Figure 2


A
Counts
0
40
80
120
160
200
10^0
10^1
10^2
10^3
10^4
FL-2 DNA staining
FSC-Height
0
200
400
600
800
1000
Monocytes
SSC-Height
FL-1 FITC-*E. coli*
Phagocytosed cells
0
100
200
300
400
500
SSC-Height
B
Diabetic patients (*n*=33)
Healthy volunteers (*n*=28)
*
FITC positive cells (%)
82
78
74
70
10
0

Figure 3


A
Diabetic patients (n=33)
Healthy volunteers (n=28)
Relative mRNA expression
1.0
TLR2
TLR3
TLR4
B
Diabetic patients (n=33)
Healthy volunteers (n=28)
Relative mRNA expression
1.0
TNF-α
IL-1β
C
Diabetic patients (n=33)
Healthy volunteers (n=28)
TNF-α
Fold change
600
400
200
0
*
**
*
PGN
Poly(I:C)
LPS
D
Diabetic patients (n=33)
Healthy volunteers (n=28)
IL-1β
Fold change
1200
800
400
0
**
**
*
PGN
Poly(I:C)
LPS

Figure 4


A
Correlation
1
0.6
0.2
DM4
DM5
DM2
DM1
DM3
Healthy1
Healthy3
Healthy4
Healthy2
Healthy5
B
Relative mRNA expression
3.0
2.0
1.0
0
*
**
Diabetic patients(n=33)
Healthy volunteers(n=28)
CHOP
BIP
C
Relative mRNA expression
2.0
1.0
0
Diabetic patients(n=33)
Healthy volunteers(n=28)
CHOP
BIP
D
N
M
ER
Healthy volunteer 1
M
ER
Diabetic patients 1
N
M
ER
Healthy volunteer 2
M
ER
Diabetic patients 2
N
M
ER
Healthy volunteer 3
N
ER
Diabetic patients 3

Figure 5


A
3h
6h
9h
12h
no
TM(1μg/ml)
TM(5μg/ml)
7-AAD
Annexin-V
7.55
6.59
12.12
15.77
5.94
7.17
18.41
30.63
4.56
8.33
24.76
34.52
B
% Apoptotic cells
40
30
20
10
0
3h
6h
9h
12h
no
TM(1μg/ml)
TM(5μg/ml)
C
Relative activity
20
10
0
Caspase-3
no
TM(1μg/ml)
TM(5μg/ml)
D
Fold change
0.06
0.04
0.02
0
BCL2
no
TM(1μg/ml)
TM(5μg/ml)
E
Relative mRNA expression
800
600
400
200
0
CHOP
BIP
no
TM(1μg/ml)
TM(5μg/ml)

Figure 6


A
B
C
TNF-α
IL-1β
IL-6
□ no
▨ TM (1μg/ml)
■ TM (5μg/ml)
mRNA expression
0
200
400
600
0
400
800
1200
1600
0
100
200
300
PGN
LPS
D
E
F
TNF-α (pg/ml)
0
20
40
60
80
IL-1β (pg/ml)
0
400
800
1200
IL-6 (pg/ml)
0
20
40
60

Liver INTERNATIONAL
OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE STUDY OF THE LIVER

Liver International ISSN 1478-3223

CLINICAL STUDIES

dUTP pyrophosphatase expression correlates with a poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma

Hajime Takatori[1], Taro Yamashita[1], Masao Honda[1], Ryuhei Nishino[1], Kuniaki Arai[1], Tatsuya Yamashita[1], Hiroyuki Takamura[2], Tetsuo Ohta[2], Yoh Zen[3] and Shuichi Kaneko[1]

1 Department of Gastroenterology, Kanazawa University Graduate School of Medical Science, Ishikawa, Japan
2 Department of Gastroenterologic Surgery, Kanazawa University Graduate School of Medical Science, Ishikawa, Japan
3 Pathology Section, Kanazawa University Hospital, Ishikawa, Japan

Keywords
dUTP pyrophosphatase – hepatocellular carcinoma – prognosis – serial analysis of gene expression

Abbreviations
5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; dUTPase, dUTP pyrophosphatase; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; IHC, immunohistochemistry; qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction; SAGE, serial analysis of gene expression.

Correspondence
Masao Honda, MD, Department of Gastroenterology, Kanazawa University Graduate School of Medical Science, 13-1 Takara-Machi, Kanazawa, Ishikawa 920-8641, Japan
Tel: +81 76 265 2233
Fax: +81 76 234 4250
e-mail: mhonda@m-kanazawa.jp

Received 13 August 2009
Accepted 26 October 2009

DOI:10.1111/j.1478-3231.2009.02177.x

Abstract

Background: Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a malignancy with a poor prognosis, partly owing to the lack of biomarkers that support its classification in line with its malignant nature. To discover a novel molecular marker that is related to the efficacy of treatment for HCC and its biological nature, we performed serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE) in HCC, normal liver and cirrhotic liver tissues. *Methods:* Gene expression profiles of HCC tissues and non-cancerous liver tissues were obtained by SAGE. Suppression of the target gene by RNA interference was used to evaluate its role in HCC *in vitro.* The relation of the identified marker and prognosis was statistically examined in surgically resected HCC patients. *Results:* We identified significant overexpression of *DUT,* which encodes dUTP pyrophosphatase (dUTPase), in HCC tissue, and this was confirmed in about two-thirds of the HCC samples by reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction ($n = 20$). Suppression of dUTPase expression using short interfering RNAs inhibited cell proliferation and sensitized HuH7 cells to 5-fluorouracil treatment. Nuclear dUTPase expression was observed in 36.6% of surgically resected HCC samples ($n = 82$) evaluated by immunohistochemistry, and its expression was significantly correlated with the histological grades ($P = 0.0099$). Notably, nuclear dUTPase expression correlated with a poor prognosis with statistical significance (HR, 2.47; 95% CI, 1.08–5.66; $P = 0.032$). *Conclusion:* Taken together, these results suggest that nuclear dUTPase may be a good biomarker for predicting prognosis in HCC patients after surgical resection. Development of novel dUTPase inhibitors may facilitate the eradication of HCC.

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the fifth most common malignancy and the third leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide (1). Several risk factors are responsible for HCC development, including alcoholism, aflatoxin and genetic diseases such as haemochromatosis and α-1 antitrypsin deficiency; however, the major risk factor is chronic hepatitis owing to hepatitis B virus (HBV) or hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection (2–4). Several treatment options are currently available for HCC management, which include liver transplantation, surgical resection, percutaneous ethanol injection, radiofrequency ablation, transcatheter arterial chemoembolization and systemic or local chemotherapy, and optimal treatment is determined based on tumour stage and liver function (5, 6). However, more than 80% of HCC cases develop advanced HCC after initial treatment (7).

