

of the ribavirin dose, which is the variable factor, unlike baseline factors, plays an important role in suppressing the virologic relapse in patients with CH-C genotype 1 treated by Peg-IFN plus ribavirin treatment. This suggests that maintaining the ribavirin dose should lower the relapse rate even in patients with advanced fibrosis who are liable to relapse. In fact, among patients with advanced fibrosis (METAVIR score 3–4), the relapse rate in those given ≥ 10 mg/kg/day of the average ribavirin dose was significantly lower than that in patients given < 10 mg/kg/day of ribavirin (36% vs. 71%). However, the sample size was too small for subsequent analysis with stratification. Further study is needed to clarify the impact of ribavirin dose on viral relapse in patients with progression of fibrosis.

The relapse rate among patients with c-EVR showed a decline according to the increase in ribavirin dose during treatment week 0–48 and was not affected by the Peg-IFN α -2b dose when the patients were given more than 0.9 μ g/kg/week of Peg-IFN α -2b. Among the patients with c-EVR, none with RVR had a relapse and all attained SVR irrespective of the dose of Peg-IFN α -2b or ribavirin. Examination of the impact of dose reduction after week 12 on relapse among patients with c-EVR showed that the ribavirin dose reduction after week 12 tended to affect the relapse rate in patients given ≥ 10 mg/kg/day of the ribavirin dose during the first 12 weeks, while the Peg-IFN α -2b dose after week 12 could be reduced without any increase in relapse rate in patients given more than 0.6 μ g/kg/week of the average dose of Peg-IFN α -2b. On the other hand, maintaining the ribavirin did not lead to reduce the relapse rate in patients with LVR. About half relapsed even when given ≥ 12 mg/kg/day of the average ribavirin dose. This suggested that the relapse rate could not be reduced by management of the ribavirin dose in patients with LVR. Extended therapy should be chosen in LVR patients as shown in the previous studies [20–23].

Shiffman *et al.* [24] recently reported that maintaining the Hb level with epoetin alpha did not enhance SVR if ribavirin was started at the standard dose (800–1400 mg/day, mean dose 13.3 mg/kg/day), although discontinuance and the reduction rates of ribavirin were decreased and a higher mean dose of ribavirin was administered in comparison with those treated with Peg-IFN plus ribavirin without epoetin. If these findings apply to patients with CH-C genotype 1, this would suggest that the ribavirin dose does not need to be maintained during treatment with Peg-IFN plus ribavirin, which would not agree with our findings. However, closer examination of the Shiffman *et al.* study shows that Peg-IFN plus a higher dose of ribavirin (1000–1600 mg/day, mean dose 15.2 mg/kg/day) with epoetin was found to suppress the relapse rate and enhance SVR. These data agree with ours with respect to the point that higher doses of ribavirin are associated with a lower relapse rate. What differs is the ribavirin dose needed to suppress the relapse. This is likely to be due to ethnic differences between the subjects. In Shiffman's study, approximately 40% were African-Ameri-

cans in whom the virologic response is well established as being significantly lower than those of other ethnic groups [25,26], while in our study, all subjects were Japanese. In the African-Americans treated with Peg-IFN plus standard-dose ribavirin, the relapse rate (calculated from 48% of ETR and 19% of SVR) was 60%, while 18% relapse (from 38% of ETR and 31% of SVR) occurred in those given Peg-IFN plus high-dose ribavirin. The relapse rate of patients with c-EVR in our study was 19%, which was very close to that for those with Peg-IFN plus high-dose ribavirin in Shiffman's study. Ribavirin does not have a direct antiviral action against HCV [27,28], and is considered to play an important role in accelerating HCV-infected cell clearance [29] and eradicating them completely when an immune response against infected cells is induced by IFN or Peg-IFN [30,31]. Therefore, the difference between patients who are easy or difficult to treat due to ethnic differences or differences in response to Peg-IFN can result in the need for different doses of ribavirin to suppress the relapse rate in patients with CH-C genotype 1.

In conclusion, our results have demonstrated that ribavirin is dose-dependently correlated with a relapse in patients with CH-C genotype 1 responding to Peg-IFN plus ribavirin. Maintaining a high dose (≥ 12 mg/kg/day) of ribavirin during the full treatment period could strongly suppress the relapse in such patients, while Peg-IFN α -2b could be reduced without affecting relapse in patients with c-EVR. This possibility should be explored in a prospective study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND DISCLOSURES

Other institutions and participants in the Osaka Liver Forum are: Osaka General Medical Center, A Inoue; Toyonaka Municipal Hospital, M Inada; Sumitomo Hospital, A Yamada; Kinki Central Hospital of Mutual Aid Association of Public School Teachers, E Hayashi; Yao Municipal Hospital, H Fukui; Otemae Hospital, Y Doi; Itami City Hospital, T Kashihara; Ashiya Municipal Hospital, K Kiriya; National Hospital Organization Minami Wakayama Medical Center, K Fujimoto; Saiseikai Senri Hospital, K Suzuki; Nishinomiya Municipal Central Hospital, H Ogawa; Kano General Hospital, S Kubota; Saso Hospital, M Nishiuchi; and Osaka Kaisei Hospital, N Imaizumi.

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Research on Hepatitis and BSE from Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare of Japan, and Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture of Japan.

REFERENCES

- 1 Manns MP, McHutchison JG, Gordon SC *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin compared with interferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin for initial treatment of chronic hepatitis C: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2001; 358: 958–965.
- 2 Fried MW, Shiffman ML, Reddy KR *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2a plus ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 2002; 347: 975–982.

- 3 Hadziyannis SJ, Sette Jr H, Morgan TR *et al*. Peginterferon-alpha2a and ribavirin combination therapy in chronic hepatitis C: a randomized study of treatment duration and ribavirin dose. *Ann Intern Med* 2004; 140: 346–355.
- 4 Hayashi N, Takehara T. Antiviral therapy for chronic hepatitis C: past, present, and future. *J Gastroenterol* 2006; 41: 17–27.
- 5 Zeuzem S, Hultcrantz R, Bourliere M *et al*. Peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin for treatment of chronic hepatitis C in previously untreated patients infected with HCV genotypes 2 or 3. *J Hepatol* 2004; 40: 993–999.
- 6 Ferenci P, Brunner H, Laferl H *et al*. A randomized, prospective trial of ribavirin 400 mg day⁻¹ versus 800 mg day⁻¹ in combination with peginterferon alfa-2a in hepatitis C virus genotypes 2 and 3. *Hepatology* 2008; 47: 1816–1823.
- 7 McHutchison JG, Manns M, Patel K *et al*. Adherence to combination therapy enhances sustained response in genotype-1-infected patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology* 2002; 123: 1061–1069.
- 8 Shiffman ML, Ghany MG, Morgan TR *et al*. Impact of reducing peginterferon alfa-2a and ribavirin dose during retreatment in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology* 2007; 132: 103–112.
- 9 McHutchison JG, Gordon SC, Schiff ER *et al*. Interferon alfa-2b alone or in combination with ribavirin as initial treatment for chronic hepatitis C. Hepatitis Interventional Therapy Group. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 339: 1485–1492.
- 10 Poynard T, Marcellin P, Lee SS *et al*. Randomised trial of interferon alpha2b plus ribavirin for 48 weeks or for 24 weeks versus interferon alpha2b plus placebo for 48 weeks for treatment of chronic infection with hepatitis C virus. International Hepatitis Interventional Therapy Group (IHIT). *Lancet* 1998; 352: 1426–1432.
- 11 Davis GL, Esteban-Mur R, Rustgi V *et al*. Interferon alfa-2b alone or in combination with ribavirin for the treatment of relapse of chronic hepatitis C. International Hepatitis Interventional Therapy Group. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 339: 1493–1499.
- 12 Lindsay KL, Trepo C, Heintges T *et al*. A randomized, double-blind trial comparing pegylated interferon alfa-2b to interferon alfa-2b as initial treatment for chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2001; 34: 395–403.
- 13 Davis GL, Wong JB, McHutchison JG, Manns MP, Harvey J, Albrecht J. Early virologic response to treatment with peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2003; 38: 645–652.
- 14 Shiffman ML, Di Bisceglie AM, Lindsay KL *et al*. Peginterferon alfa-2a and ribavirin in patients with chronic hepatitis C who have failed prior treatment. *Gastroenterology* 2004; 126: 1015–1023.
- 15 Reddy KR, Shiffman ML, Morgan TR *et al*. Impact of ribavirin dose reductions in hepatitis C virus genotype 1 patients completing peginterferon alfa-2a/ribavirin treatment. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007; 5: 124–129.
- 16 Lodato F, Azzaroli F, Brillanti S *et al*. Higher doses of peginterferon alpha-2b administered twice weekly improve sustained virological response in difficult-to-treat patients with chronic hepatitis C: results of a pilot randomized study. *J Viral Hepat* 2005; 12: 536–542.
- 17 Lindahl K, Stahle L, Bruchfeld A, Schvarcz R. High-dose ribavirin in combination with standard dose peginterferon for treatment of patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2005; 41: 275–279.
- 18 Bronowicki JP, Ouzan D, Asselah T *et al*. Effect of ribavirin in genotype 1 patients with hepatitis C responding to pegylated interferon alfa-2a plus ribavirin. *Gastroenterology* 2006; 131: 1040–1048.
- 19 Oze T, Hiramatsu N, Yakushijin T *et al*. Peginterferon alfa-2b affects early virologic response dose-dependently in patients with chronic hepatitis C genotype 1 during treatment with pegylated interferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin. *J Viral Hepat*, in press.
- 20 Berg T, von Wagner M, Nasser S *et al*. Extended treatment duration for hepatitis C virus type 1: comparing 48 versus 72 weeks of peginterferon-alfa-2a plus ribavirin. *Gastroenterology* 2006; 130: 1086–1097.
- 21 Sanchez-Tapias JM, Diago M, Escartin P *et al*. Peginterferon-alfa2a plus ribavirin for 48 versus 72 weeks in patients with detectable hepatitis C virus RNA at week 4 of treatment. *Gastroenterology* 2006; 131: 451–460.
- 22 Pearlman BL, Ehleben C, Saifce S. Treatment extension to 72 weeks of peginterferon and ribavirin in hepatitis c genotype 1-infected slow responders. *Hepatology* 2007; 46(6): 1688–1694.
- 23 Mangia A, Minerva N, Bacca D *et al*. Individualized treatment duration for hepatitis C genotype 1 patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Hepatology* 2008; 47: 43–50.
- 24 Shiffman ML, Salvatore J, Hubbard S *et al*. Treatment of chronic hepatitis C virus genotype 1 with peginterferon, ribavirin, and epoetin alpha. *Hepatology* 2007; 46: 371–379.
- 25 Layden-Almer JE, Ribeiro RM, Wiley T, Perelson AS, Layden TJ. Viral dynamics and response differences in HCV-infected African American and white patients treated with IFN and ribavirin. *Hepatology* 2003; 37: 1343–1350.
- 26 Jacobson IM, Brown RS Jr, McCone J *et al*. Impact of weight-based ribavirin with peginterferon alfa-2b in African Americans with hepatitis C virus genotype 1. *Hepatology* 2007; 46: 982–990.
- 27 Reichard O, Andersson J, Schvarcz R, Weiland O. Ribavirin treatment for chronic hepatitis C. *Lancet* 1991; 337: 1058–1061.
- 28 Di Bisceglie AM, Shindo M, Fong TL *et al*. A pilot study of ribavirin therapy for chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 1992; 16: 649–654.
- 29 Hiramatsu N, Hayashi N, Haruna Y *et al*. Immunohistochemical detection of hepatitis C virus-infected hepatocytes in chronic liver disease with monoclonal antibodies to core, envelope and NS3 regions of the hepatitis C virus genome. *Hepatology* 1992; 16: 306–311.
- 30 Miyatake H, Kanto T, Inoue M *et al*. Impaired ability of interferon-alpha-primed dendritic cells to stimulate Th1-type CD4 T-cell response in chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *J Viral Hepat* 2007; 14: 404–412.
- 31 Itoe I, Kanto T, Inoue M *et al*. Involvement of dendritic cell frequency and function in virological relapse in pegylated interferon-alpha and ribavirin therapy for chronic hepatitis C patients. *J Med Virol* 2007; 79: 511–521.

