

Fig. 1. Hepatitis C virus (HCV) genome organization and polyprotein processing. Posttranslational cleavages by signal peptide peptidase (*SPP*), signal peptidase (*SP*), NS2–NS3 protease (*NS2–3 pro*), and NS3 protease and NS4A complex (*NS3 pro/4A*) lead to the production of functional HCV proteins. *NTR*, nontranslated region

Cell culture systems for HCV research

Although substantial information on HCV protein structure and function has been obtained from the use of a variety of cell culture and in vitro expression systems, for many years, HCV research has been hampered by the restricted host range and the inefficiency of cell culture models for viral infection and propagation. The development of the HCV replicon system, therefore, is a milestone in HCV research and has allowed examination of viral RNA replication in cell culture.[16] Expression systems of heterologous virus genes based on RNA replicons have been established in a variety of positive-strand RNA viruses such as polio virus,[17–20] the alphavirus Semliki Forest virus,[21] Sindbis virus,[22–25] Kunjin virus,[26] human rhinovirus 14,[27] and bovine viral diarrhea virus.[28] In general, advantages of replicon systems are (1) a high level of gene expression and RNA replication, (2) easy construction of recombinants, and (3) a wide permissible host range.

The HCV replicons are typically composed of selectable, bicistronic RNA, with the first cistron containing the HCV 5′ nontranslated region (NTR), which directs translation of the gene encoding the neomycin phosphotransferase, and the second cistron containing the internal ribosome entry site (IRES) of the encephalomyocarditis virus, which directs translation of HCV NS3 through NS5B region, and the 3′ NTR. The prototype subgenomic replicon utilized a particular HCV genotype 1b clone termed Con1. Following transfection of RNA generated by in vitro transcription of the cloned replicon sequences into a human hepatoma cell line Huh-7, antibiotic G418-resistant cells could be obtained in which the subgenomic RNA replicated autonomously. RNA replication was first detected at relatively low frequency, followed by the identification of replicons harboring cell culture-adaptive mutations, which increased the efficiency of replication initiation by several orders of magnitude.[29–31]

Adaptive mutations were found primarily at the N-terminus of the NS3 helicase, in NS4B, and in the center of NS5A, which is upstream of the region putatively involved in IFN sensitivity. Most of the mutations in NS5A are located at highly conserved serine residues and lead to change in the phosphorylation state of NS5A.[32,33] A combination of adaptive mutation in NS3 and NS5A resulted in the highest level of replication of a particular HCV genotype 1b isolate.[31] Later work, however, has indicated that adaptive mutations can arise in most of the viral nonstructural proteins.[34,35] The mechanisms by which adaptive mutations increase RNA replication efficiency are not well understood.

In the last 7 years, a variety of different replicons have been generated, including replicons with reporters or markers such as luciferase and green fluorescent protein, replicons from genotype 1a and 2a, and genome-length dicistronic HCV RNAs (genomic HCV replicons). HCV replicons with reporter genes allow us to execute fast and reproducible screening of large series of compounds for antivirals.[36–38] Huh-7 cells are the most permissive for HCV replicons. However, variability in the permissiveness for replicons has been observed for a given Huh-7 cell pool, and the cells that are able to support efficient replication of the viral genome are enriched during selection such as G418 treatment. A so-called "cured" cell clone, which can be prepared by removing the replicons by treatment with IFN, supports viral replication to a much higher level in many cases and is useful for introducing genome-length HCV RNAs.[39,40]

An HCV genotype 2a replicon with the JFH-1 strain, which was first isolated from the serum of a Japanese patient with fulminant hepatitis C by our group,[41] replicates efficiently in not only Huh-7 cells but also other

hepatocyte-derived cell lines, HepG2 and IMY-N9, and nonhepatocyte-derived cell lines, HeLa and 293.[42–44] Interestingly, the JFH-1 replicon does not require adaptive mutations for replicating in these cell lines, and enormously efficient RNA replication is detected by transient replication assay as well as by colony formation assay with G418 selection,[42] suggesting that the JFH-1 genome can replicate autonomously without the help of drug selection or the requirement of adaptive mutations. This observation laid the basis for a breakthrough in HCV research.

