

Inokuma D, Sawamura D, Shibaki A, Abe R, Shimizu H	Scleroedema adutorum associated with sarcoidosis	Clin Exp Dermatol	34	e428-429	2009
Inokuma D, Kodama K, Natsuga K, Kasai M, Abe M, Nishie W, Abe R, Hashimoto T, Shimizu H	Autoantibodies against type XVII collagen C-terminal domain in a patient with bullous pemphigoid associated with psoriasis vulgaris	Br J Dermatol	160	451-454	2009
Hsu CK, Akiyama M, Nemoto-Hasebe I, Nomura T, Sandilands A, Chao SC, Lee JY, Sheu HM, McLean WH, Shimizu H	Analysis of Taiwanese ichthyosis vulgaris families further demonstrates differences in FLG mutations between European and Asian populations	Br J Dermatol	161	448-451	2009
Honda A, Abe R, Yoshihisa Y, Makino T, Matsunaga K, Nishihira J, Shimizu H, Shimizu T	Deficient deletion of apoptotic cells by macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) overexpression accelerates photocarcinogenesis	Carcinogenesis	30	1597-1605	2009
Hamasaka E, Akiyama M, Hata H, Aoyagi S, Shimizu H	Melanonychia caused by <i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i>	Clin Exp Dermatol	34	242-243	2009
Hamasaka A, Abe R, Koyama Y, Yoshioka N, Fujita Y, Hoshina D, Sasaki M, Hirasawa T, Onodera S, Ohshima S, Leng L, Bucala R, Nishihira J, Shimizu T, Shimizu H	DNA vaccination against macrophage migration inhibitory factor improves atopic dermatitis in murine models	J Allergy Clin Immunol	124	90-99	2009
Goto-Ohguchi Y, Nishie W, Akiyama M, Tateishi Y, Aoyagi S, Tsuji-Abe Y, Sawamura D, Ishii N, Hashimoto T, Shimizu H	A severe and refractory case of anti-p200 pemphigoid resulting in multiple skin ulcers and scar formation	Dermatology	218	265-271	2009
Bohgaki T, Atsumi T, Bohgaki M, Furusaki A, Kondo M, Sato-Matsumura KC, Abe R, Kataoka H, Horita T, Yasuda S, Amasaki Y, Nishio M, Sawada K, Shimizu H, Koike T	Immunological reconstitution after autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in patients with systemic sclerosis: relationship between clinical benefits and intensity of immunosuppression	J Rheumatol	36	1240-1248	2009
Asaka T, Akiyama M, Kitagawa Y, Shimizu H	Higher density of label-retaining cells in gingival epithelium	J Dermatol Sci	55	132-134	2009
Asaka T, Akiyama M, Domon T, Nishie W, Natsuga K, Fujita Y, Abe R, Kitagawa Y, Shimizu H	Type XVII collagen is a key player in tooth enamel formation	Am J Pathol	174	91-100	2009

Arita K, Abe R, Baba K, McGrath JA, Akiyama M, Shimizu H	A novel OSMR mutation in familial primary localized cutaneous amyloidosis in a Japanese family	J Dermatol Sci	55	64-65	2009
Ando S, Abe R, Sasaki M, Murata J, Inokuma D, Shimizu H	Bone marrow-derived cells are not the origin of the cancer stem cells in ultraviolet-induced skin cancer	Am J Pathol	174	595-560	2009
Akiyama M, Sakai K, Hayasaka K, Tabata N, Yamada M, Ujiie H, Shibaki A, Shimizu H	Conradi-Hunermann-Happle syndrome with abnormal lamellar granule contents	Br J Dermatol	160	1335-1337	2009
Abe R, Yoshioka N, Murata J, Fujita Y, Shimizu H	Granulysin as a marker for early diagnosis of the Stevens-Johnson syndrome	Ann Intern Med	151	514-515	2009
Murata I, Hozumi Y, Kawaguchi M, Katagiri Y, Yasumoto S, Kubo Y, Fujimoto W, Horikawa T, Kondo T, Kono M, Tomita Y, Suzuki T	Four Novel Mutations of the <i>ADAR1</i> Gene in Dyschromatosis Symmetrica Hereditaria	J Dermatol Sci	53	76-77	2009
Yoshizawa J, Hozumi Y, Katagiri Y, Kawaguchi M, Shimanuki M, Suzuki T	Mucinous eccrine nevus	<u>J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol</u>	23	348-349	2009
Konno T, Abe Y, Kawaguchi M, Kondo T, Tomita Y, Suzuki T	Functional analysis of OCA4 mutant sequences using <i>under white</i> mouse melanocytes	Pigment Cell Melanoma Res	22	235-237	2009
Oiso N, Kishida K, Fukai K, Motokawa T, Hosomi N, Suzuki T, Mitsuhashi Y, Tsuboi R, Kawada A	A Japanese piebald patient with auburn hair color associated with a novel mutation p.P832L in the <i>KIT</i> gene and a homozygous variant p.I120T in the <i>MC1R</i> gene	Br J Dermatol	161	468-469	2009
Konno T, Abe Y, Kawaguchi M, Storm K, Biervliet M, Courtens W, Kono M, Tomita Y, Suzuki T	Oculocutaneous albinism type 4: a boy of Moroccan descent with a novel mutation	Am J Med Genet A	149A	1773-1776	2009

