- Kessler RC, Sonnega A, Bromet E, Hughes M, Nelson CB (1995) Posttraumatic stress disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey. Arch Gen Psychiatry 52:1048–1060
- Langeveld NE, Grootenhuis MA, Voute PA, de Haan RJ (2004) Posttraumatic stress symptoms in adult survivors of childhood cancer. Pediatr Blood Cancer 42:604–610
- Manne S, DuHamel K, Redd WH (2000) Association of psychological vulnerability factors to post-traumatic stress symptomatology in mothers of pediatric cancer survivors. Psychoonkologia 9:372–384
- Masuda M, Holmes TH (1967) The social readjustment rating scale: a cross-cultural study of Japanese and Americans. J Psychosom Res 11:227-237

- Meeske KA, Ruccione K, Globe DR, Stuber ML (2001) Posttraumatic stress, quality of life, and psychological distress in young adult survivors of childhood cancer. Oncol Nurs Forum 28:481-489
- Nakazato K, Mizuguchi T (1982)
 Development and validation of Japanese version of state-trait anxiety inventory—a study with female subjects. Shinshin Igaku 22:107–112
- Pelcovitz D, Libov BG, Mandel F, Kaplan S, Weinblatt M, Septimus A (1998) Posttraumatic stress disorder and family functioning in adolescent cancer. J Trauma Stress 11:205-221
- Phipps S, Long A, Hudson M, Rai SN (2005) Symptoms of post-traumatic stress in children with cancer and their parents: effects of informant and time from diagnosis. Pediatr Blood Cancer 45:952-959
- 23. Saeki T, Asukai N, Miyake Y, Minoguchi M, Yamawaki S (1997) Reliability and validity of the Japanese version of the Family Assessment Device (FAD). Seishinka Shindangaku 8:181-192

- Schwartz CL (1999) Long-term survivors of childhood cancer: the late effects of therapy. Oncologist 4:45-54
- Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RL, Lushene RE (1970) STAI manual. Consulting Psychologist, Palo Alto, CA
- Stuber ML, Christakis DA, Houskamp B, Kazak AE (1996) Posttrauma symptoms in childhood leukemia survivors and their parents. Psychosomatics 37:254-261
- Taieb O, Moro MR, Baubet T, Revah-Levy A, Flament MF (2003) Posttraumatic stress symptoms after childhood cancer. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry 12:255-264
- Weiss DS, Marmar CR (1997) The impact of the event scale-revised. In: Wilson JP, Keane TM (eds) Assessing psychological trauma and PTSD: a practitioner's handbook. Guilford, New York, pp 399–411
- Whittemore AS (1981) Sample size for logistic regression with small response probability. J Am Stat Assoc 76:27–32

ORIGNAL ARTIGUE

Factors related to anxiety and depression in women with breast cancer and their husbands: role of alexithymia and family functioning

Tomoyuki Mantani • Toshinari Saeki • Shinichi Inoue • Hitoshi Okamura • Minako Daino • Tsuyoshi Kataoka • Shigeto Yamawaki

Received: 18 September 2006 / Accepted: 20 December 2006 / Published online: 10 January 2007 © Springer-Verlag 2007

Abstract

Goals of work The purpose of this study was to explore alexithymia, family functioning, and other factors that might affect anxiety and depression levels in women with breast cancer and in their husbands.

Patients and methods A cross-sectional study was undertaken in 46 postsurgical ambulatory women with breast cancer and their husbands. Documented informed consent

for the study was obtained from each subject. All subjects completed the Zung self-rating anxiety scale (SAS), the Zung self-rating depression scale (SDS), the 20-item Toronto alexithymia scale (TAS-20), and the family assessment device (FAD).

Main results Multiple regression analysis revealed that a high degree of alexithymia in patients correlated with a high degree of patient anxiety. Patient perceptions of inappropriate affective responsiveness among family members correlated with a high degree of depression. Among husbands, a high degree of anxiety was correlated with their own high level of alexithymia or low level of education, and with the occurrence of adjuvant therapy in their wives. Husband perceptions of inappropriate sharing of roles among family members, their own low education level, and a large number of family members correlated with high degrees of depression among them.

Conclusions The present study revealed that alexithymia and family functioning are associated with anxiety and depression, respectively, in both women with breast cancer and in their husbands. Individual traits such as alexithymia and family functioning should be taken into account when we intervene to treat anxiety and depression in breast cancer patients and their husbands.

Keywords Breast cancer · Couple · Anxiety · Depression · Alexithymia · Family functioning

T. Mantani · S. Yamawaki (🖂)

Department of Psychiatry and Neurosciences, Division of Frontier Medical Science, Programs for Biomedical Research, Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Hiroshima University, 1-2-3 Kasumi, Minami-ku, Hiroshima 734-8551, Japan

e-mail: yamawaki@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

T. Saeki

Department of General Medicine, Hiroshima University Hospital, Hiroshima, Japan

S. Inoue

Senogawa Hospital, Hiroshima, Japan

H. Okamura

Department of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, Graduate School of Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, 1-2-3 Kasumi, Minami-ku, Hiroshima 734-8551, Japan

M. Daino

Graduate School of Nursing, Asahikawa Medical College, Asahikawa, Japan

T. Kataoka

Department of Health Care for Adults, Graduate School of Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, 1-2-3 Kasumi, Minami-ku, Hiroshima 734-8551, Japan

Introduction

Anxiety and depression are important and prevalent problems affecting the quality of life of women with breast cancer. A frequently cited study by Derogatis and colleagues [13], involving 215 randomly selected adult inpatients and outpatients being treated for three different types of cancer, found that 47% were having psychiatric disorders. Among the 47%, more than two-thirds (68%) had "reactive" or situational anxiety and depression (adjustment disorders with anxious or depressed mood), and 13% had major depression.

Family members are typically regarded as caregivers for cancer patients. In family studies of adult cancer patients, the patients' spouses, in particular, have been the focus of attention as a valued source of emotional support [4, 5, 29]. However, in some studies, spouses reported having as much distress as the patients themselves [42, 44] or even more [4, 29]. Thus, spouses can be considered "second-order patients", and they may require some form of medical attention.

On the other hand, many studies have been conducted to determine who is at greatest risk of adjustment problems so that support services can be targeted to them. Thus far, social support has been reported to play an important role in the adjustment of both breast cancer patients and their spouses [7, 21, 40, 41] and has attracted considerable attention as a feasible target of treatment. However, some researchers have indicated the limited usefulness of the social support concept for designing preventive or therapeutic interventions and have proposed the importance of focusing on more specific and more detailed processes [11, 12, 49].

Other studies, meanwhile, have focused on the association between communication and distress in couples. These studies have consistently indicated that a breast cancer patient's partner is a valuable source of emotional support: patients usually want to talk about their concerns with their partner and often find it problematic when they and their partner are not communicating well [31, 38, 46]. Also, empathy has been believed to play a particularly important role in good communication [19, 23, 32, 48].

Rarely, however, few researchers have tried to explain why empathetic communication is poor in some cancer patients and families. Empathy is known to include an ability to identify another's emotions and to express one's own emotions. On the other hand, it has been reported that the people who do not express their emotions can hardly obtain support and ruin their psychological health [45, 52]. That is, cancer patients or their families who do not express their emotions may increase not only patient distress but also their own. To avoid this, it is very important to examine why some people in this situation do not express their emotions. Regarding this problem, some researchers have focused on the emotional control involved in conscious restraint against the expression of emotion [22, 59]. Besides emotional control, however, other explanations of why it is difficult for some people to express emotions have not been examined.

