be noted that use of ANOVA causes the error of the second kind. Because of this, some of the recent studies skipped ANOVA in the decision tree and straight away used the statistical tools for *post hoc* comparison (Sumida *et al.*, 2006; Nagano *et al.*, 2006).

For the analysis of qualitative data, chi-square and Fisher's tests do not seem to be appropriate, though Fisher's test is slightly more sensitive than the chi-square test. These two tests do not detect a significant difference between a finding in the dosage group and control group, when all the animals (5/5) show the finding in the dosage group and 2 animals in the control group (2/5). On the other hand, Mann-Whitney's *U* test, which converts the scores into numerical values, detects a significant difference, when the finding in the dosage group is 5/5 and control group is 2/5. Therefore, Mann-Whitney's *U* test has better sensitivity to analyze qualitative data than the chi-square and Fisher's tests. Trend test like Jonckheere test can be used to determine no observed adverse effect level/ no observed effect level (NOAEL/NOAL) in the twenty-eight-day repeated dose oral toxicity tests. The statistical tools used, especially in the case of non-parametric tests, to determine the NOAEL/NOAL may be clearly elaborated in the study report.

We propose Dunnett's test for the analysis of quantitative data obtained from twenty-eight-day repeated dose oral toxicity tests in rodents and for qualitative data, Mann-Whitney's *U* test. For both tests, one-sided test with p=0.05 may be applied.

Table 2. A classification of number of studies based on the statistical tools used for the analysis of qualitative data.

Tool. No.	Description of statistical tools		Number of studies
1	Scored data	Frequency data	6
	Mann-Whitney's *U* test (two-sided, $p<0.05$)	Fisher's test (one-sided, $p<0.05$)	
2	Urinalysis	Pathological findings	7
	Cumulated Chi-square test (two-sided, $p<0.05$, $p<0.01$)	Mann-Whitney's *U* test (two-sided, $p<0.05$, $p<0.01$)	
3	Urinalysis	Pathological findings	13
	Cumulated Chi-square test ($p<0.05$)	Mann-Whitney's *U* test (two-sided, $p<0.05$)Fisher's test (one-sided test, $p<0.05$)	
4	Pathological findings		26
	Fisher's test (one-sided test, $p<0.05$)		
5	Pathological findings		19
	Chi-square test ($p<0.05$)		
6	FOB, urinalysis and differential leucocytes		15
	Kruskal-Wallis's *H* test, Mann-Whitney's *U* test ($p<0.05$)		
7	Urinalysis and pathological findings		9
	Mann-Whitney's *U* test (two-sided, $p<0.05$, $p<0.01$)		
8	Pathological findings		1
	Fisher's test		
9	FOB, sense function test and macroscopic and microscopic findings of pathology		1
	Wilcoxon rank-sum test, Fisher's test and Mann-Whitney's *U* test ($p<0.05$, $p<0.01$)		
10	Pathological findings		4
	Nonparametric type Dunnett's test or non-parametric type Scheffe's test, and Cochran-Armitage's trend test		
11	FOB, sense function test and macroscopic and microscopic findings of pathology		21
	No statistical tool mentioned		
Total			122

Table 3. Use of one-sided or two-sided test for short-term repeated dose administration toxicity studies with rats.

Data	One-sided	Two-sided	No mentioned	Total
Quantitative	22	13	87	122
Qualitative	34	22	70	126

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Research described in this paper was supported by Grant (Project name: Development of Hazard Assessment Techniques Using Structure-activity Relationship Methods) from New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization (NEDO).

REFERENCES

Dunnett, C.W. (1964): New tables for multiple comparisons with a control. Biometrics, September, 482-491.

Finney, D.J. (1995): Thoughts suggested by a recent paper: Questions on non-parametric analysis of quantitative data (Letter to editor). J. Toxicol. Sci., **20**, 165-170.

Hamada, C., Yoshino, K., Matsumoto, K., Nomura, M. and Yoshimura, I. (1998): Three-type algorithm for statistical analysis in chronic toxicity studies. J. Toxicol. Sci., **23**, 173-181.

Inaba, T. (1994): Problem of multiple comparison tests for evaluation of enzyme inhibitor X1. Bull. Jap. Soc. Biopharm. Stat., **40**, 33-36.

Jonckheere, A. R. (1954): A distribution-tree k-sample test against ordered alternatives. Biometrika, **41**, 133-145.

Kobayashi, K., Watanabe, K. and Inoue, H. (1995): Questioning the usefulness of the nonparametric analysis of quantitative data by transformation into ranked data in toxicity studies. J.Toxicol. Sci., **20**, 47-53.

Kobayashi, K. (1997a): A comparison of one- and two-sided tests for judging significant differences in quantitative data obtained in toxicological bioassay of laboratory animals. J. Occup. Health, **39**, 29-35.

Kobayashi, K., Ohori, K., Kobayashi, M. and Takeuchi, H. (1997b): Choice of method for statistical analysis of quantitative data obtained from toxicological studies. San Ei Shi, **39**, 86-92 (in Japanese).

Kobayashi, K., Ohori, K., Kanamori, M. and Takeuchi, H. (1997c): Comparison to Dunnett and *t*-test for two groups. Jap. Soc. Occup. Health, Tokai Branch, pp.56-57 (No. 203).

Kobayashi, K., Miura, D., Ohori, K., Kobayashi, M., Kanamori, M. and Takeuchi, H. (1997d): Statistics for grade data of pathological findings. 43th. Tokai-koshueisei-gagai, (No. 3-03).

Kobayashi, K., Miura, D., Watari, N., Yamamoto, T., Shoji, A., Kitajima, S., Shiya, M., An, R., Sho, H. and Takasaki, K. (1998): A view of Bartlett test for small sized animal setting. Shizuoka Experimental Animals Research Association, **25**, 8-13 (in Japanese).

Kobayashi, K., Kanamori, M., Ohori, K. and Takeuchi, H. (2000a): A new decision tree method for statistical analysis of quantitative data obtained in toxicity studies on rodent. San Ei Shi, **42**, 125-129 (in Japanese).

Kobayashi, K. (2000b): Trends of the decision tree for selecting hypothesis-testing procedures for the quantitative data obtained in the toxicological bioassay of the rodents in Japan. J. Environ. Biol., **21**, 1-9.

NIH(2006): http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/index.cfm?objectid=084801F0-F43F-7B74-0BE549908B5E5C1C

MHLW (2006): http://wwwdb.mhlw.go.jp/ginc/html/db1-j.html

Nagano, K., Umeda, Y., Senoh, H., Gotoh, K., Arito, H., Yamamoto, S. and Matsushima, T. (2006): Carcinogenicity and chronic toxicity in rats and mice exposed by inhalation to 1, 2-dichloroethane for two years. J. Occup. Health, **48**, 424-436.

OECD (1995): Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. OECD Guideline for the Testing of Chemicals. 407 Adopted 27.07.95. Repeated Dose 28-day Oral Toxicity Study in Rodents. Geneva.

Sakaki, H., Igarashi, S., Ikeda, T., Imamizo, K., Omichi, T., Kadota, M., Kawaguchi, T., Takizawa, T., Tsukamoto, O., Terai, K., Tozuka, K., Hirata, J., Handa, J., Mizuma, H., Murakami, M., Yamada, M. and Yokouchi, H. (2000): Statistical method appropriate for general toxicological studies in rats. J. Toxicol. Sci., **25**, 71-98 (in Japanese).

Sano, M. and Okayama, Y. (1990): Programs of Dunnett's multiple comparison test by one and two sided with high accuracy table. Bull. Jap. Soc. Biopharm. Stat., **32**, 21-44 (in Japanese).

Sumida, K., Saito, K., Oeda, K., Otsuka, M., Tsujimura, K., Miyaura, H., Sekijima, M., Nakayama, K., Kawano, Y., Kawakami, Y., Asamoto, M. and Shirai, T. (2006): Optimization of an animal test protocol for toxicogenomics studies (II). J.Toxicol. Sci., **32**, 47-56.

USEPA (2000): United States Environmental Protection Agency. Health Effects Test Guidelines. OPPTS 870. 3050. Repeated Dose 28-Day Oral Toxicity Study in Rodents. Washington, D.C., USA.

USFDA (2000): United States Food and Drug Administration. Short-Term Toxicity Studies with Rodents. Toxicological Principle for the Safety Assessment of Food Ingredients. Redbook 2000. Rockville, USA.

Yamazaki, M., Noguchi, Y., Tanda, M. and Shintani, S. (1981): Statistical method appropriates for general toxicological studies in rats. J. Takeda Res. Lab., **40** (3/4), 163-187 (in Japanese).

Yoshimura, I. and Ohashi, S. (1992): Statistical Analysis for Toxicology Data. pp23, Chijin-shokan, Tokyo (in Japanese).

Yoshimura, I. (1987): In "Statistical analysis of toxicological data", pp45, Scientist, Tokyo (in Japanese).

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
SCIENCE DIRECT
ELSEVIER
Mutation Research 603 (2006) 151–158
Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis
www.elsevier.com/locate/gentox
Community address: www.elsevier.com/locate/mutres

Genotoxicity of acrylamide and glycidamide in human lymphoblastoid TK6 cells

Naoki Koyama [a,b,c], Hiroko Sakamoto [a], Mayumi Sakuraba [a], Tomoko Koizumi [a], Yoshio Takashima [a], Makoto Hayashi [a], Hiroshi Matsufuji [b], Kazuo Yamagata [b], Shuichi Masuda [c], Naohide Kinae [c], Masamitsu Honma [a,*]

[a] *Division of Genetics and Mutagenesis, National Institute of Health Sciences, 1-18-1 Kamiyoga, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 158-8501, Japan*
[b] *Department of Food Science and Technology, College of Bioresource Sciences, Nihon University, 1866 Kameino, Fujisawa-shi, Kanagawa 252-8510, Japan*
[c] *Laboratory of Food Hygiene, Graduate School of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University of Shizuoka, 52-1 Yada, Suruga-ku, Shizuoka 422-8526, Japan*

Received 14 June 2005; received in revised form 6 October 2005; accepted 22 November 2005
Available online 18 January 2006

Abstract

The recent finding that acrylamide (AA), a potent carcinogen, is formed in foods during cooking raises human health concerns. In the present study, we investigated the genotoxicity of AA and its metabolite glycidamide (GA) in human lymphoblastoid TK6 cells examining three endpoints: DNA damage (comet assay), clastogenesis (micronucleus test) and gene mutation (thymidine kinase (*TK*) assay). In a 4 h treatment without metabolic activation, AA was mildly genotoxic in the micronucleus and *TK* assays at high concentrations (>10 mM), whereas GA was significantly and concentration-dependently genotoxic at all endpoints at ≥0.5 mM. Molecular analysis of the *TK* mutants revealed that AA predominantly induced loss of heterozygosity (LOH) mutation like spontaneous one while GA-induced primarily point mutations. These results indicate that the genotoxic characteristics of AA and GA were distinctly different: AA was clastogenic and GA was mutagenic. The cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of AA were not enhanced by metabolic activation (rat liver S9), implying that the rat liver S9 did not activate AA. We discuss the in vitro and in vivo genotoxicity of AA and GA.