Various chemotherapeutic drugs have been investigated for their antitumour activity in advanced HCC. For example, 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), a thymidylate synthase inhibitor, was the first reported drug studied for the treatment of advanced HCC; however, a median survival rate of 3–5 months has discouraged the further use of 5-FU as a single chemotherapeutic agent (8, 9). Interferon-α (IFN-α) has been reported to have antitumour activity against advanced HCC, and recent reports have suggested the efficacy of a combination of 5-FU/IFN-α for advanced HCC treatment (10–12), although convincing evidence for improved survival rate remains lacking. A recent study has indicated that 16% of advanced HCC patients responded positively to 5-FU/IFN-α treatment with clear and significant survival benefits compared with stable or progressive disease patients (13). Thus, drug sensitivity appears to be one of the major determinants of the prognosis of advanced HCC patients treated with chemotherapy. Therefore, a hallmark of successful treatment would be the identification of useful biomarkers for determining the survival benefits offered by each treatment strategy.

In this study, we investigated the gene expression profiles of HCCs using serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE) to identify novel molecular markers or targets for the treatment of HCC (14–18). Here, we identified the upregulation of the *DUT* gene that encodes dUTP pyrophosphatase (dUTPase) in HCC. Markedly, HCC with a high nuclear dUTPase expression correlated with a poorly differentiated morphology and a poor prognosis. *DUT* gene knockdown not only suppressed cell proliferation but also sensitized HuH7 cells to low-dose 5-FU.

Materials and methods

Samples

All HCC tissues, adjacent non-cancerous liver tissues and normal liver tissues were obtained from 110 patients undergoing a hepatectomy between 1997 and 2006 in Kanazawa University Hospital, Kanazawa, Japan. Five normal liver tissue samples were obtained from patients undergoing surgical resection of the liver for the treatment of metastatic colon cancer. These samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately after resection. One hundred and five HCC and surrounding non-cancerous liver samples were obtained from patients undergoing surgical resection of the liver for HCC treatment, and part of these samples were used for the recent study (19). Three HCC and adjacent non-cancerous liver tissue samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and later used for SAGE. Twenty HCC tissues and their corresponding non-cancerous liver tissues were also snap-frozen and later used for real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis, as described previously (19). Eighty-two additional HCC samples were formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded and used for immunohistochemistry (IHC). HCC and adjacent non-cancerous liver tissues were histologically characterized, as reported elsewhere (19).

All strategies used for gene expression analysis as well as tissue acquisition processes were approved by the Ethics Committee and the Institutional Review Board of Kanazawa University Hospital. All procedures and risks were explained verbally to each patient, who then provided written informed consent.

Serial analysis of gene expression

Total RNA was purified from each homogenized tissue sample using a ToTally RNA extraction kit (Ambion Inc., Austin, TX, USA), and polyadenylated RNA was isolated using a MicroPoly (A) Pure kit (Ambion). A total of 2.5 μg of mRNA per sample was analysed by SAGE (20, 21). SAGE libraries were randomly sequenced at the Genomic Research Center (Shimadzu-Biotechnology, Kyoto, Japan), and the sequence files were analysed with SAGE 2000 software. The size of each SAGE library was normalized to 300 000 transcripts per library, and the abundance of transcripts was compared with SAGE 2000 software. Monte Carlo simulation was used for selecting genes whose expression levels were significantly different between the two libraries (22). Each SAGE tag was annotated using a gene-mapping website SAGE Genie database (http://cgap.nci.nih.gov/SAGE/) and the Source database (http://smd.stanford.edu/cgi-bin/source/sourceSearch), as described previously (23).

Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction

A 1 μg aliquot of each total RNA was reverse-transcribed using SuperScript II reverse-transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Real-time RT-PCR analysis was performed using the ABI PRISM 7700 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Using the standard curve method, quantitative PCR was performed in duplicate for each sample–primer set. Each sample was normalized relative to β actin. The assay IDs used were Hs00798995_s1 for dUTPase and Hs99999903_m1 for β actin.

RNA interference targeting *DUT*

Small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) targeting *DUT* or control (scrambled sequence) were synthesized by Dharmacon (Dharmacon Research Inc., Lafayette, CO, USA). The target sequences of *DUT* are 5′-AAGUUGU GAAAACGGACAUUC-3′ (DUT1) and 5′-CGGACAUU CAGAUAGCGCUTT-3′ (DUT2). Lipofectamine 2000^{TM} reagent (Invitrogen) was used for transfection according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Cell proliferation assay, soft agar assay and matrigel invasion assay

Cell proliferation assays were performed using a Cell Titer96 Aqueous kit in quintuplicate (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). For the soft agar assay, 1×10^4 cells were suspended in 2 ml of 0.36% agar with growth medium and added in each well of a six-well plate containing a base layer of 0.72% agar. The plates were incubated at 37 °C in a 5% CO_2 incubator for 2 weeks. Matrigel invasion assays were performed using BD BioCoat^{TM} Matrigel Matrix Cell Culture Inserts and Control Inserts (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA), as described in the manufacturer's instruction. 5-FU was obtained from Kyowa Kirin (Kyowa Kirin, Tokyo, Japan). All experiments were repeated at least twice.

Immunohistochemistry

Mouse monoclonal anti-dUTPase antibody M01 (Abnova Corporation, Taipei, Taiwan) and mouse antiproliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) monoclonal antibody PC10 (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA) were used to evaluate the immunoreactivity of HCC and adjacent non-cancerous liver samples using a Dako EnVision+^{TM} kit (Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer's instruction. Immunoreactivity was evaluated by determining the percentage of cells expressing dUTPase in the examined fields, graded as low (0–50%) or high (> 50%). The PCNA index was evaluated as described previously (19).

Statistical analysis

Student's *t*-test was used to determine the statistical significance of the differences in cell viability between the two groups. The Mann–Whitney *U*-test was used for the analysis of gene expression between chronic liver disease (CLD) and HCC tissues. The χ^2-test was used to evaluate the correlation between clinicopathological characteristics and dUTPase expression status. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was used to evaluate the association of dUTPase expression and clinicopathological parameters with patient outcome. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (SPSS software package; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and GRAPHPAD PRISM software (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

Results

Gene expression profiling identified the overexpression of *DUT* in hepatocellular carcinoma

To overcome the considerable individual variability of transcriptomic characteristics, we constructed a SAGE library of normal human liver using RNAs derived from five normal liver tissues. In addition, we constructed two SAGE libraries derived from three HCC tissues or corresponding non-cancerous liver tissues from patients who developed HCC with a history of chronic hepatitis C. We detected a total of 226 267 tags corresponding to 45 746 unique tags from these SAGE libraries (supporting information Table S1). After excluding the tags detected only once in each library, we selected 15 333 reliable unique transcripts expressed in at least one of the SAGE libraries to avoid contamination of tags derived from sequence errors. Then, we annotated these transcripts using SAGE Genie database and the Source database to identify the potential subcellular localization of transcripts categorized into eight groups in each SAGE library.