Alexithymia is a famous psychological characteristic involving difficulty in expressing emotions and in identifying their own feelings or those of others. The concept initially evolved from clinical observations of patients with psychosomatic disorders; the term was introduced by Nemiah and Sifneos during the early 1970s [37]. The salient features of this construct are as follows: (1) difficulty identifying and describing subjective feelings; (2) difficulty distinguishing between feelings and bodily sensations of emotional arousal; (3) constricted imaginal capacities; and (4) an externally oriented cognitive style [37]. Alexithymia is a common condition, existing in about 10% of healthy people. It has been reported to exist at even higher rates not only in individuals with psychosomatic disease but also in those with panic disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), eating disorder, substance abuse disorder, and so on [58]. It is now considered a possible risk factor in various psychiatric diseases. Moreover, it is difficult for alexithymic people to comprehend the feelings of other people and to make empathic responses [58]. So, we thought it might be important to investigate the relationship between distress and the degree of alexithymia in breast cancer patients and their spouses.

Meanwhile, family members have various functions besides communication. Recently, well-validated question-naires for evaluating family functioning have been developed, through which several researchers have reported the relationship between poor family functioning and psychological distress in families with cancer patients (e.g., [27, 28]). The concept of family functioning also may be useful for clarifying who is at greatest risk of adjustment problems among these subjects.

The purpose of this study was to explore alexithymia, family functioning, and other factors that might influence anxiety and depression levels in women with breast cancer and in their husbands.

Materials and methods

Study sample and procedure

Patients meeting the following criteria were drawn consecutively from the outpatient population of the Mammary Gland Dispensary of Hiroshima University Hospital during the 6-month period from October 1999 to March 2000:

- diagnosis of stage I or II breast cancer followed by surgery for it;
- (2) having been informed of this diagnosis,
- (3) 20 years of age or older,
- (4) interval of more than 3 months between the initial surgery for breast cancer and the interview for the present study,

- (5) married woman living with her husband,
- (6) well enough physically to complete several questionnaires,
- (7) Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (PS) of 0-2,
- (8) absence of cognitive impairment,
- (9) no active concomitant malignancy.

We selected patients who had undergone surgery for breast cancer at least 3 months before the interview because an earlier report showed that most patients can psychologically adapt to bad news within 3 months after learning of it [1]. Eligible patients and their husbands were asked to complete several questionnaires, provided both the patient and her husband gave written consent to participate in the study. Patient characteristics and data on the severity of pain in each patient were obtained by a brief interview conducted by a trained psychologist. The pain severity was measured by a four-point Likert scale (1 "not at all" to 4 "very much"). Medical data regarding Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (PS), treatment regimen, and months elapsed after surgery were collected from patient records. All data were determined at the date of the interview. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board and the Ethics Committee of Hiroshima University Hospital, Japan.

Psychological measurement

Zung self-rating anxiety scale SAS

The degree of anxiety was measured by the Japanese version of the Zung self-rating anxiety scale. The SAS is a self-report questionnaire with 20 items rated on a four-point scale [61]. A previous study by our colleagues suggested that the Japanese version of the SAS has high validity and reliability [43]. A high score indicated a high degree of anxiety.

Zung self-rating depression scale SDS

The degree of depression was measured by the Japanese version of the Zung self-rating depression scale. The SDS is a self-report questionnaire with 20 items rated on a four-point scale [60]. Fukuda and Kobayashi [16] suggested that the Japanese version of the SDS has high validity and reliability. A high score indicated a high degree of depression.

The 20-item Toronto alexithymia scale (TAS-20)

The degree of alexithymia was measured using the Japanese version of the TAS-20. The TAS-20 is a self-report questionnaire with 20 items rated on a five-point scale with

a three-factor structure: (1) difficulty in identifying feelings; (2) difficulty in describing feelings; (3) externally oriented thinking. Previous studies have suggested that the TAS-20 has adequate validity and reliability [2, 3] and that the Japanese version of the TAS-20 also has high construct validity and reliability [18]. In this study, the TAS-20 total score was used as an index of the degree of alexithymia. A high score indicated a high degree of alexithymia.

Family assessment device (FAD)

Family functioning was assessed by using the Japanese version of the family assessment device (FAD), a 60-item self-report questionnaire designed to assess seven dimensions of family functioning based on the McMaster model of family functioning [34]. Six of the scales on the FAD reflect the dimensions of family functioning outlined in the MMFF [14]: problem solving, communication, roles, affective responsiveness, affective involvement, and behavior control. The seventh scale of general functioning assesses overall health/pathology. Low scores indicated good functioning, whereas high scores denoted poorer functioning. A previous study by our colleagues demonstrated that the Japanese version of the FAD is valid and reliable [54].

Statistical analysis

First, we performed a univariate analysis between the SAS or the SDS scores and investigated sociodemographic, psychosocial, and medical factors to determine possible independent variables by the Mann-Whitney *U*-test or Spearman's rank correlation coefficient, in patients and in husbands, respectively. Dummy variables were used when independent variables were categorical. Then, we determined the final risk factors by applying stepwise multiple regression analysis with patients' and husbands' SAS or SDS scores as the dependent variables, entering all independent variables investigated in this study. All *p* values reported here are two-tailed. We used SPSS Version 10.0J statistical software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) for all of the data analyses.

Results

Patient characteristics

Of the 67 eligible couples, 19 (28.4%) declined to participate in the study. Of the 48 participating couples, 2 patients did not complete the SAS scale. Thus, the data available for the 46 couples (68.7%) who responded were used in the analysis. The mean ages of the 46 participating patients and the 21 nonparticipating patients were 52.3 ± 10.5 [standard deviation (SD)] and 55.6 ± 10.1 years, respectively.

The difference in age between participating and nonparticipating patients was not significant (t=-1.180; df=65; p=0.242). The mean intervals between the initial surgery for breast cancer and the date of the interview of the 46 participating patients and the 21 nonparticipating patients were 18.3 ± 11.7 and 22.8 ± 13.2 months, respectively. The

difference between participating and nonparticipating patients regarding the interval between the initial surgery for breast cancer and the date of the interview was not significant (t=-1.413; df=65; p=0.162).

The participating patients' characteristics (Table 1) include the following: 48% had at least 12 years of education;

Table 1 Univariate analysis of the factors associated with SAS and SDS in patients

