shareholdings is positively associated with high CSP indices while the increase in domestic corporate investors' shareholdings shows rather negative association. It implies that foreign investors, who are concerned about social aspects of activities of investees in a global viewpoint, might have played a key role as a CSR driver and have influenced stakeholder management of Japanese firms. Third, firms in international competition are more sensitive to CSP than companies of domestic business. It is also suggested that foreign investors contribute to strengthen investors' self-disciplining or to improve internal governance of investees. These are new contributions to link CSR practices and changing corporate governance of Japanese firms in globalization of Japan's market.

There are some discussion points for development of the study. First, in this paper, we did not distinguish long-term institutional investors from other relationship investors, but categorized three investor groups: corporate domestic investors, foreign investors, and individual investors. It is due to ownership data we used, but it is interesting to break down domestic ownership to investigate heterogeneity of corporate governance and stakeholder management of Japanese business firms. In the further study, we should exploit ownership structure data more in detail.

References:

ACGA 2008. White Paper on Corporate Governance in Japan, May 2008, URL: http://www.acga-asia.org/public/files/Japan%20WP_%20May2008.pdf.

Aggarwal, R., L. Klapper and P. Wysocki, 2005. "Portfolio Preference of Foreign Institutional Investors," *Journal of Banking and Finance*, vol. 29, no. 12. pp. 2919-2946.

Brickley, J.A., Smith, C.W., and Zimmerman, J.L. 2003. "Corporate Governance, Ethics, and Organizational Architecture", *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 34-45.

Carroll, A. B. 1979. "A Three Dimensional Conceptual Model of Corporate Performance", *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 497-505.

Choe, H., B. C. Koh, and R. M. Stulz 2005. "Do domestic Investors Have an Edge? The Trading Experience of Foreign Investors in Korea", *The review of Financial Studies*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 795-829.

Cochran, P. and R. Wood 1984. "Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Performance", *Academy of Management Journal*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 42-56.

Cox, P., S. Brammer, and A. Millington 2004. "An empirical examination of institutional investor preferences for corporate social performance", *Journal of Business Ethics*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 27-43.

Cox, P., S. Brammer, and A. Millington 2008. "Pension Funds and Corporate Social Performance: An Empirical Analysis," *Business and Society*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 213-241. Cox, P., and M. Schneider 2010. "Is Corporate Social Performance a Criterion in the Overseas Investment Strategy of U.S. Pension Plans? An Empirical Examination",

Business and Society, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 252-289.

Deakin, S. and R. Hobbs 2007. "False Dawn for? Shifts in Regulatory Policy and the Response of the Corporate and Financial Sectors in Britain", *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 68-75.

de Graaf, F. J. and J. W. Stoelhorst 2009. "The Role of Governance in Corporate Social Responsibility; Lessons from Dutch Finance," *Business and Society*, (Forthcoming).

Grave, S. B. and S.A. Waddock 1994, "Institutional Ownership and Corporate Social Performance", Academy of Management Journal, vol.37, pp.1034-1046.

Gompers, P.A. and A. Metrick 2001. "Institutional Investors and Equity Prices", *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, vol. 116, no. 1, pp. 229-259.

Hansen, G. S. and C. W. Hill 1991. "Are Institutional Investors Myopic? A Time Series Study of Four Technology Driven Industries", *Strategic Management Journal*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1-16.

Hillman A.J. and G. D. Keim 2001. "Shareholder Value, Stakeholder Management and Social Issues: What's the Bottom Line?" *Strategic Management Journal*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 125-139.

Johnson, R. A. and Greening, D. W. 1999. "The Effect of Corporate Governance and Institutional Ownership Types on Corporate Social Performance", *Academy of Management Journal*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 564-576.

Kang, J. K. and R. M. Stulz 1997. "Why is There a Home Bias? An Analysis of Foreign Portfolio Equity Ownership in Japan," *Journal of Financial Economics*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 3-28.

Kubota, K. and H. Takehara 2007. "Effects of Tax Rate Changes on the Cost of Capital: Case of Japanese Firms," *Finanz Archiv/Public Finance Analysis*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 163-185.

Kurtz, L. 2008. "Socially responsible investment and shareholder activism," eds. By A. Crane, A. McWilliams, D. Matten, J. Moon and D.S. Stiegel, *The Oxford Handbook of Corporate Social responsibility*, Chapter 11, 249-280.

Kho, B. C., R. M. Stulz, and F. E. Warnock 2006. "Financial Globalization, Governance, and the Evolution of the Home Bias", *NBER Working Paper Series*, 12389.

Leuz, C., K. V. Lins, and F. E. Warnock 2009. "Do Foreigners Invest in Poorly Governed Firms?", *The Review of Financial Studies*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 3245-3285.

McGuire, J. B., A. Sundgren, and T. Schneeweis 1988. "Corporate Social Responsibility and Firm Financial Performance," *Academy of management Journal*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 854-872.

McWilliams, A. and D.S. Siegel 2000. "Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Performance: Correlation or Misspecification?" *Strategic Management Journal*, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 603-609.

McWilliams, A., and D.S. Siegel 2001. "Corporate Social Responsibility: A Theory of the Firm Perspective," *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 117-127.

McWilliams, A., D.S. Siegel, and P.M. Wright 2006. "Corporate Social Responsibility: Strategic Implication" *Journal of Management Studies*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 1-18.

Miyajima, H. and K. Nitta 2011. "Diversification of shareholding Structure and the Results: Dissolution and Revive of Cross-shareholdings and Role of Foreign Investors" *RIETI Discussion Paper Series* 11-J-011 (in Japanese).

Neubaum, D. O. and S. A. Zahra 2006. "Institutional Ownership and Corporate Social Performance: The Moderating Effects of Investment Horizon, Activism, and Coordination," *Journal of Management*, vol. 32, no.1, pp. 108-131.

OECD/Fiona Stewart and Juan Yermo 2010. "Options to improve the governance and investment of Japan's government pension investment fund," *OECD Working Papers on Finance, Insurance and Private Pensions*, No. 2010/6,

URL: http:://www.oecd.org/daf/fin.

Scharfstein, D. S. and J. C. Stein 1990. "Herd Behavior and Investment," *American Economic Review*, vol. 80, no. 3, pp. 465-479.

Schuler, D. A. and M. Cording 2006. "A Corporate Social Performance-Corporate Financial Performance Behavioral Model for Consumers", *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 540-558.

Sias, R. W. 2004. "Institutional Herding," *Review of Financial Studies*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 165-206.

Smith, N.C. 2008. "Consumers as Drivers of Corporate Social Responsibility", eds. By A. Crane, A. McWilliams, D. Matten, J. Moon and D.S. Stiegel, *The Oxford Handbook of Corporate Social responsibility*, Chapter 12, pp. 281-302.

Suto, M. and M. Toshino 2005. "Behavioral Biases of Japanese Institutional Investors: Fund Management and Corporate Governance," *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 466-477.

Suto, M., L. Menkhoff and D. Beckmann 2005. "Behavioral Biases of Institutional Investors: Japan and Germany vs. the US", *Waseda University*, *Finance Research Center*, *Working Paper Series*, WNIF-05-006.

Suto, M. and H. Takehara 2012. "A framework for evaluating corporate social performance of Japanese firms: Index construction and recent trends," *Working paper, Waseda University,* (in Japanese).

