

Fig. 1 Mean change from baseline in serum HBV DNA level by PCR assay through 22 weeks in patients treated with entecavir (ETV) 0.01, 0.1, and 0.5 mg and lamivudine 100 mg. Mean change in serum HBV DNA level was plotted as a function of time after the initiation of the protocol therapy (weeks). Data expressed as mean ± SE

significant dose–response relationship between log_{10} entecavir dose and reduction in log_{10} serum HBV DNA level ($P < 0.0001$).

Mean change (from baseline) in serum HBV DNA level at week 22 for the lamivudine 100 mg group was −4.29 log_{10} copies/ml (Fig. 1; Table 2). Estimated mean differences (95% CI) in serum HBV DNA level (after adjustment for baseline level and HBeAg status) were −0.39 (−0.83 to 0.05) log_{10} copies/ml between the entecavir 0.1 mg and lamivudine 100 mg groups and −0.62 (−1.06 to −0.18) log_{10} copies/ml between the entecavir 0.5 mg and lamivudine 100 mg groups, indicating the noninferiority of the entecavir 0.1 and 0.5 mg groups to the lamivudine 100 mg group and the superiority of the entecavir 0.5 mg group to the lamivudine 100 mg group ($P = 0.007$) (Table 2). In contrast, the entecavir 0.01 mg group was significantly inferior to the lamivudine 100 mg group (estimated mean difference = 1.20 [0.69–1.71]; $P < 0.0001$) (Table 2).

The secondary efficacy end point of a reduction in serum HBV DNA level 2 log_{10} copies/ml or more or HBV DNA level less than 400 copies/ml by PCR assay was achieved by 88.6% of patients in the entecavir 0.01 mg group and by 100% of patients in the entecavir 0.1 and 0.5 mg groups at week 22. Ninety-seven percent of patients in the lamivudine 100 mg group achieved this end point at week 22. HBV DNA level less than 0.7 MEq/ml by bDNA assay was achieved by 65.7%, 94.1%, and 100% of patients in the 0.01, 0.1, and 0.5 mg entecavir groups, respectively, and by 93.9% of patients in the lamivudine 100 mg treatment group.

Serologic response

Among HBeAg-positive patients, there was no significant difference between seroconversion rates at week 22 for the entecavir 0.01, 0.1, and 0.5 mg treatment groups (10.0%, 13.3%, and 3.6%, respectively) versus the lamivudine 100 mg treatment group (3.3%; Table 2). All patients who lost HBeAg also experienced HBeAg seroconversion.

Biochemical response

At baseline, elevated serum ALT levels (>1.25 × ULN) were present in more than 90% of patients in all four treatment groups. At week 22, normal serum ALT levels (World Health Organization grade 0, <1.25 × ULN) were recorded in similar proportions of patients in the entecavir 0.01, 0.1, and 0.5 mg treatment groups (75.0%, 85.3%, and 80.0% of patients, respectively) and the lamivudine treatment group (78.1% of patients), with no significant intergroup difference (Table 2).

Response

Response (HBV DNA level <0.7 MEq/ml by bDNA assay, HBeAg loss, and serum ALT level <1.25 × ULN for HBeAg-positive patients and HBV DNA level <0.7 MEq/ml by bDNA assay and serum ALT <1.25 × ULN for HBeAg-negative patients) was achieved by 14.3%, 20.6%, and 15.6% of patients in the entecavir 0.01, 0.1, and 0.5 mg

Table 2 Differences in HBV DNA levels between entecavir dose groups by PCR at week 22 in evaluable subjects

	0.1 mg ETV–0.01 mg ETV ($n = 34$, $n = 35$)	0.5 mg ETV–0.01 mg ETV ($n = 32$, $n = 35$)	0.5 mg ETV–0.1 mg ETV ($n = 32$, $n = 34$)
Estimated difference[a] (log_{10} copies/ml)	−1.61	−1.95	−0.23
Standard error	0.24	0.24	0.19
95% Confidence interval[b]	−2.20, −1.02	−2.53, −1.37	−0.69, 0.23
P-value	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.227

[a] Estimated differences are regression-adjusted for baseline serum HBV DNA and HBeAg status

[b] 95% Confidence interval is adjusted by modified Bonferroni procedures

ETV entecavir

Table 3 Virology and biochemical responses at week 22 and comparison of entecavir treatment groups with lamivudine in evaluable subjects

Response	ETV 0.01 mg ($n = 35$)	ETV 0.1 mg ($n = 34$)	ETV 0.5 mg ($n = 32$)	LVD 100 mg ($n = 33$)
HBV DNA by PCR assay				
Reduction from baseline at week 22 (log_{10} copies/ml), mean ± S.E.	−3.11 ± 0.18	−4.77 ± 0.17	−5.16 ± 0.13	−4.29 ± 0.18
HBV DNA estimated difference[a] (vs. LVD) (log_{10} copies/ml)	1.20	−0.39	−0.62	–
Standard error	0.26	0.22	0.22	–
95% Confidence interval	0.69, 1.71	−0.83, 0.05	−1.06, -0.18	–
P-value	<0.0001[b]	0.081	0.007[c]	–
HBV DNA by Roche Amplicor™ PCR assay				
Change in log_{10} HBV DNA reduction >2 or HBV DNA <400 copies/ml at week 22, *n* (%)	31 (88.6)	34 (100)	32 (100)	32 (97.0)
P-value (vs. LVD)	0.206	NR[d]	NR[d]	–
HBV DNA by Quantiplex assay				
HBV DNA <0.7 MEq/ml (2.5 pg/ml) at week 22, *n* (%)	23 (65.7)	32 (94.1)	32 (100)	31 (93.9)
P-value (vs. LVD)	0.002	1.000	NR[d]	–
Normalization of ALT levels[e]				
At week 22, *n/n* with abnormal baseline (%)	24/32 (75.0)	29/34 (85.3)	24/30 (80.0)	25/32 (78.1)
P-value (vs. LVD)	0.842	0.439	0.880	–
Loss of HBeAg and seroconversion at week 48[f]				
HBeAg loss, n/n HBeAg positive at baseline (%)	3/30 (10.0)	4/30 (13.3)	1/28 (3.6)	1/30 (3.3)
HBeAg seroconversion	3/30 (10.0)	4/30 (13.3)	1/28 (3.6)	1/30 (3.3)
P-value (vs. LVD)	0.605	0.350	1.000	–
Response[g] at week 22, *n* (%)	5 (14.3)	7 (20.6)	5 (15.6)	3 (9.1)
P-value (vs. LVD)	0.735	0.190	0.480	–

[a] Estimated differences are regression-adjusted for baseline HBV DNA and HBeAg status

[b] Two-sided test indicates inferiority of the entecavir 0.01 mg dose

[c] Two-sided test indicates superiority of the entecavir dose

[d] Not reported because expected counts <5

[e] WHO grade 0, ALT <1.25 × upper limit of normal

[f] Seroconversion was defined as disappearance of HBe-antigen and appearance of HBe-antibody

[g] Response was defined as HBV DNA levels <0.7 MEq/ml, HBeAg negativity and ALT <1.25 × ULN for HBeAg-positive patients and HBV DNA levels <0.7 MEq/ml and ALT <1.25 × ULN for HBeAg-negative patients

ETV entecavir

LVD lamivudine

treatment groups, respectively, and by 9.1% of patients in the lamivudine treatment group at week 22, and there were no significant differences in the rates of response between the four treatment groups (Table 2).

Resistance analysis

During the treatment period, serum HBV DNA level increased by 1 log_{10} copies/ml or more from its nadir in one patient in the entecavir 0.01 mg group and one patient in the lamivudine 100 mg group. Nucleotide sequence analysis of the DNA polymerase coding region, using viral samples collected from these two patients at day 1 and at week 22, revealed no lamivudine-resistance substitutions (rt180 and rt204 amino acid residues) [17, 18] or entecavir-resistance substitutions (rt184, rt202, and rt250 amino acid residues) [19].