Patient characteristics		n (%)	SAS		SDS	
			Mean score (SD)	p value	Mean score (SD)	p valu
Sociodemographic factor						
Age ^a 52.3±10.5 years (34-75)		46 (100)	r=0.117	0.439	r=0.093	0.538
Education ^b	≦12 years	23 (52)	31.0 (5.4)	0.157	38.5(8.6)	0.000
	>12 years	21 (48)	31.9 (6.0)	0.841	37.1(5.8)	0.724
Employment status ^b	Unemployed	29 (63)	32.1 (5.9)	0.012	39.0(7.7)	
	Employed	17 (37)	30.9 (5.1)	0.438	36.1(6.1)	0.214
Socioeconomic status ^a 36.7±15.0 (15.0-73.0)		46 (100)	r=0.113	0.453	r=0.183	0.224
Number of family members a 3.30±1.26 (2–6)		46 (100)	r = -0.070	0.433	r=-0.045	0.766
Children younger than 18 years ^b	No	30 (67)	31.8 (5.2)	0.042	37.7(7.7)	0.700
omination younger than 10 yours	Yes	, ,	` '	0.626	• •	0.000
Psycho-social factors	108	16 (33)	31.4 (6.5)	0.636	38.3(6.5)	0.890
TAS-20 total score ^a						
Patients 49.6±9.6 (29.0–72.0)		46 (100)	0.006		0.087	
the state of the s		46 (100)	r=0.336	0.023	r=0.375	0.010
Husbands 49.9±8.3 (32.0–68.0) FAD		46 (100)	r=0.076	0.622	r=0.222	0.143
		•				
Patients			•		•	
Problem Solving ^a 2.07±0.45 (1.17-3.17)		46 (100)	r = 0.243	0.104	r=0.260	0.081
Communication ^a 2.04±0.47 (1.00-3.00)		46 (100)	r = 0.305	0.039	r=0.390	0.007
Roles ^a 1.92±0.35 (1.18-2.55)	•	46 (100)	r = -0.062	0.682	r=0.152	0.315
Affective responsiveness ^a 2.21±0.53 (1.33-3.50)		46 (100)	r=0.343	0.020	r=0.502	< 0.001
Affective involvement ^a 2.15±0.41 (1.29–3.14)		46 (100)	<i>r</i> =0.170	0.259	r=0.251	0.092
Behavior control ^a 2.11±0.38 (1.00-2.89)	•	46 (100)	r=0.120	0.426	r=0.329	0.025
General functioning ^a 1.89±0.52 (1.00-3.50)		46 (100)	r=0.208	0.165	r=0.364	0.013
Husbands	•	. ` ´				
Problem Solving ^a 1.92±0.55 (1.00-3.50)		46 (100)	r = -0.080	0.598	r=0.137	0.363
Communication ⁸ 1.99±0.42 (1.11-2.89)		46 (100)	r=0.016	0.915	r=0.209	0.162
Roles ^a 1.84±0.40 (1.00-2.73)		46 (100)	r = -0.133	0.380	r=0.107	0.477
Affective responsiveness ^a 2.10±0.52 (1.00-3	50)	46 (100)	r=0.032	0.831	r=0.166	0.271
Affective involvement ^a 1.95±0.41 (1.14–3.14	1)	46 (100)	r = -0.028	0.852	r=0.027	0.860
Behavior control ^a 1.97±0.42 (1.00–2.56)	"	46 (100)	r = -0.157	0.832	r=0.143	0.341
General functioning ^a 1.82±0.40 (1.00–2.58)		46 (100)	r=0.005	0.299		0.083
SAS (husbands) ^a 32.4±7.1 (21~64)		. ,			r=0.258	
SDS (husbands) ^a 36.4±7.4 (20–50)		46 (100)	r=0.169	0.260	r=0.235	0.115
Medical factors			r=0.042	0.783	r=0.260	0.081
	1 (0 (0 1)					
Months elapsed after surgery ^b 18.3±11.7 months Pain ^{b,c}	` ,	46 (100)	r=0.035	0.817	r = -0.125	0.406
Pain 7	0	14 (30)	29.4 (5.8)		34.7 (6.3)	
	1,2,3	32 (70)	32.6 (5.3)	0.087	39.3 (7.3)	0.046
Type of surgery ^b	Mastectomy	24 (57)	31.2 (5.6)		37.9 (7.6)	
	Lumpectomy	18 (43)	32.6 (6.2)	0.541	37.5 (7.5)	0.760
Adjuvant therapy (radiation, chemotherapy,	None	6 (13)	28.3 (3.9)		36.3 (8.4)	
or hormone therapy) ^b	One or more	40 (87)	32.2 (5.7)	0.094	38.2 (7.1)	0.707
Past history of psychiatric treatment ^b	No	43 (93)	31.7 (5.7)		37.7 (7.4)	
	Yes	3 (7)	31.7 (5.5)	0.947	40.7 (3.2)	0.410

^a Univariate analysis was performed on Spearman's rank correlation coefficient

^c Coded as 0 = not at all, 1= mild, 2 = moderate, 3 = very severe



b Univariate analysis was performed on the Mann-Whitney U-test

63% were not employed; 33% had one or more children younger than 18; 70% reported having pain; 87% had undergone or were undergoing one or more adjuvant forms of therapy, such as radiation treatment, chemotherapy, and hormone therapy; and 7% had a history of psychiatric treatment. The husbands' characteristics (Table 2) include

the following: a mean age of 54.4 ± 11.6 years (range 31-82); 48% had at least 12 years of education; 87% were employed; and 2% had a history of psychiatric treatment. Because the patients were enrolled consecutively during a 6-month period, the study was not biased for the patient characteristics, and thus, it can be assumed that the

Table 2 Univariate analysis of the factors associated with SAS and SDS in husbands

Husband characteristics		n (%)	SAS		SDS	
			Mean score (SD)	p value	Mean score (SD)	p value
Sociodemographic factor						
Age ^a 54.4±11.6 years (31-82)		46 (100)	r=0.14	0.344	r=0.187	0.214
Education ^b	≦12 years	24 (52)	34.7 (8.7)		38.4 (8.1)	
•	>12 years	22 (48)	29.9 (3.6)	0.018	34.1 (5.9)	0.042
Employment status ^b	Unemployed	6 (13)	30.4 (2.7)		40.3 (8.3)	0.0.2
• •	Employed	40 (87)	32.7 (7.6)	0.601	35.8 (7.2)	0.196
Socioeconomic status ^a 36.7±15.0 (15.0-73.0)		46 (100)	r=0.03	0.822	r=0.153	0.311
Number of family members 3.30±1.26 (2-6)		46 (100)	r=0.06	0.694	r=0.262	0.078
Children younger than 18 years ^b	No	30 (65)	32.7 (7.8)		36.8 (7.8)	0.070
	Yes	16 (35)	31.8 (5.8)	0.871	35.6 (6.9)	0.480
Psycho-social factors		,()	()		25.0 (0.5)	0.100
TAS-20 total score ^a						
Husbands 49.9±8.3 (32.0-68.0)		46 (100)	r=0.546	< 0.001	r=0.203	0.181
Patients 49.6±9.6 (29.0–72.0)		46 (100)	r=0.244	0.102	r=0.210	0.161
FAD		.0 (100)	, 0.211	0.102	7 0.210	0.101
Husbands				•		
Problem solving ^a 1.92±0.55 (1.00-3.50)		46 (100)	r=0.043	0.776	r=0.095	0.532
Communication 1.99±0.42 (1.11-2.89)		46 (100)	r=0.237	0.112	r=0.207	0.332
Roles ^a 1.84±0.40 (1.00–2.73)		46 (100)	r=0.127	0.399	r=0.376	0.100
Affective responsiveness ^a 2.10 ± 0.52 (1.00–3.50)		46 (100)	r=0.216	0.150	r=0.191	0.202
Affective involvement 1.95±0.41 (1.14–3.1	•	46 (100)	r=0.433	0.003	r=0.273	0.262
Behavior control ^a 1.97±0.42 (1.00–2.56)	•	46 (100)	r=0.229	0.126	r=0.255	0.087
General functioning ^a 1.82±0.40 (1.00–2.58)		46 (100)	r=0.095	0.529	r=0.226	0.087
Patients		10 (100)	7 0.075	0.527	7-0.220	0.131
Problem solving ^a 2.07±0.45 (1.17-3.17)		46 (100)	r=0.178	0.236	r=0.004	0.981
Communication ^a 2.04±0.47 (1.00–3.00)		46 (100)	r=0.029	0.848	r = -0.023	0.981
Roles ^a 1.92±0.35 (1.18–2.55)		46 (100)	r=0.186	0.216	r=0.104	0.661
Affective responsiveness ^a 2.21 ± 0.53 (1.33–3	500	46 (100)	r=0.055	0.715	r=0.104 $r=0.087$	
Affective involvement 2.15±0.41 (1.29–3.1-		46 (100)	r=0.032	0.715	r=0.127	0.566
Behavior control ^a 2.11±0.38 (1.00–2.89)	'')	46 (100)	r=0.233	0.833	r=0.127 $r=0.203$	0.399 0.176
General functioning 1.89±0.52 (1.00–3.50)		46 (100)	r=0.079	0.600	r=-0.203 $r=-0.012$	
SAS (patients) ^a 31.7±5.6 (20–44)		46 (100)	r=0.169	0.260		0.936
SDS (patients) ^a 37.9±7.2 (25–55)		46 (100)	r=0.235	0.200	r=0.042 r=0.260	0.783
Medical factors		40 (100)	7-0.233	0.113	r=0.200	0.081
Months elapsed after surgery 18.3±11.7 mon	the (3_47 months)	46 (100)	r=0.044	0.772	0.220	0.100
Pain ^{b,c}	0 0	14 (30)	32.0 (6.2)	0.772	r=0.228	0.128
1 ani	1,2,3	, ,	` '	0.001	36.6 (8.1)	0.510
Type of surgery ^b	Mastectomy	32 (70) 24 (57)	32.5 (7.6)	0.981	36.3 (7.2)	0.719
Type of surgery	• .	, ,	33.5 (8.7)	0.604	36.9 (7.8)	0.50.
Adjuvant thereny (radiation showstha	Lumpectomy None	18 (43)	31.1 (4.9)	0.684	35.9 (7.8)	0.721
Adjuvant therapy (radiation, chemotherapy, or hormone therapy) ^b	One or more	6 (13)	31.6 (6.1)	0.011	36.7 (8.1)	0.000
		40 (87)	32.5 (7.4)	0.911	36.3 (7.4)	0.909
Past history of psychiatric treatment	No Voc	45(98)	32.2 (7.1)	0.1	36.1 (7.2)	
•	Yes	1 (2)	40.0	0.141	49.0	0.087