Turban, D.B. and D.W. Greening 1997. "Corporate Social Performance and Organizational Attractiveness to Prospective Employers", Research Notes, *Academy of Management Journal*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 658-672.

Waddock, S. A. and S. B. Graves 1997. "The Corporate Social Performance –Financial Performance Link", *Strategic Management Journal*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 303-319.

Windsor, D. 2006. "Corporate Social Responsibility: Three Key Approaches," *Journal of Management Studies*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 93-114.

Wood, D. J. 2000. "Theory and Integrity in Business and Society," *Business & Society*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 359-378.

Table 1. Ownership Structure of Listed Companies at Market Value

Percentage of shares at market value held by each type of investor is shown. Listed companies in JASDAQ Stock Exchange are included since 2004. Security brokers are excluded.

| Year | Number of Companies | Commercial Bank | Trust Bank | Insurance Companies | Business Corporations | Domestic Corporations | Foreign Corporations | Individuals |
|------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | | a | b | С | d | a+b+c+d | | |
| 1985 | 1,833 | 20.9 | 2.5 | 16.4 | 28.8 | 68.6 | 7.0 | 22.3 |
| 1990 | 2,078 | 15.7 | 9.8 | 15.9 | 30.1 | 71.5 | 4.7 | 20.4 |
| 2000 | 2,587 | 10.1 | 17.4 | 10.9 | 21.8 | 60.2 | 18.8 | 19.4 |
| 2001 | 2,656 | 8.7 | 19.9 | 10.2 | 21.8 | 60.6 | 18.3 | 19.7 |
| 2002 | 2,661 | 7.7 | 21.4 | 9.3 | 21.5 | 59.9 | 17.7 | 20.6 |
| 2003 | 2,679 | 5.9 | 19.6 | 8.1 | 21.8 | 55.4 | 21.8 | 20.5 |
| 2004 | 2,775 | 5.3 | 18.8 | 7.6 | 21.9 | 53.6 | 23.7 | 20.3 |
| 2005 | 2,843 | 4.7 | 18.4 | 7.4 | 21.1 | 51.6 | 26.7 | 19.1 |
| 2006 | 2,937 | 4.6 | 17.9 | 7.6 | 20.7 | 50.8 | 28.0 | 18.1 |
| 2007 | 3,897 | 4.7 | 17.3 | 7.6 | 21.4 | 51.0 | 27.4 | 18.7 |
| 2008 | 3,803 | 4.8 | 18.8 | 7.4 | 22.6 | 53.6 | 23.5 | 20.5 |
| 2009 | 3,694 | 4.3 | 18.4 | 7.0 | 21.3 | 51.0 | 26.0 | 20.1 |
| 2010 | 3,616 | 4.1 | 18.2 | 6.4 | 21.2 | 49.9 | 26.7 | 20.3 |

Table 2. Number of Sample Firms

Number of firm-samples at the end of September of each year (2007-2009) and number of firms listed on Tokyo Stock Exchange 1st Section (TSE1), on Tokyo Stock Exchange Second Section (TSE2), and other stock exchanges in Japan (Others) are reported. Number of firms in the most right four columns is non-duplicated and a single firm appears four times at maximum in our sample period, 2007 through 2010.

| Sector | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | TSE1 | TSE2 | Others | Total |
|-------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|-------|
| Consumption Goods | 218 | 203 | 207 | 225 | 207 | 33 | 47 | 287 |
| Investment Goods | 308 | 316 | 330 | 333 | 298 | 42 | 91 | 427 |
| Services | 300 | 314 | 343 | 344 | 211 | 46 | 233 | 480 |
| Transportation | 19 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 20 | 3 | 4 | 27 |
| Utility | 12 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Real Estate | 37 | 41 | 31 | 36 | 32 | 7 | 21 | 60 |
| All Sectors | 894 | 908 | 945 | 975 | 783 | 131 | 396 | 1296 |

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics of Corporate Social Performance of Japanese Firms
Panel A. Sector-wise Corporate Social Performance

| 100000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | Emp | oloyee Relation | ons | *** | | Soc | ial Contribut | ion | |
|---|--|--------|-----------------|--------|-------|--------|------------|---------------|---------|-------|
| | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. |
| Consumption Goods | -0.597 | 0.266 | 0.997 | 0.103 | 1.195 | -0.599 | 0.191 | 0.911 | 0.154 | 0.991 |
| Investment Goods | -0.527 | 0.283 | 1.103 | 0.196 | 1.225 | -0.764 | -0.028 | 0.654 | 0.022 | 0.960 |
| Services | -1.084 | 0.084 | 0.753 | -0.143 | 1.222 | -0.928 | -0.320 | 0.434 | -0.168 | 0.951 |
| Transportation | -0.368 | 0.166 | 0.855 | 0.157 | 1.092 | -0.408 | 0.097 | 1.059 | 0.139 | 1.098 |
| Utility | -0.620 | -0.109 | 0.365 | -0.089 | 1.020 | -0.341 | 0.722 | 1.076 | 0.356 | 0.845 |
| Real Estate | -1.055 | -0.009 | 0.728 | -0.170 | 1.170 | -0.935 | -0.430 | 0.353 | -0.177 | 0.923 |
| All Firms | -0.719 | 0.207 | 0.898 | 0.037 | 1.218 | -0.852 | -0.069 | 0.659 | -0.015 | 0.974 |
| CONCORDE | Security and Safeness Internal Governance and Risk Man | | | | | | k Manageme | ent | | |
| 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. |
| Consumption Goods | -0.079 | 0.707 | 0.985 | 0.416 | 0.809 | -0.411 | 0.055 | 0.768 | 0.066 | 0.976 |
| Investment Goods | -0.233 | 0.434 | 0.915 | 0.229 | 0.883 | -0.520 | -0.047 | 0.645 | -0.043 | 1.001 |
| Services | -1.087 | -0.178 | 0.638 | -0.330 | 1.035 | -0.444 | 0.007 | 0.687 | -0.003 | 0.984 |
| Transportation | -0.398 | 0.490 | 0.773 | 0.037 | 1.027 | -0.823 | -0.131 | 0.525 | -0.416 | 1.407 |
| Utility | -0.268 | 0.557 | 0.639 | 0.171 | 0.778 | -0.330 | -0.141 | 0.152 | 0.017 | 0.652 |
| Real Estate | -1.196 | -0.240 | 0.096 | -0.420 | 1.019 | -0.589 | 0.021 | 0.774 | -0.019 | 0.989 |
| All Firms | -0.395 | 0.163 | 0.840 | 0.046 | 0.983 | -0.471 | -0.001 | 0.697 | -0.011 | 0.998 |
| | |] | Environment | | | | Corporate | Social Perfe | ormance | |
| | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. |
| Consumption Goods | -0.499 | 0.271 | 0.836 | 0.161 | 0.934 | -0.852 | 0.711 | 1.995 | 0.465 | 1.746 |
| Investment Goods | -0.487 | 0.179 | 0.803 | 0.143 | 0.907 | -1.097 | 0.376 | 1.764 | 0.261 | 1.696 |
| Services | -1.051 | -0.474 | 0.514 | -0.205 | 0.906 | -1.888 | -0.566 | 0.890 | -0.420 | 1.647 |
| Transportation | -0.316 | 0.162 | 0.566 | 0.153 | 0.814 | -1.194 | 0.123 | 1.630 | 0.101 | 1.699 |
| Utility | -0.010 | 0.336 | 1.009 | 0.596 | 0.899 | -0.387 | 0.426 | 1.585 | 0.401 | 1.483 |
| Real Estate | -1.027 | -0.745 | 0.205 | -0.332 | 0.854 | -1.951 | -0.731 | 0.981 | -0.531 | 1.597 |
| All Firms | -0.830 | 0.003 | 0.705 | 0.014 | 0.929 | -1.445 | 0.061 | 1.533 | 0.037 | 1.726 |