Safety

During the study, adverse events were experienced by similar proportions of patients in the entecavir 0.01, 0.1, and 0.5 mg groups and the lamivudine 100 mg treatment group (97.1%, 97.1%, 91.2%, and 100.0%, respectively). Most adverse events were of mild or moderate intensity (grade 1/2) and transient. The most frequently reported adverse events (affecting ≥ 10% of patients in any one treatment group) included nasopharyngitis, headache, and

Table 4 Summary of adverse events and laboratory abnormalities during the 24-week blinded treatment phase

	ETV 0.01 mg ($n = 35$)	ETV 0.1 mg ($n = 34$)	ETV 0.5 mg ($n = 34$)	LVD 100 mg ($n = 34$)
Any adverse events	34 (97)	33 (97)	31 (91)	34 (100)
Most frequent clinical adverse events,[a] *n* (%)				
Nasopharyngitis	9 (25.7)	10 (29.4)	11 (32.4)	10 (29.4)
Headache	6 (17.1)	7 (20.6)	2 (5.9)	7 (20.6)
Diarrhea	1 (2.9)	1 (2.9)	4 (11.8)	4 (11.8)
Grade 3/4 clinical adverse events, *n* (%)	0	0	1 (2.9)	1 (2.9)
Grade 3/4 laboratory adverse events, *n* (%)	2 (5.7)	4 (11.8)	2 (5.9)	4 (11.8)
Any serious adverse events, *n* (%)	0	1 (2.9)	2 (5.9)	1 (2.9)
Discontinuations due to adverse events,[b] *n* (%)	0	0	1 (2.9)	1 (2.9)
ALT flares,[c] *n* (%)	0	1 (2.9)	1 (2.9)	2 (5.9)
Death, *n* (%)	0	0	0	0

[a] Occurring in at least 10% of patients

[b] One patient treated with ETV 0.5 mg discontinued the study drug due to hepatic cirrhosis. One patient treated with lamivudine discontinued due to increased ALT

[c] ALT flare defined ALT >2 × baseline and 10 × ULN

ETV entecavir

LVD lamivudine

diarrhea (Table 4). Grade 3/4 clinical adverse events occurred in one patient in the entecavir 0.5 mg group (colon carcinoma) and one patient in the lamivudine group (anal ulcer); neither of these events was considered to be related to the study drug. Serious adverse events were limited to the above-mentioned case of colon carcinoma, serum ALT elevation (entecavir 0.1 mg group [$n = 1$], entecavir 0.5 mg group [$n = 1$]), and serum aspartate aminotransferase (AST)/ALT elevation (lamivudine 100 mg group [$n = 1$]), but these were not considered to be causally related to the study drug and did not necessitate treatment discontinuation. Transient ALT flares (serum ALT >2 × baseline level and >10 × ULN) occurred in four patients (entecavir 0.1 mg group [$n = 1$], entecavir 0.5 mg group [$n = 1$], and lamivudine 100 mg group [$n = 2$]) and were associated with HBV DNA level decreases of 2 $\log_{10}$ copies/ml or more. None of the ALT flares were associated with hepatic decompensation and serum ALT and AST levels recovered to less than 1.25 × baseline level on continuation of the study treatment.

Discussion

The global ETV-005 study reported that entecavir was superior to lamivudine at reducing viral load in nucleoside-naive patients with CHB infection [15]. We conducted the present study, using an identical design to the ETV-005 study, to determine whether the findings from this earlier study are applicable to Japanese patients. In keeping with the previous findings, our results indicate that entecavir produces a dose-related reduction in serum HBV DNA level ($0.01 < 0.1 \leq 0.5$ mg) in nucleoside-naive Japanese patients with CHB; the log dose–response curves for the reduction in serum HBV DNA level with entecavir in the two studies were similar, with estimated regression curve slopes of –1.24 (Japanese study) and –1.32 (global study). In addition, both studies demonstrated the noninferiority of the entecavir 0.1 mg group compared with the lamivudine 100 mg group and the superiority of the entecavir 0.5 mg group compared with the lamivudine 100 mg group. The demonstration of a dose–response relationship for entecavir and the superiority of the entecavir 0.5 mg dose over lamivudine confirm that the antiviral activity of entecavir in Japanese patients is similar to that observed in study ETV-005. In a previous study, Ono et al. [14] demonstrated that the in vitro potency of entecavir was up to 2,200 times greater than that of lamivudine. The results presented here substantiate these earlier in vitro data and confirm the greater potency of entecavir over lamivudine in patients with CHB.

Serum ALT normalization rates with entecavir 0.5 mg and lamivudine 100 mg (~80%) were higher in the present study than those reported in the ETV-005 study (entecavir 0.5 mg, 69.0%; lamivudine 100 mg, 59.1%) [15]. In keeping with previous findings [20, 21], the incidence of entecavir-associated serum ALT flares in Japanese patients was low. The serum ALT flares occurred against a background of 2 $\log_{10}$ copies/ml or more reductions in serum

HBV DNA level, and serum ALT levels subsequently normalized without discontinuation of entecavir. Therefore, the serum ALT flare noted here may indicate recovery of the host's immune response arising from the reduction in HBV viral titer [22, 23]. ALT flares have been reported after the discontinuation of entecavir therapy [15, 16], thus necessitating long-term follow-up to identify possible posttreatment viral rebound.

In conclusion, the results of this dose-ranging study demonstrate a clear dose–response relationship for entecavir in terms of mean HBV DNA level reduction at week 22. Entecavir 0.5 mg was significantly more effective than lamivudine 100 mg in reducing HBV DNA levels in nucleoside-naive Japanese adult patients with CHB. At this dose level, entecavir treatment resulted in serum HBV DNA levels of less than 400 copies/ml in 100% of patients and normalization of serum ALT levels in 80% of patients after 22 weeks. Moreover, entecavir 0.5 mg once daily was well tolerated and showed a comparable safety profile to lamivudine.

References

1. Maddrey WC. Hepatitis B: an important public health issue. J Med Virol 2000;61:362–366. doi:10.1002/1096-9071(200007)61:3<362::AID-JMV14>3.0.CO;2-I
2. Kao JH, Chen DS. The natural history of hepatitis B virus infection. In Lai CL, Locarnini S, editors. Hepatitis B Virus. London: International Medical Press; 2002. 161–172
3. Lok AS, McMahon BJ. American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) practice guidelines. Chronic Hepatitis B. Hepatology 2007;45:507–539. doi:10.1002/hep.21513
4. Rivkin A. A review of entecavir in the treatment of chronic hepatitis B infection. Curr Med Res Opin 2005;21:1845–1856. doi:10.1185/030079905X65268
5. Wong DKH, Cheung AM, O'Rourke K, Naylor CD, Detsky AS, Heathcote J. Effect of alpha-interferon treatment in patients with hepatitis B e antigen-positive chronic hepatitis B. A meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med 1993;119:312–323
6. Allen MI, Deslauriers M, Andrews CW, Tipples GA, Walters KA, Tyrrell DL, et al. Identification and characterization of mutations in hepatitis B virus resistant to lamivudine. Lamivudine Clinical Investigation Group. Hepatology 1998;27:1670–1677. doi:10.1002/hep.510270628
7. Chang TT, Lai CL, Chien RN, Guan R, Lim SG, Lee CM, et al. Four years of lamivudine treatment in Chinese patients with chronic hepatitis B. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2004;19(11):1276–1282. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1746.2004.03428.x
8. Liaw YF, Sung JJ, Chow WC, Farrell G, Lee CZ, Yuen H, et al. Lamivudine for patients with chronic hepatitis B and advanced liver disease. N Engl J Med 2004;351(15):1521–1531. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa033364
9. Omata M. Treatment of chronic hepatitis B infection. N Engl J Med 1998;339:114. doi:10.1056/NEJM199807093390209
10. Liaw YF, Leung N, Kao JH, Piratvisuth T, Gane E, Han KH, et al. Asian-Pacific consensus statement on the management of chronic hepatitis B: a 2008 update. Hepatol Int 2008;2:263–283. doi:10.1007/s12072-008-9080-3
11. Genovesi EV, Lamb L, Medina I, Taylor D, Seifer M, Innaimo S, et al. Efficacy of the carbocyclic 2′-deoxyguanosine nucleoside BMS-200475 in the woodchuck model of hepatitis B virus infection. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1998;42:3209–3217
12. Marion PL, Salazar FH, Winters MA, Colonno RJ. Potent efficacy of entecavir (BMS-200475) in a duck model of hepatitis B virus replication. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2002;46:82–88. doi:10.1128/AAC.46.1.82-88.2002
13. Colonno RJ, Rose R, Baldick CJ, Levine S, Pokornowski K, Yu CF, et al. Entecavir resistance is rare in nucleoside naïve patients with hepatitis B. Hepatology 2006;44:1656–1665. doi:10.1002/hep.21422
14. Ono SK, Kato N, Shiratori Y, Kato J, Goto T, Schinazi RF, et al. The polymerase L528M mutation cooperates with nucleotide binding-site mutations, increasing hepatitis B virus replication and drug resistance. J Clin Invest 2001;107:449–455. doi:10.1172/JCI11100
15. Lai CL, Rosmawati M, Lao J, Van Vlierberghe H, Anderson FH, Thomas N, et al. Entecavir is superior to lamivudine in reducing hepatitis B virus DNA in patients with chronic hepatitis B infection. Gastroenterology 2002;123:1831–1838. doi:10.1053/gast.2002.37058
16. Chang TT, Gish RG, Hadziyannis SJ, Cianciara J, Rizzetto M, Schiff ER, et al. A dose-ranging study of the efficacy and tolerability of entecavir in lamivudine-refractory chronic hepatitis B patients. Gastroenterology 2005;129:1198–1209. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2005.06.055
17. Ling R, Mutimer D, Ahmed M, Boxall EH, Elias E, Dusheiko GM, et al. Selection of mutations in the hepatitis B virus polymerase during therapy of transplant recipients with lamivudine. Hepatology 1996;24:711–713. doi:10.1002/hep.510240339
18. Tipples GA, Ma MM, Fischer KP, Bain VG, Kneteman NM, Tyrrell DLJ. Mutation in HBV RNA-dependent DNA polymerase confers resistance to lamivudine in vivo. Hepatology 1996;24:714–717
19. Tenney DJ, Levine SM, Rose RE, Walsh AW, Weinheimer SP, Discotto L, et al. Clinical emergence of entecavir-resistant hepatitis B virus requires additional substitutions in virus already resistant to lamivudine. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004;48:3498–3507. doi:10.1128/AAC.48.9.3498-3507.2004
20. Chang TT, Gish R, de Man R, Gadano A, Sollano J, Chao YC, et al. A comparison of entecavir and lamivudine for HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B. N Engl J Med 2006;354:1074–1076. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa051285
21. Sherman M, Yurdaydin C, Sollano J, Silva M, Liaw Y-F, Cianciara J, et al. Entecavir is superior to continued lamivudine for the treatment of lamivudine-refractory. HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B. Gastroenterology 2006;130:2039–2049
22. Boni C, Bertoletti A, Penna A, Cavalli A, Pilli M, Urbani S, et al. Lamivudine treatment can restore T cell responsiveness in chronic hepatitis B. J Clin Invest 1998;102:968–975. doi:10.1172/JCI3731
23. Nair S, Perrillo RP. Serum alanine aminotransferase flares during interferon treatment of chronic hepatitis B: is sustained clearance of HBV DNA dependent on levels of pretreatment viremia? Hepatology 2001;34:1021–1026. doi:10.1053/jhep.2001.28459