The number of nuclear component-related transcripts was increased in the HCC library compared with the normal liver and non-cancerous liver libraries, whereas the other cellular component-related transcripts did not show this tendency (supporting information Fig. S1). Because nuclear component-related genes may closely correlate with cancer cell proliferation and chemosensitivity (24), we further investigated the expression of nuclear component-related tags in each library, and identified 10 transcripts associated with nucleotide/nucleoside metabolism that are overexpressed in HCC (Table 1). Using Monte Carlo simulation, we evaluated the significance of differentially expressed transcripts in HCC and corresponding CLD libraries or in HCC and normal liver libraries. We identified a *DUT* gene encoding dUTPase (dUTPase) whose expression was significantly altered ($P = 0.01$). We also identified a *TS* gene encoding thymidylate synthase in the list, but the difference did not reach statistical significance.

dUTPase is a phosphatase known to maintain a dUMP pool by catalysing the hydrolysis of dUTP to dUMP, and thus provides a substrate of thymidylate synthase. Its role in HCC is unknown; therefore, we examined *DUT* expression in 20 independent HCC and corresponding non-cancerous liver tissues, and identified significant overexpression of *DUT* in HCC tissue ($P = 0.0015$) (Fig. 1A). Moreover, we detected more than a two-fold increase in *DUT* expression in 70% of HBV-related and HCV-related HCC cases (14 of 20 HCCs) compared with the non-cancerous liver tissues (Fig. 1B). We further examined the expression of *DUT* in 238 HCC tissues compared with the non-cancerous liver tissues using publicly available microarray data (GSE5975) (Fig. S2). Consistent with the SAGE data, *DUT* was overexpressed more than two-fold in 121 of 238 HCC tissues (median: 2.03), whereas *TS* was overexpressed more than two-fold in 54 of 238 HCC tissues (median: 1.41) compared with the non-cancerous liver tissues.

Pivotal role of dUTP pyrophosphatase expression in cell proliferation in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines

In general, cancer gene signatures discovered by comparison between tumour and non-tumour tissues are more likely to reflect the differences in the control of cell proliferation and growth (25). Accordingly, we investigated the function of dUTPase in cell proliferation in HuH7 cells by *DUT* gene knockdown. *DUT* expression was decreased by 60–70% following the transfection of the siRNA constructs specifically targeting *DUT* 48 h after transfection (DUT1 in Fig. 2A and DUT2 in Fig. S3A), and cell growth was significantly inhibited compared with the control 72 h after transfection (Fig. 2B and Fig. S3B). Anchorage-independent cell growth was also significantly impaired by *DUT* gene knockdown 14 days after transfection (Fig. 2C). Furthermore, *DUT* gene knockdown decreased the numbers of both migrating and invading cells 72 h after transfection (Fig. 2D and E).

dUTPase is known to be associated with thymidylate synthesis (26), and thus we evaluated the effects of 5-FU, a thymidylate synthase inhibitor, on dUTPase expression in HCC cell lines *in vitro*. When we treated HuH7 cells with low-dose 5-FU (0.25 mg/ml), we could not detect any growth-inhibitory effects (Fig. 2F). Based on this condition, we evaluated the effect of *DUT* gene knockdown on 5-FU sensitivity 72 h after transfection.

Table 1. Genes associated with nucleic acid metabolism overexpressed in hepatocellular carcinoma

Tag sequence	Normal liver	Non-cancerous liver	HCC	Fold*	Gene	*P*-value†
CAGCTCCGCT	0	2	11	5.5	dUTP pyrophosphatase	0.010
AAAGGATAAT	0	0	3	> 3	General transcription factor II H, polypeptide 2	0.127
ACGGTCCAGG	0	0	3	> 3	Cytidine deaminase	0.127
ATGTAGAGTG	0	0	3	> 3	Thymidylate synthase	0.127
TGGGGATTAC	1	0	3	> 3	Zinc ribbon domain containing, 1	0.127
CACCCTGTAC	2	2	6	3	Solute carrier family 29	0.147
GAACGCCTAA	1	1	3	3	Dihydropyrimidinase-like 2	0.308
GCGCTGGTAC	0	1	3	3	2′-5′-oligoadenylate synthetase 3	0.308
CTTAGTGCAA	0	2	4	2	3′-phosphoadenosine 5′-phosphosulphate synthase 2	0.335
TTGTTACATC	0	2	3	1.5	Phosphoribosyl pyrophosphatase synthetase-associated protein 1	0.506

*Fold increase was calculated by dividing the number of tags in HCC by that of tags in non-cancerous liver. To avoid division by 0, a tag value of 1 was used for any tag that was not detectable in one sample.

†Statistical significance of differentially expressed genes between two groups (HCC and non-cancerous liver libraries) was calculated using Monte Carlo simulation.

HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma.



Fig. 1. (A) Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction analysis of *DUT* expression in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and corresponding non-cancerous liver tissues. *DUT* was significantly activated in HCC tissues compared with non-cancerous liver tissues ($P = 0.0015$). A median value in each group is indicated. (B) *DUT* gene expression ratios of HCC and corresponding non-cancerous liver tissues. Fourteen of 20 HCC tissues expressed *DUT* more than two-fold compared with the background non-cancerous liver tissues. HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus.

Interestingly, *DUT* gene knockdown not only suppressed cell proliferation but also sensitized HuH7 cells to low-dose 5-FU (Fig. 2F and Fig. S3B). These data suggest that dUTPase overexpression in HCC tissues may be associated with enhanced cell proliferation and 5-FU resistance.

