4	
4	
5	
O	

	IVSd (mm)	PWd (mm)	LVM (mg)	LVEDD (mm)	LVESD (mm)	LVFS (%)	LVEF (%)	Heart Rate (beats/min)
Data for male mice age 2, 4, 6, and 8 months			100					
2 months of age								
Untreated $Lmna^{+/+}$ (n = 15)	0.49 ± 0.01	$0.50 \pm 0.01$	$45.2 \pm 1.18$	3.28 ± 0.04	$1.85 \pm 0.03$	43.7 ± 0.59	81.5 ± 0.59	500 ± 7
SCH $Lmna^{+/+}$ (n = 22)	$0.50 \pm 0.01$	$0.54 \pm 0.01$	$46.7 \pm 1.36$	$3.21 \pm 0.03$	$1.80 \pm 0.03$	43.9 ± 0.75	$81.7 \pm 0.76$	523 + 9
Untreated Lmna H222P/H222P (n = 21)	$0.50 \pm 0.01$	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	$46.0 \pm 1.17$	3.30 ± 0.03	1.98 ± 0.03*	39.6 ± 0.93*	76.5 + 1.19*	524 ± 7
SCH Lmna H222P/H222P (n = 17)	$0.49 \pm 0.02$	$0.51 \pm 0.02$	$46.0 \pm 1.76$	3.28 ± 0.03	$1.92 \pm 0.02 \dagger$	$41.1 \pm 0.60 \uparrow$	$78.4 \pm 0.77 \dagger$	536 ± 10
4 months of age								
Untreated $Lmna^{+/-}$ (n = 1.2)	$0.48 \pm 0.02$	$0.53 \pm 0.02$	$48.3 \pm 1.90$	3.38 ± 0.06	$1.94 \pm 0.05$	$42.8 \pm 0.82$	$80.5 \pm 0.82$	542 ± 12
SCH $Lmna^{+/+}$ (n = 22)	$0.52 \pm 0.01$	$0.54 \pm 0.01$	$51.7 \pm 1.36$	3.38 ± 0.03	$1.95 \pm 0.03$	$42.5 \pm 0.68$	$80.0 \pm 0.72$	526 ± 7
Untreated $Lmna^{H222P/H222P}$ (n = 21)	$0.47 \pm 0.01$	$0.47 \pm 0.01*$	$55.4 \pm 2.05$	$3.80 \pm 0.07$	$2.64 \pm 0.08 \ddagger$	30.9 ± 1.07	$66.7 \pm 1.44 \pm$	560 ± 10
SCH $Lmna^{H222P/H222P}$ (n = 17)	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	$0.50 \pm 0.01$	$55.8 \pm 2.09$	$3.69 \pm 0.06$	$2.33 \pm 0.06$	37.0 ± 0.92§¶	$72.9 \pm 1.97 + #$	543 ± 7
6 months of age								
Untreated $Lmna^{+/+}$ (n = 12)	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	$0.53 \pm 0.02$	$56.1 \pm 2.10$	$3.64 \pm 0.04$	$2.10 \pm 0.03$	$42.3 \pm 0.46$	$79.9 \pm 0.63$	532 ± 9
SCH $Lmna^{+/+}$ (n = 21)	$0.50 \pm 0.01$	$0.50 \pm 0.01$	$56.1 \pm 1.47$	3.68 ± 0.05	$2.19 \pm 0.05$	40.6 ± 0.65	$78.2 \pm 0.64$	537 ± 7
Untreated $Lmna^{H222P/H222P}$ (n = 17)	$0.43 \pm 0.01**$	$0.42 \pm 0.02 $	$57.5 \pm 2.28$	$4.34 \pm 0.12 \ddagger$	$3.36 \pm 0.15 \ddagger$	$23.0 \pm 1.29 \ddagger$	$53.9 \pm 2.59 \ddagger$	516 ± 18
SCH Lmna H222P/H222P (n = 17)	$0.46 \pm 0.0111$	$0.43 \pm 0.015$	$58.8 \pm 2.30$	$4.10 \pm 0.10$ §	$3.01 \pm 0.12§$ #	27.0 ± 1.29§#	60.6 ± 2.08§#	533 ± 7
8 months of age								
Untreated $Lmna^{+/+}$ (n = 12)	0.50 0.01	0.49 0.02	54.1 2.57	3.62 0.07	2.07 + 0.06	43.0 0.64	80.8 0.62	535 - 11
SCH $Lmna^{1/1}$ (n = 21)	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	$58.1 \pm 2.20$	$3.80 \pm 0.08$	$2.19 \pm 0.08$	$39.9 \pm 0.81$	$77.7 \pm 0.92$	544 ± 8
Untreated LmnaH222P/H222P (n - 7)	0.37 0.01	0.36 0.02	52.0   5.27	4.37 0.12‡	3.63 + 0.10‡	15.9 0.88	41.0 1.65‡	566 ± 20
SCH $Lmna^{H222P/H222P}$ $(n = 7)$	$0.38 \pm 0.01§$	0.37 ± 0.01§	54.4 ± 3.65	$4.38 \pm 0.15$ §	$3.50 \pm 0.16$ §	19.5 ± 0.79§	$48.3 \pm 3.08$ §	552 ± 11
Data for female mice age 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 months								
2 months of age								
Untreated $Lmna^{+/+}$ (n $-$ 7)	$0.47 \pm 0.02$	$0.52 \pm 0.01$	$42.9 \pm 1.93$	$3.14 \pm 0.03$	$1.80 \pm 0.05$	$41.9 \pm 1.52$	$82.5 \pm 0.95$	535 ± 13