Keywords: Acrylamide; Gycidamide; Genotoxicity; *TK* mutation; Metabolic activation

1. Introduction

Acrylamide (AA) is a synthetic chemical that has been produced since the early 1950s. Because AA polymerizes easily to an adhesive gel, it has been widely used in industry for water flocculation, soil coagulation and grouts. Because it had been believed that humans are rarely exposed to AA under ordinary circumstances, concern was centered only on occupational exposure [1]. In 2000, however, Tareke et al. [2] reported that AA was unexpectedly discovered in cooking foods. It forms during frying and baking principally by a Maillard reaction between asparagine residues and glucose [3,4]. This finding raises concerns about the health risks of AA for the general population [5].

According to toxicological studies, AA is neurotoxic for animals and human [6,7], and the International

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 3 3700 1141x434; fax: +81 3 3700 2348.
E-mail address: honma@nihs.go.jp (M. Honma).

1383-5718/$ – see front matter © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/j.mrgentox.2005.11.006

Agency for Research on Cancer classifies it as 2A, a probable human carcinogen [1]. AA is also genotoxic in somatic and germinal cells in in vitro and in vivo [8]. In vivo examination [8] AA is metabolized to the epoxide derivative glycidamide (GA), presumably by cytochrome P4502E1 (CYP2E1) [9]. GA may be more toxic than AA because it reacts quickly with DNA and other biological macromolecules, and it is positive in most genotoxicity tests [8]. AA, on the other hand, is inactive in bacterial and some in vitro mammalian gene mutation assays, but it induces sister chromatid exchanges and chromosome aberrations in vitro and in vivo [8]. AA may have indirect genotoxic mechanisms, such as protein binding, spindle disturbance or hormonal imbalance, which could lead to tumors [10,11]. Thus, the genotoxic mechanism of AA is unclear.

In the present study, we used human lymphoblatoid TK6 cells to investigate the genotoxicity of AA and GA and its mechanisms. TK6 cells are widely used for the thymidine kinase (*TK*) gene mutation assay and can also be used in the in vitro micronucleus (MN) and comet (COM) assays. The *TK* gene mutation assay detects a wide range of genetic damage, including gene mutations, large-scale chromosomal deletions, recombination and aneuploidy [12], while other mammalian gene mutation assays, such as the *HPRT* and transgenic *LacZ* and *LacI* gene assays, detect only point mutations and small deletions [13]. Most of the genetic changes observed in *TK* mutants occur in human tumors and are presumably relevant to carcinogenesis. Molecular analysis of the TK mutants induced by AA or GA can help elucidate their genotoxic mechanisms. In addition, because it uses a human cell line, the *TK* assay is appropriate for human hazard evaluation.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell culture, chemicals and treatment

The TK6 human lymphoblastoid cell line has been described previously [14]. The cells were grown in RPMI1640 medium (Gibco-BRL, Life technology Inc., Grand Island, NY) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated horse serum (JRH Biosciences, Lenexa, KS), 200 μg/ml sodium pyruvate, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin and maintained at 10^5 to 10^6 cells/ml at 37 °C in a 5% CO_2 atmosphere with 100% humidity.

AA (CAS # 79-06-1) and GA (CAS # 5694-00-8) were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Co. (Tokyo). We dissolved them in phosphate-buffered saline just before use. *N*-di-*N*-butylnitrosamine (DBN) (CAS # 924-16-3) was purchased from Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co. Ltd. (Tokyo) and dissolved in DMSO for use. Post-mitochondrial supernatant fractions of liver homogenate (S9) were purchased from Kikkoman Co. Ltd. (Noda, Chiba, Japan), which were prepared from the liver of phenobarbital- and 5,6-benzoflavone-treated SD rats. We prepared a 10 ml S9 mix with 4 ml S9 fraction and 2 ml each of 180 mg/ml glucose-6-phosphate, 25 mg/ml NADP and 150 mM KCl.

We treated 20 ml aliquots of cell suspensions (5.0×10^5 cells/ml) at 37 °C for 4 h with serially diluted AA or GA, washed them once, re-suspended them in fresh medium, and cultured them in new flasks for the MN and *TK* assays or diluted and plated them for survival measurement (PE0). We treated the cultures with AA both in the absence and presence of 5% S9 mix.

2.2. Comet assay

After treating the cells for 4 h with AA or GA, we prepared slides for alkaline COM assay as previously reported [15]. Briefly, the cells were suspended in 0.5% agarose-LGT (Nakalai Tesque Inc., Kyoto, Japan), quickly layered on a slide (Matsunami Glass Ind. Ltd., Osaka, Japan) coated with 1% agarose GP-42 (Nakalai Tesque Inc.), and covered with 0.5% agarose-LGT. We immersed the slide in alkaline lysing solution (pH 13) for 1 h, electrophoresed it for 15 min after the unwinding treatment, fixed the cells with 70% ethanol, and stained them with SYBER green (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) according to the manufacturer's recommendation. We observed the cells by an Olympus model BX50 fluorescence microscope. At least 50 cells were captured by CCD camera, and the tail length of the comet image was measured. We statistically analyzed the difference between the non-treated and treated plates with the Dunnett's test after one-way ANOVA [16].

2.3. Micronuclei test

Forty-eight hours after treatment, we prepared the MN test samples as previously reported [17]. Briefly, approximately 10^6 cells suspended in hypotonic KCl solution were incubated for 10 min at room temperature, fixed twice with ice-cold glacial acetic acid in methanol (1:3), and resuspended in methanol containing 1% acetic acid. We placed a drop of the suspension on a clean glass slide and allowed it to air-dry. We stained the cells with 40 μg/ml acridine orange solution and immediately observed them by Olympus model BX50 fluorescence microscope. At least, 1000 intact interphase cells for each treatment were examined, and the cells containing MN were scored. The MN frequencies between non-treated and treated cells were statistically analyzed by Fisher's exact test. The concentration–response relationship was evaluated by the Cochran–Armitage trend test [18].

2.4. TK gene mutation assay

The TK6 cell cultures were maintained for 3 days after treatment to permit expression of the *TK* deficient phenotype. To isolate the *TK* deficient mutants, we seeded cells from each

culture into 96-microwell plates at 40,000 cells/well in the presence of 3.0 μg/ml trifluorothymidine (TFT). We also plated them at 1.6 cells/well in the absence of TFT for the determination of plating efficiency (PE3). All plates were incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO_2 in a humidified incubator. The TK assay produces two distinct phenotypic classes of *TK* mutants: normally growing (NG) mutants had the same doubling time (13–17 h) as the wild type cells, and slowly growing (SG) mutants had a doubling time of >21 h. The difference is thought to be due to a putative gene near the *TK* gene. NG mutants result mainly from intragenic mutations, such as point mutations and small deletions, while SG mutants result from gross genetic changes extending beyond the *TK* gene [19]. We scored for the colonies in the PE plates and for the colonies for normal-growing *TK* mutants in the TFT plates at 14th day after plating. We then refed the plates containing TFT with fresh TFT, incubated them for an additional 14 days, and scored them for slow-growing *TK* mutants. Mutation frequencies were calculated according to the Poisson distribution [20]. The data were statistically analyzed by Omori's method, which consists of a modified Dunnett's procedure for identifying clear negative, a Simpson–Margolin procedure for detecting downturn data, and a trend test to evaluate the dose-dependency [21].

2.5. Molecular analysis of TK mutants

Genomic DNA was extracted from *TK* mutant cells and used as a template for the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). We analyzed for loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at the human *TK* gene by PCR products as described previously [22]. A set of primers was used to each amplify the parts of exons 4 and 7 of the *TK* gene that contains frameshift mutations. Another primer set for amplifying parts of the β-globin were also prepared. We used quantitative-multiple PCR to co-amplify the three regions and to identify and quantify the PCR products. We analyzed them with an ABI310 genetic analyzer (PE Biosystems, Chiba, Japan), and classified the mutants into "none LOH", "hemizygous LOH" or "homozygous LOH". To determine the extent of LOH, we analyzed 10 microsatellite loci on chromosome 17q by PCR-based LOH analysis described previously [22]. The results were processed by GenoTyper™ software (PE Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's guidelines.

3. Results

3.1. Cytotoxic and genotoxic responses to AA and GA

Fig. 1a shows the effect of AA on relative survival (RS), mutation frequency (*TK* assay) and number of micronucleated cells per 1000 cells examined. AA was concentration-dependently cytotoxic, permitting about 20% RS at the maximum concentration (14 mM), while its genotoxicity and clastogenicity were weak. We repeated the experiment because of the weak genotoxicity. AA showed negative in the first *TK* assay, but positive in the second statistically. In MN test, both experiments showed statistically positive. GA, in contrast, was significantly genotoxic even at concentrations that were not severely cytotoxic (Fig. 1b). At the maximum concentration (2.4 mM), GA induced *TK* mutation frequencies that were about 20 times and MN fre-



Fig. 1. Cytotoxic (relative survival, RS), genotoxic responses (TK assay and MN test) of TK6 cells treated with AA (a) or GA (b) for 4 h without metabolic activation. The AA experiment was repeated to confirm the result because of the weak genotoxicity. Closed and open symbols are first and second experiment, respectively. Asterisk (*) statistically significant experiments in both pair-wise comparison and trend test ($P < 0.05$).