ELSEVIER

Journal of Hepatology 51 (2009) 1046–1054

Journal of Hepatology

www.elsevier.com/locate/jhep

Absence of viral interference and different susceptibility to interferon between hepatitis B virus and hepatitis C virus in human hepatocyte chimeric mice☆

Nobuhiko Hiraga[1,2], Michio Imamura[1,2], Tsuyoshi Hatakeyama[1], Shosuke Kitamura[1], Fukiko Mitsui[1,b], Shinji Tanaka[1], Masataka Tsuge[1,2], Shoichi Takahashi[1,2], Hiromi Abe[2,3], Toshiro Maekawa[2,3], Hidenori Ochi[2,3], Chise Tateno[2,4], Katsutoshi Yoshizato[2,4,5], Takaji Wakita[6], Kazuaki Chayama[1,2,3,*]

[1]*Department of Medicine and Molecular Science, Division of Frontier Medical Science, Programs for Biomedical Research, Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Hiroshima University, 1-2-3 Kasumi, Minami-ku, Hiroshima 734-8551, Japan*
[2]*Liver Research Project Center, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan*
[3]*Laboratory for Liver Diseases, SNP Research Center, The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research (RIKEN), Yokohama, Japan*
[4]*PhoenixBio Co., Ltd., Higashihiroshima, Japan*
[5]*Osaka City University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan*
[6]*Department of Virology II, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Shinjuku-ku, Japan*

***Background/Aims*: Both hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) replicate in the liver and show resistance against innate immunity and interferon (IFN) treatment. Whether there is interference between these two viruses is still controversial. We investigated the interference between these two viruses and the mode of resistance against IFN.**

***Methods*: We performed infection experiments with either or both of the two hepatitis viruses in human hepatocyte chimeric mice. Huh7 cell lines with stable production of HBV were also established and transfected with HCV JFH1 clone. Mice and cell lines were treated with IFN. The viral levels in mice sera and culture supernatants and messenger RNA levels of IFN-stimulated genes were measured.**

***Results*: No apparent interference between the two viruses was seen *in vivo*. Only a small (0.3 log) reduction in serum HBV and a rapid reduction in HCV were observed after IFN treatment, regardless of infection with the other virus. In *in vitro* studies, no interference between the two viruses was observed. The effect of IFN on each virus was not affected by the presence of the other virus. IFN-induced reductions of viruses in culture supernatants were similar to those in *in vivo* study.**

***Conclusions*: No interference between the two hepatitis viruses exists in the liver in the absence of hepatitis. The mechanisms of IFN resistance of the two viruses target different areas of the IFN system.**

***Keywords*: Superinfection; JFH-1; IFN-stimulated genes**

Received 4 February 2009; received in revised form 14 July 2009; accepted 15 July 2009; available online 23 September 2009
Associate Editor: F. Zoulim

☆ C.T. and K.Y. are employees of PhoenixBio Co. Ltd., Higashihiroshima, Japan. The other authors who have taken part in this study declared that they do not have anything to disclose regarding funding from industry or conflict of interest with respect to this manuscript.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 82 2575190; fax: +81 82 2556220.
E-mail address: chayama@hiroshima-u.ac.jp (K. Chayama).

Abbreviations: GAPDH, glyceraldehydes-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; IFN, interferon; OAS, 2′,5′-oligoadenylate synthetase; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; SCID, severe combined immunodeficiency; uPA, urokinase-type plasminogen activator.

0168-8278/$36.00 © 2009 European Association for the Study of the Liver. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2009.09.002

1. Introduction

Both hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) infections are serious health problems worldwide. More than 350 million people are infected with HBV, and more than 170 million people are infected with HCV [1,2]. Both types of hepatitis viruses result in the development of chronic liver infection and lead to death due to liver failure and hepatocellular carcinoma [3]. To date, interferon (IFN) remains one of the most important drugs available for the treatment of both types of hepatitis viral infections. Although it is assumed that IFN suppresses viral replication through the effect of IFN-induced gene products such as mixovirus resistance protein A (MxA), RNA-dependent protein kinase (PKR), and 2′,5′-oligoadenylate synthetase (OAS) [4], the precise mechanism of action of these proteins on both hepatitis viruses are unknown.

Coinfection with both viruses leads to a rapid and severe progression of chronic liver disease [5], with a higher risk of hepatocellular carcinoma [6]. Currently, there is a debate about whether or not there is interference between the two hepatitis viruses, with some favoring such interference [7] and others arguing against such a concept [8]. A number of mechanisms can cause interference between viruses. A major mechanism of interference is induction of IFN by one virus to prevent replication of the second virus; however, viruses develop their own strategies to resist the effect of IFN. In clinical practice, practitioners often perceive that reduction of HBV in serum by IFN therapy is poorer compared with HCV. HCV levels in sera of IFN-treated patients decrease relatively rapidly, and a proportion of patients eventually show complete eradication of the virus. Furthermore, the recent use of pegylated IFN (PEG-IFN) in combination with ribavirin has improved the eradication rate [9]. Eradication of HBV by IFN, however, is usually difficult, even when using IFN combined with ribavirin [10].

The mechanisms developed by viruses to resist host innate immunity, including IFN signaling, are well established in some viruses. Such mechanisms involve interruption of IFN signaling by interacting molecules that transduce the signal from the IFN receptor through the Janus kinase (Jak) signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) pathway [4]. Viral proteins of paramixoviruses, for example, inhibit IFN signaling [11]. Several studies have also examined the mechanisms by which HCV resists the host immune system. These include degradation of Cardif adaptor protein by NS3A/4 protease [12]. Generally, expression of HCV protein is associated with inhibition of STAT1 function independent of STAT tyrosine phosphorylation [13]. Additionally, expression of the HCV core protein in cultured cells is associated with increased expression levels of the suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 (SOCS-3) [14]. The NS5A and E2 proteins are both inhibitors of PKR [15]. These strong actions of HCV against innate immunity are consistent with the high chronicity rate of the virus. IFN, however, effectively reduces HCV replicon in Huh7 cells [16], suggesting that the virus has little potential to disturb the actions of IFN.

In contrast to HCV, the mechanisms of IFN resistance by HBV are poorly understood. To date, only a few studies have reported the molecular mechanisms of HBV resistance against the actions of IFN. The HBV-related resistance to IFN, for example, involves upregulation of protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) as the primary event, which subsequently leads to inhibition of protein arginine methyltransferase 1 (PRMT1) and reduced STAT1 methylation [17]. In addition to these molecular mechanisms, microarray analyses of serial liver biopsies of experimentally infected chimpanzees showed striking differences in the early immune responses to HBV and HCV. HCV, for example, induced early changes in the expression of many intrahepatic genes, including genes involved in type 1 IFN response [18], whereas HBV did not induce any detectable changes in the expression of intrahepatic genes in the first weeks of infection [19].

HBV–HCV double infection is a good model to use for assessment of the mechanism of IFN resistance by these two viruses because one can test the effect of IFN on one virus in the presence of the other virus. Recently, Bellecave et al. [20] established a novel *in vitro* model system in Huh7 cells that allowed the analysis of both viruses in a replicating context and reported the absence of direct viral interference. To this end, we used human hepatocyte chimeric mice and cell culture systems in the present study. The results showed that the presence of HBV does not affect the actions of IFN on HCV and vice versa. These results suggest the lack of interference between the two viruses in liver cells and indicate that the reported interference between the two viruses might be via inflammation including death of infected cells by cytotoxic T cells, cytokines including IFN-α and IFN-β, and interleukins produced by hepatocytes and infiltrating T cells.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Transfection of Huh7 cells with HBV DNA and HCV RNA

Huh7 cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum at 37 °C and under 5% CO_2. Cloning of HBV DNA and the plasmid construction were performed as described previously [21]. For production of stably transfected cell lines, Huh7 cells were seeded onto 90-mm-diameter culture dishes. Twenty micrograms of the plasmid pTRE-HB-wt [21] was transfected by the calcium phosphate precipitation method. Twenty-four hours after transfection, the cells were split and cultured in Hygromycin B-DMEM selection medium (300 μg/ml; Invitrogen Japan K.K., Osaka, Japan), while 50 colonies were isolated and cultured for identification of virus-producing cell lines. Clones positive

for both hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) and hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) were selected and further analyzed for production of HBV particles. Finally, three cell lines that produced more than 10^5 copies per milliliter of HBV DNA in supernatant were selected and used for further experiments.