Pegylated interferon alpha-2b (Peg-IFN α -2b) affects early virologic response dose-dependently in patients with chronic hepatitis C genotype 1 during treatment with Peg-IFN α -2b plus ribavirin

T. Oze,^{1,*} N. Hiramatsu,^{1,*} T. Yakushijin,¹ M. Kurokawa,¹ T. Igura,¹ K. Mochizuki,¹ K. Imanaka,² A. Yamada,³ M. Oshita,⁴ H. Hagiwara,⁵ E. Mita,⁶ T. Ito,⁷ Y. Inui,⁸ T. Hijioka,⁹ S. Tamura,¹⁰ H. Yoshihara,¹¹ E. Hayashi,¹² A. Inoue,¹³ Y. Imai,¹⁴ M. Kato,¹⁵ Y. Yoshida,¹ T. Tatsumi,¹ K. Ohkawa,¹ S. Kiso,¹ T. Kanto,¹ A. Kasahara,¹ T. Takehara¹ and N. Hayashi¹

¹Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka, Japan; ²Osaka Medical Center for Cancer and Cardiovascular Diseases, Osaka, Osaka, Japan; ³Sumitomo Hospital, Osaka, Osaka, Japan; ⁴Osaka Police Hospital, Osaka, Osaka, Japan; ⁵Higashiosaka City Central Hospital, Higashiosaka, Osaka, Japan; ⁶National Hospital Organization Osaka National Hospital, Osaka, Osaka, Japan; ⁷Kansai Rousai Hospital, Amagasaki, Hyogo, Japan; ⁸Hyogo Prefectural Nishinomiya Hospital, Nishinomiya, Hyogo, Japan; ⁹National Hospital Organization Osaka Minami Medical Center, Kawachinagano, Osaka, Japan; ¹⁰Minoh City Hospital, Minoh, Osaka, Japan; ¹¹Osaka Rousai Hospital, Sakai, Osaka, Japan; ¹²Kinki Central Hospital of Mutual Aid Association of Public School Teachers, Itami, Hyogo, Japan; ¹³Osaka General Medical Center, Osaka, Osaka, Japan; ¹⁴Ikeda Municipal Hospital, Ikeda, Osaka, Japan; and ¹⁵National Hospital Organization Minami Wakayama Medical Center, Tanabe, Wakayama, Japan

Received November 2008; accepted for publication December 2008

SUMMARY. Chronic hepatitis C (CH-C) genotype 1 patients who achieved early virologic response have a high probability of sustained virologic response (SVR) following pegylated interferon (Peg-IFN) plus ribavirin therapy. This study was conducted to evaluate how reducing drug doses affects complete early virologic response (c-EVR) defined as hepatitis C virus (HCV) RNA negativity at week 12. Nine hundred eighty-four patients with CH-C genotype 1 were enrolled. Drug doses were evaluated independently on a body weight base from doses actually taken. From multivariate analysis, the mean dose of Peg-IFN α -2b during the first 12 weeks was the independent factor for c-EVR ($P = 0.02$), not ribavirin. The c-EVR rate was 55% in patients receiving ≥ 1.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{week}$ of Peg-IFN, and declined to 38% at 0.9 – 1.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{week}$, and 22% in patients given < 0.9 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{week}$ ($P < 0.0001$). Even with stratified analysis according to

ribavirin dose, the dose-dependent effect of Peg-IFN on c-EVR was observed, and similar c-EVR rates were obtained if the dose categories of Peg-IFN were the same. Furthermore, the mean dose of Peg-IFN during the first 12 weeks affected HCV RNA negativity at week 24 ($P < 0.0001$) and SVR ($P < 0.0001$) in a dose-dependent manner. Our results suggest that Peg-IFN was dose-dependently correlated with c-EVR, independently of ribavirin dose. Thus, maintaining the Peg-IFN dose as high as possible during the first 12 weeks can yield HCV RNA negativity and higher c-EVR rates, leading to better SVR rates in patients with CH-C genotype 1.

Keywords: chronic hepatitis C, drug dose, early virologic response, HCV RNA negativity, pegylated interferon plus ribavirin, sustained virologic response.

Abbreviations: c-EVR, complete EVR; CH-C, chronic hepatitis C; EVR, early virologic response; G-CSF, granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor; Hb, haemoglobin; HCV, hepatitis C virus; Peg-IFN, pegylated interferon; Plt, platelet; SVR, sustained virologic response; WBC, white blood cell.

Correspondence: Naoki Hiramatsu, MD, PhD, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, 2-2, Yamadaoka, Suita City, Osaka 565-0871, Japan. E-mail hiramatsu@gh.med.osaka-u.ac.jp

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

INTRODUCTION

Pegylated interferon (Peg-IFN) plus ribavirin therapy can improve anti-viral efficacy for patients with chronic hepatitis C [1–5], and the prognosis of patients in whom hepatitis C virus (HCV) is successfully eradicated improves markedly [6–10]. However, HCV still persists in approximately half of genotype 1 patients treated with Peg-IFN plus ribavirin [2–4]. Therefore, the treatment method needs to be well managed in order to maximize the virologic response in these patients with HCV genotype 1.

In order to achieve sustained virologic response (SVR), earlier virologic response is very important for patients with chronic hepatitis C (CH-C) genotype 1. A high SVR rate (65–72%) was found in patients who achieved early virologic response (EVR) defined as a 2-log decrease in HCV RNA level at week 12, but only 0–3% SVR was seen in patients without EVR [3,11]. Additionally, complete EVR (c-EVR), which means HCV RNA negativity at week 12, is more strongly related to SVR [3].

The relationship between drug exposure and anti-viral effect has been reported in several papers [2,11–15]. McHutchison *et al.* [12] demonstrated that the SVR rate in patients who received $\geq 80\%$ of their total planned doses of Peg-IFN and ribavirin for $\geq 80\%$ of the scheduled duration of therapy was significantly higher than that of patients who received $< 80\%$ of one or both drugs (51% vs 34%) and also suggested that the impact of dose reduction was greatest in patients for whom the dose had to be decreased within the first 12 weeks of treatment. In a subsequent analysis, reducing the dose of Peg-IFN and ribavirin to $< 80\%$ of the full planned dose within the first 12 weeks was reported to reduce EVR rate from 80 to 33% [11]. Thus, drug adherence during the first 12 weeks has been shown to be very important for attaining EVR and SVR, but it remains obscure whether either drug can be reduced to a certain degree without adversely affecting the treatment efficacy.

In the present study, we examined the correlation between c-EVR and drug doses which are evaluated on a body weight basis from drug doses actually taken, in order to clarify the necessary drug exposure of Peg-IFN and ribavirin for achieving a higher c-EVR rate in patients with CH-C genotype 1.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

The current study was a retrospective, multicenter trial conducted by Osaka University Hospital and other institutions participating in the Osaka Liver Forum. A total of 984 patients with CH-C treated with a combination of Peg-IFN α -2b plus ribavirin were enrolled in this study between December 2004 and September 2006. The baseline characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1. All patients were Japanese, their mean age was 56.3 ± 10.1 years, and 56% were males. The mean serum alanine aminotransferase level was 79 ± 61 IU/L.

Patients eligible for this study were those who were infected with HCV genotype 1 and had a viral load of more than 10^5 IU/mL, but were negative for hepatitis B surface antigen or anti-human immunodeficiency virus. Patients were excluded from this study if they had decompensated cirrhosis or other forms of liver disease (alcohol liver disease, autoimmune hepatitis). Informed consent was obtained from each patient included in this study. This study was conducted according to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Dec-

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of patients

Factor	Mean \pm SD or number
<i>n</i>	984
Age (year)	56.3 ± 10.1
Sex: male/female	555/429
Body weight (kg)	61.8 ± 11.5
History of interferon treatment	
Naïve/experienced	575/409(160/182)
(relapser/nonresponder)*	
White blood cells (per mm ³)	5052 ± 1550
Neutrophils (per mm ³)	2577 ± 1092
Red blood cells ($\times 10^4$ /mm ³)	442 ± 47
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	14.1 ± 1.4
Platelets ($\times 10^4$ /mm ³)	15.9 ± 5.5
AST (IU/L)	66 ± 45
ALT (IU/L)	79 ± 61
Serum HCV RNA (kIU/mL) [†]	1600
Histology (METAVIR) [‡]	
Fibrosis; 0/1/2/3/4	49/314/197/105/18
Activity; 0/1/2/3	23/329/304/27

AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; HCV, hepatitis C virus.

*Viral response to previous treatment was unknown in 57 patients, and 10 patients had discontinued treatment. [†]Data shown are median values. [‡]301 missing.

laration of Helsinki and informed consent was obtained from each patient.

Treatment

All patients received Peg-IFN α -2b (PEGINTRON; Schering-Plough, Kenilworth, NJ, USA) plus ribavirin (REBETOL; Schering-Plough) for the duration of the study of 48 weeks. Peg-IFN α -2b was given subcutaneously once weekly at a dosage of 60–150 μ g/kg based on body weight (body weight 35–45 kg, 60 μ g; 46–60 kg, 80 μ g; 61–75 kg, 100 μ g; 76–90 kg, 120 μ g; 91–120 kg, 150 μ g) and ribavirin was given orally twice a day at a total dose of 600–1000 mg/day based on body weight (body weight ≤ 60 kg, 600 mg; 60–80 kg, 800 mg; > 80 kg, 1000 mg), according to a standard treatment protocol for Japanese patients.

Dose reduction

Dose modification followed, as a rule, the manufacturer's drug information according to the intensity of the haematological adverse effects. The dose of Peg-IFN α -2b was reduced to 50% of the assigned dose if the white blood cell (WBC) count declined to < 1500 /mm³, the neutrophil count to < 750 /mm³ or the platelet (Plt) count to $< 8 \times 10^4$ /mm³, and was discontinued if the WBC count declined to < 1000 /

mm³, the neutrophil count to <500/mm³ or the Plt count to <5 × 10⁴/mm³. Ribavirin was also reduced from 1000 to 600 mg, or 800 to 600 mg, or 600 to 400 mg if the haemoglobin (Hb) level decreased to <10 g/dL, and was discontinued if the Hb level decreased to <8.5 g/dL. Both Peg-IFN α -2b and ribavirin had to be discontinued if there was a need to discontinue one of the drugs. During this therapy, ferric medicine or haematopoietic growth factors, such as erythropoietin alpha, or granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (G-CSF), were not administered.

