

and 5'-GCGGCCGCTTACACGGCGATCTTTCCGCCC-3' (Not I site)). The PCR product was cloned into the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega). The EMCV IRES Neo fragment was excised from pMXs-IN vector by Not I and Sal I digestion (20). The Eco RI-Sal I fragment of the pCHO vector was excised from pGag-pol-IRES-bs^f vector by Eco RI and Sal I digestion (21). To construct pEF-Fluc-IB, the Eco RI-Not I fragment of firefly luciferase, and the Not I-Sal I fragment of the EMCV IRES Neo were inserted into the Eco RI and the Sal I site of pCHO by triple ligation.

The plasmid pEF Rluc-HCV IRES Feo was constructed as follows: The fragment carrying the Renilla luciferase was amplified from the phRL-TK vector (Promega) by PCR using a pair of primers (5'-GAATTCATGGCTTCCAAGGTGTACGACCC-3' (Eco RI site) and 5'-GGATCCTTACTGCTCGTTCTTCAGCACGC-3' (Bam HI site)). The fragment carrying the HCV IRES Feo was amplified from the pRep-Feo vector (7) by PCR using a pair of primers (5'-GGATCCGCCAGCCCCCGATTGGGGGCGAC-3' (Bam HI site) and 5'-GTCGACTCAGAAGAACTCGTCAAGAAGGC-3' (Sal I site)). Each PCR product was cloned into the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega). To construct pEF Rluc-HCV IRES Feo, the Eco RI-Bam HI fragment of Renilla luciferase, and the Bam HI-Sal I fragment of HCV IRES Feo were inserted into the Eco RI and Sal I site of pCHO by triple ligation.

The pEF-Fluc-IB and pEF Rluc-HCV IRES Feo was transfected into Huh7 cells using the Effectene Transfection Reagent (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's recommendation. Two days after transfection, Huh7 cells were selected in medium containing 250 µg/ml G418.

Immunofluorescent staining.

After treatment with griseofulvin for 72 hours, HCV-JFH-1 infected cells were fixed with cold methanol and blocked using Blocking One (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan). For detection of NS3 protein, the cells were incubated with anti-NS3 antibody (Virogen) for 1 hour at room temperature. After washing with PBS, the cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-mouse IgG antibody (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) for 1 hour at room temperature. After washing with PBS, the cells were stained with 7-Aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD) for nuclear counterstaining and analyzed using fluorescence microscopy.

RESULTS

Replication of a subgenomic HCV-1b replicon is suppressed by griseofulvin.

We investigated the anti-HCV effect and cell toxicity of griseofulvin in the HCV subgenomic replicon cells, Huh7/Rep-Feo. The luciferase activities of the Huh7/Rep-Feo cells showed that replication of the HCV replicon was suppressed by griseofulvin in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1A). Next, we performed a time-course experiment in which the luciferase activities of Huh7/Rep-Feo cells were measured at various time-points after treatment with griseofulvin. As shown in Figure 1B, griseofulvin induced a decrease in the luciferase activities of Huh7/Rep-Feo cells over time. On the other hand, treatment with griseofulvin had little effect on cellular viability at this range of concentrations, as revealed by MTS assay (Fig. 1C). The 50% effective concentration (EC_{50}) of griseofulvin was $6.13 \pm 0.17 \mu\text{M}$. The 50% cytotoxic concentration of this compound (CC_{50}) was $217.93 \pm 3.49 \mu\text{M}$. Thus, the selectivity index (ratio of CC_{50} to EC_{50}) was 35.5 (Table 1). Furthermore, we examined the effect of other antifungal agents, fluconazole and itraconazole, on HCV RNA replication. In contrast, fluconazole and itraconazole had little effect on HCV RNA replication (Table 1).

We analyzed HCV RNA levels in Huh7/Rep-Feo cells treated with griseofulvin, or not treated, using real time RT-PCR. As shown in Figure 2A, treatment with griseofulvin decreased the replicon RNA titer in a dose dependent manner. Similar results were seen at the protein level by monitoring the HCV nonstructural proteins NS3 and NS5A. Western blot analysis demonstrated that griseofulvin treatment results in reduced levels of these viral proteins (Fig. 2B).

However, it remained to be clarified whether the griseofulvin inhibits firefly luciferase directly. To investigate this possibility, we examined the effect of griseofulvin on firefly luciferase activity using Huh7 cells expressing firefly luciferase constitutively. Treatment of these cells with griseofulvin resulted in no significant change in the firefly luciferase activity (Fig.3). This result excludes the possibility that griseofulvin inhibits firefly luciferase activity directly.

