Nd	Ser	Age	Disease	Domor	HEA	Prophylaxis		At the sta.	At the start of MTX		Duration	Overall		At the time t	At the time of last contact
					dusparity	Jos Grato	GHAĐO	П	TSd	Other	of MIA (months)	response	PST	MTX or	Ourcome (no. of days
							From	Type	MR/Kg	agent			(Mg/KK)	omer agent	follow-up), and streatse
	N	17	WAS	UBM	0	FK506/sMTX	Quie	Lim	1.6	FK 506	10	CR	0	1	Alive (2163)
	ĮĮ,	6	ALL	UBM	0	FK506/sMTX	Ome	Lim	5'0	CsA	27	CR	0.17	MMF	Alive (1922) in CR
	Ĭ.	9	ALL	RBM	0	CsA	Prog	E	0.0	FK 506	31	CR	0	MTX/FK506	Alive (1307) in CR
-1	í.	-1	JMML	RBM	0	CsA	Omje	H	1.0	CsA	100	CR	0.13	MTX/CsA	Alive (183) in CR
_	ĮĮ.	21	HH	RBM/DL1	0	CsA	Ome	Ex	+48	1	Y	PR	0	1	Alive (2507) in CR
	IJ.	10	ALL	RBM	300	CsA/sMTX	Ome	Ex	8.0	1	63	PR	0	MTX	Alive (2390) in CR
	ŭ,	Ξ	ALL	RPB	0	CsA	Prog	EX	2	CSA	41	PR	0	1	Alive (2150) in CR
	ш	in	ALL	RPB	0	CsA	Ome	Ex	1	CsA	53	PR	0	MTX	Alive (1984) in CR
200	N	16	ALL	UBM	_	FK506/sMTX	Ome	EX	0.2	1	91	PR	0.13	MTX/CsA	Alive (794) in CR
-	iz.	9	AML	UBM	64	FK506/sMTX	Onic	Ex	0.55	CsA	Ξ	PR	0.15	MTX/CsA	Alive (774) m CR
	N	91	ALL	RPB	0	CsA	Onie	Ex	0.52	CSA	00	MR	0.07	MMF	Alive (1583) in CR
	Z	9	ALL.	E)O	-	FK506/sMTX	Ome	Ä	0.16	1	00	MR	80.0	MTX	Alive (618) in CR.
_	Z	6	ALL	RBM	C	FK506/sMTX	Quie	E	0.5	FK 506	.89	Z	0	MTX	Alive (2280) in CR
an i	i.	10	ALL	UBM	0	FK506/sMTX	Prog	E	0.3	j.	57	Z	0.1	MTX	Alive (2181) in CR
214	N	15	AML	UBM/ DL1	0	FK 506/sMTX	Ome	Ex	0.16	1	30	N. N.	0.4	MTX	Alive (1760) in CR
_	N	.91	ALL	UBM	0	FK 506/sMTX	Ome	Ex	2	CcA	0	Z	1.7	CsA	Died (272)
	ĮĽ,	00	CML	UBM	0	FK506/sMTX	Ome	Ex	0.17	1	14	ZZ	0.28	MTX/MMF	Alive (523) in CR

Abbreviations: GVHD = chronic GVHD; CML = chronic myelogenous leukemin; CR = complete response; DLI = donor lymphocyte infusion; F = female; FK506 = tacrolimus; HLH = hemophagocytic lympholistocytosis; JMLM = juvemile myelomonocytic leukemin; M = male; NR = no response = PR = partial response = MR = mixed response = MMF = mycophenolate mofetil, prog = progressives PSL = prediscoute; RBM = related bone marrow; RPB = related peripheral blood; SMTX = short-term MTX; UBM = unrelated bone marrow; UCB = unrelated cord blood; UPN = unique patent number; WAS = Wiskort-Aldrick syndome.



of GVHD were histologically confirmed in the diagnosis of either acute or chronic GVHD.

#### Treatment prior to low-dose MTX

All but one of the 10 patients with aGVHD were treated with calcineurin inhibitor and 2 mg/kg prednisone at the start of the low-dose MTX administration. Eight of these patients received high-dose methylprednisolone (pulse) therapies resulting in poor response prior to the low-dose MTX. In one patient, aGVHD of grade III occurred after DLI and prednisone of 2 mg/kg was administered prior to low-dose MTX.