Intense dUTP pyrophosphatase expression is correlated with a poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma patients

To characterize the clinicopathological characteristics of dUTPase expression in HCC, we performed IHC using an additional independent HCC cohort. Accordingly, we explored the dUTPase expression in HCC using 82 formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded HCC specimens. All HCC tissues were surgically resected at the Liver Disease Center of Kanazawa University Hospital with full clinical information, and their immunoreactivity to anti-dUTPase antibodies was evaluated by IHC. We noticed that anti-dUTPase antibodies reacted to both nuclear (red arrows) and cytoplasmic (blue arrows) isoforms of dUTPase, as described previously (26) (Fig. 3A and B). We therefore evaluated the nuclear and cytoplasmic expression of dUTPase separately. We stratified HCC tissues and evaluated the dUTPase expression status based on the percentages of dUTPase-positive cells. The frequency of nuclear or cytoplasmic dUTPase-positive cells was highly variable in each HCC tissue, and we defined HCCs with nuclear or cytoplasmic dUTPase expressed in ≥50% of tumour cells as nuclear or cytoplasmic dUTPase-high HCC (Fig. 3C). Nuclear dUTPase overexpression was detected in 36.6% (30 of 82), whereas cytoplasmic dUTPase overexpression was detected in 67.1% (55 of 82) of HCC tissues compared with the corresponding non-cancerous liver tissues



Fig. 2. (A) Transfection of small interfering RNAs targeting *DUT* (DUT1) decreased *DUT* expression compared with the control (scrambled sequence). Gene expression was evaluated in triplicate 72 h after transfection (mean ± SD). (B) *DUT* gene knockdown significantly suppressed cell proliferation ($P < 0.0001$). Cell viability was evaluated in triplicate 72 h after transfection (mean ± SD). (C) Soft agar assay. *DUT* gene knockdown suppressed anchorage-independent cell growth. (D and E) Matrigel invasion assay. *DUT* gene knockdown decreased the numbers of both migrating and invading cells. Experiments were performed in triplicate (mean ± SD). (F) *DUT* gene knockdown sensitized HuH7 cells to low-dose 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) (0.25 μg/ml), which had no effect on the cell proliferation in the control (mean ± SD).

(Table 2). In general, non-cancerous hepatocytes rarely expressed nuclear dUTPase (Fig. 3A).

We investigated the clinicopathological characteristics of nuclear or cytoplasmic dUTPase in low /high HCC cases (Table 2). The expression status of nuclear dUTPase showed no correlation with age, gender, virus, presence of cirrhosis, α-fetoprotein value, tumour size and TNM stages. However, nuclear dUTPase expression was significantly correlated with the histological grades of HCC ($P = 0.0099$), and high frequencies of nuclear dUTPase-positive cells were associated with poorly differentiated cell morphology in the HCC tissue. In contrast, cytoplasmic dUTPase expression was not correlated with the histological grades of HCC ($P = 0.077$). We examined the cell proliferation of these HCC samples by PCNA staining, and PCNA indexes were significantly higher in nuclear dUTPase high HCC than low HCC with statistical significance ($P = 0.01$) (Fig. S4).

We further investigated the prognostic significance of dUTPase expression in HCC. Strikingly, high nuclear dUTPase expression in HCC tissue correlated with a poor survival outcome compared with low nuclear dUTPase expression ($P = 0.0036$), whereas high cytoplasmic dUTPase expression had little effects when evaluated by recurrence-free survival (Fig. 3D). Furthermore, univariate Cox regression analysis showed a significant correlation between high nuclear dUTPase expression and a high risk of mortality (HR, 2.47; 95% CI, 1.08–5.66; $P = 0.032$; Table 3). By multivariate Cox regression analysis, TNM stage (HR, 2.75; 95% CI, 1.11–6.79; $P = 0.027$) and nuclear dUTPase (HR, 2.61; 95% CI, 1.13–6.05; $P = 0.024$) were independent prognostic factors associated with a high risk of mortality, and other clinicopathological features did not add independent prognostic information. These data indicate a significant correlation between the malignant potential of



Fig. 3. Immunohistochemistry analysis of dUTP pyrophosphatase (dUTPase) expression in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). (A) A representative photomicrograph of dUTPase staining in an HCC and adjacent non-cancerous liver tissue. (B) A representative photomicrograph of dUTPase staining in an HCC. Both nuclear (red arrows) and cytoplasmic (blue arrows) forms of dUTPase were detected. (C) Representative photomicrographs of HCC tissues with low (0–50%) and high (≥50%) frequencies of nuclear and cytoplasmic dUTPase-positive cells. (D and E) Kaplan–Meier survival analysis of HCC tissues with nuclear (D) or cytoplasmic (E) dUTPase expression. High percentages of nuclear dUTPase-positive tumour cells significantly correlated with poor clinical outcome in recurrence-free survival.

HCC and nuclear dUTPase expression, implicating the potential effectiveness of nuclear dUTPase level as a biomarker for predicting the survival of HCC patients after surgical resection.

Discussion

Here, using a global gene expression profiling approach (18), we have identified the activation of the nucleotide/nucleoside metabolism-related gene *DUT* (encoding dUTPase) in HCC. Notably, an intense dUTPase expression was detected in a subset of HCC with a poor prognosis. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report describing the correlation between dUTPase activation and poor survival outcome in HCC patients.

In normal cells, dUTPase is known to catalyse the hydrolysis of dUTP to dUMP in order to maintain the dUMP pool at a certain level for thymidylate synthesis (26). Interestingly, dUTPase mutations in *Escherichia coli* increased dUTP levels, leading to dUTP misincorporation into DNA during replication, which resulted in DNA fragmentation and apoptosis (27). Furthermore, introduction of *E. coli* dUTPase into human tumour cells resulted in the induction of resistance to fluorodeoxyuridine cytotoxicity (28), suggesting a pivotal role of dUTPase in the prevention of DNA damage. Thus, dUTPase activation in the nucleus appears to be critical

Table 2. Clinicopathological characteristics and dUTP pyrophosphatase expression in hepatocellular carcinoma ($n = 82$)

dUTPase expression (nuclear)	Low ($n = 52$)	High ($n = 30$)	*P*-value
Age (< 60 years/≥ 60 years)	19/33	8/22	0.36
Sex (male/female)	36/16	23/7	0.47
Virus (HBV/HCV/B+C/NBNC)	15/33/1/3	10/20/0/0	0.48
Cirrhosis (yes/no)	33/19	22/8	0.36
AFP (< 20 ng/ml/≥ 20 ng/ml)	32/20	15/15	0.31
Histological grade*			
I–II	14	3	
II–III	36	20	
III–IV	2	7	0.0099
Tumour size (< 3 cm/≥ 3 cm)	31/21	19/11	0.74
TNM classification† (I, II/III, IV)	43/9	25/5	0.94

dUTPase expression (cytoplasmic)	Low ($n = 27$)	High ($n = 55$)	*P*-value
Age (< 60 years/≥ 60 years)	10/17	17/38	0.58
Sex (male/female)	19/8	40/15	0.82
Virus (HBV/HCV/B+C/NBNC)	8/17/1/1	17/36/0/2	0.56
Cirrhosis (yes/no)	17/10	38/17	0.58
AFP (< 20 ng/ml/≥ 20 ng/ml)	16/11	31/24	0.80
Histological grade*			
I–II	7	10	
II–III	20	36	
III–IV	0	9	0.077
Tumour size (< 3cm/≥ 3 cm)	17/10	33/22	0.80
TNM classification† (I, II/III, IV)	21/6	47/8	0.39

*Edmondson–Steiner grades.