Table 1
Cytotoxic and mutational responses to AA and GA, and the results of LOH analysis of normally growing (NG) and slowly growing (SG) TK-mutants

Treatment	Cytotoxic and mutational response			LOH analysis at TK gene			
	RS (%)	MF ($\times 10^{-6}$)	% SG	No.	None LOH	Hemi-LOH	Homo-LOH
Vehicle [16]	100	2.19	56	56			
NG mutants				19	14 (74)	3 (16)	2 (11)
SG mutants				37	0 (0)	9 (24)	28 (76)
AA (14 mM, 4 h)	40	18.9	54	48			
NG mutants				22	11 (50)	11 (50)	0 (0)
SG mutants				26	0 (0)	13 (50)	13 (50)
GA (2.2 mM, 4 h)	12	55.5	36	44			
NG mutants				28	26 (93)	2 (7)	0 (0)
SG mutants				16	0 (0)	6 (38)	10 (62)

quencies at about four times the spontaneous level. We detected two distinct phenotypic classes of *TK* mutants in *TK* assay: NG and SG mutants. AA did not affect the proportion of SG mutants, while GA treatment lowered it (Table 1). This implies that GA induced primarily point mutations. In the COM assay, even at the highest concentration, AA did not induce DNA damage, while GA did so strongly starting at 0.6 mM (Fig. 2).

3.2. Molecular analysis of TK mutants

The *TK* mutants were independently isolated from the cells treated with 14 mM AA or 2.2 mM GA for 4 h. Table 1 shows the cytotoxicity (RS) and *TK* mutation frequency (MF) and proportion of SG mutants (% SG) by the treatment. Genomic DNA extracted from the mutants was subjected by the PCR-based LOH analysis to classify the mutants into three types: non-LOH, hemizygous LOH (hemi-LOH) and homozygous LOH (homo-LOH). In general, hemi-LOH is resulted by deletion and homo-LOH is by inter-allelic homologous recombination [13]. We analyzed 48 AA-induced and 44 GA-induced *TK* mutants and compared them to those of spontaneously occurring *TK* mutants described previously [16]. The fraction of hemi-LOH in AA-induced mutants, in which 50% each of NG and SG mutants exhibited hemi-LOH, was higher than in spontaneous mutants, indicating that AA-induced primarily deletions. GA, on the other hand, induced primarily NG mutants, and most (93%) of them were the non-LOH type, which is presumably generated by point and other small intragenic mutations. Among 16 GA-induced SG mutants, the percentages that were hemi-LOH (38%) and homo-LOH (62%) were similar to those observed in spontaneous SG mutants. Fig. 3 shows the mutation spectra of *TK* mutants found among treated and untreated TK6 cells. GA and ethyl methane sulfonate, an alkylating agent, produce similar spectra, as do AA and X-radiation.

Fig. 4 shows the distribution of LOH in AA-induced ($n = 37$), GA-induced ($n = 17$) and spontaneous ($n = 29$) LOH mutants. Because the majority of GA-induced mutants were the non-LOH type, we were able to map only 17 GA-induced LOH mutants. As a particular characteristic of AA-induced LOH mutants, we frequently observed small deletions limited to the *TK* locus. The



Fig. 2. COM assay results in TK6 cells treated with AA or GA for 4 h without metabolic activation. Asterisk (*) statistically significant in the Dunnett's tests ($P < 0.05$).



Fig. 3. Frequency and spectra of TK mutations in spontaneous and X-ray-induced (2 Gy), EMS-induced (150 μM, 4 h), AA-induced (14 mM, 4 h) and GA-induced (2.2 mM, 4 h) TK mutants in TK6 cells. The fraction of each mutational event was calculated by considering the ratio of normally growing (NG) and slowly growing (SG) mutants and the results of molecular analysis (Table 1). The data of spontaneous, X-ray-induced and EMS-induced mutation spectra were taken from our previous paper [13].

distribution of LOH in GA-induced and spontaneous LOH mutants was similar.

3.3. Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of AA under metabolic activation

Rat liver S9 mix did not influence the cytotoxicity or genotoxicity of AA but it did enhance the activity of DBN, the positive control chemical (Fig. 5).

4. Discussion

A large number of studies about the in vitro genotoxicity of AA have been reported [8]. AA has consistently been negative in bacterial gene mutation assay in both the presence and absence of metabolic activation [23–25] but positive in chromosome aberration and sister chromatid exchange tests in Chinese hamster cell lines [24–26]. In mammalian cell assays, AA induces *Tk* but not *Hprt* gene mutations [24,25,27,28], and is negative in the COM assay even at high concentrations [27]. These results suggest that AA is clastogenic without directly damaging DNA. GA, on the other hand, is positive in most in vitro genotoxicity tests and is recognized as a mutagen [8,27,29]. In the present study, the higher concentrations of AA were positive in the MN and TK assay but negative in the comet assay. According to the in vitro genotoxicity test guideline, however, AA may be negative [30], because the guideline suggests that the maximum concentration should be 10 mM. Because the genotoxic responses at higher concentrations were reproducible, AA may be genotoxic, but its effect is very weak. GA, in contrast, was positive in all the assays, even under conditions of low cytotoxicity. These results are consistent with the reports described above.

The mammalian *TK* gene mutation assay can detect a wide range of genetic changes, including point mutations, small deletions, large-scale chromosomal deletions, inter-allelic recombination and aneuploidy, while



Fig. 4. The extent of LOH in spontaneous, AA-induced and GA-induced LOH mutants from TK6 cells. We examined 10 microsatellite loci on chromosome 17q that are heterozygous in TK6 cells. The human TK locus maps to 17q23.2. Open and closed bars represent homo-LOH and hemi-LOH, respectively. The length of the bar indicates the extent of the LOH. We analyzed 29 spontaneous mutants (10 NG and 19 SG mutants), 37 AA-induced mutants (11 NG and 26 SG) and 17 GA-induced mutants (2 NG and 15 SG). The data on spontaneous mutants were taken from our previous paper [13].



Fig. 5. Cytotoxicity (RS) and MN induction in TK6 cells treated with AA or DBN for 4 h in the presence (open symbol) or absence (closed symbol) of rat liver S9. Asterisk (*) statistically significant experiments in both pair-wise comparison and trend test ($P<0.05$).

the bacterial and mammalian *HPRT* gene mutation assays detect only point mutations and small intragenic deletions [13]. AA was positive only in the *TK* mutation assay, suggesting that AA causes predominantly large-scale chromosomal changes. Our molecular analysis of the *TK* mutants supported this hypothesis. The majority of the AA-induced *TK* mutants showed hemi-LOH, which is the result of a deletion, although the other types were also induced (Fig. 3). Deletions are thought to result from the repair of double strand breaks by non-homlogous end-joining [13]. Radiation-induced double strand breaks are repaired by non-homlogous end-joining, which leads to hemi-LOH. LOH-mapping analysis, however, revealed that AA frequently induces intermediate-sized deletions (100–3000 kb); the deletions encompass exons 4 and 7 of the *TK* locus but do not extend to the microsatellites loci of the vicinity. This type of deletion is rarely observed in radiation-inducing *TK* mutants [13]. Because the COM assay indicated that AA did not induce DNA damage, the deletion may not be caused by DNA damage directly. Mechanisms associated with global genomic instability should also be considered [10] because the LOH patterns, except for the intermediate-sized deletions, are generally similar to those observed in spontaneous mutants. Most GA-induced *TK* mutants, on the other hand, were the non-LOH type, as were most spontaneous ones, strongly supporting the positive results in bacterial gene mutation assay [29]. In contrast to AA, GA is a mutagen, inducing primarily point mutations.

AA is known to be metabolized to GA by CYP2E1 [9]. GA, an epoxide, forms adducts directly with DNA and protein, causing cytotoxicity and genotoxicity. GA forms mainly *N*7-(2-carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl) guanine and *N*3-(2-carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl) adenine and reacts with hemoglobin and cytoskeletal proteins [31–33]. Rat S9, however, did not affect AA cytotoxicity or genotoxicity, although it did enhance the cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of DBN, which is also metabolized by CYP2E1. This suggests that rat S9 does not work for activating AA. AA and GA are detoxified through glutathione conjugation, and GA is also detoxified by epoxy hydrolase (EH), which catalyzes the hydrolysis of GA to dihidroxy propionamide [34,35]. Other in vitro studies also failed to demonstrate the enhancement of AA genotoxicity by rat S9 [36,37]. Our results do not mean that AA is always detoxified rather than activated because DNA adducts are found in mice and rats given oral AA, and the genotoxicity of AA is consistently observed in in vivo studies [8,31,36,37]. Recently, Manjanatha et al. demonstrated in transgenic Big Blue^{TM} mice that AA as well as GA induces endogenous *Hprt* and transgenic *cII* mutation at same level, and both chemicals cause predominantly base substitutions and frameshift mutations.

This result may indicate that AA is metabolized to GA in vivo [38]. Tests that use rat liver S9 for metabolic activation may not be appropriate for in vitro investigations of AA genotoxicity and metabolism. Transgenic cells expressing CYP2E1, however, would be useful for demonstrating the in vitro genotoxicity of AA [39].

In conclusion, AA is weakly genotoxic, causing chromosome aberrations and a type of genomic instability. GA, its epoxide metabolite, is highly reactive with DNA. GA is a strong mutagen, inducing predominantly point mutations, and it may contribute to human cancers.

Acknowledgment

This study was supported by Health and Labor Sciences Research Grants in Japan.