Ten of seventeen patients with cGVHD received a calcineurin inhibitor in combination with various doses of prednisone and remaining seven patients including two patients post DLI were with prednisone alone at the start of low-dose MTX. No other immunosuppressive agents were used prior to MTX for the treatment of acute or chronic GVHD.

# Evaluation of response and toxicity

We evaluated overall response in patients with acute and chronic GVHD treated with low-dose MTX as follows; a complete response (CR) was defined as the resolution of all clinical manifestations of aGVHD. Partial response (PR) was defined as an improvement in at least one involved organ without deterioration in others nor the emergence of other organs. Mixed response (MR) was defined as improvement in at least one organ with deterioration in another organ or the emergence of involvement of other organs. No response (NR) was defined as no improvement or deterioration of all affected organs. The manifestation of each organ in acute and chronic GVHD after low-dose MTX was estimated as resolution, improvement, stable or progression.

The toxicity of low-dose MTX was graded based on the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 3.0, according to physical examination of patients and laboratory findings including complete blood counts, liver function tests and renal function tests.

## Results

## Responses

Responses and outcomes in patients with aGVHD are shown in Table 1. The median number of low-dose MTX administrations was five (range, 1–7). Seven of the ten (70%) patients responded well to low-dose MTX and achieved CR or PR. The dose of prednisone was successfully reduced to equal to or lower than 1 mg/kg in these seven responding patients at the end of the MTX therapy. CR was achieved by three of the four patients with aGVHD grade II with stage 3 cutaneous involvement without any other involved organs, and two of six patients with grade III with skin and the gut involvement (one had skin stage 2, gut stage 2 and the other skin stage 2, gut stage 3). In CR and PR patients, signs of improvement of GVHD-related symptoms appeared within median 4 (range, 2–7) days after low-dose MTX administration without additional agents.

No other MR patient was identified. Three NR patients had aGVHD of grade III including gut involvement of equal to or more severe than stage 3 and liver disease of equal to or more severe than stage 2, either with or without skin disease. They all died of infectious complications following the progression of aGVHD.

The responses and outcomes of the patients with cGVHD are shown in Table 2. The median duration of low-dose MTX administration was 18 (range, 0-68) months. CR was achieved in four patients, PR in six, MR in two and NR in five patients, respectively. Two of four CR cases had the limited disease and the other 2 cases had the extensive disease, including cutaneous and oral mucosal involvement. In these four CR patients, three began low-dose MTX soon after the diagnosis of cGVHD and the remaining patient began 25 days after the diagnosis. At the time of the last contact, seven patients discontinued prednisone and three of these patients were free from any other immunosuppressive agent. In all except one patient could the dose of prednisone be reduced to lower than 0.4 mg/kg, but four patients were treated with an additional agent such as CsA or MMF. Although the efficacy of low-dose MTX was not observed in NR patients, in two (UPN 179 and 185) of five NR patients, the dose of prednisone could be reduced to lower than 50% of baseline dose after a long duration of MTX administration. Three patients developed the progressive forms of cGVHD with skin involvement of more than 50% of the body surface area. In two of these three patients, cGVHD positively responded to MTX therapy, thus resulting in CR and PR, respectively and prednisone was therefore eventually discontinued. The other patient did not respond to MTX, however, the dose of prednisone could be reduced to 0.1 mg/kg at the time of last contact, namely, 57 months after the start of MTX administration.

The responses in each of the involved organs are shown in Table 3. In the case of aGVHD, seven (88%) of the eight patients with skin diseases showed favorable response, resulting in resolution or improvement. On the other hand, in the cases with aGVHD of the liver, only one (25%) of four cases responded. In the cases with aGVHD of the gut, responses were observed in three (50%) of six cases. In cGVHD, resolution or improvement was observed in 8 (57%) of 14 cases with the oral mucosa, 6 (55%) of 11 cases with the liver and 5 (42%) of 12 cases with the skin.

Table 3 Response of involved organs

Site	Acute GVHD			Chronic GVHD					
	Skin	Liver	Gut	Skin	Oral mucosa	Liver	GI	Even	
n.	8	4	6	12	14	11	1	2	
Resolution	5	0	2	3	7	2	1	1	
Improvement	2	1	1	2	1	4	0	1	
Stable	1	1	1	5	4	5	0	0	
Progression	0	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	

Abbreviation: GI = gastrointestine.