The anti-HCV activity of griseofulvin is not mediated by the IFN signaling pathway.

It has been reported that the HCV replicon is highly sensitive to IFN (22, 23). To determine whether the action of griseofulvin on the HCV subgenomic replicon involves activation of IFN stimulated gene responses, we analyzed the expression of IFN inducible genes in HCV replicon cells. RT-PCR analysis showed that the messenger

RNAs for MxA and 2', 5'-oligoadenylate synthetase (2', 5'-OAS), which are both IFN inducible genes, were induced by IFN α -2b but not by griseofulvin (Fig. 4). These results suggest that the action of griseofulvin on the intracellular replication of HCV replicon is independent of the IFN signaling pathway.

Synergistic inhibitory effect of griseofulvin and IFN- α on HCV replicon

Whether a combination of griseofulvin and IFN- α exhibits a synergistic, additive, or antagonistic effect was assessed using an isobologram method (19). Isobologram analysis is an approach used in pre-clinical studies to quantify the extent of synergistic, additive, or antagonistic effects between drugs used in combination. For instance, a representation of an isobologram to evaluate a drug-drug interaction is shown in Figure 5A. It is understood that synergy, additivity, and antagonism are represented by concave, liner, and convex isoeffective curves (isoboles), respectively. The combined anti-HCV effects of griseofulvin and IFN- α were evaluated. Prior to the combination experiments, the optimal concentration ratio of two compounds (combination ratio) had to be determined. After preliminary experiments, three different ratios were chosen for each combination (data not shown). The ratios of griseofulvin and IFN- α were 9:1, 1:1, and 1:9. Each concentration of griseofulvin and IFN- α at 50% inhibition were plotted on the

X- and Y-axes, respectively, to generate an isobologram (Fig. 5B). As shown in Figure 5B, each plot fell far below the line showing additivity, indicating that the effect of the griseofulvin and IFN- α combination on HCV RNA replication is strongly synergistic.

Griseofulvin induces G2/M cell cycle arrest in HCV replicon cells.

As described previously, griseofulvin blocks cell cycle progression at G2/M in several human cell lines (24). Here, we examined the effect of griseofulvin on cell cycle progression in Huh7/Rep-Feo cells. As shown in Figure 6, the population of griseofulvin treated Huh7/Rep-Feo cells in G2/M phase at 12 hours was 40.0%, compared to 15.3% for the control cell populations. These data imply that griseofulvin might have the potential to arrest Huh7/Rep-Feo cells in G2/M phase.

As described above, treatment of Huh7/Rep-Feo cells with 20 μ M griseofulvin for 12 hours results in G2/M arrest (Fig. 6), while treatment for 72 hours had no effect on cell growth (Fig. 1C). To explain this discrepancy, we examined the growth kinetics of griseofulvin treated Huh7/Rep-Feo cells. The cells were cultured with 20 μ M griseofulvin and cell growth was monitored by MTS assay. The cell viability declined gradually until 48 hours after treatment with 20 μ M griseofulvin, but increased from 48 hours to 72 hours (Fig. 7). These data indicated that treatment with 20 μ M griseofulvin

arrests Huh7/Rep-Feo cells in G2/M phase, but does not inhibit cell growth completely.

Griseofulvin does not inhibit HCV IRES-dependent translation.

Previous studies have shown that vinblastine sulfate and nocodazole, well-characterized inhibitors of microtubule polymerization and the cell cycle in G2/M, inhibit HCV replication but not HCV IRES-dependent translation (25). Therefore, we determined whether G2/M cell cycle arrest by griseofulvin affects HCV IRES-dependent translation using Huh7 cells transfected with pEF Rluc-HCV IRES Feo (Fig. 8A). Treatment of these cells with 20 μ M griseofulvin resulted in no significant change of the internal luciferase activities, a concentration that suppressed expression of the HCV replicon and arrested the HCV replicon cells in G2/M phase (Fig. 8B). These results suggested that cell cycle arrest by griseofulvin did not affect HCV IRES-dependent translation, as shown previously for vinblastine sulfate and nocodazole.

Griseofulvin suppressed JFH-1 HCV replication.