Transfection of the full-length JFH-1 genome into Huh-7 cells leads to the production of HCV particles that are infectious both for naïve cells and for animal models.[45] As a first attempt, an in vitro transcribed full-length JFH-1 RNA was introduced into naïve Huh-7 cells, which is the original cell line used for subgenomic replicon studies. Efficient RNA replication in the transfected cells was detectable by Northern blot analysis, and the viral-enveloped particles, which are spherical structures with an outer diameter of approximately 55 nm, were secreted to the culture medium.[45] Secreted virus was found to be infectious, although at low efficiency, for naïve Huh-7 cells, and its infectivity can be neutralized by anti-CD81 antibody and hepatitis C patients' sera.[45] Subsequently, to increase the infection efficiency, "cured" Huh-7 cell lines such as Huh7.5, Huh7.5.1, and Huh7-Lunet were used. Infectivity of these cured cell lines with JFH-1 became more intense compared with standard Huh-7 cells, and the virus titers released from cells freshly transfected with the JFH-1 genome were markedly increased by continuous passage of the cells carrying persistent replicating viral RNA.[46] Further, chimeric constructs with the core to NS2 region of another genotype 2a clone, J6, improved the infectivity.[47] Thus, this recombinant infectious HCV cell culture system opens avenues of biochemical and genetic studies of the HCV life cycle.

Besides isolating functional molecular clones of HCV that replicate to high levels, to generate a cell culture model that mimics natural host cell environments may be advantageous for improving HCV production systems suitable for studying the virus–host interaction. It is likely that HCV morphogenesis occurs in a complex cellular environment in which host factors may either enhance or reduce the assembly and budding process. Generally, the interaction of viruses with polarized epithelia in the host is one of the key steps in the viral life cycle. A variety of enveloped viruses mature and bud from distinct membrane domains of the host cells.[48–51] We found that a dicistronic HCV genome of genotype 1b supports the production and secretion of infectious HCV particles in two independent three-dimensional (3D) culture systems, the radial-flow bioreactor (RFB) and the thermoreversible gelation polymer (TGP), but not in monolayer cultures, although its productivity is much lower than that observed in the JFH-1 system[52] (Fig. 2). The RFB system was initially aimed at the



Fig. 2A–E. Production of HCV particles in the three-dimensional, thermoreversible gelatin polymer (TGP) culture of the Huh-7 cell line (RCYM1) carrying genome-length dicistronic HCV RNA of genotype 1b. **A, B** Sucrose density gradient analysis of culture supernatants of RCYM1 cells. The culture supernatants were fractionated and then HCV RNA (**A**) and core protein (**B**) in each fraction were determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction, respectively. *Closed circles*, TGP culture; *open circles*, monolayer culture. **C, D** Electron microscopy of HCV particles in the supernatants of TGP-cultured RCYM1 cells. **C** Negative staining. **D** Immunogold labeling with an anti-E2 antibody. Gold particles, 5 nm; bars, 50 nm. **E** Silver-intensified immunogold staining with anti-E1 antibody. The *arrowhead* indicates virus-like particles reacting with anti-E1 antibody

development of artificial liver tissue, and the bioreactor column consists of a vertically extended cylindrical matrix through which liquid medium flows continuously from the periphery toward the center of the reactor.[53] In RFB culture, human hepatocyte-derived cells can grow spherically or cubically, and they retain liver functions such as albumin synthesis[53–55] and drug-metabolizing activity mediated by cytochrome P450 3A4.[56] TGP is a chemically synthesized biocompatible polymer which has a sol–gel transition temperature, thus enabling us to culture cells three-dimensionally in the gel phase at 37°C and to harvest them in the sol phase at 4°C, without enzyme digestion.[57] In contrast to other matrix gels made from conventional natural polymers, TGP has several advantages that allow us to investigate the functional characteristics of epithelial cells, their tissue-like morphology, and their potential clinical applications. For example, the use of 3D culture materials other than TGP requires treatment with appropriate digestive enzymes or heating to collect cells grown as spheroids from the culture media, and the matrices may damage the cultured cells to some extent. A 3D culture system based on RFB and TGP, in which human hepatoma cells can assemble into spheroids with potentially polarized morphology, is a valuable tool in studies of HCV morphogenesis.