Toxicity related to low-dose MTX

	Hemo	nological to	viciti	Liver toxicity		
	ANC	Hh	PLT	AST	ALT	
Grade III	0	0	1	1	î	
Grade IV	T	0	2	.0	0	

Abbreviations ALT = alanine aminotransferase; ANC = absolute neutrophil count; AST = aspartate aminotransferase; Hb = hemoglobin; PLT = platelet count

#### Toxicity

Table 4

Severe toxicity greater than grade III was observed in six patients (Table 4). As for any hematological adverse effect, one patient developed grade IV neutropenia and three patients developed grade III to IV thrombocytopenia. Both neutropenia and thrombocytopenia occurred in one patient (UPN 267) soon after the first dose of MTX. She suffered from refractory aGVHD of grade III and her general condition rapidly deteriorated with progressive pancytopenia before MTX.

Two patients had elevated levels of serum transaminase up to grade III toxicity and improved after interruption of MTX. Although the liver enzyme levels elevated in other many patients, the levels were limited to grade I to II. No other adverse effects of grade III or more were observed. All instances of grade I and II toxicity, including the liver and marrow, later resolved without any treatment.

One patient (UPN 263) with aGVHD developed hemorrhagic cystitis with BK virus occurring 6 weeks after the beginning of MTX. Another patient (UPN 260) died of pulmonary aspergillosis. He suffered from cGVHD of the skin, liver and lung for about 3 months. No other infectious complications evidently related to MTX therapy occurred

Overall, the low-dose MTX therapy was well tolerated and median duration of this therapy for cGVHD was 18 months (range, 0-68).

# Survival

Overall, 4 of 10 patients with aGVHD died and the probability of survival was 58.3% (95% CI 26.7-89.9%). Three patients with aGVHD grade III died of infectious complications following severe aGVHD and one died of relapsed underlying disease. One of 17 patients with cGVHD died of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis which overlapped with steroid-refractory eGVHD and the probability of survival was 93.8% (95% CI 81.8-105.8%).

## Discussion

Acute GVHD is one of the major causes of morbidity and mortality after allogeneic HSCT. It has been reported that only less than 50% of patients showed complete response to initial treatment with steroid for aGVHD. 24.25 A salvage treatment is often required for patients who fail to respond to steroid therapy but high mortality is reported in these steroid-refractory cases. 43 To date, many investigators have demonstrated the poor outcome of patients treated

with ATG for steroid-refractory aGVHD 526-28 In these reports, the major causes of death were progressive aGVHD, infectious complication or post transplant lymphoproliferative disorder. Recently, efficacies of monoclonal antibodies to some inflammatory cytokines against aGVHD were reported in many literatures. One of these reports demonstrated that 13 (62%) of 21 patients who received infliximab for steroid-refractory aGVHD experienced complete response.29 However, high rates of infections were also observed and the overall survival rate was 38%. Others have shown similar associations between the use of monoclonal antibodies and infections in aGVHD patients.30,31 Similarly, the use of MMF for steroidrefractory aGVHD was reported to have relations to high incidence of infectious complications 32 Pentostatin for the treatment of 23 patients in steroid-refractory aGVHD as phase I dose escalation study was reported in 2005.33 Although the response rate in that report was 78%, only five patients were alive. Most patients died of refractory GVHD, relapse of disease, or infectious complications. These results showed that one of the most important factors contributing to the lower survival rate after the salvage therapy was the intensification of immunosuppression leading to the opportunistic infection.

