Table 2. Clinicopathological characteristics and dUTP pyrophosphatase expression in hepatocellular carcinoma ($n = 82$)

dUTPase expression (nuclear)	Low ($n = 52$)	High ($n = 30$)	*P*-value
Age (< 60 years/≥60 years)	19/33	8/22	0.36
Sex (male/female)	36/16	23/7	0.47
Virus (HBV/HCV/B+C/NBNC)	15/33/1/3	10/20/0/0	0.48
Cirrhosis (yes/no)	33/19	22/8	0.36
AFP (< 20 ng/ml/≥20 ng/ml)	32/20	15/15	0.31
Histological grade*			
I–II	14	3	
II–III	36	20	
III–IV	2	7	0.0099
Tumour size (< 3 cm/≥3 cm)	31/21	19/11	0.74
TNM classification† (I, II/III, IV)	43/9	25/5	0.94
dUTPase expression (cytoplasmic)	**Low ($n = 27$)**	**High ($n = 55$)**	***P*-value**
Age (< 60 years/≥60 years)	10/17	17/38	0.58
Sex (male/female)	19/8	40/15	0.82
Virus (HBV/HCV/B+C/NBNC)	8/17/1/1	17/36/0/2	0.56
Cirrhosis (yes/no)	17/10	38/17	0.58
AFP (< 20 ng/ml/≥20 ng/ml)	16/11	31/24	0.80
Histological grade*			
I–II	7	10	
II–III	20	36	
III–IV	0	9	0.077
Tumour size (< 3cm/≥3 cm)	17/10	33/22	0.80
TNM classification† (I, II/III, IV)	21/6	47/8	0.39

*Edmondson–Steiner grades.
†UICC TNM classification of liver cancer, 6th edition (2002).
AFP, α-fetoprotein; dUTPase, dUTP pyrophosphatase; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus.

Table 3. Cox regression analysis of recurrence-free survival rate relative to dUTP pyrophosphatase expression and clinicopathological parameters ($n = 82$)

	Univariate		Multivariate	
Variables (n)	HR (95% CI)	*P*-value	HR (95% CI)	*P*-value
Child–Pugh				
A	1			
B	1.73 (0.50–5.97)	0.38		
Tumour size				
< 3 cm ($n = 50$)	1			
≥3 cm ($n = 32$)	1.58 (0.69–3.63)	0.28		
TNM stage*				
I, II ($n = 68$)	1		1	
III, IV ($n = 14$)	2.57 (1.05–6.29)	0.039	2.75 (1.11–6.79)	0.027
Serum AFP				
< 20 ng/ml ($n = 49$)	1			
≥20 ng/ml ($n = 38$)	1.54 (0.66–3.56)	0.31		
Microvascular invasion				
No	1			
Yes	1.98 (0.89–4.44)	0.095		
BCLC stage				
A	1			
B/C	2.16 (0.93–5.00)	0.07		
Cytoplasmic dUTPase				
Low ($n = 27$)	1			
High ($n = 55$)	1.15 (0.50–2.62)	0.73		
Nuclear dUTPase				
Low ($n = 52$)	1		1	
High ($n = 30$)	2.47 (1.08–5.66)	0.032	2.61 (1.13–6.05)	0.024

*UICC TNM classification of liver cancer, 6th edition (2002).
AFP, α-fetoprotein; CI, confidence intervals; dUTPase, dUTP pyrophosphatase; HR, hazard ratio.

for preventing DNA damage possibly at the S phase. Specifically, this activation may prevent dUTP misincorporation in various cancers and thus avert DNA damage and apoptosis induction. Indeed, dUTPase activation has recently been reported in colorectal and brain cancer (29, 30), and dUTPase accumulation might correlate with 5-FU-based chemotherapy resistance and poor prognosis in colorectal cancer (26).