Virologic assessment and definition of virologic response

Serum HCV RNA level was quantified using the COBAS AMPLICOR HCV MONITOR test, version 2.0 (detection range 6–5000 kIU/mL; Roche Diagnostics, Branchburg, NJ, USA) and qualitatively analysed using the COBAS AMPLICOR HCV test, version 2.0 (lower limit of detection 50 IU/mL). The c-EVR was defined as the absence of detectable serum HCV RNA at treatment week 12, and SVR was defined as the absence of detectable serum HCV RNA at week 72. Patients with less than a 2-log decrease in HCV RNA level at treatment week 12 compared with the baseline had to stop treatment and were regarded as nonresponders. All patients with detectable serum HCV RNA at treatment week 24 were also considered nonresponders and excluded from further treatment.

Assessment of drug exposure

The amounts of Peg-IFN α -2b and ribavirin actually taken by each patient during the first 12 weeks of the treatment were evaluated by reviewing the medical records. The mean doses of both drugs were calculated individually as averages on the basis of body weight at baseline: Peg-IFN α -2b expressed as μ g/kg/week, and ribavirin expressed as mg/kg/day.

Evaluation of impact of drug exposure on c-EVR

We evaluated the relationship between the drug exposure of both drugs and c-EVR by univariate and multivariate analysis for c-EVR, using the factors of mean administration doses of both drugs during the first 12 weeks and the factors at baseline. Furthermore, Peg-IFN α -2b dose (average dose per body weight and per week) was classified into five categories (up to 0.6 μ g/kg; from 0.6 to <0.9 μ g/kg; from 0.9 to <1.2 μ g/kg; from 1.2 to <1.5 μ g/kg; from 1.5 μ g/kg and above). Ribavirin exposure was classified into four categories (up to 8 mg/kg; from 8 to <10 mg/kg; from 10 to <12 mg/kg; from 12 mg/kg and above), in order to examine the impact of Peg-IFN dose exposure on c-EVR. This impact was also evaluated based on the percentage of the total prescribed dose and compared with that based on the mean dose per body weight.

Statistical analysis

Baseline data for various demographic, biochemical and virologic characteristics of the patients are expressed as mean \pm SD or median values. To analyse the relationship between baseline data including drug exposure and c-EVR, univariate analysis using the Mann–Whitney *U*-test or chi-squared test and multivariate analysis using logistic regression analysis were performed. The significance of trends in values was determined with the Mantel–Haenszel chi-square test. A two-tailed *P*-value < 0.05 was considered significant. Statistical analysis was conducted with SPSS version 15.0J (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Progress of patients treated with Peg-IFN α -2b and ribavirin

Of the 984 patients, 81 discontinued treatment because of adverse events ($n = 74$) or voluntary withdrawal ($n = 7$) by treatment week 12. The 903 patients who completed 12 weeks of treatment were assessed for c-EVR. During 12–48 weeks of treatment, 331 of the nonresponders and nine of breakthrough discontinued treatment, as did 91 patients (adverse events, $n = 71$; voluntary withdrawal, $n = 20$). A total of 472 patients completed 48 weeks of treatment.

Drug reduction and virologic response

Peg-IFN α -2b was reduced without discontinuation in 29% ($n = 266$) and ribavirin was reduced without discontinuation in 40% ($n = 359$) of the 903 patients who completed 12 weeks of treatment. The c-EVR rate was 49% (445/903) and HCV RNA was negative at week 24 in 60% (542/903) of patients who completed 12 weeks of treatment. Of the 445 patients with c-EVR, 327 patients achieved SVR (73%). Only 7% of the 458 patients without c-EVR did so.

Impact of dose exposure of Peg-IFN α -2b and ribavirin on c-EVR

The mean dose of Peg-IFN α -2b actually taken during the first 12 weeks by each patient was 1.33 μ g/kg/week (range 0.41–2.16 μ g/kg/week; median 1.40 μ g/kg/week) and that of ribavirin was 10.4 mg/kg/day (range 2.9–16.2 mg/kg/day; median 10.6 mg/kg/day).

The mean doses of both drugs and the factors at baseline correlated with the c-EVR were assessed by univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses. Univariate analysis showed that factors significantly associated with c-EVR were age, sex, WBC, neutrophils, red blood cells, Hb, Plt, aspartate aminotransferase, the degree of liver fibrosis and the mean doses of Peg-IFN α -2b and ribavirin during the first 12 weeks (Table 2). The factors selected as significant by the univari-

Table 2 Univariate analysis for c-EVR among patients who completed 12 weeks treatment

Factor	c-EVR (+)	c-EVR (-)	P-value
<i>n</i>	445	458	
Age (year)	54.4 ± 10.4	57.5 ± 9.6	<0.001
Sex: male/female	267/178	237/221	0.01
Serum HCV RNA (kIU/mL)*	1500	1600	0.28
White blood cells (per mm ³)	5336 ± 1536	4818 ± 1547	<0.001
Neutrophils (per mm ³)	2789 ± 1133	2398 ± 1038	<0.001
Red blood cells (×10 ⁴ /mm ³)	450 ± 46	435 ± 49	<0.001
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	14.3 ± 1.4	13.9 ± 1.4	<0.001
Platelets (×10 ⁴ /mm ³)	17.3 ± 5.2	15.0 ± 5.6	<0.001
AST (IU/L)	62 ± 44	69 ± 44	<0.001
ALT (IU/L)	77 ± 64	80 ± 57	0.07
Histology (METAVIR) [†]			
Fibrosis: 0–2/3–4	273/37	247/74	<0.001
Activity: 0–1/2–3	171/139	159/162	0.16
Peg-IFN dose (µg/kg/week) [‡]	1.39 ± 0.22	1.28 ± 0.30	<0.001
Ribavirin dose (mg/kg/day) [‡]	10.6 ± 1.7	10.1 ± 2.1	0.002

c-EVR, complete early virologic response; HCV, hepatitis C virus; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; Peg-IFN, pegylated interferon. *Data shown are median values. [†]272 missing. [‡]Mean doses during 0–12 weeks.

Table 3 Multivariate analysis for c-EVR among patients who completed 12 weeks treatment

Factor	Category	Odds ratio	95% CI	P-value
Age	by 1 year	0.982	0.966–0.999	0.04
Sex	male/female	–	–	NS
Neutrophils	by 100/mm ³	1.017	1.002–1.033	0.03
Red blood cells	by 1 × 10 ⁴ /mm ³	–	–	NS
Haemoglobin	by 1 g/dL	–	–	NS
Platelets	by 1 × 10 ⁴ /mm ³	1.051	1.014–1.088	<0.01
AST	by 1 IU/L	–	–	NS
Fibrosis*	0–2/3–4	–	–	NS
Peg-IFN dose [†]	by 0.1 µg/kg/week	1.079	1.011–1.151	0.02
Ribavirin dose [†]	by 1 mg/kg/day	–	–	NS

95% CI, 95% confidence interval; Peg-IFN, c-EVR, complete early virologic response; pegylated interferon; N.S., No Significant difference; AST, aspartate aminotransferase.

*METAVIR fibrosis score. [†]Mean doses during 0–12 weeks.

ate analysis were evaluated by multivariate logistic regression analysis. The mean dose of Peg-IFN α -2b during the first 12 weeks was the independent factor for c-EVR ($P = 0.02$), apart from the neutrophils ($P = 0.03$) and Plt value at baseline ($P < 0.01$) and age ($P = 0.04$) (Table 3). In contrast, the mean dose of ribavirin during the first 12 weeks showed no correlation with c-EVR.

The c-EVR rates were 54% (137/253) and 56% (246/443) for patients who received ≥ 1.5 and 1.2–1.5 µg/kg/week of Peg-IFN α -2b on average during the first 12 weeks, and declined to an average rate of 38% (40/105) in patients given 0.9–1.2 µg/kg/week of Peg-IFN α -2b, and an average rate of 22% (22/102) in patients given < 0.9 µg/kg/week ($P < 0.0001$) (Table 4). The c-EVR rate among the patients

with ≥ 1.2 µg/kg/week of Peg-IFN α -2b was significantly higher than that of the patients with < 1.2 µg/kg/week [≥ 1.2 µg/kg/week, 55% (383/696) vs < 1.2 µg/kg/week, 30% (62/207), $P < 0.0001$].

Next, we analysed the impact of Peg-IFN α -2b on c-EVR in stratified analysis according to ribavirin dose. Figure 1 shows the relationship of c-EVR and the degree of Peg-IFN α -2b exposure for two groups of ribavirin doses: the group with ≥ 10.6 mg/kg/day of ribavirin and that with < 10.6 mg/kg/day (10.6 mg/kg/day was the median value). In either group, the mean dose of Peg-IFN α -2b was dose-dependently correlated with c-EVR ($P < 0.0001$), and c-EVR rates were very similar in both groups if the dose categories of Peg-IFN α -2b were the same.

Table 4 The c-EVR rate according to Peg-IFN and ribavirin doses during weeks 0–12 for patients who completed 12 weeks treatment

Ribavirin dose (mg/kg/day)**	Peg-IFN α -2b dose (μ g/kg/week),*				Total
	≥ 1.5	1.2–1.5	0.9–1.2	<0.9	
≥ 12	57% (60/105)	61% (22/36)	38% (6/16)	22% (2/9)	54% (90/166)
10–12	54% (46/85)	58% (154/267)	36% (14/39)	23% (11/47)	51% (225/438)
8–10	50% (25/50)	53% (52/99)	52% (15/29)	18% (4/22)	48% (96/200)
<8	46% (6/13)	44% (18/41)	24% (5/21)	21% (5/24)	34% (34/99)
Total	54% (137/253)	56% (246/443)	38% (40/105)	22% (22/102)	49% (445/903)

c-EVR, complete early virologic response; Peg-IFN, pegylated interferon.

* $P < 0.0001$ for comparison of the four Peg-IFN groups. ** $P = 0.05$ for comparison of the four ribavirin groups.