^a Univariate analysis was performed on Spearman's rank correlation coefficient

 $^{^{\}mathrm{b}}$ Univariate analysis was performed on the Mann-Whitney U-test

^c Coded as 0 = not at all, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, 3 = very severe

Table 3 Multiple regression analysis of predictors of SAS in patients

Variable	Coefficient	Standardized coefficient	t	P
TAS-20	0.204	0.355	2.313	0.026

Multiple R = 0.355; multiple $R^2 = 0.126$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.103$

characteristics of the women in this sample were typical for other breast cancer patients in this culture and in this clinical setting and were also typical for their healthy peers.

Factors correlated with anxiety and depression in patients

Table 1 summarizes the results of the univariate analysis of the factors associated with patient SAS and SDS scores. Among the investigated variables, high patient total score on the TAS-20 and high patient scores on the communication and affective responsiveness subscales of the FAD were significantly associated with high patient SAS score. Meanwhile, high patient total score on the TAS-20, high patient scores on the communication, affective responsiveness, behavior control, and general functioning subscale scores of the FAD, and the presence of pain were significantly associated with high patient SDS scores. The results of the multiple regression analysis of factors correlated with patient SAS score are shown in Table 3. The only factor correlated with high patient SAS score was high patient TAS-20 total score. This indicated that a high degree of patient alexithymia correlated with a high degree of patient anxiety. This model revealed that the selected independent variable accounted for 12.6% of the variance in patient SAS scores. The results of the multiple regression analysis of factors correlated with patient SDS score are shown in Table 4. The only factor correlated with high patient SDS score was a high score on the affective responsiveness subscale of the FAD. This means that patient perceptions of inappropriate affective responsiveness among family members correlated with their high degree of depression. This model revealed that the selected independent variable accounted for 34.5% of the variance in patient SDS scores.

Table 4 Multiple regression analysis of predictors of SDS in patients

Variable	Coefficient	Standardized coefficient	t	P
FAD (patients) Affective Responsiveness	8.220	0.588	4.416	<0.001

Multiple R=0.588; multiple $R^2=0.345$; adjusted $R^2=0.327$

Table 5 Multiple regression analysis of predictors of SAS in husbands

Variable	Coefficient	Standardized coefficient	t	P
TAS-20	0.387	0.433	3.140	0.003
Education ^a	-6.183	-0.420	-2.924	0.006
Adjuvant therapy ^b	7.010	0.337	2.299	0.027

Multiple R=0.597; multiple $R^2=0.356$; adjusted $R^2=0.304$

Factors correlated with anxiety and depression in husbands

The results of the univariate analysis of the factors associated with husband SAS scores and SDS scores are summarized in Table 2. Among the investigated variables, high husband SAS score was significantly associated with low husband education level, high husband total score on the TAS-20, and high husband score on the affective involvement subscale of the FAD. Meanwhile, low husband education level and high husband score on the roles subscale of the FAD were significantly associated with high husband SDS score.

The results of the multiple regression analysis of factors correlated with the husband SAS score are shown in Table 5. The factors correlated with high husband SAS score were high husband TAS-20 total score, low husband education level, and the delivery of adjuvant therapy for patients. This finding indicated that a high degree of alexithymia among husbands, a low husband education level, and adjuvant therapy for patients was correlated with high degrees of anxiety among husbands. This model revealed that the selected independent variables accounted for 35.6% of the variance in the husband SAS scores.

The results of the multiple regression analysis of factors correlated with the husband SDS scores are shown in Table 6. The factors correlated with high husband SDS score were high husband scores on the roles subscale of the FAD, low husband education level, and a large number of

Table 6 Multiple regression analysis of predictors of SDS in husbands

Variable	Coefficient	Standardized coefficient	t	P
FAD (husbands) Roles	5.775	0.312	2.251	0.030
Education ^a	-5.174	-0.350	-2.514	0.016
Number of family members	1.733	0.306	2.188	0.035

Multiple R=0.543; multiple $R^2=0.295$; adjusted $R^2=0.238$

^a Coded as 0≤12 years, 1>12 years

^a Coded as 0≤12 years, 1>12 years

^bCoded as 0 = none, 1 = one or more

family members. This meant that husband perceptions of inappropriate shares of roles among family members, low husband education level, and large number of family members correlated with the high degree of depression among husbands. This model revealed that the selected independent variable accounted for 29.5% of the variance in the husband SDS scores.

Discussion

We found that alexithymia, family functioning, and other factors might be related to psychological distress in women with breast cancer and in their husbands. We also found that there are important differences in related factors between anxiety and depression, or between patients and husbands.

Alexithymia and anxiety among patients and husbands

High degrees of alexithymia among patients and husbands was correlated with high degrees of anxiety in both. Alexithymia has been found to exist at high rates in patients with anxiety disorders such as panic disorder and PTSD [58]. The salient feature of alexithymia is difficulty identifying and describing subjective feelings [37]. That is, high anxiety in alexithymic people may be related to a deficit in emotional regulation that reflects both deficits in the cognitive-experiential component of emotion response systems (i.e., deficits in the top-down regulation from the higher-order brain regions, such as language areas to the limbic structure) and deficits at the level of interpersonal regulation of emotion (i.e., an inability to express their emotions and to get support from others) [57]. But family functioning (an important factor in social support) and alexithymia in spouses were not correlated with anxiety in patients or husbands. These results could indicate the possibility that anxiety in patients and husbands is related to deficits in the internal regulation of emotion rather than to deficits in interpersonal regulation of emotion. So, to decrease the anxiety of patients and husbands, it may be insufficient to encourage emotional expression and to get support from others, as in the emotion suppression model, but it may be useful to facilitate the internal control of emotion (e.g., biofeedback or relaxation training) or to treat symptoms directly by medication.

Moreover, we would like to refer to the issue of whether alexithymia, as observed in this study, had trait or state characteristics. Theoretically, alexithymia has been considered as a developmental deficit [56, 58]. Several longitudinal studies have indicated alexithymia as a stable personality trait [51, 53, 55]. From the point of view of anxiety, Berthoz et al. [6] has suggested that alexithymia was correlated with both state anxiety and trait anxiety, although partial

correlations revealed a tight link between trait anxiety and alexithymia. So, we consider that alexithymia observed in this study also has trait characteristics. However, some researchers have indicated that "secondary (reactive) alexithymia" existed in kidney transplantation patients and in hemodialysis patients [15, 17], and these secondary alexithymia were considered as a defensive reaction to stressful events. Because cancer causes many stressful events, alexithymia in this study also may, at least in part, have reactive characteristics. However, as this study was conducted by a cross-sectional design, we cannot conclude with certainty that alexithymia has either trait or state characteristics. Further longitudinal study will be needed to clarify this issue.