V. 研究成果の刊行物・別刷

1 **Original article:** Resubmission JAAD-D-09-01180

2

3 **Title:** Revised nomenclature and classification of inherited ichthyoses: Results of the First
4 Ichthyosis Consensus Conference in Sorèze 2009

5

6 **Authors:** Vinzenz Oji (1), Gianluca Tadini (2), Masashi Akiyama (3), Claudine Blanchet Bardon
7 (4), Christine Bodemer (5), Emmanuelle Bourrat (4), Philippe Coudiere (6), John J. DiGiovanna (7)
8 , Peter Elias (8), Judith Fischer (9), Philip Fleckman (10), Michal Gina (11), John Harper (12),
9 Takashi Hashimoto (13), Ingrid Hausser (14), Hans Christian Hennies (15), Daniel Hohl (11), Alain
10 Hovnanian (16, 17), Akemi Ishida-Yamamoto (18), Witold K. Jacyk (19), Sancy Leachman (20),
11 Irene Leigh (21), Juliette Mazereeuw-Hautier (22), Leonard Milstone (23), Fanny Morice-Picard
12 (24), Amy S. Paller (25), Gabriele Richard (26), Matthias Schmuth (27, 28), Hiroshi Shimizu (3),
13 Eli Sprecher (29), Maurice Van Steensel (30), Alain Taïeb (24), Jorge R. Toro (31), Pierre Vabres
14 (32), Anders Vahlquist (33), Mary Williams (27), Heiko Traupe (1)

15

16 **Affiliations:** (1) Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Münster, Germany; (2) Centro
17 Malattie Cutanee Ereditarie, Istituto di Scienze Dermatologiche, IRCCS Ospedale Maggiore,
18 Milano, Italy; (3) Department of Dermatology, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine,
19 Sapporo, Japan; (4) Department of Dermatology, Saint-Louis Hospital, Paris, France; (5)
20 Department of Dermatology Necker Enfants Malades hospital (APHP)-University Paris V, National
21 Reference Centre for Genodermatoseis (MAGEC), France; (6) Pierre Fabre Dermatologie, Lavaur,
22 France; (7) Division of Dermatopharmacology, Department of Dermatology, The Warren Alpert
23 School of Medicine of Brown University, Providence, RI, USA; (8) Dermatology (190), VA
24 Medical Center, San Francisco, USA; (9) Centre National de Génotypage, Evry, France; (10)
25 University of Washington, Division of Dermatology, Seattle, USA; (11) Hospices Cantonaux -
26 Centre Hospitalier, Universitaire Vaudois, Service de Dermatologie des Hospices, Lausanne,

27 Switzerland; (12) Great Ormond Street Children's Hospital, London, UK; (13) Department of
28 Dermatology, Kurume University School of Medicine, Fukuoka, Japan; (14) Department of
29 Dermatology, University Hospital Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany; (15) Cologne Center for
30 Genomics, Division of Dermatogenetics, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany; (16)
31 Department of Genetics and Dermatology, Necker Enfants Malades hospital (APHP) - University
32 Paris V and INSERM U563 (17), France; (18) Department of Dermatology, Asahikawa Medical
33 College, Asahikawa, Japan; (19) Department of Dermatology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria,
34 Republic of SouthAfrica; (20) University of Utah Health Sciences Center, Salt Lake City, USA;
35 (21) Queen Mary & Westfield College, Centre for Cutaneous Research, Institute of Cell and
36 Molecular Science, Barts and the London Medical School Queen Mary, London, UK; (22)
37 Reference center for rare skin diseases, Department of Dermatology, Purpan hospital, Toulouse,
38 France; (23) Yale University, New Haven, USA; (24) Department of Dermatology and Pediatric
39 Dermatology, National Reference Center for Rare Skin Diseases, Hôpital St André, Bordeaux,
40 France; (25) Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Departments of Dermatology
41 and Pediatrics, Chicago, USA; (26) GeneDx, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA; (27) University of
42 California San Francisco, San Francisco, USA; (28) Department of Dermatology, Innsbruck
43 Medical University, Austria; (29) Department of Dermatology, Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center,
44 Tel Aviv, Israel; (30) Department of Dermatology, Maastricht University Medical Center and
45 GROW research school for oncology and developmental biology, University of Maastricht,
46 Maastricht, The Netherlands; (31) Genetics Epidemiology Branch, Division of Cancer
47 Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Rockville, USA; (32) Université de
48 Bourgogne, Department of Dermatology, Hôpital du Bocage, Dijon, France; (33) Department of
49 Medical Sciences, Dermatology and Venereology, Uppsala University, Sweden