For transfection with HCV RNA, we used pJFH1, which contains the complementary DNA of full-length genotype 2a HCV clone JFH1 downstream of the T7 promoter [22]. *In vitro* synthesis of HCV RNA and electroporation into Huh7 cells were performed as described previously [22,23]. Briefly, cells were treated with trypsin, washed twice with ice-cold RNase-free phosphate-buffered saline, and resuspended in Opti-MEM I (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at a final concentration of 7.5×10^6 cells per milliliter. Then, 10 μg of HCV RNA to be electroporated was mixed with 0.4 mL of cell suspension and subjected to an electric pulse (950 μF and 260 V) using the Gene Pulser II Electroporation System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). After electroporation, the cell suspension was left for 5 min at room temperature and then incubated under normal culture conditions in a 10-cm-diameter cell culture dish.

2.2. Generation of human hepatocyte chimeric mice

Generation of the urokinase-type plasminogen activator $(uPA)^{+/+}$ and severe combined immunodeficiency $(SCID)^{+/+}$ mice and transplantation of human hepatocytes were performed as described recently by our group [21,23,24]. All mice were transplanted with frozen human hepatocytes obtained from the same donor. Infection, extraction of serum samples, and euthanasia were performed under ether anesthesia. The concentration of serum human serum albumin, which correlates with the repopulation index [24], was measured in mice as described previously [21]. All animal protocols described in this study were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the local committee for animal experiments. The experimental protocol was approved by the Ethics Review Committee for Animal Experimentation of Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan.

2.3. Human serum samples

Human serum samples containing high titers of either HBV DNA (5.3×10^6 copies per milliliter) or genotype 1b HCV (2.2×10^6 copies per milliliter) were obtained from patients with chronic hepatitis with a written informed consent. The individual serum samples were divided into small aliquots and separately stored in liquid nitrogen until use. Chimeric mice were injected intravenously with 50 μL of either HBV- or HCV-positive human serum. Some mice were injected with HBV-positive human serum at 6 weeks after injection of HCV-positive human serum.

2.4. Analysis of HBV and HCV

HBsAg and HBeAg in culture supernatants were measured by commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits (Abbott Japan, Osaka, Japan). DNA was extracted from these samples by SMITEST (Genome Science Laboratories, Tokyo, Japan) and dissolved in 20 μL H_2O [21,25]. RNA was extracted from serum samples by Sepa Gene RV-R (Sankojunyaku, Tokyo), dissolved in 8.8 μL RNase-free H_2O, and reverse transcribed using random primer (Takara Bio Inc., Shiga, Japan) and M-MLV reverse transcriptase (ReverTra Ace, TOYOBO Co., Osaka, Japan) in a 20-μL reaction mixture according to the instructions provided by the manufacturer [23]. HCV core antigen in the culture medium was detected with HCV Ag assay (Ortho-Clinical Diagnostics, Rochester, NY, USA).

2.5. RNA extraction and measurement of mRNAs of interferon-induced genes by quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction

Total RNA was extracted from cell lines using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). One nanogram of each RNA was reverse transcribed with ReverseTra Ace (TOYOBO Co.) and Random Primer (Takara Bio, Kyoto, Japan). We quantified the transcripts for MxA, OAS, and PKR. Amplification and detection were performed using ABI PRISM 7300 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Results were normalized to the transcript levels of glyceraldehydes-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH).

2.6. Statistical analysis

Changes in HBV DNA and HCV RNA in mice sera were compared by Mann–Whitney test and unpaired t test. Differences in HBV DNA and HCV core antigen in mice sera and culture supernatants were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance followed by Scheffe's test. A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Infection of chimeric mouse with HBV and HCV and susceptibility to interferon

To investigate the interference between HBV and HCV and to examine the effect of IFN on both of these two viruses *in vivo*, we used six human chimeric mice. Each of six mice was inoculated intravenously with 50 μL of serum samples obtained from either HBV- or HCV-positive patients. The median HBV DNA level in HBV-positive serum-inoculated mice was 1.4×10^8 copies per milliliter (range: 5.3×10^6–3.6×10^9 copies per milliliter) at 6 weeks after inoculation (Fig. 1A), similar to our recent observation [21]. Similarly, the median HCV RNA level in HCV-positive human serum-inoculated mice was 1.0×10^7 copies per milliliter (range: 1.2×10^6–0.8×10^7 copies per milliliter) at 4 weeks after inoculation (Fig. 1B), as reported recently by our group [23]. Six weeks after inoculation, three of six HBV- or HCV-infected mice were treated daily with 7000 IU/g per day of intramuscular IFN-α for 2 weeks. Treatment resulted in a decrease of only 0.3 log in mice serum HBV DNA level compared to that in mice without treatment (Fig. 1A). In contrast, the same therapy resulted in a rapid decrease in HCV RNA to undetectable levels, as confirmed by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR; Fig 1B).

To investigate the direct interference of the two viruses, we performed double-infection experiments. Ten chimeric mice were first inoculated intravenously with 50 μL of HCV-positive human serum samples. Six weeks after HCV infection when the mice developed HCV viremia, 50 μL of HBV-positive human serum samples were inoculated intravenously in 5 of 10 HCV-infected mice. All five mice became positive for both HBV and HCV at 2 weeks after HBV infection. No significant decrease in HCV RNA levels was observed in these superinfected mice before or after the development of HBV viremia (Fig. 2A). After HBV infection, there was no apparent decrease in HCV titer (Fig. 2B). Moreover, HBV DNA level in HBV–HCV-coinfected mice was comparable with that of only HBV-infected mice (Fig. 2B). These results sug-



Fig. 1. Changes in serum virus titers in mice inoculated with hepatitis B virus (HBV) – positive or hepatitis C virus (HCV) – positive human serum samples. (A) HBV DNA levels in six mice inoculated with HBV-positive serum samples. (B) HCV RNA levels in six mice inoculated with HCV-positive serum samples. Six weeks after inoculation, three of six mice were treated daily with (closed circles) or without (open circles) 7000 IU/g per day of interferon-alpha intramuscularly for 2 weeks. Mice serum samples were extracted every 2 weeks after inoculation. Data are mean plus or minus standard deviation ($n = 3$). The horizontal dashed line represents the detection limit (10^3 copies per milliliter).

gest no interference between the two viruses in mice, which lack immunocytes known to cause hepatitis.

To further investigate if infection with either of the two hepatitis viruses alters the effect of IFN against the other virus, three HBV–HCV-coinfected mice were treated with IFN-α (Fig. 3A). Such treatment resulted in a rapid decrease in HCV RNA in all mice to undetectable levels as confirmed by quantitative PCR (Fig. 3B). In contrast, no significant decrease in HBV DNA titers was observed in these mice (Fig. 3B). These results are similar to the reduction of HCV RNA and HBV DNA in mice that were infected with either of these hepatitis viruses. These results indicate that HCV is more susceptible to IFN-α than HBV and that each virus does not alter the effect of IFN on the other virus. Because the effect of IFN on HCV was not disturbed by HBV, we assumed that HBV has no effect on the signal from IFN receptor to IFN-stimulated genes. It is possible, however, that HBV and HCV replicated in different cells in these mice. Because it was impossible to detect HCV protein and RNA in HCV-infected mouse liver by histologic examination, we performed *in vitro* experiments.

3.2. Production of both HBV- and HCV-producing cells and the effect of interferon

To investigate the effect of IFN on HBV and HCV *in vitro*, we created cell lines that produce both HBV and HCV. First, we established stable HBV-producing Huh7 cell lines. Three cell lines (Clone-39, -42, and -53) that produced HBsAg, HBeAg, and HBV DNA into the supernatant were selected (Table 1). These cell lines continuously produced HBV for more than 3 months (data not shown). Next, JFH1 RNA was transfected into these HBV-producing cell lines to produce both HBV DNA and HCV proteins into the supernatant. HBV DNA levels in the supernatants of these cell lines decreased in Clone-39, increased in Clone-42, and did not change in Clone-53 after JFH1 transfection (Fig. 4A). In contrast, HCV core antigen levels in the supernatants were higher in two of the three cell lines (Clone-39 and -42) than in Huh7 cells, and the level was not different in the remaining cell line (Clone-53) (Fig. 4B). These results indicate that the production of each of the two viruses does not disturb the replication of the other virus.

3.3. Effects of interferon on HBV and HCV in vitro

The effects of IFN on virus production in both HBV- and HCV-producing cell lines was examined by adding different amounts of IFN-α (0, 50, and 500 IU/mL) into the culture. The mRNA levels of intracellular IFN-stimulated genes such as MxA, OAS, and PKR increased in a dose-dependent manner in all three cell lines as well as in parental Huh7 cells (Fig. 5A). Following the addition of IFN, no apparent reduction of HBV was noted in the supernatant of HBV–HCV-cotransfected cell lines (Fig. 5B). In contrast, the levels of HCV core antigen in the supernatant decreased in all three cell lines treated with IFN, and the decrease was dose-dependent (Fig. 5C).

4. Discussion

Although IFN treatment for chronic HCV infection has improved with the advent of PEG-IFN, the rate of viral eradication remains unsatisfactory [9]. The mechanism responsible for failure of IFN to eradicate the virus completely must be clarified. To study the mechanism of viral resistance against IFN, analysis of viral interference may give us some hints because one of the major mechanisms of interference is through the action of IFN.