Fig. 1 Complete-EVR rate according to pegylated interferon alpha-2b (Peg-IFN α -2b) and ribavirin doses during weeks 0–12 for patients who completed 12 weeks of treatment. (— \blacktriangle) Group with the mean ribavirin dose <10.6 mg/kg/day. (--- \blacksquare) Group with the mean ribavirin dose ≥ 10.6 mg/kg/day. The Peg-IFN α -2b dose was dose-dependently correlated with c-EVR in both groups ($P < 0.0001$). There was no significant difference between the two ribavirin-dose groups ($P = 0.19$).

c-EVR rates according to Peg-IFN α -2b drug exposure using a percentage cut off and mean dose cut off

Table 5 shows the c-EVR rates according to the category of Peg-IFN α -2b doses during the first 12 weeks based on the

percentage of the total prescribed dose and the mean doses. The whole c-EVR rate was 54% (377/698) for patients who received more than 80% of the prescribed dose, and 43% (47/109) in patients given 60–80% of the prescribed dose, and 21% (21/96) in patients given <60% of the prescribed dose of Peg-IFN α -2b. Among patients given $\geq 80\%$ of the prescribed dose of Peg-IFN α -2b, the c-EVR rate was significantly lower in patients given <1.2 μ g/kg/week of Peg-IFN α -2b than those given ≥ 1.2 μ g/kg/week (32% vs 55%, $P < 0.05$). On the other hand, even in patients given 60–80% of the prescribed dose of Peg-IFN α -2b, if they were given ≥ 1.2 μ g/kg/week of Peg-IFN α -2b, a higher c-EVR rate was attained in comparison with those given <1.2 μ g/kg/week (71% vs 38%, $P = 0.01$); the c-EVR rate in patients given 60–80% of the prescribed dose and ≥ 1.2 μ g/kg/week of Peg-IFN α -2b was not inferior to that in patients given $\geq 80\%$ of the prescribed dose and ≥ 1.2 μ g/kg/week of Peg-IFN α -2b.

Impact of dose exposure of Peg-IFN α -2b during the first 12 weeks of the treatment on HCV RNA negativity at week 24 and SVR

Patients positive for HCV RNA at week 24 week during Peg-IFN α -2b and ribavirin treatment were regarded as non-responders and stopped treatment [11]. We analysed the

Table 5 The c-EVR rate according to Peg-IFN dose during weeks 0–12 based on the percentage of the planned dose and the mean doses

Peg-IFN α -2b dose (μ g/kg/week)	$\geq 80\%$	60–80%	<60%	Total
≥ 1.2	55%* (371/679)	71%** (12/17)	–	55% (383/696)
<1.2	32% (6/19)	38% (35/92)	22% (21/96)	30% (62/207)
Total	54% (377/698)	43% (47/109)	21% (21/96)	49% (445/903)

c-EVR, complete early virologic response; Peg-IFN, pegylated interferon.

* $P < 0.05$; patients with ≥ 1.2 μ g/kg/week vs <1.2 μ g/kg/week among the patients with more than 80% of the total prescribed dose of Peg-IFN α -2b. ** $P = 0.01$; patients with ≥ 1.2 μ g/kg/week vs <1.2 μ g/kg/week among the patients with more than 60–80% of the total prescribed dose of Peg-IFN α -2b.

relationship between the dose exposure to Peg-IFN α -2b during the first 12 weeks and HCV RNA negative rates at week 24 or SVR in 903 patients completing 12 weeks of treatment. As a result, HCV RNA negative rates at week 24 and SVR rates declined according to the decrease in the dose of Peg-IFN α -2b during the 12 weeks of treatment; patients given ≥ 1.5 , 1.2–1.5, 0.9–1.2 and < 0.9 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{week}$ of Peg-IFN α -2b during the first 12 weeks of the treatment showed HCV RNA negativity of 63%, 66%, 48% and 39%, respectively ($P < 0.0001$), and SVR of 46%, 43%, 30% and 20%, respectively ($P < 0.0001$).

DISCUSSION

Adherence to ribavirin was reported to be the important factor for EVR as well as that to Peg-IFN in most previous studies [2,11,12]. However, the drug exposure of Peg-IFN α -2b and ribavirin had not been analysed independently with respect to their individual influence on the anti-viral effect in these studies. Adherence to both drugs may be related factors, i.e. most patients who can tolerate a high dose of Peg-IFN are in good condition and thus can also receive a high dose of ribavirin. In the present study, the impact of the dose of Peg-IFN α -2b and ribavirin on the anti-viral effect was evaluated by multivariate logistic regression analysis, using the mean administration doses of both drugs during the first 12 weeks and baseline factors. As a result, the dose exposure of Peg-IFN α -2b was found to be the significant factor affecting c-EVR as well as baseline factors such as age, neutrophils and Plt values, but not ribavirin. This suggests that the c-EVR rate can be raised by maintaining the dose of Peg-IFN α -2b during the first 12 weeks in patients with disadvantageous factors at baseline. In fact, the c-EVR rate was higher in those who received ≥ 1.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ of Peg-IFN α -2b than in those given < 1.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ of Peg-IFN α -2b for aged patients over 60 years of age (≥ 1.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$; 46% vs < 1.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$; 28%, $P < 0.01$) or for patients with a low Plt value ($< 12 \times 10^4/\text{mm}^3$) (≥ 1.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$; 45% vs < 1.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$; 22%, $P < 0.001$). Therefore, a marked dose reduction of Peg-IFN α -2b should not be risked at the start even for aged patients or patients with lower Plt value, which is indicative of advanced fibrosis. The administration of ≥ 1.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{week}$ of Peg-IFN α -2b is desirable as a starting dose for achieving c-EVR even in these patients: that of < 1.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{week}$ can lead to a non-viral response or a late viral response. Independent evaluation of the c-EVR rate according to the degree of the ribavirin dose showed a stepwise decline as the total cumulative dose of Peg-IFN α -2b decreased. Therefore, the dose of Peg-IFN α -2b should be maintained as high as possible even in patients who have to reduce Peg-IFN α -2b to < 1.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{week}$. Using G-CSF for patients who develop severe neutropenia and are forced to decrease Peg-IFN can be beneficial, especially in the first 12 weeks.

The goal of 80% of the planned drug dosage for 80% of the assigned duration was derived from an adherence criterion

that had been adopted previously for assessment of the efficacy of other pharmaceutical agents, such as drugs to treat cancer and human immunodeficiency virus [16]. However, in Peg-IFN plus ribavirin therapy for patients with CH-C, the planned administration dose [17,18] differs on a body weight basis by 27% for Peg-IFN α -2b and 40% for ribavirin among patients of 50–100 kg of body weight, which would be equivalent to the same rate differences for 80% of the planned drug dosage. In detail, the target dose of Peg-IFN α -2b scheduled to be administered is 1.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, but the usual dose for the individual patient is from 1.28 to 1.76 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{week}$ based on body weight among patients weighing 50–100 kg according to the practice guidelines of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the manufacturer's drug information in the USA and Europe [17,18]. The range of ribavirin dose per kg of body weight is from 12 to 20 mg/kg/day. Therefore, in this study, the drug exposure was assessed from the average dose per kg of body weight.

In the evaluation of c-EVR rates according to Peg-IFN α -2b drug exposure using a percentage cut off and mean dose cut off in this study, the c-EVR rate of patients given < 1.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{week}$ of Peg-IFN α -2b was low (32%) even in those who received $\geq 80\%$ of the total planned doses of Peg-IFN α -2b. If given ≥ 1.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{week}$ of Peg-IFN α -2b, the c-EVR rate (71%) in patients who received 60–80% of the total doses was not inferior to that in patients given $\geq 80\%$ of the total dose of Peg-IFN α -2b (54%). This means that patients whose starting dose of Peg-IFN α -2b is < 1.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{week}$ should not have their dosage reduced to 80% of the planned dose (< 1.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{week}$) in order to have a higher probability of c-EVR, while those given ≥ 1.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{week}$ of Peg-IFN α -2b at the start can have their dosage reduced to 80% (≥ 1.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{week}$) without lowering the c-EVR rate. Thus, the drug dose on a body weight basis itself should be examined as an index of the drug exposure in order to evaluate the anti-viral effect of both drugs accurately for patients with CH-C.

As for the impact of the drug exposure to ribavirin on c-EVR, the drug dose of ribavirin during the first 12 weeks was shown to have no relationship with the c-EVR rate, although it was precisely evaluated in this study, using doses actually taken on body weight. However, ribavirin can be more effective for decreasing the viral relapse after interferon or Peg-IFN α -2b and ribavirin combination therapy in patients with CH-C genotype 1 [2,3,19–24]. Recently, Shiffman *et al.* [15] have reported that a higher starting dose of ribavirin (1000–1600 mg/day) plus a regular dose of Peg-IFN α -2b with epoetin was associated with a lower relapse rate in treatment with CH-C genotype 1. Considering the viral relapse after treatment, it is thought that the ribavirin dose should not be reduced quickly in patients with mild side effects, even though it does not affect c-EVR. In fact, among the patients who attained c-EVR, a higher rate of viral relapse was found in the patients given < 10 mg/kg/day of the mean ribavirin dose during 48 weeks in comparison

with those given ≥ 10 mg/kg/day of the mean ribavirin dose in this study [26.9% (49/182) vs 12.4% (26/209), $P < 0.001$] (data not shown). It seems possible to start ribavirin at a lower dose and increase it by degrees with monitoring of Hb level during treatment of patients with mild anaemia or ischemic heart disease, because the ribavirin dose appears to affect the viral relapse as the total dose over 48 weeks, not during the first 12 weeks.

In conclusion, our results have demonstrated that Peg-IFN α -2b is dose-dependently correlated with c-EVR and maintaining as high a drug dose of Peg-IFN α -2b as possible (≥ 1.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{week}$) during the first 12 weeks can yield higher c-EVR rates, leading to better treatment outcomes for patients with CH-C genotype 1.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND DISCLOSURES

Other institutions and participants in the Osaka Liver Forum are: K Katayama, Osaka Koseinenkin Hospital; H Fukui, Yao Municipal Hospital; Y Doi, Otemae Hospital; A Kaneko, NTT West Osaka Hospital; T Kashihara, Itami City Hospital; K Kiriya, Ashiya Municipal Hospital; T Nagase, Suita Municipal Hospital; M Inada, Toyonaka Municipal Hospital; K Fujimoto, National Hospital Organization Minami Wakayama Medical Center; K Suzuki, Saiseikai Senri Hospital; H Ogawa, Nishinomiya Municipal Central Hospital; S Kubota, Kano General Hospital; M Nishiuchi, Saso Hospital; and N Imaizumi, Osaka Kaisei Hospital.

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Research on Hepatitis and BSE from Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare of Japan, and Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture of Japan.

