

*Remark.* S. Kôno also obtains a similar result in the case of complex  $C_{p^m q^n}$ -representations.

For details of the proof, see [34] and [35]. The idea is to decompose  $(V, W)$  into primitive pairs  $(V_i, W_i)$ .

**Definition.** A pair of representations  $(V, W)$  is called *primitive* if  $V$  and  $W$  cannot be decomposed into  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ ,  $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$  such that  $(V_i, W_i)$ ,  $V_i \neq 0$ ,  $W_i \neq 0$ , satisfies  $(C_{V_i, W_i})$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

Then, by constructing a  $G$ -isovariant map  $f_i : V_i \rightarrow W_i$ , we have a  $G$ -isovariant map  $f = \oplus_i f_i : V \rightarrow W$ .

**Example 4.5.** The following are examples of primitive pairs of  $C_n$ -representations, and there exist isovariant maps between the representations. Suppose that  $p, q, r$  are pairwise coprime integers greater than 1.

- (1)  $(U_k, U_l)$  when  $(k, n) = (l, n) = 1$ .
- (2)  $(U_1, U_p \oplus U_q)$  when  $pq$  divides  $n$ .
- (3)  $(U_p \oplus U_q, U_{p^2} \oplus U_{pq})$  when  $p^2q$  divides  $n$ .
- (4)  $(U_p \oplus U_q \oplus U_r, U_1 \oplus U_{pq} \oplus U_{qr} \oplus U_{pr})$  when  $pqr$  divides  $n$ .

In the cases (1)-(3), one can define a  $C_n$ -isovariant map concretely; however, in case (4), equivariant obstruction theory is used. We illustrate it in Section 5.

On the other hand, there exists a group not having the complete IB-property.

**Theorem 4.6** ([35]). *Let  $D_n$  be the dihedral group of order  $2n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ). Every  $D_n$  ( $n \neq 3, 4, 6$ ) does not have the complete IB-property.*

The dihedral group  $D_n$  has the following presentation:

$$D_n = \langle a, b \mid a^n = b^2 = 1, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle.$$

One has the normal cyclic subgroup  $C_m = \langle a^{n/m} \rangle$  of  $D_n$  for every divisor  $m$  of  $n$ , and there are  $n/m$  dihedral subgroups  $\langle a^{n/m}, b \rangle, \langle a^{n/m}, b^2 \rangle, \dots, \langle a^{n/m}, a^{n/m-1}b \rangle$  containing  $C_m$  as a subgroup of index 2. If  $n/m$  is odd, then these are all conjugate in  $D_n$ . As

a representative of their conjugacy class, we take  $D_m = \langle a^{n/m}, b \rangle$ . If  $n/m$  is even, then there are two conjugacy classes. As representatives, we take  $D_m = \langle a^{n/m}, b \rangle$  and  $D'_m = \langle a^{n/m}, ab \rangle$ .

Let  $T_k = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $1 \leq k < n/2$ , be the  $D_n$ -representation on which  $D_n$  acts by  $a \cdot z = \xi^k z$ ,  $b \cdot z = \bar{z}$ ,  $z \in S_k$ , where  $\xi = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/n)$ . These  $T_k$  are all (nonisomorphic) 2-dimensional irreducible representations over  $\mathbb{R}$  [45]. It follows that  $\text{Ker } T_k = C_{(k,n)}$  and

$$\text{Iso } T_k = \{D_n, \langle a^{n/(k,n)}, a^t b \rangle, \langle a^{n/(k,n)} \rangle \mid 0 \leq t \leq n-1\}.$$

Note also that

$$\dim T_k^H = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } H \leq C_{(k,n)} \\ 1 & \text{if } H \text{ is conjugate to } D_{(k,n)} \text{ or } D'_{(k,n)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof of Theorem 4.6.* Let  $k$  be an integer prime to  $n$  with  $1 < k < n/2$ . Consider a pair  $(T_1, T_k)$  of representations of  $D_n$ . It is easily seen that  $(T_1, T_k)$  satisfies conditions  $(C_{T_1, T_k})$  and  $(I_{T_1, T_k})$ . We show that there is no  $D_n$ -isovariant map from  $T_1$  to  $T_k$ . Suppose that there is a  $D_n$ -isovariant map from  $T_1$  to  $T_k$  for some  $k$ ; then, by normalization, one has a  $D_n$ -isovariant map  $f : ST_1 \rightarrow ST_k$ . Note that  $ST_1^{>1} = ST_k^{>1} = \{\exp(\pi t\sqrt{-1}/n) \mid 0 \leq t \leq n-1\}$ . Take  $x = 1$  and  $y = \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}/n)$ , then the isotropy subgroup at  $x$  in  $ST_1$  is  $\langle b \rangle$ , and also the isotropy subgroup at  $y$  in  $ST_1$  is  $\langle ab \rangle$ . Since  $ST_k^{(b)} = \{\pm 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ , it follows that  $f(1) = \pm 1$ . Composing, if necessary, the antipodal map  $z \mapsto -z$  on  $ST_k$  with  $f$ , we may assume  $f(1) = 1$ . Let  $A$  be the shorter arc joining  $x$  with  $y$  in  $ST_1$ . Since every point of the interior of  $A$  has trivial isotropy subgroup, it follows that  $f(A \setminus \{x, y\})$  is contained in  $ST_k \setminus ST_k^{>1}$ ; hence  $f(y)$  must be  $y$  or  $\bar{y}$ . However the isotropy subgroup at  $y$  (resp.  $\bar{y}$ ) in  $ST_k$  is equal to  $\langle a^r b \rangle$  (resp.  $\langle a^{-r} b \rangle$ ), where  $r$  is a positive integer with  $kr \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , but it is not equal to  $\langle ab \rangle$ , since  $k \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ . This contradicts the isovariance of  $f$ . Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

## 5 The existence of isovariant maps from a rational homology sphere with pseudofree $S^1$ -action to a linear $S^1$ -sphere

Let  $G = S^1$  ( $\subset \mathbb{C}$ ). Let  $T_i$  ( $= \mathbb{C}$ ) be the irreducible representation of  $S^1$  defined by  $g \cdot z = g^k z$ . Let  $M$  be a rational homology sphere with *pseudofree*  $S^1$ -action.

**Definition** (Montgomery-Yang [28]). An  $S^1$ -action on  $M$  is *pseudofree* if

- (1) the action is effective, and
- (2) the singular set  $M^{>1} := \bigcup_{1 \neq H \leq S^1} M^H$  is not empty and consists of finitely many exceptional orbits.

