Ⅱ．潜在性 HBV 感染症例からの HBV 再活性化

母子感染を含めた新生児から小児期の HBV 感染による無症候性キャリアでは HBV 量が多いため，免疫抑制・化学療法を行う際に注意が必要となることは当然であるが，肝炎発症後に軽快し，肝機能が正常となった後もなお高リスクである．成人の初感染の場合，B型急性肝炎回復後は HBs 抗体陽性となり，いわゆる“治癒”という状態になるが，その場合でも肝細胞内には少量の HBV が残存していることがわかっている（図3）．従って HBV は結核やヘルペス感染症などと同じく，一度感染すると体内から完全に消失することはないと考えられており，最近は“潜在性 HBV 感染”という表現で統一されている[9]．

潜在性 HBV 感染は，血清 HBs 抗原陰性で HBc 抗体 and/or HBs 抗体陽性と定義される．この場合，特殊なウイルス遺伝子変異がない限り血中 HBV DNA は

表1　HBV 再活性化に関係する免疫抑制・化学療法剤

Class	Agents associated with HBV reactivation
Alkylators	Cyclophosphamide
	Ifosfamide
	Chlorambucil
	Carboplatin, Cisplatin
Antimetabolites	Cytarabine
	Fluorouracil
	Gemcitabine
	Mercaptopurine
	Methotrexate
	Thioguanine
Antitumor antibodies	Anthracyclines
	Bleomycin
	Mitomycin C
	Actinomycin D
Corticosteroides	Prednisone/Dexamethasone etc.
Immunotherapy	Rituximab (anti-CD20)
	Alemtuzumab (anti-CD52)
	Infliximab (anti-TNF)
Plant Alkaloids	Vincristine
	Vinblastine
Others	Asparginase
	Procarbazinc
	Docetaxel
	Etoposide
	Fludarabine
	Imatinib Mesylate
	Interferon alpha

Lalazar G, et al：Br J Haematol 136：699-712, 2007 より引用



Hui CK, et al: Gastroenterology 131: 59-68, 2006より引用改変

図2　HBV 再活性化のウイルスマーカーの動きと肝炎発症までの経過



図3　HBV 初感染後のウイルスマーカーの動き



Kusumoto S, et al: Int J Hematol 90: 13-23, 2009より引用改変

図4　HBV 再活性化のリスク．潜在性 HBV 感染症よりも HBs 抗原陽性キャリアが，また薬物による免疫抑制効果が強いほど，HBV 再活性化のリスクが高い．

検出されず，HBV による肝障害も起こらない．また，不顕性感染から潜在性 HBV 感染状態になったケースが多いため，患者本人も認識しておらず，病歴から絞り込むことはできない．従って，潜在性 HBV 感染のスクリーニングに最も有用なマーカーである HBc 抗体を測定する必要がある．福岡，北九州の赤十字血液センターからの報告によると，全献血者における HBc 抗体陽性の頻度は1.1%であるが，HBc 抗体陽性率は年齢とともに上昇するため，免疫抑制・化学療法を受ける患者の年齢層を考えると，潜在性 HBV 感染症例にはしばしば遭遇すると予想される[10][11]．

最近，この潜在性 HBV 感染症例からの HBV 再活性化の報告が相次いでいる．固形癌に対して通常行われる化学療法は，潜在性 HBV 感染症例における HBV 再活性化のリスクはそれほど高くないが，悪性リンパ腫に対するレジメンでリツキシマブと副腎皮質ホルモンを含んだ R-CHOP 療法は高リスクであることが知られている[12][13]（図4）．その他，クローン病や慢性関節リウマチに使用される抗 TNF 製剤のインフリキシマブやメソトレキセートでも HBV 再活性化の報告がある[14][15]．

Ⅲ．B型急性肝炎と HBV 再活性化の違い

HBV による急性肝障害の代表であるB型急性肝炎は，成人ではほとんどが性行為感染によるものである

表2　HBV 再活性化とB型急性肝炎の臨床像の比較

	再活性化	B型急性肝炎	*P*
年齢	63	33	<0.001
男性	59%	71%	
ALT（IU/L）	929	2,300	<0.001
T.Bil（mg/dL）	10.3	6.4	
Alb（g/dL）	3.2	3.6	<0.001
PT（%）	65.0	75.0	
HBV DNA (log copies/mL)	7.5	5.5	<0.001
劇症化	22%	9％	0.048
肝関連死	26%	4％	<0.001

Umemura T, et al：Clin Infect Dis 47：e52–56, 2008 より引用改変



図5　厚生労働省の研究班からのレコメンデーション

表3　消化器内科・肝臓専門医へのコンサルトのタイミング

①化学療法・免疫抑制療法予定患者の検査で，HBs 抗原または HBc 抗体が陽性であった場合

②潜在性 HBV 感染において，治療中および治療後の経過中に HBV-DNA が検出されるようになった場合

が，肝細胞内に侵入し増殖したウイルスに対する正常な免疫応答によって肝障害は起こる．多くの場合，不顕性感染や軽度の急性肝炎で終わることが多い．ウイルス肝炎の中ではB型急性肝炎は劇症化率が高いことで知られているが，B型急性肝炎の中でみると，この劇症化率は1－2％である．これに比べて HBV 再活性化は，HBV 増殖や免疫状態などB型急性肝炎とはかなり異なる様相を呈する．

Umemura らがB型急性肝炎と HBV 再活性化を比較した成績を報告している[16]．HBV の感染経路，HBc 抗体陽性率および基礎疾患を考えると当然であるが，HBV 再活性化症例は有意に平均年齢が高い．ALT のピーク値は HBV 再活性化症例のほうがむしろ有意に低いが，肝予備能を表す検査値は悪化傾向にある．HBV 量は HBV 再活性化症例が100倍高値であり，特筆すべきは，劇症化と肝関連死が HBV 再活性化症例において有意に高いことである．つまり，HBV 再活性化は一旦起こると劇症化しやすく，劇症化すると内科的な救命率

は極めて低いと考えられている（表2）．従って，肝障害を発症させないような予防策が重要になる．

Ⅳ．HBV 再活性化の予防について

HBV 再活性化の予防のポイントは，①潜在性 HBV 感染を慎重に検討することと，②HBV DNA の陽性化を見逃さないことに尽きる．HBV DNA の増加は肝障害出現の数ケ月も前に先行してみられるため，これを見逃さない限り，その後の対策を講じる時間的な余裕は十分ある．潜在性 HBV 感染であれば免疫抑制・化学療法を行いながら，月1回の HBV DNA の測定で経過観察するが，HBs 抗原陽性または HBV DNA が検出される場合は核酸アナログを投与する．厚生労働省の研究班からガイドラインが出されており（図5），これに従えば HBV 再活性化は予防できる．

しかし，このガイドラインは多少煩雑であり，肝臓専門医がコンサルタントとして介入することで，最低限の項目のみに絞った福岡大学病院独自のマニュアルを提案し，これを免疫抑制・化学療法施行医に周知徹底して行う方が，安全管理という観点から見るとリーズナブルであると思われる．そこで，免疫抑制・化学療法前に HBs 抗原と HBc 抗体を測定し，いずれかが陽性であれば肝臓専門医にコンサルトを，さらに経過観察の指示があった場合は HBV DNA が検出された時点で再度肝臓専門医にコンサルトすることを提案する（表3）．核酸アナログの投与は必要に応じて行うが，その終了時期などコンセンサスが得られていない部分もあるため，核酸アナログ投与は肝臓専門医が行うことが望ましいと考える．

最近は，HBc 抗体陰性症例からの HBV 再活性化の報告もある[17]．長い経過によって HBc 抗体が陰性化した HBV キャリアであると考えられるが，このような例外的な症例もあるという認識をもっておく必要はある．また，最も強力な免疫抑制が必要となる造血肝細胞移植や臓器移植の場合は，HBs 抗体と HBV DNA の検査を必須にするなど，独自のプロトコールが必要である．

結　　び

HBV 再活性化は化学療法などの治療後，思いもよらない時に突然起こる重篤な急性肝障害であり，免疫抑制・化学療法に関わる医師，看護師および薬剤師は，HBV 再活性化を常に認識していなければならない．さらに，マニュアルに従ってウイルスマーカーの検査を忘れずに行うことが重要であるが，それをチェックするシステム作りが，HBV 再活性化の確実な予防のために必要である．

文　　献

1）Lok AS, Liang RH, Chiu EK, Wong KL, Chan TK, Todd D：Reactivation of hepatitis B virus replication in patients receiving cytotoxic therapy. Report of a prospective study. Gastroenterology 100：182–188, 1991.

2）Yeo W, Johnson PJ：Diagnosis, prevention and management of hepatitis B virus reactivation during anticancer therapy. Hepatology 43：209–220, 2006.

3）Hui CK, Sun J, Au WY, Lie AK, Yueng YH, Zhang HY, Lee NP, Hou JL, Liang R, Lau GK：Occult hepatitis B virus infection in hematopoietic stem cell donors in a hepatitis B virus endemic area. J Hepatol 42：813–819, 2005.

4）Li YH, He YF, Jiang WQ, Wang FH, Lin XB, Zhang L, Xia ZJ, Sun XF, Huang HQ, Lin TY, He YJ, Guan ZZ：Lamivudine prophylaxis reduces the incidence and severity of hepatitis in hepatitis B virus carriers who receive chemotherapy for lymphoma. Cancer 106：1320–1325, 2006.

5）坪内博仁，熊田博光，清沢研道，持田　智，坂井田　功，田中榮司，市田隆文，溝上雅史，鈴木一幸，與芝眞彰，森脇久隆，日比紀文，林　紀夫，國土典宏，藤澤知雄，石橋大海，菅原寧彦，八橋　弘，井戸章雄，滝川康裕，井上和明，桶谷　真，宇都浩文，中山伸朗，内木隆文，多田慎一郎，木曽真一，矢野公士，遠藤龍人，田中靖人，梅村武司，熊谷公太郎：免疫抑制・化学療法により発症するB型肝炎対策—厚生労働省「難治性の肝・胆道疾患に関する調査研究」班　劇症肝炎分科会および「肝硬変を含めたウイルス性肝疾患の治療の標準化に関する研究」班合同報告—．肝臓　50：38–42, 2009.

6）Lalazar G, Rund D, Shouval D：Screening, prevention and treatment of viral hepatitis B reactivation in patients with haematological malignancies. Br J Haematol 136：699–712, 2007.

7）Chou CK, Wang LH, Lin HM, Chi CW：Glucocorticoid stimulates hepatitis B viral gene expression in cultured human hepatoma cells. Hepatology 16：13–18, 1992.

