

dimensional) real vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$ . If there exists a  $G$ -isovariant map from  $V$  to  $W$ , then the inequality

$$\dim V - \dim V^G \leq \dim W - \dim W^G$$

holds. Here  $V^G$  denotes the  $G$ -fixed point sets of  $V$ .

In other words, if  $\dim V - \dim V^G > \dim W - \dim W^G$ , there is no isovariant map from  $V$  to  $W$ . In this note, we discuss an existence problem of isovariant maps between  $G$ -representation spaces, which is considered as the converse of the isovariant Borsuk-Ulam theorem. In [11], we discussed it when  $G$  is a finite abelian  $p$ -group or a certain finite cyclic group. In this note, we shall discuss it when  $G$  is a certain dihedral group and show an existence result of isovariant maps under some dimensional conditions. The main result is Theorem 4.1 in section 4.

## 2. Basic facts of isovariant maps

In this section,  $G$  is a compact Lie group. Notation  $H \leq G$  means that  $H$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$  and a subgroup means a closed subgroup.

We recall some basic facts for isovariant maps. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $G$ -map. Throughout this article, all maps are understood to be continuous. Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . By restriction of the  $G$ -action to the  $H$ -action, an  $H$ -map  $\text{Res}_H f : \text{Res}_H X \rightarrow \text{Res}_H Y$  is defined, and by restriction of  $f$  to the  $H$ -fixed point set  $X^H$ , an  $N_G(H)/H$ -map  $f^H : X^H \rightarrow Y^H$  is defined, where  $N_G(H)$  denotes the normalizer of  $H$  in  $G$ . Let  $H$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $X, Y$  be  $G/H$ -spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a  $G/H$ -map. Via the projection  $p : G \rightarrow G/H$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  are regarded as  $G$ -spaces, denoted by  $\text{Inf}_{G/H}^G X$  and  $\text{Inf}_{G/H}^G Y$  respectively, and  $f$  is regarded as a  $G$ -map, denoted by  $\text{Inf}_{G/H}^G f$ . These are called the *inflation* of a  $G/H$ -space or a  $G/H$ -map. We often omit the symbols  $\text{Res}_H$  and  $\text{Inf}_{G/H}^G$  for simplicity if there is no misunderstanding in context.

In [11] we have shown the following.

**Lemma 2.1.** *The following hold.*

- (1) *If  $f$  is  $G$ -isovariant, then  $\text{Res}_H f$  is  $H$ -isovariant for any  $H \leq G$ .*
- (2) *Let  $H$  be a normal subgroup. If  $f$  is  $G$ -isovariant, then  $f^H$  is  $G/H$ -isovariant.*
- (3) *Let  $H$  be a normal subgroup. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $G/H$ -isovariant, then  $\text{Inf}_{G/H}^G f$  is  $G$ -isovariant.*
- (4) *If  $f : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$  and  $g : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$  are  $G$ -isovariant, then  $f \times g : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$  is  $G$ -isovariant under the diagonal action.*

- (5) If  $f : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$  and  $g : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$  are  $G$ -isovariant, then so is  $f * g : X_1 * X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 * Y_2$ , where  $*$  means join, in particular, the cone of  $f$ ,  $Cf : CX_1 \rightarrow CY_1$ , is  $G$ -isovariant.
- (6) If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  are  $G$ -isovariant, then so is  $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ .
- (7) If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $H$ -isovariant, then  $G \times_H f : G \times_H X \rightarrow G \times_H Y$  is  $G$ -isovariant.

We may assume that a real  $G$ -representation  $V$  is an orthogonal  $G$ -representation without loss of generality, because every real representation is isomorphic to an orthogonal representation [17]. Then, the standard inner product on  $V$  is  $G$ -invariant, and so the unit disk and the unit sphere are  $G$ -invariant submanifolds, denoted by  $SV$  and  $DV$  respectively. Moreover  $V^{G^\perp}$  denotes the orthogonal complement of  $V^G$  in  $V$ , which is a subrepresentation of  $V$ .