REFERENCES

- Hayashi N, Takehara T. Antiviral therapy for chronic hepatitis C: past, present, and future. *J Gastroenterol* 2006; 41: 17–27.
- Manns MP, McHutchison JG, Gordon SC *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin compared with interferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin for initial treatment of chronic hepatitis C: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2001; 358: 958–965.
- Fried MW, Shiffman ML, Reddy KR *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2a plus ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 2002; 347: 975–982.
- Hadziyannis SJ, Sette H Jr, Morgan TR *et al.* Peginterferon-alpha2a and ribavirin combination therapy in chronic hepatitis C: a randomized study of treatment duration and ribavirin dose. *Ann Intern Med* 2004; 140: 346–355.
- Zeuzem S, Hultcrantz R, Bourliere M *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin for treatment of chronic hepatitis C in previously untreated patients infected with HCV genotypes 2 or 3. *J Hepatol* 2004; 40: 993–999.
- Hiramatsu N, Hayashi N, Kasahara A *et al.* Improvement of liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C patients treated with natural interferon alpha. *J Hepatol* 1995; 22: 135–142.
- Kasahara A, Hayashi N, Mochizuki K *et al.* Risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma and its incidence after interferon treatment in patients with chronic hepatitis C. Osaka Liver Disease Study Group. *Hepatology* 1998; 27: 1394–1402.
- Ikeda K, Saitoh S, Arase Y *et al.* Effect of interferon therapy on hepatocellular carcinogenesis in patients with chronic hepatitis type C: a long-term observation study of 1,643 patients using statistical bias correction with proportional hazard analysis. *Hepatology* 1999; 29: 1124–1130.
- Kasahara A, Tanaka H, Okanoue T *et al.* Interferon treatment improves survival in chronic hepatitis C patients showing biochemical as well as virological responses by preventing liver-related death. *J Viral Hepatitis* 2004; 11: 148–156.
- Imai Y, Kasahara A, Tanaka H *et al.* Interferon therapy for aged patients with chronic hepatitis C: improved survival in patients exhibiting a biochemical response. *J Gastroenterol* 2004; 39: 1069–1077.
- Davis GL, Wong JB, McHutchison JG, Manns MP, Harvey J, Albrecht J. Early virologic response to treatment with peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2003; 38: 645–652.
- McHutchison JG, Manns M, Patel K *et al.* Adherence to combination therapy enhances sustained response in genotype-1-infected patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology* 2002; 123: 1061–1069.
- Shiffman ML, Ghany MG, Morgan TR *et al.* Impact of reducing peginterferon alfa-2a and ribavirin dose during retreatment in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology* 2007; 132: 103–112.
- Reddy KR, Shiffman ML, Morgan TR *et al.* Impact of ribavirin dose reductions in hepatitis C virus genotype 1 patients completing peginterferon alfa-2a/ribavirin treatment. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007; 5: 124–129.
- Shiffman ML, Salvatore J, Hubbard S *et al.* Treatment of chronic hepatitis C virus genotype 1 with peginterferon, ribavirin, and epoetin alpha. *Hepatology* 2007; 46: 371–379.
- Paterson DL, Swindells S, Mohr J *et al.* Adherence to protease inhibitor therapy and outcomes in patients with HIV infection. *Ann Intern Med* 2000; 133: 21–30.
- Strader DB, Wright T, Thomas DL, Seeff LB. Diagnosis, management, and treatment of hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2004; 39: 1147–1171.
- Dienstag JL, McHutchison JG. American Gastroenterological Association medical position statement on the management of hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology* 2006; 130: 225–230.
- Poynard T, Marcellin P, Lee SS *et al.* Randomised trial of interferon alpha2b plus ribavirin for 48 weeks or for 24 weeks versus interferon alpha2b plus placebo for 48 weeks for treatment of chronic infection with hepatitis C virus. International Hepatitis Interventional Therapy Group (IHIT). *Lancet* 1998; 352: 1426–1432.
- McHutchison JG, Gordon SC, Schiff ER *et al.* Interferon alfa-2b alone or in combination with ribavirin as initial treatment for chronic hepatitis C. Hepatitis Interventional Therapy Group. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 339: 1485–1492.
- Davis GL, Esteban-MurR, Rustgi V *et al.* Interferon alfa-2b alone or in combination with ribavirin for the treatment of relapse of chronic hepatitis C. International Hepatitis Interventional Therapy Group. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 339: 1493–1499.

- 22 Hiramatsu N, Kasahara A, Nakanishi F *et al.* The significance of interferon and ribavirin combination therapy followed by interferon monotherapy for patients with chronic hepatitis C in Japan. *Hepatol Res* 2004; 29: 142–147.
- 23 Bronowicki JP, Ouzan D, Asselah T *et al.* Effect of ribavirin in genotype 1 patients with hepatitis C responding to pegylated interferon alfa-2a plus ribavirin. *Gastroenterology* 2006; 131: 1040–1048.
- 24 Hiramatsu N, Oze T, Yakushijin T, *et al.* Ribavirin dose reduction raises relapse rate dose-dependently in genotype 1 patients with hepatitis C responding to pegylated interferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin. *J Viral Hepat* 2009; In press.

Factors contributing to antiviral effect of adefovir dipivoxil therapy added to ongoing lamivudine treatment in patients with lamivudine-resistant chronic hepatitis B

Nao Kurashige · Naoki Hiramatsu · Kazuyoshi Ohkawa · Takayuki Yakushijin · Shinichi Kiso · Tatsuya Kanto · Tetsuo Takehara · Akinori Kasahara · Yoshinori Doi · Akira Yamada · Masahide Oshita · Eiji Mita · Hideki Hagiwara · Toshihiko Nagase · Harumasa Yoshihara · Eijiro Hayashi · Yasuharu Imai · Michio Kato · Takeshi Kashihara · Norio Hayashi

Received: 25 November 2008 / Accepted: 9 January 2009 / Published online: 22 April 2009
© Springer 2009

Abstract

Purpose The antiviral effect of adefovir dipivoxil (ADV) added to ongoing lamivudine (LAM) treatment for LAM-resistant chronic hepatitis B (CHB) differs among patients. We investigated clinical factors affecting the response to ADV therapy in LAM-resistant CHB.

Methods The subjects were 75 LAM-resistant CHB patients treated with ADV in addition to LAM. Virological response (VR) was defined as HBV DNA clearance (<2.6 logcopies/ml) at 12 months after the start of ADV therapy. Clinical factors contributing to VR were examined by univariate and multivariate analyses.

Results Lower HBV DNA at baseline and negative hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) were significant factors affecting VR in univariate analysis. In multivariate analysis, lower HBV DNA at baseline ($P = 0.005$), negative HBeAg ($P = 0.009$), and higher ALT ($P = 0.036$) were significant independent factors contributing to VR. In HBeAg-positive patients, HBV DNA clearance was more frequently observed during ADV therapy in patients with baseline HBV DNA ≤ 7.0 logcopies/ml than in those with baseline HBV DNA > 7.0 logcopies/ml. By contrast, the link of lower HBV DNA at baseline to better therapeutic response was not evident in HBeAg-negative patients.

N. Kurashige · N. Hiramatsu (✉) · K. Ohkawa · T. Yakushijin · S. Kiso · T. Kanto · T. Takehara · A. Kasahara · N. Hayashi
Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology,
Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine,
2-2 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan
e-mail: hiramatsu@gh.med.osaka-u.ac.jp

Y. Doi
Department of Gastroenterology, Otemae Hospital, Osaka, Japan

A. Yamada
Department of Gastroenterology, Sumitomo Hospital,
Osaka, Japan

M. Oshita
Department of Internal Medicine, Osaka Police Hospital,
Osaka, Japan

E. Mita
Department of Gastroenterology, National Hospital Organization
Osaka National Hospital, Osaka, Japan

H. Hagiwara
Department of Gastroenterology, Higashiosaka City General
Hospital, Higashiosaka, Japan

T. Nagase
Department of Internal Medicine, Suita Municipal Hospital,
Suita, Japan

H. Yoshihara
Department of Gastroenterology, Osaka Rousai Hospital,
Sakai, Japan

E. Hayashi
Department of Gastroenterology, Kinki Central Hospital,
Itami, Japan

Y. Imai
Department of Gastroenterology, Ikeda Municipal Hospital,
Ikeda, Japan

M. Kato
Department of Gastroenterology, National Hospital Organization
Minamiwakayama Medical Center, Tanabe, Japan

T. Kashihara
Department of Gastroenterology, Itami City Hospital,
Itami, Japan

Conclusion In ADV therapy added to ongoing LAM treatment for LAM-resistant CHB, lower baseline HBV DNA and negative HBeAg contributed to a better antiviral effect. Addition of ADV should be done promptly before marked increase in HBV DNA, especially in CHB patients showing LAM resistance positive for HBeAg.

Keywords Adefovir dipivoxil · Lamivudine resistance · Chronic hepatitis B

Introduction

More than 350 million people worldwide are chronically infected with hepatitis B virus (HBV) [1]. Chronic HBV infection can cause liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), resulting in hepatic disease-related deaths of 500,000 to 1.2 million persons [2, 3]. To prevent disease progression and improve the prognosis of patients with chronic HBV infection, HBV DNA replication must be continuously suppressed as much as possible by antiviral therapy. For this purpose, nucleos(t)ide analogs are currently used for a wide range of patients with chronic HBV infection because of their strong antiviral activities and fewer side effects.

Lamivudine (LAM) is the first approved nucleos(t)ide analog for chronic hepatitis B (CHB) patients, but the increasing incidence of LAM resistance during long-term LAM therapy is a serious problem. The emergence rate of the LAM-resistant virus has been reported to be 24% at 1 year and 70% at 4 years of treatment [4]. Almost all LAM resistance is caused by rtM204V/I mutation occurring in the reverse transcriptase domain of the HBV polymerase gene [5].

To counteract this resistance, adefovir dipivoxil (ADV) was considered as it exerts antiviral effects not only on nucleos(t)ide analog-naïve CHB patients but also on LAM-resistant ones [6–9]. ADV-resistant mutation has been reported to be detected in 11% of patients at 3 years and 29% at 5 years for nucleos(t)ide analog-naïve CHB patients [10]. ADV resistance results from rtA181V/T and/or rtN236T mutation [10]. Either switching from LAM to ADV or adding ADV to LAM has been shown to be effective for LAM-resistant CHB patients. In the case of switching from LAM to ADV, ADV resistance has been reported to appear in 18% of patients at 1 year, which is more frequent than in the case of ADV monotherapy for nucleos(t)ide analog-naïve patients [11]. On the other hand, in the case of ADV administration in addition to LAM, the emergence of resistant virus for both LAM and ADV has been reported to be rare for at least 3 years of treatment [12]. Therefore, ADV therapy added to ongoing LAM treatment is currently accepted as the main therapeutic

regimen for LAM-resistant CHB patients rather than a switch from LAM to ADV. However, the antiviral effect of ADV therapy in addition to LAM treatment differs among patients with LAM-resistant CHB.

In this study, we investigated clinical factors influencing the therapeutic efficacy of ADV therapy added to ongoing LAM treatment in LAM-resistant CHB patients.

Patients and methods

Patients

The participating centers were 12 institutions in the Osaka area of Japan (Otemae Hospital, Sumitomo Hospital, Osaka Police Hospital, NTT Nishinohon Osaka Hospital, Higashiosaka City General Hospital, Suita Municipal Hospital, Osaka Rousai Hospital, Kinki Central Hospital, Ikeda Municipal Hospital, National Hospital Organization Osaka National Hospital, Itami City Hospital, and Osaka University Hospital). The subjects were 75 consecutive CHB patients showing LAM resistance. Before the preceding LAM therapy, they all had had hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) for more than 6 months and levels of HBV DNA detectable by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method [13]. None of them tested positive for hepatitis C virus antibody or human immunodeficiency virus antibody, nor was there evidence of other forms of liver diseases, such as alcoholic liver disease, drug-induced liver disease, or autoimmune hepatitis.