The studies described thus far were carried out using the subgenomic HCV-1b replicon system. Recently, Wakita et al. established a cell culture model for HCV. This

system, known as the JFH-1 system and based on genotype 2a HCV, allows the production of virus that can be efficiently propagated in cell culture (HCVcc) (10). Therefore, we examined the effect of griseofulvin using the JFH-1 system. The Huh 7.5.1/JFH-1 cells (cells persistently infected with HCV JFH-1) were cultured with 10 μ M or 20 μ M griseofulvin for 72 hours. We detected the HCV NS3 protein in Huh-7.5.1 / JFH-1 HCV cells by immunostaining. As shown in Figure 9, in the absence of griseofulvin treatment, the NS3 protein was localized predominantly in the perinuclear region. After treatment of griseofulvin, the NS3 protein expression level was reduced substantially (Fig 9). This result indicates that griseofulvin also suppressed HCV replication in the JFH-1 HCVcc system.

DISCUSSION

We have shown here that griseofulvin inhibits the replication of HCV in the HCV subgenomic replicon cells, Huh7/Rep-Feo. In this reporter-based subgenomic replicon system, the EC_{50} of griseofulvin for inhibition of HCV replication, determined by measurement of the luciferase activity, was approximately 6.13 μ M. The real-time RT-PCR and western blot analyses revealed that both RNA synthesis and its translation were inhibited by griseofulvin in a dose-dependent manner. Treatment of Huh7/Rep-Feo cells with griseofulvin did not activate the IFN inducible gene responses, suggesting that the inhibitory mechanism of griseofulvin in HCV replication is independent of the IFN signaling pathway. Moreover, we demonstrated that combination treatment of griseofulvin and IFN- α had a synergistic inhibitory effect in Huh7/Rep-Feo cells. We also demonstrated that griseofulvin suppressed replication of JFH-1 HCV.

A previous study demonstrated that griseofulvin induces G2/M arrest in several human cell lines (24). Here, we show that griseofulvin arrested the Huh7/Rep-Feo cells in G2/M. Recently, several studies have shown a correlation between HCV IRES-mediated translation and the cell cycle. Honda et al. reported that the HCV IRES activity was highest in G2/M (26). In contrast, Venkatesan et al. reported that the HCV

IRES activity was lowest in G2/M (27), whilst other studies reported that the HCV IRES activity was independent of the stage of the cell cycle (28, 29). In addition, Bost et al. reported that several cell cycle inhibitors (vinblastine sulfate, colchicine, nocodazole, and cytochalasin D) did not affect HCV IRES-dependent translation (25). We also have shown that cell cycle arrest by griseofulvin did not affect HCV IRES-dependent translation. Accordingly, our results support the hypothesis that the HCV IRES activity is independent of the cell cycle.

Previous studies have demonstrated that vinblastine sulfate and nocodazole, well-characterized inhibitors of microtubule polymerization, are able to inhibit HCV RNA replication in HCV subgenomic replicon cells (25). These findings indicated that microtubule polymerization is required for formation of the HCV replication complex. Griseofulvin has been shown to arrest human cells in G2/M phase by acting on microtubule polymerization (30). Thus, it is speculated that the inhibition of microtubule polymerization by griseofulvin may influence on the formation of the HCV RNA replication complex. Further, defining the mechanism of action of griseofulvin against HCV replication may be important for defining a novel target for anti-HCV therapy.

Griseofulvin has been used for many years for the treatment of ringworm and other

dermatophyte infections. Moreover, griseofulvin does not have significant toxicity for humans. Consequently, the development of derivatives of this compound may be a useful strategy for future therapeutic intervention in chronic hepatitis C.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Toshio Kitamura (University of Tokyo) for kindly providing pGag-pol-IRES-bs^f and pMXs-IN plasmids; Dr. Francis V. Chisari (The Scripps Research Institute) for kindly providing Huh-7.5.1 cell line; Dr. Iyoko Kato for helpful comments and discussion; Mr. Masashi Osano, and Ms. Ikuko Kayama for technical assistance. This study was supported by a grant of Health Science from the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare of Japan; by a grant of the Human Sciences Foundation.