If dUTPase activation plays a central role in the development of resistance to thymidylate synthase inhibitors in order to prevent a DNA damage response, dUTPase inhibition may facilitate the eradication of cancer cells by sensitizing these cells to such inhibitors. Indeed, a recent study suggested a drastic sensitization of colon cancer cells to 5-FU by siRNAs-mediated dUTPase suppression (31, 32), which is consistent with our current observation. Because all HCC samples used in this study were surgically resected, we could not evaluate the effect of dUTPase expression on clinical HCC patients' outcome in relation to chemosensitivity to thymidylate synthase inhibitors. Nevertheless, intense nuclear dUTPase expression may be a good biomarker for predicting the response to thymidylate synthase inhibitors, and its usefulness should be further evaluated in the future.

In conclusion, comprehensive gene expression profiling shed new light on the role of dUTPase in HCC. Nuclear dUTPase accumulation is potentially a good biomarker for predicting poor prognosis in HCC patients, and the development of a dUTPase inhibitor may promote the possibility of tumour eradication in HCC patients.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Ms Masayo Baba and Nami Nishiyama for technical assistance. This research was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Special Purposes from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan (no. 20599005).

Grant support: Grant-in-Aid for Special Purposes from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan (no. 20599005).

References

1. Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J, Pisani P. Global cancer statistics, 2002. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2005; **55**: 74–108.
2. El-Serag HB, Rudolph KL. Hepatocellular carcinoma: epidemiology and molecular carcinogenesis. *Gastroenterology* 2007; **132**: 2557–76.
3. Farazi PA, Depinho RA. Hepatocellular carcinoma pathogenesis: from genes to environment. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2006; **6**: 674–87.
4. Roessler S, Budhu A, Wang XW. Future of molecular profiling of human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Future Oncol* 2007; **3**: 429–39.
5. El-Serag HB, Marrero JA, Rudolph L, Reddy KR. Diagnosis and treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* 2008; **134**: 1752–63.
6. Llovet JM, Bruix J. Novel advancements in the management of hepatocellular carcinoma in 2008. *J Hepatol* 2008; **48**(Suppl. 1): S20–37.
7. Poon RT, Fan ST, Lo CM, Liu CL, Wong J. Long-term survival and pattern of recurrence after resection of small hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with preserved liver function: implications for a strategy of salvage transplantation. *Ann Surg* 2002; **235**: 373–82.
8. Friedman MA. Primary hepatocellular cancer–present results and future prospects. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1983; **9**: 1841–50.
9. Lin DY, Lin SM, Liaw YF. Non-surgical treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1997; **12**: S319–28.
10. Nagano H, Miyamoto A, Wada H, *et al.* Interferon-alpha and 5-fluorouracil combination therapy after palliative hepatic resection in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma, portal venous tumor thrombus in the major trunk, and multiple nodules. *Cancer* 2007; **110**: 2493–501.
11. Patt YZ, Hassan MM, Lozano RD, *et al.* Phase II trial of systemic continuous fluorouracil and subcutaneous recombinant interferon alfa-2b for treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2003; **21**: 421–7.
12. Urabe T, Kaneko S, Matsushita E, Unoura M, Kobayashi K. Clinical pilot study of intrahepatic arterial chemotherapy with methotrexate, 5-fluorouracil, cisplatin and subcutaneous interferon-alpha-2b for patients with locally advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncology* 1998; **55**: 39–47.
13. Obi S, Yoshida H, Toune R, *et al.* Combination therapy of intraarterial 5-fluorouracil and systemic interferon-alpha for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma with portal venous invasion. *Cancer* 2006; **106**: 1990–7.
14. Honda M, Yamashita T, Ueda T, *et al.* Different signaling pathways in the livers of patients with chronic hepatitis B or chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2006; **44**: 1122–38.
15. Nishino R, Honda M, Yamashita T, *et al.* Identification of novel candidate tumour marker genes for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *J Hepatol* 2008; **49**: 207–16.
16. Yamashita T, Honda M, Takatori H, *et al.* Genome-wide transcriptome mapping analysis identifies organ-specific gene expression patterns along human chromosomes. *Genomics* 2004; **84**: 867–75.
17. Yamashita T, Kaneko S, Hashimoto S, *et al.* Serial analysis of gene expression in chronic hepatitis C and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2001; **282**: 647–54.
18. Yamashita T, Honda M, Kaneko S. Application of serial analysis of gene expression in cancer research. *Curr Pharm Biotechnol* 2008; **9**: 375–82.
19. Yamashita T, Honda M, Takatori H, *et al.* Activation of lipogenic pathway correlates with cell proliferation and poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* 2009; **50**: 100–10.
20. Velculescu VE, Zhang L, Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW. Serial analysis of gene expression. *Science* 1995; **270**: 484–7.
21. Yamashita T, Hashimoto S, Kaneko S, *et al.* Comprehensive gene expression profile of a normal human liver. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2000; **269**: 110–6.
22. Polyak K, Xia Y, Zweier JL, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. A model for p53-induced apoptosis. *Nature* 1997; **389**: 300–5.
23. Misu H, Takamura T, Matsuzawa N, *et al.* Genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are coordinately upregulated with fasting hyperglycaemia in livers of patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2007; **50**: 268–77.
24. Longley DB, Harkin DP, Johnston PG. 5-fluorouracil: mechanisms of action and clinical strategies. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2003; **3**: 330–8.
25. Whitfield ML, George LK, Grant GD, Perou CM. Common markers of proliferation. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2006; **6**: 99–106.
26. Ladner RD, Lynch FJ, Groshen S, *et al.* dUTP nucleotidohydrolase isoform expression in normal and neoplastic tissues: association with survival and response to 5-fluorouracil in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res* 2000; **60**: 3493–503.
27. El-Hajj HH, Zhang H, Weiss B. Lethality of a dut (deoxyuridine triphosphatase) mutation in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* 1988; **170**: 1069–75.
28. Canman CE, Radany EH, Parsels LA, *et al.* Induction of resistance to fluorodeoxyuridine cytotoxicity and DNA damage in human tumor cells by expression of *Escherichia coli* deoxyuridinetriphosphatase. *Cancer Res* 1994; **54**: 2296–8.
29. Fleischmann J, Kremmer E, Muller S, *et al.* Expression of deoxyuridine triphosphatase (dUTPase) in colorectal tumours. *Int J Cancer* 1999; **84**: 614–7.
30. Romeike BF, Bockeler A, Kremmer E, *et al.* Immunohistochemical detection of dUTPase in intracranial tumors. *Pathol Res Pract* 2005; **201**: 727–32.
31. Koehler SE, Ladner RD. Small interfering RNA-mediated suppression of dUTPase sensitizes cancer cell lines to thymidylate synthase inhibition. *Mol Pharmacol* 2004; **66**: 620–6.