Chronic GVHD is also the major cause of non-relapse mortality in patients surviving more than 2 years after allogeneic transplantation.3 One prospective cohort study demonstrated that response rates to combination therapy with steroid, CsA and azathioprine were 61, 53 and 50% at 6 months, I year and 2 years, respectively and the overall survival rate was 39% at 10 years 34 In addition, cGVHD is associated with substantial deficits of the quality of life such as decreased physical and functional status, sexual inactivity and frequent infection. 35 The long-term treatment with prednisone, which is one of the agents in the first-line treatments for cGVHD, may enhance this compromised quality of life in patients with cGVHD. As a result, mortality in chronic GVHD is largely attributable to infection. 2.3,34 As the secondary or salvage treatment for cGVHD, a number of trials have been published. Most of them reported a success rate of 25 to 50% using a variety of agents such as MMF, a monoclonal antibody to the inflammatory cytokines and rituximab with possibility of increasing risk of infection. 3,36,37

Considering the circumstances mentioned above, one of the most important strategies for the treatment of acute and chronic GVHD is to lessen the risk of infection. Because it is considered that low-dose MTX has little impact for immunosuppression leading to the risk of infectious complications, the agent may be beneficial for patients with lower immune function by prior intensive immunosuppressive treatment. In the present study, many patients with acute and chronic GVHD was able to reduce the dose of prednisone after the initiation of low-dose MTX without increasing the risk of opportunistic infection and other complications caused by long-term prednisone treatment. In addition, only 1 of 27 patients demonstrated a relapse of an underlying disease, thus suggesting that low-dose MTX does not increase the risk of relapse.

The results of the present study indicate that low-dose MTX might be ineffective in cases of aGVHD with stage 4

disease of the gut or multiple organ involvement. For these patients, a more immunosuppressive agent might thus be needed. In seven patients with aGVHD who responded to this treatment regimen, an improvement of GVHD-related symptoms appeared within median 4 (range, 2-7) days after the initiation of low-dose MTX without any additional agents. It seems that if a sign of the improvement of aGVHD is observed within a week after the first dose of MTX, then a good response may be expected in aGVHD. Low-dose MTX seemed to be effective for cGVHD of the liver, oral mucosa and skin involvement. Depending on the responding organs, the manifestations of the oral mucosa and skin tended to improve within a few weeks after the initiation of MTX treatment while it might take a long time for the findings of liver function tests to normalize. In fact, most of the observed responses in cGVHD of the liver demonstrated either an improvement or stable disease. Many other medications or complications after HSCT might also influence the resolution process of liver disease. Sixteen of seventeen patients were alive at last follow-up either with or without a small dose of prednisone. Given the long-term usage of prednisone influence to morbidity and mortality, this result suggested that lowdose MTX might have a potential to lessen mortality, thus possibly improving the quality of life of patients with cGVHD. The number of cases investigated in this study was too small to draw any definitive conclusions, and therefore further large-scale analyses are required.

Severe toxicities occurred only in a few patients presenting cytopenias or elevated levels of the serum transaminases. Most of these adverse events developed in advanced aGVHD patients. Because these patients were extremely ill and were on many medications that have myelo- and/or hepatotoxicity, it was difficult to evaluate whether these toxicities were related to MTX alone. There were no episodes of severe infectious complications obviously related to MTX. No other well-described adverse event such as gastrointestinal symptoms, immune-mediated pneumonitis, renal impairment and secondary malignancies were seen even in the patients with long-term follow up. These results suggested that low-dose MTX might therefore be safe and well tolerated for long-term use over a period of several years, even in the post transplant setting.

There are two published reports, which evaluated the feasibility of MTX in the treatment of GVHD. Giaccone et al.15 demonstrated the possible steroid-sparing effect of low-dose MTX for patients with long-standing, severe chronic GVHD. Despite the fact that 12 of 14 patients in this retrospective study had at least one high-risk feature such as scleroderma, fasciitis or thrombocytopenia, the disease could be successfully controlled in 10 patients with prednisone at doses below 1 mg/kg every other day without the addition of other agents. On the other hand, Huang et al. 16 reported the result of a clinical study using low-dose MTX to treat patients with acute and chronic GVHD. Most patients in this study were not in the advanced stages of GVHD and the response rate was very high for both acute and chronic GVHD including post DLI GVHD. In addition to the efficacy of low-dose MTX, these two reports showed the safety and tolerability of this agent and our present study supported and confirmed the more long-term use of MTX over a period of several years even for children.

In conclusion, low-dose MTX is less toxic, easy to administer and effective to the steroid-refractory or -dependent GVHD, in addition, reducing the dosage and duration of steroid therapy. Low-dose MTX is worthy of further evaluation as second-line treatment of severe GVHD.