The main results in [11] are not affected, but Lemma 2.2 of [11] is unfortunately missing an assumption. We correct it as follows.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $V, W$  be  $G$ -representations. For statements (1)–(6) below (1) implies (2) and statements (2)–(6) are equivalent. Furthermore if  $\text{Iso } SV \subset \text{Iso } SW$ , then all statements are equivalent, where  $\text{Iso } SV$  denotes the set of isotropy subgroups of  $SV$ .*

- (1) *There exists a  $G$ -isovariant map from  $SV$  to  $SW$ .*
- (2) *There exists a  $G$ -isovariant map from  $V$  to  $W$ .*
- (3) *There exists a  $G$ -isovariant map from  $S(V^{G^\perp})$  to  $S(W^{G^\perp})$ .*
- (4) *There exists a  $G$ -isovariant map from  $V^{G^\perp}$  to  $W^{G^\perp}$ .*
- (5) *There exists a  $G$ -isovariant map from  $DV$  to  $DW$ .*
- (6) *There exists a  $G$ -isovariant map from  $D(V^{G^\perp})$  to  $D(W^{G^\perp})$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Take the open cone of an isovariant map  $f : SV \rightarrow SW$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let  $f : V \rightarrow W$  be an isovariant map. The inclusion  $i : V^{G^\perp} \rightarrow V$  is clearly  $G$ -isovariant, and the projection  $p : W = W^{G^\perp} \oplus W^G \rightarrow W^{G^\perp}$  is also  $G$ -isovariant, since  $G$  acts trivially on  $W^G$ . Hence the composite map  $p \circ f \circ i : V^{G^\perp} \rightarrow W^{G^\perp}$  is  $G$ -isovariant.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Let  $f : V^{G^\perp} \rightarrow W^{G^\perp}$  be an isovariant map. Since  $(V^{G^\perp})^G = (W^{G^\perp})^G = 0$ , we have  $f^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ , and hence a  $G$ -isovariant map  $g : S(V^{G^\perp}) \rightarrow S(W^{G^\perp})$  can be defined by  $g(x) = f(x)/\|f(x)\|$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (6): Taking the cone of an isovariant map  $f : S(V^{G^\perp}) \rightarrow S(W^{G^\perp})$ , we obtain a  $G$ -isovariant map  $\tilde{f} : D(V^{G^\perp}) \rightarrow D(W^{G^\perp})$ .

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (5): Since any map  $g : D(V^G) \rightarrow D(W^G)$  is  $G$ -isovariant, taking product, we obtain a  $G$ -isovariant map

$$f \times g : D(V) \cong D(V^{G^\perp}) \times D(V^G) \rightarrow D(W) \cong D(W^{G^\perp}) \times D(W^G).$$

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $f : DV \rightarrow DW$  be a  $G$ -isovariant map. Define  $g : DV \rightarrow DW$  by  $g(x) = f(x)/2$ , then  $g$  is  $G$ -isovariant and maps  $DV$  to the interior  $\text{Int } DW$  of  $DW$ . Hence we obtain a  $G$ -isovariant map  $g|_{\text{Int } DV} : V \cong_G \text{Int } DV \rightarrow W \cong_G \text{Int } DW$ .

When  $\text{Iso } SV \subset \text{Iso } SW$ , the implication (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is shown as follows. Let  $f : S(V^{G^\perp}) \rightarrow S(W^{G^\perp})$  be an isovariant map. If  $SV^G = \emptyset$ , then  $SV = S(V^{G^\perp})$ , and hence  $i \circ f : SV \rightarrow S(W^{G^\perp}) \subset SW$  is isovariant. If  $SV^G \neq \emptyset$ , then  $SW^G \neq \emptyset$ , since  $\text{Iso } SV \subset \text{Iso } SW$ . Take any map  $g : SV^G \rightarrow SW^G$ . The join of  $f$  and  $g$  gives an isovariant map from  $SV$  to  $SW$ . Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3. The existence problem of isovariant maps

In this section,  $G$  is a finite group.

**Definition.** We say that  $G$  has the *IB-property* over  $\mathbb{R}$  [resp.  $\mathbb{C}$ ]. if the isovariant Borsuk-Ulam theorem holds for orthogonal [resp. unitary]  $G$ -representations; namely, the inequality  $\dim V - \dim V^G \leq \dim W - \dim W^G$  holds for every pair  $(V, W)$  of  $G$ -representations such that there is a (continuous)  $G$ -isovariant map from  $V$  to  $W$ .