50

51

52

53 **Corresponding author:**

54 Vinzenz Oji, MD

55 University Hospital Münster

56 Department of Dermatology

57 Von-Esmarch-Str. 58

58 48149 Münster

59 Germany

60 Tel.: + 49 (0) 251 83 56 501 (-57 278)

61 Fax: + 49 (0) 251 83 57 279

62 E-Mail: ojiv@uni-muenster.de; ojiv@mednet.uni-muenster.de

63

64 **Statement of funding sources:** The accommodation and travel costs of the participants and the
65 conference rooms of the Ichthyosis Consensus Conference were sponsored by the Laboratories
66 Pierre Fabre, Castres, France (contact.pf@pierre-fabre.com). Moreover our work is supported by
67 the *Network for Ichthyoses and Related Keratinization disorders* (NIRK, Bundesministerium für
68 Bildung und Forschung, GFGM01143901), by the *Foundation for Ichthyosis and Related Skin*
69 *Types* (F.I.R.S.T., North Wales, U.S.A.), and the *ichthyosis patient organisation of Germany*
70 (Selbsthilfe Ichthyose e. V.).

71

72 **Conflict of interest statement:** The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

73

74 **Reprint request:** Yes (>200 pieces)

75 **Text word count:** 11972 (main text document)

76 **Number of references:** 232

77 **Number of tables:** 10

78 **Number of figures:** 6

79

80 **Abstract (249 words):**

81 **Background:** Inherited ichthyoses belong to a large, clinically and etiologically heterogeneous
82 group of Mendelian disorders of cornification (MEDOC), typically involving the entire integument.
83 Over the recent years, much progress has been made defining their molecular causes. However,
84 there is no internationally accepted classification and terminology.

85 **Objective:** We sought to establish a consensus for the nomenclature and classification of inherited
86 ichthyoses.

87 **Methods:** The classification project started at the First World Conference on Ichthyosis in 2007. A
88 large international network of expert clinicians, skin pathologists and geneticists entertained an
89 interactive dialogue over two years, eventually leading to the first Ichthyosis Consensus Conference
90 held in Sorèze, France, 23.-24.1.2009, where subcommittees on different issues proposed
91 terminology that was debated until consensus was reached.

92 **Results:** It was agreed that at present, the nosology should remain clinically-based. *Syndromic* vs.
93 *non-syndromic* forms provide a useful major subdivision. Several clinical terms and controversial
94 disease names have been redefined: e.g., the group due to keratin mutations is referred to by the
95 umbrella term, *keratinopathic ichthyosis* (KPI) – under which are included *epidermolytic ichthyosis*
96 (EI), *superficial epidermolytic ichthyosis* (SEI), and *ichthyosis Curth-Macklin* (ICM); *autosomal*
97 *recessive congenital ichthyosis* (ARCI) is proposed as an umbrella term for the harlequin ichthyosis,
98 lamellar ichthyosis and the congenital ichthyosiform erythroderma group.

99 **Limitations:** As more becomes known about these diseases in the future, modifications will be
100 needed.

101 **Conclusion:** We have achieved an international consensus for the classification of inherited
102 ichthyosis that should be useful for all clinicians and can serve as reference point for future
103 research.

- 104 **Key words:** autosomal recessive congenital ichthyosis; ARCI; epidermolytic ichthyosis; EI;
105 genetics; histology; keratinopathic ichthyosis; KPI; Mendelian disorders of cornification; MEDOC;
106 superficial epidermolytic ichthyosis; ultrastructure

1 **Capsule summary (77 words):**

- 2 • Inherited ichthyoses belong to a large and heterogeneous group of Mendelian disorders of
3 cornification (MEDOC) and involve the entire integument.
- 4 • To reach a consensus on terminology and classification by a conference of experts and
5 provide an internationally accepted frame of reference.
- 6 • The classification should remain clinically-based and distinguish between *syndromic* vs.
7 *non-syndromic* ichthyosis forms.
- 8 • Bullous ichthyosis/EHK is redefined as *keratinopathic ichthyosis* (KPI). ARCI refers to
9 harlequin ichthyosis, lamellar ichthyosis and congenital ichthyosiform erythroderma.