Here an orbit  $G(x)$  is called exceptional if  $G(x) \cong S^1/D$ , ( $1 \neq D < S^1$ ) [6].

*Remark.* Other meanings for the term “pseudofree action” appear in the literature.

**Example 5.1.** Let  $V = T_p \oplus T_q \oplus T_r$ . Then the  $S^1$ -action on  $SV$  is pseudofree. Indeed it is clearly effective, and

$$\begin{aligned} SV^{>1} &= ST_p \amalg ST_q \amalg ST_r \\ &\cong S^1/C_p \amalg S^1/C_q \amalg S^1/C_r. \end{aligned}$$

*Remark.* There are many “exotic” pseudofree  $S^1$ -actions on high-dimensional homotopy spheres [28], [42].

Then the following isovariant Borsuk-Ulam type result can be verified.

**Theorem 5.2** ([33]). *Let  $M$  be a rational homology sphere with pseudofree  $S^1$ -action and  $SW$  a linear  $S^1$ -sphere. There is an  $S^1$ -isovariant map  $f : M \rightarrow SW$  if and only if*

$$(I): \text{Iso } M \subset \text{Iso } SW,$$

(PF1):  $\dim M - 1 \leq \dim SW - \dim SW^H$  when  $H$  is a nontrivial subgroup which is contained in some  $D \in \text{Iso } M$ ,

(PF2):  $\dim M + 1 \leq \dim SW - \dim SW^H$  when  $H$  is a nontrivial subgroup which is not contained in any  $D \in \text{Iso } M$ .

We give some examples. Let  $p, q, r$  be pairwise coprime integers greater than 1.

**Example 5.3.** There is no  $S^1$ -isovariant map

$$f : S(T_p \oplus T_q \oplus T_r) \rightarrow S(T_{pq} \oplus T_{qr} \oplus T_{rp}).$$

*Proof.* Condition (PF1) is not fulfilled. □

*Remark.* There is an  $S^1$ -equivariant map

$$f : S(T_p \oplus T_q \oplus T_r) \rightarrow S(T_{pq} \oplus T_{qr} \oplus T_{rp}).$$

**Example 5.4.** There is an  $S^1$ -isovariant map

$$f : S(T_p \oplus T_q \oplus T_r) \rightarrow S(T_1 \oplus T_{pq} \oplus T_{qr} \oplus T_{rp}).$$

*Proof.* One can see that  $\text{Iso } M = \{1, C_p, C_q, C_r\}$  and

$$\text{Iso } SW = \{1, C_p, C_q, C_r, C_{pq}, C_{qr}, C_{rp}\}.$$

Hence it is easily seen that (PF1) and (PF2) are fulfilled and  $\text{Iso } M \subset \text{Iso } SW$ . By the theorem above, there is an  $S^1$ -isovariant map. □

From this, we obtain an isovariant map in the case of Example 4.5(4).

**Corollary 5.5.** *There is an  $C_{pqr}$ -isovariant map*

$$f : S(U_p \oplus U_q \oplus U_r) \rightarrow S(U_1 \oplus U_{pq} \oplus U_{qr} \oplus U_{rp}).$$

*Proof.* By restricting  $f$  in Example 5.4 to the  $C_{pqr}$ -action, one has the desired map. □

## 5.1 Proof of Theorem 5.2 (outline)

We shall give an outline of the proof of Theorem 5.2. Full details can be found in [33]. Set  $SW_{\text{free}} := SW \setminus SW^{>1}$ . Note that  $S^1$  acts freely on  $SW_{\text{free}}$ . Let  $N_i$  be an  $S^1$ -tubular neighborhood of each exceptional orbit in  $M$ . By the slice theorem,  $N_i$  is identified with  $S^1 \times_{D_i} DU_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ), where  $D_i$  is the isotropy group of the exceptional orbit and  $U_i$  is the slice  $D_i$ -representation. Set  $X := M \setminus (\coprod_i \text{int } N_i)$ . Note that  $S^1$  acts freely on  $X$ .

The “only if” part is proved by the (isovariant) Borsuk-Ulam theorem. Indeed for (PF1), take a point  $x \in M$  with  $G_x = D$  and a  $D$ -invariant closed neighborhood  $B$  of  $x$  which is  $D$ -diffeomorphic to some unit disk  $DV$ . Hence we obtain an  $H$ -isovariant map  $f|_{SV} : SV \rightarrow SW$  by restriction. Applying the isovariant Borsuk-Ulam theorem to  $f$ , we obtain (PF1).

We next show (PF2). Since  $f$  is isovariant, one sees that  $f$  maps  $M$  into  $SW \setminus SW^H$ . Since  $SW \setminus SW^H$  is  $S^1$ -homotopy equivalent to  $S(W^{H^\perp})$ , one obtains an  $S^1$ -map  $g : M \rightarrow S(W^{H^\perp})$ . By Corollary 2.3, condition (PF2) follows.

To show the converse, we use the equivariant obstruction theory. We recall the following result.

**Lemma 5.6.** *There is an  $S^1$ -isovariant map  $\tilde{f}_i : N_i \rightarrow SW$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $N_i = N \cong_G S^1 \times_D DV \subset M$ , where  $D$  is the isotropy group of the exceptional orbit and  $V$  is the slice representation. Similarly take a closed  $S^1$ -tubular neighborhood  $N'$  of an exceptional orbit with isotropy group  $D$ , and set  $N' \cong_G S^1 \times_D DV' \subset SW$ . By (PF1), one sees that  $\dim SV + 1 \leq \dim SV' - \dim SV'^{>1}$ . Since  $D$  acts freely on  $SV$ , there is a  $D$ -map  $g : SV \rightarrow SV' \setminus SV'^{>1} \subset SW$  by Corollary 2.8, which leads to a  $D$ -isovariant map  $g : SV \rightarrow SW$ . Taking a cone, we have a  $D$ -isovariant map  $\tilde{g} : DV \rightarrow DV'$ , and hence an  $S^1$ -isovariant map  $\tilde{f} = S^1 \times_D \tilde{g} : N \rightarrow N' \subset SW$ .  $\square$