8）Hui CK, Cheung WW, Zhang HY, Au WY, Yueng YH, Leung AY, Leung N, Luk JM, Lie AK, Kwong YL, Liang R, Lau GK：Kinetics and risk of de novo hepatitis B infection in HBsAg-negative patients undergoing cytotoxic chemotherapy. Gastroenterology 131：59–68, 2006.

9）Raimondo G, Pollicino T, Cacciola I, Squadrito G：Occult hepatitis B virus infection. J Hepatol 46：160–170, 2007.

10）山崎久義，真鍋寛司，松本浩二，後藤信代，宮崎　卓，友成洋子，徳永和夫，佐藤博行，石井恵美，朝倉　健，清川博之，柏木征三郎：HBc 抗体陽性献血者への通知の効果．血液事業　28：413–419, 2005.

11）Marusawa H, Osaki Y, Kimura T, Ito K, Yamashita

Y, Eguchi T, Kudo M, Yamamoto Y, Kojima H, Seno H, Moriyasu F, Chiba T : High prevalence of anti-hepatitis B virus serological markers in patients with hepatitis C virus related chronic liver disease in Japan. Gut 45 : 284-288, 1999.

12) Kawatani T, Suou T, Tajima F, Ishiga K, Omura H, Endo A, Ohmura H, Ikuta Y, Idobe Y, Kawasaki H : Incidence of hepatitis virus infection and severe liver dysfunction in patients receiving chemotherapy for hematologic malignancies. Eur J Haematol 67 : 45-50, 2001.

13) Kusumoto S, Tanaka Y, Mizokami M, Ueda R : Reactivation of hepatitis B virus following systemic chemotherapy for malignant lymphoma. Int J Hematol 90 : 13-23, 2009.

14) Ojiro K, Naganuma M, Ebinuma H, Kunimoto H, Tada S, Ogata H, Iwao Y, Saito H, Hibi T : Reactivation of hepatitis B in a patient with Crohn's disease treated using infliximab. J Gastroenterol 43 : 397-401, 2008.

15) Gwak GY, Koh KC, Kim HY : Fatal hepatic failure associated with hepatitis B virus reactivation in a hepatitis B surface antigen-negative patient with rheumatoid arthritis receiving low dose methotrexate. Clin Exp Rheumatol 25 : 888-889, 2007.

16) Umemura T, Tanaka E, Kiyosawa K, Kumada H ; Mortality secondary to fulminant hepatic failure in patients with prior resolution of hepatitis B virus infection in Japan. Clin Infect Dis 47 : e52-e56, 2008.

17) Gossmann J, Scheuermann EH, Kachel HG, Geiger H, Hauser IA : Reactivation of hepatitis B two years after rituximab therapy in a renal transplant patient with recurrent focal segmental glomerulosclerosis : a note of caution. Clin Transplant 23 : 431-434, 2009.

（平成21. 7. 3受付，21. 9. 9受理）

Journal of Viral Hepatitis, 2009, 16, 586–594 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2893.2009.01106.x

Ribavirin dose reduction raises relapse rate dose-dependently in genotype 1 patients with hepatitis C responding to pegylated interferon alpha-2b plus ribavirin

N. Hiramatsu,[1*] T. Oze,[1*] T. Yakushijin,[1] Y. Inoue,[1] T. Igura,[1] K. Mochizuki,[1] K. Imanaka,[2] A. Kaneko,[3] M. Oshita,[4] H. Hagiwara,[5] E. Mita,[6] T. Nagase,[7] T. Ito,[8] Y. Inui,[9] T. Hijioka,[10] K. Katayama,[11] S. Tamura,[12] H. Yoshihara,[13] Y. Imai,[14] M. Kato,[15] Y. Yoshida,[1] T. Tatsumi,[1] K. Ohkawa,[1] S. Kiso,[1] T. Kanto,[1] A. Kasahara,[1] T. Takehara[1] and N. Hayashi[1] [1]*Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan;* [2]*Osaka Medical Center for Cancer and Cardiovascular Diseases, Osaka, Japan;* [3]*NTT West Osaka Hospital, Osaka, Japan;* [4]*Osaka Police Hospital, Osaka, Japan;* [5]*Higashiosaka City Central Hospital, Osaka, Japan;* [6]*National Hospital Organization Osaka National Hospital, Osaka, Japan;* [7]*Suita Municipal Hospital, Osaka, Japan;* [8]*Kansai Rousai Hospital, Hyogo, Japan;* [9]*Hyogo Prefectural Nishinomiya Hospital, Hyogo, Japan;* [10]*National Hospital Organization Osaka Minami Medical Center, Osaka, Japan;* [11]*Osaka Koseinenkin Hospital, Osaka, Japan;* [12]*Minoh City Hospital, Osaka, Japan;* [13]*Osaka Rousai Hospital, Osaka, Japan;* [14]*Ikeda Municipal Hospital, Osaka, Japan; and* [15]*National Hospital Organization Minami Wakayama Medical Center, Wakayama, Japan*

Received November 2008; accepted for publication December 2008

SUMMARY. The impact of ribavirin exposure on virologic relapse remains controversial in combination therapy with pegylated interferon (Peg-IFN) and ribavirin for patients with chronic hepatitis C (CH-C) genotype 1. The present study was conducted to investigate this. Nine hundred and eighty-four patients with CH-C genotype 1 were enrolled. The drug exposure of each medication was calculated by averaging the dose actually taken. For the 472 patients who were HCV RNA negative at week 24 and week 48, multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that the degree of fibrosis ($P = 0.002$), the timing of HCV RNA negativiation ($P < 0.001$) and the mean doses of ribavirin ($P < 0.001$) were significantly associated with relapse, but those of Peg-IFN were not. Stepwise reduction of the ribavirin dose was associated with a stepwise increase in relapse rate from 11% to 60%. For patients with complete early virologic response (c-EVR) defined as HCV RNA negativity at week 12, only 4% relapse was found in patients given ≥12 mg/kg/day of ribavirin and ribavirin exposure affected the relapse even after treatment week 12, while Peg-IFN could be reduced to 0.6 μg/kg/week after week 12 without the increase of relapse rate. Ribavirin showed dose-dependent correlation with the relapse. Maintaining as high a ribavirin dose as possible (≥12 mg/kg/day) during the full treatment period can lead to suppression of the relapse in HCV genotype 1 patients responding to Peg-IFN alpha-2b plus ribavirin, especially in c-EVR patients.

Keywords: chronic hepatitis C, drug exposure, pegylated interferon plus ribavirin, virologic relapse.

INTRODUCTION

Combination therapy of pegylated interferon (Peg-IFN) plus ribavirin is very effective for patients with chronic hepatitis C (CH-C). However, sustained virologic response (SVR) in current therapy occurs in only 40–50% of patients with hepatitis C virus (HCV) genotype 1 [1–4]. Also, SVR is reduced in patients with genotype 1 who require reduction of either Peg-IFN or ribavirin, although dose reduction has little influence on SVR in those with genotype 2 or 3 [1–3,5,6]. Therefore, it is important to clarify the degree to which these medications can be reduced without adversely affecting SVR in patients with CH-C genotype 1.

In an early report on the relationship between drug exposure and antiviral effect in patients with CH-C genotype 1, patients who received ≥80% of their total planned cumulative doses of Peg-IFN and ribavirin for ≥80% of the scheduled duration of therapy had an SVR of 51% compared with only 34% for patients who received lesser amounts of one or both

Abbreviations: CH-C, chronic hepatitis C; c-EVR, complete early virologic response; ETR, end-of-treatment virologic response; Hb, haemoglobin; HCV, hepatitis C virus; IFN, interferon; LVR, late virologic response; Peg-IFN, pegylated interferon; PP, per protocol; Plt, platelet; RVR, rapid virologic response; SVR, sustained virologic response; VR, virologic response; WBC, white blood cell.

Correspondence: Naoki Hiramatsu, MD, PhD, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, 2-2, Yamadaoka, Suita City, Osaka 565-0871, Japan. E-mail: hiramatsu@gh.med.osaka-u.ac.jp

*Both authors contributed equally to this work.

medications [7]. On the other hand, Shiffman *et al.* [8] recently reported that reducing ribavirin did not affect SVR as long as the dose of Peg-IFN was maintained, while reducing the Peg-IFN dose significantly reduced SVR. The results of these observations are consistent with respect to the effect of Peg-IFN on SVR. However, what is controversial is whether or not reducing the ribavirin dose affects the antiviral effect.

Adding ribavirin to either interferon (IFN) or Peg-IFN monotherapy for patients with CH-C genotype 1 has been shown to reduce the relapse rate in large randomized trials [1,2,9–11]. In detail, adding ribavirin to the usual IFN monotherapy (3MIU, three-times-weekly) in 48-week treatment raised the end-of-treatment virologic response (ETR) rate from approximately 30% to 50% and also lowered the relapse rate from mid-40% to approximately 20% [9–11]. Lindsay *et al.* [12] reported that Peg-IFN alpha-2b (Peg-IFN α-2b) monotherapy (1.5 μg/kg, once-weekly), as compared with IFN alpha-2b (IFNα-2b) monotherapy (3MIU, three-times-weekly), improved ETR (49% vs. 24%), but not the relapse rate (53% vs. 50%). In the trial of Peg-IFN alpha-2a (Peg-IFN α-2a) plus ribavirin *vs* IFN α-2b plus ribavirin or Peg-IFN α-2a alone, the ETR rates were 69%, 52% and 59%, and the relapse rates were 19%, 15% and 52%, respectively [2]. These findings from large-scale trials indicate that the main role of ribavirin is to reduce relapse in the combination therapy with Peg-IFN, although ribavirin affects both ETR and relapse in combination therapy with the usual IFN.

In the present study, we tried to determine whether or not dose reduction of ribavirin (or Peg-IFN) has an effect on virologic relapse in Peg-IFN plus ribavirin treatment for patients with CH-C genotype 1.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

This study was a multicentre trial conducted by Osaka University Hospital and other institutions participating in the Osaka Liver Forum. A total of 984 patients with CH-C were enrolled in this study between December 2004 and September 2006, and treated with a combination of Peg-IFN α-2b plus ribavirin. The baseline characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. All patients were Japanese infected with HCV genotype 1 and a viral load of more than 10^5 IU/mL. Patients were excluded from this study if they had decompensated cirrhosis or other forms of liver disease (alcohol liver disease, autoimmune hepatitis), coinfection with hepatitis B or anti-human immunodeficiency virus. This study was conducted according to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki and informed consent was obtained from each patient.