Panel B. Firm Size and Corporate Social Performance

| | | Emp | oloyee Relation | ons | | | Soc | ial Contribut | ion | |
|--|--------|--------|-----------------|--------|-------|--------|--------------|---------------|------------|-------|
| | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. |
| Size1(Large) | 0.126 | 0.960 | 1.618 | 0.801 | 1.090 | 0.428 | 1.101 | 1.659 | 0.955 | 0.872 |
| Size2 | -0.104 | 0.558 | 1.219 | 0.422 | 1.110 | -0.310 | 0.349 | 0.939 | 0.288 | 0.884 |
| Size3 | -0.685 | 0.123 | 0.742 | -0.069 | 1.121 | -0.688 | -0.127 | 0.378 | -0.119 | 0.787 |
| Size4 | -1.166 | -0.190 | 0.396 | -0.376 | 1.085 | -1.012 | -0.523 | 0.020 | -0.485 | 0.685 |
| Size5 (Small) | -1.477 | -0.456 | 0.322 | -0.583 | 1.130 | -1.133 | -0.881 | -0.238 | -0.701 | 0.620 |
| All Firms | -0.719 | 0.207 | 0.898 | 0.037 | 1.218 | -0.852 | -0.069 | 0.659 | -0.015 | 0.974 |
| | | Secur | ity and Safe | ness | | Inte | rnal Governa | ance and Ris | k Manageme | ent |
| | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. |
| Size1(Large) | 0.132 | 0.757 | 1.018 | 0.431 | 0.916 | -0.085 | 0.280 | 1.203 | 0.317 | 0.985 |
| Size2 | -0.162 | 0.656 | 0.934 | 0.294 | 0.914 | -0.335 | 0.085 | 0.861 | 0.131 | 0.957 |
| Size3 | -0.272 | 0.202 | 0.804 | 0.092 | 0.927 | -0.411 | -0.020 | 0.722 | 0.007 | 0.954 |
| Size4 | -0.541 | -0.162 | 0.661 | -0.189 | 0.976 | -0.571 | -0.192 | 0.270 | -0.252 | 0.996 |
| Size5 (Small) | -1.122 | -0.294 | 0.314 | -0.394 | 0.944 | -0.610 | -0.270 | 0.346 | -0.249 | 0.975 |
| All Firms | -0.395 | 0.163 | 0.840 | 0.046 | 0.983 | -0.471 | -0.001 | 0.697 | -0.011 | 0.998 |
| | | I | Environment | | | | Corporate | Social Perf | ormance | |
| de partie de la constante de l | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. |
| Size1(Large) | 0.449 | 0.851 | 1.374 | 0.882 | 0.717 | 1.033 | 1.976 | 2.602 | 1.649 | 1.218 |
| Size2 | -0.007 | 0.469 | 0.973 | 0.444 | 0.709 | -0.055 | 1.170 | 2.023 | 0.892 | 1.413 |
| Size3 | -0.530 | -0.029 | 0.534 | 0.017 | 0.792 | -0.915 | 0.136 | 1.046 | 0.043 | 1.358 |
| Size4 | -1.075 | -0.583 | 0.054 | -0.469 | 0.709 | -1.969 | -1.061 | 0.018 | -0.922 | 1.289 |
| Size5 (Small) | -1.153 | -1.026 | -0.468 | -0.795 | 0.587 | -2.404 | -1.756 | -0.730 | -1.455 | 1.208 |
| All Firms | -0.830 | 0.003 | 0.705 | 0.014 | 0.929 | -1.445 | 0.061 | 1.533 | 0.037 | 1.726 |

Table 4. Descriptive Statistics of Stock Ownership Structure of Japanese Firms

Panel A. Sector-wise Summary of Stock Ownership Structure

| | Percenta | ge of Shares | Owned by Ja | apanese Corp | orations | Past 5 Year | r Increase in S | Shares Held by | y Japanese Cor | porations |
|-------------------|----------|---------------|-------------|----------------|----------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|-----------|
| | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. |
| Consumption Goods | 38.506 | 49.931 | 61.472 | 49.131 | 16.749 | -8.051 | -3.147 | 1.599 | -3.050 | 9.896 |
| Investment Goods | 42.555 | 52.938 | 62.382 | 51.871 | 15.936 | -8.303 | -3.160 | 1.434 | -3.368 | 8.786 |
| Services | 28.968 | 43.851 | 58.389 | 43.091 | 20.793 | -7.520 | -1.970 | 3.198 | -1.705 | 11.176 |
| Transportation | 47.105 | 54.378 | 64.713 | 55.395 | 13.442 | -7.448 | -2.000 | -0.640 | -3.908 | 8.955 |
| Utility | 41.966 | 47.517 | 54.041 | 49.287 | 10.329 | -4.558 | -1.855 | -0.328 | -2.836 | 7.495 |
| Real Estate | 24.039 | 51.431 | 68.018 | 46.490 | 23.145 | -6.742 | -1.288 | 4.806 | -0.148 | 14.908 |
| All Firms | 36.235 | 49.661 | 60.995 | 48.006 | 18.560 | -7.917 | -2.504 | 1.892 | -2.669 | 10.120 |
| | Percenta | age of Shares | Owned by l | Foreign Corpo | orations | Past 5 Yea | ar Increase in | Shares Held b | y Foreign Corp | oorations |
| | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. |
| Consumption Goods | 3.164 | 10.445 | 22.679 | 14.006 | 12.758 | -0.650 | 1.781 | 6.656 | 2.835 | 8.316 |
| Investment Goods | 2.493 | 9.737 | 20.749 | 13.015 | 12.536 | 0.000 | 2.734 | 8.193 | 4.340 | 8.077 |
| Services | 0.523 | 3.284 | 11.390 | 7.866 | 10.686 | -0.601 | 0.491 | 5.254 | 1.925 | 7.500 |
| Transportation | 2.893 | 8.549 | 23.275 | 13.249 | 12.163 | 0.000 | 2.093 | 6.150 | 4.260 | 6.792 |
| Utility | 9.687 | 12.486 | 17.463 | 14.346 | 8.754 | 3.020 | 5.047 | 8.037 | 5.975 | 6.942 |
| Real Estate | 2.950 | 11.076 | 20.590 | 14.267 | 13.555 | -0.003 | 4.450 | 11.943 | 6.558 | 12.097 |
| All Firms | 1.524 | 7.318 | 18.483 | 11.516 | 12.258 | -0.206 | 1.728 | 6.999 | 3.323 | 8.181 |
| | Percen | tage of Share | s Owned by | Individual Inv | estors | Past 5 Ye | ar Increase in | Shares Held l | oy Individual In | ivestors |
| | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. |
| Consumption Goods | 20.317 | 29.529 | 49.103 | 35.383 | 19.355 | -4.602 | 0.378 | 4.529 | -0.026 | 10.204 |
| Investment Goods | 19.244 | 29.023 | 46.485 | 33.974 | 19.304 | -5.264 | -1.047 | 3.214 | -1.109 | 9.299 |
| Services | 29.353 | 46.315 | 65.313 | 47.932 | 23.473 | -5.456 | 0.267 | 5.202 | -0.354 | 11.457 |
| Transportation | 17.633 | 24.208 | 40.100 | 30.443 | 17.165 | -2.638 | -0.071 | 2.583 | -0.540 | 7.071 |
| Utility | 25.215 | 35.049 | 40.564 | 33.219 | 11.471 | -4.703 | -2.545 | -0.162 | -2.006 | 4.442 |
| Real Estate | 15.752 | 35.245 | 56.691 | 37.932 | 25.693 | -12.248 | -3.790 | 0.607 | -6.767 | 14.990 |
| All Firms | 21.437 | 35.410 | 55.088 | 39.241 | 21.970 | -5.289 | -0.469 | 4.108 | -0.804 | 10.433 |