Set  $f_i := \tilde{f}_i|_{\partial N_i} : \partial N_i \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$ , and  $f := \coprod_i f_i : \partial X \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$ . If  $f$  is extended to an  $S^1$ -map  $F : X \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$ , by gluing the maps, we obtain an  $S^1$ -isovariant map

$$F \cup \left( \coprod_i \tilde{f}_i \right) : M \rightarrow SW.$$

Thus we need to investigate the extendability of an  $S^1$ -map  $f : \partial X \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$  to  $F : X \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$ . Equivariant obstruction theory [10] answers this question. A standard computation shows

**Lemma 5.7** ([33], [38]). *Set  $d = \dim SW - \dim SW^{>1}$ .*

- (1)  $SW_{\text{free}}$  is  $(d - 2)$ -connected and  $(d - 1)$ -simple.
- (2)  $\pi_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}}) \cong H_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}}) \cong \bigoplus_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Z}$ , where

$$\mathcal{A} := \{H \in \text{Iso } SW \mid \dim SW^H = \dim SW^{>1}\}$$

and the generators are represented by  $S(W^{H^\perp})$ ,  $H \in \mathcal{A}$ .

By noticing that  $\dim M - 1 \leq d$  by (PF1) and (PF2), the obstruction  $\mathfrak{o}_{S^1}(f)$  to the existence of an  $S^1$ -map  $F : X \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$  lies in the equivariant cohomology group

$$\mathfrak{H}_{S^1}^d(X, \partial X; \pi_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}})) \cong H^d(X/S^1, \partial X/S^1; \pi_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}})).$$

If  $\dim M - 1 < d$  (i.e.,  $\dim X/S^1 < d$ ), then one sees that

$$H^*(X/S^1, \partial X/S^1; \pi_{*-1}(SW_{\text{free}})) = 0$$

by dimensional reasons. Hence the obstruction vanishes and there exists an extension  $F : X \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$ .

We hereafter assume that  $\dim M - 1 = d$  (i.e.,  $\dim X/S^1 = d$ ). The computation of the obstruction is executed by the multidegree.

**Definition.** Let  $N = S^1 \times_D DU \subset M$ ,  $1 \neq D \in \text{Iso } M$ . Assume that  $\dim M - 1 = \dim U = d$ . Let  $f : \partial N \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$  be an  $S^1$ -map, and consider the  $D$ -map  $\bar{f} = f|_{SU} : SU \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$ . Then the multidegree of  $f$  is defined by

$$\text{mDeg } f := \bar{f}_*([SU]) \in \bigoplus_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Z},$$

under the natural identification  $H_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}})$  with  $\bigoplus_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Z}$ .

The obstruction  $\mathfrak{o}_{S^1}(f)$  is described by the multidegree as follows.

**Proposition 5.8** ([33]). *Let  $F_0 : X \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$  be a fixed  $S^1$ -map; this map always exists, however, it is not necessary to extend it to an isovariant map on  $M$ . Set  $f_{0,i} = F_0|_{\partial N_i}$ . Then*

$$\mathfrak{o}_{S^1}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^r (\text{mDeg } f_i - \text{mDeg } f_{0,i})/|D_i|,$$

*under the natural identification  $H_{d-1}(SW_{\text{free}})$  with  $\oplus_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Remark.* It follows from the equivariant Hopf type result [33] that

$$\text{mDeg } f_i - \text{mDeg } f_{0,i} \in \oplus_{H \in \mathcal{A}} |D_i| \mathbb{Z}.$$

In addition, the following extendability result is known.

**Proposition 5.9** ([33]). *Let  $N = S^1 \times_D DV$  be as before and  $f : \partial N \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$  be an  $S^1$ -map. Set  $\text{mDeg } f = (d_H(f))$ .*

- (1)  *$f : \partial N \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$  is extendable to an  $S^1$ -isovariant map  $\tilde{f} : N \rightarrow SW$  if and only if  $d_H(f) = 0$  for any  $H \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $H \not\leq D$ .*
- (2) *For any extendable  $f$  and for any  $(a_H) \in \oplus_{H \in \mathcal{A}} |D| \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $a_H = 0$  for  $H \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $H \not\leq D$ , there exists an  $S^1$ -map  $f' : \partial N \rightarrow SW_{\text{free}}$  such that  $f'$  is extendable to an  $S^1$ -isovariant map  $\tilde{f}' : N \rightarrow SW$  and  $\text{mDeg } f' = \text{mDeg } f + (a_H)$ .*

Using these propositions, one can see that there are  $S^1$ -isovariant maps  $f_i : \partial N_i \rightarrow SW$  such that  $\coprod_i f_i$  extends both on  $X$  and on  $\coprod_i N_i$  as isovariant maps. Thus an isovariant map from  $M$  to  $SW$  is constructed.

## References

- [1] Bartsch, T., *On the existence of Borsuk-Ulam theorems*, *Topology* **31** (1992), 533–543.
- [2] Bröker, T., tom Dieck, T., *Representations of compact Lie groups*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* **98**, Springer 1985.

- 
- [3] Biasi, C., de Mattos, D. *A Borsuk-Ulam theorem for compact Lie group actions*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **37** (2006), 127–137.
- [4] Blagojević, P. V. M., Vrećica, S. T., Živaljević, R. T., *Computational topology of equivariant maps from spheres to complements of arrangements*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), 1007–1038.
- [5] Borsuk, K., *Drei Sätze über die  $n$ -dimensionale Sphäre*, Fund. Math. **20**, 177–190 (1933).
- [6] Bredon, G. E., *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Academic Press, 1972.
- [7] Browder, W., Quinn, F., *A surgery theory for  $G$ -manifolds and stratified sets*, Manifolds Tokyo 1973, 27–36, Univ. Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1975.
- [8] Cartan, H., Eilenberg, S., *Homological algebra*, Princeton University Press, 1956
- [9] Clapp, M., *Borsuk-Ulam theorems for perturbed symmetric problems*, Nonlinear Anal. **47** (2001), 3749–3758.
- [10] tom Dieck, T., *Transformation Groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1987
- [11] Dold, A., *Simple proofs of some Borsuk-Ulam results*, Proceedings of the Northwestern Homotopy Theory Conference (Evanston, Ill., 1982), 65–69, Contemp. Math., **19**.
- [12] Dula, G., Schultz, R., *Diagram cohomology and isovariant homotopy theory*, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. **527**, (1994).
- [13] Fadell, E., Husseini, S., *An ideal-valued cohomological index theory with applications to Borsuk-Ulam and Bourgin–Yang theorems*, Ergodic Theory Dynamical System **8** (1988), 73–85.
- [14] Furuta, M., *Monopole equation and the 11/8-conjecture*, Math. Res. Lett. **8** (2001), 279–291.
- [15] Hara, Y., *The degree of equivariant maps*, Topology Appl. **148** (2005), 113–121.