1 The ichthyoses form part of a large, clinically and etiologically heterogeneous group of Mendelian
2 disorders of cornification (MEDOC) and typically involve all or most of the integument¹⁻³. During
3 the past few years, much progress has been made in defining the molecular basis of many of those
4 disorders, as well as in establishing genotype-phenotype correlations (reviewed in⁴⁻¹¹). However,
5 there is no universally accepted terminology and classification of the diseases considered under the
6 umbrella term “ichthyosis”. Hence, classification schemes and terminology continue to vary greatly
7 among European, North American and Asian countries. For example, the same entity may be
8 referred to as 'epidermolytic hyperkeratosis' (EHK), 'bullous congenital ichthyosiform
9 erythroderma' or 'bullous ichthyosis', depending on where it is diagnosed⁹. Therefore, a new
10 consensus project was initiated at the First World Conference on Ichthyosis 2007 in Münster,
11 Germany (<http://www.netzwerk-ichthyose.de/fileadmin/nirk/uploads/Program.pdf>). The subsequent
12 process of correspondence involved more than 37 dermatologists, skin pathologists, biologists and
13 geneticists active in the field of ichthyoses. The discussions led to the 2009 Ichthyosis Consensus
14 Conference (ICC) on the terminology and classification of inherited ichthyoses, held in Sorèze,
15 France (<http://www.netzwerk-ichthyose.de/index.php?id=28&L=1>). Subcommittees were formed to
16 address controversial issues including both terminology and nosology. The consensus achieved is
17 presented in Tables I-II. Tables III-VIII summarize the clinical and morphological findings of the
18 inherited ichthyoses. Importantly, the clinical classification developed at the conference is
19 consistent with current understanding of their molecular causes and pathophysiology as summarized
20 in Table IX, and should be readily amenable to modification as new information emerges.

21

22

23 **AIMS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE CONSENSUS REPORT**

24 The overall goal of the revised classification is to clarify the terminology of this heterogeneous
25 group of inherited skin diseases (Table I). The classification scheme and nosology should be easily
26 understandable for all clinicians, biologists, and for students. It should guide clinicians towards the
27 correct genotyping of their patients and facilitate communications with investigators. The proposed
28 classification (Table II.1-2) will need to be modified or expanded as new information accrues. A
29 pathophysiologic classification of the ichthyoses and all the MEDOC should be initiated in the
30 future (Table IX).

31

32

33 **RECOMMENDED REVISION OF THE TERMINOLOGY AND CLASSIFICATION OF**
34 **INHERITED ICHTHYOSIS**

35 The generic term ‘inherited ichthyosis’ refers to all diseases that are *Mendelian disorders of*
36 *cornification* (MEDOC) affecting all or most of the entire integument. The skin changes are
37 clinically characterized by hyperkeratosis and/or scaling. Despite concerns of some participants that
38 the term ‘ichthyosis’² is outmoded and sometimes inaccurate, the consensus was to retain it, as it is
39 too firmly entrenched in the literature and minds of clinicians to be abandoned. Hence, inherited
40 ichthyoses are regarded as one disease group within the greater group of MEDOC. For greater
41 clarity, we redefined some important clinical and dermatological terms that are in common usage
42 (Table I). Importantly, it is of note that the revised classification is based on a consented specific
43 definition of the term *autosomal recessive congenital ichthyosis* (ARCI); and a major change has
44 been achieved for the ichthyoses that are due to keratin mutations (see below).

45

46 **General framework for the revised classification system**

47 At present, molecular diagnosis is not available for all forms of ichthyosis, and access to genetic
48 diagnostics may be impeded by the high cost of analysis. Similarly, ultrastructural techniques are
49 not in common clinical use by pathologists and are not widely available to clinicians. Other
50 laboratory techniques, including light microscopy, narrow the differential diagnoses in some cases
51 (see ‘Diagnostic aspects’), but decisions regarding further testing, i.e. molecular diagnostics, rest
52 upon an initial, rigorous clinical evaluation. Therefore, the result of the consensus discussion
53 process is a clinically-based classification, in which the diseases are referenced with the causative
54 gene(s). Two principal groups are recognized: non-syndromic forms (Table II.1) and syndromic
55 forms (Table II.2). This algorithm is in the tradition of previous concepts^{3, 12-14} and based on the
56 following question:

- 57 • Is the phenotypic expression of the disorder only seen in the skin (prototypes: lamellar
58 ichthyosis and epidermolytic ichthyosis), or is it seen in the skin as well as in other organs
59 (prototypes: Sjögren-Larsson syndrome and trichothiodystrophy)?

60 Noteworthy, *recessive X-linked ichthyosis* (RXLI) is regarded as syndromic, when accompanied by
61 associated manifestations such as testicular maldescent, as well as non-syndromic, when ichthyosis
62 occurs as an ‘isolated type’³ without any extracutaneous signs. To facilitate the readability and
63 understanding of the long list of *autosomal ichthyosis syndromes*, subheadings have been
64 introduced that point to the prominent associated signs of the diseases, e. g. hair abnormalities or
65 neurologic signs (Table II.2).