REFERENCE

1. Alter HJ, Purcell RH, Shih JW, et al. Detection of antibody to hepatitis C virus in prospectively followed transfusion recipients with acute and chronic non-A, non-B hepatitis. *N Engl J Med* 1989; **321**: 1494-1500.
2. Choo QL, Kuo G, Weiner AJ, et al. Isolation of a cDNA clone derived from a blood-borne non-A, non-B viral hepatitis genome. *Science* 1989; **244**: 359-62.
3. Alter MJ. Epidemiology of hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 1997; **26**: 62S-5S
4. Fried MW, Shiffman ML, Reddy KR, et al. Peginterferon alfa-2a plus ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 2002; **347**: 975-82.
5. Lohmann V, Korner F, Koch J, et al. Replication of subgenomic hepatitis C virus RNAs in a hepatoma cell line. *Science* 1999; **285**: 110-3.
6. Kim SS, Peng LF, Lin W, et al. A cell-based, high-throughput screen for small molecule regulators of hepatitis C virus replication. *Gastroenterology* 2007; **132**: 311-20.
7. Tanabe Y, Sakamoto N, Enomoto et al. Synergistic inhibition of intracellular hepatitis C virus replication by combination of ribavirin and interferon- alpha. *J Infect Dis* 2004; **189**: 1129-39.

8. Yokota T, Sakamoto N, Enomoto N, et al. Inhibition of intracellular hepatitis C virus replication by synthetic and vector-derived small interfering RNAs. *EMBO Rep* 2003; **4**: 602-8.
9. Lindenbach BD, Evans MJ, Syder AJ, et al. Complete replication of hepatitis C virus in cell culture. *Science* 2005; **309**: 623-6.
10. Wakita T, Pietschmann T, Kato T, et al. Production of infectious hepatitis C virus in tissue culture from a cloned viral genome. *Nat Med* 2005; **11**: 791-6.
11. Zhong J, Gastaminza P, Cheng G, et al. Robust hepatitis C virus infection in vitro. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005; **102**: 9294-9.
12. Hinrichsen H, Benhamou Y, Wedemeyer H, et al. Short-term antiviral efficacy of BILN 2061, a hepatitis C virus serine protease inhibitor, in hepatitis C genotype 1 patients. *Gastroenterology* 2004; **127**: 1347-55.
13. Lamarre D, Anderson PC, Bailey M, et al. An NS3 protease inhibitor with antiviral effects in humans infected with hepatitis C virus. *Nature* 2003; **426**: 186-9.
14. Reesink HW, Zeuzem S, Weegink CJ, et al. Rapid decline of viral RNA in hepatitis C patients treated with VX-950: a phase Ib, placebo-controlled, randomized study. *Gastroenterology* 2006; **131**: 997-1002.
15. Sarrazin C, Rouzier R, Wagner F, et al. SCH 503034, a novel hepatitis C virus

protease inhibitor, plus pegylated interferon alpha-2b for genotype 1 nonresponders.

Gastroenterology 2007; **132**: 1270-8

16. Amemiya F, Maekawa S, Itakura Y, et al. Targeting lipid metabolism in the treatment of hepatitis C. *J Infect Dis* in press.
17. Martell M, Gomez J, Esteban JI, et al. High-throughput real-time reverse transcription-PCR quantitation of hepatitis C virus RNA. *J Clin Microbiol* 1999; **37**:327-32.
18. Okada Y, Osada M, Kurata S, et al. p53 gene family p51(p63)-encoded, secondarytransactivator p51B(TAp63alpha) occurs without forming an immunoprecipitable complex with MDM2, but responds to genotoxic stress by accumulation. *Exp Cell Res* 2002; **276**:194-200.
19. Chou TC, Talaly P, A simple generalized equation for the analysisof multiple inhibitions of Michaelis-Menten kinetic systems. *J. Biol.Chem.* 1977; **252**: 6438-42.
20. Kitamura T, Koshino Y, Shibata F, et al. Retrovirus-mediated gene transfer and expression cloning: Powerful tools in functional genomics. *Exp Hematol* 2003; **31**:1007-1014.
21. Morita S, Kojima T, Kitamura T. Plat-E: an efficient and stable system for transient