- [16] Inoue, A., *Borsuk-Ulam type theorems on Stiefel manifolds*, Osaka J. Math. **43** (2006), 183-191.
- [17] Jaworowski, J., *Maps of Stiefel manifolds and a Borsuk-Ulam theorem*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc., II. Ser. **32** (1989), 271-279.
- [18] Kawakubo, K., *The theory of transformation groups*, Oxford University Press 1991.
- [19] Kobayashi, T., *The Borsuk-Ulam theorem for a  $Z_q$ -map from a  $Z_q$ -space to  $S^{2n+1}$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1986), 714-716.
- [20] Komiya, K., *Equivariant  $K$ -theoretic Euler classes and maps of representation spheres*, Osaka J. Math. **38** (2001), 239-249.
- [21] Laitinen, E., *Unstable homotopy theory of homotopy representations*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1217** (1985), 210-248.
- [22] Lovász, L., *Kneser's conjecture, chromatic number, and homotopy*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **25** (1978), 319-324.
- [23] Madsen, I., Thomas, C. B., Wall, C. T. C., *Topological spherical space form problem. I.*, Compos. Math. **23** (1971), 101-114.
- [24] Madsen, I., Thomas, C. B., Wall, C. T. C., *Topological spherical space form problem. II: Existence of free actions*, Topology **15** (1976), 375-382.
- [25] Madsen, I., Thomas, C. B., Wall, C. T. C., *Topological spherical space form problem. III: Dimensional bounds and smoothing*, Pacific J. Math. **106** (1983), 135-143.
- [26] Marzantowicz, W., *Borsuk-Ulam theorem for any compact Lie group*, J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser. **49** (1994), 195-208.
- [27] Matoušek, J., *Using the Borsuk-Ulam theorem. Lectures on topological methods in combinatorics and geometry*, Universitext, Springer, 2003.

- [28] Montgomery, D., Yang, C. T., *Differentiable pseudo-free circle actions on homotopy seven spheres*, Proceedings of the Second Conference on Compact Transformation Groups, Part I, 41–101, Lecture Notes in Math., **298**, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [29] Nagasaki, I., *Linearity of dimension functions for semilinear  $G$ -spheres*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), 1843–1850.
- [30] Nagasaki, I., *On the theory of homotopy representations. A survey.*, In: Current Trends in Transformation Groups, K-Monographs in Mathematics 7, 65–77, 2002. @
- [31] Nagasaki, I., *The weak isovariant Borsuk-Ulam theorem for compact Lie groups*, Arch. Math. **81** (2003), 748–759.
- [32] Nagasaki, I., *The Grothendieck group of spheres with semilinear actions for a compact Lie group*, Topology Appl. **145** (2004), 241–260.
- [33] Nagasaki, I., *Isovariant Borsuk-Ulam results for pseudofree circle actions and their converse*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), 743–757.
- [34] Nagasaki, I., *The converse of isovariant Borsuk-Ulam results for some abelian groups*, Osaka. J. Math. **43** (2006), 689–710 .
- [35] Nagasaki, I., *A note on the existence problem of isovariant maps between representation spaces*, Studia Humana et Naturalia **43** (2009), 33–42.
- [36] Nagasaki, I., Kawakami, T., Hara, Y., Ushitaki, F., *The Borsuk-Ulam theorem in a real closed field*, Far East J. Math. Sci (FJMS) **33** (2009), 113–124.
- [37] Nagasaki, I., Kawakami, T., Hara, Y., Ushitaki, F., *The Smith homology and Borsuk-Ulam type theorems*, Far East J. Math. Sci (FJMS) **38** (2010), 205–216.
- [38] Nagasaki, I., Ushitaki, F., *Isovariant maps from free  $C_n$ -manifolds to representation spheres*, Topology Appl. **155** (2008), 1066–1076.
- [39] Nagasaki, I., Ushitaki, F., *A Hopf type classification theorem for isovariant maps from free  $G$ -manifolds to representation spheres*, to appear in Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.).

- [40] Oliver, R., *Smooth compact Lie group actions on disks*, Math. Z. **149** (1976), 71–96.
- [41] Palais, R. S., *Classification of  $G$ -spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1960).
- [42] Petrie, T., *Pseudoequivalences of  $G$ -manifolds*, Algebraic and geometric topology, 169–210, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXII, 1978.
- [43] Pergher, P. L. Q., de Mattos, D., dos Santos, E. L., *The Borsuk-Ulam theorem for general spaces*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **81** (2003), 96–102.
- [44] Schultz, R., *Isovariant mappings of degree 1 and the gap hypothesis*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **6** (2006), 739–762.
- [45] Serre, J. P., *Linear representations of finite groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 42. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [46] Steinlein, H., *Borsuk's antipodal theorem and its generalizations and applications: a survey*, Topological methods in nonlinear analysis, 166–235, Montreal, 1985.
- [47] Steinlein, H., *Spheres and symmetry: Borsuk's antipodal theorem*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **1** (1993), 15–33.
- [48] Waner, S., *A note on the existence of  $G$ -maps between spheres*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **99** (1987), 179–181.
- [49] Wasserman, A. G., *Isovariant maps and the Borsuk-Ulam theorem*, Topology Appl. **38** (1991), 155–161.
- [50] Weinberger, S., Yan, M., *Equivariant periodicity for compact group actions*, Adv. Geom. **5** (2005), 363–376.

Received 15 March 2010 and in revised form 12 September 2010.