Treatment

All patients received Peg-IFN α-2b (PEGINTRON; Schering-Plough, Kenilworth, NJ, USA) plus ribavirin (REBETOL; Schering-Plough) for the duration of the study of 48 weeks. As a starting dose, Peg-IFN α-2b was given subcutaneously once weekly at a dosage of 60–150 μg/kg based on body weight (body weight 35–45 kg, 60 μg; 46–60 kg, 80 μg; 61–75 kg, 100 μg; 76–90 kg, 120 μg; 91–120 kg, 150 μg) and ribavirin was given orally twice a day at a total dose of 600–1000 mg/day based on body weight (body weight <60 kg, 600 mg; 60–80 kg, 800 mg; >80 kg, 1000 mg) according to the manufacturer's drug information available in Japan.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of patients and drug doses at start of treatment

Factor	Mean ± SD or *n*
n	984
Age (years)	56.3 ± 10.1
Sex (male/female)	555/429
Body weight (kg)	61.8 ± 11.5
History of IFN treatment Naïve/experienced (relapser/nonresponder)*	575/409 (160/182)
White blood cells (/mm^3)	5052 ± 1550
Neutrophils (/mm^3)	2577 ± 1092
Red blood cells (×10^4/mm^3)	442 ± 47
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	14.1 ± 1.4
Platelets (×10^4/mm^3)	15.9 ± 5.5
AST (IU/L)	66 ± 45
ALT (IU/L)	79 ± 61
Serum HCV RNA (kIU/mL)†	1600
Histology (METAVIR)‡	
Fibrosis; 0/1/2/3/4	49/314/197/105/18
Activity; 0/1/2/3	23/329/304/27
Peg-IFN dose (μg/kg/week)	1.45 ± 0.17
Ribavirin dose (mg/kg/day)	11.4 ± 1.6

AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; HCV, hepatitis C virus. *Viral response to previous treatment was unknown in 57 patients, and 10 patients had discontinued treatment. †Data shown are median values. ‡301 missing.

Dose reduction and discontinuance

Dose modification also followed, as a rule, the manufacturer's drug information according to the intensity of the haematologic adverse effects. The dose of Peg-IFN α-2b was reduced to 50% of the assigned dose when the white blood cell (WBC) count was below 1500/mm^3, the neutrophil count below 750/mm^3 or the platelet (Plt) count below 8×10^4/mm^3, and was discontinued when the WBC count was below 1000/mm^3, the neutrophil count below 500/mm^3 or the Plt count below 5×10^4/mm^3. Ribavirin was also reduced from 1000 mg to 600 mg, 800 mg to 600 mg, or 600 mg to 400 mg when the haemoglobin (Hb)

concentration decreased to less than 10 g/dL, and was discontinued when the Hb concentration decreased to less than 8.5 g/dL. Both Peg-IFN α-2b and ribavirin had to be discontinued if there was a need to discontinue one of the drugs. No ferric medicine or haematopoietic growth factors, such as epoetin alpha, or granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor, were administered.

Virologic assessment and definition of virologic response

Serum HCV RNA level was quantified using the COBAS AMPLICOR HCV MONITOR test, version 2.0 (detection range 6–5000 kIU/mL; Roche Diagnostics, Branchburg, NJ, USA) and qualitatively analysed using the COBAS AMPLICOR HCV test, version 2.0 (lower limit of detection 50 IU/mL; Roche Diagnostics). Complete early virologic response (c-EVR) was defined as the absence of detectable serum HCV RNA at treatment week 12, the late virologic response (LVR) was defined as undetectable serum HCV RNA for the first time at 13–24 weeks of treatment, and the virologic response (VR) was defined as HCV RNA negativity at week 24 and week 48. SVR was defined as the absence of detectable serum HCV RNA at week 72. Patients with less than a 2-log decrease in HCV RNA level at treatment week 12 compared with the baseline had to stop treatment according to the protocol and were regarded as nonresponders. All patients with detectable serum HCV RNA at treatment week 24 were also considered to be nonresponders and were excluded from further treatment.

Assessment of drug exposure

The amounts of Peg-IFN α-2b and ribavirin actually taken by each patient during the full treatment period were evaluated by reviewing the medical records. The mean doses of Peg-IFN α-2b and ribavirin were calculated individually as averages on the basis of body weight at baseline: Peg-IFN α-2b expressed as μg/kg/week, ribavirin expressed as mg/kg/day.

Evaluation of impact of drug exposure on virologic relapse

We evaluated the relationship between the drug exposure of both drugs and relapse by two different methods, univariate and multivariate analysis for relapse and independent evaluation of both drugs for relapse according to the degree of drug exposure. The former was performed with the factors of mean administration doses of both drugs, including the factors at baseline and the timing of HCV RNA negativiation. The latter was examined by classifying Peg-IFN α-2b exposure into five categories (up to 0.6 μg/kg; from 0.6 to less than 0.9 μg/kg; from 0.9 to less than 1.2 μg/kg; from 1.2 to less than 1.5 μg/kg; from 1.5 μg/kg) and ribavirin exposure into five categories (up to 6 mg/kg; from 6 to less than 8 mg/kg; from 8 to less than 10 mg/kg; from 10 to less than 12 mg/kg; from 12 mg/kg).

Statistical analysis

Baseline data are expressed as means ± SD or median values. Virologic response was evaluated using per protocol (PP) analysis. To analyse the difference between baseline data including drug exposure and virologic response, univariate analysis using the Mann–Whitney *U*-test or chi-square test and multivariate analysis using logistic regression analysis were performed. The significance of trends in values was determined with the Mantel–Haenszel chi-square test. A two-tailed P value <0.05 was considered significant. The analysis was conducted with SPSS version 15.0J (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Progress of patients and dose reduction of Peg-IFN α-2b and ribavirin

The progress of patients in this study is shown in Fig. 1. Of the 984 patients, 903 completed 12 weeks of treatment and the c-EVR rate was 49% (445/903), based on PP study. To analyse for relapse, 472 patients with VR were assessed, with 178 (38%) showing Peg-IFN dose reduction without discontinuation and 246 (52%) with ribavirin dose reduction without discontinuation during the full (48 weeks) treatment period. The relapse rate was 26% (125/472) in the patients with undetectable HCV RNA level at the end of treatment. No difference was found in relapse rates between the IFN naïve patients and IFN experienced patients (IFN naïve; 25%, 72/287 *vs* IFN experienced; 29%, 53/185, $P = 0.40$). The SVR rate was 43% (347/812) in the PP study.

Impact of drug exposure during 0–48 weeks on relapse among patients with VR

The mean dose of Peg-IFN α-2b actually taken during the full treatment period by each patient was 1.32 μg/kg/week (range, 0.49–2.16 μg/kg/week; median, 1.38 μg/kg/week) and that of ribavirin was 9.8 mg/kg/day (range, 3.3–16.2 mg/kg/day; median, 10.1 mg/kg/day) in patients with VR.

The result of univariate analysis for relapse among the patients with VR is shown in Table 2a. The degree of fibrosis, the timing of HCV RNA negativiation, Plt value and the mean doses of ribavirin were factors significantly associated with relapse, but those of Peg-IFN α-2b were not. The mean dose of ribavirin as well as the degree of fibrosis and the timing of HCV RNA negativiation was selected as a significant independent factor by multivariate logistic regression analysis (Table 2b).

Next, we analysed the relationship of the relapse rate and the mean ribavirin dose. The overall relapse rate among patients with VR was 26% (125/472). The



Fig. 1 Flow of patients throughout the study.

relapse rate was 60% (9/15) in patients receiving less than 6 mg/kg/day of ribavirin, and declined to 41% (32/79) at 6–8 mg/kg/day, 27% (34/124) at 8–10 mg/kg/day, 22% (43/193) at 10–12 mg/kg/day and 11% (7/61) in patients given ≥12 mg/kg/day ($P < 0.0001$). Figure 2 shows the relationship of the relapse rate and the mean ribavirin dose for two dosage groups of Peg-IFN α-2b: the group given ≥1.4 μg/kg/week of Peg-IFN and that given <1.4 μg/kg/week (1.4 μg/kg/week was the median value). In both groups, ribavirin was dose-dependently correlated with relapse. More than 12 mg/kg/day of the mean ribavirin exposure could suppress the relapse rate to 20% (4/20) in the group given <1.4 μg/kg/week and strongly suppress it to 7% (3/41) in the group given ≥1.4 μg/kg/week of Peg-IFN.

Impact of drug exposure during 0–48 weeks on relapse according to the timing of HCV RNA negativiation

Relapse rates among patients with c-EVR

The overall relapse rate among patients with c-EVR was 19% (75/391). We separately analysed the relapse rate among the patients with c-EVR according to the degree of exposure to both drugs. Table 3a shows the relapse rates among the patients with c-EVR according to the categories of Peg-IFN α-2b and ribavirin doses during the full treatment period. The relapse rate showed a decline according to the increase in the dose of ribavirin ($P = 0.0002$). The relapse rate was suppressed at an average of 15% (13–16%) in the patients who received 10–12 mg/kg/day of ribavirin, and the average was only 4% for those who received more than 12 mg/kg/day

Table 2 Factors associated with relapse among the patients with virologic response

(a) Univariate analysis

Factor	Nonrelapser	Relapser	*P* value
n	347	125	
Age (years)	53.9 ± 10.7	56.2 ± 9.2	0.07
Sex (male/female)	213/134	66/59	0.09
Serum HCV RNA (kIU/mL)*	1600	1800	0.34
White blood cells (/mm^3)	5335 ± 1517	5075 ± 1428	0.08
Neutrophils (/mm^3)	2797 ± 1143	2625 ± 1021	0.17
Red blood cells (×10^4/mm^3)	450 ± 45	446 ± 50	0.25
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	14.3 ± 1.4	14.2 ± 1.5	0.45
Platelets (×10^4/mm^3)	17.6 ± 5.3	16.4 ± 5.1	0.03
AST (IU/L)	60 ± 42	58 ± 33	0.75
ALT (IU/L)	75 ± 60	71 ± 50	0.98
Histology (METAVIR)†			
Fibrosis: 0–2/3–4	222/20	74/19	0.002
Activity: 0–1/2–3	140/102	52/41	0.75
Peg-IFN dose (μg/kg/week)‡	1.33 ± 0.26	1.27 ± 0.29	0.07
Ribavirin dose (mg/kg/day)‡	10.1 ± 1.9	9.1 ± 2.1	<0.001
Virologic response§: c-EVR/LVR	316/31	75/50	<0.001

(b) Multivariate analysis

Factor	Category	Odds ratio	95% CI	*P* value
Platelets	By 1 × 10^4/mm^3	–	–	NS
Fibrosis¶	0–2/3–4	1/3.192	1.515–6.725	0.002
Ribavirin dose‡	By 1 mg/kg/day	0.790	0.696–0.896	<0.001
Virologic response§	c-EVR/LVR	1/6.290	3.385–11.690	< 0.001

AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; HCV, hepatitis C virus; c-EVR, complete early virologic response; LVR, late virologic response; NS, not significant difference Peg-IFN, pegylated interferon.
*Data shown are median values. †137 missing. ‡Mean doses during 0–48 weeks. §The timing of HCV RNA negativiation. ¶METAVIR fibrosis score.