Family functioning and depression in patients and husbands

Family functioning was related to depression in both patients and husbands. Family functioning has been reported to be related to depression in major depression patients without cancer [25, 26]. The present study indicated that family functioning is related to depression in cancer patients and their husbands as well as in people without cancer. But the subtypes of family functioning that correlated with depression differed between patients and husbands. Patient depression was correlated with patient perceptions of inappropriate affective responsiveness among family members in the FAD, while husband perceptions of inappropriate roles among family members in the FAD was correlated with depression in husbands. According to its definition in the FAD, affective responsiveness refers to the ability of family members to respond with appropriate qualities and quantities of feelings to a wide range of stimuli [26]. The importance of emotional support of cancer patients by family members is well known [4, 5, 27, 30, 31, 38]. Some researchers have discussed the importance of the quality of communication among patients and family members, especially the importance of empathy [32, 48]. The results of the present study also could be considered to indicate that family members' emotional responsiveness to distress could greatly influence the patient's mental state, particularly pertaining to depression. In other words, we speculate that patient depression worsens if the patient cannot get an empathic response from family during times of distress.

Meanwhile, one factor related to husband depression was the role of the FAD. According to the definition in the FAD, roles are recurrent patterns of behavior necessary to fulfill the instrumental and affective needs of family members [26]. Many breast cancer patients have central roles in caring for family members, such as housework, childcare, and communication with neighbors or relatives. Husbands must play not only their own occupational roles

but also the roles that their wives have ever played, including tending to the needs of other family members. Furthermore, the husband must also care for his ill wife; patients and the total burden become very severe. The results of this study may indicate a great relationship between husband depression and husband frustration about their share of family roles after a decrease in patient function; in other words, frustration over the increase in their burden. The number of family members was also correlated with husband depression. This might also indicate the relation between husband depression and increasing burden because the larger the family, the more people the husband must care for. Thus, although family functioning was associated with depression in both breast cancer patients and husbands, the subscales of family functioning, which correlated with depression, differed between patients and husbands. So, different types of family intervention might be effective for breast cancer patients and their husbands. Intervention to accelerate empathic communication among family members might be effective for breast cancer patients, while intervention to decrease the burden on husbands, such as promoting the sharing of roles among family members or giving information about resources for patient care, might be effective for husbands.

Differences among risk factors for anxiety and depression

As mentioned above, different factors were correlated with anxiety and depression in breast cancer patients and their husbands. Previous studies have demonstrated some differences among risk factors for anxiety and depression in psychiatric populations or in general populations. Newman et al. [39] found that life events were more strongly correlated with major depressive episodes than with general anxiety disorder. Murphy et al. [36] suggested that although the prevalence of depression was significantly higher in a population having low socioeconomic status than in populations of other socioeconomic statuses, the relationship between anxiety and socioeconomic status remained unclear. These studies suggest that depression may be affected more by environmental factors than by anxiety. Interestingly, this study also seems to support these previous findings because family functioning as an environmental factor was correlated with depression, while alexithymia, as an individual personality characteristic, was correlated with anxiety. In cancer populations, the importance of social support has been indicated repeatedly, as mentioned above. But the influences of personality characteristics on anxiety and depression remain unclear, as few previous studies have investigated related factors, including personality characteristics, simultaneously for anxiety and depression, in cancer patients or in their relatives. In future studies of psychological distress in cancer patients and their

relatives, personality characteristics such as alexithymia and other factors should be considered.

Other related factors

Education level

Low education level in husbands was correlated with both anxiety and depression in husbands. A study of healthy subjects found that a low education level was associated with a high degree of anxiety and depression [24, 47]. Low education level has been reported as a risk factor for major depressive disorder [8, 20, 33]. These results are consisted with those of the present study. We speculate that because husbands with low education levels might not collect or analyze useful information and might fail to adjust to the changed situations after spouses get cancer, they might have high degrees of anxiety and depression. Interventions to give adequate information that meet husbands' needs against their increased burden and to support their problem solving may be useful.

Adjuvant therapy

Husbands of patients receiving adjuvant therapy were more anxious than husbands of patients not receiving it. As far as we know, distress in the spouses of cancer patients has never been studied in relation to adjuvant therapy. Several researchers have reported on breast cancer patients' distress during adjuvant therapy, but their results have been controversial. Cathcart et al. [10] reported a high prevalence of depression during adjuvant tamoxifen therapy in breast cancer patients. On the other hand, Cassileth et al. [9] and Montgomery et al. [35] reported no difference in distress levels during adjuvant therapy compared with control groups (an observation group and a healthy control group, respectively). In the present study, patient anxiety and depression were not correlated with husband anxiety and depression. So, we consider that adjuvant therapy does not influence husband anxiety through patient anxiety and depression. One possible explanation for the association between adjuvant therapy and husband anxiety is that when the patient receives adjuvant therapy, the husband might recognize that his wife's condition is not curable, and his anxiety about his wife relapsing might increase. Further study is needed to confirm this interpretation, as we did not investigate the contents of anxiety in this study.

There are several limitations to this study. First, because the sample size was small, so other important factors related to couples' anxiety and depression may have been overlooked. For example, patient pain and a history of psychiatric treatment in husbands each was significantly related to, or tended to be correlated with, anxiety and depression among couples in univariate analysis but not in multiple regression analysis. Second, the proportion of related factors to couple anxiety and depression is relatively small in this study, and other factors not assessed in this study might be correlated with anxiety and depression (e.g., other personality characteristics or social support issues other than those related to family). Finally, because this study was performed using a cross-sectional design, no causal relationships could be determined. To investigate such relationships, further study, including a prospective study, is needed.

In conclusion, the present study revealed that alexithymia and family functioning were associated with anxiety and depression, respectively, in both women with breast cancer and their husbands. Generally, it has been known that anxiety and depression often occur simultaneously and share many common symptoms [50], so it might be useful to take care in putting into perspective both individual traits, such as alexithymia, and family functioning when we intervene to treat anxiety and depression in breast cancer patients and their husbands. Moreover, the present results suggest we should pay attention to alexithymia especially in anxious (rather than depressive) patients and their husbands and to family functioning in depressive (rather than anxious) patients and husbands. As mentioned above, although this study has several limitations, its results are meaningful as a first report demonstrating a correlation between alexithymia and anxiety and between family functioning and depression simultaneously in women with breast cancer and in their husbands. In future studies, a detailed investigation of personality characteristics such as alexithymia and family functioning is needed to formulate strategies to decrease anxiety and depression in women with breast cancer and their husbands.

Acknowledgments The authors are grateful to all the patients and their husbands for so willingly giving their time. The authors would also like to acknowledge the collaborative support from the surgeons and from the nursing staff of the Mammary Gland Dispensary at Hiroshima University Hospital.

References

- Akechi T, Fukue-Saeki M, Kugaya A (2000) Psychometric properties of the Japanese version of the Mental Adjustment to Cancer (MAC) scale. Psycho-oncology 9:395-401
- Bagby RM, Parker JDA, Taylor GJ (1994) The twenty-item Toronto alexithymia scale-I. Item selection and cross validation of the factor structure. J Psychosom Res 38:23-32
- Bagby RM, Taylor GJ, Parker JDA (1994) The twenty-item Toronto alexithymia scale-II. Convergent, discriminant, and concurrent validity. J Psychosom Res 38:33-40
- Baider L, DeNour A (1984) Couples' reactions and adjustment to mastectomy: a preliminary report. Int J Psychiatry Med 14:265–276
- Baider L, DeNour A (1988) Adjustment to cancer—who is the patient, the husband or the wife? Isr J Med Sci 24:631-636