*Ikumitsu Nagasaki*

Department of Mathematics,  
Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine,  
13 Nishitakatsukasa-cho, Taishogun Kita-ku,  
Kyoto 603-8334,  
Japan  
nagasaki@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp



## THE SMITH HOMOLOGY AND BORSUK-ULAM TYPE THEOREMS

IKUMITSU NAGASAKI, TOMOHIRO KAWAKAMI,  
YASUHIRO HARA and FUMIHIRO USHITAKI

Department of Mathematics  
Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine  
13 Nishi-Takatsukaso-Cho  
Taishogun Kita-ku, Kyoto 603-8334, Japan  
e-mail: [nagasaki@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp](mailto:nagasaki@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp)

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Education, Wakayama University  
Sakaedani, Wakayama 640-8510, Japan  
e-mail: [kawa@center.wakayama-u.ac.jp](mailto:kawa@center.wakayama-u.ac.jp)

Department of Mathematics  
Graduate School of Science, Osaka University  
Machikaneyama, 1-1, Toyonaka  
Osaka 560-0043, Japan  
e-mail: [hara@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp](mailto:hara@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp)

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science, Kyoto Sangyo University  
Kamigamo, Motoyama  
Kita-ku, Kyoto 603-8555, Japan  
e-mail: [ushitaki@ksuvx0.kyoto-su.ac.jp](mailto:ushitaki@ksuvx0.kyoto-su.ac.jp)

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 57S10, 57S17, 55M20, 55M35, 03C64.

Keywords and phrases: Borsuk-Ulam theorem, finite groups, continuous  $C_k$ -maps, o-minimal, definable  $C_k$ -maps, real closed fields.

The fourth author is partially supported by Kyoto Sangyo University Research Grants.

Received January 27, 2010

**Abstract**

Let  $k$  be a positive integer greater than 1 and  $C_k$  be the cyclic group of order  $k$ . Let  $X$  be an arcwise connected free  $C_k$ -space and  $Y$  be a Hausdorff free  $C_k$ -space. If there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $H_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq q \leq n$  and  $H_{n+1}(Y/C_k; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , then there is no continuous  $C_k$ -map from  $X$  to  $Y$ .

We also prove a definable version of this topological version in an o-minimal expansion of  $\mathcal{N} = (R, +, \cdot, <, \dots)$  of a real closed field  $R$ .

**1. Introduction**

Let  $k$  be a positive integer greater than 1 and  $C_k$  be the cyclic group of order  $k$ . Let  $\mathbb{S}^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional unit sphere of the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with the antipodal  $C_2$ -action. From the viewpoint of transformation groups, the classical Borsuk-Ulam theorem states that if there exists a continuous  $C_2$ -map from  $\mathbb{S}^n$  to  $\mathbb{S}^m$ , then  $n \leq m$ . There are several equivalent statements of it and many related generalizations (e.g., [2], [13], [14], [15], [17]).

The classical Borsuk-Ulam theorem is generalized to topological spaces by several authors. For example, Walker [21], Pergher et al. [18]. They prove non-existence of continuous  $C_2$ -maps between free  $C_2$ -spaces under certain homological conditions on the free  $C_2$ -spaces. Essentially they use the Smith-Gysin exact sequence in their proof. If  $k$  is a positive integer greater than 1, then several  $C_k$ -versions of the classical Borsuk-Ulam theorem are discussed in Kobayashi [11] and Hemmi et al. [7].

In this paper, we use the Smith homology (c.f. [10]) which is a useful simple tool to study  $C_k$ -versions of the classical Borsuk-Ulam theorem in the topological setting and the definable setting. The Smith exact sequence which is expressed by using the Smith homology is a generalization of the Smith-Gysin exact sequence. By using this, we can give a simple proof of a  $C_k$ -version of the classical Borsuk-Ulam theorem. In this paper, we prove the following generalized Borsuk-Ulam theorem which is a generalization of [21], [18], [11] and [7].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be an arcwise connected free  $C_k$ -space and  $Y$  be a Hausdorff free  $C_k$ -space. If there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $H_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq q \leq n$  and  $H_{n+1}(Y/C_k; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , then there is no continuous  $C_k$ -map from  $X$  to  $Y$ . Here this homology means the singular homology.*

The following remark shows that we cannot take  $k = 1$  and  $k = \infty$  in Theorem 1.1.

**Remark 1.2.** (1) Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $Y$  be a one-point set. Then the constant map from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $Y$  is a continuous map and  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $Y$  satisfy the conditions on Theorem 1.1.

(2) Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action defined by  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $(g, x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (g + x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfy the assumptions on Theorem 1.1 and the map  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1$  is a continuous  $\mathbb{Z}$ -map.

Let  $k$  be a prime. For a topological space  $Y$ , let  $D = \{(y_1, \dots, y_k) \in Y \times \dots \times Y \mid y_1 = \dots = y_k\}$  be the diagonal and write  $Y^* = Y \times \dots \times Y - D$  admitting the free  $C_k$ -action defined by  $g(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) = (y_2, y_3, \dots, y_k, y_1)$ , where  $g$  generates  $C_k$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $k$  be a prime and  $X$  be an arcwise connected free  $C_k$ -space. If there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $H_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq q \leq n$  and  $Y$  is a Hausdorff space with  $H_{n+1}(Y^*/C_k; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , then every continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  has a  $C_k$ -coincidence point, that is, a point  $x$  such that  $f(x) = f(gx) = \dots = f(g^{k-1}x)$ , where  $g$  is a generator of  $C_k$ .*

We can consider the definable versions of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 in an o-minimal expansion  $\mathcal{N} = (R, +, \cdot, <, \dots)$  of a real closed field  $R$ .

Many results in the semialgebraic geometry hold in the o-minimal setting and there exist uncountably many o-minimal expansions of the standard structure of the field  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers ([19]). See also [4], [6], [12] for examples and constructions of o-minimal structures. General references on them are [3], [5], [20]. In this paper,

“definable” means “definable with parameters in  $\mathcal{N}$ ”, every definable object is considered in  $\mathcal{N}$  and each definable map is continuous unless otherwise stated.

Let  $S^n$  denote the  $n$ -dimensional unit sphere of  $R^{n+1}$ . If  $R = \mathbb{R}_{alg}$ , then  $S^n$  is neither arcwise connected nor connected. Thus we cannot apply [21], [18], [11], [7] and Theorem 1.1 even if  $X = S^{2n+1}$  and  $Y = S^{2m+1}$ .

The singular definable homology is introduced in [22]. Using the singular definable homology, we have the following theorem which is a definable version of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $X$  be a definably connected definable set with a free definable  $C_k$ -action. If there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $H_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq q \leq n$  and  $Y$  is a definable set with a free definable  $C_k$ -action such that  $H_{n+1}(Y/C_k; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , then there is no definable  $C_k$ -map from  $X$  to  $Y$ . Here this homology means the singular definable homology.*

Note that a definably connected definable set is not necessarily connected and a definable set is definably connected if and only if definably arcwise connected. Here a definable set  $X$  is definably arcwise connected if for every two points  $x, y \in X$ , there exists a definable map  $f$  from the closed unit interval  $[0, 1]_R$  of  $R$  to  $X$  such that  $x = f(0)$  and  $y = f(1)$ .