References

[1] IARC, Acrylamide, IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogen Risk to Human: Some Industrial Chemicals, vol. 60, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, 1994, pp. 389–433.
[2] E. Tareke, P. Rydberg, P. Karlsson, S. Eriksson, M. Tornqvist, Acrylamide: a cooking carcinogen? Chem. Res. Toxicol. 13 (2000) 517–522.
[3] R.H. Stadler, I. Blank, N. Varga, F. Robert, J. Hau, P.A. Guy, M.C. Robert, S. Riediker, Acrylamide from Maillard reaction products, Nature 419 (2002) 449–450.
[4] d.S. Mottram, B.L. Wedzicha, A.T. Dodson, Acrylamide is formed in the Maillard reaction, Nature 419 (2002) 448–449.
[5] J.M. Rice, The carcinogenicity of acrylamide, Mutat. Res. 580 (2005) 3–20.
[6] E.J. Lehning, C.D. Balaban, J.F. Ross, M.A. Reid, R.M. LoPachin, Acrylamide neuropathy. I. Spatiotemporal characteristics of nerve cell damage in rat cerebellum, Neurotoxicology 23 (2002) 397–414.
[7] R.M. LoPachin, C.D. Balaban, J.F. Ross, Acrylamide axonopathy revisited, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 188 (2003) 135–153.
[8] K.L. Dearfield, G.R. Douglas, U.H. Ehling, M.M. Moore, G.A. Sega, D.J. Brusick, Acrylamide: a review of its genotoxicity and an assessment of heritable genetic risk, Mutat. Res. 330 (1995) 71–99.
[9] S.C. Sumner, T.R. Fennell, T.A. Moore, B. Chanas, F. Gonzalez, B.I. Ghanayem, Role of cytochrome P450 2E1 in the metabolism of acrylamide and acrylonitrile in mice, Chem. Res. Toxicol. 12 (1999) 1110–1116.
[10] M. Friedman, Chemistry, biochemistry, and safety of acrylamide. A review, J. Agric. Food Chem. 51 (2003) 4504–4526.
[11] H.M. Bolt, Genotoxicity–threshold or not? Introduction of cases of industrial chemicals, Toxicol. Lett. 140–141 (2003) 43–51.
[12] H.L. Liber, W.G. Thilly, Mutation assay at the thymidine kinase locus in diploid human lymphoblasts, Mutat. Res. 94 (1982) 467–485.
[13] M. Honma, Generation of loss of heterozygosity and its dependency on p53 status in human lymphoblastoid cells, Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 45 (2005) 162–176.
[14] M. Honma, M. Hayashi, T. Sofuni, Cytotoxic and mutagenic responses to X-rays and chemical mutagens in normal and p53-mutated human lymphoblastoid cells, Mutat. Res. 374 (1997) 89–98.
[15] K. Sekihashi, H. Saitoh, Y. Sasaki, Genotoxicity studies of stevia extract and steviol by the comet assay, J. Toxicol. Sci. 27 (Suppl. 1) (2002) 1–8.
[16] M. Watanabe-Akanuma, T. Ohta, Y.F. Sasaki, A novel aspect of thiabendasole as a photomutagen in bacteria and cultured human cells, Mutat. Res. 158 (2005) 213–219.
[17] L. Zhan, H. Sakamoto, M. Sakuraba, D.S. Wu, L.S. Zhang, T. Suzuki, M. Hayashi, M. Honma, Genotoxicity of microcystin-LR in human lymphoblastoid TK6 cells, Mutat. Res. 557 (2004) 1–6.
[18] T. Matsushima, M. Hayashi, A. Matsuoka, M. Ishidate Jr., K.F. Miura, H. Shimizu, Y. Suzuki, K. Morimoto, H. Ogura, K. Mure, K. Koshi, T. Sofuni, Validation study of the in vitro micronuclei test in a Chinese hamuster lung cell line (CHL/IU), Mutagenesis 14 (1999) 569–580.
[19] S.A. Amundson, H.L. Liber, A comparison of induced mutation at homologous alleles of the tk locus in human cells, Mutat. Res. 247 (1991) 19–27.
[20] E.E. Furth, W.G. Thilly, B.W. Penman, H.L. Liber, W.M. Rand, Quantitative assay for mutation in diploid human lymphoblasts using microtiter plates, Anal. Biochem. 110 (1981) 1–8.
[21] T. Omori, M. Honma, M. Hayashi, Y. Honda, I. Yoshimura, A new statistical method for evaluating of L5178Ytk± mammalian cell data using microwell method, Mutat. Res. 517 (2002) 199–208.
[22] M. Honma, M. Momose, H. Tanabe, H. Sakamoto, Y. Yu, J.B. Little, T. Sofuni, M. Hayashi, Requirement of wild-type p53 protein for maintenance of chromosomal integrity, Mol. Carcinog. 28 (2000) 203–214.
[23] E. Zeiger, B. Anderson, S. Haworth, T. Lawlor, K. Mortelmans, W. Speck, Salmonella mutagenicity tests: III. Results from the testing of 255 chemicals, Environ. Mutagen. 9 (Suppl. 9) (1987) 1–109.
[24] H. Tsuda, C.S. Shimizu, M.K. Taketomi, M.M. Hasegawa, A. Hamada, K.M. Kawata, N. Inui, Acrylamide; induction of DNA damage, chromosomal aberrations and cell transformation without gene mutations, Mutagenesis 8 (1993) 23–29.
[25] A.G. Knaap, P.G. Kramers, C.E. Voogd, W.G. Bergkamp, M.G. Groot, P.G. Langebroek, H.C. Mout, J.J. van der Stel, H.W. Verharen, Mutagenic activity of acrylamide in eukaryotic systems but not in bacteria, Mutagenesis 3 (1988) 263–268.
[26] T. Sofuni, M. Hayashi, A. Matsuoka, M. Sawada, Mutagenicity tests on organic chemical concominants in city water and related compounds. II. Chromosome aberration tests in cultured mammalian cells, Eisei Shiken. Hok. 103 (1985) 64–75.
[27] M. Baum, E. Fauth, S. Fritzen, A. Herrmann, P. Mertes, K. Merz, M. Rudolphi, H. Zankl, G. Eisenbrand, Acrylamide and glycidamide: genotoxic effects in V79-cells and human blood, Mutat. Res. 580 (2005) 61–69.
[28] M.M. Moore, A. Amtower, C. Doerr, K.H. Brock, K.L. Dearfield, Mutagenicity and clastogenicity of acrylamide in L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells, Environ. Mutagen. 9 (1987) 261–267.
[29] K. Hashimoto, H. Tanii, Mutagenicity of acrylamide and its analogues in *Salmonella typhimurium*, Mutat. Res. 158 (1985) 129–133.
[30] C.S. Aaron, G. Bolcsfoldi, H.R. Glatt, M. Moore, Y. Nishi, L. Stankowski, Theiss F J., E. Thompson, Mammalian cell gene mutation assays working group report, Mutat Res. 312 (1994) 235–239.

[31] d.C. Gamboa, M.I. Churchwell, L.P. Hamilton, L.S. Von Tungeln, F.A. Beland, M.M. Marques, D.R. Doerge, DNA adduct formation from acrylamide via conversion to glycidamide in adult and neonatal mice, Chem. Res. Toxicol. 16 (2003) 1328–1337.

[32] D.M. Lapadula, M. Bowe, C.D. Carrington, L. Dulak, M. Friedman, M.B. Abou-Donia, In vitro binding of [14C]acrylamide to neurofilament and microtubule proteins of rats, Brain Res. 481 (1989) 157–161.

[33] S.C. Sumner, C.C. Williams, R.W. Snyder, W.L. Krol, B. Asgharian, T.R. Fennell, Acrylamide: a comparison of metabolism and hemoglobin adducts in rodents following dermal, intraperitoneal, oral, or inhalation exposure, Toxicol. Sci. 75 (2003) 260–270.

[34] B. Paulsson, A. Rannug, A.P. Henderson, B.T. Golding, M. Tornqvist, M. Warholm, In vitro studies of the influence of glutathione transferases and epoxide hydrolase on the detoxification of acrylamide and glycidamide in blood, Mutat. Res. 580 (2005) 53–59.

[35] S.C. Sumner, L. Selvaraj, S.K. Nauhaus, T.R. Fennell, Urinary metabolites from F344 rats and B6C3F1 mice coadministered acrylamide and acrylonitrile for 1 or 5 days, Chem. Res. Toxicol. 10 (1997) 1152–1160.

[36] A. Besaratinia, G.P. Pfeifer, Genotoxicity of acrylamide and glycidamide, J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 96 (2004) 1023–1029.

[37] A. Besaratinia, G.P. Pfeifer, DNA adduction and mutagenic properties of acrylamide, Mutat. Res. 580 (2005) 31–40.

[38] M.G. Manjanatha, A. Aidoo, S.D. Shelton, M.E. Bishop, L.P. MacDaniel, D.R. Doerge, Genotoxicity of acrylamide and its metabolite glycidamide administrated in drinking water to male and female Big Blue mice, Environ. Mol. Mutagen, in press (Epub ahead of prints).

[39] H. Glatt, H. Schneider, Y. Liu, V79-hCYP2E1-hSULT1A1, a cell line for the sensitive detection of genotoxic effects induced by carbohydrate pyrolysis products and other food-borne chemicals, Mutat. Res. 580 (2005) 41–52.

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
ScienceDirect
Mutation Research 619 (2007) 113–123
Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis
www.elsevier.com/locate/molmut
Community address: www.elsevier.com/locate/mutres

Potassium bromate treatment predominantly causes large deletions, but not GC > TA transversion in human cells

Yang Luan [a,b,c], Takayoshi Suzuki [a], Rajaguru Palanisamy [a,d], Yoshio Takashima [b], Hiroko Sakamoto [b], Mayumi Sakuraba [b], Tomoko Koizumi [b], Mika Saito [b,e], Hiroshi Matsufuji [e], Kazuo Yamagata [e], Teruhide Yamaguchi [a], Makoto Hayashi [b], Masamitsu Honma [b,*]

[a] *Division of Cellular and Gene Therapy Products, National Institute of Health Sciences, 1-18-1 Kamiyoga, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 158-8501, Japan*
[b] *Division of Genetics and Mutagenesis, National Institute of Health Sciences, 1-18-1 Kamiyoga, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 158-8501, Japan*
[c] *Center for Drug Safety Evaluation, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 294 Tai-Yuan Road, Shanghai 200031, China*
[d] *Department of Biotechnology, School of Engineering and Technology, Bharathidasan University, Palkalaiperur, Tiruchirappalli 620024, India*
[e] *Department of Food Science and Technology, College of Bioresource Sciences, Nihon University, 1866 Kameino, Fujisawa-shi, Kanagawa 252-8510, Japan*

Received 21 October 2006; received in revised form 24 February 2007; accepted 28 February 2007
Available online 4 March 2007

Abstract

Potassium bromate ($KBrO_3$) is strongly carcinogenic in rodents and mutagenic in bacteria and mammalian cells in vitro. The proposed genotoxic mechanism for $KBrO_3$ is oxidative DNA damage. $KBrO_3$ can generate high yields of 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8OHdG) DNA adducts, which cause GC > TA transversions in cell-free systems. In this study, we investigated the in vitro genotoxicity of $KBrO_3$ in human lymphoblastoid TK6 cells using the comet (COM) assay, the micronucleus (MN) test, and the thymidine kinase (*TK*) gene mutation assay. After a 4 h treatment, the alkaline and neutral COM assay demonstrated that $KBrO_3$ directly yielded DNA damages including DNA double strand breaks (DSBs). $KBrO_3$ also induced MN and *TK* mutations concentration-dependently. At the highest concentration (5 mM), $KBrO_3$ induced MN and *TK* mutation frequencies that were over 30 times the background level. Molecular analysis revealed that 90% of the induced mutations were large deletions that involved loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at the *TK* locus. Ionizing-irradiation exhibited similar mutational spectrum in our system. These results indicate that the major genotoxicity of $KBrO_3$ may be due to DSBs that lead to large deletions rather than to 8OHdG adducts that lead to GC > TA transversions, as is commonly believed. To better understand the genotoxic mechanism of $KBrO_3$, we analyzed gene expression profiles of TK6 cells using Affymetrix Genechip. Some genes involved in stress, apoptosis, and DNA repair were up-regulated by the treatment of $KBrO_3$. However, we could not observe the similarity of gene expression profile in the treatment of $KBrO_3$ to ionizing-irradiation as well as oxidative damage inducers.