As being proved below,  $G$  has the IB-property over  $\mathbb{R}$  if and only if  $G$  has the IB-property over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and therefore we say for simplicity that  $G$  has the IB-property.

**Proposition 3.1.** *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $G$  has the IB-property over  $\mathbb{R}$
- (2)  $G$  has the IB-property over  $\mathbb{C}$

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose that  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is a  $G$ -isovariant map between unitary representations. By restriction of the ground field  $\mathbb{C}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , a  $G$ -isovariant map  $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{R}} f : \text{Res}_{\mathbb{R}} V \rightarrow \text{Res}_{\mathbb{R}} W$  between orthogonal representations is obtained, and hence the inequality  $\dim V - \dim V^G \leq \dim W - \dim W^G$  holds.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Suppose that  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is a  $G$ -isovariant map between orthogonal representations. Taking a direct sum, we obtain an isovariant map  $f \oplus f : V \oplus V \rightarrow W \oplus W$ . Since  $V \oplus V$  has a complex structure, in fact,  $V \oplus V \cong V \otimes \mathbb{C}$  for some complex structure on  $V \oplus V$ , we obtain a continuous isovariant map  $f \oplus f : V \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow W \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Hence the inequality  $\dim V - \dim V^G \leq \dim W - \dim W^G$  holds.  $\square$

By Theorem 1.1, every finite solvable group has the IB-property. Wasserman [18] shows that a finite groups satisfying the prime condition (e.g.,  $A_5$ ,  $S_5$  etc.) also have the IB-property, and Nagasaki [9] says that a weaker version of the isovariant Borsuk-Ulam theorem holds for an arbitrary compact Lie group.

*Remark.* The author, however, does not know an example of not having the IB-property.

Let  $G$  be a finite solvable group, and  $V$  and  $W$   $G$ -representations. Suppose that there exists a  $G$ -isovariant map from  $V$  to  $W$ . For any pair of subgroups  $H \triangleleft K$  ( $H$  is normal in  $K$ ), the restriction of  $f$  to the  $H$ -fixed point sets yields a  $K/H$ -isovariant map  $f^H : V^H \rightarrow W^H$ . Since  $K/H$  is also solvable, the isovariant Borsuk-Ulam theorem shows that

$$(C_{V,W}): \dim V^H - \dim V^K \leq \dim W^H - \dim W^K \text{ for any pair } H \triangleleft K.$$

Moreover the pair  $(V, W)$  must obviously satisfy

$$(I_{V,W}): \text{Iso } V \subset \text{Iso } W,$$

**Definition.** We say that a finite solvable group  $G$  has the *complete IB-property* over  $\mathbb{R}$  [resp.  $\mathbb{C}$ ] if for every pair  $(V, W)$  of orthogonal [resp. unitary]  $G$ -representations satisfying conditions  $(C_{V,W})$  and  $(I_{V,W})$ , there exists a  $G$ -isovariant map from  $V$  to  $W$ .

In [11] we show that if  $G$  is nilpotent, then  $(C_{V,W})$  implies  $(I_{V,W})$ . In the case,  $(I_{V,W})$  can be removed from the above definition. Note also that if  $G$  has the complete IB-property over  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $G$  has the complete IB-property over  $\mathbb{C}$ ; however, the author does not know whether the converse of this property holds. We have proposed the following question in [11].

**Question.** Which finite solvable groups have the complete IB-property over  $\mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ )?

#### 4. Results and proofs

Concerning the question, we have shown [11] that the following groups have the complete IB-property over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (1) abelian  $p$ -groups,
- (2)  $C_{p^m q^n}$ , the cyclic group of order  $p^m q^n$  ( $m \geq 1$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ),
- (3)  $C_{pqr}$ , the cyclic group of order  $pqr$ .

Here  $p, q, r$  are distinct primes.