Fig. 2 Relapse rate according to Peg-IFN α-2b and ribavirin doses during treatment of patients who completed treatment, which was stratified with the mean ribavirin doses. (-▲-) Group with the mean Peg-IFN dose <1.4 μg/kg/week; (-■-) Group with the mean Peg-IFN dose ≥1.4 μg/kg/week. The ribavirin dose was dose-dependently correlated with the virologic relapse in both groups ($P < 0.0001$). There was no significant difference between the two Peg-IFN α-2b-dose groups ($P = 0.17$).

of ribavirin. In contrast, the relapse rate was not affected by the dose of Peg-IFN α-2b when the patients were given more than 0.9 μg/kg/week of Peg-IFN α-2b. On the other hand, with respect to patients with rapid virologic response (RVR) defined as the absence of detectable serum HCV RNA at treatment week 4 ($n = 41$), none showed relapse and all attained SVR irrespective of the dose of Peg-IFN α-2b or ribavirin (prevalence of patients: the mean dose of Peg-IFN α-2b; <0.9 : 0.9–1.2 : 1.2–1.5 : 1.5 μg/kg/week ≤ =7 : 17 : 34 : 42%, the mean dose of ribavirin; <8 : 8–10 : 10–12 : 12 mg/kg/day ≤ =15 : 24 : 41 : 20%).

Relapse rates among patients with LVR

Among the patients with LVR, the ribavirin exposure during treatment was also the factor correlated adversely with the relapse rate ($P = 0.03$). However, the overall relapse rate was 62% (50/81), which was much higher than that of the c-EVR patients ($P < 0.0001$) and 45% (5/11) of patients with LVR relapsed even in the group given more than 12 mg/kg/day of the average ribavirin dose (Table 3b).

Table 3 Relapse rate according to Peg-IFN and ribavirin doses during week 0–48 for patients with c-EVR and LVR who completed 48 weeks of treatment

(a) C-EVR

Peg-IFN dose (μg/kg/week)†	Ribavirin dose (mg/kg/day)*									
	12≤		10–12		8–10		<8		Total	
≥1.5	0%	(0/28)	13%	(4/31)	14%	(3/21)	29%	(5/17)	12%	(12/97)
1.2–1.5	20%	(2/10)	16%	(16/100)	25%	(16/65)	23%	(7/30)	20%	(41/205)
0.9–1.2	0%	(0/7)	13%	(2/15)	15%	(2/13)	38%	(6/16)	20%	(10/51)
<0.9	0%	(0/5)	15%	(2/13)	55%	(6/11)	44%	(4/9)	32%	(12/38)
Total	4%	(2/50)	15%	(24/159)	25%	(27/110)	31%	(22/72)	19%	(75/391)

(b) LVR

Peg-IFN dose (μg/kg/week)§	Ribavirin dose (mg/kg/day)‡									
	12≤		10–12		8–10		<8		Total	
≥1.5	43%	(3/7)	50%	(1/2)	100%	(2/2)	100%	(4/4)	67%	(10/15)
1.2–1.5		(1/1)	60%	(12/20)	29%	(2/7)	82%	(9/11)	62%	(24/39)
<1.2	33%	(1/3)	50%	(6/12)	60%	(3/5)	86%	(6/7)	59%	(16/27)
Total	45%	(5/11)	56%	(19/34)	50%	(7/14)	86%	(19/22)	62%	(50/81)

Peg-IFN, pegylated interferon; c-EVR, complete early virologic response; LVR, late virologic response.
*P = 0.0002 for comparison of the four ribavirin groups. †P = 0.08 for comparison of the four Peg-IFN groups. ‡P = 0.03 for comparison of the four ribavirin groups. §P = 0.57 for comparison of the three Peg-IFN groups.

Impact of dose reduction after week 12 on relapse among patients with c-EVR

Among c-EVR patients with no or little reduction of Peg-IFN α-2b (the average dose ≥1.2 μg/kg/week) during the first 12 weeks, no significant difference was found in the relapse rate between those whose average dose of Peg-IFN α-2b was reduced to 0.6–1.2 μg/kg/week during 12–48 weeks (17%, 7/41) and those without reduction of Peg-IFN α-2b (average dose ≥1.2 μg/kg/week) (18%, 53/295) (P = 0.86) (Table 4a). Reducing the dose of Peg-IFN α-2b after week 12 in patients in whom HCV RNA had already become undetectable before week 12 did not appear to adversely influence virologic relapse when the average dose of Peg-IFN α-2b was more than 0.6 μg/kg/week during 12–48 weeks, irrespective of the mean dose of Peg-IFN α-2b during the first 12 weeks. On the other hand, the ribavirin dose reduction after week 12 tended to affect the relapse rate in patients given ≥10 mg/kg/day of the ribavirin dose during the first 12 weeks (Table 4b).

Impact of drug exposure during 0–48 weeks on relapse among VR patients with advanced fibrosis

In the evaluation of the 39 patients with VR with progression of fibrosis or cirrhosis (METAVIR fibrosis score 3 or 4) enrolled in this study, ribavirin exposure during treatment significantly correlated with relapse (nonrelapser, 10.5 ± 2.1 mg/kg/day *vs* relapser, 8.8 ± 2.3 mg/kg/day; P = 0.007). Among patients with advanced fibrosis (score 3–4), the relapse rate in patients given ≥10 mg/kg/day of the average ribavirin dose was significantly low (36%, 9/25) in comparison with that in patients given <10 mg/kg/day of ribavirin (71%, 10/14) (P = 0.048).

DISCUSSION

Previous studies have suggested that reducing the ribavirin dose within the first 12–20 weeks of treatment in patients with HCV genotype 1 was associated with a decline of SVR [7,13,14]. However, Shiffman *et al.* [8] recently reported that reducing the mean dose of ribavirin during the first 20 weeks of treatment had little impact on relapse for patients with CH-C genotype 1 and that SVR may not be adversely affected as long as the total cumulative ribavirin dose remains above 60%. As the reason for the inconsistency in the impact of reducing ribavirin on the antiviral effect, it was suggested that sample sizes of the previous studies were insufficient to assess the impact of reducing the dose of ribavirin independent of Peg-IFN. However, in Shiffman's study, while the impact of reducing the dose of Peg-IFN or ribavirin on SVR was indeed closely examined independently of each other with a large sample size, the subjects were limited to patients with advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis and prior nonresponse to Peg-IFN ± ribavirin who were enrolled in the Hepatitis Antiviral Long-term Treatment Against Cirrhosis (HALT-C) trial. Reddy *et al.* [15] analysed the drug exposure retrospectively for 569 CH-C patients with genotype 1 enrolled in clinical trials of Peg-IFN α-2a plus

Table 4 Relapse rate according to drug doses during week 0–12 and 12–48 for patients with c-EVR who completed 48 weeks of treatment

(a) Peg-IFN

Peg-IFN dose (mean, μg/kg/week)		12–48 weeks ≥1.2	0.9–1.2	0.6–0.9	<0.6
0–12 weeks	≥1.2	18% (53/295)	17% (5/30)	18% (2/11)	(1/1)
	0.9–1.2	–	22% (4/18)	33% (4/12)	60% (3/5)
	<0.9	(0/1)	(0/1)	17% (2/12)	20% (1/5)
Total*		18% (53/296)	18% (9/49)	23% (8/35)	45% (5/11)

(b) Ribavirin

Ribavirin dose (mean, mg/kg/day)		12–48 weeks ≥12	10–12	8–10	<8
0–12 weeks	≥12	4% (2/47)	13% (3/23)	13% (1/8)	33% (1/3)
	10–12	–	15% (18/123)	22% (12/54)	20% (5/25)
	8–10	–	(1/1)	26% (10/38)	26% (10/39)
	<8	–	–	–	40% (12/30)
Total†		4% (2/47)	15% (22/147)	23% (23/100)	29% (28/97)

c-EVR, complete early virologic response; Peg-IFN, pegylated interferon.
*$P = 0.18$ for comparison of the four Peg-IFN groups. †$P < 0.0001$ for comparison of the four ribavirin groups.

ribavirin, and concluded that SVR was not affected adversely by ribavirin reduction unless the cumulative ribavirin exposure was less than 60%. This supported Shiffman's data, but in Reddy's study, the stepwise reduction in ribavirin dose was shown to be associated with a stepwise increase in relapse rate from 19% to 54%. Thus, the impact of ribavirin drug exposure on the antiviral effect (relapse) in patients with CH-C genotype 1 remains unclear. Further examination is needed to determine whether or not ribavirin can be reduced to a certain degree without adversely affecting virologic relapse or SVR in Peg-IFN and ribavirin combination therapy for CH-C genotype 1.

In order to raise the SVR rate in patients with genotype 1, two strategies are possible: one is enhancing the virologic response of HCV RNA negativity and another is reducing relapse. In Peg-IFN plus ribavirin treatment, raising the doses of either or both drugs (dose-up strategy) is the only way to enhance the virologic response of HCV RNA negativity, but this is always accompanied by a high risk and the discontinuation rate can increase with the dose-up of drug, although the virologic response among patients completing the therapy can be improved [16,17]. Therefore, in this study, we tried to manage the drug dose to reduce relapse in virologic responders with HCV RNA negativity. Large-scale clinical trials [1,2,9–12] have revealed that adding ribavirin to IFN or Peg-IFN monotherapy for patients with CH-C reduced the relapse rate from approximately 50% to under 20%. Bronowicki *et al.* [18] examined the effect of ribavirin on CH-C genotype 1 in Peg-IFN α-2a plus ribavirin treatment by randomizing patients with HCV RNA negativity by week 24 into two groups, one continuing with ribavirin and the other receiving Peg-IFN α-2a alone after week 24. As a result, the virologic responders who stopped ribavirin treatment at week 24 were found to have a significantly higher rate of breakthroughs during therapy and higher relapse rates after therapy in comparison with those who received Peg-IFN plus ribavirin for the full treatment period (relapse rate; 42% vs. 29%, $P = 0.02$). These findings indicate that ribavirin plays a very important role in reducing relapse. However, the relationship between ribavirin dose and relapse rate has not been examined in detail. Considering that ribavirin has little influence on HCV RNA negativiation [1,2,9–12], its dose impact on the antiviral effect should be carefully examined, not for the SVR rate of all patients, but for the relapse rate of patients responding to Peg-IFN plus ribavirin, as evaluating of ribavirin by SVR including HCV RNA negativiation cannot differentiate it from the strong influence of the Peg-IFN effect, which affects HCV RNA negativiation dose-dependently [19]. Here, we examined the correlation between the average dose of drugs and the virologic relapse for patients responding to the treatment.