- Berthoz S, Consoli S, Perez-Diaz F, Jouvent R (1999) Alexithymia and anxiety: compounded relationships? A psychometric study. Eur Psychiatr 14:372–378
- Bloom JR (1982) Social support, accommodation to stress, and adjustment to breast cancer. Soc Sci Med 16:1329–1338
- Bruce ML, Hoff RA (1994) Social and physical health risk factors for first-onset major depressive disorder in a community sample. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 29:165–171
- Cassileth BR, Knuiman MW, Abeloff MD, Falkson G, Ezdinli EZ, Mehta CR (1986) Anxiety levels in patients randomized to adjuvant therapy versus observation for early breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 4:972-974
- Cathcart CK, Jones SE, Pumroy CS, Peters GN, Knox SM, Cheek JH (1993) Clinical recognition and management of depression in node negative breast cancer patients treated with tamoxifen. Breast Cancer Res Treat 27:277-281
- Coyne JC, Bolger N (1990) Doing without social support as an explanatory concept. J Soc Clin Psychol 9:148–158
- Coyne JC, DeLongis A (1986) Going beyond social support: the role of social relationships in adaptation. J Consult Clin Psychol 54:454–460
- Derogatis LR, Morrow GR, Fetting J, Penman D, Piasetsky S, Schmale AM, Henrichs M, Carnicke CL Jr (1983) The prevalence of psychiatric disorders among cancer patients. JAMA 249:751–757
- Epstein NB, Bishop DS, Levin S (1978) The McMaster model of family functioning. J Marriage Fam Counsel 4:19-31
- Freyberger H (1977) Supportive psychotherapeutic techniques in primary and secondary alexithymia. Psychother Psychosom 28:337-342
- Fukuda K, Kobayashi S (1973) A study on a self-rating depression scale. Seishin Shinkeigaku Zasshi 75:673–679 (in Japanese)
- Fukunishi I (1992) The influence of defense mechanisms on secondary alexithymia in hemodialysis patients. Psychother Psychosom 57:50-56
- 18. Fukunishi I, Nakagawa T, Nakamura H, Kikuchi M, Takubo M (1997) Is alexithymic construct a culture-bound? Validity and reliability of the Japanese version of the 20-item Tronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) and modified Beth Israel Hospital Psychosomatic Questionnaire (BIQ). Psychol Rep 80:787-799
- Funch DP, Mettlin C (1982) The role of support in relation to recovery from breast surgery. Soc Sci Med 16:91–98
- Gallo JJ, Royall DR, Anthony JC (1993) Risk factors for the onset of major depression in middle age and late life. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 28:101–108
- Irvine D, Brown B, Crooks D, Roverts J, Browne G (1991) Psychological adjustment in women with breast cancer. Cancer 67:1097-1117
- Iwamitsu Y, Shimoda K, Abe H, Tani T, Okawa M, Buck R (2005)
 Anxiety, emotional suppression, and psychological distress before and after breast cancer diagnosis. Psychosomatics 46:19–24
- Jamison KR, Wellisch DK, Pasnau RO (1978) Psychosocial aspects of mastectomy: I. The woman's perspective. Am J Psychiatr 135:432-436
- Kaplan GA, Roberts RE, Camacho TC, Coyne JC (1987) Psychosocial predictors of depression. Prospective evidence from the human population laboratory studies. Am J Epidemiol 125:206–220
- Keitner GI, Miller IW (1990) Family functioning and major depression: an overview. Am J Psychiatr 147:1128–1137
- Keitner GI, Ryan CE, Miller IW, Kohn R, Bishop DS, Epstein NB (1995) Role of the family in recovery and major depression. Am J Psychiatr 152:1002–1008
- 27. Kissane DW, Bloch S, Onghena P, McKenzie DP, Snyder RD, Dowe DL (1996) The Melbourne family grief study, II: psychosocial morbidity and grief in bereaved families. Am J Psychiatr 153:659-666

- Kissane DW, McKenzie M, McKenzie DP, Forbes A, O'Neill I, Bloch S (2003) Psychosocial morbidity associated with patterns of family functioning in palliative care: baseline data from the family focused grief therapy controlled trial. Palliat Med 17:527-537
- Kornblith AB, Herr HW, Ofman US, Sher HI, Holland JC (1994)
 Quality of life of patients with prostate cancer and their spouses:
 the value of a database in clinical care. Cancer 73:2791-2802
- Lederberg MS (1998) The family of the cancer patient. In: Holland JC (eds) Handbook of psycho-oncology. Oxford University Press, New York, pp 981–993
- Lichtman RR, Taylor SE, Wood JV (1987) Social support and marital adjustment after breast cancer. J Psychosoc Oncol 5:47-74
- Liu JE, Mok E, Wong T (2005) Perceptions of Chinese cancer patients of the favorable and unfavorable words conveyed by their social support providers. Cancer Nurs 28:348–354
- McCall NT, Parks P, Smith K, Pope G, Griggs M (2002) The prevalence of major depression or dysthymia among aged medicare fee-for-service beneficiaries. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 17:557-565
- 34. Miller IW, Epstein NB, Bishop DS, Keitner GI (1985) The McMaster family assessment device: reliability and validity. J Marital Fam Ther 11:345–356
- Montgomery GH, McClary KA, Bovbjerg DH (1996) Adjuvant therapy for breast cancer and psychological distress. Ann Oncol 7:977–978
- Murphy JM, Olivier DC, Monson RR (1991) Depression and anxiety in relation to social status. Arch Gen Psychiatry 48:223–229
- Nemiah JC, Freyberger H, Sifneos PE (1976) Alexithymia: a view of the psychosomatic process. In: Hill OW (ed) Modern trends in psychosomatic medicine, vol.3. Butterworths, London, pp 430–439
- Neuling SJ, Winefield HR (1988) Social support and recovery after surgery for breast cancer: frequency and correlates of supportive behaviours by family, friends and surgeon. Soc Sci Med 27:385-392
- Newman SC, Bland RC (1994) Life events and the 1-year prevalence of major depressive episode, generalized anxiety disorder, and panic disorder in a community sample. Compr Psychiatry 35:76-82
- Northouse LL (1988) Social support in patients' and husbands' adjustment to breast cancer. Nurs Res 37:91–95
- Northouse L, Dorris G, Charron-Moore C (1995) Factors affecting couples' adjustment to recurrent breast cancer. Soc Sci Med 42:69-76
- 42. Northouse L, Swain MA (1987) Adjustment of patients and spouses to initial impact of breast cancer. Nurs Res 36:221-225
- Okamura H, Yamasaki M, Sera H, Kawai K, Kouhata S, Yamawaki S (1991) A study on the reliability and validity of the self-rating anxiety scale. Seishinka-shindangaku 2:113–119 (in Japanese)
- Omne-Ponten M, Holmberg L, Bergstrom R, Sjoden P, Burns T (1993) Psychosocial adjustment among husbands of women

- treated for breast cancer: mastectomy vs. breast-conserving surgery. Eur J Cancer 29A:1393-1397
- Pennebaker JW (1997) Opening up-the healing power of expressing emotions. Guilford, New York
- Peters-Golden H (1982) Breast cancer: varied perceptions of social support in the illness experience. Soc Sci Med 16:483–491
- Pillay AL, Sargent CA (1999) Relationship of age and education with anxiety, depression, and hopelessness in a South African community sample. Percept Mot Skills 89:881-884
- Pistrang N, Barker C (1995) The partner relationship in psychological response to breast cancer. Soc Sci Med 40:789-797
- Pistrang N, Barker C, Rutter C (1997) Social support as conversation: analysing breast cancer patients' interactions with their partners. Soc Sci Med 45:773-782
- Pollack MH (2005) Comorbid anxiety and depression. J Clin Psychiatry 66(Suppl 8):22-29
- Porcelli P, Leoci C, Guerra V, Taylor GJ, Bagby RM (1996) A longitudinal study of alexithymia and psychological distress in inflammatory bowel disease. J Psychosom Res 41:569-573
- Rime B (1999) Expressing emotion, physical health, and emotional relief: cognitive-social perspective. Adv Mind Body Med 15:175-179
- Saarijarvi S, Salminen JK, Toikka TB (2001) Alexithymia and depression: a 1-year follow-up study in outpatients with major depression. J Psychosom Res 51:729-733
- 54. Saeki T, Asukai N, Miyake Y, Miguchi M, Yamawaki S (1997) Reliability and validity of the Japanese version of the Family Assessment Device. Archives of Psychiatric Diagnostics and Clinical Evaluation 8:181-192 (in Japanese with an English abstract)
- Salminen JK, Saarijarvi S, Aarela E, Tamminen T (1994) Alexithymia—state or trait? One-year follow-up study of general hospital psychiatric consultation out-patients. J Psychosom Res 38:681-685
- Sifneos PE (1996) Alexithymia: past and present. Am J Psychiatry 153:137–142
- Taylor GJ (2000) Recent developments in alexithymia theory and research. Can J Psychiatry 45:134–142
- Taylor GJ, Bagby RM, Parker JDA (1997) Disorder of affect regulation alexithymia in medical and psychiatric illness. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Watson M, Greer S, Rowden L, Gorman C, Robertson B, Bliss JM, Tunmore R (1991) Relationships between emotional control, adjustment to cancer and depression and anxiety in breast cancer patients. Psychol Med 21:51-57
- Zung WWK (1965) A self-rating depression scale. Arch Gen Psychiatry 12:63–70
- Zung WW (1971) A rating instrument for anxiety disorders. Psychosomatics 12:371–379