SCH $Lmna^{-1/-}$ (n = 14)	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	$0.51 \pm 0.01$	$40.9 \pm 1.38$	$3.10 \pm 0.02$	$1.77 \pm 0.03$	$42.7 \pm 0.80$	$80.5 \pm 0.84$	$521 \pm 10$
Untreated $Lmna^{H222P/H222P}$ (n = 18)	$0.51 \pm 0.01$	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	$43.7 \pm 0.91$	$3.18 \pm 0.02$	$1.84 \pm 0.03$	$42.7 \pm 0.67$	$79.1 \pm 0.74$	544 ± 7
SCH Lmna H222P/H222P (n = 14)	$0.50 \pm 0.02$	$0.50 \pm 0.01$	$41.2 \pm 0.80$	$3.12 \pm 0.03$	$1.85 \pm 0.04$	$40.9 \pm 0.77$	$78.2 \pm 0.77$	$551 \pm 10$
4 months of age								
Untreated $Lmna^{+/-}$ (n = 7)	$0.50 \pm 0.02$	$0.56 \pm 0.02$	$43.1 \pm 2.23$	$3.24 \pm 0.03$	$1.72 \pm 0.02$	$43.2 \pm 0.43$	$81.0 \pm 0.42$	$521 \pm 15$
SCH $Lmna^{+/+}$ (n = 14)	$0.50 \pm 0.01$	$0.52 \pm 0.02$	$47.1 \pm 1.35$	$3.28 \pm 0.04$	1.88 ± 0.03	$42.3 \pm 0.73$	$80.3 \pm 0.71$	530 ± 16
Untreated $Lmna^{H222P/H222P}$ (n = 18)	$0.47 \pm 0.01$	$0.46 \pm 0.01 \ddagger$	$45.9 \pm 1.27$	$3.48 \pm 0.05 \pm$	$2.24 \pm 0.07 \ddagger$	$36.0 \pm 0.84 \ddagger$	$72.8 \pm 1.06 \ddagger$	553 + 8
SCH $Lmna^{H222P/H222P}$ (n = 14)	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	$0.50 \pm 0.02$	$46.5 \pm 2.04$	3.32 ± 0.04#	2.05 ± 0.030††#	38.2 ± 0.495#	75.2 ± 0.61††	557 ± 11
6 months of age								
Untreated $Lmna^{+/2}$ (n = 7)	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	$0.50 \pm 0.02$	$43.0 \pm 2.09$	3.28 ± 0.05	$1.82 \pm 0.04$	$42.7 \pm 0.44$	$80.5 \pm 0.44$	519 ± 12
SCH $Lmna^{+/+}$ (n = 14)	0.49 + 0.01	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	45.8 : 1.91	3.33 + 0.04	1.87 ± 0.03	43.8 ± 0.39	81.2 ± 0.35	521 ± 8
Untreated $Lmna^{H222P/H222P}$ (n = 16)	$0.46 \pm 0.01$	0.44 ± 0.01*	$46.5 \pm 1.38$	3.66 ± 0.07 ±	$2.57 \pm 0.10 \ddagger$	30.0 ± 1.43‡	$64.9 \pm 2.06 \ddagger$	+1
SCH Lmna H222P/H222P (n - 14)	$0.46 \pm 0.02$	$0.45 \pm 0.01 \uparrow$	$45.2 \pm 2.54$	3.44 ± 0.06#	2.23 ± 0.07§	35.4 ± 1.05§	71.6 ± 1.22§	558 ± 7
8 months of age	!	,				;		
Untreated Lmna $(n = 7)$	$0.47 \pm 0.03$	$0.49 \pm 0.02$	$42.4 \pm 1.67$	3.24 ± 0.05	$1.80 \pm 0.03$	44.4 ± 0.76	82.3 ± 0.84	510 ± 16
SCH Lmna '/ ' (n = 14)	$0.47 \pm 0.01$	$0.47 \pm 0.01$	$44.3 \pm 1.33$	3.36 ± 0.04	$1.91 \pm 0.03$	$43.0 \pm 0.52$	80.8 ± 0.45	539 ± 8
Untreated $Lmna^{H222P/H222P}$ (n = 16)	$0.40 \pm 0.01**$	$0.38 \pm 0.01$	$47.4 \pm 1.41$	3.99 ± 0.07	$3.16 \pm 0.09$	$21.2 \pm 1.15 \ddagger$	$51.1 \pm 1.84 \ddagger$	572 ± 9
SCH Lmna H2222P/H222P (n = 14)	$0.42 \pm 0.01$ †	$0.43 \pm 0.01 \uparrow$	48.8 ± 2.60	$3.80 \pm 0.1311$	$2.72 \pm 0.17$ \$#	29.3 ± 2.03§	63.2 ± 3.20§	542 ± 9
10 months of age						6		
Untreated Lmna $(n = 7)$	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	$0.47 \pm 0.01$	$44.3 \pm 1.45$	$3.32 \pm 0.04$	$1.88 \pm 0.03$	$43.6 \pm 0.58$	$81.4 \pm 0.38$	529 ± 13
SCH Lmna <sup>+/+</sup> (n = 13)	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	$0.50 \pm 0.01$	$46.6 \pm 1.05$	3.36 ± 0.03	1.93 ± 0.03	$42.5 \pm 0.41$	80.4 ± 0.38	540 ± 11
Untreated Lmnarter ( $n = 7$ )	$0.35 \pm 0.02 $	0.37 ± 0.03**	$52.1 \pm 4.09$	$4.40 \pm 0.11$	$3.74 \pm 0.14 \ddagger$	$15.1 \pm 1.72 \pm$	38.9 ± 3.83‡	28 + 28
CCU 1 mm H222P/H222P (n - 7)								7 6 7 8 7 8