Fig. 2. Comparison of hepatitis C virus (HCV) and hepatitis B virus (HBV) titers in experimentally infected mice. (A) Ten mice were inoculated with HCV-positive serum samples. Six weeks after HCV infection, 5 of the 10 mice were inoculated with HBV-positive human serum samples (closed circles). The remaining five mice (open circles) did not receive HBV inoculation. Data are mean plus or minus standard deviation ($n = 3$). (B) Serum HCV RNA titers in five mice infected with HCV before and at 6 weeks after HBV superinfection (left panel). Serum HBV DNA titers in five mice coinfected with HBV and HCV were compared with those of five mice with HBV infection only (Fig. 1) at 12 weeks after HCV inoculation (right panel). In these box-and-whisker plots, lines within the boxes represent the median values; the upper and lower lines of the boxes represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively.

Accumulation of mononuclear cells is usually seen in the livers of infected individuals, in association with the state of inflammation. It is thus difficult to examine the interference of hepatitis viruses in infection and replication in liver cells without taking into consideration the effect of these immune cells as well as the chemokines and cytokines produced by these cells. Instead, the present study was designed to examine the interference between HBV and HCV in an experimental setup lacking such inflammatory interferences. The SCID-based human hepatocyte chimeric mouse model is ideal for investigating such interaction. We expected either reduction of HCV after inoculation of HBV in HCV-infected mice or failure to develop HBV viremia or low-level HBV viremia in these mice due to viral interference; however, no reduction in HCV titers occurred in these mice, and HBV infection developed in a manner similar to that in naïve mice (Fig. 2). We thus confirmed that there is no interference between the two viruses in the absence of immune reaction via the infiltrating lymphocytes in the liver.

Wieland et al. reported that HBV did not induce any genes during entry or expansion in HBV-infected chimpanzee livers and suggested that HBV was a stealthy virus early in the infection [19]. Because no reduction in HCV was noted during and after the development of high-level HBV viremia, we assume that HBV escapes innate immunity via an excellent mechanism without



Fig. 3. Changes in serum hepatitis C virus (HCV) RNA and hepatitis B virus (HBV) DNA levels and effects of IFN on HBV–HCV-coinfected mice. Three mice (mouse 1, 2, and 3) were inoculated with both HBV- and HCV-positive human serum samples and treated daily with 7000 IU/g per day of interferon-alpha (IFN-α) intramuscularly for 2 weeks. Mice sera samples were obtained every 2 weeks after injection, and HCV RNA (open circles) and HBV DNA (close circles) were analyzed by quantitative polymerase chain reaction. (A) The horizontal dashed line represents the detectable limit (10^3 copies per milliliter). (B) Serum HCV RNA and HBV DNA titers in mice before and after 2-week IFN-α treatment. In these box-and-whisker plots, lines within the boxes represent median values; the upper and lower lines of the boxes represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively.

Table 1
Hepatitis B virus (HBV) markers in supernatants of stable HBV-transfected cell lines.

Clone	HBsAg (IU/L)	HBeAg (IU/L)	HBV DNA (log copies per milliliter)
39	0.46	4.57	5.2
42	8.16	1.34	5.3
53	0.08	9.29	5.4

Abbreviations: HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen.

evoking the IFN production system in liver cells. Further study using double-infected mice treated with anti-HBV nucleotide analogs and anti-HCV protease inhibitors should be conducted to confirm the present findings.

With regard to the use of IFN as a treatment, we initially assumed that HBV infection would prevent the effect of IFN on HCV and possibly vice versa in double-infection mice. Unexpectedly, the reduction of HCV by IFN therapy was quite similar in mice infected with HCV only and in those coinfected with HBV and HCV (Figs. 1 and 3). This finding indicated that HBV does not disturb the effect of IFN through signal transduction from the IFN receptor through the Jak-STAT pathway. It was, however, considered possible that HBV and HCV infect different liver cells in mice and replicated without being affected by each other. It has been reported that the same liver cell could be infected with both HBV and HCV [20,26], but it was difficult in the present study to confirm that these two viruses replicate in the same liver cell of mice because it is difficult to visualize HCV antigen and RNA in pathologic sections of the mouse liver. To address this issue, we transfected HCV to stable HBV-producing cell lines (Fig. 4). We thought that both HCV and HBV were produced from successfully HCV RNA transfected cells because transfected cells were stable HBV-producing cells. Presence of the both hepatitis viruses in the same hepatocytes has also been shown by a recent report by Bellecave et al. [20]. We showed in our cell line experiments that only HBV-transfected cell lines produced HBV and that cells cotransfected with HBV and HCV did not show a clear effect of HCV replication on HBV production (Fig. 4A). Similarly, stable production of HBV did not alter the replication of HCV (Fig. 4B). These data are consistent with a recent report [20] that showed that HCV could infect cells producing HBV and suggest a lack of interference between the two viruses in liver cells.

Using HCV-transfected HBV-producing cell lines, we demonstrated that presence of HBV did not disturb the actions of IFN on HCV (Fig. 5C). HCV utilizes certain machinery to disrupt the innate immune system; however, once exposed a large concentration of IFN, the virus shows high sensitivity, as shown in the replicon system [16,27]. Thus, HCV seems to have a relatively weak ability to disturb the antiviral actions of IFN compared with HBV. In contrast, HBV showed strong resistance against IFN in cells with diminished HCV replication [28]. The fact that HBV does not disturb IFN signaling but resists the actions of IFN suggests that HBV counteracts the actions of IFN at IFN-induced antiviral product levels.

Although the culture environment is different from the replicon system, the JFH1 strain seems relatively resistant to IFN [29]. This suggests that the core and envelope proteins, which are absent in the replicon system, might play a role in IFN resistance; however, we could not show any effect for HCV infection on the actions of IFN on HBV replication. This finding sug-



Fig. 4. Virus titers in supernatants of hepatitis B virus (HBV)–transfected or hepatitis C virus (HCV)–transfected cell lines. Huh7 cells were initially stably transfected with 1.4 genome-length HBV DNA. Three cell lines (Clone-39, -42, and -53) producing HBV DNA into the supernatant were selected. (A) HBV DNA levels in supernatants of HBV-producing cell lines 72 hours after transfection with JFH1 RNA (HCV positive) or control plasmid (HCV negative). (B) HCV core antigen levels in the supernatant of parental Huh7 cells and HBV-producing cell lines 72 h after transfection with JFH1 RNA. Data are mean plus or minus standard deviation ($n = 3$).



Fig. 5. Effects of interferon (IFN) treatment on hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) *in vitro*. Parental Huh7 cells and three HBV-transfected Huh7 cell lines (Clone-39, -42, and -53) were transfected with JFH1 RNA. Immediately after JFH1 transfection, the cell lines were treated with IFN-α (0, 50, and 500 IU/mL) for 72 h. (A) Intracellular gene expression levels of mixovirus resistance protein A (MxA), 2′,5′-oligoadenylate synthetase (OAS), and RNA-dependent protein kinase (PKR) were measured. RNA levels were expressed relative to glyceraldehydes-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) messenger RNA. (B) HBV DNA and (C) HCV core antigen in supernatants were measured. Data are mean plus or minus standard deviation ($n = 3$).

gests that the core and envelope proteins have only a weak effect on IFN resistance.

In clinical practice, HBV shows high resistance against IFN therapy. This is also the case in the cell culture system, as we showed in this study and has been reported in previous studies [20,28]. The mechanism by which hepatitis viruses resist IFN needs to be clarified in order to develop new and effective therapies for eradication of these viruses.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Yoshie Yoshida, Kazuyo Hattori, and Rie Akiyama for their excellent technical help.

This study was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japanese Ministry of Labor and Health and Welfare.