We performed univariate and multivariate analysis for relapse among the factors of mean administration doses of both drugs, including baseline factors and the timing of HCV RNA negativiation. We found exposure to ribavirin dose, timing of HCV RNA negativiation and the degree of liver fibrosis to be the independent factors affecting the virologic relapse in patients with VR. This indicates that management

of the ribavirin dose, which is the variable factor, unlike baseline factors, plays an important role in suppressing the virologic relapse in patients with CH-C genotype 1 treated by Peg-IFN plus ribavirin treatment. This suggests that maintaining the ribavirin dose should lower the relapse rate even in patients with advanced fibrosis who are liable to relapse. In fact, among patients with advanced fibrosis (METAVIR score 3–4), the relapse rate in those given ≥10 mg/kg/day of the average ribavirin dose was significantly lower than that in patients given <10 mg/kg/day of ribavirin (36% vs. 71%). However, the sample size was too small for subsequent analysis with stratification. Further study is needed to clarify the impact of ribavirin dose on viral relapse in patients with progression of fibrosis.

The relapse rate among patients with c-EVR showed a decline according to the increase in ribavirin dose during treatment week 0–48 and was not affected by the Peg-IFN α-2b dose when the patients were given more than 0.9 μg/kg/week of Peg-IFN α-2b. Among the patients with c-EVR, none with RVR had a relapse and all attained SVR irrespective of the dose of Peg-IFN α-2b or ribavirin. Examination of the impact of dose reduction after week 12 on relapse among patients with c-EVR showed that the ribavirin dose reduction after week 12 tended to affect the relapse rate in patients given ≥10 mg/kg/day of the ribavirin dose during the first 12 weeks, while the Peg-IFN α-2b dose after week 12 could be reduced without any increase in relapse rate in patients given more than 0.6 μg/kg/week of the average dose of Peg-IFN α-2b. On the other hand, maintaining the ribavirin did not lead to reduce the relapse rate in patients with LVR. About half relapsed even when given ≥12 mg/kg/day of the average ribavirin dose. This suggested that the relapse rate could not be reduced by management of the ribavirin dose in patients with LVR. Extended therapy should be chosen in LVR patients as shown in the previous studies [20–23].

Shiffman *et al.* [24] recently reported that maintaining the Hb level with epoetin alpha did not enhance SVR if ribavirin was started at the standard dose (800–1400 mg/day, mean dose 13.3 mg/kg/day), although discontinuance and the reduction rates of ribavirin were decreased and a higher mean dose of ribavirin was administered in comparison with those treated with Peg-IFN plus ribavirin without epoetin. If these findings apply to patients with CH-C genotype 1, this would suggest that the ribavirin dose does not need to be maintained during treatment with Peg-IFN plus ribavirin, which would not agree with our findings. However, closer examination of the Shiffman *et al.* study shows that Peg-IFN plus a higher dose of ribavirin (1000–1600 mg/day, mean dose 15.2 mg/kg/day) with epoetin was found to suppress the relapse rate and enhance SVR. These data agree with ours with respect to the point that higher doses of ribavirin are associated with a lower relapse rate. What differs is the ribavirin dose needed to suppress the relapse. This is likely to be due to ethnic differences between the subjects. In Shiffman's study, approximately 40% were African-Americans in whom the virologic response is well established as being significantly lower than those of other ethnic groups [25,26], while in our study, all subjects were Japanese. In the African-Americans treated with Peg-IFN plus standard-dose ribavirin, the relapse rate (calculated from 48% of ETR and 19% of SVR) was 60%, while 18% relapse (from 38% of ETR and 31% of SVR) occurred in those given Peg-IFN plus high-dose ribavirin. The relapse rate of patients with c-EVR in our study was 19%, which was very close to that for those with Peg-IFN plus high-dose ribavirin in Shiffman's study. Ribavirin does not have a direct antiviral action against HCV [27,28], and is considered to play an important role in accelerating HCV-infected cell clearance [29] and eradicating them completely when an immune response against infected cells is induced by IFN or Peg-IFN [30,31]. Therefore, the difference between patients who are easy or difficult to treat due to ethnic differences or differences in response to Peg-IFN can result in the need for different doses of ribavirin to suppress the relapse rate in patients with CH-C genotype 1.

In conclusion, our results have demonstrated that ribavirin is dose-dependently correlated with a relapse in patients with CH-C genotype 1 responding to Peg-IFN plus ribavirin. Maintaining a high dose (≥12 mg/kg/day) of ribavirin during the full treatment period could strongly suppress the relapse in such patients, while Peg-IFN α-2b could be reduced without affecting relapse in patients with c-EVR. This possibility should be explored in a prospective study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND DISCLOSURES

Other institutions and participants in the Osaka Liver Forum are: Osaka General Medical Center, A Inoue; Toyonaka Municipal Hospital, M Inada; Sumitomo Hospital, A Yamada; Kinki Central Hospital of Mutual Aid Association of Public School Teachers, E Hayashi; Yao Municipal Hospital, H Fukui; Otemae Hospital, Y Doi; Itami City Hospital, T Kashihara; Ashiya Municipal Hospital, K Kiriyama; National Hospital Organization Minami Wakayama Medical Center, K Fujimoto; Saiseikai Senri Hospital, K Suzuki; Nishinomiya Municipal Central Hospital, H Ogawa; Kano General Hospital, S Kubota; Saso Hospital, M Nishiuchi; and Osaka Kaisei Hospital, N Imaizumi.

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Research on Hepatitis and BSE from Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare of Japan, and Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture of Japan.

REFERENCES

1 Manns MP, McHutchison JG, Gordon SC *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin compared with interferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin for initial treatment of chronic hepatitis C: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2001; 358: 958–965.

2 Fried MW, Shiffman ML, Reddy KR *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2a plus ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 2002; 347: 975–982.

3 Hadziyannis SJ, Sette Jr H, Morgan TR *et al.* Peginterferon-alpha2a and ribavirin combination therapy in chronic hepatitis C: a randomized study of treatment duration and ribavirin dose. *Ann Intern Med* 2004; 140: 346–355.
4 Hayashi N, Takehara T. Antiviral therapy for chronic hepatitis C: past, present, and future. *J Gastroenterol* 2006; 41: 17–27.
5 Zeuzem S, Hultcrantz R, Bourliere M *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin for treatment of chronic hepatitis C in previously untreated patients infected with HCV genotypes 2 or 3. *J Hepatol* 2004; 40: 993–999.
6 Ferenci P, Brunner H, Laferl H *et al.* A randomized, prospective trial of ribavirin 400 mg day-1 versus 800 mg day-1 in combination with peginterferon alfa-2a in hepatitis C virus genotypes 2 and 3. *Hepatology* 2008; 47: 1816–1823.
7 McHutchison JG, Manns M, Patel K *et al.* Adherence to combination therapy enhances sustained response in genotype-1-infected patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology* 2002; 123: 1061–1069.
8 Shiffman ML, Ghany MG, Morgan TR *et al.* Impact of reducing peginterferon alfa-2a and ribavirin dose during retreatment in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology* 2007; 132: 103–112.
9 McHutchison JG, Gordon SC, Schiff ER *et al.* Interferon alfa-2b alone or in combination with ribavirin as initial treatment for chronic hepatitis C. Hepatitis Interventional Therapy Group. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 339: 1485–1492.
10 Poynard T, Marcellin P, Lee SS *et al.* Randomised trial of interferon alpha2b plus ribavirin for 48 weeks or for 24 weeks versus interferon alpha2b plus placebo for 48 weeks for treatment of chronic infection with hepatitis C virus. International Hepatitis Interventional Therapy Group (IHIT). *Lancet* 1998; 352: 1426–1432.
11 Davis GL, Esteban-Mur R, Rustgi V *et al.* Interferon alfa-2b alone or in combination with ribavirin for the treatment of relapse of chronic hepatitis C. International Hepatitis Interventional Therapy Group. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 339: 1493–1499.
12 Lindsay KL, Trepo C, Heintges T *et al.* A randomized, double-blind trial comparing pegylated interferon alfa-2b to interferon alfa-2b as initial treatment for chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2001; 34: 395–403.
13 Davis GL, Wong JB, McHutchison JG, Manns MP, Harvey J, Albrecht J. Early virologic response to treatment with peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2003; 38: 645–652.
14 Shiffman ML, Di Bisceglie AM, Lindsay KL *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2a and ribavirin in patients with chronic hepatitis C who have failed prior treatment. *Gastroenterology* 2004; 126: 1015–1023.
15 Reddy KR, Shiffman ML, Morgan TR *et al.* Impact of ribavirin dose reductions in hepatitis C virus genotype 1 patients completing peginterferon alfa-2a/ribavirin treatment. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007; 5: 124–129.
16 Lodato F, Azzaroli F, Brillanti S *et al.* Higher doses of peginterferon alpha-2b administered twice weekly improve sustained virological response in difficult-to-treat patients with chronic hepatitis C: results of a pilot randomized study. *J Viral Hepat* 2005; 12: 536–542.
17 Lindahl K, Stahle L, Bruchfeld A, Schvarcz R. High-dose ribavirin in combination with standard dose peginterferon for treatment of patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2005; 41: 275–279.
18 Bronowicki JP, Ouzan D, Asselah T *et al.* Effect of ribavirin in genotype 1 patients with hepatitis C responding to pegylated interferon alfa-2a plus ribavirin. *Gastroenterology* 2006; 131: 1040–1048.
19 Oze T, Hiramatsu N, Yakushijin T *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2b affects early virologic response dose-dependently in patients with chronic hepatitis C genotype 1 during treatment with pegylated interferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin. *J Viral Hepat*, in press.
20 Berg T, von Wagner M, Nasser S *et al.* Extended treatment duration for hepatitis C virus type 1: comparing 48 versus 72 weeks of peginterferon-alfa-2a plus ribavirin. *Gastroenterology* 2006; 130: 1086–1097.
21 Sanchez-Tapias JM, Diago M, Escartin P *et al.* Peginterferon-alfa2a plus ribavirin for 48 versus 72 weeks in patients with detectable hepatitis C virus RNA at week 4 of treatment. *Gastroenterology* 2006; 131: 451–460.
22 Pearlman BL, Ehleben C, Saifee S. Treatment extension to 72 weeks of peginterferon and ribavirin in hepatitis c genotype 1-infected slow responders. *Hepatology* 2007; 46(6): 1688–1694.
23 Mangia A, Minerva N, Bacca D *et al.* Individualized treatment duration for hepatitis C genotype 1 patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Hepatology* 2008; 47: 43–50.
24 Shiffman ML, Salvatore J, Hubbard S *et al.* Treatment of chronic hepatitis C virus genotype 1 with peginterferon, ribavirin, and epoetin alpha. *Hepatology* 2007; 46: 371–379.
25 Layden-Almer JE, Ribeiro RM, Wiley T, Perelson AS, Layden TJ. Viral dynamics and response differences in HCV-infected African American and white patients treated with IFN and ribavirin. *Hepatology* 2003; 37: 1343–1350.
26 Jacobson IM, Brown RS Jr, McCone J *et al.* Impact of weight-based ribavirin with peginterferon alfa-2b in African Americans with hepatitis C virus genotype 1. *Hepatology* 2007; 46: 982–990.
27 Reichard O, Andersson J, Schvarcz R, Weiland O. Ribavirin treatment for chronic hepatitis C. *Lancet* 1991; 337: 1058–1061.
28 Di Bisceglie AM, Shindo M, Fong TL *et al.* A pilot study of ribavirin therapy for chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 1992; 16: 649–654.
29 Hiramatsu N, Hayashi N, Haruna Y *et al.* Immunohistochemical detection of hepatitis C virus-infected hepatocytes in chronic liver disease with monoclonal antibodies to core, envelope and NS3 regions of the hepatitis C virus genome. *Hepatology* 1992; 16: 306–311.
30 Miyatake H, Kanto T, Inoue M *et al.* Impaired ability of interferon-alpha-primed dendritic cells to stimulate Th1-type CD4 T-cell response in chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *J Viral Hepat* 2007; 14: 404–412.
31 Itose I, Kanto T, Inoue M *et al.* Involvement of dendritic cell frequency and function in virological relapse in pegylated interferon-alpha and ribavirin therapy for chronic hepatitis C patients. *J Med Virol* 2007; 79: 511–521.