#### References

- 1 Armitage JO. Bone marrow transplantation. N Engl J Med 1994; 330: 827–838.
- 2 Vogelsang GB. How I treat chronic graft-versus-host disease. Blood 2001; 97: 1196–1201.
- 3 Lee SJ, Vogelsang G, Flowers MED. Chronic graft-versushost disease. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2003; 9: 215–233.
- 4 Martin PJ, Schoch G, Fisher L, Byers V, Appelbaum FR, McDonald GB et al. A retrospective analysis of therapy for acute graft-versus-host disease: secondary treatment. Blood 1991; 77: 1821–1828.
- 5 Arai S, Margolis J, Zaburak M, Anders V, Vogelsang GB. Poor outcome in steroid-refractory graft-versus-host disease with antithymocyte globulin treatment. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2002; 8: 155-160.
- 6 Grim J, Chladek J, Martinkova J. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of methotrexate in non-neoplastic disease. Clin Pharmacokinet 2003; 42: 139–151.
- 7 Kozarek RA, Patterson DJ, Gelfand MD, Botoman VA, Ball TJ, Wilske KR. Methotrexate induces clinical and histologic remission in patients with refractory inflammatory bowel disease. *Ann Intern Med* 1989; 110: 353–356.
- 8 Mack DR, Young R, Kaufman SS, Ramey L, Vanderhoof JA. Methotrexate in patients with Crohn's disease after 6-mercaptopurine. J Pediatr 1998; 132: 830–835.
- 9 Boehm IB, Boehm GA, Bauer R. Management of cutaneous lupus erythematosus with low-dose methotrexate: indication for modulation of inflammatory mechanism. *Rheumatol Int* 1998: 18, 59-62.
- 10 van Ede AE, Laan RF, Blom HJ, De Abreu RA, van de Putte LB. Methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis: an update with focus on mechanisms involved toxicity. Semin Arthritis Rheum 1998: 27: 277-292.
- 11 Chao NJ, Schmidt GM, Niland JC, Amylon MD, Dagis AC, Long DG et al. Cyclosporine, methotrexate, and prednisone compared with cyclosporine and prednisone for prophylaxis of acute graft-versus-host disease. N Engl J Med 1993; 329: 1225–1230.
- 12 Storb R, Deeg HJ, Whitehead J, Appelbaum F, Beatty P, Bensinger W et al. Methotrexate and cyclosporine compared with cyclosporine alone for prophylaxis of acute graft versus host disease after marrow transplantation for leukemia. N Engl J Med 1986; 314: 729–735.
- 13 Nash RA, Antin JH, Karanes C, Fay JW, Avalos BR, Yeager AM et al. Phase 3 study comparing methotrexate and tacrolimus with methotrexate and cyclosporine for prophylaxis of acute graft-versus-host disease after marrow transplantation from unrelated donors. Blood 2000; 96: 2062–2068.
- 14 Przepiorka D, Ippoliti C, Khouri I, Woo M, Mehra R, Bherz DL et al. Tacrolimus and minidose methotrexate for prevention of acute graft-versus-host disease after matched unrelated donor marrow transplantation. Blood 1996; 88: 4383-4389.
- 15 Giaccone L, Martin P, Carpenter P, Moravec C, Hooper H, Funke VAM et al. Safety and potential efficacy of low-dose