†UICC TNM classification of liver cancer, 6th edition (2002).

AFP, α-fetoprotein; dUTPase, dUTP pyrophosphatase; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus.

Table 3. Cox regression analysis of recurrence-free survival rate relative to dUTP pyrophosphatase expression and clinicopathological parameters ($n = 82$)

	Univariate		Multivariate	
Variables (n)	HR (95% CI)	*P*-value	HR (95% CI)	*P*-value
Child–Pugh				
A	1			
B	1.73 (0.50–5.97)	0.38		
Tumour size				
< 3 cm ($n = 50$)	1			
≥ 3 cm ($n = 32$)	1.58 (0.69–3.63)	0.28		
TNM stage*				
I, II ($n = 68$)	1		1	
III, IV ($n = 14$)	2.57 (1.05–6.29)	0.039	2.75 (1.11–6.79)	0.027
Serum AFP				
< 20 ng/ml ($n = 49$)	1			
≥ 20 ng/ml ($n = 38$)	1.54 (0.66–3.56)	0.31		
Microvascular invasion				
No	1			
Yes	1.98 (0.89–4.44)	0.095		
BCLC stage				
A	1			
B/C	2.16 (0.93–5.00)	0.07		
Cytoplasmic dUTPase				
Low ($n = 27$)	1			
High ($n = 55$)	1.15 (0.50–2.62)	0.73		
Nuclear dUTPase				
Low ($n = 52$)	1		1	
High ($n = 30$)	2.47 (1.08–5.66)	0.032	2.61 (1.13–6.05)	0.024

*UICC TNM classification of liver cancer, 6th edition (2002).

AFP, α-fetoprotein; CI, confidence intervals; dUTPase, dUTP pyrophosphatase; HR, hazard ratio.

for preventing DNA damage possibly at the S phase. Specifically, this activation may prevent dUTP misincorporation in various cancers and thus avert DNA damage and apoptosis induction. Indeed, dUTPase activation has recently been reported in colorectal and brain cancer (29, 30), and dUTPase accumulation might correlate with 5-FU-based chemotherapy resistance and poor prognosis in colorectal cancer (26).

If dUTPase activation plays a central role in the development of resistance to thymidylate synthase inhibitors in order to prevent a DNA damage response, dUTPase inhibition may facilitate the eradication of cancer cells by sensitizing these cells to such inhibitors. Indeed, a recent study suggested a drastic sensitization of colon cancer cells to 5-FU by siRNAs-mediated dUTPase suppression (31, 32), which is consistent with our current observation. Because all HCC samples used in this study were surgically resected, we could not evaluate the effect of dUTPase expression on clinical HCC patients' outcome in relation to chemosensitivity to thymidylate synthase inhibitors. Nevertheless, intense nuclear dUTPase expression may be a good biomarker for predicting the response to thymidylate synthase inhibitors, and its usefulness should be further evaluated in the future.

In conclusion, comprehensive gene expression profiling shed new light on the role of dUTPase in HCC. Nuclear dUTPase accumulation is potentially a good biomarker for predicting poor prognosis in HCC patients, and the development of a dUTPase inhibitor may promote the possibility of tumour eradication in HCC patients.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Ms Masayo Baba and Nami Nishiyama for technical assistance. This research was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Special Purposes from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan (no. 20599005).

Grant support: Grant-in-Aid for Special Purposes from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan (no. 20599005).

References

1. Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J, Pisani P. Global cancer statistics, 2002. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2005; **55**: 74–108.
2. El-Serag HB, Rudolph KL. Hepatocellular carcinoma: epidemiology and molecular carcinogenesis. *Gastroenterology* 2007; **132**: 2557–76.
3. Farazi PA, Depinho RA. Hepatocellular carcinoma pathogenesis: from genes to environment. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2006; **6**: 674–87.
4. Roessler S, Budhu A, Wang XW. Future of molecular profiling of human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Future Oncol* 2007; **3**: 429–39.
5. El-Serag HB, Marrero JA, Rudolph L, Reddy KR. Diagnosis and treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* 2008; **134**: 1752–63.
6. Llovet JM, Bruix J. Novel advancements in the management of hepatocellular carcinoma in 2008. *J Hepatol* 2008; **48**(Suppl. 1): S20–37.
7. Poon RT, Fan ST, Lo CM, Liu CL, Wong J. Long-term survival and pattern of recurrence after resection of small hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with preserved liver function: implications for a strategy of salvage transplantation. *Ann Surg* 2002; **235**: 373–82.
8. Friedman MA. Primary hepatocellular cancer–present results and future prospects. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1983; **9**: 1841–50.
9. Lin DY, Lin SM, Liaw YF. Non-surgical treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1997; **12**: S319–28.
10. Nagano H, Miyamoto A, Wada H, *et al.* Interferon-alpha and 5-fluorouracil combination therapy after palliative hepatic resection in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma, portal venous tumor thrombus in the major trunk, and multiple nodules. *Cancer* 2007; **110**: 2493–501.
11. Patt YZ, Hassan MM, Lozano RD, *et al.* Phase II trial of systemic continuous fluorouracil and subcutaneous recombinant interferon alfa-2b for treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2003; **21**: 421–7.
12. Urabe T, Kaneko S, Matsushita E, Unoura M, Kobayashi K. Clinical pilot study of intrahepatic arterial chemotherapy with methotrexate, 5-fluorouracil, cisplatin and subcutaneous interferon-alpha-2b for patients with locally advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncology* 1998; **55**: 39–47.
13. Obi S, Yoshida H, Toune R, *et al.* Combination therapy of intraarterial 5-fluorouracil and systemic interferon-alpha for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma with portal venous invasion. *Cancer* 2006; **106**: 1990–7.
14. Honda M, Yamashita T, Ueda T, *et al.* Different signaling pathways in the livers of patients with chronic hepatitis B or chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2006; **44**: 1122–38.
15. Nishino R, Honda M, Yamashita T, *et al.* Identification of novel candidate tumour marker genes for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *J Hepatol* 2008; **49**: 207–16.
16. Yamashita T, Honda M, Takatori H, *et al.* Genome-wide transcriptome mapping analysis identifies organ-specific gene expression patterns along human chromosomes. *Genomics* 2004; **84**: 867–75.
17. Yamashita T, Kaneko S, Hashimoto S, *et al.* Serial analysis of gene expression in chronic hepatitis C and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2001; **282**: 647–54.
18. Yamashita T, Honda M, Kaneko S. Application of serial analysis of gene expression in cancer research. *Curr Pharm Biotechnol* 2008; **9**: 375–82.
19. Yamashita T, Honda M, Takatori H, *et al.* Activation of lipogenic pathway correlates with cell proliferation and poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* 2009; **50**: 100–10.
20. Velculescu VE, Zhang L, Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW. Serial analysis of gene expression. *Science* 1995; **270**: 484–7.
21. Yamashita T, Hashimoto S, Kaneko S, *et al.* Comprehensive gene expression profile of a normal human liver. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2000; **269**: 110–6.
22. Polyak K, Xia Y, Zweier JL, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. A model for p53-induced apoptosis. *Nature* 1997; **389**: 300–5.
23. Misu H, Takamura T, Matsuzawa N, *et al.* Genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are coordinately upregulated with fasting hyperglycaemia in livers of patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2007; **50**: 268–77.
24. Longley DB, Harkin DP, Johnston PG. 5-fluorouracil: mechanisms of action and clinical strategies. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2003; **3**: 330–8.
25. Whitfield ML, George LK, Grant GD, Perou CM. Common markers of proliferation. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2006; **6**: 99–106.
26. Ladner RD, Lynch FJ, Groshen S, *et al.* dUTP nucleotidohydrolase isoform expression in normal and neoplastic tissues: association with survival and response to 5-fluorouracil in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res* 2000; **60**: 3493–503.
27. El-Hajj HH, Zhang H, Weiss B. Lethality of a dut (deoxyuridine triphosphatase) mutation in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* 1988; **170**: 1069–75.
28. Canman CE, Radany EH, Parsels LA, *et al.* Induction of resistance to fluorodeoxyuridine cytotoxicity and DNA damage in human tumor cells by expression of *Escherichia coli* deoxyuridinetriphosphatase. *Cancer Res* 1994; **54**: 2296–8.
29. Fleischmann J, Kremmer E, Muller S, *et al.* Expression of deoxyuridine triphosphatase (dUTPase) in colorectal tumours. *Int J Cancer* 1999; **84**: 614–7.
30. Romeike BF, Bockeler A, Kremmer E, *et al.* Immunohistochemical detection of dUTPase in intracranial tumors. *Pathol Res Pract* 2005; **201**: 727–32.
31. Koehler SE, Ladner RD. Small interfering RNA-mediated suppression of dUTPase sensitizes cancer cell lines to thymidylate synthase inhibition. *Mol Pharmacol* 2004; **66**: 620–6.