References

[1] Maddrey WC. Hepatitis B: an important public health issue. J Med Virol 2000;61:362–366.
[2] Global surveillance and control of hepatitis C. Report of a WHO Consultation organized in collaboration with the Viral Hepatitis Prevention Board, Antwerp, Belgium. J Viral Hepat 1999;6:35–47.
[3] Beasley RP. Hepatitis B virus. The major etiology of hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer 1988;61:1942–1956.
[4] Samuel CE. Antiviral actions of interferons. Clin Microbiol Rev 2001;14:778–809.
[5] Sagnelli E, Coppola N, Scolastico C, Filippini P, Santantonio T, Stroffolini T, et al. Virologic and clinical expressions of reciprocal inhibitory effect of hepatitis B, C, and delta viruses in patients with chronic hepatitis. Hepatology 2000;32:1106–1110.
[6] Chiaramonte M, Stroffolini T, Vian A, Stazi MA, Floreani A, Lorenzoni U, et al. Rate of incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with compensated viral cirrhosis. Cancer 1999;85:2132–2137.
[7] Cacciola I, Pollicino T, Squadrito G, Cerenzia G, Orlando ME, Raimondo G. Occult hepatitis B virus infection in patients with chronic hepatitis C liver disease. N Engl J Med 1999;341:22–26.
[8] Raimondo G, Brunetto MR, Pontisso P, Smedile A, Maina AM, Saitta C, et al. Longitudinal evaluation reveals a complex spectrum of virological profiles in hepatitis B virus/hepatitis C virus-coinfected patients. Hepatology 2006;43:100–107.
[9] Fried MW, Shiffman ML, Reddy KR, Smith C, Marinos G, Gonçales Jr FL, et al. Peginterferon alfa-2a plus ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C virus infection. N Engl J Med 2002;347:975–982.
[10] Liu CJ, Lai MY, Chao YC, Liao LY, Yang SS, Hsiao TJ, et al. Interferon alpha-2b with and without ribavirin in the treatment of hepatitis B e antigen-positive chronic hepatitis B: a randomized study. Hepatology 2006;43:742–749.
[11] Gotoh B, Komatsu T, Takeuchi K, Yokoo J. Paramyxovirus strategies for evading the interferon response. Rev Med Virol 2002;12:337–357.
[12] Evans JD, Seeger C. Cardif: a protein central to innate immunity is inactivated by the HCV NS3 serine protease. Hepatology 2006;43:615–617.
[13] Blindenbacher A, Duong FH, Hunziker L, Stutvoet ST, Wang X, Terracciano L, et al. Expression of hepatitis C virus proteins inhibits interferon alpha signaling in the liver of transgenic mice. Gastroenterology 2003;124:1465–1475.
[14] Bode JG, Ludwig S, Ehrhardt C, Albrecht U, Erhardt A, Schaper F, et al. IFN-alpha antagonistic activity of HCV core protein involves induction of suppressor of cytokine signaling-3. FASEB J 2003;17:488–490.
[15] Taylor DR, Shi ST, Romano PR, Barber GN, Lai MM. Inhibition of the interferon-inducible protein kinase PKR by HCV E2 protein. Science 1999;285:107–110.
[16] Frese M, Pietschmann T, Moradpour D, Haller O, Bartenschlager R. Interferon-alpha inhibits hepatitis C virus subgenomic RNA replication by an MxA-independent pathway. J Gen Virol 2001;82:723–733.
[17] Christen V, Duong F, Bernsmeier C, Sun D, Nassal M, Heim MH. Inhibition of alpha interferon signaling by hepatitis B virus. J Virol 2007;81:159–165.
[18] Su AI, Pezacki JP, Wodicka L, Brideau AD, Supekova L, Thimme R, et al. Genomic analysis of the host response to hepatitis C virus infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002;99:15669–15674.
[19] Wieland S, Thimme R, Purcell RH, Chisari FV. Genomic analysis of the host response to hepatitis B virus infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004;101:6669–6674.
[20] Bellecave P, Gouttenoire J, Gajer M, Brass V, Koutsoudakis G, Blum HE, et al. Hepatitis B and C virus coinfection: a novel model system reveals the absence of direct viral interference. Hepatology 2009;50:46–55.
[21] Tsuge M, Hiraga N, Takaishi H, Noguchi C, Oga H, Imamura M, et al. Infection of human hepatocyte chimeric mouse with genetically engineered hepatitis B virus. Hepatology 2005;42:1046–1054.
[22] Wakita T, Pietschmann T, Kato T, Date T, Miyamoto M, Zhao Z, et al. Production of infectious hepatitis C virus in tissue culture from a cloned viral genome. Nat Med 2005;11:791–796.
[23] Hiraga N, Imamura M, Tsuge M, Noguchi C, Takahashi S, Iwao E, et al. Infection of human hepatocyte chimeric mouse with genetically engineered hepatitis C virus and its susceptibility to interferon. FEBS Lett 2007;581:1983–1987.
[24] Tateno C, Yoshizane Y, Saito N, Kataoka M, Utoh R, Yamasaki C, et al. Near completely humanized liver in mice shows human-type metabolic responses to drugs. Am J Pathol 2004;165:901–912.
[25] Noguchi C, Ishino H, Tsuge M, Fujimoto Y, Imamura M, Takahashi S, et al. G to A hypermutation of hepatitis B virus. Hepatology 2005;41:626–633.
[26] Rodríguez-Iñigo E, Bartolomé J, Ortiz-Movilla N, Platero C, López-Alcorocho JM, Pardo M, et al. Hepatitis C virus (HCV) and hepatitis B virus (HBV) can coinfect the same hepatocyte in the liver of patients with chronic HCV and occult HBV infection. J Virol 2005;79:15578–15581.
[27] Blight KJ, Kolykhalov AA, Rice CM. Efficient initiation of HCV RNA replication in cell culture. Science 2000;290:1972–1974.
[28] Hayashi Y, Koike K. Interferon inhibits hepatitis B virus replication in a stable expression system of transfected viral DNA. J Virol 1989;63:2936–2940.
[29] Miyamoto M, Kato T, Date T, Mizokami M, Wakita T. Comparison between subgenomic replicons of hepatitis C virus genotypes 2a (JFH-1) and 1b (Con1 NK5.1). Intervirology 2006;49:37–43.

Hepatology Research 2009; 39: 1159–1168 doi: 10.1111/j.1872-034X.2009.00566.x

Original Article

Effects of structural variations of *APOBEC3A* and *APOBEC3B* genes in chronic hepatitis B virus infection

Hiromi Abe,[1–3] Hidenori Ochi,[1–3] Toshiro Maekawa,[1,3] Tsuyoshi Hatakeyama,[2] Masataka Tsuge,[1,3,4] Shosuke Kitamura,[2] Takashi Kimura,[2] Daiki Miki,[2] Fukiko Mitsui,[2] Nobuhiko Hiraga,[1–3] Michio Imamura,[1–3] Yoshifumi Fujimoto,[1–3] Shoichi Takahashi,[1–3] Yusuke Nakamura,[5] Hiromitsu Kumada[6] and Kazuaki Chayama[1–3]

[1]*Laboratory for Digestive Diseases, Center for Genomic Medicine, RIKEN,* [2]*Department of Medicine and Molecular Science, Division of Frontier Medical Science, Programs for Biomedical Research, Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences,* [3]*Liver Research Project Center,* [4]*Natural Science Center for Basic Research and Development, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima,* [5]*Center for Genomic Medicine, RIKEN, Kanagawa, and* [6]*Department of Hepatology, Toranomon Hospital, Tokyo, Japan*

Aim: Human APOBEC3 deaminases induce G to A hypermutation in nascent DNA strand of hepatitis B virus (HBV) genomes and seem to operate as part of the innate antiviral immune system. We analyzed the importance of APOBEC3A (A3A) and APOBEC3B (A3B) proteins, which are potent inhibitors of adeno-associated-virus and long terminal repeat (LTR)-retrotransposons, in chronic HBV infection.

Methods: We focused on the common deletion polymorphism that spans from the 3′ part of *A3A* gene to the 3′ portion of *A3B* gene. An association study was carried out in 724 HBV carriers and 469 healthy control subjects. We also analyzed hypermutated genomes detected in deletion and insertion (non-deletion) homozygous patients to determine the effect of *APOBEC3* gene deletion. Further, we performed functional analysis of *A3A* gene by transient transfection experiments.

Results: The association study showed no significant association between deletion polymorphism and chronic HBV carrier state. Context analysis also showed a negligible effect for the deletion. Rather, mild liver fibrosis was associated with *APOBEC* gene deletion homozygosity, suggesting that *A3B* deletion is not responsible for chronic HBV infection. Functional analysis of A3A showed that overexpression of *A3A* induced hypermutation in HBV genome, although the levels of hypermutants were less than those introduced by *A3G*. However, overexpression of *A3A* did not decrease replicative intermediates of HBV.

Conclusion: These results suggest that A3A and A3B play little role in HBV elimination through anti-viral defense mechanisms. The significance of hypermutation induced by A3A should be investigated further.

Key words: APOBEC3A, APOBEC3B, APOBEC3G, deaminase, hypermutation, structural variation

INTRODUCTION

APOBEC3 CYTIDINE DEAMINASE family consists of at least seven tandem arrayed genes *APOBEC3A (A3A), A3B, A3C, A3DE, A3F, A3G,* and *A3H* on chromosome 22.[1,2] The anti-viral effect of A3G was initially identified in 2002 when it was found to inhibit the replication of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV).[3] Similarly, A3F, A3B and A3DE have been reported to inhibit HIV replication.[4–8]

APOBEC3 proteins also act on many other viruses such as simian immunodeficiency virus,[9] adeno-associated virus[10] and retrotransposons.[11–13] With regard to hepatitis B virus (HBV), A3G was also reported to inhibit HBV replication and induction of hypermutation, although the significance of the latter on viral inactivation is still controversial.[14–23] Among the APOBEC3 family members, A3B, A3C, A3G and A3F have been

Correspondence: Professor Kazuaki Chayama, Department of Medical and Molecular Science, Division of Frontier Medical Science, Programs for Biomedical Research, Graduate school of Biomedical Science, Hiroshima University, 1-2-3 Kasumi, Minami-ku, Hiroshima 734-8551, Japan. Email: chayama@hiroshima-u.ac.jp
Received 15 April 2009; revision 17 May 2009; accepted 18 May 2009.

extensively analyzed in these reports for induction of hypermutation and inhibition of replication of HBV. In contrast, the function of A3A on HBV has not been evaluated despite its potent inhibitory effects on adeno-associated virus and retrotransposons.[9–13] Recently, Henry *et al.*[24] reported that, among the APOBEC3 family, A3A is the most efficient editor in induction of hypermutation in the HBV genome. This finding is not consistent with the previous reports. However, the relationship between genomic DNA editing by A3A and its effect on HBV replication have not been elucidated. This background prompted us to examine the effects of A3A on HBV replication and induction of hypermutation.