*Remark.* S. Kôno also obtained that  $C_{p^m q^n}$  has the complete IB-property over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

We give new examples of having the complete IB-property over  $\mathbb{R}$  and of not having the complete IB-property over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $D_n$  denote the dihedral group of order  $2n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ).*

- (1)  $D_3, D_4$  and  $D_6$  have the complete IB-property over  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- (2) Every  $D_n$  ( $n \neq 3, 4, 6$ ) does not have the complete IB-property over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Remark.* Since  $D_1 \cong C_2$  and  $D_2 \cong C_2 \times C_2$ , these also have the complete IB-property over  $\mathbb{R}$  by the previous result.

*Remark.* In [12], the author announced that some dihedral groups have the the complete IB-property over  $\mathbb{R}$ , but unfortunately it is incorrect.

We first recall the subgroup structure of  $D_n$ . Let

$$D_n = \langle a, b \mid a^n = b^2 = 1, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle.$$

There is the normal cyclic subgroup  $C_m = \langle a^{n/m} \rangle$  of  $D_n$  for every divisor  $m$  of  $n$ , and there are  $n/m$  dihedral subgroups  $\langle a^{n/m}, b \rangle, \langle a^{n/m}, b^2 \rangle, \dots, \langle a^{n/m}, a^{n/m-1}b \rangle$  containing  $C_m$  as a subgroup of index 2. If  $n/m$  ( $= [D_n : D_m]$ ) is odd, then these are all conjugate in  $D_n$ . We take  $D_m = \langle a^{n/m}, b \rangle$  as a representative of their conjugacy class. If  $n/m$  is even, then there are two conjugacy classes whose representatives are  $D_m = \langle a^{n/m}, b \rangle$  and  $D'_m = \langle a^{n/m}, ab \rangle$ .

We next recall the orthogonal irreducible representations of  $D_n$  from [17]. These are described as follows. Let  $T_k = \mathbb{C}$  ( $= \mathbb{R}^2$ ),  $1 \leq k < n/2$ , be the  $D_n$ -representation on which  $D_n$  acts by  $a \cdot z = \xi^k z, b \cdot z = \bar{z}, z \in S_k$ , where  $\xi = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/n)$ . These  $T_k$  are all (nonisomorphic) 2-dimensional irreducible representation. Other irreducible representation are 1-dimensional. If  $n$  is odd, then there are two 1-dimensional irreducible representations  $\mathbb{R}_{++}$  and  $\mathbb{R}_{+-}$ , where  $\mathbb{R}_{++}$  is the trivial representation and  $\mathbb{R}_{+-}$  ( $= \mathbb{R}$ ) is a representation on which the action is given by  $a \cdot x = x, b \cdot x = -x, x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

If  $n$  is even, in addition to  $\mathbb{R}_{++}$  and  $\mathbb{R}_{+-}$ , there are more two 1-dimensional irreducible representations  $\mathbb{R}_{-+}$  and  $\mathbb{R}_{--}$ . The actions on  $\mathbb{R}_{-+}$  and  $\mathbb{R}_{--}$  are given by  $a \cdot x = -x, b \cdot x = x$ , and by  $a \cdot x = -x, b \cdot x = -x$ , respectively. Note, then, that

$$\text{Ker } T_k = C_{(k,n)}, \text{ Ker } \mathbb{R}_{+-} = C_n, \text{ Ker } \mathbb{R}_{-+} = D_{n/2}, \text{ Ker } \mathbb{R}_{--} = D'_{n/2}.$$

Note also that

$$\text{Iso } T_k = \{D_n, \langle a^{n/(k,n)}, a^t b \rangle, \langle a^{n/(k,n)} \rangle \mid 0 \leq t \leq n-1\},$$

$$\text{Iso } \mathbb{R}_{+-} = \{D_n, C_n\}, \quad \text{Iso } \mathbb{R}_{-+} = \{D_n, D_{n/2}\}, \quad \text{Iso } \mathbb{R}_{--} = \{D_n, D'_{n/2}\}.$$

We first show (1) of Theorem 4.1 in the case of  $n = 3, 4$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *Suppose  $n = 3, 4$ . Given  $D_n$ -representations  $V$  and  $W$  satisfying condition  $(C_{V,W})$ , there exists a  $D_n$ -isovariant map from  $V$  to  $W$ .*