66 Another question distinguishes between *congenital ichthyosis* and *ichthyoses of delayed onset*. This
67 criterion is important for *common ichthyoses* (Table III), namely ichthyosis vulgaris (IV) and RXLI,
68 which often have a delayed onset (Figure 1). However, early subtle skin changes may be
69 overlooked, e. g. RXLI may present with fine superficial scaling shortly after birth, which may fade
70 within weeks and resume again as a clear ichthyosis in latter life. Therefore, considering the high
71 variation of the initial disease presentation of some ichthyoses, e. g. *trichothiodystrophy*, the age of
72 onset has not been chosen as major criterion of classification.

73

74 **Classification of ARCI**

75 The acronym *ARCI* has been used as an umbrella term for non-syndromic disorders, e. g. *lamellar*
76 *ichthyosis* (LI) and *congenital ichthyosiform erythroderma* (CIE), as well as for syndromic types of
77 ichthyosis, such as *Netherton syndrome* (NS). We propose that ARCI should be used to exclusively
78 refer to *harlequin ichthyosis* (HI) and disorders of the ‘LI/CIE phenotypic spectrum’ (Table IV).
79 Harlequin ichthyosis (Figure 2a) was included, because functional null mutations in the *ABCA12*
80 gene cause the disease^{15, 16}, whereas missense mutations in the same gene may be associated with a

81 milder phenotype that shows collodion membrane at birth and develops into LI^{17, 18} or CIE^{19, 20},
82 often with palmoplantar keratoderma. Those infants with *harlequin ichthyosis*, who survive the
83 perinatal period, go on to express a severe and very scaling erythroderma²¹ (Figure 2b, c).

84 One difficulty of the ARCI classification is the limited genotype-phenotype correlation within the
85 ‘LI/CIE spectrum’. Mutations in six genes have been described in non-HI ARCI to date, including
86 *TGMI* the gene encoding transglutaminase-1 (TGase-1)^{22, 23}, the genes *ABCA12*¹⁷, *NIPAL4* (also
87 known as *ICHTHYIN*)²⁴, *CYP4F22*²⁵, and the lipoxygenase genes *ALOX12B* and *ALOXE3*²⁶. The
88 report of a large cohort of 520 affected families showed a mutation distribution of 32% for *TGMI*,
89 16% for *NIPAL4*, 12% for *ALOX12B*, 8% for *CYP4F22*, 5% for *ALOXE3*, and 5% for *ABCA12*²⁷,
90 which approximately correlated with the recent report of 250 patients²⁸. At least 22% of the cases
91 did not exhibit mutations in any of the known ARCI genes²⁷, implying that further loci must exist,
92 such as two loci on chromosome 12p11.2-q13^{29, 30}. A preliminary clinico-genetic correlation based
93 on the recent literature^{17-20, 22-45} and our discussions at the consensus conference is given in Table
94 II.1.

95 LI is characterized by coarse and brown/dark scaling (Figure 2e-f). Affected individuals are often
96 born with collodion membrane and pronounced ectropion (Figure 2d). CIE is characterized by fine
97 and white scaling with varying degrees of erythema (Figure 2g,h). Individuals with CIE may also be
98 born with collodion membrane (often less severe), and then transit to generalized fine scaling and
99 pronounced erythroderma^{31, 45}. The phenotypes can change over time and in response to treatment,
100 e. g. LI treated with oral retinoids can turn into an erythrodermic ichthyosis with a finer scale
101 pattern⁴⁶. In a recent North American study of 104 patients with non-HI ARCI, mutations in *TGMI*
102 were significantly associated with collodion membrane, ectropion, plate-like scales, and alopecia.
103 Patients, who had at least one mutation predicted to truncate TGase-1, were more likely to have
104 severe hypohidrosis and overheating than those with *TGMI* missense mutations only³⁵.

105 Clinically other minor ARCI variants/subtypes can be distinguished: *Bathing suit ichthyosis* (BSI)⁴⁷

106 has been attributed to particular *TGMI* mutations that render the enzyme sensitive to ambient
107 temperature (Figure 2i)^{32, 42, 43, 48}. The *self-healing collodion baby* (SHCB) representing
108 approximately 10% of all ARCI cases^{36, 49} has so far been associated with *TGMI* or *ALOX12B*
109 mutations^{37, 44}. The recently described *acral self-healing collodion baby*, i.e. at birth collodion
110 membranes are strictly localized to the extremities and then heal, can also be due to *TGMI*
111 mutations⁴¹.