Keywords: Potassium bromate ($KBrO_3$); *TK*-mutation; Loss of heterozygosity (LOH); 8-Hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8OHdG); Gene expression profile

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 3 3700 1141x435; fax: +81 3 3700 2348.
E-mail address: honma@nihs.go.jp (M. Honma).

0027-5107/$ – see front matter © 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2007.02.029

1. Introduction

Potassium bromate ($KBrO_3$) is used as in bread making a flour improver and in the production of fish-pastes. The EU countries now prohibit its use as a food additive because of its carcinogenicity. Japan and the USA, however, permit its use in bread making on the condition that it never remains in the final product. $KBrO_3$ causes tumors, especially in kidney, in rats, and mice after long-term oral administration in drinking water [1–3]. $KBrO_3$ is also genotoxic. It is positive in in vitro genotoxicity tests – including the bacterial reverse mutation assay [1], the chromosomal aberration test conducted in Chinese hamster cells [4], and the mouse lymphoma assay [5] – and in vivo in the micronucleus test (MN) [6,7].

It has been proposed that $KBrO_3$ induces tumors through the production of oxidative damage to DNA. Oxidative DNA damage can cause mutations that contribute to the activation of oncogenes and/or the inactivation of tumor suppressor genes, thereby leading to tumorigenesis [8,9]. 8-Hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8OHdG) is the main form of oxidative DNA damage induced by $KBrO_3$ [10]. It primarily causes GC > TA transversions (as a result of the pairing of 8OHdG with A) and is believed to be responsible for mutagenesis, carcinogenesis, and aging [11,12]. $KBrO_3$ increases 8OHdG DNA adducts in vivo and in vitro [13–15]. However, $KBrO_3$ induces mutations weakly in microbial mutation assays and the *Hprt* mutation assay in mammalian cells, while it induces chromosome aberrations strongly both in vivo and in vitro [1,16,17]. These findings raise the question of whether 8OHdG is required for the mutagenic process involved in $KBrO_3$-induced carcinogenesis.

In the present study, we examined the genotoxic properties of $KBrO_3$ using the comet assay (COM), the MN test, and thymidine kinase (*TK*) gene mutation assays in human lymphoblastoid TK6 cells [18]. Unlike the X-linked hemizygous *HPRT* gene mutation assay, the *TK* mutation assay can detect not only point mutations, but also large scale chromosomal deletions, recombinations, and aneuploidy [19–21]. Most of the genetic changes observed in *TK* mutants occur in human tumors and are presumed relevant to carcinogenesis. We analyzed the *TK* mutants induced by $KBrO_3$ at the molecular level and investigated what kind of mutation predominated. We also profiled global gene expression in TK6 cell exposed to $KBrO_3$ using Affymetrix GeneChip® Expression analysis to understand the genotoxic mechanism of $KBrO_3$.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell culture, chemicals, and treatment

The TK6 human lymphoblastoid cell line has been described previously [22]. Cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco-BRL, Life Technology Inc., Grand Island, NY) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated horse serum (JR Biosciences, Lenexa, KS), 200 μg/ml sodium pyruvate, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. The cultures were incubated at 37 °C in a 5% CO_2 atmosphere with 100% humidity. $KBrO_3$ (CAS No.7758-01-2) was purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Co. (Tokyo) and dissolved in RPMI medium just before use.

We prepared 20 ml aliquots of cell suspension at a concentration of 5.0×10^5 cells/ml in 50 ml polystyrene tubes. Different concentrations of $KBrO_3$ were added to the tubes, which were then placed on a platform shaker and incubated at 37 °C for 4 h with gentle shaking. At the end of the treatment period, the cell cultures were centrifuged, washed once, and re-suspended in fresh medium. We cultured them in new flasks for the MN assay and *TK* gene mutation assay, or diluted them for plating for survival estimates.

2.2. Genotoxicity assays

After treating cells with $KBrO_3$, we prepared slides for conducting the alkaline and neutral COM assay. The alkaline COM assay was performed as previously reported [23]. For the neutral COM assay, the slide was electrophoresed with chilled neutral solution (pH 8) containing of 90 mM Tris, 2 mM Na_2EDTA, and 90 mM boric acid according to the method by Wada et al. [24]. The COM slides were stained with SYBER green (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) and observed by an Olympus model BX50 fluorescence microscope. At least 50 cells were captured by CCD camera, and tail length of the comet was measured. The relationship between $KBrO_3$ treatment and migration was statistically analyzed by the Dunnett test [25].

We prepared the MN test samples 48 h after treatment, as previously reported [23]. Briefly, approximately 10^6 cells suspended in hypotonic KCl solution were incubated for 10 min at room temperature, fixed twice with ice-cold methanol containing 25% acetic acid, then re-suspended in methanol containing 1% acetic acid. A drop of the suspension was placed on a clean glass slide and air-dried. The cells were stained with 40 μg/ml acridine orange solution and immediately observed with the aid of an Olympus model BX50 fluorescence microscope equipped with a U-MWBV band pass filter. At least 1000 intact interphase cells for each treatment were examined, and the cells containing MN were scored. The MN frequencies between non-treated and treated cells were statistically analyzed by Fisher's exact test [26].

We prepared the *TK* gene mutation assay samples 3 days after treatment. We seeded cells from each culture into 96-well plates at 40,000 cells/well in the presence of 3.0 μg/ml trifluo-

rothymidine (TFT). We also plated 1.6 cells/well without TFT to determine plating efficiency. All plates were incubated at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO_2 in air. After 14 days, we scored colonies on the PE plates and the normal-growing (NG) *TK* mutants on the TFT plates, then re-fed the plates containing TFT with fresh TFT, incubated them for an additional 14 days, and scored them for slow-growing (SG) *TK* mutants. Mutation frequencies, relative survival (RS), and relative suspension growth (RSG) were calculated as previously described [23]. The data of mutant frequencies were statistically analyzed by Omori's method, which consists of a modified Dunnett's procedure for identifying clear negative, a Simpson–Margolin procedure for detecting downturn data, and a trend test to evaluate the dose-dependency [27].

2.3. LOH analysis of TK mutations by polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

To avoid analyzing identical mutants, we performed an additional *TK* mutation assay and isolated *TK* mutants from independent culture after a 4 h treatment with 2.5 mM $KBrO_3$. We confirmed the phenotype of the *TK* mutant clones by re-challenging them with TFT medium. We also determined the growth rate of the clones and confirmed whether they were NG or SG mutants.

Genomic DNA was extracted from the *TK* mutant cells and used as a template for PCR. We conducted the PCR-based LOH analysis of the human *TK* gene as described previously [28]. A set of primers was used to each amplify the parts of exons 4 and 7 of the *TK* gene that is heterozygous for frame shift mutations. A third primer set for amplifying parts of the β-globin was also used as the internal control. We applied quantitative-multiple PCR for co-amplification of the three regions. The PCR products were analyzed with an ABI310 genetic analyzer (PE Biosystems, Chiba, Japan), and were classified into "no LOH", "hemizygous (hemi-) LOH", or "homozygous (homo-) LOH". To determine the extent of the LOH, we analyzed 10 microsatellite loci on chromosome 17q by PCR-based LOH analysis [28]. The results were processed by GenoTyper™ software (PE Biosystems, Chiba, Japan) according to the manufacturer's guidelines.

2.4. Gene expression analysis

Total RNA was isolated from the TK6 cells after 4 h treatment with 2.5 mM $KBrO_3$ and was purified by RNeasy columns (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). We conducted a single cDNA synthesis, cRNA labeling, and cRNA fragmentation according to the manufacturer's recommendations (Affymetrix Inc., Santa Clara, CA) and employed Affymetrix GeneChip Expression analysis. The hybridization mixture for each sample was hybridized to an Affymetrix U133A human genome array. We processed the scanned data using Microarray Suite Software Version 5.0 (Affymetrix Inc., Santa Clara, CA) and imported the data into GeneSpring software (Silicon Genetics, Redwood City, CA). Signal intensity was normalized by per-gene and per-chip, and the ratios were calculated by normalizing $KBrO_3$ sample to the corresponding control sample. We used intensity-dependent (step-wise) selection of significant changes with higher cut-off value for lower signal intensity (1.75-, 2.0-, 2.25-, 2.5-, and 3.5-fold for genes intensity range of >1000, 500–1000, 100–500, 50–100, and 10–50, respectively), and up-regulated genes with a presence call in $KBrO_3$ sample, whereas down-regulated genes with a presence call in the control sample.

3. Results

3.1. Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of $KBrO_3$

$KBrO_3$ exerted strong and concentration-dependent cytotoxicity in TK6 cells (Fig. 1). It induced approximately 50% cytotoxicity (51% RSG and 44% RS) at 2.5 mM. To investigate whether $KBrO_3$ directly causes DNA damage, we conducted the COM assay. Induction of COM tail after the treatment of in alkaline version was statistically significant 2.5 and 5 mM. In the neutral COM assay, the induction was observed form the lower concentration (Fig. 1). Because the neutral COM is thought to be associated with DNA double strand breaks (DSBs) [29], this result indicates that $KBrO_3$ directly causes DNA damage including DSBs. $KBrO_3$ also induced MN and *TK* mutation in a concentration-dependent manner and their inductions were statistically significant (Fig. 1). At the maximum concentration, it induced both MN and *TK* mutation frequencies about 30 times the control values. Two distinct phenotypic classes of *TK* mutants were generated: NG mutants grew at the same rate as the wild type (doubling time 13–17 h), and SG mutants grew at a slower rate (doubling time > 21 h). NG mutants result from intragenic mutations, while SG mutants result from gross changes (extending beyond the *TK* gene) [20]. $KBrO_3$ predominantly induced SG mutants (Fig. 1), implying that $KBrO_3$ treatment predominantly causes gross structural changes, but not small genetic alterations such as point mutations.

