

47. Dawson WW, Trick GL, Litzkow CA. Improved electrode for electroretinography. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1979;18:988-991.
48. Gekeler F, Messias A, Ottinger M, Bartz-Schmidt KU, Zrenner E. Phosphenes electrically evoked with DTL electrodes: a study in patients with retinitis pigmentosa, glaucoma, and homonymous visual field loss and normal subjects. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2006;47:4966-4974.
49. Crapper DR, Noell WK. Retinal excitation and inhibition from direct electrical stimulation. *J Neurophysiol* 1963;26:924-947.
50. Knighton RW. An electrically evoked slow potential of the frog's retina. I. Properties of response. *J Neurophysiol* 1975;38:185-197.
51. Stett A, Barth W, Weiss S, Haemmerle H, Zrenner E. Electrical multisite stimulation of the isolated chicken retina. *Vision Res* 2000;40:1785-1795.
52. Kaneko A, Saito T. Ionic mechanisms underlying the responses of off-center bipolar cells in the carp retina. II. Studies on responses evoked by transretinal current stimulation. *J Gen Physiol* 1983;81:603-612.
53. Toyoda J, Fujimoto M. Application of transretinal current stimulation for the study of bipolar-amacrine transmission. *J Gen Physiol* 1984;84:915-925.
54. Shimazu K, Miyake Y, Watanabe S. Retinal ganglion cell response properties in the transcorneal electrically evoked response of the visual system. *Vision Res* 1999;39:2251-2260.
55. Margalit E, Thoreson WB. Inner retinal mechanisms engaged by retinal electrical stimulation. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2006;47:2606-2612.
56. Byzov AL, Trifonov JA. The response to electric stimulation of horizontal cells in the carp retina. *Vis Res* 1968;8:817-822.
57. Murakami M, Takahashi K. Calcium action potential and its use for measurement of reversal potentials of horizontal cell responses in carp retina. *J Physiol* 1987;386:165-180.
58. Takahashi K, Murakami M. Calcium action potential in ON-OFF transient amacrine cell of the carp retina. *Brain Res* 1988;456:29-37.
59. Li L, Hayashida Y, Yagi T. Temporal properties of retinal ganglion cell responses to local transretinal current stimuli in the frog retina. *Vis Res* 2005;45:263-273.
60. Potts AM, Inoue J, Buffum D. The electrically evoked response of the visual system (EER). *Invest Ophthalmol* 1968;7:269-278.
61. Potts AM, Inoue J. The electrically evoked response (EER) of the visual system. II. Effect of adaptation and retinitis pigmentosa. *Invest Ophthalmol* 1969;8:605-612.
62. Potts AM, Inoue J. The electrically evoked response of the visual system (EER). 3. Further contribution to the origin of the EER. *Invest Ophthalmol* 1970;9:814-819.
63. Miyake Y, Yanagida K, Yagasaki K. Clinical application of EER (electrically evoked response). 1. Analysis of EER in normal subjects [in Japanese]. *Nippon Ganka Gakkai Zasshi* 1980;84:354-360.
64. Miyake Y, Yanagida K, Yagasaki K. Clinical application of EER (electrically evoked response). 2. Analysis of EER in patients with dysfunctional rod or cone visual pathway [in Japanese]. *Nippon Ganka Gakkai Zasshi* 1980;84:502-509.
65. Miyake Y, Yanagida K, Yagasaki K. Clinical application of EER (electrically evoked response). 3. Analysis of EER in patients with central retinal arterial occlusion [in Japanese]. *Nippon Ganka Gakkai Zasshi* 1980;84:587-593.
66. Miyake Y, Yanagida K, Yagasaki K. Clinical application of EER (electrically evoked response). Analysis of EER in patients with optic nerve disease [in Japanese]. *Nippon Ganka Gakkai Zasshi* 1980;84:2047-2052.
67. Brindley GS. The site of electrical excitation of the human eye. *J Physiol* 1955;127:189-200.
68. Toi VV, Riva CE. Variations of blood flow at optic disc nerve head induced by sinusoidal flicker stimulation in cats. *J Physiol* 1994;482:189-202.
69. Falsini B, Riva CE, Logean E. Flicker-evoked changes in human optic nerve blood flow: relationship with retinal neural activity. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2002;43:2309-2316.
70. Riva CE, Logean E, Falsini B. Temporal dynamics and magnitude of the blood flow response at the optic disk in normal subjects during functional retinal flicker-stimulation. *Neurosci Lett* 2004;356:75-78.
71. Riva CE, Salgarello T, Logean E, Colotto A, Galan EM, Falsini B. Flicker-evoked response measured at the optic disc rim is reduced in ocular hypertension and early glaucoma. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2004;45:3662-3668.
72. Kelly DH. Visual response to time-dependent stimuli. I. Amplitude sensitivity measurements. *J Opt Soc Am* 1961;51:422-429.
73. Gebhard JW. Thresholds of the human eye for electric stimulation by different wave forms. *J Exp Psychol* 1952;44:132-140.