32. Wilson PM, Fazzone W, Labonte MJ, *et al.* Novel opportunities for thymidylate metabolism as a therapeutic target. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2008; 7: 3029–37.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Fig. S1. Subcellular localization of genes detected in each SAGE library.

Fig. S2. Microarray analysis of *DUT* and *TS* gene expression in 238 HCC cases publicly available (GSE5975). *DUT* was overexpressed more than 2-fold in 121 of 238 HCC tissues (median: 2.03), whereas *TS* was overexpressed more than 2-fold in 54 of 238 HCC tissues (median: 1.41) compared with the non-cancerous liver tissues.

Fig. S3. (A) Transfection of siRNAs targeting *DUT* (DUT2) decreased *DUT* expression compared with the control (scrambled sequence). Gene expression was evaluated in triplicates 72 hours after transfection (mean ± SD). (B) *DUT* gene knockdown sensitized HuH7 cells to low-dose 5-FU (0.25 mg/ml) (mean ± SD).

Fig. S4. Nuclear and cytoplasmic dUTPase expression and cell proliferation in HCC. PCNA indexes in nuclear dUTPase-high HCC were higher than those in -low HCC with statistical significance ($P = 0.01$). Cytoplasmic dUTPase expression was not associated with PCNA indexes in HCC.

Table S1. A summary of constructed SAGE libraries.

Differential MicroRNA Expression Between Hepatitis B and Hepatitis C Leading Disease Progression to Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Shunsuke Ura,[1] Masao Honda,[1,2] Taro Yamashita,[1] Teruyuki Ueda,[1] Hajime Takatori,[1] Ryuhei Nishino,[1]
Hajime Sunakozaka,[1] Yoshio Sakai,[1] Katsuhisa Horimoto,[3] and Shuichi Kaneko[1]

MicroRNA (miRNA) plays an important role in the pathology of various diseases, including infection and cancer. Using real-time polymerase chain reaction, we measured the expression of 188 miRNAs in liver tissues obtained from 12 patients with hepatitis B virus (HBV)-related hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and 14 patients with hepatitis C virus (HCV)-related HCC, including background liver tissues and normal liver tissues obtained from nine patients. Global gene expression in the same tissues was analyzed via complementary DNA microarray to examine whether the differentially expressed miRNAs could regulate their target genes. Detailed analysis of the differentially expressed miRNA revealed two types of miRNA, one associated with HBV and HCV infections (n = 19), the other with the stage of liver disease (n = 31). Pathway analysis of targeted genes using infection-associated miRNAs revealed that the pathways related to cell death, DNA damage, recombination, and signal transduction were activated in HBV-infected liver, and those related to immune response, antigen presentation, cell cycle, proteasome, and lipid metabolism were activated in HCV-infected liver. The differences in the expression of infection-associated miRNAs in the liver correlated significantly with those observed in Huh7.5 cells in which infectious HBV or HCV clones replicated. Out of the 31 miRNAs associated with disease state, 17 were down-regulated in HCC, which up-regulated cancer-associated pathways such as cell cycle, adhesion, proteolysis, transcription, and translation; 6 miRNAs were up-regulated in HCC, which down-regulated anti-tumor immune response. *Conclusion:* miRNAs are important mediators of HBV and HCV infection as well as liver disease progression, and therefore could be potential therapeutic target molecules. (HEPATOLOGY 2009;49:1098-1112.)

MicroRNA (miRNA) is an endogenous, small, single-strand, noncording RNA consisting of 20 to 25 bases and regulates gene expression of various cell types. It plays an important role in various biological processes, including organ development and differentiation as well as cellular death and proliferation, and is also involved in various diseases such as infection and cancer.[1-3]

miRNAs are produced as follows. A primary miRNA with a hairpin loop structure is cleaved into a precursor miRNA and transported out of the nuclei with a carrier protein (Exportin-5). The precursor miRNA is then processed by Dicer and converted into an active single-strand RNA in the cytoplasm. The miRNA binds to a target messenger RNA in a sequence-dependent manner and induces degradation of the target messenger RNA and translational inhibition. One miRNA regulates the expression of multiple target genes; bioinformatics analyses have suggested that the expression of more than 30% of human genes is regulated by miRNAs.[4-7]

Abbreviations: cDNA, complementary DNA; CH, chronic hepatitis; CH-B, chronic hepatitis B; CH-C, chronic hepatitis C; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HCC-B, hepatitis B-related hepatocellular carcinoma; HCC-C, hepatitis C-related hepatocellular carcinoma; HCV, hepatitis C virus; miRNA, microRNA; RTD-PCR, real-time detection polymerase chain reaction; SVM, support vector machine.