In the definable setting, we have the following simple sufficient condition on  $Y$  which implies  $H_{n+1}(Y/C_k; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .

If  $Y$  is a definable set with a definable  $C_k$ -action, then by Corollary 10.2.18 in [3],  $Y/C_k$  is a definable set and the orbit map  $\pi : Y \rightarrow Y/C_k$  is definable. If  $\dim Y \leq n$ , then by Corollary 4.1.6 in [3],  $\dim Y/C_k \leq n$ . Thus if  $\dim Y \leq n$ , then  $H_{n+1}(Y/C_k; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .

**Corollary 1.5.** (1) *Suppose that  $k \geq 3$  and that  $C_k$  acts on  $S^{2m+1}$  and  $S^{2n+1}$  definably and freely. If there exists a definable  $C_k$ -map  $f : S^{2m+1} \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$ , then  $m \leq n$ .*

(2) *If  $S^m$  and  $S^n$  have free definable  $C_2$ -actions and there exists a definable  $C_2$ -map  $f : S^m \rightarrow S^n$ , then  $m \leq n$ .*

Corollary 1.5 is a generalization of Theorem 1.1 [16].

Using Theorem 1.4, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $k$  be a prime and  $X$  be a definably connected definable set with a free definable  $C_k$ -action. Assume that there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $H_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq q \leq n$ . If  $Y$  is a definable set with  $H_{n+1}(Y^*/C_k; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , then every definable map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  has a  $C_k$ -coincidence point, that is, a point  $x$  such that  $f(x) = f(gx) = \dots = f(g^{k-1}x)$ , where  $g$  is a generator of  $C_k$ .*

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3

We first prove Theorem 1.1.

Let  $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}[C_k]$  denote the group ring of  $C_k$  over  $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ . For any  $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , the  $q$ -dimensional chain group  $C_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$  has the standard  $C_k$ -action. Then this action induces  $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}[C_k]$ -action on  $C_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ .

Let  $g$  be a generator of  $C_k$ ,  $\alpha = 1 + g + \dots + g^{k-1}$ , and  $\beta = 1 - g$ . Then by definition  $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha = 0$ , for every  $q$ ,  $\alpha C_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\beta C_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$  are  $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}[C_k]$ -submodules of  $C_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\alpha\partial = \partial\alpha$ ,  $\beta\partial = \partial\beta$ , where  $\partial$  is the boundary operator of  $\{C_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})\}$ . Therefore,  $\{\alpha C_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})\}$  and  $\{\beta C_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})\}$  are subchain complexes of  $\{C_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})\}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *For every  $q$ , the following two sequences are exact:*

$$0 \rightarrow \alpha C_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i} C_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \beta C_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow \beta C_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{j} C_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \alpha C_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $i, j$  denote the inclusions and  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\beta$ ) stands for the multiplication of  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\beta$ ).

**Proof.** Since  $\beta \circ i = 0$ ,  $\alpha \circ j = 0$ ,  $\text{Im } i \subset \text{Ker } \beta$ ,  $\text{Im } j \subset \text{Ker } \alpha$ .

Let  $s = \sum_j \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} n_{ji} g^i \sigma_j \in \text{Ker } \beta$ , where  $g$  is a generator of  $C_k$ . If  $l \neq l'$



and  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ , then  $g^i \sigma_i \neq \sigma_i$ . Since  $\beta s = 0$ , for any  $j$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} n_{ji} g^i (1-g) \sigma_j = 0$ . Thus for every  $j$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (n_{ji} - n_{j(i-1)}) g^i \sigma_i + (n_{j0} - n_{j(k-1)}) \sigma_j = 0$ . Hence for each  $j$ ,  $n_{j0} = n_{j1} = \dots = n_{j(k-1)}$ . We set  $n_j = n_{j0} (= n_{j1} = \dots = n_{j(k-1)})$ . Then we have  $s = \sum_j n_j (1 + g + \dots + g^{k-1}) \sigma_j = \alpha \sum_j n_j \sigma_j \in \text{Im } i$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ker } \beta = \text{Im } i$ .

Let  $s = \sum_j \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} n_{ji} g^i \sigma_j \in \text{Ker } \alpha$ . Since  $\alpha s = \sum_j (n_{j0} + \dots + n_{j(k-1)}) (1 + \dots + g^{k-1}) \sigma_j = 0$ ,  $n_{j0} + \dots + n_{j(k-1)} = 0$ .

Thus  $s = \sum_j (n_{j0}(1-g) + (n_{j0} + n_{j1})g(1-g) + (n_{j0} + n_{j1} + n_{j2})g^2(1-g) + \dots + (n_{j0} + n_{j1} + \dots + n_{j(k-2)})g^{k-2}(1-g)) \sigma_j \in \text{Im } j$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ker } \alpha = \text{Im } j$ .  $\square$

Let  $H_q^\alpha(X, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$  (resp.  $H_q^\beta(X, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ ) denote the homology group induced from the chain complex  $\{\alpha C_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})\}$  (resp.  $\{\beta C_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})\}$ ). We call these homology groups the *Smith homology groups*.

By Proposition 2.1, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** *The following two sequences are exact:*

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\rightarrow H_q^\alpha(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\beta_*} H_q^\beta(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} H_{q-1}^\alpha(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots \\ \dots &\rightarrow H_q^\beta(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} H_q^\alpha(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} H_{q-1}^\beta(X; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

*In particular, if  $k = 2$ , then  $\alpha = \beta$  and*

$$\dots \rightarrow H_q^\alpha(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_q(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} H_q^\alpha(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} H_{q-1}^\alpha(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots$$

*is exact.*

Let  $p : E \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map. We say that  $p$  has the *homotopy lifting property* if for any compact space  $Z$ , each homotopy  $h : Z \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  and a continuous map  $F : Z \rightarrow E$  such that  $p \circ F(z) = h(z, 0)$ , for all  $z \in Z$ , there exists a homotopy  $H : Z \times [0, 1] \rightarrow E$  such that  $p \circ H = h$  and  $H(z, 0) = F(z)$ , for all  $z \in Z$ , where  $[0, 1]$  denotes the closed unit interval of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be an arcwise connected Hausdorff free  $C_k$ -space. Then the orbit map  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/C_k$  has the homotopy lifting property.*

