylation as reported for other iPSCs (Jaenisch & Young 2008) in the AM and YS-miPSCs, we examined expression using gene-specific primer sets designed to distinguish endogenous and exogenous transcripts. In all miPSC lines, the expression of endogenous *Oct4*, *Sox2*, *Klf4* and *c-Myc* was similar to that in R1 ESCs, whereas the exogenous *c-Myc* and *Klf4* were fully silenced in some YS-miPSC clones but not in others (Fig. 1c). Notably, high-level expression of endogenous *Klf4* and *c-Myc* was detected even in AM and YS cells, consistent with the expression of proto-oncogene (Curran *et al.* 1984). Endogenous expression of *Klf4*, *c-Myc* and *Ronin* genes that are involved in maintaining pluripotency may play a key function in enhancing the generation efficiency of miPSCs from AM and YS cells.

Timing and efficiency of miPSC generation

The molecular mechanisms that govern OSKM-induced nuclear reprogramming of somatic cells to iPSCs are poorly understood. It has been demonstrated that activation of endogenous *Oct4* may be a landmark for irreversible epigenetic transition toward




Figure 1 Generation of iPSCs from mouse AM and YS cells. (a) Isolation of AM and YS cells from the extra-embryonic tissues of newborn mice and generation of miPSCs through epigenetic reprogramming by retroviral infection-mediated expression of *Oct4*, *Sox2*, *Klf4* and *c-Myc*. (b) Expression of pluripotent cell marker proteins, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), Nanog, Oct4 and SSEA1. Cell nuclei were visualized with DAPI. (c) Transcriptional activation and silencing of pluripotent and somatic cell marker genes by miPSC induction. RT-PCR analyses revealed that pluripotent marker genes were activated, somatic marker genes were silenced, and *Klf4*, *c-Myc* and *Ronin* were expressed even in AM and YS cells. *Gapdh* is a positive control. Microarray analyses demonstrated global alteration in gene expression profile between YS cells and YS-miPSCs, which more closely resemble mESCs. Relative level of gene expression is illustrated as red > yellow > green. (d) The generation efficiency of ALP-positive colonies and timing of GFP detection demonstrating *Oct4-GFP* reporter gene reactivation. ALP-positive colonies (red) in a 10-cm culture dish was shown when 1.0×10^5 of AM cells, YS cells and MEFs were exposed to OSKM reprogramming factors and reseeded at day 4.

fully reprogrammed iPSCs (Sridharan & Plath 2008). Thus, the timing of reactivation of OG is closely linked with the efficiency of reprogramming. Activation of exogenous OG was detected in some cell populations in every colony around 10 days after OSKM transfection of AM and YS cells, similar to control MEFs examined here and those reported previously (Fig. 1d) (Brambrink *et al.* 2008). The reprogramming efficiency of AM and YS cells was

estimated by ALP-staining 21 days after OSKM transfection with re seeding at day 4. Notably, the number of ALP-positive colonies was similar between AM cells (4373 ± 983 ; mean \pm SEM, $n = 3$) and MEFs (4997 ± 1049 , $n = 3$), and $\sim 50\%$ in YS cells (2293 ± 487 , $n = 3$). Thus, the efficiency of AM reprogramming by OSKM is comparable to that of MEFs, and far exceeds that of adult somatic cells (Fig. 1d).

Germline-transmissible chimeras with AM and YS-miPSCs

To address *in vivo* differentiation potential of the AM and YS-miPSCs, approximately 10 agouti miPSCs were microinjected into C57BL/6J × BDF1 blastocysts (black), and transferred into white ICR foster mothers to generate chimeras. Three male YS-miPSC and two female AM-miPSC lines were tested for chimera formation. X-gal staining analysis on sections of E15.5 embryos demonstrated successful generation of normally developing chimeric embryos with *OG/Neo-LacZ* miPSC contribution to the majority of tissues in all miPSC lines examined (data not shown). We next examined the miPSC potential for normal growth to sexual maturity and germline transmission. Two high-degree chimeric mice with a YS-miPSC line and three high-degree chimeric mice with two AM-miPSC lines, characterized by the >50% contribution of agouti coat color (Fig. 2a), developed normally into adulthood. However, an adult YS-miPSC chimera developed a neck tumor around 8–10 weeks after birth, which may be due to reactivation of the exogenous *c-Myc* as reported previously (Nakagawa *et al.* 2008). Testes isolated from affected males were bisected and one-half was X-gal-stained for LacZ activity whereas the other half was cryosectioned. Blue staining in the seminiferous tubule indicated that YS-miPSCs could contribute to germ cell development. To confirm this, testis cryosections immunohistochemically stained with antibodies against LacZ (iPSC-derived cell marker) and TRA98 (spermatogonia and spermatocyte marker) (Fig. 2b). Germ cells in all tubules were positive for TRA98, whereas germ cells in only some seminiferous tubules were positive for LacZ, clearly demonstrating that YS-miPSCs are capable of contributing to the differentiating germ line in chimeras. Finally, to examine whether the genetic information of YS-miPSCs was transmissible to the next generation, DNA isolated from progeny of the remaining YS-miPSC chimera was analyzed by genomic PCR with a primer set specific to *Neo*. Seven of the thirty-five pups examined were positive, demonstrating that YS-miPSCs are able to differentiate into fully functional germ cells (Fig. 2c). In one of three female AM-miPSC chimeric mice, competence for contribution to germ cells was detected by X-gal staining analysis of ovaries (data not shown).