Panel B. Firm Size and Stock Ownership Structure

| | Percen | tage of Shares | Owned by Jaj | panese Corpor | ations | Past 5 Yea | r Increase in S | Shares Held by | Japanese Corp | oorations |
|---------------|--------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|--------|---|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. |
| Size1(Large) | 44.010 | 51.414 | 57.553 | 51.196 | 12.649 | -10.905 | -4.988 | -0.715 | -5.813 | 8.718 |
| Size2 | 48.598 | 57.370 | 65.772 | 56.416 | 13.457 | -9.242 | -3.718 | 1.304 | -3.832 | 9.139 |
| Size3 | 41.890 | 53.709 | 66.542 | 53.303 | 16.196 | -5.992 | -1.604 | 3.466 | -1.537 | 9.339 |
| Size4 | 32.508 | 43.208 | 57.253 | 44.609 | 18.286 | -5.644 | -1.259 | 2.526 | -1.255 | 9.990 |
| Size5 (Small) | 16.061 | 31.271 | 49.657 | 34.575 | 22.009 | -5.980 | -0.851 | 4.713 | 0.269 | 13.043 |
| All Firms | 36.235 | 49.661 | 60.995 | 48.006 | 18.560 | -7.917 | -2.504 | 1.892 | -2.669 | 10.120 |
| Transport | Percei | ntage of Share | s Owned by Fo | oreign Corpora | tions | Past 5 Year Increase in Shares Held by Foreign Corp | | | orations | |
| | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. |
| Size1(Large) | 17.132 | 24.667 | 31.824 | 25.206 | 12.137 | 0.781 | 5.542 | 11.453 | 6.239 | 9.177 |
| Size2 | 8.095 | 14.326 | 20.749 | 15.612 | 10.027 | 0.665 | 4.827 | 10.063 | 5.423 | 8.365 |
| Size3 | 2.620 | 6.522 | 12.624 | 8.997 | 8.577 | -0.036 | 1.959 | 6.067 | 3.166 | 7.369 |
| Size4 | 0.345 | 2.129 | 5.530 | 4.695 | 7.354 | -0.565 | 0.120 | 2.646 | 0.781 | 6.355 |
| Size5 (Small) | 0.061 | 0.668 | 2.776 | 3.233 | 7.207 | -0.833 | 0.000 | 0.478 | -0.567 | 6.991 |
| All Firms | 1.524 | 7.318 | 18.483 | 11.516 | 12.258 | -0.206 | 1.728 | 6.999 | 3.323 | 8.181 |
| | Perce | entage of Share | es Owned by I | ndividual Inves | stors | Past 5 Ye | ear Increase in | Shares Held b | y Individual In | vestors |
| | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. | 25%ile | Median | 75%ile | Mean | S.D. |
| Size1(Large) | 12.780 | 18.952 | 27.723 | 21.342 | 11.427 | -4.195 | -0.426 | 3.245 | -0.821 | 7.984 |
| Size2 | 16.904 | 24.331 | 35.412 | 26.847 | 13.154 | -6.090 | -1.628 | 3.255 | -1.719 | 9.543 |
| Size3 | 24.261 | 35.388 | 47.187 | 36.757 | 15.758 | -7.017 | -1.159 | 3.388 | -1.645 | 10.665 |
| Size4 | 37.456 | 51.918 | 60.958 | 49.860 | 17.665 | -3.800 | 0.785 | 5.559 | 0.458 | 10.666 |
| Size5 (Small) | 45.825 | 64.465 | 79.783 | 61.156 | 21.868 | -4.876 | 0.900 | 6.664 | 0.089 | 13.715 |
| All Firms | 21.437 | 35.410 | 55.088 | 39.241 | 21.970 | -5.289 | -0.469 | 4.108 | -0.804 | 10.433 |

Table 5. Correlation among CSP, Ownership Structure, and Firms' Characteristics Spearman rank correlations and corresponding probability values are shown in the following Panels A and B. Panel A. Correlation between Corporate Social Performance and Stock Ownership Structure

| | Japanese Corporations | Foreign Corporations | Individual Investors | Past 5 Years Increase in Shares Owned by Japanese Corporations | Past 5 Years Increase in Shares Owned by Foreign Corporations | Past 5 Years Increase in Shares Owned by Indivudual Investors |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|---|
| Employee Relations | 0.151 | 0.340 | -0.313 | -0.086 | 0.168 | -0.060 |
| <i>p</i> -value | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 |
| Social Contribution | 0.233 | 0.455 | -0.456 | -0.145 | 0.177 | 0.008 |
| <i>p</i> -value | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.660 |
| Security and Safeness | 0.143 | 0.331 | -0.294 | -0.091 | 0.115 | -0.014 |
| p-value | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.424 |
| Internal Governance | 0.094 | 0.228 | -0.209 | -0.045 | 0.079 | -0.012 |
| p-value | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.000 | 0.493 |
| Environment | 0.282 | 0.501 | -0.495 | -0.184 | 0.209 | 0.017 |
| p-value | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.324 |
| Total CSP | 0.269 | 0.533 | -0.512 | -0.155 | 0.217 | -0.022 |
| p-value | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.199 |

Panel B. Correlation between Corporate Social Performance and Firms' Characteristics InTA: natural logarithm of total asset (in million JPY), ROA: Return of Asset, DR: Debt ratio, GTA: Growth rate in total asset, Turn: Monthly turnover, Vol3Y: Past 3 year volatility of monthly stock returns, BPR: Book-to-price ratio, FDR: Foreign dependency ratio defined as (sales in foreign countries)/(total sales).

| and the second s | lnTA | ROA | DR | GTA | Turn | Vol3Y | BPR | FDR |
|--|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| Employee Relations | 0.431 | 0.046 | 0.017 | 0.001 | 0.237 | -0.092 | -0.183 | 0.103 |
| p-value | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.310 | 0.957 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Social Contribution | 0.601 | 0.036 | 0.033 | 0.043 | 0.308 | -0.147 | -0.240 | 0.068 |
| p-value | 0.000 | 0.029 | 0.043 | 0.009 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Security and Safeness | 0.379 | 0.039 | -0.053 | 0.017 | 0.227 | -0.130 | -0.179 | 0.121 |
| p -value | 0.000 | 0.018 | 0.001 | 0.291 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Internal Governance | 0.255 | 0.026 | -0.018 | 0.012 | 0.192 | -0.022 | -0.152 | -0.017 |
| p-value | 0.000 | 0.116 | 0.264 | 0.460 | 0.000 | 0.186 | 0.000 | 0.310 |
| Environment | 0.673 | 0.025 | 0.032 | 0.013 | 0.345 | -0.165 | -0.226 | 0.131 |
| p-value | 0.000 | 0.125 | 0.052 | 0.422 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Total CSP | 0.675 | 0.051 | 0.004 | 0.018 | 0.374 | -0.159 | -0.278 | 0.115 |
| p-value | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.823 | 0.264 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

Table 6. Effects of Stock Ownership Structure on the CSP

[Dependent Variables] CSP: Total CSP, EMP: Employee relations, SC: Social Contribution, SS: Security of the firm and Safeness of the product, IG: Internal Governance and Risk Management, ENV: Environment preservations.