**Proposition 2.4.** *If  $Y$  is an arcwise connected Hausdorff free  $C_k$ -space, then for every  $q$ ,  $H_q^\alpha(Y, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_q(Y/C_k, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ .*

**Proof.** We first show that the map  $\alpha : C(Y; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C(Y; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$  and the map  $\pi_* : C(Y; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C(Y/C_k; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$  induced from the orbit map  $\pi : Y \rightarrow Y/C_k$  have the same kernel. Let  $\sigma$  be a singular  $s$ -simplex of  $Y$ . We need only to consider elements of  $C(C_k\sigma)$ , since  $C(Y) \cong \bigoplus_{[\sigma] \in \Delta(s)/C_k} C(C_k\sigma)$ , where  $\Delta(s)$  is the set of singular  $s$ -simplexes of  $Y$  and  $\Delta(s)/C_k$  is its orbit set under the induced action.

Since  $\alpha\left(\sum n_i g^i \sigma\right) = \left(\sum n_i\right)\alpha(\sigma)$ ,  $\alpha\left(\sum n_i g^i \sigma\right) = 0$  if and only if  $\sum n_i = 0$ , and similarly  $\pi_*\left(\sum n_i g^i \sigma\right) = \left(\sum n_i\right)\pi_*\sigma = 0$  if and only if  $\sum n_i = 0$ ; therefore, both kernels coincide.

We next show that  $\pi_*$  is surjective; namely, there is a lift  $\tilde{\tau} : \Delta^s \rightarrow Y$  of  $\tau : \Delta^s \rightarrow Y/C_k$ , where  $\Delta^s$  denotes the affine span of  $(s + 1)$ -points which are affine independent. Since  $\Delta^s$  is contractible, there is a homotopy  $H' : \Delta^s \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \Delta^s$  such that  $H'(-, 0) = c_{e_0}$  and  $H'(-, 1) = id_{\Delta^s}$ , where  $c_{e_0}$  denotes the constant map whose value is  $e_0 \in \Delta^s$ . Then the composition  $H = \tau \circ H'$  is a homotopy from the constant map  $c_{\tau(e_0)}$  to  $\tau$ . Let  $y_0$  be a point of  $Y$  such that  $\pi(y_0) = \tau(e_0)$ , and  $c_{y_0} : \Delta^s \rightarrow Y$  the constant map whose value is  $y_0$ . Since  $H(-, 0) = \pi \circ c_{y_0}$ , it follows from Proposition 2.3 that there exists a lift  $\tilde{H} : \Delta^s \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  of  $H$  such that  $\tilde{H}(-, 0) = c_{y_0}$ . Then  $\tilde{\tau} := \tilde{H}(-, 1)$  is a lift of  $\tau = H(-, 1)$ .

Since  $\pi_*$  is surjective,  $\alpha C(Y; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$  and  $C(Y/C_k; \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$  are isomorphic as chain complexes. Accordingly their homology groups are also isomorphic.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Assume that there exists a continuous  $C_k$ -map  $f : X$

$\rightarrow Y$  under the conditions of Theorem 1.1. Since  $X$  is arcwise connected,  $f(X)$  is arcwise connected. Hence  $f(X)$  is contained in an arcwise connected component of  $Y$ . Therefore it is sufficient to prove the case where  $Y$  is arcwise connected.

We first prove the case where  $k = 2$ . Since  $f$  is a continuous  $C_2$ -map,  $\alpha f_{\#} = f_{\#}\alpha$ .

For simplicity, we abbreviate the coefficient  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  in the singular homology. By Theorem 2.2, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \rightarrow & H_{n+1}^{\alpha}(X) & \xrightarrow{\partial^X} & H_n^{\alpha}(X) & \xrightarrow{i^X} & H_n(X) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^X} & H_n^{\alpha}(X) & \xrightarrow{\partial^X} & H_{n-1}^{\alpha}(X) & \rightarrow & \dots \\ & f_{\#}^{\alpha} \downarrow & & f_{\#}^{\alpha} \downarrow & & f_{\#} \downarrow & & f_{\#}^{\alpha} \downarrow & & f_{\#}^{\alpha} \downarrow & & \\ \rightarrow & H_{n+1}^{\alpha}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\partial^Y} & H_n^{\alpha}(Y) & \xrightarrow{i^Y} & H_n(Y) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^Y} & H_n^{\alpha}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\partial^Y} & H_{n-1}^{\alpha}(Y) & \rightarrow & \dots \\ \\ \rightarrow & H_1^{\alpha}(X) & \xrightarrow{i^X} & H_1(X) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^X} & H_1^{\alpha}(X) & \xrightarrow{\partial^X} & H_0^{\alpha}(X) & \xrightarrow{i^X} & H_0(X) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^X} & H_0^{\alpha}(X) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & f_{\#}^{\alpha} \downarrow & & f_{\#} \downarrow & & f_{\#}^{\alpha} \downarrow & & f_{\#}^{\alpha} \downarrow & & f_{\#} \downarrow & & f_{\#}^{\alpha} \downarrow & & f_{\#} \downarrow \\ \rightarrow & H_1^{\alpha}(Y) & \xrightarrow{i^Y} & H_1(Y) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^Y} & H_1^{\alpha}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\partial^Y} & H_0^{\alpha}(Y) & \xrightarrow{i^Y} & H_0(Y) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^Y} & H_0^{\alpha}(Y) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows.