- methotrexate for treatment of chronic graft-versus-host disease Bone Marrow Transplant 2005; 36: 337-341.
- 16 Huang XJ, Jiang Q, Chen H, Xu L, Liu D, Chen Y et al. Low-dose methotrexate for the treatment of graft-versus-host disease after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant 2005; 36: 343–348.
- Seitz M. Molecular and cellular effects of methotrexate. Curr Onin Rheumatol 1999; 11: 226-232.
- 18 Imamura M, Hashino S, Kobayashi H, Kubayashi S, Hirano S, Minagawa T et al. Serum cytokine levels in bone marrow transplantation: synergistic interaction of interleukin-6, interferon-y, and tumor necrosis factor-a in graft-versus-host disease. Bone Marrow Transplant 1994; 13: 745-751.
- 19 Jacobsohn DA, Vogelsang GB. Anti-cytokine therapy for the treatment of graft-versus-host disease. Curr Pharm Des 2004; 10: 1195–1205.
- 20 Ferrara JLM. Paradigm shift for graft-versus-host disease. Bone Marrow Transplant 1994, 14, 183-184.
- Ferrara JLM, Reddy P. Pathophysiology of graft-versus-host disease. Semin Hematol 2006; 43: 3–10.
- 22 Przepiorka D, Weisdorf D, Martin P, Klingemann H-G, Beatty P, Hows J et al. 1994 Consensus conference on acute GVHD grading. Bone Marrow Transplant 1995; 15: 825-828
- 23 Shulman HM, Sullivan KM, Weiden PL, McDonald GB, Striker GE, Sale GE et al. Chronic graft-versus-host disease syndrome in man. A long-term clinico-pathologic study of 20 Seattle patients. Am J Med 1980; 69: 204–217.
- 24 Weisdorf D, Haake R, Blazar B, Miller W, McGlave P, Ramsay N et al. Treatment of moderate/severe acute graftversus-host disease after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation: an analysis of clinical risk features and outcome. Blood 1990: 75: 1024–1030.
- 25 Roy J, McGlave PB, Filipovich AH, Miller WJ, Blazar BR, Ramsay NK et al. Acute graft-versus-host disease following unrelated donor marrow transplantation: failure of conventional therapy. Bone Marrow Transplant 1992; 10: 77-82
- 26 Khoury H, kashyap A. Adkins DR, Brown RA, Miller G, Vij R et al. Treatment of steroid-resistant acute graft-versus-host disease with anti-thymocyte globulin. Bone Marrow Transplant 2001; 27: 1059–1064.

- 27 Remberger M, Aschan J, Barkholt L, Tollemar J, Ringden O. Treatment of severe acute graft-versus-host disease with anti-thymocyte globulin. Clin Transplant 2001; 15: 147–153.
- 28 McCaul KG, Nevill TJ, Barnett MJ, Toze CL, Currie CJ, Sutherland HJ et al. Treatment of steroid-resistant acute graftversus-host disease with rabbit antithymocyte globulin J Henutother Stem Cell Res 2000: 9: 367-374.
- 29 Couriel D, Saliba R, Hicks K, Ippoliti C, De Lima M, Hosing C et al. Tumor necrosis factor-α blockade for the treatment of acute GVHD. Blood 2004; 104: 649–654.
- 30 Marty FM, Lee SJ, Fahey MM, Alyea EP, Soiffer RJ, Antin JH et al. Infliximab use in patients with severe graft-versus-host disease and other emerging risk factors of non-Candida invasive fungal infections in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients: a cohort study. Blood 2003; 102: 2768–2776.
- 31 Wolff D, Roessler V, Steiner B, Wilhelm S, Weirich V, Brenmoehl J et al. Treatment of steroid-resistant acute graftversus-host disease with daclizumab and etanercept. Bone Marrow Transplant 2005; 35: 1003–1010.
- 32 Krejci M, Doubek M, Buchler T, Brychtova Y, Vorlicek J, Mayer J. Mycophenolate mofetil for the treatment of acute and chronic steroid-refractory graft-versus-host disease. Ann Hematol 2005: 84: 681-685.
- 33 Bolanos-Meade J, Jacobsohn DA, Margolis J, Ogden A, Wientjes MG, Byrd JC et al. Pentostatin in steroid-refractory acute graft-versus-host disease. J Clin Oncol 2005; 23: 2661–2668.
- 34 Arora M, Burns LJ, Davies SM, MacMillan ML, Defor TE, Miller WJ et al. Chronic graft-versus-host disease: a prospective cohort study. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2003; 9: 38–45.
- 35 Akpek G, Lee SJ, Flowers ME, Pavletic SZ, Arora M, Lee S et al. Performance of a new clinical grading system for chronic graft-versus-host disease: a multicenter study. Blood 2003; 102: 802–809.
- 36 Lopez F, Parker P, Nademanee A, Rodriguez R, Al-Kadhimi Z, Bhatia R et al. Efficacy of mycophenolate mofetil in the treatment of chronic graft-versus-host disease. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2005; 11: 307–313.
- 37 Cutler C, Miklos D, Kim HT, Treister N, Woo SB, Bienfang D et al. Rituximab for steroid-refractory chronic graft-versushost disease. Blood 2006; 108: 756–762.