Translation

The approximately 341-nucleotide (nt)-long 5′ NTR is one of the most conserved regions of the HCV genome, and the secondary structural model, which is also largely conserved, reveals four distinct RNA domains in the region, reflecting its importance in both viral translation and replication.[58–61] The 5′ NTR forms four highly structured domains (domains I–IV), which may be conserved among HCV and related flaviviruses and pestiviruses,[59,60] and it is functionally characterized as an IRES to direct cap-independent translation of the genome.[62,63] To determine the minimal sequence required for HCV IRES-dependent translation, as in the earlier studies of picornaviruses, the bicistronic RNAs in which two reporter protein-coding sequences are separated by an IRES sequence were analyzed. Translation of the upstream reading frame occurs in a 5′ end-dependent fashion, while translation of the downstream reading frame is driven by the IRES element. The IRES comprises nearly the entire 5′ UTR of the genome. There is evidence to suggest that the first 12 to 30 nt of the coding sequence are also important for IRES activity.[64–66] The first 40 nt of the 5′ NTR, which includes a single stem–loop (domain I), is not essential for the translation; the 5′ border of the IRES was mapped between nt 38 and 46.[61,67,68] Domains II and III are relatively more complex and contain multiple stems and loops.[60,69] Domain IV consists of a small stem–loop containing the polyprotein start codon at nt 342 and forms a pseudoknot via base-pairing with a loop in domain III.

Recruitment of the 43S ribosomal complex, containing a small 40S ribosomal subunit, eukaryotic initiation factor (eIF) 3, and a tRNA–eIF2–GTP ternary complex, to mRNA molecules is critical for initiation of eukaryotic protein synthesis. The 40S subunit and eIF3 can bind independently to the HCV IRES.[64,70–72] However, it appears that interaction between IRES RNA and the 40S subunit drives formation of an IRES–40S subunit–eIF3 complex, since HCV IRES RNA demonstrates similar affinity to both the 40S subunit and the 40S–eIF complex.[71] Other cellular factors such as La autoantigen,[73–75] heterogeneous ribonucleoprotein L,[76] poly-C binding protein,[77,78] and pyrimidine tract-binding protein,[79,80] also bind to the IRES element and modulate translation.

Regulation of IRES-dependent translation of HCV is also likely to involve viral factors. We found that the core protein specifically inhibits HCV translation, possibly by binding to a stem–loop IIId domain, particularly a GGG triplet within the hairpin loop structure of the domain, within the IRES (Fig. 3).[79–81] Although a conflicting report has suggested that inhibition of HCV translation is due to an RNA–RNA interaction, rather than to an interaction between RNA and the core protein,[82] later studies support the role of a core protein sequence spanning amino acids (aa) 34–44 in inhibition of viral translation through its interaction with the IRES.[83] Furthermore, the N-terminal 20 residues of the core protein have been shown to selectively inhibit translation mediated by HCV IRES in a cell type-specific manner.[84] We propose a model in which competitive binding of the core protein to the IRES and 40S ribosomal subunit regulates HCV translation.

By analogy with other RNA viruses with IRES-mediated expression, the HCV 5′ NTR has been expected to contain not only determinants for translation but also *cis*-acting elements for RNA replication. Recent studies demonstrated that (1) the sequence upstream of the IRES is essential for viral RNA replication, (2) sequences within the IRES are required for high-level HCV replication, and (3) the stem–loop domain II of the IRES is crucial for the replication.[85]

Polyprotein processing

IRES-mediated translation of the HCV ORF yields a polyprotein precursor that is subsequently processed by cellular and viral proteases into mature structural and nonstructural proteins (Fig. 1). As deduced from the hydrophobicity profile and the dependence on micro-



Fig. 3. The role and fate of HCV core protein in the postulated HCV life cycle. See text for further explanation and details

somal membranes, junctions at core/E1, E1/E2, E2/p7, and p7/NS2 are processed by host signal peptidases. For instance, secondary structure analysis of the core protein reveals that all major alpha helices are located in the C-terminal half of the protein. A predicted alpha helix encoded by aa 174–191 is extremely hydrophobic and resembles typical signal peptide sequences. Further posttranslational cleavage close to the C terminus of the core protein takes place, removing the E1 signal sequence by the signal peptide peptidase.[86–89] This peptidase has recently been identified[90] and exhibits protease activity within cellular membranes, resulting in cleavage of peptide bonds in the plane of lipid bilayers.