[Independent Variables] InTA: natural logarithm of total asset (in million JPY), ROA: Return of Asset, DR: Debt ratio, GTA: Growth rate in total asset, Turn: Monthly turnover, Vol3Y: Past 3 year volatility of monthly stock returns, BPR: Book-to-price ratio, FDR: Foreign dependency ratio defined as (sales in foreign countries)/(total sales).

*** Significant at 1% level, ** Significant at 5% level, *Significant at 10% level.

| Panel A. %Shar | es Held by Ja | panese Corpo | orations | | | |
|---|---------------|----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | CSP | EMP | SC | SS | IG | ENV |
| Intercept | 1.429 *** | 0.808 *** | 0.908 *** | 0.140 | 0.413 *** | 0.529 |
| Japanese Corp. | 0.009 *** | 0.002 * | 0.005 *** | 0.003 ** | 0.003 *** | 0.006 *** |
| ROA | 0.000 | 0.004 | -0.002 | -0.002 | -0.006 * | 0.000 *** |
| DR | -0.005 *** | 0.000 | -0.001 ** | -0.002 *** | -0.002 ** | -0.001 *** |
| GTA | -0.005 *** | -0.004 ** | -0.001 | -0.001 | 0.000 | -0.002 *** |
| Turn | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 **** |
| Vol3Y | -0.021 *** | -0.014 *** | -0.011 *** | -0.014 *** | 0.002 | -0.012 *** |
| BPR | -0.001 *** | -0.001 *** | 0.000 ** | 0.000 * | -0.001 ** | 0.000 *** |
| FDR | 0.004 *** | 0.003 ** | 0.000 | 0.002 ** | -0.001 | 0.002 *** |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.476 | 0.197 | 0.397 | 0.164 | 0.067 | 0.445 |
| Panel B. %Shar | es Held by Fo | reign Corpor | | | | |
| | CSP | EMP | SC | SS | IG | ENV |
| Intercept | 1.558 *** | 0.866 *** | 1.009 **** | 0.065 | 0.480 *** | 0.643 |
| Foreign Corp. | 0.015 *** | 0.006 ** | 0.005 ** | 0.009 **** | 0.002 | 0.006 *** |
| ROA | 0.003 | 0.007 ** | -0.001 | -0.002 | -0.005 | 0.001 *** |
| DR | -0.003 ** | 0.000 | -0.001 | -0.001 | -0.002 ** | -0.001 *** |
| GTA | -0.006 **** | -0.004 *** | -0.001 | -0.001 | -0.001 | -0.003 *** |
| Turn | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 *** |
| Vol3Y | -0.024 *** | -0.016 *** | -0.012 *** | -0.015 *** | 0.003 | -0.014 *** |
| BPR | -0.001 *** | -0.001 *** | 0.000 ** | 0.000 * | -0.001 ** | 0.000 *** |
| FDR | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.001 | -0.001 | 0.001 *** |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.458 | 0.190 | 0.375 | 0.159 | 0.060 | 0.435 |
| Panel C. %Shar | es Held by In | dividual Inves | | | | |
| | CSP | EMP | SC | SS | IG | ENV |
| Intercept | 1.985 *** | 1.018 *** | 1.190 *** | 0.241 ** | 0.592 **** | 0.876 |
| Individuals | -0.008 *** | -0.002 ** | -0.005 *** | -0.002 * | -0.003 *** | -0.004 *** |
| ROA | 0.003 | 0.008 ** | -0.001 | -0.001 | -0.004 | 0.001 *** |
| DR | -0.004 *** | 0.000 | -0.001 | -0.003 **** | -0.002 ** | -0.001 **** |
| GTA | -0.009 **** | -0.007 *** | -0.002 ** | -0.002 * | -0.002 | -0.004 *** |
| Turn | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 * | 0.000 | 0.000 *** |
| Vol3Y | -0.020 *** | -0.018 *** | -0.011 *** | -0.012 *** | 0.005 | -0.013 *** |
| BPR | -0.001 *** | -0.001 **** | 0.000 ** | 0.000 | 0.000 ** | 0.000 *** |
| FDR | 0.003 ** | 0.003 ** | 0.000 | 0.002 ** | -0.001 | 0.002 *** |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.452 | 0.196 | 0.374 | 0.157 | 0.067 | 0.424 |

Table 7. Effects of Change in Stock Ownership on the CSP

[Dependent Variables] CSP: Total CSP, EMP: Employee relations, SC: Social Contribution, SS: Security of the firm and Safeness of the product, IG: Internal Governance and Risk Management, ENV: Environment preservations.

[Independent Variables] InTA: natural logarithm of total asset (in million JPY), ROA: Return of Asset, DR: Debt ratio, GTA: Growth rate in total asset, Turn: Monthly turnover, Vol3Y: Past 3 year volatility of monthly stock returns, BPR: Book-to-price ratio, FDR: Foreign dependency ratio defined as (sales in foreign countries)/(total sales).