*Proof.* We first consider the case of  $n = 3$ . There is only one 2-dimensional irreducible representation  $T_1$ . By Lemma 2.2, we may assume that  $V^{D_n} = W^{D_n} = 0$ . Hence we may put

$$V = a_1 T_1 \oplus a_2 \mathbb{R}_{+-}$$

and

$$W = b_1 T_1 \oplus b_2 \mathbb{R}_{+-},$$

where  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  are non-negative integers, and  $a_1 T_1$  denotes the direct sum of  $a_1$  copies of  $T_1$ . The inequalities  $(C_{V,W})$  for pairs  $(1, C_3)$  and  $(C_3, D_6)$  lead to inequalities  $a_1 \leq b_1$  and  $a_2 \leq b_2$ . Hence there is a natural inclusion from  $V$  to  $W$ , which is an isovariant map.

Next consider the case  $n = 4$ . In this case, there is only one 2-dimensional irreducible representation  $T_1$ . By a similar argument, we may put

$$V = a_1 T_1 \oplus a_2 \mathbb{R}_{+-} \oplus a_3 \mathbb{R}_{-+} \oplus a_4 \mathbb{R}_{--}$$

and

$$W = b_1 T_1 \oplus b_2 \mathbb{R}_{+-} \oplus b_3 \mathbb{R}_{-+} \oplus b_4 \mathbb{R}_{--}.$$

The inequalities  $(C_{V,W})$  for pairs  $(1, C_2)$ ,  $(C_4, D_4)$ ,  $(D_2, D_4)$ , and  $(D'_2, D_4)$  lead us to inequalities  $a_i \leq b_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Hence there is a natural inclusion from  $V$  to  $W$ , which is an isovariant map.  $\square$

We next discuss the case of  $n = 6$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *Given  $D_6$ -representations  $V$  and  $W$  satisfying condition  $(C_{V,W})$ , there exists a  $D_6$ -isovariant map from  $V$  to  $W$ .*

*Proof.* There are two 2-dimensional irreducible representations  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . By a similar argument as above, we may put

$$V = a_1 T_1 \oplus a_2 T_2 \oplus a_3 \mathbb{R}_{+-} \oplus a_4 \mathbb{R}_{-+} \oplus a_5 \mathbb{R}_{--}$$

and

$$W = b_1 T_1 \oplus b_2 T_2 \oplus b_3 \mathbb{R}_{+-} \oplus b_4 \mathbb{R}_{-+} \oplus b_5 \mathbb{R}_{--}.$$

The following is a table for dimensions of  $H$ -fixed point sets of irreducible  $D_6$ -representations.

$\dim X^H$	1	$D_1$	$D'_1$	$C_2$	$D_2$	$C_3$	$D_3$	$D'_3$	$C_6$	$D_6$
$T_1$	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$T_2$	2	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathbb{R}_{+-}$	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
$\mathbb{R}_{-+}$	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
$\mathbb{R}_{--}$	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0

Considering pairs  $(C_2, C_6)$ ,  $(C_6, D_6)$ ,  $(D_3, D_6)$  and  $(D'_3, D_6)$ , we obtain inequalities

$$a_i \leq b_i \quad \text{for } i = 2, 3, 4, 5$$

from condition  $(C_{V,W})$ . Considering pairs  $(D_1, D_2)$ ,  $(D'_1, D_2)$  and  $(1, C_3)$ , we also obtain inequalities

$$a_1 + a_4 \leq b_1 + b_4, \quad a_1 + a_5 \leq b_1 + b_5, \quad a_1 + a_2 \leq b_1 + b_2.$$

If  $a_1 \leq b_1$ , then there is a natural inclusion from  $V$  to  $W$ , which is an isovariant map.