- packaging of retroviruses. *Gene Ther* 2000; **7**:1063-6.
22. Blight KJ, Kolykhalov AA, Rice CM. Efficient initiation of HCV RNA replication in cell culture. *Science* 2000 **8**; **290**:1972-4.
23. Frese M, Pietschmann T, Moradpour D, et al. Interferon-alpha inhibits hepatitis C virus subgenomic RNA replication by an MxA-independent pathway. *J Gen Virol* 2001; **82**: 723-3.
24. Ho YS, Duh JS, Jeng JH, et al. Griseofulvin potentiates antitumorigenesis effects of nocodazole through induction of apoptosis and G2/M cell cycle arrest in human colorectal cancer cells. *Int J Cancer* 2001; **91**:393-401.
25. Bost AG, Venable D, Liu L, et al. Cytoskeletal requirements for hepatitis C virus (HCV) RNA synthesis in the HCV replicon cell culture system. *J Virol* 2003; **77**: 4401-8.
26. Honda M, Kaneko S, Matsushita E, et al. Cell cycle regulation of hepatitis C virus internal ribosomal entry site-directed translation. *Gastroenterology* 2000; **118**:152-62.
27. Venkatesan A, Sharma R, Dasgupta A. Cell cycle regulation of hepatitis C and encephalomyocarditis virus internal ribosome entry site-mediated translation in human embryonic kidney 293 cells. *Virus Res* 2003; **94**:85-95.
28. Nelson HB, Tang H. Effect of cell growth on hepatitis C virus (HCV) replication

- and a mechanism of cell confluence-based inhibition of HCV RNA and protein expression. *J Virol* 2006; **80**:1181-90.
29. Scholle F, Li K, Bodola F, Ikeda M, et al. Virus-host cell interactions during hepatitis C virus RNA replication: impact of polyprotein expression on the cellular transcriptome and cell cycle association with viral RNA synthesis. *J Virol* 2004; **78**:1513-24.
30. Panda D, Rathinasamy K, Santra MK, et al. Kinetic suppression of microtubule dynamic instability by griseofulvin: implications for its possible use in the treatment of cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005; **102**:9878-883.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1

Inhibition of HCV replication in Huh7/Rep-Feo cells by griseofulvin. (A) Huh7/Rep-Feo cells were cultured with various concentrations of griseofulvin in the medium and luciferase assays were performed after 72 hours of culture. Luciferase assays were performed in triplicate. Error bars indicate means \pm standard deviation (SD). (B) Huh7/Rep-Feo cells were treated with various concentration of griseofulvin (2.5 to 40 μ M). Luciferase activity was measured at the time points indicated after exposure to griseofulvin. (C) MTS of Huh7/Rep-Feo cells cultured with the concentration of griseofulvin indicated.

Figure 2

The suppressive effect of griseofulvin for HCV replicon was confirmed by real-time RT-PCR and western blot analysis. (A) Incubation of Huh7/Rep-Feo cells with griseofulvin for 72 hours resulted in dose dependent antiviral effects. Real-time RT-PCR was performed on the extracted RNA. HCV RNA levels are shown as relative percentages of untreated control. Error bars indicate means \pm SD. (B) Western blot

analyses of NS3 and NS5A protein expression were performed on protein extracts from cells that were treated for 72 hours with varying dose of griseofulvin. β -actin was used as a loading control.

Figure 3

No inhibition of firefly luciferase activity by griseofulvin. The pEF Fluc IN vector was stably transfected into Hun7 cells. The cells were cultured without (Control) and with 20 μ M and 40 μ M griseofulvin for 72 hours. Firefly luciferase assay was performed. Luciferase activity was normalized by the protein concentration. Error bars indicate means \pm SD.

Figure 4

Griseofulvin did elicit an IFN response. Huh7/Rep-Feo cells were treated without (lane 1) or with 1, 10, 100 U/ml IFN α -2b (lane 2~4) and 20 (lane 5) or 80 μ M griseofulvin (lane 6) for 72 hours. The messenger RNAs of MxA (upper panel), 2', 5'-oligoadenylate synthetase (2', 5'-OAS) (middle panel), and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) as an internal control (lower panel) were detected by RT-PCR analysis.

Figure.5

Effect of a combination of griseofulvin and IFN- α on intracellular HCV RNA replication. (A) A representative isobologram for analyzing interaction between two drugs. (B) Isobole plot of 50% inhibition of HCV RNA replication. Huh7/Rep-Feo cells were treated with griseofulvin in combination with IFN- α and a luciferase assay was performed after 72 hours of culture to obtain each isobole plot. The dotted line indicates an additive effect in the isobologram method used.

Figure 6

Griseofulvin induced G2/M phase arrest in Huh7/Rep-Feo cells. Flow cytometry analysis of DNA content of untreated Huh7/Rep-Feo cells (Control) and cells treated for 12 hours with 20 μ M of griseofulvin.

Figure 7

The growth kinetics of griseofulvin treatment Huh7/Rep-Feo cells. The cells were cultured with 20 μ M griseofulvin, and cell viability was monitored by MTS assay at the times indicated. Error bars indicate means \pm SD.