Journal of Viral Hepatitis, 2009, 16, 578–585 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2893.2009.01116.x

Pegylated interferon alpha-2b (Peg-IFN α-2b) affects early virologic response dose-dependently in patients with chronic hepatitis C genotype 1 during treatment with Peg-IFN α-2b plus ribavirin

T. Oze,[1,*] N. Hiramatsu,[1,*] T. Yakushijin,[1] M. Kurokawa,[1] T. Igura,[1] K. Mochizuki,[1] K. Imanaka,[2] A. Yamada,[3] M. Oshita,[4] H. Hagiwara,[5] E. Mita,[6] T. Ito,[7] Y. Inui,[8] T. Hijioka,[9] S. Tamura,[10] H. Yoshihara,[11] E. Hayashi,[12] A. Inoue,[13] Y. Imai,[14] M. Kato,[15] Y. Yoshida,[1] T. Tatsumi,[1] K. Ohkawa,[1] S. Kiso,[1] T. Kanto,[1] A. Kasahara,[1] T. Takehara[1] and N. Hayashi[1]

[1]*Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka, Japan;* [2]*Osaka Medical Center for Cancer and Cardiovascular Diseases, Osaka, Osaka, Japan;* [3]*Sumitomo Hospital, Osaka, Osaka, Japan;* [4]*Osaka Police Hospital, Osaka, Osaka, Japan;* [5]*Higashiosaka City Central Hospital, Higashiosaka, Osaka, Japan;* [6]*National Hospital Organization Osaka National Hospital, Osaka, Osaka, Japan;* [7]*Kansai Rousai Hospital, Amagasaki, Hyogo, Japan;* [8]*Hyogo Prefectural Nishinomiya Hospital, Nishinomiya, Hyogo, Japan;* [9]*National Hospital Organization Osaka Minami Medical Center, Kawachinagano, Osaka, Japan;* [10]*Minoh City Hospital, Minoh, Osaka, Japan;* [11]*Osaka Rousai Hospital, Sakai, Osaka, Japan;* [12]*Kinki Central Hospital of Mutual Aid Association of Public School Teachers, Itami, Hyogo, Japan;* [13]*Osaka General Medical Center, Osaka, Osaka, Japan;* [14]*Ikeda Municipal Hospital, Ikeda, Osaka, Japan; and* [15]*National Hospital Organization Minami Wakayama Medical Center, Tanabe, Wakayama, Japan*

Received November 2008; accepted for publication December 2008

SUMMARY. Chronic hepatitis C (CH-C) genotype 1 patients who achieved early virologic response have a high probability of sustained virologic response (SVR) following pegylated interferon (Peg-IFN) plus ribavirin therapy. This study was conducted to evaluate how reducing drug doses affects complete early virologic response (c-EVR) defined as hepatitis C virus (HCV) RNA negativity at week 12. Nine hundred eighty-four patients with CH-C genotype 1 were enrolled. Drug doses were evaluated independently on a body weight base from doses actually taken. From multivariate analysis, the mean dose of Peg-IFN α-2b during the first 12 weeks was the independent factor for c-EVR ($P = 0.02$), not ribavirin. The c-EVR rate was 55% in patients receiving ≥1.2 μg/kg/week of Peg-IFN, and declined to 38% at 0.9–1.2 μg/kg/week, and 22% in patients given <0.9 μg/kg/week ($P < 0.0001$). Even with stratified analysis according to ribavirin dose, the dose-dependent effect of Peg-IFN on c-EVR was observed, and similar c-EVR rates were obtained if the dose categories of Peg-IFN were the same. Furthermore, the mean dose of Peg-IFN during the first 12 weeks affected HCV RNA negativity at week 24 ($P < 0.0001$) and SVR ($P < 0.0001$) in a dose-dependent manner. Our results suggest that Peg-IFN was dose-dependently correlated with c-EVR, independently of ribavirin dose. Thus, maintaining the Peg-IFN dose as high as possible during the first 12 weeks can yield HCV RNA negativity and higher c-EVR rates, leading to better SVR rates in patients with CH-C genotype 1.

Keywords: chronic hepatitis C, drug dose, early virologic response, HCV RNA negativity, pegylated interferon plus ribavirin, sustained virologic response.

INTRODUCTION

Pegylated interferon (Peg-IFN) plus ribavirin therapy can improve anti-viral efficacy for patients with chronic hepatitis C [1–5], and the prognosis of patients in whom hepatitis C virus (HCV) is successfully eradicated improves markedly [6–10]. However, HCV still persists in approximately half of genotype 1 patients treated with Peg-IFN plus ribavirin [2–4]. Therefore, the treatment method needs to be well managed in order to maximize the virologic response in these patients with HCV genotype 1.

Abbreviations: c-EVR, complete EVR; CH-C, chronic hepatitis C; EVR, early virologic response; G-CSF, granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor; Hb, haemoglobin; HCV, hepatitis C virus; Peg-IFN, pegylated interferon; Plt, platelet; SVR, sustained virologic response; WBC, white blood cell.

Correspondence: Naoki Hiramatsu, MD, PhD, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, 2-2, Yamadaoka, Suita City, Osaka 565-0871, Japan. E-mail hiramatsu@gh.med.osaka-u.ac.jp

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

In order to achieve sustained virologic response (SVR), earlier virologic response is very important for patients with chronic hepatitis C (CH-C) genotype 1. A high SVR rate (65–72%) was found in patients who achieved early virologic response (EVR) defined as a 2-log decrease in HCV RNA level at week 12, but only 0–3% SVR was seen in patients without EVR [3,11]. Additionally, complete EVR (c-EVR), which means HCV RNA negativity at week 12, is more strongly related to SVR [3].

The relationship between drug exposure and anti-viral effect has been reported in several papers [2,11–15]. McHutchison *et al.* [12] demonstrated that the SVR rate in patients who received ≥80% of their total planned doses of Peg-IFN and ribavirin for ≥80% of the scheduled duration of therapy was significantly higher than that of patients who received <80% of one or both drugs (51% *vs* 34%) and also suggested that the impact of dose reduction was greatest in patients for whom the dose had to be decreased within the first 12 weeks of treatment. In a subsequent analysis, reducing the dose of Peg-IFN and ribavirin to <80% of the full planned dose within the first 12 weeks was reported to reduce EVR rate from 80 to 33% [11]. Thus, drug adherence during the first 12 weeks has been shown to be very important for attaining EVR and SVR, but it remains obscure whether either drug can be reduced to a certain degree without adversely affecting the treatment efficacy.

In the present study, we examined the correlation between c-EVR and drug doses which are evaluated on a body weight basis from drug doses actually taken, in order to clarify the necessary drug exposure of Peg-IFN and ribavirin for achieving a higher c-EVR rate in patients with CH-C genotype 1.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

The current study was a retrospective, multicenter trial conducted by Osaka University Hospital and other institutions participating in the Osaka Liver Forum. A total of 984 patients with CH-C treated with a combination of Peg-IFN α-2b plus ribavirin were enrolled in this study between December 2004 and September 2006. The baseline characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1. All patients were Japanese, their mean age was 56.3 ± 10.1 years, and 56% were males. The mean serum alanine aminotransferase level was 79 ± 61 IU/L.

Patients eligible for this study were those who were infected with HCV genotype 1 and had a viral load of more than 10^5 IU/mL, but were negative for hepatitis B surface antigen or anti-human immunodeficiency virus. Patients were excluded from this study if they had decompensated cirrhosis or other forms of liver disease (alcohol liver disease, autoimmune hepatitis). Informed consent was obtained from each patient included in this study. This study was conducted according to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki and informed consent was obtained from each patient.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of patients

Factor	Mean ± SD or number
n	984
Age (year)	56.3 ± 10.1
Sex: male/female	555/429
Body weight (kg)	61.8 ± 11.5
History of interferon treatment	
Naïve/experienced (relapser/nonresponder)*	575/409(160/182)
White blood cells (per mm^3)	5052 ± 1550
Neutrophils (per mm^3)	2577 ± 1092
Red blood cells ($\times 10^4/mm^3$)	442 ± 47
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	14.1 ± 1.4
Platelets ($\times 10^4/mm^3$)	15.9 ± 5.5
AST (IU/L)	66 ± 45
ALT (IU/L)	79 ± 61
Serum HCV RNA (kIU/mL)†	1600
Histology (METAVIR)‡	
Fibrosis; 0/1/2/3/4	49/314/197/105/18
Activity; 0/1/2/3	23/329/304/27

AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; HCV, hepatitis C virus.
*Viral response to previous treatment was unknown in 57 patients, and 10 patients had discontinued treatment. †Data shown are median values. ‡301 missing.