Depression and Associated Factors in Residents of a Health Care Institution for the Elderly

Yuka Watanabe, MS, OTR Fumiko Kaneko, MS, OTR Hideaki Hanaoka, PhD, OTR Hitoshi Okamura, PhD, MD

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the prevalence of depression among residents of a health care institution for the elderly and to identify factors associated with it. The subjects were 44 elderly persons who had resided in a health care institution for the elderly for 3 months or more. The Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia was used to evaluate depression. The results showed that 50% of the residents exhibited mild to moderate depression and that visual impairment, hypochondriac symptoms, grooming ability, and social cognition ability. were significantly associated with it. These results suggested that rehabilitative interventions focused on grooming and social cognition may prevent or mitigate the depression of residents of health care institutions for the elderly. However, a longitudinal study is necessary to elucidate case-effect relationships. doi:10.1300/J148v26n01_03 [Article copies available for a fee from The Haworth Document Delivery Service: 1-800-HAWORTH. E-mail address: <docdelivery@haworthpress.com> Website: <http://www. HaworthPress.com> © 2007 by The Haworth Press, Inc. All rights reserved.]

Yuka Watanabe is a graduate student, Fumiko Kaneko is Research Associate, Hideaki Hanaoka is Associate Professor, and Hitoshi Okamura is Professor; all are affiliated with the Graduate School of Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Minami-ku, Hiroshima, Japan.

Address correspondence to: Hitoshi Okamura, Graduate School of Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, 1-2-3 Kasumi, Minami-ku, Hiroshima 734-8551, Japan (E-mail: hokamura@hiroshima-u.ac.jp).

Physical & Occupational Therapy in Geriatrics, Vol. 26(1) 2007
Available online at http://potg.haworthpress.com
© 2007 by The Haworth Press, Inc. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1300/J148v26n01_03

KEYWORDS. ADL, Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia (CSDD), elderly person, rehabilitation

INTRODUCTION

The increase in absolute numbers of elderly is associated with an increase in the number of elderly with psychosocial problems. Depression is one of their most frequent psychological problems (Gallo & Lebowitz, 1999). It has been pointed out that as people age opportunities for communication decline, the tendency to become isolated increases, brain function decreases, and physiological changes occur (Alexopoloulos, 2005). Because of the contribution of unique physical, mental, and social factors that differ from those of other generations, the pathological picture of depression in the elderly is quite diverse. As a result, there are many atypical and mixed symptoms of depression in the elderly, and the diagnosis is often said to be missed (Gurland et al., 1976; Kafonek et al., 1989). Moreover, few elderly persons complain of depressive mood and sometimes their depressed moods are inconspicuous (Georgotas et al., 1983).

Maintenance and improvement of physical function, cognitive function, and ability to perform activities of daily living (ADL) are important goals of rehabilitation. However, decreases in ADL, amount of activity, and difficulty introducing rehabilitation owing to unwillingness are often problems in clinical settings, and there is a strong suspicion they are associated with their depression. In addition, activity decreases as a result of depression, and the decrease in activity brings about a decline in physical and psychological function, such as muscle strength and ADL. The converse is also true, that is, declines in ADL sometimes increase depression (Kuroda & Sumida, 2002). There is a report that depression causes a decrease in cognitive function, and impairment of episodic memory (Palsson et al., 2000), in particular, and that it may accelerate the onset of cardiovascular disease through the cardiac autonomic nerves (Reynolds, Alexopoulos, & Katz, 2002) Thus, because the risk of depression could effect physical, psychological, and social concerns of the elderly, appropriate evaluation and intervention are needed.

There have been reports on the prevalence of depression among the elderly in the community, ranging from 1 to 35% (Beekman, Copeland, & Prince, 1999; Blazer, 2003). Studies of elderly persons living at home have reported that basic activities of daily living (BADL), instrumental

activities of daily living (IADL), subjective feeling of well-being-whether the subject works, has pain, lacks opportunity for conversation with family and acquaintances, or financial problems-are factors associated with the severity of depression (Cole & Dendukuri, 2003; Kuroda & Sumida, 2002). There is a report that approximately 20% of institutional residents are depressed (Payne et al., 2002). However, there are few reports of the prevalence of depression and factors related to it in residents of institutions for the elderly. Many studies on depression have used the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS; Yesavage et al., 1982-83), but because it is a self-report instrument, it is less reliable in subjects with cognitive disorders. For that reason, subjects with dementia or cognitive disorders have often been eliminated as subjects. Accordingly, the purpose of the present study was to use objective indices capable to evaluate subjects with cognitive disorders who are residents of a health care institution for the elderly to determine the prevalence of depression and to search for factors that are susceptible to intervention in the form of rehabilitation. If it were possible to identify factors related to depression, it should become possible to consider specific rehabilitative interventions that would mitigate or prevent depression in the residents.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects

The subjects were elderly persons 65 years of age or over who had been residing in a health care institution for 3 months or more. Those with a score of 5 or less on the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), and those in serious physical condition who were incapable of participating in the survey were excluded. The reason for excluding those with an MMSE score of 5 or less was that evaluation of depression itself is difficult in patients with cognitive disorders or dementia severe enough to have a score of 5 or less on the MMSE, and because evaluation of pain and hypochondriac symptoms is also difficult.

Measures

Sociodemographic Factors

Information about age, gender, diagnosis, duration of illness, length of residence, environment before entering the institution, level of certification of long-term care need, number of residents in the room, whether

married or single, interval since the death of spouse, whether the subject had a visual impairment, hearing disorder, or language disorder, and number of visits by family per month was collected from the subjects' charts.

Cognitive Function

The MMSE (Folstein, Folstein, & McHugh, 1975) was administered, and the subjects were evaluated for the presence or absence of a cognitive function disorder according to the eligibility criteria. The MMSE consists of 11 questions, and the range of possible scores is 0-30, with higher scores meaning better cognitive function. The reliability and validity of the Japanese-language version have been confirmed (Mori, Mitani, & Yamadori, 1985).

Depression

The Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia (CSDD) (Alexopoulos, Abrams, & Shamoian, 1988) was used to evaluate depression. The scale comprises a total of 19 items, including "mood-related signs," "behavioral disturbance," "physical signs," "circadian changes and sleep disturbance," and "ideational disturbance." The items are scored based on the data obtained from the clinical evaluation of the patient and an interview with the care provider. The severity of depression was evaluated by scoring each item on a 3-grade scale: 0 or not evaluable, 1, and 2. The range of possible scores for the 19 items is 0-38, with higher scores meaning severer depression. At the cutoff values described by Alexopoulos, Abrams, and Shamoian (1988), scores of 8 points or more have been reported to indicate mild depression, scores of 13 or more to indicate moderate depression, and scores of 22 or more severe depression. According to Schreiner et al. (2002, 2003), the inter-rater reliability is 0.68 and the Cronbach's alpha is 0.84-0.86 among Japanese subjects. The factor structure and the cutoff score for the Japanese version of the CSDD have been identified.