Anti-HBV treatment

All patients were administered 100 mg of LAM daily. Thirteen (17%) patients had had a history of interferon (IFN) therapy. LAM resistance was judged by detection of rtM204V/I mutation (for 37 patients) or by the existence of virological breakthrough (for 38 patients). Virological breakthrough was defined as the reappearance of detectable HBV DNA of more than 1 log increase in HBV DNA from the nadir on repeated occasions. The median duration of the preceding LAM therapy was 38 (range, 11–83) months. After the emergence of LAM resistance, all patients received 10 mg of ADV daily in addition to ongoing LAM therapy. After the commencement of ADV therapy, liver function and HBV DNA tests were conducted monthly for the first 6 months and every 2 months thereafter. Hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) and antibody to HBeAg (anti-HBe) were checked every 2 months. The median follow-up duration of ADV therapy was 22 (range 12–51) months. HBV DNA clearance (<2.6 logcopies/ml) at 12 months after the beginning of ADV therapy was defined as a virological response (VR).

Baseline characteristics of the patients

The baseline characteristics of the patients at the commencement of ADV therapy were as follows. They were 59 males and 16 females, with a median age of 54 (range 27–79) years. Forty-one (55%) tested positive for HBeAg, and anti-HBe developed in 34 patients. The virus was genotyped for 13 patients, all of whom were infected with HBV of genotype C. The HBV DNA ranged from 3.1 to >7.6 (median 7.1) logcopies/ml, and the median ALT level ranged from 15 to 500 (median 105) IU/L. The median levels of total bilirubin and albumin were 0.8 (range 0.4–3.9) mg/dl and 3.9 (range 2.1–4.8) g/dl, respectively. The median platelet counts were 11.7 (range 3.5–25.5) $\times 10^4/\text{mm}^3$. Of the 75 patients, 27 (36%) showed features of cirrhosis by liver biopsy and/or imaging procedures. Five patients (7%) developed HCC as detected by imaging modalities.

HBV testings

HBsAg, HBeAg, and anti-HBe were examined by chemiluminescent immunoassay. HBV DNA was measured by the PCR-based method (Amplicor HBV monitor, Roche Diagnostics, Tokyo, Japan) [13], with a lower detection limit of 2.6 logcopies/ml. The LAM-resistant rtM204V/I mutation was examined by PCR-enzyme-linked minisequence assay [14]. HBV genotype was determined based on PCR-direct sequencing of portions of core and polymerase genes. The primers used for this study were BF1s (5'-TTT TTC ACC TCT GCC TAA TCA-3', nt 1821–1841), BR3 (5'-TTC CCG AGA TTG AGA TCT TC-3', nt 2440–2421), BF6 (5'-CCT CCA ATT TGT CCT GGC TA-3', nt 350–369), and BR8 (5'-TTG CGT CAG CAA ACA CTT GG-3', nt 1195–1176) [15, 16].

Statistical analysis

Group comparisons were carried out by the chi-square test, Student's *t* test and Mann–Whitney's *U* test. Independent

factors contributing to VR during ADV therapy added to ongoing LAM treatment were estimated using multivariate multiple logistic regression analysis in combination with stepwise regression analysis. A *P*-value of less than 0.05 (two-tailed) was considered to indicate a significant difference. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS version 15.0J software (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

Results

Virological and biochemical response to ADV therapy added to ongoing LAM in CHB patients showing LAM resistance

Of the 75 CHB patients showing LAM resistance who underwent ADV therapy added to ongoing LAM treatment, HBV DNA clearance was achieved in 29 (39%) of 75 at 6 months, 35 (47%) of 75 at 12 months, and 34 (72%) of 47 at 24 months. Among the HBeAg-positive patients, HBeAg loss was observed in 8 (20%) of 41 at 6 months, 7 (18%) of 39 at 12 months, and 6 (22%) of 27 at 24 months. As for the biochemical response, ALT normalization (≤ 40 IU/l) was seen in 57 (76%) of 75 at 6 months, 56 (75%) of 75 at 12 months, and 40 (85%) of 47 at 24 months of treatment.

Pretreatment clinical factors associated with therapeutic response to ADV in addition to LAM treatment

We first investigated pretreatment clinical factors associated with the therapeutic efficacy of ADV added to ongoing LAM treatment by univariate analysis. The baseline characteristics of patients at the beginning of ADV therapy in addition to LAM in the presence or absence of VR are shown in Table 1. Patients showing VR had significantly lower HBV DNA at baseline than patients who did not achieve VR [median 6.3 (range 3.1 to >7.6) vs. 7.3

Table 1 Patient clinical characteristics at the beginning of ADV therapy in addition to LAM in LAM-resistant CHB patients in the presence or absence of virological response (VR)

Clinical characteristics	VR (<i>n</i> = 35)	Non-VR (<i>n</i> = 40)	<i>P</i> value
Gender (male/female)	26/9	33/7	0.386
Age (years)	52 (28–67)	55 (27–79)	0.896
Duration of prior LAM therapy (months)	38 (12–83)	37 (13–64)	0.856
Positive HBeAg	12 (34%)	29 (73%)	0.001
HBV DNA (logcopies/ml)	6.3 (3.1 to >7.6)	7.3 (3.9 to >7.6)	0.002
ALT (IU/l)	106 (16–500)	75 (15–455)	0.136
Total bilirubin (mg/dl)	0.9 (0.4–3.9)	0.7 (0.4–3.9)	0.664
Albumin (g/dl)	4.0 (2.4–4.8)	3.8 (2.1–4.6)	0.351
Platelet count ($\times 10^4/\text{mm}^3$)	12.2 (4.8–24.1)	11.5 (3.5–25.5)	0.854
Liver disease (chronic hepatitis/cirrhosis)	20/15	28/12	0.247
Presence of HCC (%)	2 (6%)	3 (8%)	0.757

Continuous variables are expressed as median (range)

Table 2 Baseline factors affecting virological response (logistic regression analysis, stepwise method)

Factors	Category	Odds ratio	95% CI	P
Gender	Male/female			NS
Age (years)	By 1 year			NS
Duration of prior LAM therapy (months)	By 1 month			NS
HBeAg	Negative/positive	5.766	1.855–36.62	0.009
HBV DNA (logcopies/ml)	By 1 logcopy/ml	2.362	1.335–5.178	0.005
ALT (IU/l)	By 1 IU/l	1.006	1.000–1.011	0.036
Total bilirubin (mg/dl)	By 1 mg/dl			NS
Albumin (g/dl)	By 1 g/dl			NS
Platelet count ($\times 10^4/\text{mm}^3$)	By $1 \times 10^4/\text{mm}^3$			NS
Liver disease	Chronic hepatitis/cirrhosis			NS
Presence of HCC (%)	No/yes			NS

CI Confidence interval, NS not significant

(range 3.9 to >7.6), $P = 0.002$]. HBeAg was detected in only 12 (34%) of 35 patients with VR, compared with 29 (73%) of 40 patients without VR ($P = 0.001$). Gender ratio, age, duration of preceding LAM therapy, ALT, total bilirubin, albumin, platelet counts, disease severity, and presence of HCC did not differ between VR and non-VR patients.

Factors affecting the therapeutic response to ADV therapy in addition to ongoing LAM were also evaluated by multivariate analysis (Table 2). Eleven pretreatment clinical factors were applied to the analysis as variables. Two factors, lower baseline HBV DNA ($P = 0.005$, odds ratio: 2.362, 95% confidence interval: 1.335–5.178) and negative HBeAg ($P = 0.009$, odds ratio: 5.766, 95% confidence interval: 1.855–36.62), were selected as significant independent factors affecting VR, as was the case for univariate analysis. In addition, higher baseline ALT was also chosen as a significant independent factor ($P = 0.036$, odds ratio 1.006, 95% confidence interval: 1.000–1.011). As for the biochemical response to ADV therapy added to LAM, no pretreatment clinical factors showed a significant relationship with the occurrence of ALT normalization in our 75 LAM-resistant CHB patients.

HBV DNA clearance during ADV therapy in addition to ongoing LAM treatment according to HBeAg status

Next, we investigated HBV DNA clearance during ADV therapy added to ongoing LAM treatment in LAM-resistant CHB patients positive or negative for HBeAg (Fig. 1). In HBeAg-positive patients, HBV DNA was cleared in 8 (20%) of 41 at 6 months, 12 (29%) of 41 at 12 months, and 16 (59%) of 27 at 24 months. On the other hand, HBV DNA clearance was seen in 21 (62%) of 34 at 6 months, 23 (68%) of 34 at 12 months, and 18 (90%) of 20 at 24 months in HBeAg-negative patients. A significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in the frequency of HBV DNA clearance was





Fig. 1 Rates of HBV DNA clearance in CHB patients positive or negative for HBeAg during ADV therapy in addition to LAM. * $P < 0.05$ between HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative patients. Solid bars HBeAg-positive patients, hatched bars HBeAg-negative patients

observed between HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative patients at 3, 6, 9, 12, 18, 24, and 30 months of treatment. Thus, patients negative for HBeAg tended to respond to ADV therapy added to ongoing LAM treatment better than those positive for it in LAM-resistant CHB.

HBV DNA clearance during ADV therapy in addition to ongoing LAM treatment in relation to HBeAg status and baseline HBV DNA

We examined HBV DNA clearance during ADV therapy in addition to ongoing LAM treatment in HBeAg-positive and

Fig. 2 Rates of HBV DNA clearance during ADV therapy in addition to LAM according to HBV DNA at baseline in **a** HBeAg-positive CHB patients and **b** HBeAg-negative CHB patients. * $P < 0.05$ between patients with low (≤ 7.0 logcopies/ml) and high (> 7.0 logcopies/ml) HBV DNA. *Dotted bars* Patients with HBV DNA ≤ 7.0 logcopies/ml at baseline, *hatched bars* patients with HBV DNA > 7.0 logcopies/ml at baseline



HBeAg-negative CHB patients in relation to baseline HBV DNA. In the case of HBeAg-positive CHB patients (Fig. 2a), the rates of HBV DNA clearance were 33% (5/15) at 6 months, 53% (8/15) at 12 months, and 92% (11/12) at 24 months in patients with low viremia (baseline HBV DNA ≤ 7.0 logcopies/ml). By contrast, the frequencies of HBV DNA clearance were only in 12% (3/26) at 6 months, 15% (4/26) at 12 months, and 33% (5/15) at 24 months in patients with high viremia (baseline HBV DNA > 7.0 logcopies/ml). A significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in the frequency of HBV DNA clearance was observed between patients with low and high viremia at 9, 12, 18, and 24 months of treatment. In the case of HBeAg-negative patients (Fig. 2b), the rates of HBV DNA clearance were 71% (15/21) at 6 months, 71% (15/21) at 12 months, and 85% (11/13) at 24 months in patients with low viremia (baseline HBV DNA ≤ 7.0 logcopies/ml). The frequencies of HBV DNA clearance were 46% (6/13) at 6 months, 62% (8/13) at 12 months, and 100% (7/7) at 24 months in patients with high viremia (baseline HBV DNA > 7.0 logcopies/ml). No significant differences were observed in the frequency of HBV DNA clearance between patients with low and high viremia. According to these findings, the relevance of lower baseline HBV DNA for achieving a better antiviral effect was evident only in HBeAg-positive patients, but not in HBeAg-negative ones in ADV therapy added to LAM treatment for LAM-resistant CHB.