*** Significant at 1% level, ** Significant at 5% level, *Significant at 10% level.

| Panel A. Past 5 Years Increase in Shares Held by Japanese Corporations | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | CSP | EMP | SC | SS | IG | ENV | | | | |
| Intercept | 1.358 *** | 0.557 *** | 0.527 *** | 0.602 **** | 0.287 *** | 0.434 | | | | |
| ⊿JPN | -0.021 *** | -0.007 ** | -0.015 *** | -0.005 ** | -0.003 | -0.016 *** | | | | |
| ROA | 0.004 | 0.009 * | 0.000 | -0.003 | 0.000 | 0.000 *** | | | | |
| DR | -0.001 | 0.002 ** | 0.001 | -0.003 *** | -0.001 | 0.002 *** | | | | |
| GTA | -0.004 | -0.006 ** | 0.001 | -0.002 | -0.003 | 0.000 *** | | | | |
| Turn | 0.005 *** | 0.002 *** | 0.002 *** | 0.002 *** | 0.000 | 0.003 *** | | | | |
| Vol3Y | -0.053 *** | -0.032 *** | -0.028 *** | -0.023 *** | -0.002 | -0.032 *** | | | | |
| BPR | -0.005 **** | -0.002 *** | -0.003 **** | -0.001 *** | -0.001 *** | -0.002 *** | | | | |
| FDR | 0.010 *** | 0.005 *** | 0.004 *** | 0.006 *** | 0.000 | 0.005 *** | | | | |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.146 | 0.069 | 0.108 | 0.045 | 0.011 | 0.133 | | | | |
| Panel B. Past 5 | Years Increas | se in Shares 1 | Held by Forei | | | | | | | |
| | CSP | EMP | SC | SS | IG | ENV | | | | |
| Intercept | 1.236 **** | 0.555 *** | 0.483 *** | 0.546 *** | 0.243 * | 0.398 | | | | |
| ⊿FOR | 0.034 **** | 0.018 *** | 0.015 *** | 0.006 * | 0.006 * | 0.018 ** | | | | |
| ROA | 0.001 | 0.005 | -0.001 | -0.005 | -0.002 | -0.001 *** | | | | |
| DR | 0.000 | 0.002 ** | 0.001 * | -0.003 *** | -0.001 | 0.002 *** | | | | |
| GTA | -0.004 | -0.005 ** | 0.002 | 0.000 | -0.002 | -0.001 *** | | | | |
| Turn | 0.004 **** | 0.002 *** | 0.002 **** | 0.001 ** | 0.000 | 0.002 *** | | | | |
| Vol3Y | -0.055 *** | -0.033 *** | -0.027 *** | -0.023 **** | 0.001 | -0.034 *** | | | | |
| BPR | -0.005 **** | -0.002 *** | -0.002 *** | -0.001 *** | -0.001 *** | -0.002 *** | | | | |
| FDR | 0.010 **** | 0.006 *** | 0.004 *** | 0.006 **** | -0.001 | 0.005 *** | | | | |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.150 | 0.079 | 0.099 | 0.047 | 0.011 | 0.127 | | | | |
| Panel C. Past 5 | | se in Shares | Held by Indiv | idual Investor | S | | | | | |
| | CSP | EMP | SC | SS | IG | ENV | | | | |
| Intercept | 1.425 *** | 0.610 *** | 0.590 *** | 0.583 *** | 0.290 *** | 0.512 | | | | |
| ⊿IND | -0.001 | -0.007 ** | 0.006 *** | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.004 **** | | | | |
| ROA | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.001 | -0.003 | 0.000 | 0.001 **** | | | | |
| DR | -0.001 | 0.003 ** | 0.001 | -0.003 *** | -0.001 * | 0.002 *** | | | | |
| GTA | -0.004 | -0.005 ** | 0.002 | -0.001 | -0.002 | -0.001 *** | | | | |
| Turn | 0.005 *** | 0.003 *** | 0.002 *** | 0.002 *** | 0.000 | 0.003 **** | | | | |
| Vol3Y | -0.056 *** | -0.033 *** | -0.029 *** | -0.023 **** | -0.002 | -0.034 **** | | | | |
| BPR | -0.005 *** | -0.002 *** | -0.003 *** | -0.001 *** | -0.001 *** | -0.002 **** | | | | |
| FDR | 0.011 *** | 0.006 **** | 0.004 *** | 0.007 **** | 0.000 | 0.006 **** | | | | |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.146 | 0.072 | 0.101 | 0.050 | 0.013 | 0.123 | | | | |

Table 8. Industry-wise results of Regression Analysis

Panel A. Consumption Goods Sector

| | CSP | EMP | SC | SS | IG | ENV |
|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| Intercept | 1.294 *** | 0.186 | 0.632 *** | 0.858 *** | 0.255 ** | 0.569 *** |
| Δ JPN | -0.013 ** | -0.006 | -0.008 ** | -0.004 | -0.002 | -0.011 *** |
| ROA | 0.000 | 0.008 | -0.007 | 0.000 | -0.002 | -0.006 |
| DR | -0.003 | 0.003 | -0.001 | -0.003 ** | 0.001 | -0.003 * |
| GTA | 0.000 | -0.006 | 0.004 | 0.001 | -0.003 | 0.002 |
| Turn | 0.009 *** | 0.004 *** | 0.004 *** | 0.002 ** | 0.002 ** | 0.005 *** |
| Vol3Y | -0.040 ** | -0.013 | -0.022 *** | -0.011 | -0.023 ** | -0.026 *** |
| BPR | -0.007 *** | -0.003 *** | -0.004 *** | -0.003 *** | -0.001 *** | -0.003 *** |
| FDR | 0.007 ** | 0.006 *** | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.003 * |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.181 | 0.071 | 0.131 | 0.108 | 0.018 | 0.139 |
| Past 5 Years I | ncrease in Sha | ares Held by | Foreign Instit | utions and Fir | ms' CSP | |
| | CSP | EMP | SC | SS | IG | ENV |
| Intercept | 1.306 *** | 0.179 | 0.643 *** | 0.864 *** | 0.270 ** | 0.590 ** |
| ⊿FOR | 0.014 * | 0.011 * | 0.006 | 0.003 | -0.002 | 0.008 ** |
| ROA | -0.003 | 0.005 | -0.009 | 0.000 | -0.001 | -0.008 |
| DR | -0.003 | 0.003 | -0.001 | -0.004 ** | 0.001 | -0.003 * |
| GTA | -0.002 | -0.007 | 0.003 | 0.001 | -0.003 | 0.000 |
| Turn | 0.009 **** | 0.004 ** | 0.004 **** | 0.002 ** | 0.002 ** | 0.005 ** |
| Vol3Y | -0.041 ** | -0.012 | -0.023 ** | -0.012 | -0.025 *** | -0.028 ** |
| BPR | -0.007 *** | -0.003 *** | -0.004 *** | -0.003 *** | -0.001 ** | -0.002 ** |
| FDR | 0.007 ** | 0.006 ** | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.003 * |
| Adjusted R^2 | 0.180 | 0.074 | 0.129 | 0.107 | 0.017 | 0.131 |
| Past 5 Years I | Increase in Sha | ares Held by | Individual Inv | estors and Fi | rms' CSP | |
| | CSP | EMP | SC | SS | IG | ENV |
| Intercept | 1.341 *** | 0.211 | 0.657 *** | 0.872 *** | 0.261 ** | 0.608 ** |
| ⊿IND | 0.003 | -0.003 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| ROA | 0.002 | 0.008 | -0.006 | 0.001 | -0.001 | -0.005 |
| DR | -0.003 | 0.003 | -0.001 | -0.003 ** | 0.001 | -0.003 * |
| GTA | -0.002 | -0.008 | 0.003 | 0.001 | -0.002 | 0.000 |
| Turn | 0.009 *** | 0.004 *** | 0.004 *** | 0.003 ** | 0.002 **** | 0.005 ** |
| Vol3Y | -0.045 *** | -0.016 | -0.024 *** | -0.013 | -0.024 *** | -0.030 ** |
| BPR | -0.007 *** | -0.003 *** | -0.004 *** | -0.003 *** | -0.001 ** | -0.002 ** |
| FDR | 0.008 ** | 0.007 *** | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.004 ** |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.176 | 0.069 | 0.128 | 0.106 | 0.019 | 0.128 |