From the Departments of [1]Gastroenterology and [2]Advanced Medical Technology, Kanazawa University Graduate School of Medicine, Kanazawa, Japan; and the [3]Biological Network Team, Computational Biology Research Center, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, 2-42 Aomi, koto-ku, Tokyo 135-0064, Japan.

Received July 3, 2008; accepted November 15, 2008.

Address reprint requests to: Masao Honda, M.D., Ph.D., Department of Gastroenterology, Graduate School of Medicine, Kanazawa University, Takara-Machi 13-1, Kanazawa 920-8641, Japan. E-mail: mhonda@m-kanazawa.jp; fax: (81)-76-234-4250.

DOI 10.1002/hep.22749

Potential conflict of interest: Nothing to report.

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Table 1. Characteristics of Patients Used for Analysis of miRNA and Microarray Samples

Patient No.	Virus	Age	Sex	ALT	Histology of Activity	Background Liver Fibrosis	Histological Grade of HCC	Tumor Size (mm)	TNM Staging	HCV-RNA (KIU/mL)	HBV-DNA (LEG/mL)
1	HBV	57	M	16	2	4	Moderate	20	II	–	3.4
2	HBV	51	M	57	1	2	Moderate	48	II	–	< 2.6
3	HBV	61	M	17	1	4	Well	16	II	–	< 3.7
4	HBV	47	M	19	1	4	Moderate	15	I	–	< 3.7
5	HBV	72	M	19	1	1	Well	25	II	–	NA
6	HBV	73	M	62	1	3	Moderate	45	III	–	5.7
7	HBV	42	M	36	1	4	Moderate	18	I	–	< 3.7
8	HBV	63	M	13	1	2	Moderate	15	I	–	2.8
9	HBV	68	F	54	1	2	Well	56	II	–	4.1
10	HBV	70	M	13	0	2	Well	40	II	–	< 3.7
11	HBV	58	M	29	1	4	Moderate	35	IVA*	–	3.3
12	HBV	72	M	22	1	4	Moderate	18	I	–	6
13	HCV	66	F	33	2	4	Well	25	II	423	–
14	HCV	67	M	89	1	4	Well	30	II	> 850	–
15	HCV	64	M	31	1	4	Moderate	75	III	< 5 (+)	–
16	HCV	68	M	30	0	4	Well	23	II	> 850	–
17	HCV	46	M	98	2	3	Moderate	20	I	> 850	–
18	HCV	68	F	32	2	4	Moderate	25	III	< 5 (+)	–
19	HCV	66	F	46	2	4	Well	25	II	> 850	–
20	HCV	47	M	246	1	3	Moderate	20	I	262	–
21	HCV	75	M	27	1	3	Moderate	19	II	85.1	–
22	HCV	77	M	21	0	1	Moderate	20	II	< 5 (–)	–
23	HCV	66	M	46	2	2	Well	60	II	50.3	–
24	HCV	65	M	89	1	1	Poorly	25	III	850	–
25	HCV	53	M	54	0	1	Moderate	28	II	< 5 (–)	–
26	HCV	75	F	212	1	4	Well	19	I	580	–
27	–	51	F	18	0	0	–	–	–	–	–
28	–	78	F	13	0	0	–	–	–	–	–
29	–	75	M	20	0	0	–	–	–	–	–
30	–	34	M	12	0	0	–	–	–	–	–
31	–	64	M	30	0	0	–	–	–	–	–
32	–	78	M	9	0	0	–	–	–	–	–
33	–	53	M	19	0	0	–	–	–	–	–
34	–	64	F	12	0	0	–	–	–	–	–
35	–	60	F	20	0	0	–	–	–	–	–

HCV RNA was assayed via Amplicor Monitor Test (KIU/mL); HBV DNA was assayed via transcription-mediated amplification (LEG/mL).

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; F, female; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HCV, hepatitis C virus; M, male; TNM, tumor-node–metastasis.

*Vascular invasion (+).

Infection of the human liver with hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) induces the development of chronic hepatitis (CH), cirrhosis, and in some instances hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).[8] The virological features of these two distinct viruses are completely different; however, the viruses infect the liver and cause CH, which is not distinguished by histological examination or clinical manifestations. We previously reported that gene expression profiles in chronic hepatitis B (CH-B) and chronic hepatitis C (CH-C) are different. Proapoptotic and DNA repair responses were predominant in CH-B, and inflammatory and antiapoptotic phenotypes were predominant in CH-C. However, factors inducing these differences in gene expression remain to be elucidated.[9,10]

We examined miRNA expression in liver tissue with HBV-related liver disease (CH-B and HCC-B) and HCV-related liver disease (CH-C and HCC-C) and in normal liver tissue via real-time detection polymerase chain reaction (RTD-PCR). We also performed global analysis of messenger RNA expression in these tissues using complementary DNA (cDNA) microarray. These analyses allowed us to find characteristic miRNAs associated with HBV or HCV infection as well as the progression of liver disease.

Patients and Methods

Patients. The study subjects included 12 patients with CH-B complicated by HCC and 14 patients with



Fig. 1. (A) miRNA-specific RTD-PCR using sheet hairpin primers. (B) miRNA amplification curves by RTD-PCR.

CH-C complicated by HCC. Gene expression analysis was approved by the ethics committee of the Graduate School of Medicine, Kanazawa University Hospital, Japan, between 1999 and 2004. In addition, nine normal liver tissue samples obtained during surgery for metastatic liver cancer were used as control samples. Surgically removed liver tissues were stored in liquid nitrogen until analysis. Histological classification of HCC and histological evaluation of hepatitis in noncancerous regions for each patient are shown in Table 1. HCV viremia in two patients with CH-C was persistently cleared by interferon therapy before HCC development. There were no significant differences in the histological findings of HCC and noncancerous regions, as well as in sex, age, and hepatic function between the HBV and HCV infection groups.