Discussion

This study investigated factors affecting the antiviral efficacy of ADV therapy added to ongoing LAM treatment in LAM-resistant CHB patients. Therapeutic efficacy was assessed as the presence or absence of VR. Both univariate and multivariate analyses revealed that lower baseline

HBV DNA and negative HBeAg were strong factors associated with a better therapeutic response. Another significant factor revealed by multivariate analysis was high ALT, although it was weaker than the other two factors. In previous investigations, female gender, lower baseline HBV DNA, negative HBeAg, higher ALT, and genotype D rather than A have been reported to contribute to better VRs to ADV therapy in nucleos(t)ide-naïve and LAM-resistant CHB patients [17–21]. Our results agreed partially with them. The present study, as well as previous studies [18, 19], also revealed that a high baseline ALT may be a determining factor for a better response to ADV therapy in addition to LAM treatment in LAM-resistant CHB. This may be because the host immune response against viral antigens induced by active breakthrough hepatitis has a favorable antiviral effect during ADV therapy. In this study, however, a low baseline viremic level was shown to be a stronger factor than high baseline ALT. The baseline ALT level was the third factor contributing to VR. Therefore, in LAM-resistant CHB, ADV administration should be started before the flare-up of ALT elevation, especially in patients with severe liver disease such as cirrhosis.

In LAM-resistant patients, the HBV DNA level is low during the initial phase, but increases with time, leading to the onset of breakthrough hepatitis. Thus, in ADV therapy added to LAM treatment for LAM-resistant-CHB, the baseline HBV DNA level varies with the observation period after the emergence of LAM resistance. A previous report on Italian HBeAg-negative CHB patients showing LAM resistance revealed that patients with low viremia and normal ALT tended to respond to ADV therapy in addition to LAM treatment better than those with high viremia and abnormal ALT [17]. In the present study conducted in Japan, a genotype C-endemic area, such a close relationship between lower baseline HBV DNA and better therapeutic response was remarkable in

HBeAg-positive patients but not in HBeAg-negative ones. Our finding suggests that, in LAM-resistant CHB, ADV should be added before the HBV DNA begins to increase markedly, especially in HBeAg-positive patients.

In this study, none of the 75 patients showed virological breakthrough after the beginning of ADV administration. All displayed more than 1 log reduction of HBV DNA at 12 months of ADV treatment. This indicates that our patients may not have produced viruses resistant to both LAM and ADV. The emergence of resistant viruses has been reported to be rare in combination therapy using LAM and ADV for LAM-resistant CHB patients, although recent studies have found the existence of a virus resistant to both drugs [22, 23]. The rtA181V/T/S mutation has been reported to confer cross resistance to LAM and ADV [22, 23]. In ADV monotherapy for nucleos(t)ide analog-naïve CHB patients, the absence of HBV DNA reduction to <4 logcopies/ml at 24 weeks of treatment has been reported to be related to the higher emergence of a ADV-resistant virus [24], as is the case in LAM monotherapy [25]. In ADV therapy added to LAM treatment in LAM-resistant CHB patients, the poor response during the initial phase may lead to the development of virus resistance to LAM and ADV as well. From this point of view, the addition of ADV to ongoing LAM treatment before the elevation of HBV DNA may be beneficial in LAM-resistant CHB patients to avoid the development of a multi-drug-resistant virus. Recently, some investigators have reported that tenofovir disoproxil fumarate is effective against a virus resistant to both LAM and ADV [22, 23], but it has not yet been approved for clinical use.

Our results conclusively showed that, with ADV therapy added to LAM treatment for LAM-resistant CHB patients, lower baseline HBV DNA and negative HBeAg contributed to a better antiviral effect. After the emergence of LAM resistance, ADV should be added before the marked elevation of HBV DNA in order to attain better antiviral efficacy, especially in HBeAg-positive patients.

References

- Lavanchy D. Hepatitis B virus epidemiology, disease burden, treatment, and current and emerging prevention and control measures. *J Viral Hepat*. 2004;11:97–107.
- Mahoney FJ. Update on diagnosis, management, and prevention of hepatitis B virus infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 1999;12:351–66.
- Lee WM. Hepatitis B virus infection. *N Engl J Med*. 1997;337:1733–45.
- Lai CL, Dienstag J, Schiff E, Leung N, Atkins M, Hunt C, et al. Prevalence and clinical correlates of YMDD variants during lamivudine therapy of patients with chronic hepatitis B. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2003;36:687–96.
- Allen MI, Deslauriers M, Andrews CW, Tipples GA, Walters KA, Tyrrell DL, et al. Identification and characterization of mutation in hepatitis B virus resistant to lamivudine. *Hepatology*. 1998;27:1670–7.
- Marcellin P, Chang TT, Lim GS, Tong MJ, Sievert W, Shiffman ML, et al. Adefovir dipivoxil for the treatment of hepatitis B e antigen-positive chronic hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348:808–16.
- Hadziyannis SJ, Tassopoulos NC, Heathcote EJ, Chang TT, Kitis G, Rizzetto M, et al. Adefovir dipivoxil for the treatment of hepatitis B e antigen-negative chronic hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348:800–7.
- Perrillo R, Hann HW, Mutimer D, Willems B, Leung N, Lee WM, et al. Adefovir dipivoxil added to ongoing lamivudine in chronic hepatitis B with YMDD mutant hepatitis B virus. *Gastroenterology*. 2004;126:81–90.
- Peters MG, Hann HW, Martin P, Heathcote EJ, Buggisch P, Rubin R, et al. Adefovir dipivoxil alone or in combination with lamivudine in patients with lamivudine-resistant chronic hepatitis B. *Gastroenterology*. 2004;126:91–101.
- Hadziyannis SJ, Tassopoulos NC, Heathcote EJ, Chang TT, Kitis G, Rizzetto M, et al. Long-term therapy with adefovir dipivoxil for HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B for up to 5 years. *Gastroenterology*. 2006;131:1743–51.
- Lee YS, Suh DJ, Lim YS, Jung SW, Kim KM, Lee HC, et al. Increased risk of adefovir resistance in patients with lamivudine-resistant chronic hepatitis B after 48 weeks of adefovir dipivoxil monotherapy. *Hepatology*. 2006;43:1385–91.
- Lampertico P, Vigano M, Manenti E, Iavarone M, Sablon E, Colombo M. Low resistance to adefovir combined with lamivudine: a 3-year study of 145 lamivudine-resistant hepatitis B patients. *Gastroenterology*. 2007;133:1445–51.
- Dai CY, Yu MI, Chen SC, et al. Clinical evaluation of COBAS amplicor HBV monitor test for measuring serum HBV DNA and comparison with the quantiplex banded DNA signal amplification assay in Taiwan. *J Clin Pathol*. 2004;57:141–5.
- Kobayashi S, Ide T, Sata M. Detection of YMDD motif mutations in some lamivudine-untreated asymptomatic hepatitis B virus carriers. *J Hepatol* 2001;34:584–6.
- Kanada A, Takehara T, Ohkawa K, Tatsumi T, Sakamori R, Yamaguchi S, et al. Type B fulminant hepatitis is closely associated with a highly mutated hepatitis B virus strain. *Intervirology*. 2007;50:394–401.
- Kanada A, Takehara T, Ohkawa K, Kato M, Tatsumi T, Miyagi T, et al. Early emergence of entecavir-resistant hepatitis B virus in a patient with hepatitis B virus/human immunodeficiency virus coinfection. *Hepatol Res*. 2008;38:622–8.
- Lampertico P, Vigano M, Iavarone M, Lunghi G, Colombo M. Adefovir rapidly suppresses hepatitis B in HBeAg-negative patients developing genotypic resistance to lamivudine. *Hepatology*. 2005;42:1414–9.
- Fung SK, Chae HB, Fontana RJ, Conjeevaram H, Marrero J, Oberhelman K, et al. Virologic response and resistance to adefovir in patients with chronic hepatitis B. *J Hepatol*. 2006;44:283–90.
- Hosaka T, Suzuki F, Suzuki Y, Saitoh S, Kobayashi M, Someya T, et al. Factors associated with the virological response of lamivudine-resistant hepatitis B virus during combination therapy with adefovir dipivoxil plus lamivudine. *J Gastroenterol*. 2007;42:368–74.
- Rapti I, Dimou E, Mitsoula P, Hadziyannis SJ. Adding-on versus switching-to adefovir therapy in lamivudine-resistant HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology*. 2007;45:307–13.
- Buti M, Elefsiniotis I, Jardi R, Vargas V, Rodriguez-Frias F, Schapper M, et al. Viral genotype and baseline load predict the

- response to adefovir treatment in lamivudine-resistant chronic hepatitis B patients. *J Hepatol.* 2007;47:366–72.
22. Villet S, Pichoud C, Billioud G, Barraud L, Durantel S, Trepo C, et al. Impact of hepatitis B virus rtA181V/T mutants on hepatitis B treatment failure. *J Hepatol.* 2008;48:747–55.
 23. Yatsuji H, Suzuki F, Sezaki H, Akuta N, Suzuki Y, Kawamura Y, et al. Low risk of adefovir resistance in lamivudine-resistant chronic hepatitis B patients treated with adefovir plus lamivudine combination therapy: two-year follow-up. *J Hepatol.* 2008;48:923–31.
 24. Chen CH, Wang JH, Lee CM, Hung CH, Hu TH, Wang JC, et al. Virological response and incidence of adefovir resistance in lamivudine-resistant patients treated with adefovir dipivoxil. *Antivir Ther.* 2006;11:771–8.
 25. Kurashige N, Hiramatsu N, Ohkawa K, Oze T, Inoue Y, Kurokawa M, et al. Initial viral response is the most powerful predictor of the emergence of YMDD mutant virus in chronic hepatitis B patients treated with lamivudine. *Hepatol Res.* 2008;38:450–6.

Lamivudine-to-entecavir switching treatment in type B chronic hepatitis patients without evidence of lamivudine resistance

Nao Kurashige · Kazuyoshi Ohkawa · Naoki Hiramatsu · Takayuki Yakushijin · Kiyoshi Mochizuki · Tsugiko Oze · Shinichi Kiso · Tatsuya Kanto · Tetsuo Takehara · Akinori Kasahara · Yoshinori Doi · Akira Yamada · Kazuto Fukuda · Masahide Oshita · Eiji Mita · Hiroyuki Fukui · Toshihiko Nagase · Harumasa Yoshihara · Yasuharu Imai · Michio Kato · Takeshi Kashihara · Norio Hayashi

Received: 17 February 2009 / Accepted: 15 April 2009 / Published online: 28 May 2009
© Springer 2009

Abstract

Purpose A considerable number of chronic hepatitis B (CH-B) patients remain under continuous lamivudine treatment, although switching treatment to entecavir could be beneficial. We investigated the antiviral efficacy of switching treatment to entecavir in CH-B patients without apparent evidence of lamivudine resistance during the preceding lamivudine treatment.

Methods Forty-four CH-B patients, who underwent lamivudine treatment for more than 6 months and showed no evidence of lamivudine resistance, switched to entecavir. Serial changes in hepatitis B virus (HBV) DNA were correlated with the patients' baseline HBV DNA at the commencement of entecavir administration. The entecavir-resistant substitution was examined by PCR-direct

sequencing. The median follow-up period of entecavir treatment was 20 (10–23) months.