3.2. Molecular analysis of TK mutants

The *TK* mutants were randomly isolated from independent cultures treated with 2.5 mM $KBrO_3$ for 4 h. Table 1 shows the cytotoxicity (RSG), mutation frequency, and proportion of SG mutants induced by $KBrO_3$. We subjected 40 induced mutants to LOH analysis. Of those, 32 (80%) were SG mutants, which corresponded closely to the percentage of SG mutants induced in the assay (74.1%), indicating that the result of LOH analysis reflected the character of the induced



Fig. 1. Cytotoxic (relative survival, RS; relative suspension growth, RSG) and genotoxic responses (COM assay, MN test, and *TK* gene mutation assay) of TK6 cells treated with $KBrO_3$ for 4 h. Asterisk (*) statistically significant in Dunnett's test ($P < 0.05$) in COM assay, and in both pair-wise comparison and trend test ($P < 0.05$) in MN test and *TK* gene mutation assay.

mutations. Table 1 also shows the results of LOH analysis of the induced and spontaneously occurring mutants. The result of molecular analysis of spontaneous *TK* mutants was reported previously [21]. We classified the mutants into three types: non-LOH, hemizygous LOH (hemi-LOH), and homozygous LOH (homo-LOH). In general, hemi-LOH is resulted by deletion and homo-LOH is by inter-allelic homologous recombination [20]. Among the $KBrO_3$-induced mutants, 63% of NG mutants and 84% of SG mutants were hemi-LOH. In spontaneous mutants, on the other hand, majority of NG and SG mutants were non-LOH and homo-LOH, respectively. These results indicated that $KBrO_3$ predominantly induced large deletions. We previously reported the mutational spectra of *TK* mutants in TK6 cells that treated with the alkylating agent ethylmethane sulfonate (EMS), or X-irradiated [20,21]. Fig. 2 shows the comparison of the mutational spectra of spontaneous and induced *TK* mutants by EMS, X-irradiation, and $KBrO_3$. The mutation spectrum induced by $KBrO_3$ was similar to that induced by X-radiation (which also induces LOH, predominantly via deletion [21]) but not by EMS. The majority of the mutations induced by $KBrO_3$ were large deletions, but not point mutations.

Fig. 3 shows the regions of LOH and the distribution of spontaneous, X-ray-induced, and $KBrO_3$-induced

Table 1
Cytotoxic and mutational responses to $KBrO_3$, and the results of LOH analysis of normally growing (NG) and slowly growing (SG) *TK* mutants

Treatment	Cytotoxic and mutational response			LOH analysis at *TK* gene (%)			
	RSG (%)	MF ($\times 10^{-6}$)	% SG	Number	Non-LOH	Hemi-LOH	Homo-LOH
Spontaneous[a]	100	2.19	56	56			
NG mutants				19	14 (74)	3 (16)	2 (11)
SG mutants				37	0 (0)	9 (24)	28 (76)
$KBrO_3$ (2.5 mM)	51	29.4	74	39			
NG mutants				8	3 (37)	5 (63)	0 (0)
SG mutants				31	1 (3)	27 (84)	4 (13)

[a] Data from Zhan et al. [22].



Fig. 2. *TK* mutation spectra in untreated, X-ray-treated (2 Gy), EMS-treated (150 μM, 4 h), and $KBrO_3$-treated (2.5 mM, 4 h) TK6 cells. The fraction of each mutational event was calculated by considering the ratio of NG to SG mutants and the results of molecular analysis (Table 1). The data for all but the $KBrO_3$ treatments were taken from our previous paper [20].

LOH mutants. $KBrO_3$ predominantly induced hemi-LOH, the result of large interstitial and terminal deletions, which we also frequently observed in the X-ray-induced LOH mutants. These results indicate that the genetic changes induced by $KBrO_3$ were similar to those induced by X-rays.

3.3. Gene expression analysis

Table 2 lists the genes that significantly increased expression following exposure to 2.5 mM $KBrO_3$. These genes are involved in stress response (6 genes), cell growth and DNA repair (19 genes), immune response (3 genes), apoptosis (3 genes), signal transduction (10 genes), transcription regulation (10 genes), chromosome organization (2 genes), protein modification (7 genes), energy metabolism (6 genes), lipid metabolism (2 genes), purine biosynthesis (3 genes), and unclassified functions (42 genes). Table 3 shows the genes whose expression was suppressed by the treatment. The number of up-regulated genes was greater that the number of down-regulated genes.

4. Discussion

$KBrO_3$ is a complete carcinogen, possessing both initiating and promoting activities in rodents [1]. While it shows clear positive responses in the COM assay, MN test, and chromosome aberration test using mammalian cells [4,14,17], the mutagenic potential of $KBrO_3$ in bacteria and the *Hprt* assay in Chinese hamster cells is weak or negative [1,14,17,30]. In our present study, $KBrO_3$ treatment strongly induced *TK* gene mutations. The reason we observed the induction of gene mutations and others did not is that $KBrO_3$ induces detectable mutagenecity in the *TK* gene but are only weakly mutagenic or non-mutagenic in the *Hprt* gene and in microbial assays [20]. The lower mutation frequency in the *Hprt* gene is due to the low recovery of large deletions, which are not detected because they are lethal. $KBrO_3$ is positive in mouse lymphoma cell assays that target the *Tk* gene [5]. In in vivo genotoxicity tests, $KBrO_3$ strongly induces MN in male ddY mice but is only weakly mutagenic in the *gpt* mutation assay in transgenic mice, which mainly detects point mutations and small deletions [31]. These results indicate that the property of genotoxicity



Fig. 3. The extent of LOH at the *TK* locus of TK6 cells that were untreated, X-ray-irradiated (2 Gy), or exposed to $KBrO_3$ (2.5 mM, 4 h). We examined 10 microsatellite loci on chromosome 17q that are heterozygous in TK6 cells. The human *TK* locus maps to 17q23.2. Open and closed bars represent homozygous LOH and hemizygous LOH, respectively. The length of the bar indicates the extent of the LOH. We analyzed 28 LOH mutants (4 NG and 24 SG). The data on spontaneous and X-ray-induced mutants were taken from our previous paper [20].

Table 2
Genes whose expression was up-regulated by $KBrO_3$ (2.5 mM, 4 h)

	Gene symbol	Ratio	Gene title
Stress response	CAT	2.77	Catalase
	DNAJC7	2.33	DnaJ (Hsp40) homolog, subfamily C, member 7
	FKBP5	2.87	FK506 binding protein 5
	HSPA8	3.02	Heat shock 70 kDa protein 8
	HSPCB	3.21	Heat shock 90 kDa protein 1, beta
	HSPD1	1.83	Heat shock 60 kDa protein 1
DNA repair, cell cycle, cell growth	BUB1	4.51	BUB1 budding uninhibited by benzimidazoles 1 homolog
	CCND2	5.08	Cyclin d2
	CCT2	3.33	Chaperonin containing TCP1, subunit 2 (beta)
	DKC1	2.37	Dyskeratosis congenita 1, dyskerin
	ENO1	2.10	Enolase 1 (alpha)
	HMGB1	2.16	High-mobility group box 1
	MAPRE1	2.32	Microtubule-associated protein, RP/EB family, member 1
	NME1	2.00	Non-metastatic cells 1, protein (NM23A) expressed in
	NOLC1	2.99	Nucleolar and coiled-body phosphoprotein 1
	NRAS	2.54	Neuroblastoma RAS viral (v-ras) oncogene homolog
	p21	3.22	Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A (p21, Cip1)
	PPP2R1B	2.45	Protein phosphatase 2 (formerly 2A), regulatory subunit A (PR 65), beta isoform
	RAD21	2 34	RAD21 homolog
	RBBP4	2.00	Retinoblastoma binding protein 4
	RHOA	1.77	ras homolog gene family, member A
	SRPK1	2.75	SFRS protein kinase 1
	SSR1	2.66	Signal sequence receptor, alpha
Immune response	ARHGDIB	1.78	Rho GDP dissociation inhibitor (GDI) beta
	HLA-DRA	2.16	Major histocompatibility complex, class II, DR alpha
	IL2RG	2.43	Interleukin 2 receptor, gamma
Apoptosis	BCLAF1	6.42	BCL2-associated transcription factor 1
	FXR1	3.32	Fragile X mental retardation, autosomal homolog 1
	VDAC1	1.94	Voltage-dependent anion channel 1
Signal transduction	ANP32A	3.20	Acidic (leucine-rich) nuclear phosphoprotein 32 family, member A
	OGT	2.74	O-linked *N*-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) transferase
	PIP5K1A	4.25	Phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate 5-kinase, type I, alpha
	PLEK	2.95	Pleckstrin
	PTPN11	2.61	Protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 11
	SPTLC1	2.62	Serine palmitoyltransferase, long chain base subunit 1
	SRPR	2.52	Signal recognition particle receptor
Transcription regulation	CDC5L	4.37	CDC5 cell division cycle 5-like
	HNRPC	4.40	Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein C (C1/C2)
	MED6	2.45	Mediator of RNA polymerase II transcription, subunit 6 homolog
	MED6	2.45	Mediator of RNA polymerase II transcription, subunit 6 homolog
	NO NO	2.68	Non-POU domain containing, octamer-binding
	POLR1C	2.67	Polymerase (RNA) I polypeptide C, 30 kDa
	PRPF4	2.51	PRP4 pre-mRNA processing factor 4 homolog
Chromosome organization	CBX5	2.68	Chromobox homolog 5 (HP1 alpha homolog, Drosophila)
Protein modification	CANX	2.56	Calnexin
	COPA	6.55	Coatomer protein complex, subunit alpha
	EIF2S3	2.40	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2, subunit 3 gamma
	EIF4B	2.86	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4B
	RANBP2	3.96	RAN binding protein 2
	SEC23IP	2.67	SEC23 interacting protein

Table 2 (*Continued*)