112

113 **Classification of the keratinopathic ichthyoses (KPI)**

114 The term ‘epidermolytic hyperkeratosis’ (EHK) derives from the characteristic light microscopic
115 observation of intracellular vacuolisation, clumping of tonofilaments and formation of small
116 intraepidermal blisters as commonly seen in ichthyoses that are due to keratin mutations. Therefore
117 the term EHK is used (by some) as synonymous for ‘bullous ichthyosis’, ‘ichthyosis exfoliativa’,
118 ‘bullous congenital ichthyosiform erythroderma (of Brocq) (BCIE)’ or ‘ichthyosis bullosa of
119 Siemens’⁵⁰⁻⁵⁵. However, the light microscopic features of the cytoskeletal abnormalities due to
120 keratin mutations may not be observed in all instances⁵⁶⁻⁵⁹. To replace the long list of various
121 names, which have been used for these ichthyoses - those that are all due to keratin mutations - we
122 propose the novel umbrella term and definition *keratinopathic ichthyosis* (KPI) (Table I). In analogy
123 to the prevalent morphological key features, we then suggest the term *epidermolytic ichthyosis* (EI)
124 as a novel name for the specific disease spectrum that is accompanied by EHK at the ultrastructural
125 level. The term *epidermolytic hyperkeratosis* (EHK) should be used exclusively as an ultrastructural
126 or histopathological descriptor. We propose the novel disease name *superficial epidermolytic*
127 *ichthyosis* (SEI) for the well defined entity ‘ichthyosis bullosa Siemens’, which in contrast to EI
128 shows a more superficial pattern of epidermolysis, and is caused by mutations in keratin 2, rather
129 than in keratins 1 or 10, as in EI.

130 Clinically, *keratinopathic ichthyoses* show a broad spectrum of skin manifestations and severity

131 (Table V). Widespread skin blistering is characteristic of neonates with EI (Figure 3a), not seen
132 thereafter except for focal blisters. The blistering phenotype present at birth, which is due to loss of
133 mechanical resilience in the upper epidermis, evolves into a hyperkeratotic one (“phenotypic shift”)
134 (Figure 3c), which is suggested to be influenced primarily by the abnormal lamellar body (LB)
135 secretion, rather than corneocyte fragility⁶⁰. SEI (Figure 3d) has a milder phenotype than EI and
136 can be distinguished by the lack of erythroderma and by a characteristic “moulting” phenomenon
137 (Figure 3f). Here, light microscopy and ultrastructure reveal cytolysis that correlates with the
138 distinctive expression pattern of keratin 2 in the stratum granulosum or upper stratum spinosum⁶¹.
139 Different features such as distribution, erythema or blistering were used for separating patients with
140 EI into six clinical groups, but the most distinctive characteristic was the involvement of palms and
141 soles (PS 1-3 vs. NPS 1-3)⁶². Palmoplantar keratoderma (PPK) is usually predictive of a *KRT1*
142 mutation (Figure 3e). One explanation is that keratin 9, which is expressed in palms and soles, may
143 compensate for keratin 10 defect, whereas keratin 1 is the only type II keratin expressed in
144 palmoplantar skin⁶³⁻⁶⁵. However, PPK may occur with *KRT10* mutations as well⁶⁶.

145 Hence, similar to pachyonychia congenita or the epidermolysis bullosa simplex group, the vast
146 majority of the KPI arises from autosomal dominant mutations. The resulting mutant keratin is
147 normally expressed but interferes with the assembly and/or function of keratin intermediate
148 filaments (KIF), often leading to KIF aggregation and cytolysis. However, *KRT10* nonsense
149 mutations have been observed that do not lead to the usual “dominant negative effect” and cause an
150 autosomal recessive KPI form⁶⁷. Therefore, *autosomal recessive EI* (AREI) is listed as new
151 separate KPI. For *ichthyosis Curth Macklin* (ICM)^{57-59, 68}, which represents a very rare form of KPI
152 and shows a unique ultrastructure (described in Table V), we propose to omit the adjective “hystrix”
153 and retain the eponym Curth Macklin. Hystrix skin changes can be observed in other ichthyoses, e.
154 g. KID syndrome (Figure 5c), or in particular types of *ectodermal dysplasia* (ED)⁶⁹. The *annular*
155 *EI* (AEI) (Figure 3e), which is due to *KRT1* or *KRT10* mutations^{70, 71}, is classified as a clinical
156 variant of EI.

157 Importantly, linear *epidermolytic nevi*, i.e. those epidermal nevi exhibiting the histopathology of
 158 EHK, may indicate a somatic type 1 mosaicism for mutations in *KRT1* or *KRT10*, which, if also
 159 gonadal, can result in generalized EI in the patient's offspring (Figure 3g, a)⁷²⁻⁷⁴. Because
 160 recognition of this risk is important for genetic counseling, epidermolytic nevi have been shown
 161 here (in brackets) in the classification of KPI (Table I.1).