We now assume that  $a_1 > b_1$ . The above inequalities shows

$$0 \leq a_1 - b_1 \leq b_2 - a_2, \quad 0 \leq a_1 - b_1 \leq b_4 - a_4, \quad 0 \leq a_1 - b_1 \leq b_5 - a_5.$$

Decompose  $V$  and  $W$  into

$$V = V' \oplus V'' \quad \text{and} \quad W = W' \oplus W'',$$

where

$$V' = (a_1 - b_1)T_1,$$

$$V'' = b_1 T_1 \oplus a_2 T_2 \oplus a_3 \mathbb{R}_{+-} \oplus a_4 \mathbb{R}_{-+} \oplus a_5 \mathbb{R}_{--}$$

and

$$W' = (b_2 - a_2)T_2 \oplus (b_4 - a_4)\mathbb{R}_{-+} \oplus (b_5 - a_5)\mathbb{R}_{--},$$

$$W'' = b_1 T_1 \oplus a_2 T_2 \oplus b_3 \mathbb{R}_{+-} \oplus a_4 \mathbb{R}_{-+} \oplus a_5 \mathbb{R}_{--}.$$

Since  $a_3 \leq b_3$ , there is a natural inclusion from  $V''$  to  $W''$ . Therefore It suffices to show the existence of an isovariant map from  $V'$  to  $W'$ . To show this, it suffices to show that there exists an isovariant map

$$f : T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}_{-+} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{--},$$

since  $a_1 - b_1 \leq a_i - b_i$ ,  $i = 2, 4, 5$ . Indeed this is proved as follows. Set  $U = T_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}_{-+} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{--}$ . The dimensions of  $H$ -fixed point sets are the following.

$$\frac{(H)}{\dim U^H} \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c} 1 & D_1 & D'_1 & C_2 & D_2 & C_3 & D_3 & D'_3 & C_6 & D_6 \\ \hline 4 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$$

By Lemma 2.2, it suffices to show that there exists an isovariant map  $f : ST_1 \rightarrow SU$ . It is easily checked that  $ST_1$  is decomposed into  $ST_1 = (G/\langle b \rangle \amalg G/\langle ab \rangle) \cup_\phi G \times I$  as a  $G$ -CW complex, where  $G = D_6$  and  $I$  is the interval  $[0, 1]$ . The attaching map  $\phi : G \times \{0, 1\} \rightarrow G/\langle b \rangle \amalg G/\langle ab \rangle$  is equivariantly defined by  $\phi(1 \times 0) = \langle b \rangle \in G/\langle b \rangle$  and  $\phi(1 \times 1) = \langle ab \rangle \in G/\langle ab \rangle$ . On the other hand,  $SU$  has the 1-dimensional singular set

$$SU^{>1} = \bigcup_{0 \leq t \leq n-1} SU^{\langle a^t b \rangle} \cup SU^{C_2} \cup SU^{C_3}.$$

and its complement  $SU \setminus SU^{>1}$  is path-connected. Note that  $G$  acts freely on  $SU \setminus SU^{>1}$ . Take points  $y, z \in SU$  such that  $G_y = \langle b \rangle$  and  $G_z = \langle ab \rangle$ . Then an isovariant map  $f_0 : G/\langle b \rangle \amalg G/\langle ab \rangle \rightarrow SU$  is defined by  $f_0(g\langle b \rangle) = gy$  and  $f_0(g\langle ab \rangle) = gz$ . Since  $SU \setminus SU^{>1}$  is path-connected, one can take a path  $\varphi : I \rightarrow SU$  such that  $\varphi(0) = y$ ,  $\varphi(1) = z$ , and  $\varphi(I \setminus \{0, 1\}) \subset SU \setminus SU^{>1}$ . Using this map, one can equivariantly extend  $f_0$  to a map  $f : ST_1 \rightarrow SU$ , which is an isovariant map. Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