Treatment

All patients received Peg-IFN α-2b (PEGINTRON; Schering-Plough, Kenilworth, NJ, USA) plus ribavirin (REBETOL; Schering-Plough) for the duration of the study of 48 weeks. Peg-IFN α-2b was given subcutaneously once weekly at a dosage of 60–150 μg/kg based on body weight (body weight 35–45 kg, 60 μg; 46–60 kg, 80 μg; 61–75 kg, 100 μg; 76–90 kg, 120 μg; 91–120 kg, 150 μg) and ribavirin was given orally twice a day at a total dose of 600–1000 mg/day based on body weight (body weight ≤60 kg, 600 mg; 60–80 kg, 800 mg; >80 kg, 1000 mg), according to a standard treatment protocol for Japanese patients.

Dose reduction

Dose modification followed, as a rule, the manufacturer's drug information according to the intensity of the haematological adverse effects. The dose of Peg-IFN α-2b was reduced to 50% of the assigned dose if the white blood cell (WBC) count declined to $<1500/mm^3$, the neutrophil count to $<750/mm^3$ or the platelet (Plt) count to $<8 \times 10^4/mm^3$, and was discontinued if the WBC count declined to <1000/

mm^3, the neutrophil count to $<500/mm^3$ or the Plt count to $<5 \times 10^4/mm^3$. Ribavirin was also reduced from 1000 to 600 mg, or 800 to 600 mg, or 600 to 400 mg if the haemoglobin (Hb) level decreased to <10 g/dL, and was discontinued if the Hb level decreased to <8.5 g/dL. Both Peg-IFN α-2b and ribavirin had to be discontinued if there was a need to discontinue one of the drugs. During this therapy, ferric medicine or haematopoetic growth factors, such as erythropoietin alpha, or granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (G-CSF), were not administered.

Virologic assessment and definition of virologic response

Serum HCV RNA level was quantified using the COBAS AMPLICOR HCV MONITOR test, version 2.0 (detection range 6–5000 kIU/mL; Roche Diagnotics, Branchburg, NJ, USA) and qualitatively analysed using the COBAS AMPLICOR HCV test, version 2.0 (lower limit of detection 50 IU/mL). The c-EVR was defined as the absence of detectable serum HCV RNA at treatment week 12, and SVR was defined as the absence of detectable serum HCV RNA at week 72. Patients with less than a 2-log decrease in HCV RNA level at treatment week 12 compared with the baseline had to stop treatment and were regarded as nonresponders. All patients with detectable serum HCV RNA at treatment week 24 were also considered nonresponders and excluded from further treatment.

Assessment of drug exposure

The amounts of Peg-IFN α-2b and ribavirin actually taken by each patient during the first 12 weeks of the treatment were evaluated by reviewing the medical records. The mean doses of both drugs were calculated individually as averages on the basis of body weight at baseline: Peg-IFN α-2b expressed as μg/kg/week, and ribavirin expressed as mg/kg/day.

Evaluation of impact of drug exposure on c-EVR

We evaluated the relationship between the drug exposure of both drugs and c-EVR by univariate and multivariate analysis for c-EVR, using the factors of mean administration doses of both drugs during the first 12 weeks and the factors at baseline. Furthermore, Peg-IFN α-2b dose (average dose per body weight and per week) was classified into five categories (up to 0.6 μg/kg; from 0.6 to <0.9 μg/kg; from 0.9 to <1.2 μg/kg; from 1.2 to <1.5 μg/kg; from 1.5 μg/kg and above). Ribavirin exposure was classified into four categories (up to 8 mg/kg; from 8 to <10 mg/kg; from 10 to <12 mg/kg; from 12 mg/kg and above), in order to examine the impact of Peg-IFN dose exposure on c-EVR. This impact was also evaluated based on the percentage of the total prescribed dose and compared with that based on the mean dose per body weight.

Statistical analysis

Baseline data for various demographic, biochemical and virologic characteristics of the patients are expressed as mean ± SD or median values. To analyse the relationship between baseline data including drug exposure and c-EVR, univariate analysis using the Mann–Whitney *U*-test or chi-squared test and multivariate analysis using logistic regression analysis were performed. The significance of trends in values was determined with the Mantel–Haenszel chi-square test. A two-tailed *P*-value < 0.05 was considered significant. Statistical analysis was conducted with SPSS version 15.0J (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Progress of patients treated with Peg-IFN α-2b and ribavirin

Of the 984 patients, 81 discontinued treatment because of adverse events (n = 74) or voluntary withdrawal (n = 7) by treatment week 12. The 903 patients who completed 12 weeks of treatment were assessed for c-EVR. During 12–48 weeks of treatment, 331 of the nonresponders and nine of breakthrough discontinued treatment, as did 91 patients (adverse events, n = 71; voluntary withdrawal, n = 20). A total of 472 patients completed 48 weeks of treatment.

Drug reduction and virologic response

Peg-IFN α-2b was reduced without discontinuation in 29% (n = 266) and ribavirin was reduced without discontinuation in 40% (n = 359) of the 903 patients who completed 12 weeks of treatment. The c-EVR rate was 49% (445/903) and HCV RNA was negative at week 24 in 60% (542/903) of patients who completed 12 weeks of treatment. Of the 445 patients with c-EVR, 327 patients achieved SVR (73%). Only 7% of the 458 patients without c-EVR did so.

Impact of dose exposure of Peg-IFN α-2b and ribavirin on c-EVR

The mean dose of Peg-IFN α-2b actually taken during the first 12 weeks by each patient was 1.33 μg/kg/week (range 0.41–2.16 μg/kg/week; median 1.40 μg/kg/week) and that of ribavirin was 10.4 mg/kg/day (range 2.9–16.2 mg/kg/day; median 10.6 mg/kg/day).

The mean doses of both drugs and the factors at baseline correlated with the c-EVR were assessed by univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses. Univariate analysis showed that factors significantly associated with c-EVR were age, sex, WBC, neutrophils, red blood cells, Hb, Plt, aspartate aminotransferase, the degree of liver fibrosis and the mean doses of Peg-IFN α-2b and ribavirin during the first 12 weeks (Table 2). The factors selected as significant by the univari-

Table 2 Univariate analysis for c-EVR among patients who completed 12 weeks treatment

Factor	c-EVR (+)	c-EVR (−)	*P*-value
n	445	458	
Age (year)	54.4 ± 10.4	57.5 ± 9.6	<0.001
Sex: male/female	267/178	237/221	0.01
Serum HCV RNA (kIU/mL)*	1500	1600	0.28
White blood cells (per mm^3)	5336 ± 1536	4818 ± 1547	<0.001
Neutrophils (per mm^3)	2789 ± 1133	2398 ± 1038	<0.001
Red blood cells ($\times 10^4/mm^3$)	450 ± 46	435 ± 49	<0.001
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	14.3 ± 1.4	13.9 ± 1.4	<0.001
Platelets ($\times 10^4/mm^3$)	17.3 ± 5.2	15.0 ± 5.6	<0.001
AST (IU/L)	62 ± 44	69 ± 44	<0.001
ALT (IU/L)	77 ± 64	80 ± 57	0.07
Histology (METAVIR)†			
Fibrosis: 0–2/3–4	273/37	247/74	<0.001
Activity: 0–1/2–3	171/139	159/162	0.16
Peg-IFN dose (μg/kg/week)‡	1.39 ± 0.22	1.28 ± 0.30	<0.001
Ribavirin dose (mg/kg/day)‡	10.6 ± 1.7	10.1 ± 2.1	0.002

c-EVR, complete early virologic response; HCV, hepatitis C virus; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; Peg-IFN, pegylated interferon.
*Data shown are median values. †272 missing. ‡Mean doses during 0–12 weeks.

Table 3 Multivariate analysis for c-EVR among patients who completed 12 weeks treatment

Factor	Category	Odds ratio	95% CI	*P*-value
Age	by 1 year	0.982	0.966–0.999	0.04
Sex	male/female	–	–	NS
Neutrophils	by 100/mm^3	1.017	1.002–1.033	0.03
Red blood cells	by $1 \times 10^4/mm^3$	–	–	NS
Haemoglobin	by 1 g/dL	–	–	NS
Platelets	by $1 \times 10^4/mm^3$	1.051	1.014–1.088	<0.01
AST	by 1 IU/L	–	–	NS
Fibrosis*	0–2/3–4	–	–	NS
Peg-IFN dose†	by 0.1 μg/kg/week	1.079	1.011–1.151	0.02
Ribavirin dose†	by 1 mg/kg/day	–	–	NS

95% CI, 95% confidence interval; Peg-IFN, c-EVR, complete early virologic response; pegylated interferon; N.S., No Significant difference; AST, aspartate aminotransferase.
*METAVIR fibrosis score. †Mean doses during 0–12 weeks.

ate analysis were evaluated by multivariate logistic regression analysis. The mean dose of Peg-IFN α-2b during the first 12 weeks was the independent factor for c-EVR ($P = 0.02$), apart from the neutrophils ($P = 0.03$) and Plt value at baseline ($P < 0.01$) and age ($P = 0.04$) (Table 3). In contrast, the mean dose of ribavirin during the first 12 weeks showed no correlation with c-EVR.

The c-EVR rates were 54% (137/253) and 56% (246/443) for patients who received ≥1.5 and 1.2–1.5 μg/kg/week of Peg-IFN α-2b on average during the first 12 weeks, and declined to an average rate of 38% (40/105) in patients given 0.9–1.2 μg/kg/week of Peg-IFN α-2b, and an average rate of 22% (22/102) in patients given <0.9 μg/kg/week ($P < 0.0001$) (Table 4). The c-EVR rate among the patients with ≥1.2 μg/kg/week of Peg-IFN α-2b was significantly higher than that of the patients with <1.2 μg/kg/week [≥1.2 μg/kg/week, 55% (383/696) *vs* <1.2 μg/kg/week, 30% (62/207), $P < 0.0001$].