Cardiac function was evaluated by transthoracic echocardiographic analyses of the left ventricie (LV). The left ventricular mass (LVM) and the percentage of left ventricular fractional shortening (LVFS) were calculated as follows: (IVS4 + PWd + EDD)3 - £1.055 and (LVEDD - LVESD)/LVEDD × 100, respectively. \* $^{*}$  p < 0.05, \* $^{**}$  p < 0.051, and \$p < 0.001, versus age-matched untreated Lmna\*\*\*\* p < 0.05, \* $^{**}$  p < 0.051, and \$p < 0.001, versus age-matched untreated Lmna\*\*\*\* p = 0.05, \* $^{**}$  p = 0.05, \* $^{**}$  p < 0.05, \* $^{**}$  p < 0.05, \* $^{**}$  p = 0.05, \* $^{**}$  p =

Downloaded from content.onlinejacc.org by on March 30, 2010

We next investigated the gene expression in the hearts from untreated and treated Lmna+/+ and Lmna II222P/II222P female mice, because the beneficial effect of SCH00013 was prominent in female mice. In the hearts from untreated LmnaH222P/H222P mice, Nppa, Nppb, Myh7, and Myl7 messenger ribonucleic acids were significantly increased, and the upregulation of Nppa and Myl7 was significantly reduced in the treated mice (Online Fig. S6). We also found increased messenger ribonucleic acid expression of proto-oncogene Fos and extracellular matrix remodeling-related genes Tgfb1, Tgfb2, and Col1a2 in the untreated Lmna<sup>11222P/11222P</sup> mice, whereas these changes were suppressed by the treatment (Online Fig. S6). Left ventricles from the untreated  $Lmna^{H222P/H222P}$  mice showed 2.2-fold and 1.7-fold increases of Nppa and Mlc2 proteins, respectively, as compared with the untreated  $Lmna^{+/+}$  mice, and the increased expression was suppressed by the treatment (Online Fig. S7). In addition, we investigated whether the apoptotic signal was induced by the Lmna mutation, because there is an association among apoptosis, cardiac myocyte drop-out, ventricular remodeling, and deterioration of systolic performance in various experimental models of heart failure. However, the number of transferase-mediated dUTP nick-end labeling-positive cells was not increased in the hearts of Lmna 11222P/H222P mice, and western blot analyses showed no or little expression of Fas-L or Fas proteins, respectively, in the  $Lmna^{H222P/H222P}$  mice (Online Fig. S8). These results demonstrated that the apoptosis was not associated with the cardiac phenotypes in *Lmna*<sup>H2222P/H222P</sup> mice and suggested that loss of cardiomyocytes was caused by cell death mechanisms other than the apoptosis.