74. Regan D. A high frequency mechanism which underlies visual evoked potentials. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1968;25:231-237.
75. Tanino T, Kato S, Kawasumi M. Studies on electrically evoked pupillary reflex—indirect reflex and its frequency characteristic. *Jpn J Ophthalmol* 1981;25:423-429.
76. Malonek D, Grinvald A. Interactions between electrical activity and cortical microcirculation revealed by imaging spectroscopy: implications for functional brain mapping. *Science* 1996;272:551-554.
77. Pouratian N, Toga A. Optical imaging based on intrinsic signals. In: Toga AW, Mazziotta JC, editors. *Brain mapping*. San Diego: Academic Press; 2002. p. 97-140.
78. Barriga ES, Pattichis M, Ts'o D, et al. Spatiotemporal independent component analysis for the detection of functional responses in cat retinal images. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 2007;26:1035-1045.
79. Fukuda M, Rajagopalan UM, Homma R, Matsumoto M, Nishizaki M, Tanifuji M. Localization of activity-dependent changes in blood volume to submillimeter-scale functional domains in cat visual cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 2005;15:823-833.
80. Longo A, Geiser M, Riva CE. Subfoveal choroidal blood flow in response to light-dark exposure. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2000;41:2678-2683.
81. Riva CE, Harino S, Shonath RD, Petrig BL. Flicker evoked increase in optic nerve head blood flow in anesthetized cats. *Neurosci Lett* 1991;128:291-296.
82. Riva CE, Falsini B, Logean E. Flicker-evoked responses of human optic nerve head blood flow: luminance versus chromatic modulation. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2001;42:756-762.
83. Viswanathan S, Frishman LJ, Robson JG, Harwerth RS, Smith EL 3rd. The photopic negative response of the macaque electroretinogram: reduction by experimental glaucoma. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1999;40:1124-1136.
84. Viswanathan S, Frishman LJ, Robson JG, Walters JW. The photopic negative response of the flash electroretinogram in primary open angle glaucoma. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2001;42:514-522.
85. Narahashi T. Chemicals as tools in the study of excitable membranes. *Physiol Rev* 1974;54:813-889.
86. Bloomfield SA. Effect of spike blockade on the receptive-field size of amacrine and ganglion cells in the rabbit retina. *J Neurophysiol* 1996;75:1878-1893.
87. Quigley HA, Green WR. The histology of human glaucoma cupping and optic nerve damage: clinicopathologic correlation in 21 eyes. *Ophthalmology* 1979;86:1803-1830.
88. Quigley HA, Addicks EM, Green WR. Optic nerve damage in human glaucoma. III. Quantitative correlation of nerve fiber loss and visual field defect in glaucoma, ischemic neuropathy, papilledema, and toxic neuropathy. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1982;100:135-146.
89. Quigley HA, Dunkelberger GR, Green WR. Retinal ganglion cell atrophy correlated with automated perimetry in human eyes with glaucoma. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1989;107:453-464.

90. Glovinsky Y, Quigley HA, Dunkelberger GR. Retinal ganglion cell loss is size dependent in experimental glaucoma. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1991;32:484-491.
91. Frishman LJ, Shen FF, Du L, et al. The scotopic electroretinogram of macaque after retinal ganglion cell loss from experimental glaucoma. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1996;37:125-141.
92. Kerrigan-Baumrind LA, Quigley HA, Pease ME, Kerrigan DF, Mitchell RS. Number of ganglion cells in glaucoma eyes compared with threshold visual field tests in the same persons. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2000;41:741-748.
93. McIlwain JT. Receptive fields of optic tract axons and lateral geniculate cells: peripheral extent and barbiturate sensitivity. *J Neurophysiol* 1964;27:1154-1173.
94. McIlwain JT. Some evidence concerning physiological basis of periphery effect in cats retina. *Exp Brain Res* 1966;1:265-271.
95. Derrington AM, Lennie P, Wright MJ. Mechanism of peripherally evoked-responses in retinal ganglion-cells. *J Physiol* 1979;289: 299-310.
96. Toth LJ, Rao SC, Kim DS, Somers D, Sur M. Subthreshold facilitation and suppression in primary visual cortex revealed by intrinsic signal imaging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1996;93:9869-9874.
97. Kaplan E, Benardete E. The dynamics of primate retinal ganglion cells. *Prog Brain Res* 2001;134:17-34.
98. Yao XC, Zhao YB. Optical dissection of stimulus-evoked retinal activation. *Opt Exp* 2008;16:12446-12459.
99. Zhao YB, Yao XC. Intrinsic optical imaging of stimulus-modulated physiological responses in amphibian retina. *Opt Lett* 2008;33:342-344.
100. Srinivasan VJ, Wojtkowski M, Fujimoto JG, Duker JS. In vivo measurement of retinal physiology with high-speed ultrahigh-resolution optical coherence tomography. *Opt Lett* 2006;31: 2308-2310.