Panel B. Investment Goods Sector

| Past 5 Years | Increase in Sh | ares Held by | Domestic Ins | stitutions and I | Firms' CSP | |
|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|------------|------------|
| | CSP | EMP | SC | SS | IG | ENV |
| Intercept | 1.585 *** | 1.004 *** | 0.602 *** | 0.601 *** | 0.265 *** | 0.549 *** |
| ⊿ JPN | -0.017 *** | -0.002 | -0.012 *** | -0.006 * | 0.001 | -0.014 *** |
| ROA | -0.016 | 0.002 | -0.012 * | -0.007 | -0.011 | 0.003 |
| DR | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.002 | 0.004 *** |
| GTA | -0.007 | -0.003 | 0.000 | -0.004 | -0.002 | -0.004 * |
| Turn | 0.003 ** | 0.003 *** | 0.001 | 0.001 *** | 0.001 * | 0.001 * |
| Vol3Y | -0.053 *** | -0.041 *** | -0.023 *** | -0.028 *** | -0.001 | -0.027 *** |
| BPR | -0.008 *** | -0.004 *** | -0.003 *** | -0.002 *** | -0.002 *** | -0.003 *** |
| FDR | 0.006 ** | 0.001 | 0.004 *** | 0.003 ** | 0.001 | 0.003 ** |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.200 | 0.121 | 0.124 | 0.051 | 0.024 | 0.170 |
| Past 5 Years | Increase in Sh | ares Held by | Foreign Instit | cutions and Fir | ms' CSP | |
| evonovoni. | CSP | EMP | SC | SS | IG | ENV |
| Intercept | 1.540 *** | 0.922 *** | 0.591 *** | 0.644 *** | 0.265 ** | 0.551 *** |
| ⊿FOR | 0.016 *** | 0.015 *** | 0.008 ** | -0.005 | 0.000 | 0.006 * |
| ROA | -0.021 | -0.003 | -0.015 ** | -0.005 | -0.011 | 0.000 |
| DR | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.001 | -0.002 | 0.005 *** |
| GTA | -0.009 * | -0.004 | -0.001 | -0.005 * | -0.002 | -0.006 ** |
| Turn | 0.004 *** | 0.002 ** | 0.001 | 0.002 *** | 0.001 * | 0.001 * |
| Vol3Y | -0.053 *** | -0.039 *** | -0.024 *** | -0.030 *** | -0.001 | -0.029 *** |
| BPR | -0.008 *** | -0.004 *** | -0.003 *** | -0.002 *** | -0.002 *** | -0.003 *** |
| FDR | 0.006 ** | 0.001 | 0.005 *** | 0.003 ** | 0.001 | 0.004 ** |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.197 | 0.128 | 0.116 | 0.050 | 0.024 | 0.156 |
| Past 5 Years | Increase in Sh | ares Held by | Individual Inv | estors and Fi | rms' CSP | |
| 400 | CSP | EMP | SC | SS | · IG | ENV |
| Intercept | 1.645 *** | 0.978 *** | 0.652 *** | 0.646 *** | 0.259 ** | 0.613 *** |
| ⊿IND | 0.003 | -0.009 *** | 0.004 | 0.008 *** | -0.001 | 0.007 ** |
| ROA | -0.015 | -0.002 | -0.011 | -0.004 | -0.011 * | 0.005 |
| DR | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.002 | 0.004 *** |
| GTA | -0.008 * | -0.005 | -0.001 | -0.004 | -0.003 | -0.005 ** |
| Turn | 0.004 ** | 0.003 ** | 0.001 | 0.002 *** | 0.001 * | 0.002 * |
| Vol3Y | -0.057 *** | -0.041 *** | -0.026 *** | -0.030 **** | -0.001 | -0.031 *** |
| BPR | -0.008 *** | -0.004 *** | -0.003 *** | -0.002 *** | -0.002 *** | -0.003 *** |
| FDR | 0.007 ** | 0.001 | 0.005 *** | 0.003 ** | 0.001 | 0.004 ** |
| Adjusted R^2 | 0.193 | 0.125 | 0.114 | 0.055 | 0.024 | 0.159 |

Panel C. Service Sector

| | CSP | EMP | SC | · SS | IG | ENV |
|-------------------------|--------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Intoront | 0.755 **** | 0.311 ** | 0.153 | 0.297 ** | 0.270 ** | 0.322 *** |
| Intercept | | -0.011 *** | -0.011 **** | -0.001 | -0.004 | -0.010 *** |
| △ JPN | -0.019 *** | 0.014 *** | 0.008 | -0.001 | 0.000 | 0.009 ** |
| ROA | 0.019 ** | | 0.008 | -0.005 *** | -0.002 | 0.009 |
| DR | -0.001 | 0.002 -0.009 *** | | | -0.002 | -0.003 |
| GTA T | -0.010 ** 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.002 0.000 | -0.001 0.000 | -0.000 | 0.003 |
| Turn | | ////////////////////////////////////// | | -0.021 *** | | -0.038 *** |
| Vol3Y | -0.057 *** | -0.040 *** | -0.028 *** | -0.021 | -0.001 *** | -0.038 |
| BPR | -0.003 *** | -0.001 | manana ananana ananananananananan | umanamanamanamani jeaneeneetja | | 0.001 |
| FDR 2 | 0.006 | 0.009 | -0.005 | -0.009 | -0.001 | |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.083 | 0.057 | 0.069 | 0.019 | 0.023 | 0.093 |
| Past 5 Years I | | | | | | |
| | CSP | EMP | SC | SS | IG | ENV |
| Intercept | 0.686 *** | 0.273 ** | 0.122 | 0.290 ** | 0.258 ** | 0.293 |
| ⊿ FOR | 0.035 **** | 0.019 *** | 0.017 *** | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.016 ** |
| ROA | 0.018 ** | 0.014 *** | 0.008 * | -0.005 | 0.000 | 0.008 ** |
| DR | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.005 *** | -0.005 *** | -0.002 | 0.002 |
| GTA | -0.011 ** | -0.010 | -0.002 | -0.001 | -0.006 * | -0.004 |
| Turn | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.002 ** | 0.001 * |
| Vol3Y | -0.060 *** | -0.041 *** | -0.031 *** | -0.021 *** | 0.011 | -0.040 ** |
| BPR | -0.002 *** | -0.001 | -0.001 *** | -0.001 | -0.001 *** | -0.001 ** |
| FDR | 0.002 | 0.007 | -0.006 | -0.009 | -0.002 | 0.007 |
| Adjusted R^2 | 0.091 | 0.060 | 0.068 | 0.019 | 0.023 | 0.093 |
| Past 5 Years 1 | Increase in Sh | ares Held by | Individual Inv | estors and Fi | rms' CSP | |
| | CSP | EMP | SC | SS | IG | ENV |
| Intercept | 0.787 *** | 0.329 ** | 0.175 | 0.295 ** | 0.278 ** | 0.343 ** |
| ⊿IND | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.004 * | -0.002 | 0.002 | 0.004 * |
| ROA | 0.020 ** | 0.015 **** | 0.009 ** | -0.005 | 0.000 | 0.009 ** |
| DR | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.005 *** | -0.005 *** | -0.002 | 0.002 * |
| GTA | -0.011 *** | -0.010 **** | -0.002 | -0.001 | -0.006 * | -0.004 |
| Turn | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.000 | -0.002 ** | 0.001 |
| Vol3Y | -0.064 *** | -0.043 *** | -0.032 *** | -0.022 *** | 0.010 * | -0.041 ** |
| BPR | -0.003 **** | -0.001 | -0.001 *** | -0.001 | -0.001 *** | -0.001 |
| FDR | 0.008 | 0.010 | -0.004 | -0.009 | -0.001 | 0.009 ** |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.068 | 0.047 | 0.055 | 0.019 | 0.021 | 0.080 |