The molecular mechanisms for the beneficial effect of SCH00013 remained unclear, but it might be related to the phosphodiesterase III activity. This possibility is unlikely, however, because SCH00013 inhibited the phosphodiesterase III activity at much higher concentration (IC<sub>50</sub> = 64.9  $\mu$ mol/1) than the concentration at which it produced the positive inotropic effect (IC<sub>50</sub> = 9.2  $\mu$ mol/l) in guinea pig hearts (3); and we showed that the plasma concentration of SCH00013 in the LmnaH222P/H222P mice ranged from 1 to 2 \(\mu\text{mol/l}\), although we did not measure the concentration in the hearts. By contrast, because the Ca2+ sensitivity of cardiac muscle contraction was not decreased in the Lmna<sup>H222P/H222P</sup> mice at 3 months of age (Online Fig. S9), the Ca2+ sensitizing effect might not play a major role at the early stage, but the Ca2+ sensitizing effect of SCH00013 was enhanced in the stretched muscles (5), raising a possibility that the Ca<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity in the failed heart might be different. Although the molecular mechanisms should be clarified, our findings implied that the Ca2+ sensitizer could be a plausible option for preventing disease progression of DCM.

Takuro Arimura, DVM, PhD Rika Sato, MSc Noboru Machida, DVM, PhD Hidenori Bando, Dong-Yun Zhan, PhD Sachio Morimoto, PhD Ryo Tanaka, DVM, PhD Yoshihisa Yamane, DVM, PhD Gisèle Bonne, PhD \*Akinori Kimura, MD, PhD \*Department of Molecular Pathogenesis Medical Research Institute Tokyo Medical and Dental University 1-5-45 Yushima, Bunkyo-ku Tokyo 113-8510 Japan

E-mail: akitis@mri.tmd.ac.jp

doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2009.10.065

Please note: This work was supported in part by Grant-in-Aids from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan; grants for Japan-France collaboration research and Japan-Korea collaboration research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science; research grants from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan; the Program for Promotion of Fundamental Studies in Health Sciences of the National Institute of Biomedical Innovation; and from the "Association Francaise contre les Myopathies" (AFM) [Grant No. 11737]. We thank Dr. Masataka Hino, Zenyaku Kogyo Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan, for providing SCH00013 and for measuring blood concentration of SCH00013 in mice.

#### REFERENCES

- Kimura A. Molecular etiology and pathogenesis of hereditary cardiomyopathy. Circ J 2008;72 Suppl:A38-48.
- 2. Arimura T, Helbling-Leclerc A, Massart C, et al. Mouse model carrying H222P-Lmna mutation develops muscular dystrophy and dilated cardiomyopathy similar to human striated muscle laminopathies. Hum Mol Genet 2005;14:155–69.
- 3. Endoh M, Sugawara H, Mineshima M. Pharmacology of SCH00013: a novel Ca2+ sensitizer. Cardiovasc Drug Rev 2001;19:345-66.
- Perrone SV, Kaplinsky EJ. Calcium sensitizer agents: a new class of inotropic agents in the treatment of decompensated heart failure. Int J Cardiol 2005;103:248-55.
- 5. Tadano N, Morimoto S, Yoshimura A, et al. SCH00013, a novel Ca(2+) sensitizer with positive inotropic and no chronotropic action in heart failure. J Pharmacol Sci 2005;97:53-60.



For supplementary information and supplementary figure legends, please see the online version of this article.

#### **Letters to the Editor**

# A Meta-Analysis of Remote Monitoring of Heart Failure Patients

Structured disease management improves the prognosis of patients with chronic heart failure and has already been included in the current treatment guidelines. Along with better medication and increased use of defibrillators, planned periodic visits have also become routine in clinical practice. Remote patient monitoring (RPM) is a different type of structured disease management. Although the RPM systems (telephone support, network care, device-assisted monitoring) and health care environments are heterogeneous, the crucial difference from usual care is that RPM enables daily contact with healthcare experts and thus facilitates regular short-term evaluation of the disease status and early intervention. The elaborate meta-analysis by Klersy et al. (1) pointed out considerable benefits to be gained from RPM in terms

### 厚生労働科学研究費補助金 難治性疾患克服研究事業

## 「自己貪食空胞性ミオパチーの診断基準確立と治療法開発に関する研究」班 平成 22 年度総括・分担研究報告書

#### 研究代表者 杉江和馬

発 行 平成 23 年 3 月 31 日

発 行 所 厚生労働科学研究費補助金 難治性疾患克服研究事業

自己貪食空胞性ミオパチーの診断基準確立と治療法開発に関する研究班

事 務 局 公立大学法人 奈良県立医科大学 神経内科

〒634-8521 奈良県橿原市四条町 840

TEL:0744-29-8860

印 刷 吉村印刷社

〒634-0003 奈良県橿原市常盤町 214

TEL: 0744-24-3737