Finally we discuss (2) of Theorem 4.1.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Suppose  $n \neq 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$ . Let  $k$  and  $l$  be distinct positive integers less than  $n/2$  with  $(k, n) = (l, n) = 1$ . Then there is no  $D_n$ -isovariant map from  $T_k$  to  $T_l$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that there is a  $D_n$ -isovariant map from  $T_k$  to  $T_l$  for some  $k$  and  $l$ . Lemma 2.2 shows that there is a  $D_n$ -isovariant map  $f : ST_k \rightarrow ST_l$ . Note that  $ST_k^{>1} = ST_l^{>1} = \{\exp(\pi t \sqrt{-1}/n) \mid 0 \leq t \leq n-1\}$ . Take  $x = 1$  and  $y = \exp(\pi \sqrt{-1}/n)$ , then the isotropy subgroup  $G_x$  at  $x$  in  $ST_k$  is  $\langle b \rangle$ , and also  $G_y = \langle a^s b \rangle$  in  $ST_k$ , where  $s$  is a positive integer with  $ks \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . Since  $ST_l^{(b)} = \{\pm 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ , it follows that  $f(1) = \pm 1$ . Composing, if necessary, the antipodal map  $z \mapsto -z$  with  $f$ , we may assume  $f(1) = 1$ . Let  $A$  is the shorter arc joining  $x$  with  $y$  in  $ST_k$ . Since every point of the interior of  $A$  has the trivial isotropy subgroup, it follows that  $f(A \setminus \{x, y\})$  is contained in  $ST_l \setminus ST_l^{>1}$ ; hence  $f(y)$  must be  $y$  or  $\bar{y}$ . However the isotropy subgroup at  $y$  (resp.  $\bar{y}$ ) in  $ST_l$  is equal to  $\langle a^r b \rangle$  (resp.  $\langle a^{-r} b \rangle$ ), where  $r$  is a positive integer with



$lr \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , but it is not equal to  $\langle a^s b \rangle$ , since  $l \not\equiv \pm k \pmod{n}$ . This contradicts the isovariance of  $f$ .  $\square$

From this proposition, (2) of Theorem 4.1 is easily seen; in fact, every pair  $(T_k, T_l)$  as above satisfies conditions  $(C_{T_k, T_l})$  and  $(I_{T_k, T_l})$ . Thus the proof is complete.

## References

- [1] T. Bartsch, *On the existence of Borsuk-Ulam theorems*, *Topology* **31** (1992), 533–543.
- [2] K. Borsuk, *Drei Sätze über die  $n$ -dimensionale Sphäre*, *Fund. Math.* **20** (1933), 177–190.
- [3] M. Furuta, *Monopole equation and the 11/8-conjecture*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **8** (2001), 279–291.
- [4] Y. Hara, *The degree of equivariant maps*, *Topology Appl.* **148** (2005), 113–121.
- [5] T. Kobayashi, *The Borsuk-Ulam theorem for a  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -map from a  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -space to  $S^{2n+1}$* , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **97** (1986), 714–716.
- [6] K. Komiya, *Equivariant  $K$ -theoretic Euler classes and maps of representation spheres*, *Osaka J. Math.* **38** (2001), 239–249.
- [7] W. Marzantowicz, *Borsuk-Ulam theorem for any compact Lie group*, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, II. Ser. **49** (1994), 195–208.
- [8] J. Matoušek, *Using the Borsuk-Ulam theorem. Lectures on topological methods in combinatorics and geometry*, Universitext, Springer, 2003.
- [9] I. Nagasaki, *The weak isovariant Borsuk-Ulam theorem for compact Lie groups*, *Arch. Math.* **81** (2003), 348–359.
- [10] I. Nagasaki, *Isovariant Borsuk-Ulam results for pseudofree circle actions and their converse*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **358** (2006), 743–757.
- [11] I. Nagasaki, *The converse of isovariant Borsuk-Ulam results for some abelian groups*, *Osaka J. Math.* **43** (2006), 689–710.
- [12] I. Nagasaki, *A Borsuk-Ulam type theorem for isovariant maps and its converse* (in Japanese), *Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku* **1540** (2007), 172–179.
- [13] I. Nagasaki and F. Ushitaki, *Isovariant maps from free  $C_n$ -manifolds to representation spheres*, *Topology Appl.*, **155** (2008), 1066–1076.
- [14] H. Steinlein, *Borsuk's antipodal theorem and its generalizations and applications: a survey*, *Topological methods in nonlinear analysis*, 166–235, Montreal, 1985.
- [15] H. Steinlein, *Spheres and symmetry: Borsuk's antipodal theorem*, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **1** (1993), 15–33.
- [16] R. S. Palais, *Classification of  $G$ -spaces*, *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **36** (1960).
- [17] J. P. Serre: *Linear representations of finite groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 42. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [18] A. G. Wasserman, *Isovariant maps and the Borsuk-Ulam theorem*, *Topology Appl.* **38** (1991), 155–161.