32. Wilson PM, Fazzone W, Labonte MJ, *et al.* Novel opportunities for thymidylate metabolism as a therapeutic target. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2008; **7**: 3029–37.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Fig. S1. Subcellular localization of genes detected in each SAGE library.

Fig. S2. Microarray analysis of *DUT* and *TS* gene expression in 238 HCC cases publicly available (GSE5975). *DUT* was overexpressed more than 2-fold in 121 of 238 HCC tissues (median: 2.03), whereas *TS* was overexpressed more than 2-fold in 54 of 238 HCC tissues (median: 1.41) compared with the non-cancerous liver tissues.

Fig. S3. (A) Transfection of siRNAs targeting *DUT* (DUT2) decreased *DUT* expression compared with the control (scrambled sequence). Gene expression was evaluated in triplicates 72 hours after transfection (mean ± SD). (B) *DUT* gene knockdown sensitized HuH7 cells to low-dose 5-FU (0.25 mg/ml) (mean ± SD).

Fig. S4. Nuclear and cytoplasmic dUTPase expression and cell proliferation in HCC. PCNA indexes in nuclear dUTPase-high HCC were higher than those in -low HCC with statistical significance ($P = 0.01$). Cytoplasmic dUTPase expression was not associated with PCNA indexes in HCC.

Table S1. A summary of constructed SAGE libraries.

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.