By definition,  $(i_*^X)_0 = 0$  and  $(i_*^Y)_0 = 0$ . Thus  $(\alpha_*^X)_0 : H_0(X) \rightarrow H_0^{\alpha}(X)$  and  $(\alpha_*^Y)_0 : H_0(Y) \rightarrow H_0^{\alpha}(Y)$  are isomorphisms. By assumption,  $H_0(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $H_0(X) \cong H_0^{\alpha}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Similarly,  $H_0(Y) \cong H_0^{\alpha}(Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $(f_*)_0 : H_0(X) \rightarrow H_0(Y)$  is an isomorphism and  $(\alpha_*^X)_0 \circ (f_*)_0 = (f_*^{\alpha})_0 \circ (\alpha_*^X)_0$ ,  $(f_*^{\alpha})_0 : H_0^{\alpha}(X) \rightarrow H_0^{\alpha}(Y)$  is an isomorphism. Since  $(i_*^X)_0 = 0$ , we have  $\text{Im}(\partial_*^X)_1 = \text{Ker}(i_*^X)_0 = H_0^{\alpha}(X)$ . Thus we see that  $(\partial_*^Y)_1 \circ (f_*^{\alpha})_1 = (f_*^{\alpha})_0 \circ (\partial_*^X)_1 : H_1^{\alpha}(X) \rightarrow H_0^{\alpha}(Y)$  is a non-zero homomorphism. Hence  $(f_*^{\alpha})_1 : H_1^{\alpha}(X) \rightarrow H_1^{\alpha}(Y)$  is a non-zero homomorphism. Using the assumptions on  $X$ , we see that  $(\partial_*^X)_q : H_q^{\alpha}(X) \rightarrow H_{q-1}^{\alpha}(X)$  is an isomorphism for each  $1 \leq q \leq n$ . Using this fact and by induction, we can prove that  $(f_*^{\alpha})_q : H_q^{\alpha}(X) \rightarrow H_q^{\alpha}(Y)$  is a non-zero homomorphism for each  $0 \leq q \leq n$ .

By Proposition 2.4,  $H_{n+1}^\alpha(Y) \cong H_{n+1}(Y/C_p)$ . Thus  $H_{n+1}^\alpha(Y) = 0$ . Hence  $(i_*^Y)_n : H_n^\alpha(Y) \rightarrow H_n(Y)$  is injective and  $(i_*^X)_n \circ (f_*^\alpha)_n : H_n^\alpha(X) \rightarrow H_n(Y)$  is a non-zero homomorphism.

On the other hand, since  $H_n(X) = 0$ ,  $(i_*^Y)_n \circ (f_*^\alpha)_n = (f_*)_n \circ (i_*^X)_n = 0$ . This contradiction proves the theorem in this case.

Next, we prove the case where  $k > 2$ . For simplicity, we abbreviate the coefficient  $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$  in the singular homology. By Theorem 2.2, we have two commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \rightarrow & H_n^\alpha(X) & \xrightarrow{i_*^X} & H_n(X) & \xrightarrow{\beta_*^X} & H_n^\beta(X) & \xrightarrow{\theta_*^X} & H_{n-1}^\alpha(X) & \rightarrow & \dots \\ & f_*^\alpha \downarrow & & f_* \downarrow & & f_*^\beta \downarrow & & f_*^\alpha \downarrow & & \\ \rightarrow & H_n^\alpha(Y) & \xrightarrow{i_*^Y} & H_n(Y) & \xrightarrow{\beta_*^Y} & H_n^\beta(Y) & \xrightarrow{\theta_*^Y} & H_{n-1}^\alpha(Y) & \rightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \rightarrow & H_1^\alpha(X) & \xrightarrow{i_*^X} & H_1(X) & \xrightarrow{\beta_*^X} & H_1^\beta(X) & \xrightarrow{\theta_*^X} & H_0^\alpha(X) & \xrightarrow{i_*^X} & H_0(X) & \xrightarrow{\beta_*^X} & H_0^\beta(X) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & f_*^\alpha \downarrow & & f_* \downarrow & & f_*^\beta \downarrow & & f_*^\alpha \downarrow & & f_* \downarrow & & f_*^\beta \downarrow & & f_* \downarrow \\ \rightarrow & H_1^\alpha(Y) & \xrightarrow{i_*^Y} & H_1(Y) & \xrightarrow{\beta_*^Y} & H_1^\beta(Y) & \xrightarrow{\theta_*^Y} & H_0^\alpha(Y) & \xrightarrow{i_*^Y} & H_0(Y) & \xrightarrow{\beta_*^Y} & H_0^\beta(Y) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \rightarrow & H_{n+1}^\alpha(X) & \xrightarrow{\theta_*^X} & H_n^\beta(X) & \xrightarrow{j_*^X} & H_n(X) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_*^X} & H_n^\alpha(X) & \xrightarrow{\theta_*^X} & H_{n-1}^\beta(X) & \rightarrow & \dots \\ & f_*^\alpha \downarrow & & f_*^\beta \downarrow & & f_* \downarrow & & f_*^\alpha \downarrow & & f_*^\beta \downarrow & & \\ \rightarrow & H_{n+1}^\alpha(Y) & \xrightarrow{\theta_*^Y} & H_n^\beta(Y) & \xrightarrow{j_*^Y} & H_n(Y) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_*^Y} & H_n^\alpha(Y) & \xrightarrow{\theta_*^Y} & H_{n-1}^\beta(Y) & \rightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \rightarrow & H_1^\beta(X) & \xrightarrow{j_*^X} & H_1(X) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_*^X} & H_1^\alpha(X) & \xrightarrow{\theta_*^X} & H_0^\beta(X) & \xrightarrow{j_*^X} & H_0(X) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_*^X} & H_0^\alpha(X) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & f_*^\beta \downarrow & & f_* \downarrow & & f_*^\alpha \downarrow & & f_*^\beta \downarrow & & f_* \downarrow & & f_*^\alpha \downarrow & & f_* \downarrow \\ \rightarrow & H_1^\beta(Y) & \xrightarrow{j_*^Y} & H_1(Y) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_*^Y} & H_1^\alpha(Y) & \xrightarrow{\theta_*^Y} & H_0^\beta(Y) & \xrightarrow{j_*^Y} & H_0(Y) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_*^Y} & H_0^\alpha(Y) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows.

We easily see that  $(i_*^X)_0 = 0$  and  $(i_*^Y)_0 = 0$ . Thus  $(\beta_*^X)_0 : H_0(X) \rightarrow H_0^\beta(X)$  and  $(\beta_*^Y)_0 : H_0(Y) \rightarrow H_0^\beta(Y)$  are isomorphisms. Since  $(f_*)_0 : H_0(X) \rightarrow H_0(Y)$  is an isomorphism,  $(f_*^\beta)_0 : H_0^\beta(X) \rightarrow H_0^\beta(Y)$  is an isomorphism. Similarly, we see that  $(f_*^\alpha)_0 : H_0^\alpha(X) \rightarrow H_0^\alpha(Y)$  is an isomorphism from the second diagram. Since  $H_1(X) = 0$  and  $(i_*^X)_1 = 0$ ,  $(\theta_*^X)_1 : H_1^\beta(X) \rightarrow H_0^\alpha(X)$  is an isomorphism.