Teratoma formation with AM and YS-miPSCs

The differentiation competence of AM and YS-miPSCs was further tested by teratoma formation

induced by injection of cells into the inguinal region of immunodeficient SCID mice. Teratomas were isolated 5–8 weeks after for histological analysis and for gene expression analysis. Hematoxylin–eosin (HE) staining of paraffin sections demonstrated that the three primary layers were generated as morphologically shown by ectodermal glia and neuroepithelium, mesodermal muscle and endodermal ciliated epithelium and cartilage (Fig. 2d). Multi-lineage differentiation of miPSCs was verified by transcription of endodermal, mesodermal and ectodermal genes in the majority of teratomas (Fig. 2e).

Generation of iPSCs from human AM cells

To examine whether hiPSCs could be efficiently generated from primary AM cells isolated from the amniotic membrane (~100 cm²) of the placenta of newborn human (Fig. 3a), the reprogramming factors *OCT4*, *SOX2*, *KLF4* and *c-MYC* were introduced by vesicular stomatitis virus G glycoprotein (VSV-G) retroviral transduction. About 20 AM-hiPSC lines were established from 1.0×10^5 AM cells infected (0.02%). The efficiency of AM-hiPSC generation is markedly high relative to that with cells from human adult tissues (Yu *et al.* 2007). AM-hiPSCs were morphologically similar to human ESCs (hESCs) (Fig. 3a). Immunohistochemical analyses demonstrated expression of the pluripotent cell-specific nuclear proteins *OCT4*, *SOX2* and *NANOG*, and the keratan sulfate proteoglycan TRA-1-60 (Fig. 3b) consistent with the profile observed in hESCs. To extend this analysis, we examined the expression profile of genes by RT-PCR. The endogenous reprogramming factor genes *OCT4*, *SOX2*, *KLF4* and *c-MYC* were all activated in AM-hiPSCs, whereas the transgenes were fully silenced (Fig. 3c). Expression of pluripotent cell-specific genes *NANOG*, *REX1*, *GDF3*, *ESG1*, *FGF4*, *TERT* and *RONIN* were also activated in all AM-hiPSC clones consistent with the profile of control hESCs (Fig. 3c). Notably, transcription of *KLF4*, *c-MYC*, and *RONIN* was detected not only in AM-hiPSCs but also AM cells. Similar to mouse AM and YS cells, endogenous expression of *KLF4*, *c-MYC* and *RONIN* in human AM cells may facilitate acquisition of reprogramming competency for efficient generation of hiPSCs.

DNA methylation of *OCT4* and *NANOG* in AM-hiPSCs

To further characterize the pluripotent nature of AM-hiPSCs, the promoter CpG methylation status




Figure 2 Pluripotency of AM and YS-miPSCs. (a) Chimeric mice with female AM-miPSCs and male YS-miPSCs. Inset: X-gal staining of testis collected from an adult YS-miPSC chimera (blue cells are YS-miPSC derivatives). (b) Immunohistochemical double staining of testis cryosections from a YS-miPSC chimera with anti-LacZ (YS-miPSC-derived germ cells) and anti-TRA98 (spermatogonia and spermatocytes) antibodies. (c) Genotyping of progeny obtained by backcrossing with YS-miPSC chimeras. *Neo* positive demonstrates germline transmission of YS-miPSC genetic information. *Gapdh* is positive control. (d) Hematoxylin-eosin staining of teratoma sections generated by AM and YS-miPSC implantation. GL, glia (ectoderm); NE, neuroepithelium (ectoderm); CE, ciliated epithelium (endoderm); CA, cartilage (ectoderm); MU, muscle (mesoderm). (e) Transcription analysis of lineage-specific genes in teratomas generated with AM and YS-miPSCs. Gray rectangle: endoderm makers; purple rectangle: mesoderm markers; pink rectangle: ectoderm markers. *Afp*, α -Fetoprotein; *Alb*, albumin; *Des*, desmin; *Nes*, Nestin; *Nf-m*, neurofilament-M; *Gdh*, *Gapdh* (positive control).

of key pluripotency genes was examined by bisulfite-modified DNA sequencing. Promoters of both *OCT4* and *NANOG* were found to highly methylated in hAM cells, consistent with transcriptional silencing in these cells. Conversely, both promoter regions were hypo-methylated in AM-hiPSCs consistent with the observed reactivation (Fig. 3d). These data demonstrate that human AM cells are capable of being epigenetically reprogrammed to AM-hiPSCs through forced expression of reprogramming factors.

Teratoma formation with AM-hiPSCs

To address whether the AM-hiPSCs have competence to differentiate into specific tissues, teratoma formation was induced by implantation under the kidney capsule of immunodeficient nude mice. Twenty-one out of twenty-four AM-hiPSC independent clones induced teratoma formation within 6–10 weeks of implantation (1.0×10^7 cells/site). Histological analysis by HE staining of paraffin-embedded sections demonstrated that the three