Results All 31 patients with baseline HBV DNA <2.6 logcopies/ml maintained HBV DNA-negative status during entecavir treatment. Of seven patients having HBV DNA of 2.6–<4.0 logcopies/ml, all achieved undetectable HBV DNA at the end of follow-up. As for six patients having HBV DNA \geq 4.0 logcopies/ml, three patients achieved undetectable HBV DNA, whereas virological breakthrough was observed in one patient at month 15. An entecavir-resistant virus having rtM204V, rtL180M and rtS202G substitutions was detected in this patient.

Conclusions The lamivudine-to-entecavir switching treatment may be generally recommendable in CH-B patients without evidence of lamivudine resistance during

N. Kurashige · K. Ohkawa · N. Hiramatsu · T. Yakushijin · K. Mochizuki · T. Oze · S. Kiso · T. Kanto · T. Takehara · A. Kasahara · N. Hayashi (✉)

Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology,
Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine,
Suita 565-0871, Japan
e-mail: hayashin@gh.med.osaka-u.ac.jp

Y. Doi
Otemae Hospital, Osaka, Japan

A. Yamada
Sumitomo Hospital, Osaka, Japan

K. Fukuda · Y. Imai
Ikeda Municipal Hospital, Ikeda, Japan

M. Oshita
Osaka Police Hospital, Osaka, Japan

E. Mita
National Hospital Organization Osaka National Hospital,
Osaka, Japan

H. Fukui
Yao Municipal Hospital, Yao, Japan

T. Nagase
Suita Municipal Hospital, Suita, Japan

H. Yoshihara
Osaka Rousai Hospital, Sakai, Japan

M. Kato
National Hospital Organization Minamiwakayama Medical
Center, Tanabe, Japan

T. Kashihara
Itami City Hospital, Itami, Japan

the preceding lamivudine treatment. However, great care should be taken with respect to the emergence of entecavir-resistance, especially in patients who do not respond well to the preceding lamivudine treatment.

Keywords Chronic hepatitis B · Lamivudine resistance · Entecavir-resistance

Introduction

Nucleos(t)ide analogs have been accepted as useful agents for suppressing hepatitis B virus (HBV) replication and disease progression in patients with type B chronic hepatitis (CH-B). Lamivudine, the first approved nucleoside analog, has been shown to provide short-term benefit for CH-B patients with respect to the reduction of HBV DNA, normalization of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and improvement of liver histology [1, 2]. However, a serious shortcoming of lamivudine is the high incidence of drug resistance during long-term treatment. The detection rate of lamivudine resistance has been reported to be 24% at 1 year and 70% at 4 years of treatment [3]. Lamivudine resistance is caused by an rtM204V/I substitution within the reverse transcriptase domain of HBV polymerase gene [4–6]. An rtL180M substitution frequently emerges as a “replication-compensatory” one with the “resistance-causative” rtM204V/I substitution [4–7]. The emergence of lamivudine-resistant mutant HBV leads to the elevation of HBV DNA (“virological breakthrough”) and the subsequent increase of ALT (“breakthrough hepatitis”), resulting in disease progression. Adefovir dipivoxil and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate have been shown to be effective in both nucleos(t)ide analog-naïve and lamivudine-resistant CH-B patients [8–13].

Recently, entecavir has been demonstrated to exert antiviral efficacy in both nucleos(t)ide analog-naïve and lamivudine-refractory CH-B patients [14–16]. The frequency of entecavir-resistance has been reported to be less than 1% at 4 years of treatment in nucleos(t)ide analog-naïve CH-B patients [17]. On the other hand, in switching treatment to entecavir for lamivudine-refractory CH-B patients, most of whom developed lamivudine resistance during the preceding lamivudine therapy, the cumulative probability of entecavir-resistance has been reported to be no less than 40% at 4 years of treatment [17]. Entecavir-resistance has been shown to be established by amino acid substitution(s) at rt184, rt202 and/or rt250 along with the lamivudine-resistant rtM204V and rtL180M substitutions [18]. In the case of nucleos(t)ide analog-naïve patients, the requirement of at least three amino acid substitutions serves as a high genetic barrier to entecavir-resistance. By contrast, in the case of lamivudine-resistant patients, a

lower genetic barrier results in higher incidence of entecavir-resistance because two amino acid substitutions, rtM204V and rtL180M, already exist from the preceding lamivudine treatment. The reduced susceptibility to entecavir of the lamivudine-resistant virus compared with the wild-type virus is also a reason for the higher emergence rate of entecavir-resistance in lamivudine-resistant patients than in nucleos(t)ide analog-naïve ones [19].

Although lamivudine is not currently recommended as a first-line drug for nucleos(t)ide analog-naïve CH-B, a considerable number of CH-B patients are under continuous treatment with lamivudine. In these patients, the switch to entecavir treatment could be advantageous over continuation of lamivudine treatment by offering stronger antiviral efficacy and less chance of drug resistance. With respect to the manner of emergence of entecavir-resistance, switching a patient’s treatment may be more appropriate before the appearance of lamivudine resistance than after its development. However, the usefulness of lamivudine-to-entecavir switching treatment has not been assessed in CH-B patients without apparent evidence of lamivudine resistance.

This led us to investigate the antiviral efficacy and emergence of entecavir-resistance in CH-B patients who showed no evidence of lamivudine resistance during the preceding lamivudine treatment and underwent the switching treatment to entecavir.

Patients and methods

Patients

This study included 44 consecutive CH-B patients from 10 institutions in the Osaka area of Japan (Otemae Hospital, Sumitomo Hospital, Osaka Police Hospital, Suita Municipal Hospital, Yao Municipal Hospital, Osaka Rousai Hospital, Ikeda Municipal Hospital, National Hospital Organization Osaka National Hospital, Itami City Hospital and Osaka University Hospital) who underwent continuous lamivudine treatment (100 mg/day) for more than 6 months and showed no apparent evidence of lamivudine resistance. Before starting the preceding lamivudine treatment, all patients had abnormal ALT, positive hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) and a detectable level of HBV DNA according to PCR-based assay (Amplicor HB Monitor, Roche Diagnostics) or branched DNA assay (Quantiplex HBV DNA, Chiron). None of them showed evidence of dual infection with hepatitis C virus or human immunodeficiency virus, or other forms of liver diseases such as alcoholic liver disorder, autoimmune hepatitis and drug-induced liver injury. The total duration of the preceding lamivudine treatment ranged from 6 to 73 (median, 14)

months. The absence of lamivudine resistance was defined by no detection of the rtM204V/I substitution as measured by the PCR–enzyme linked minisequence assay (ELMA) (Sumitomo Metal Industries) [20] for 33 patients, or by the lack of virological breakthrough as judged by more than 1 log increment in HBV DNA from the nadir for the remaining 11 patients. All of the 44 patients switched to 0.5 mg/day of entecavir administration. After the beginning of entecavir treatment, liver function tests and HBV markers were measured at 1- to 2-month intervals. When virological breakthrough was observed during follow-up, entecavir-resistance-associated mutations were examined by means of a PCR-direct sequencing method. The follow-up period of entecavir treatment ranged from 10 to 23 (median 20) months.

Baseline characteristics of the patients

At the commencement of switching treatment to entecavir, the 28 males and 16 females were aged 33–79 (median 59) years. Seventeen patients (39%) tested positive for hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg), and antibody against HBeAg (anti-HBe) developed in all of the 27 HBeAg-negative patients. Among the 27 HBeAg-negative patients, four achieved HBeAg clearance during the preceding lamivudine treatment. HBV DNA at baseline varied among patients from <2.6 to 5.2 logcopies/ml. The baseline ALT ranged from 11 to 78 (median 25) IU/l. Regarding the liver diseases of the patients, 27 (61%) showed features of chronic hepatitis, 11 (25%) of liver cirrhosis and six (14%) of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) according to liver biopsy and/or abdominal imaging procedures. HBV genotype was examined for 14 patients, and all of them had HBV genotype C, the most predominant genotype in Japan. Informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Serological and virological markers of HBV

HBsAg, HBeAg and anti-HBe were determined by chemiluminescent immunoassay. HBV DNA was measured by the PCR-based method (Amplicor HBV monitor, Roche Diagnostics) whose lower detection limit is 2.6 logcopies/ml. Lamivudine-resistant rtM204V/I substitution was examined by the PCR–ELMA method (Sumitomo Metal Industries) (20), which is capable of detecting the mutant virus in a mixed viral population if it is present at more than 10% of the total population. The entecavir-resistance-associated substitutions and HBV genotype were determined by a PCR-direct sequencing method. As for oligonucleotide primers for PCR reaction, the outer primer sets were BF5 (5'-AAG AGA CAG TCA TCC TCA GG-3', nt 3183–3202) and BR1s (5'-AAA AAG TTG CAT GGT GCT GG-3', nt 1825–1806), and the inner primer sets were

BF6 (5'-CCT CCA ATT TGT CCT GGC TA-3', nt 350–369) and BR8 (5'-TTG CGT CAG CAA ACA CTT GG-3', nt 1195–1176). After DNA extraction, the DNA sample was subjected to the PCR reaction for 35 cycles (denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 55°C for 1 min and extension at 72°C for 2 min) using the inner primer set, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. If amplification was not successful by the single PCR reaction, the nested PCR was conducted; the first round PCR was done using the outer primer sets for 35 cycles, and the aliquot of the product was used for the second round PCR for 30 cycles using inner primer sets. All sequencing reactions of the PCR products were carried out using the BigDye Terminator Ver. 3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit, and 3100 or 3730 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems), which allowed determination of the amino acid sequences of rt85–344. For determining the HBV genotype, nucleotide sequences obtained in each of the patients were aligned along with representative HBV strains of genotype A–H, and a phylogenetic tree was constructed in the homepage of DNA Data Bank of Japan (<http://www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp>).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis for group comparison was performed by Fisher's exact probability test and Mann–Whitney's non-parametric *U* test using the SPSS version 15.0J software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). A *p* value of less than <.05 was considered to be significant.

Results

Classification of patients who underwent lamivudine-to-entecavir switching treatment according to baseline HBV DNA

The 44 CH-B patients who underwent the switching treatment from lamivudine to entecavir were first classified according to their baseline HBV DNA at the commencement of entecavir administration. HBV DNA was not detectable (<2.6 logcopies/ml) in 31 patients (70%) at baseline. Seven patients (16%) had baseline HBV DNA of 2.6–<4.0 logcopies/ml. In the remaining six patients (14%), the baseline HBV DNA was ≥ 4.0 logcopies/ml. When patient clinical characteristics were compared among the three patient groups (Table 1), nine (29%) of the 31 patients with baseline HBV DNA <2.6 copies/ml tested positive for HBeAg at the commencement of switching treatment to entecavir, compared with five of the six (83%) patients with baseline HBV DNA ≥ 4.0 copies/ml (*p* < .05). Gender ratio, age, ALT at baseline, liver disease, duration of the preceding lamivudine treatment and