	Gene symbol	Ratio	Gene title
Energy pathway	AFURS1	2.83	ATPase family homolog up-regulated in senescence cells
	CYB5-M	2.54	Cytochrome *b*5 outer mitochondrial membrane precursor
	TOMM22	3.07	Translocase of outer mitochondrial membrane 22 homolog
Lipid metabolism	HMGCS1	2.58	3-Hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-Coenzyme A synthase 1
	SCD	2.56	Stearovl-CoA desaturase
Purine biosynthesis	ENTPD1	2.36	Ectonucleoside triphosphate diphosphohydrolase 1
	GART	2.64	Phosphoribosylglycinamide formyltransferase
	PAICS	1.79	Phosphoribosylaminoimidazole carboxylase
Unclassified	BANF1	2.77	Barrier to autointegration factor 1
	BAT1	1.95	HLA-B associated transcript 1///HLA-B associated transcript 1
	C1orf16	2.37	Chromosome 1 open reading frame 16
	CALU	2.40	Calumenin
	DAZAP2	2.57	DAZ associated protein 2
	DDX18	2.34	DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) box polypeptide 18
	DHX9	9.37	DEAH (Asp-Glu-Ala-His) box polypeptide 9
	EXOSC2	3.03	Exosome component 2
	FLJ10534	2.07	Hypothetical protein FLJ10534
	FLJ10719	2.42	Hypothetical protein FLJ10719
	FLJ12973	2.76	Hypothetical protein FLJ12973
	GANAB	2.07	Glucosidase, alpha; neutral AB
	HEM1	2.37	Hematopoietic protein 1
	IGHM	2.76	Anti-HIV-1 gp120 V3 loop antibody DO142-10 light chain variable region
	IGKC	3.15	Anti-rabies virus immunoglobulin rearranged kappa chain V-region
	LIN7C	3.51	lin-7 homolog C (*C. elegans*)
	LOC54499	2.31	Putative membrane protein
	M6PR	3.59	Mannose-6-phosphate receptor
	MGC8902	2.27	Hypothetical protein MGC8902/
	MOBK1B	2.67	MOB1, Mps one binder kinase activator-like 1B (yeast)
	NS	2.15	Nucleostemin
	NUSAP1	3.25	Nucleolar and spindle associated protein 1
	OK/SW-cl.56	1.85	Beta 5-tubulin
	OPRS1	2.76	Opioid receptor, sigma 1
	PEG 10	2.50	Paternally expressed 10
	PEX19	2.34	Peroxisomal biogenesis factor 19
	PGK1	2.11	Phosphoglycerate kinase 1
	RPE	2.35	Ribulose-5-phosphate-3-epimerase
	SDBCAG84	3.16	Serologically defined breast cancer antigen 84
	SMU1	2.70	smu-1 suppressor of mec-8 and unc-52 homolog (*C. elegans*)
	TAGLN2	2.03	Transgelin 2
	UBC	2.65	Ubiquitin C
	XPNPEP1	2.84	X-prolyl aminopeptidase
	YWHAE	6.39	Tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein, epsilon polypeptide
	YWHAZ	2.50	Tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein, zeta polypeptide

of $KBrO_3$ predominantly causes gross structural changes rather than small genetic changes such as point mutations.

$KBrO_3$ generates high yields of 8OHdG DNA adducts, which is a marker of oxidative DNA damage widely used as a predictor of carcinogenesis [10]. 8OHdG has been reported to be highly mutagenic in some experiments. In cell-free system, 8OHdG induced mutation by misincorporating adenine instead of cytosine [12]. Artificially incorporated 8OHdG at specific codons in a shuttle vector system efficiently induced GC > TA transversions in mammalian cells and *E. coli* [8,32,33]. In mammalian gene mutation assays in vitro and in vivo, however, the relationship between the accumulation of 8OHdG and the induction of GC > TA transversion has not been clear. Takeuchi et al.

Table 3
Genes whose expression was down-regulated by $KBrO_3$ (2.5 mM, 4 h)

	Gene symbol	Ratio	Gene title
Cell cycle, cell growth	FH	0.51	Fumarate hydratase
	MYC	0.55	v-myc myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog
Signal transduction	DUSP2	0.37	Dual specificityphosphatase 2
	RRBP1	0.39	Ribosome binding protein 1 homolog 180 kDa
	TBL3	0 43	Transducin (beta)-like3
Transcription regulation	CITED2	0.45	Cbp/p300-interacting transactivator, with Glu/Asp-rich carboxy-terminal domain, 2
	KIAA1196	0.43	KIAA1196 protein
	TZFP	0 39	Testis zinc finger protein
Chromosome organization	H1FX	0.14	H1 histone family member X
Protein modification	CLTB	0.43	Clathrin, light polypeptide (Lcb)
Energy pathway	FDX1	0.45	Ferredoxin 1
	QPRT	0.41	Quinolinate phosphoribosyltransferase
	SLC39A4	0.43	Solute carrier family 39 (zinc transporter), member 4
Unclassified	BTBD2	0.35	BTB (POZ) domain containing 2
	LOC339229	0.44	Hypothetical protein LOC339229
	MGRN1	0.44	Mahogunin, ring finger 1
	MRP63	0.41	Mitochondrial ribosomal protein 63
	PHLDA1	0.43	Pleckstrin homology-like domain, family A, member 1
	PTPLA	0.37	Protein tyrosine phosphatase-like (proline instead of catalytic arginine), member a
	SPATA2	0.45	Spermatogenesis associated 2

examined the mutagenicity of a hydroxyl radical generator, *N,N'*-bis (2-hydroxyperoxy-2-methoxyethyl)-1,4,5,8-naphthalene-tetra-carboxylic diimide (NP-III). Although NP-III highly produced 8OHdG upon irradiation with UV in V79 cells, the frequency of *Hprt* gene mutation was not significantly induced [34]. Molecular analysis demonstrated the no association of induction of 8OHdG with GC > TA transversion in the *Hprt* mutants [35]. 8OHdG is mainly removed by Ogg1 protein in a manor of the base excision repair (BER) pathway. Arai et al. investigated the relationship between the accumulation of oxidative DNA damage and the induction of gene mutation using *Ogg*1 deficient transgenic mice [36]. Although the 8OHdG level in kidneys of the *Ogg*1 deficient mice increase 200 times of the control level after 4 weeks' $KBrO_3$ treatment, the mutation frequency in the transgenic *gpt* gene was induced by less than 10 times of the control level. The molecular analysis revealed that the fraction of GC > TA transversions did not specifically increased. These results suggest that 8OHdG-mediated base substitutions do not mainly contribute to the mutagenic process involved in $KBrO_3$-induced carcinogenesis. Other genotoxic events must be involved in the carcinogenic process.

Our present studies strongly support this hypothesis. We demonstrated that $KBrO_3$ treatment clearly induced DNA damage in both the alkaline and neutral COM assay (Fig. 1). The alkaline COM assay is capable of detecting any DNA damages including DSB, single strand breaks (SSB), alkali-labile sites, DNA–DNA/DNA–protein cross-linking, and SSB associated with incomplete excision repair sites, while the neutral COM assay allows the detection of DSB, considered to be "biologically relevant" lesion of radiation damage [24]. $KBrO_3$ may have radio-mimic genotoxicity that yields oxidative DNA damage as well as DSB. $KBrO_3$ also induced MN formation and *TK* gene mutation significantly in TK6 cells. In the *TK* gene mutation assay, $KBrO_3$ predominantly produced SG mutants, but not NG mutants (Fig. 1c), implying that gross structural changes such as deletion and recombination are associated with the mutations. Molecular analysis of the *TK* mutants confirmed the assumption. Most of *TK* mutants showed LOH mutations, not non-LOH mutations, which are mainly point mutations. Harrington-Brock et al. also demonstrated that bromate compounds significantly induced *Tk* mutations in mouse lymphoma L5178Y cells, and almost all were LOH mutations [5]. LOH can be caused by deletions,

mitotic recombination between homologous alleles, or whole chromosome loss [20]. Molecular analysis can distinguish between them and reveal the mechanism and the characteristics of the mutants. In this study, $KBrO_3$ predominantly induced large deletions that resulted in hemizygous LOH (Table 1). The large deletions were mainly terminal deletions in the proximal region of chromosome 17q, which were rarely observed in spontaneously arising *TK* mutants (Fig. 3). The mutational spectrum and LOH pattern induced by $KBrO_3$ were similar to those induced by X-irradiation (Figs. 2 and 3) [20,21]. DSBs induced X-rays cause large deletions [19,20]. When the DSBs are repaired by the non-homologous end-joining pathway, interstitial deletions result. The broken chromosome ends can be also stabilized by the addition of new telomere sequences. Because TK6 cells have high telomerase activity [20], the result is terminal deletions. Thus, the major genotoxicity of $KBrO_3$ may be due to DSBs, but not to 8OHdG converting GC > TA transversion.

Some 8OHdG lesions can convert DSBs through the BER pathway [37]. In the initial step of BER, Ogg1 removes 8OHdG by DNA glycosylase activity and nicks the DNA backbone because of its associated lyase activity. The resulting SSB is processed by an apurinic endonuclease, which generates a single nucleotide gap. The gap is filled in by a DNA polymerase and sealed by a DNA ligase [38]. Clustered 8OHdG lesions induced by $KBrO_3$ may not be appropriately repaired by BER and cause DSB, however, because it is possible that two closely opposed 8OHdGs convert two closely opposed SSBs by BER resulting DSB [39,40]. Yang et al. developed Ogg1 over-expressing TK6 cell (TK6-hOGG1) and examined cytotoxic and mutagenic responses to gamma-irradiation [41]. They demonstrated that TK6-hOGG1 cells are more sensitive than the parental TK6 cells to cytotoxicity and mutagenicity by gamma-irradiation, and most of the induced *TK* mutants in TK6-OGG1 exhibited SG phenotype, which were probably large deletion mutants resulted by DSBs. This result clearly indicates that BER pathway contributes to convert oxidative damages to DSBs. Some clustered 8OHdG induced by $KBrO_3$ may covert to DSBs in TK6 cells, because TK6 is Ogg1 proficient cells [37].