Next, we analysed the impact of Peg-IFN α-2b on c-EVR in stratified analysis according to ribavirin dose. Figure 1 shows the relationship of c-EVR and the degree of Peg-IFN α-2b exposure for two groups of ribavirin doses: the group with ≥10.6 mg/kg/day of ribavirin and that with <10.6 mg/kg/day (10.6 mg/kg/day was the median value). In either group, the mean dose of Peg-IFN α-2b was dose-dependently correlated with c-EVR ($P < 0.0001$), and c-EVR rates were very similar in both groups if the dose categories of Peg-IFN α-2b were the same.

Table 4 The c-EVR rate according to Peg-IFN and ribavirin doses during weeks 0–12 for patients who completed 12 weeks treatment

Ribavirin dose (mg/kg/day)**	Peg-IFN α-2b dose (μg/kg/week),*				
	≥1.5	1.2–1.5	0.9–1.2	<0.9	Total
≥12	57% (60/105)	61% (22/36)	38% (6/16)	22% (2/9)	54% (90/166)
10–12	54% (46/85)	58% (154/267)	36% (14/39)	23% (11/47)	51% (225/438)
8–10	50% (25/50)	53% (52/99)	52% (15/29)	18% (4/22)	48% (96/200)
<8	46% (6/13)	44% (18/41)	24% (5/21)	21% (5/24)	34% (34/99)
Total	54% (137/253)	56% (246/443)	38% (40/105)	22% (22/102)	49% (445/903)

c-EVR, complete early virologic response; Peg-IFN, pegylated interferon.
*$P < 0.0001$ for comparison of the four Peg-IFN groups. **$P = 0.05$ for comparison of the four ribavirin groups.



Fig. 1 Complete-EVR rate according to pegylated interferon alpha-2b (Peg-IFN α-2b) and ribavirin doses during weeks 0–12 for patients who completed 12 weeks of treatment. (-▲-) Group with the mean ribavirin dose <10.6 mg/kg/day. (-■-) Group with the mean ribavirin dose ≥10.6 mg/kg/day. The Peg-IFN α-2b dose was dose-dependently correlated with c-EVR in both groups ($P < 0.0001$). There was no significant difference between the two ribavirin-dose groups ($P = 0.19$).

c-EVR rates according to Peg-IFN α-2b drug exposure using a percentage cut off and mean dose cut off

Table 5 shows the c-EVR rates according to the category of Peg-IFN α-2b doses during the first 12 weeks based on the percentage of the total prescribed dose and the mean doses. The whole c-EVR rate was 54% (377/698) for patients who received more than 80% of the prescribed dose, and 43% (47/109) in patients given 60–80% of the prescribed dose, and 21% (21/96) in patients given <60% of the prescribed dose of Peg-IFN α-2b. Among patients given ≥80% of the prescribed dose of Peg-IFN α-2b, the c-EVR rate was significantly lower in patients given <1.2 μg/kg/week of Peg-IFN α-2b than those given ≥1.2 μg/kg/week (32% *vs* 55%, $P < 0.05$). On the other hand, even in patients given 60–80% of the prescribed dose of Peg-IFN α-2b, if they were given ≥1.2 μg/kg/week of Peg-IFN α-2b, a higher c-EVR rate was attained in comparison with those given <1.2 μg/kg/week (71% *vs* 38%, $P = 0.01$); the c-EVR rate in patients given 60–80% of the prescribed dose and ≥1.2 μg/kg/week of Peg-IFN α-2b was not inferior to that in patients given ≥80% of the prescribed dose and ≥1.2 μg/kg/week of Peg-IFN α-2b.

Impact of dose exposure of Peg-IFN α-2b during the first 12 weeks of the treatment on HCV RNA negativity at week 24 and SVR

Patients positive for HCV RNA at week 24 week during Peg-IFN α-2b and ribavirin treatment were regarded as non-responders and stopped treatment [11]. We analysed the

Table 5 The c-EVR rate according to Peg-IFN dose during weeks 0–12 based on the percentage of the planned dose and the mean doses

Peg-IFN α-2b dose (μg/kg/week)	≥80%	60–80%	<60%	Total
≥1.2	55%* (371/679)	71%** (12/17)	–	55% (383/696)
<1.2	32% (6/19)	38% (35/92)	22% (21/96)	30% (62/207)
Total	54% (377/698)	43% (47/109)	21% (21/96)	49% (445/903)

c-EVR, complete early virologic response; Peg-IFN, pegylated interferon.
*$P < 0.05$; patients with ≥1.2 μg/kg/week *vs* <1.2 μg/kg/week among the patients with more than 80% of the total prescribed dose of Peg-IFN α-2b. **$P = 0.01$; patients with ≥1.2 μg/kg/week *vs* <1.2 μg/kg/week among the patients with more than 60–80% of the total prescribed dose of Peg-IFN α-2b.

relationship between the dose exposure to Peg-IFN α-2b during the first 12 weeks and HCV RNA negative rates at week 24 or SVR in 903 patients completing 12 weeks of treatment. As a result, HCV RNA negative rates at week 24 and SVR rates declined according to the decrease in the dose of Peg-IFN α-2b during the 12 weeks of treatment; patients given ≥1.5, 1.2–1.5, 0.9–1.2 and <0.9 μg/kg/week of Peg-IFN α-2b during the first 12 weeks of the treatment showed HCV RNA negativity of 63%, 66%, 48% and 39%, respectively ($P < 0.0001$), and SVR of 46%, 43%, 30% and 20%, respectively ($P < 0.0001$).

DISCUSSION

Adherence to ribavirin was reported to be the important factor for EVR as well as that to Peg-IFN in most previous studies [2,11,12]. However, the drug exposure of Peg-IFN α-2b and ribavirin had not been analysed independently with respect to their individual influence on the anti-viral effect in these studies. Adherence to both drugs may be related factors, i.e. most patients who can tolerate a high dose of Peg-IFN are in good condition and thus can also receive a high dose of ribavirin. In the present study, the impact of the dose of Peg-IFN α-2b and ribavirin on the anti-viral effect was evaluated by multivariate logistic regression analysis, using the mean administration doses of both drugs during the first 12 weeks and baseline factors. As a result, the dose exposure of Peg-IFN α-2b was found to be the significant factor affecting c-EVR as well as baseline factors such as age, neutrophils and Plt values, but not ribavirin. This suggests that the c-EVR rate can be raised by maintaining the dose of Peg-IFN α-2b during the first 12 weeks in patients with disadvantageous factors at baseline. In fact, the c-EVR rate was higher in those who received ≥1.2 μg/kg of Peg-IFN α-2b than in those given <1.2 μg/kg of Peg-IFN α-2b for aged patients over 60 years of age (≥1.2 μg/kg; 46% *vs* <1.2 μg/kg; 28%, $P < 0.01$) or for patients with a low Plt value ($<12 \times 10^4/mm^3$)(≥1.2 μg/kg; 45% *vs* <1.2 μg/kg; 22%, $P < 0.001$). Therefore, a marked dose reduction of Peg-IFN α-2b should not be risked at the start even for aged patients or patients with lower Plt value, which is indicative of advanced fibrosis. The administration of ≥1.2 μg/kg/week of Peg-IFN α-2b is desirable as a starting dose for achieving c-EVR even in these patients: that of <1.2 μg/kg/week can lead to a non-viral response or a late viral response. Independent evaluation of the c-EVR rate according to the degree of the ribavirin dose showed a stepwise decline as the total cumulative dose of Peg-IFN α-2b decreased. Therefore, the dose of Peg-IFN α-2b should be maintained as high as possible even in patients who have to reduce Peg-IFN α-2b to <1.2 μg/kg/week. Using G-CSF for patients who develop severe neutropenia and are forced to decrease Peg-IFN can be beneficial, especially in the first 12 weeks.

The goal of 80% of the planned drug dosage for 80% of the assigned duration was derived from an adherence criterion that had been adopted previously for assessment of the efficacy of other pharmaceutical agents, such as drugs to treat cancer and human immunodeficiency virus [16]. However, in Peg-IFN plus ribavirin therapy for patients with CH-C, the planned administration dose [17,18] differs on a body weight basis by 27% for Peg-IFN α-2b and 40% for ribavirin among patients of 50–100 kg of body weight, which would be equivalent to the same rate differences for 80% of the planned drug dosage. In detail, the target dose of Peg-IFN α-2b scheduled to be administered is 1.5 μg/kg, but the usual dose for the individual patient is from 1.28 to 1.76 μg/kg/week based on body weight among patients weighing 50–100 kg according to the practice guidelines of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the manufacturer's drug information in the USA and Europe [17,18]. The range of ribavirin dose per kg of body weight is from 12 to 20 mg/kg/day. Therefore, in this study, the drug exposure was assessed from the average dose per kg of body weight.

In the evaluation of c-EVR rates according to Peg-IFN α-2b drug exposure using a percentage cut off and mean dose cut off in this study, the c-EVR rate of patients given <1.2 μg/kg/week of Peg-IFN α-2b was low (32%) even in those who received ≥80% of the total planned doses of Peg-IFN α-2b. If given ≥1.2 μg/kg/week of Peg-IFN α-2b, the c-EVR rate (71%) in patients who received 60–80% of the total doses was not inferior to that in patients given ≥80% of the total dose of Peg-IFN α-2b (54%). This means that patients whose starting dose of Peg-IFN α-2b is <1.5 μg/kg/week should not have their dosage reduced to 80% of the planned dose (<1.2 μg/kg/week) in order to have a higher probability of c-EVR, while those given ≥1.5 μg/kg/week of Peg-IFN α-2b at the start can have their dosage reduced to 80% (≥1.2 μg/kg/week) without lowering the c-EVR rate. Thus, the drug dose on a body weight basis itself should be examined as an index of the drug exposure in order to evaluate the anti-viral effect of both drugs accurately for patients with CH-C.

As for the impact of the drug exposure to ribavirin on c-EVR, the drug dose of ribavirin during the first 12 weeks was shown to have no relationship with the c-EVR rate, although it was precisely evaluated in this study, using doses actually taken on body weight. However, ribavirin can be more effective for decreasing the viral relapse after interferon or Peg-IFN α-2b and ribavirin combination therapy in patients with CH-C genotype 1 [2,3,19–24]. Recently, Shiffman *et al.* [15] have reported that a higher starting dose of ribavirin (1000–1600 mg/day) plus a regular dose of Peg-IFN α-2b with epoetin was associated with a lower relapse rate in treatment with CH-C genotype 1. Considering the viral relapse after treatment, it is thought that the ribavirin dose should not be reduced quickly in patients with mild side effects, even though it does not affect c-EVR. In fact, among the patients who attained c-EVR, a higher rate of viral relapse was found in the patients given <10 mg/kg/day of the mean ribavirin dose during 48 weeks in comparison