Quantitative RTD-PCR. Approximately 1 mg of each liver tissue sample stored in liquid nitrogen was ground with a homogenizer while still frozen, and total RNA containing miRNA was isolated according to the protocol of the mirVana miRNA Isolation kit (Ambion, Austin, TX) and stored at −80°C until analysis. miRNA expression levels were quantitated using the TaqMan MicroRNA Assays Human Panel Early Access kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). cDNA was prepared via reverse transcription using 10 ng each of the isolated total RNA and 3 μL each of the reverse transcription primers with specific loop structures. Reverse transcription was performed using the TaqMan MicroRNA Reverse Transcription kit (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Then, a mixture of 6.67 μL of nuclease-free water, 10 μL of TaqMan 2 × Universal PCR Master Mix (No AmpErase UNG; Applied Biosystems), and 2 μL of TaqMan MicroRNA Assay Mix, which was included in the kit, was prepared for each sample on a 384-well plate; 1.33 μL of the reverse transcription product was added to the mixture, and amplification reaction was performed on an ABI PRISM 7900HT (Applied Biosystems). Expression levels of 188 miRNAs in each sample were quantitated.

Analysis of RTD-PCR Data. The measured 188 miRNAs included RNU6B, which is commonly used as a control for miRNA. β-Actin and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase were also measured simultaneously for correcting RNA amount. The mean Ct values and standard deviations of each miRNA were calculated from expression data of all patients obtained by RTD-PCR. miRNA with the lowest expression variation was used as the internal control. Ct values of each miRNA were then corrected by the Ct value of the internal control to yield $-\Delta$Ct values defined as relative miRNA expression levels and used for analyses. Statistical analyses and hierarchical cluster analyses of expression data were performed using BRB ArrayTools (http://linus.nci.nih.gov/BRB-ArrayTools.html). Relative miRNA expression levels were further normalized using the median over the all patients so that the normalized expression levels of each patient have a median log ratio of 0. A class prediction method was used for classifying two patient groups based on the supervised learning method, and a binary tree classification method was used for classifying three or more patient groups with a statistical algorithm of the support vector machine (SVM). Class prediction was performed using SVM incorporating genes differentially expressed at a univariate parametric significance level of $P = 0.01$. The prediction rate was estimated via cross-validation and the bootstrap method for small sample data.[11] (It is worth



Fig. 2. (A) Hierarchical cluster analysis using total miRNA. Chronic hepatitis is indicated by histological stage and grade (F, fibrosis; A, activity) and type of infecting virus (B or C). HCC is indicated by histological grade (w, well differentiated; m, moderately differentiated; p, poorly differentiated) and type of infecting virus (B or C), with the patient number added at the end. (B) Relationship between five classes divided by binary tree classification. Expression profiles were first classified into normal liver and non-normal liver groups (node 1), then into HBV and HCV groups (node 2). The HBV group was further divided into HCC-B and CH-B (node 3), and the HCV group into HCC-C and CH-C (node 4).



Fig. 3. Cluster 1: Eight miRNAs specifically differentiated node 1 classification. Cluster 2: Nineteen miRNAs specifically differentiated node 2 classification. Cluster 3: Twenty-three miRNAs differentiated CH-B and HCC-B as well as CH-C and HCC-C.



Fig. 4. Analysis of miRNA expression data. Target genes of miRNAs were predicted using MIRANDA Pro3.0; candidate target genes spotted on microarray were identified; number of genes that actually exhibit significant ($P < 0.05$) changes in expression among the genes was determined; and signal pathways involving genes regulated by the miRNAs that had exhibited differential expression between each group were analyzed using MetaCore (Table 4).

noting that the prediction rate may be likely an overestimate of the true rate, given the weaknesses of cross-validation and bootstrapping methods in a strict sense.)

Microarray Analysis. cDNA microarray slides (Liver chip 10k) were used as described.[10] RNA isolation, amplification of antisense RNA, labeling, and hybridization were performed according to the protocols described.[9,10] Quantitative assessment of the signals on the slides was performed by scanning on the ScanArray 5000 (General Scanning, Watertown, MA) followed by image analysis using GenePix Pro 4.1 (Axon Instruments, Union City, CA) as described.[10]

Preliminary Survey of Independency of Paired Samples from the Same Patient. CH and HCC expression data were derived from the same patient. Before further analysis, we examined whether the miRNA expression of paired samples was similar or independent. We compared differences in the expressions of paired and nonpaired CH and HCC samples using the Dunnett test[12] (Supplementary Data). All possible tests performed for data pairs represented no dependency due to the paired data from the same patients. For data analysis, we used the standard pairwise class comparison and prediction tool in BRB ArrayTools.

Identification of Candidate miRNA Target Genes. Candidate target genes predicted to be regulated by miRNAs based on sequence comparison were selected using MIRANDA Pro3.0 (Sanger Institute). Of the selected genes, those represented on a microarray chip were then examined for expression (Fig. 4). The number of genes showing a significant ($P < 0.05$) expression difference among the candidate target genes represented on the chip was statistically analyzed to evaluate the significance of expression regulation by miRNAs. Analysis of significance was performed using Hotelling T2 test (BRB ArrayTools).

Pathway Analysis. Of the candidate miRNA target genes, those showing a significant ($P < 0.01$) expression difference between N, CH-B, HCC-B, CH-C, and HCC-C samples were analyzed for pathways involving these genes using MetaCore software suite (GeneGo, St. Joseph, MI). Significance probability was calculated using

Table 2-1. Class Prediction

No.	Class	Prediction (%)	No. of Predictors	P Value
1	HBV versus HCV	87	32	<0.001
2	N versus CH (B+C)	91	26	0.007
3	CH (B+C) versus HCC (B+C)	92	34	0.003

Class prediction algorithm was used for the classification of two groups of patients. Feature selection was based on the univariate significance level (alpha = 0.01). The support vector machine classifier was used for class prediction.

Abbreviations: CH, nontumor lesion of HCC; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; N, normal.

Table 2-2 Binary Tree Classification

Node	Group 1 Class	Group 2 Class	No. of Predictors	Misclassification Rate (%)
1	HCC-B, HCC-C, CH-B, CH-C	N	20	4.9
2	HCC-B, CH-B	HCC-C, CH-C	19	13.5
3	HCC-B	CH-B	15	29.2
4	HCC-C	CH-C	14	17.9

Binary tree classification algorithm was used for the classification of each category of patients. Feature selection was based on the univariate significance level (alpha = 0.01). The support vector machine classifier was used for class prediction. There were four nodes in the classfication tree.

Abbreviations: CH-B, non-tumor lesion of HCC-B; CH-C, nontumor lesion of HCC-C; HCC-B, hepatitis B virus-related hepatocellular carcinoma; HCC-C, hepatitis C virus-related hepatocellular carcinoma; N, normal