A recent study[25] identified a common deletion polymorphism of *APOBEC* gene spanning from the 3′ end of *A3A* gene to the 3′ portion of *A3B* gene (the segment extending from exon 5 of *A3A* to exon 8 of *A3B* was removed by the deletion, positions 37, 683, 131–37, 712, 716 on chromosome 22). The deletion results in complete elimination of the *A3B* coding region and the resultant fusion gene has a protein sequence identical to A3A, but has 3′ untranslated region of A3B. This polymorphism might modulate the expression levels of A3A peptide because the transcription levels and stability of this fusion mRNA could be altered by replacement of the 3′ untranslated region sequences. Analyzing the association between this deletion polymorphism and chronic HBV infection should clarify the effect of *A3B* on the establishment of chronic HBV carrier state.

The aims of the present study were to determine the association between *APOBEC3* gene deletion polymorphism and chronic HBV infection and the effect of *A3A*, which might be up- or down-regulated by the deletion polymorphism, on HBV replication and induction of hypermutation, by *in-vitro* overexpression experiments.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study subjects

BLOOD SAMPLES WERE obtained from 724 patients with chronic HBV infection at the hospitals of the Hiroshima Liver Study Group (http://home.hiroshima-u.ac.jp/naika1/hepatology/english/study.html) and Toranomon hospital. We also collected 469 control samples from healthy individuals who agreed to join the BioBank Japan Project at the Institute of Medical Science, the University of Tokyo. The study protocols were approved by the ethics committees of the University of Tokyo and the Center for Genomic Medicine, Riken. All participants were ethnically Japanese and provided written informed consent. Histological activity and fibrosis was assessed in liver biopsy specimens by the Metavir score.[26]

HBV markers

We measured DNA polymerase by the method of Robinson *et al.*[27] The quantity of HBV DNA was assessed by the following tests. Quantiplex HBV DNA probe assay (Chiron Corporation, Emeryville, CA), PCR (Amplicor Cobas TaqMan HBV Auto; Roche Molecular Diagnostic, Basel), transcription mediated amplification (TMA) assay (Fujirevio Diagnostic, Tokyo). The level of HBV in serum was assessed as high or low according to the following criteria (< 200 or ≥ 200 for DNA polymerase, < 200 or ≥ 200 for probe assay, < 6.0 or ≥ 6.0 for PCR assay, < 6.0 or ≥ 6.0 for TMA assay).

HBV-e antigen (HBeAg) and HBV-e antibody (HBeAb) were measured by commercially available chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay kit (Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, IL). The cut off levels were 1.0 (cut off index) for HBeAg and 70% for HBeAb.

Genotyping

First, we genotyped genomic samples of 94 individuals by the PCR assay using the Deletion and Insertion specific primer sets reported by Kidd *et al.*[25] Since we observed some non-specific amplification, which was confirmed by sequencing analysis, we used the invader probes,[28] which specifically recognize *A3A* and *A3B*. These probes were designed and synthesized by Third Wave Technologies (Madison, WI). Deletion and two-insertion (non-deletion) PCR assays were performed separately as described previously,[25] then pooled (Deletion : Insertion1 : Insertion2 = 3:1:1), and subjected to Invader assay.

Cell culture and transfection

Human liver cancer cell line, HepG2, was purchased from RIKEN Cell Bank (Tsukuba). The cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C under 5% CO_2. Cells were seeded to semi-confluence in six-well tissue culture plates. Transient transfection experiments were performed using TransIT-LT1 (Mirus, Madison, WI) according to the instructions provided by the supplier.

Plasmid construction

The expression vector for hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged human A3G was kindly provided by Dr. Takaori (Kyoto University).[29] We constructed A3A cDNA expression

plasmid by cloning DNA fragment, which was amplified by PCR from cDNA obtained from lymphocytes of a deletion homozygous patient, into pcDNA3.1/nV5-DEST (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Construction of the wild-type HBV 1.4 genome length plasmid, pTRE-HB-wt was described previously (Tsuge *et al.*;[30] GenBank accession no. AB206816).

Analysis of core-associated HBV DNA

The cells were harvested 4 days after transfection and lysed with 250 μl lysis buffer [10 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.4, 140 mM NaCl and 0.5% (v/v) NP-40]. The lysate was then centrifuged for 2 min at 15 000 × *g*. The core particles were immunoprecipitated from the supernatant by mouse anti-core monoclonal antibody (anti-HBc determinant α, Institute of Immunology, Tokyo). Genomic DNA was separated from the core particles by SDS/proteinase K digestion followed by phenol extraction and ethanol precipitation. Quantitative analysis was performed using the above HBV DNA by RT-PCR using the RT-PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The primers and the probe used were described previously.[31] The real-time PCR was performed in a 25-μl reaction volume containing 2×TaqMan Gene Expression Master Mix, 0.9 μM of each primer, 0.25 μM probe and 1 μl DNA solution. The thermal profile was 50°C for 2 min, 95°C 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of amplification (denaturation at 95°C for 15 sec, annealing at 55°C for 30 sec and extension at 62°C for 90 sec).

Analysis of hypermutated HBV genomes by 3D-RT-PCR

Hypermutated genomes were detected and quantified by modified 3DRT-PCR using the primers, probe and reagents described previously.[31] The thermal profile was 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min followed by initial denaturation at 85°C for 20 min and 45 cycles of amplification (denaturation at 85°C for 15 sec, annealing at 50°C for 30 sec and extension at 62°C for 90 sec).

Detection of A3A-A3B fusion mRNA by RT-PCR

We extracted total RNA from lymphocytes of each allele patients using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden) and reverse-transcribed using ReverTra Ace (TOYOBO, Osaka) with random primer in accordance with the instructions supplied by the manufacturer. We then amplified cDNAs by 35 cycles of PCR using primers specific for exon 1 of *A3A* and 3′-untranslated region of *A3B* in a 25μl reaction volume containing 1 × KOD-Plus buffer [0.3 μM each primers, 0.2 mM $MgSO_4$, 1 μl DNA solution and 1 unit of KOD-Plus (TOYOBO Co.)]. The thermal profile was initial denaturation at 98°C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of amplification (denaturation at 98°C for 15 sec, annealing at 58°C for 15 sec and extension at 68°C for 60 sec). Nucleotide sequences of the amplified fusion cDNA sequences were confirmed by direct sequencing.

Western blot analysis

Cell lysates prepared as described above were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide electrophoresis on a 12% poly acrylamide gel and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (Pall Corporation, Pensacola, FL). The membranes were incubated with anti-V5 (Invitrogen), anti-hemagglutinin fusion epitope monoclonal anti-body (Roche) or with anti-β-actin monoclonal antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated sheep anti-mouse antibody (GE Healthcare UK, Buckinghamshire). We detected signals using the ECL system (GE Healthcare).

Nucleotide sequencing analysis of hypermutated HBV genomes by 3D-PCR, cloning and nucleotide sequencing

We analyzed hypermutated HBV DNA genomes obtained from serum samples of each genotype patient by 3D PCR (denaturation at 85°C) and cloning and sequencing. The amplified DNA fragments were cloned into pGEM T Easy vector (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI) by TA cloning. Nucleotide sequences were determined using BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems). The nucleotide sequences were compared with those obtained by direct sequencing of amplified PCR products by normal PCR protocol.

Statistical analysis

The allele frequencies was calculated and fit to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was tested by the chi-square test between cases and controls using Excel software (Microsoft, Redmond, WA).[32] We also compared differences in allele frequency and genotype distribution of the deletion between cases and controls with χ^2-test. Continuous data were compared by analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences in categorical data were analyzed by the χ^2-test. Differences in core-associated HBV and hypermutated HBV genomes per 1×10^4 copies of HBV genomes, were analyzed by Student's *t*-test.

Table 1 Characteristics of subjects

	Patients	Control	*P*-value
Number of patients	724	469	–
Sex			NS
Male	499	373	
Female	224	95	
Age (years)	53.1 (20.6–86.4)	55 (18–93)	NS
ALT	66 (5–3634)	–	–
Fibrosis stage		–	–
F0	13		
F1	80		
F2	149		
F3	114		
F4	46		
Activity		–	–
A0	1		
A1	50		
A2	125		
A3	47		
Platelet ($\times 10^4/mm^3$)	16.5 (2.2–29.8)	–	–
HBV DNA		–	–
High	137		
Middle	108		
Low	156		
HBeAg/HBeAb		–	–
+/–	207		
–/+	184		
Hepatocellular carcinoma	65	–	–

Data are number of patients or median (range) values. Differences in age between case and control were compared by Mann–Whitney *U*-test. The sex ratio was analyzed by the χ^2-test. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; HBVeAb, hepatitis B virus e antibody; HBVeAg, hepatitis B virus e antigen; NS, not significant.