162

163 **Other diseases considered in the classification of inherited ichthyoses**

164 The inclusion of the disease entities into this classification of inherited ichthyosis rests upon an
 165 appropriate clinical disease description and our definition of inherited ichthyosis (see Table I). A
 166 detailed consented overview of the disease onset, initial clinical presentation, disease course,
 167 cutaneous and extracutaneous findings as well as of the skin ultrastructure is given for each entity:
 168 common forms of ichthyosis (Table III), autosomal recessive congenital ichthyoses (Table IV),
 169 keratinopathic ichthyoses and *congenital reticular ichthyosiform erythroderma* (CRIE) (Table V),
 170 other non-syndromic ichthyosis forms (Table VI), X-linked ichthyosis syndromes (Table VII), and
 171 autosomal ichthyosis syndromes with 'prominent hair abnormalities' (Table VIII.1), 'prominent
 172 neurological signs' (Table VIII.2), 'fatal disease course' (Table VIII.3) and 'other associated signs'
 173 (Table VIII.4).

174 Diseases that are classically regarded as ichthyosis in the previously published scientific literature
 175 and that will continue in the list of ichthyoses are: *Sjögren-Larsson syndrome*^{75, 76} (Figure 5b),
 176 *Refsum syndrome*^{77, 78}, *neutral lipid storage disease with ichthyosis* (also referred to as *Chanarin*
 177 *Dorfman syndrome*) (Figure 5g)^{40, 79, 80}, *ichthyosis follicularis-atrichia-photophobia* (IFAP)
 178 *syndrome* (Figure 5d)^{81, 82}, *Conradi-Hünemann-Happle syndrome* (CDPX2) (Figure 5f)^{83, 84},
 179 *multiple sulfatase deficiency* (MSD)^{85, 86}, *congenital reticular ichthyosiform erythroderma* (CRIE)
 180 also referred to as ichthyosis variegata⁸⁷ (and ichthyosis 'en confettis'⁸⁸) (Figure 4e), and
 181 *ichthyosis prematurity syndrome* (IPS)^{89, 90} (Figure 5e). In IPS affected pregnancies exhibit

182 abnormal amniotic fluid both on ultrasound and clinically⁹¹. It has to be distinguished from the *self-*
183 *healing collodion baby* (SHCB), because in both diseases the skin heals almost completely soon
184 after birth⁸⁹. Many advances in the heterogeneous field of *trichothiodystrophies* (TTDs) (Figure 5a)
185 have been made^{92, 93}. Recent studies on genotype-phenotype correlation distinguish the TTD
186 syndromes associated with ichthyosis of delayed onset or accompanied with collodion membrane
187 from other forms of TTD⁹⁴.

188 Diseases relatively new in the list of ichthyoses are loricrin keratoderma (LK) also referred to as
189 '*Camisa variant of Vohwinkel keratoderma*' (Figure 4c)⁹⁵⁻⁹⁷, the *cerebral dysgenesis-neuropathy-*
190 *ichthyosis-palmoplantar keratoderma* (CEDNIK) syndrome⁹⁸, the *arthrogryposis renal dysfunction*
191 *cholestasis* (ARC) syndrome⁹⁹⁻¹⁰¹, the *mental retardation-enteropathy-deafness-neuropathy-*
192 *ichthyosis-keratoderm* (MEDNIK) syndrome¹⁰², the *ichthyosis-hypotrichosis-sclerosing cholangitis*
193 (IHSC) syndrome (also known as NISCH syndrome)¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁵, the *ichthyosis hypotrichosis syndrome*
194 (IHS) (Figure 5i)¹⁰⁶ and its allelic variant *congenital ichthyosis-follicular atrophoderma-*
195 *hypotrichosis-hypohidrosis syndrome*^{107, 108}, and the *keratosis linearis-ichthyosis-congenital*
196 *sclerosing keratoderma* (KLICK) (Figure 4f)^{109, 110}.

197 *Erythrokeratoderma variabilis* (EKV)¹¹¹⁻¹¹³, which is characterized by migratory erythematous
198 patches and more fixed, symmetric hyperkeratotic plaques often with palmoplantar involvement
199 (Figure 4b), is genetically heterogeneous and can in 50-65%¹¹⁴ be caused by mutations in *GJB3*
200 coding for the gap junction protein connexin 31¹¹⁵, or *GJB4* coding for connexin 30.3¹¹⁶. Whether
201 *progressive symmetric erythrokeratoderma* (PSEK)^{111, 112} that has a considerable clinical overlap
202 with EKV¹¹³ harbors a distinct MEDOC form, is debated and depends on future genetic data. At
203 present, it is known that PSEK is heterogeneous; and patients of two families diagnosed with PSEK
204 were found to have the same GJB4 mutation as others with EKV^{114, 117}. Previously
205 *erythrokeratoderma* was differentiated from the ichthyosis group, as it is not generalized in most
206 cases. However, the majority of the participants felt that the inclusion of EKV into this

207 classification is appropriate and useful – in accordance with *keratitis ichthyosis deafness* (KID)
 208 *syndrome*^{118, 119} (Figure 5c) that is identical to ichthyosis hystrix type Rheydt¹²⁰ or hystrix-like
 209 ichthyosis deafness syndrome³. KID syndrome is due to heterozygous mutations in *GJB2*
 210 (connexin 26)¹²¹. Especially patients with congenital presentation have generalized skin
 211 involvement. In special cases it may overlap with *Clouston syndrome* that is caused by mutations in
 212 *GJB6* (connexin 30)^{69, 122}.