To clarify the genotoxic characteristics of $KBrO_3$, we investigated the gene expression profile using Affymetrix GeneChip® Expression analysis. Many genes were up- or down-regulated by exposure to 2.5 mM $KBrO_3$ (Tables 2 and 3). Akerman et al. investigated the alterations of gene expression profiles in ionizing radiation-exposed TK6 cells [42]. They reported a >50% increase in expression of ATF-3 (stress response), Cyclin G (cell cycle), FAS antigen (apoptosis), GADD45 (repair and apoptosis), PCNA (repair), Rad51 (repair), and p21 (cell cycle) and a 40% decrease in expression of c-Myc (transcription factor), interferon stimulatory gene factor-3 (cell signaling), and p55CDC (cell cycle). We also observed up-regulation of p21 and down-regulation of c-Myc. Up-regulation of p21, however, is observed in TK6 cells exposed to any DNA-damaging chemical [43]. Islaih et al. also demonstrated the relationship between the gene expression profiles and the DNA damaging agents using TK6 cells [43]. They examined six chemicals including H_2O_2 and bleomycin which induce oxidative DNA damage. Although 10 genes were commonly up-regulated between H_2O_2 and bleomycin treatments, these genes except for p21 were not observed in our experiment. Thus, we could not find the similarity of gene expression profile by the treatment with $KBrO_3$ to by the treatment with ionizing radiation as well as oxidative damage inducers. Comparing gene expression profiles across platforms, laboratories, and experiments must be difficult [44]. Although it is difficult to judge from the expression analysis of the single chemical, information on genes which altered their expression gives a clue to understand the mechanism of action. Firstly, predominance of DNA repair and cell cycle related genes in up-regulated genes supports the genotoxic action of $KBrO_3$. Up-regulation of stress genes and apoptosis related genes suggests an involvement of oxidative stress. Up-regulation of catalase may be responsible for the oxidative damage by $KBrO_3$ (Table 2). Unclassified genes for alteration may have a functional relationship with genotoxic mechanism.

In conclusion, $KBrO_3$ predominantly induced large deletions at chromosomal level in human TK6 cells. The major genotoxicity leading to carcinogenesis of $KBrO_3$ may be due to DSBs rather than to 8OHdG adducts that lead to GC > TA transversions, as is commonly believed.

Acknowledgments

The TK6 cell line used in this study was a kind gift of Dr. John B. Little of the Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA. This study was supported by Health, Welfare, and Labor Science Research Grants (H15-chem-002, H15-food-004) in Japan.

References

[1] Y. Kurokawa, A. Maekawa, M. Takahashi, Y. Hayashi, Toxicity and carcinogenicity of potassium bromate—a new renal carcinogen, Environ. Health Perspect. 87 (1990) 309–335.

[2] Y. Kurokawa, S. Aoki, Y. Matsushima, N. Takamura, T. Imazawa, Y. Hayashi, Dose–response studies on the carcinogenicity of potassium bromate in F344 rats after long-term oral administration, J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 77 (1986) 977–982.
[3] A.B. DeAngelo, M.H. George, S.R. Kilburn, T.M. Moore, D.C. Wolf, Carcinogenicity of potassium bromate administered in the drinking water to male B6C3F1 mice and F344/N rats, Toxicol. Pathol. 26 (1998) 587–594.
[4] M. Ishidate Jr., K. Yoshikawa, Chromosome aberration tests with Chinese hamster cells in vitro with and without metabolic activation—a comparative study on mutagens and carcinogens, Arch. Toxicol. Suppl. 4 (1980) 41–44.
[5] K. Harrington-Brock, D.D. Collard, T. Chen, Bromate induces loss of heterozygosity in the thymidine kinase gene of L5178Y/Tk(±)-3.7.2C mouse lymphoma cells, Mutat. Res. 537 (2003) 21–28.
[6] M. Hayashi, T. Sofuni, M. Ishidate Jr., High-sensitivity in micronucleus induction of a mouse strain (MS), Mutat. Res. 105 (1982) 253–256.
[7] M. Hayashi, M. Kishi, T. Sofuni, M. Ishidate Jr., Micronucleus tests in mice on 39 food additives and eight miscellaneous chemicals, Food Chem. Toxicol. 26 (1988) 487–500.
[8] H. Kamiya, K. Miura, H. Ishikawa, H. Inoue, S. Nishimura, E. Ohtsuka, c-Ha-ras containing 8-hydroxyguanine at codon 12 induces point mutations at the modified and adjacent positions, Cancer Res. 52 (1992) 3483–3485.
[9] A.G. Knudson, Antioncogenes and human cancer, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 90 (1993) 10914–10921.
[10] H. Kasai, S. Nishimura, Y. Kurokawa, Y. Hayashi, Oral administration of the renal carcinogen, potassium bromate, specifically produces 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine in rat target organ DNA, Carcinogenesis 8 (1987) 1959–1961.
[11] K.C. Cheng, D.S. Cahill, H. Kasai, S. Nishimura, L.A. Loeb, 8-Hydroxyguanine, an abundant form of oxidative DNA damage, causes G–T and A–C substitutions, J. Biol. Chem. 267 (1992) 166–172.
[12] S. Shibutani, M. Takeshita, A.P. Grollman, Insertion of specific bases during DNA synthesis past the oxidation-damaged base 8-oxodG, Nature 349 (1991) 431–434.
[13] K. Sai, C.A. Tyson, D.W. Thomas, J.E. Dabbs, R. Hasegawa, Y. Kurokawa, Oxidative DNA damage induced by potassium bromate in isolated rat renal proximal tubules and renal nuclei, Cancer Lett. 87 (1994) 1–7.
[14] G. Speit, S. Haupter, P. Schutz, P. Kreis, Comparative evaluation of the genotoxic properties of potassium bromate and potassium superoxide in V79 Chinese hamster cells, Mutat. Res. 439 (1999) 213–221.
[15] T. Umemura, K. Sai, A. Takagi, R. Hasegawa, Y. Kurokawa, A possible role for oxidative stress in potassium bromate (KBrO3) carcinogenesis, Carcinogenesis 16 (1995) 593–597.
[16] K. Fujie, H. Shimazu, M. Matsuda, T. Sugiyama, Acute cytogenetic effects of potassium bromate on rat bone marrow cells in vivo, Mutat. Res. 206 (1988) 455–458.
[17] M. Ishidate Jr., T. Sofuni, K. Yoshikawa, M. Hayashi, T. Nohmi, M. Sawada, A. Matsuoka, Primary mutagenicity screening of food additives currently used in Japan, Food Chem. Toxicol. 22 (1984) 623–636.
[18] H.L. Liber, W.G. Thilly, Mutation assay at the thymidine kinase locus in diploid human lymphoblasts, Mutat. Res. 94 (1982) 467–485.
[19] H.L. Liber, D.W. Yandell, J.B. Little, A comparison of mutation induction at the tk and hprt loci in human lymphoblastoid cells; quantitative differences are due to an additional class of mutations at the autosomal tk locus, Mutat. Res. 216 (1989) 9–17.
[20] M. Honma, Generation of loss of heterozygosity and its dependency on p53 status in human lymphoblastoid cells, Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 45 (2005) 162–176.
[21] M. Honma, M. Hayashi, T. Sofuni, Cytotoxic and mutagenic responses to X-rays and chemical mutagens in normal and p53-mutated human lymphoblastoid cells, Mutat. Res. 374 (1997) 89–98.
[22] L. Zhan, H. Sakamoto, M. Sakuraba, D.S. Wu, L.S. Zhang, T. Suzuki, M. Hayashi, M. Honma, Genotoxicity of microcystin-LR in human lymphoblastoid TK6 cells, Mutat. Res. 557 (2004) 1–6.
[23] N. Koyama, H. Sakamoto, M. Sakuraba, T. Koizumi, Y. Takashima, M. Hayashi, H. Matsufuji, K. Yamagata, S. Masuda, N. Kinae, M. Honma, Genotoxicity of acrylamide and glycidamide in human lymphoblastoid TK6 cells, Mutat. Res. 603 (2006) 151–158.
[24] S. Wada, H. Kurahayashi, Y. Kobayashi, T. Funayama, K. Yamamoto, M. Natsuhori, N. Ito, The relationship between cellular radiosensitivity and radiation-induced DNA damage measured by the comet assay, J. Vet. Med. Sci. 65 (2003) 471–477.
[25] M. Watanabe-Akanuma, T. Ohta, Y.F. Sasaki, A novel aspect of thiabendasole as a photomutagen in bacteria and cultured human cells, Mutat. Res. 158 (2005) 213–219.
[26] T. Matsushima, M. Hayashi, A. Matsuoka, M. Ishidate Jr., K.F. Miura, H. Shimizu, Y. Suzuki, K. Morimoto, H. Ogura, K. Mure, K. Koshi, T. Sofuni, Validation study of the in vitro micronuclei test in a Chinese hamster lung cell line (CHL/IU), Mutagenesis 14 (1999) 569–580.
[27] T. Omori, M. Honma, M. Hayashi, Y. Honda, I. Yoshimura, A new statistical method for evaluating of L5178Ytk± mammalian cell data using microwell method 517 (2002) 199–208.
[28] M. Honma, M. Momose, H. Tanabe, H. Sakamoto, Y. Yu, J.B. Little, T. Sofuni, M. Hayashi, Requirement of wild-type p53 protein for maintenance of chromosomal integrity, Mol. Carcinog. 28 (2000) 203–214.
[29] P.L. Olive, DNA damage and repair in individual cells: applications of the comet assay in radiobiology, Int. J. Radiat. Biol. 75 (1999) 395–405.
[30] D.E. Levin, M. Hollstein, M.F. Christman, E.A. Schwiers, B.N. Ames, A new Salmonella tester strain (TA102) with A X T base pairs at the site of mutation detects oxidative mutagens, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 79 (1982) 7445–7449.
[31] T. Arai, V.P. Kelly, O. Minowa, T. Noda, S. Nishimura, High accumulation of oxidative DNA damage, 8-hydroxyguanine, in Mmh/Ogg1 deficient mice by chronic oxidative stress, Carcinogenesis 23 (2002) 2005–2010.
[32] F. Le Page, A. Margot, A.P. Grollman, A. Sarasin, A. Gentil, Mutagenicity of a unique 8-oxoguanine in a human Ha-ras sequence in mammalian cells, Carcinogenesis 16 (1995) 2779–2784.
[33] M.L. Wood, M. Dizdaroglu, E. Gajewski, J.M. Essigmann, Mechanistic studies of ionizing radiation and oxidative mutagenesis: genetic effects of a single 8-hydroxyguanine (7-hydro-8-oxoguanine) residue inserted at a unique site in a viral genome, Biochemistry 29 (1990) 7024–7032.
[34] T. Takeuchi, S. Matsugo, K. Morimoto, Mutagenicity of oxidative DNA damage in Chinese hamster V79 cells, Carcinogenesis 18 (1997) 2051–2055.
[35] M. Nakajima, T. Takeuchi, K. Ogino, K. Morimoto, Lack of direct involvement of 8-hydroxy-2′-deoxyguanosine in