RESULTS

Association between chronic HBV carriers, clinical parameters and the APOBEC3 gene deletion

TABLE 1 SUMMARIZES the clinicopathological features of the patients and control subjects. If A3B contributes to the prevention of chronic HBV infection, there should be an association between chronic HBV carrier state and *APOBEC* gene deletion polymorphism. However, we did not find any association between the two (Table 2). Furthermore, all clinical parameters, with the exception of the extent of liver fibrosis associated with chronic HBV, did not associate with the polymorphism (Tables 3,4). Advanced histopathological stages were associated with insertion homozygosity. These findings also suggest that A3B does not play any important role in anti-viral immunity in the development of chronic HBV infection.

Table 2 Case-control analysis of *APOBEC3B* deletion

	Frequency (%)		Additive mode		
	Ins	Del	*P*-value	OR	95% CI
HBV ($n = 724$)	0.709	0.291	0.599	0.964	0.624–1.489
Control ($n = 469$)	0.719	0.281			

P-values were calculated from case-control analysis by χ^2-test. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; Del, deletion homozygote; Ins, insertion homozygote.

Table 3 Correlation between deletion and clinical parameters

	Genotype			*P*-value
	I/I	I/D	D/D	
Genotype frequency	0.50	0.42	0.08	NS
Age (years)	54.0 ± 12.8	52.0 ± 12.6	50.4 ± 13.3	NS
ALT	169.0 ± 320.6	149.5 ± 322.9	196.8 ± 309.3	NS
Platelets ($\times 10^4/mm^3$)	16.8 ± 5.2	16.6 ± 6.1	17.0 ± 5.8	NS

Data are number of patients or mean ± SD. Age, ALT and platelet count were compared by ANOVA. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; D/D, deletion homozygote; H, heterozygote; I/I, insertion homozygote; NS, not significant.

Context analysis of hypermutated genomes obtained from deletion homozygous and insertion homozygous patients

The amount of hypermutated genomes was not analyzed in this study because it is known to fluctuate during the clinical course.[33] Instead, we searched for the target context of G to A mutation in hypermutated HBV genomes using serum obtained from patients with deletion homozygotes and with insertion homozygotes. As shown Figure 1, multiple G to A hypermutations were observed in deletion homozygote and insertion homozygote patients. The results of context analysis showed no significant difference between the contexts obtained from deletion homozygotes and those form non-deletion homozygotes (Fig. 2). In fact, the preferred contexts were similar in all three deletion homozygous patients and one insertion homo patient (DD1-3 and II1 in Fig. 2) but slightly different from those of the remaining two (II2 and II3). These results suggest that the effect of deletion is not strong in these preferred context patterns.

Detection of A3A-A3B fusion mRNA

We then analyzed whether the resultant *A3A* and A3B fusion was actually transcribed. We designed primers specific for exon 1 of *A3A* and the 3′-untranslated region

Table 4 Association of clinical parameters and *APOBEC* gene polymorphism (categorical data)

	Genotype frequency				Additive mode	I/I vs I/D, D/D	D/D vs I/I, I/D
	I/I	I/D	D/D				
Sex (Male/Female)				*P* value	0.76	0.85	0.30
Male ($n = 328$)	154 (0.47)	143 (0.44)	31 (0.09)	OR	0.75	1.03	0.72
Female ($n = 166$)	78 (0.47)	74 (0.45)	14 (0.08)	95% CI	0.40–1.41	0.75–1.41	0.40–1.33
Fibrosis stage (F0-F1/F2-F4)				*P* value	0.0054	0.0019	0.48
F0-F1 ($n = 62$)	22 (0.35)	34 (0.55)	6 (0.10)	OR	0.51	0.47	0.74
F2-F4 ($n = 187$)	95 (0.51)	77 (0.41)	15 (0.08)	95% CI	0.21–1.24	0.30–0.76	0.31–1.73
Activity (A0-A1/A2-A3)				*P* value	0.31	0.46	0.30
A0-A1 ($n = 51$)	22 (0.43)	23 (0.45)	6 (0.12)	OR	0.56	0.80	0.60
A2-A3 ($n = 168$)	81 (0.48)	75 (0.45)	12 (0.07)	95% CI	0.20–1.56	0.45–1.44	0.22–1.60
HBV DNA (High/Low)				*P* value	0.12	0.12	0.47
High ($n = 194$)	82 (0.42)	94 (0.48)	18 (0.09)	OR	0.66	0.73	0.77
Low ($n = 206$)	103 (0.50)	88 (0.43)	15 (0.07)	95% CI	0.32–1.40	0.49–1.09	0.38–1.57
HBeAg/HBeAb ((+/−)/(−/+))				*P* value	0.52	0.34	0.84
+/− ($n = 207$)	89 (0.43)	99 (0.48)	19 (0.09)	OR	0.96	0.82	1.07
−/+ ($n = 184$)	88 (0.48)	78 (0.42)	18 (0.10)	95% CI	0.47–1.95	0.55–1.23	0.54–2.11
HCC				*P* value	0.85	0.89	0.64
(−) ($n = 648$)	323 (0.50)	266 (0.41)	59 (0.09)	OR	0.73	1.04	0.69
(+) ($n = 65$)	34 (0.52)	31 (0.47)	0 (0.00)	95% CI	0.25–2.13	0.62–1.73	0.24–1.98

ALT, alanine aminotransferase CI, confidence interval; D/D deletion homozygote; H, heterozygote; HBVeAg, hepatitis B virus e antibody; HBVeAg, hepatitis B virus e antigen; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; I/I, insertion homozygote; OR, odds ratio.



Figure 1 Nucleotide sequences of hypermutated genomes detected from deletion homozygous and insertion homozygous patients. Nucleotide sequences of 3D-PCR amplified hebatitis B virus (HBV) DNA clones are compared with those obtained by usual PCR and direct sequencing. Upper panel, nucleotide sequences obtained from a deletion homozygous patient. Lower panel, nucleotide sequences obtained from a homozygous patient. Nucleotide numbers are those from GenBank accession no. AB206816.

of *A3B*, and performed RT-PCR using cDNAs obtained from patients of each genotype. We obtained amplified DNA fragments of expected size only from deletion homozygotes and heterozygotes (Fig. 3). These results confirmed the transcription of the fusion mRNA with the coding region of *A3A* and the 3′ untranslated region of *A3B*.

Inhibition of HBV replication and induction of hypermutation by A3A

We then analyzed the antiviral effect and induction of hypermutation by A3A. Although the expression of both A3A and A3G was confirmed by western Blot analysis (Fig. 4A), transient expression of A3A did not reduce the amount of the core-associated HBV DNA in HepG2 cells (Fig. 4B). However, A3A transfection increased the hypermutated genomes of HBV in a dose-dependent manner albeit the level of induction was much lower than that observed when transfected with A3G. These results suggest that A3A has negligible anti-viral effect although it induces hypermutation of HBV genomes.

DISCUSSION

THE MAIN FINDINGS of the present study were: (i) no association between *APOBEC3* deletion and chronic HBV infection (Table 2). (ii) Mild liver fibrosis and low alanine amino transferase (ALT) levels were associated with APOBEC gene deletion homozygous genotype. (iii) The absence of *A3B* is not responsible for chronic HBV carrier status, although A3B is known as a potent inhibitor of adeno-associated virus and retrotransposons.[12] This suggests different antiviral activities for APOBEC proteins against viruses and that A3B plays little role in inhibition of HBV. (iv) The preferred context analysis showed no differences between insertion homozygotes and deletion homozygotes. Only one of the six patients examined showed different context pattern (Fig. 2). These results suggest that A3B protein has only small effect on the formation of hypermutated genomes in the serum of chronic carriers. The protein has been reported to induce hypermutation on the negative and positive strands of HBV.[18] However, our results showed that the effect of *A3B* is almost negligible in



Figure 2 Context analysis of hypermutated genomes from deletion homozygous and insertion homozygous patients. Context of G to A hypermutation in hypermutated genome clones obtained from three deletion homozygous patients (D/D1, D/D2 and D/D3) and three insertion homozygous patients (I/I1, I/I2 and I/I3) were analyzed. Numbers after each patient represent the number of clones analyzed in each patient. (a) Two letters up-stream, (b) one letter upstream and (c) one letter downstream of mutated G residue were analyzed.

chronic HBV carriers compared to that of A3G. It is assumed that the other APOBEC3 family proteins mainly induce hypermutation of HBV genomes in HBV carriers to compensate for the function of deleted A3B. It is also assumed that the expression pattern of the remaining six APOBEC3 proteins is different from patient to patient.

As discussed above, our results suggest that A3B protein has almost no effect on prevention of chronic HBV infection and induction of hypermutation. It is thus assumed that A3B is not part of the innate anti-viral immune system against HBV. This is consistent with the finding that deletion is commonly seen in normal populations[25] irrespective of HBV carrier rates. Other association studies are required to clarify the role of A3B protein on other pathogens. The functional relevance of other APOBEC3 proteins on HBV infection as anti-viral immunity should be clarified further.

We also found that A3A protein induced hypermutation on the negative strand of HBV. However, the level of induction of hypermutation was much less than that of A3G (Fig. 4). Recent reports showed quite different effects for *A3A* on induction of hypermutation on HBV genomes. Henry *et al.*[24] reported that A3A is the most efficient editor of seven APOBEC3 proteins. In contrast, Zang *et al.*[23] did not detect induction of hypermutation on HBV. Although these different results might come from different cell lines and conditions used in each experiment, our results clearly showed that *A3A* induced hypermutation on the negative strand of HBV genome.