Activities of Daily Living

Ability to perform activities of dailiy living (ADL) was evaluated by the Functional Independence Measure (FIM; Hamilton et al., 1994). ADL that were actually performed were evaluated by routine observation. The FIM consists of a total 18 items: 6 self-care items, 3 mobility

items, 2 locomotion items, 2 sphincter control items, 2 communication items, and 3 social cognition items. Functional level was evaluated on a 7-grade scale. The maximum score for each item was 7 points, and the minimum score was 1 point. Possible total scores for 18 items ranged from 18 to 126 points, with higher scores meaning greater ability to perform ADL.

Pain

Subjective pain was evaluated by asking the question, "Are you in any pain?" Information as to whether the subjects had complained of pain during the past week was collected from nurses.

Hypochondriac Symptoms

The subjects were asked, "Are you concerned about anything, or do you feel uneasy about anything?" and if they complained of a specific symptom, they were evaluated as having hypochondriac symptoms, after first checking to confirm the absence of organic disease. In addition, information as to whether the subjects had made any hypochondriac complaints during the past week was collected from nurses.

Statistical Analysis

In order to assess factors related to depression we tested for associations between the CSDD scores and sociodemographic factors by means of Spearman's rank correlation coefficients, and for associations between the CSDD scores and ADL by the Mann-Whitney U-test or the Kruskall-Wallis test.

The p values in all of the tests were 2-tailed, and p values < 0.05 were considered significant. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) ver. 12.0J for Windows software was used to perform all of the statistical analyses.

RESULTS

Subjects' Characteristics

The 44 residents, 11 men and 33 women, mean age 84.8 ± 7.0 years, who met the eligibility criteria were the subjects of the analysis. The results from the charts and evaluation are summarized in Table 1. The

TABLE 1. Subjects' Characteristics

	N	Mean	SD
Age (year)		84.8	7.0
Gender			•
Male	11,		
Female	33		• •
Duration of illness (year)		5.8	5.6
Length of residence (year)		1.9	1.5
Environment before entering			
Living alone	0		
Living with family	7		
Residence in an institution	11		
Health care institution	26	•	
Marital status		•	•
Married	41		
Unmarried	3		
Death of spouse (year)		15.7	18.4
Visits to the patient by family (number)		7.6	8.6
Visual impairment			
Presence	16		•
Absence	28		
Hearing disorder		9	
Presence	18		
Absence	26		
Language disorder			
Presence	9		
Absence	35		
Room			· ·
1-person room	8	•	
2-person room	16		•
4-person room	20		
MMSE (score)		19.4	5.7
FIM (score)		81.9	21.9
CSDD (score)		7.0	4.0

mean CSDD score was 7.0 ± 4.0 . According to the criteria proposed by Alexopoulos, Abrams, and Shamoian (1988), there were 22 subjects (50%) with scores ≤ 7 , classified in the healthy group; 19 (43.2%) with scores of 8 or more, classified in the mild depression group; 3 (6.8%) with scores of 13 points or more, classified in the moderate depression group; and none with scores of 22 points or more, classified in the severe depression group. The mean MMSE score was 19.4 ± 5.7 , with scores ranging from 29 to 6 points.

Associations Between Depression and Sociodemographic Factors

The results of the univariate analyses revealed a significant association between hypochondriac symptoms and the CSDD scores (p = 0.04), and between having a visual impairment and the CSDD scores (p = 0.03). The principal hypochondriac symptoms were, "My head feels heavy," "I feel tired," "My stomach's upset." No significant associations were found between the MMSE or FIM scores and the CSDD scores. Nor were any significant associations found between the CSDD scores and age, gender, duration of illness, length of residence, environment before entering the institution, death of a spouse, number of visits, hearing disorders, language disorders, whether the subject had pain, or number of persons in the room (Table 2).

Associations Between Depression and ADL

The evaluation for associations with the CSDD scores according to areas indicated by the FIM revealed significant associations for grooming (p = 0.04), comprehension (p = 0.04), social interaction (p < 0.01), and problem solving (p = 0.03) (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Evaluation and Prevalence of Depression

Many of the studies of depression have used the GDS questionnaire, but because it is a self-report evaluations are less reliable in subjects with cognitive disorders. Hence, patients with cognitive disorders have often been excluded as subjects. Nevertheless, Spitzer, Endicott, and Robins (1978) report approximately 17% of dementia patients often exhibit depression. Moreover, depression is frequently associated with cognitive disorders, and cognitive disorders are said to tend to progress to dementia (Ballard et al., 1996; Magni, Plazzolo, & Blanchin, 1988). Because the ability of subjects with dementia or a cognitive disorder to concentrate, remember, and make judgments is impaired, it is difficult for them to provide information themselves. Moreover, since the depression of dementia patients is short-term, transient, and tends to recur, relatively long-term observation is necessary. Because of the above, in this study we evaluated depression quantitatively based on information

TABLE 2. Associations Between CSDD Scores and Sociodemographic Factors: Univariate Analysis

	r	<i>p</i> Value ^a
Age (years)	-0.18	0.91
Length of residence (years)	-0.21	0.16
Death of spouse (years)	0.10	0.50
Visits to the patient by family (number)	-0.19	0.20
MMSE	-0.76	0.62
FIM	-0.25	0.10
	Mean Rank	<i>p</i> Value ^b
Gender		
Male	22.82	0.92
Female	22.39	•
Environment before entering		
Home	20.21	0.60
Hospital/institution	22.93	
Marital status		
Married	23.12	0.23
Unmarried	14.00	
Pain		
Presence	25.93	0.51
Absence	17.97	, t
Hypochondriac symptoms	•	,
Presence	27.58	0.04
Absence	16.40	
Visual impairment	•	
Presence	27.97	0.03
Absence	19.38	
Hearing disorder		
Presence	24.47	0.39
Absence	21.13	
Language disorder		
Presence	29.28	0.75
Absence	20.76	·
	Mean Rank	<i>p</i> Value ^c
Room		· · ·
1-person room	24.94	0.82
2-person room	21.47	
4-person room	22.35	

^aSpearman's rank correlation coefficient; ^bMann-Whitney U-test; ^cKruskal-Wallis test.

TABLE 3. Associations Between CSDD Scores and FIM Items: Univariate Analysis

		•			
		r		p Value ^a	
Self care					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Eating		-0.26		0.08	
Grooming	•	-0.31		0.04	
Bathing		-0.14	•	0.36	
Dressing, upper bod	y .	-0.26	•	0.08	
Dressing, lower body	₹	0.01	•	0.99	
Toileting		0.40		0.79	
Sphincter control		0.02	·	0.91	
Mobility	7 · .	-0.06		0.69	
Locomotion		-0.24		0.11	
Communication	÷.				
Comprehension		-0.31		0.04	
Expression		-0.28	· ·	0.06	
Social cognition					
Social interaction	•	-0.45		< 0.01	
Problem solving		-0.33		<i>0.03</i>	
Memory		-0.18		0.24	

^aSpearman's rank correlation coefficient.

and observations of the subjects' behavior during the previous week, and we used the CSDD, whose reliability and validity have been confirmed in dementia patients, to evaluate depression.

The result was that 19 (43.2%) of the 44 subjects were evaluated as having mild depression, 3 (6.8%) as having moderate depression, and none as having severe depression, indicating that 50% exhibited mild to moderate depression. Based on earlier research the prevalence of depression among residents of institutions was reported to be approximately 20% (Payne et al., 2002), but Forsell, Jorm, and Winbland (1994) found a higher proportion with depression among residents with dementia than among residents without dementia. The results of our own study probably showed a somewhat higher prevalence of depression because residents with dementia were included among the subjects.

Associations Between Depression and Sociodemographic Factors

A significant association was found between complaints of hypochondriac symptoms and depression in this study. The elderly are also