213 One could argue that *Netherton syndrome* (NS)¹²³ (Figure 5h) should not be classified with the
 214 ichthyoses, since it is characterized by premature desquamation and a thinner rather than thicker
 215 SC. However, the clinical features often overlap with the CIE phenotype, and scaling is a common
 216 clinical feature. Hence, the consensus was to retain the disorder in the classification. The *peeling*
 217 *skin disease* (PSD) (Figure 4d)¹²⁴ has to be differentiated from *Netherton syndrome* (NS). Unlike
 218 NS, the disease does not show hair anomalies, is not due to *SPINK5* mutations¹²⁵, and shows
 219 different immunochemical features¹²⁶. It may also be accompanied by atopic diathesis^{3, 124}, but
 220 despite the name we tend to classify the disorder as non-syndromic form.

221

222 **Diseases related to inherited ichthyoses**

223 A certain number of MEDOC forms can be regarded as phenotypically and/or etiologically related
 224 to ichthyosis, or have to be considered as differential diagnoses. Examples are palmoplantar
 225 keratoderma, which sometimes show non-acral involvement, e. g. *Vohwinkel keratoderma*¹²⁷ also
 226 caused by a particular dominant *GJB2* mutations (connexin 26)¹²⁸, *Mal de Meleda*¹²⁹ caused by
 227 recessive *SLURP1* mutations¹³⁰, and *Papillon-Lefèvre syndrome*¹³¹ caused by recessive *CTSC*
 228 mutations encoding cathepsin C¹³². Mutations in keratin 5 or 14 cause *epidermolysis bullosa*
 229 *simplex* (EBS)^{133, 134}, which can initially present with severe neonatal blistering clinically
 230 indistinguishable from *epidermolytic ichthyosis*^{62, 65, 135}. Importantly, hypohidrosis - a common
 231 symptom in ichthyoses, especially ARCI¹³⁶ - represents one main criterion for the heterogeneous

232 group of ED ^{137, 138}. Generalized erythroderma with scaling, and even collodion membranes, have
233 been described in single cases of *hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia* (ED) ^{139, 140}. One important
234 differential diagnosis of *harlequin ichthyosis* (or severe collodion babies) is lethal *restrictive*
235 *dermopathy* ¹⁴¹⁻¹⁴³, which is associated with intrauterine growth retardation, congenital contractures,
236 tight skin, and ectropion, but does not develop hyperkeratosis and scaling. Another perinatal lethal
237 syndrome, the *Neu-Laxova syndrome*, should be considered in neonates with ichthyosis and
238 multiple anomalies, including tight translucent skin similar to that in restrictive dermopathy,
239 abnormal facies with exophthalmos, marked intrauterine growth retardation, limb deformities and
240 CNS anomalies ¹⁴⁴. *Congenital hemidysplasia-ichthyosiform nevus-limb defect* (CHILD) syndrome
241 ¹⁴⁵ that is strictly limited to one half of the body does not fulfill the ichthyosis criterion of a
242 *generalized* cornification disorder and is here considered 'ichthyosis-related'. *Conradi-Hünemann-*
243 *Happle* (CDPX2) and CHILD syndrome are both caused by an enzyme defect within the distal
244 cholesterol biosynthetic pathway due to X-linked dominant mutations in the *EBP* (CDPX2) and
245 *NSDHL* gene (CHILD), respectively ^{84, 146}. CDPX2 may present with severe CIE or collodion
246 membrane and is therefore regarded as an ichthyosis (Figure 4f) ¹⁴⁷. *Darier disease* ^{148, 149} and
247 *Hailey-Hailey disease* ¹⁵⁰ are autosomal dominant common genodermatoses often referred to as
248 'acantholytic disorders'. They represent MEDOC forms, in which the formation and/or stability of
249 the keratinocytic desmosomal adhesion is altered by a defect of a sarco(endo)plasmic reticulum
250 Ca²⁺-ATPase pump (Darier: *ATP2A2* gene) or a secretory Ca²⁺/Mn²⁺-ATPase pump of the Golgi
251 apparatus (Hailey-Hailey: *ATP2C1* gene) ^{151, 152}. The typical lesions of *Darier disease* - usually
252 beginning in adolescence - are tiny keratotic papules, have a firmly adherent keratin cap, and are
253 most often found in the seborrheic areas (nape), scalp and extremities, but generalized involvement
254 is very rare.