Table A1. Definition of Sector Classification

Based on 33 industries classification by Tokyo Stock Exchange, we re-define seven sectors below following Kubota and Takehara (2007).

| Sector | Industry | Sector | Industry | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Consumption Goods | Fishery and Agriculture | | Communication | |
| | Foods | Services | Wholesale Trade | |
| | Textiles and Apparels | Services | Retail Trade | |
| | Pharmaceutical | | Services | |
| | Electric Appliances | | | |
| | Other Products | | Banks | |
| Investment Goods | Mining | Financial | Securities | |
| | Construction | 1 manetat | Insurance | |
| | Pulp and Paper | | Other Financing Business | |
| | Chemicals | | | |
| | Oil and Coal Products | | Land Transportation | |
| | Rubber Products | Transportation | Marine Transportation | |
| | Glass and Ceramics Products | | Air Transportation | |
| | Iron and Steel | | TO STATE OF THE ST | |
| | Nonferrous Metals | Utility | Electric Power and Gas | |
| | Metal Products | | The second secon | |
| | Machinery | Real Estate | Warehousing | |
| | Transportation Equipment | Real Estate | Real Estate | |
| | Precision Instruments | | | |

Family Businesses and Corporate Social Performance: An Empirical Study of Public Firms in Japan[†]

Michikazu Aoi, Meiji University Shigeru Asaba, Gakushuin University Keiichi Kubota, Chuo University Hitoshi Takehara, Waseda University

Abstract

We investigate the level of corporate social performance of publicly traded family firms versus non-family firms in Japan. Our data for family firms covers three years of observations up to March 2009, and the total firm-years for family firms are 556 and those for non-family firms are 1155. Corporate social performance indices for the Japanese firms are from Suto and Takehara (2012) in which they construct five indices from the original CSP data published by the Toyo Keizai Co. utilizing principal component analysis. With univariate analysis we find that the level of corporate social performance attained by family firms in Japan is lower than that of non-family firms, while their ROE performance is not significantly different from non-family firms and their leverage ratio is lower. From cross section regressions we find that the listed family firms in Japan are inferior to non-family firms in two categories of employment relations and environment preservation even after controlling for the size and the book-to-market ratios. Moreover, as to other three categories of CSP, we did not find any positive finding, either. Finally, we add some additional assessment of family firms applying different criteria and augment the result of our quantitative analysis. This is the first study which used family firm data in Japan and assessed the level of their corporate social performance.

JEL Classifications: G32, M14, C21

Keywords: family firms, CSR, employee relations, ROE, leverage

†Hitoshi Takehara acknowledges financial support from the Health Labour Sciences Research Grant (Research on Policy Planning and Evaluation). Keiichi Kubota and Hitoshi Takehara acknowledge financial support from the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research ((A) 21243029) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

1. Introduction

In the past family business research focused on ownership structure, productive efficiency, and the efficacy of the second and later generation CEOs of founding families. The empirical evidence is abundant for U.S. firms as well as European and East Asian countries. Claessens et al. (2000), for example, investigated the ownership and control structure of East Asian countries, and La Porta et al. (1999) conducted similar tests for 27 developed nations in the world. The evidence for efficiency shown and value enhancement by family controlled businesses is found for U.S. firms, for example, by Waddock and Graves (1997), McConaughty et al. (1998), Anderson and Reeb (2003), and Villalonga and Amit (2006). For Japanese data both Saito (2008) and Allouche et al. (2008) report similar evidence of superior efficiency by family firms in Japan. Masulis et al. (2011) investigate the cost and benefits of the pyramid structure of 45 countries, including Japan, and find that group firms underperform counterpart non-group firms though the pyramid structure helps internal financing of the affiliate firms inside the group. Gomez-Mejia and Nunez-Nickel (2001) investigate the efficacy of the CEO and the editor for a sample of Spanish newspaper companies coming either from family and or non-family firms, and find that the tenure of descendent CEOs is tied to firm performance and risk-taking with the framework of agency theory and demonstrate that family descendent CEOs are not necessarily less efficient.

As for the investigation into information quality of accounting numbers, Ali et al. (2007) finds that U.S. family firms show better quality in financial disclosure, are followed by more analysts, and trade their stocks with smaller bid-ask spreads. Wang (2006) also finds that earnings quality is better for family firms than non-family firms. As for Japanese data, Ebihara et al. (2012a) find that the quality of earnings is higher for

family firms in terms of abnormal accruals and earnings persistence. However, Stockmans et al. (2010) find that family firms conduct more upward earnings management among Flemish firms.

As to cost of capital and asymmetrical information of stocks traded among family firms, Ebihara et al. (2012b) find that family firms in Japan have a lower cost of debt, but is inconclusive for the cost of equity, and the informational asymmetry and illiquidity of stocks are higher for family than non-family firms.

The social role played by family businesses is another important concern for research in the current age of corporate social responsibility and sustainability (see Tricker, 2009, ch. 15). In this paper we take this perspective and investigate family firms by focusing on their corporate social performance. We measure this with the constructed indices by Suto and Takehara (2012) on corporate social performance of Japanese firms based Toyo Kieizai Inc. data. Using these indices we compare the performance in fulfilling corporate social responsibility between family and non-family firms in Japan. The sample we use is from firms listed on the Tokyo Stock Exchange and we do not cover private firms.

Section 2 motivates the current study and Section 3 explains five attributes of corporate social performance used in this study. Section 4 establishes our maintained hypotheses. Section 5 explains our data. Section 6 reports basic observations and compares the characteristics of family firms vs. non-family firms in Japan with univariate analyses. Section 7 reports the multivariate cross section regression results and identifies the source of differences in fulfilling corporate social responsibility by family firms and non-family firms. In Section 8 we conduct further assessment of CSR

activities of family firms in Japan assessed from different angles to complement the evidence from the empirical results. Section 9 concludes.

2. Research Objectives

2.1 Characteristic of Japanese Firms and Related Studies

Claessens et al. (2000) is the most cited article in family business research for Asian countries, which investigated the ownership structure among East Asian countries including Japan. They cover 1240 listed firms in Japan (*ibid.* p.104) and point out that 13.1% of firms are controlled by families with a 10% shareholding cutoff level for founding families, and that only 9.7% of firms are controlled by families with a 20% cutoff level. Their study is also important in the sense that it illuminates the differences of Japan and Korea vs. other countries in East Asia. In Korea there is the Chaebol relationship and in Japan there used to be the Zaibatsu relationship, both of which form a "Konzern" of firms based on family relationships. In Japan, however, Zaibatsu was forcefully resolved after the World War II and founding families had to liquidate large portions of their family stocks. Afterwards, these Zaibatsu groups formed cross-shareholdings among firms like Mitsubishi, Mitsui and Sumitomo. In Korea, massive mergers are occurring recently among Chaebol firms, and separate studies of these consolidation effects are called for.¹

The database by Claessens et al. (2000) for Japan is based on the data from 1996, and it needs to be updated for the following reasons. First, big changes in ownership

¹ L&G is one example of such a consolidation between two different Chaebol groups. See Almeida et al. (2010) for the most recent analysis on Chaebol groups in Korea. Oh et al. (2011) also report the relationship between major shareholder groups and firm CSR activities. They also emphasize the role of cross-shareholdings like in Japan. See Yafeh (2000) for recent changes in corporate governance in Japan after the degree of cross-shareholdings had begun to decrease.