The viral nonstructural proteins are processed by two viral proteases: processing between NS2 and NS3 is a rapid intramolecular reaction that is accomplished by the NS2–3 protease, which spans NS2 and the N-terminal domain of NS3, whereas the remaining four junctions are cleaved by the serine protease located at the N-terminal 180 residues of NS3 protein. Efficient cleavage at the NS2/3 site requires the 130 C-terminal residues and the first 180 aa of NS3. Recombinant proteins lacking the N-terminal membrane domain of NS2 were found to be enzymatically active, allowing further characterization of this activity.[91,92] Deletion of NS2 from the nonstructural polyprotein did not abolish the replication of HCV RNA in cell cultures, indicating that NS2 is not essential for vial RNA replication.[16,29]

The NS3–NS5B region is processed presumably with the following preferred order of cleavage: NS3/4A→NS5A/5B→NS4A/4B→NS4B/5A.[93–96] Processing at the NS3/4A site is an intramolecular reaction, whereas cleavage at the other sites can be mediated intermolecularly. NS3 is a multifunctional molecule. Besides its N-terminal protease activity, the helicase and nucleotide triphosphatase (NTPase) activities reside in the C-terminal 500 residues of the NS3 protein.[97–101] NS4A functions as a cofactor of the NS3 serine protease and is required for efficient polyprotein processing. There are significant differences in the stability and activity of the NS3 protease in the presence or absence of NS4A. NS3 protein is relatively unstable when expressed in cells in the absence of NS4A.[102] Structural studies by nuclear magnetic resonance and X-ray methods show that the NS3–4A complex has a more highly ordered N-terminal domain and NS4A binding leads the NS3 protease to a rearrangement of the active site triad to a canonical conformation.[103] It has been predicted that the N-terminus of NS4A forms a transmembrane helix, which presumably anchors the NS3–4A complex to the cellular membrane.[104]

RNA replication

HCV is assumed to replicate its genome through the synthesis of a full-length negative-strand RNA. Positive-strand RNA is then produced from the negative-strand template; it is several-fold more abundant than the negative-stranded RNA and is utilized for translation, replication, and packaging into progeny viruses. RNA replication of most RNA viruses involves certain intracellular membrane structures, including the endoplasmic reticulum (ER),[105–107] Golgi,[108] endosomes, and lysosomes.[109] HCV RNA replication is also believed to occur in the cytoplasm of the virus-infected cells.

Although NS5B protein has RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) activity in vitro, its recombinant product alone is presumably short of strict template specificity and fidelity, which are essential for viral RNA synthesis. It is highly likely that other viral or host factors are important for conferring proper RNA replication and that the replication complexes (RCs), which are composed of NS5B and additional components re-

quired for modulating polymerase activity, are involved in catalyzing HCV RNA synthesis during the replication process. NS3 is directly involved in RNA synthesis, possibly through its helicase/NTPase activities. The helicase activity is presumed to be involved in unwinding a putative double-stranded replication intermediate or to remove regions of secondary structure so that MS5B RdRp can copy both strands of the viral RNA. It is likely that the NTPase activity is coupled with the helicase function, supplying the energy required for disrupting RNA duplexes. Although little is known about the function of NS4B in the HCV life cycle to date, NS4B protein can induce a membranous web, consisting of small vesicles embedded in a membranous matrix,[110] and it has been reported that the newly synthesized HCV RNA and most of the viral nonstructural proteins occur in these membrane webs or speckle-like structures.[111–113] NS4B may play an important role in the formation of the HCV RNA replication complex.[114] Evidence indicating an involvement of NS5A in viral RNA replication is now accumulating. As described above, a hot spot of the cell culture-adaptive mutations that increase replication efficiency of HCV RNA is located in the central region of NS5A.[29–31] The membrane association of NS5A through its amino-terminal transmembrane domain[115] and the interaction between NS5A and 5B[116] are essential for RNA replication. Several cellular proteins interacting with NS5A have been identified, and human vesicle-associated membrane protein-associated proteins (hVAP-A and -B) are likely to play a key role in RNA replication through the interaction with NS5A.[114,117] The 3′ NTR also contains a significant predicted RNA structure with three distinct domains: a variable region of about 40 nt, a variable length poly(U/UC) tract, and a highly conserved, 98-nt 3′ terminal segment (3′X) that putatively forms three stem–loop structures.[118–120] Viral RNA replication was not detected when any of the three putative stem–loop structures within the 3′X region or the entire poly(U/UC) was deleted.[121] The variable region segment also contributes to efficient RNA replication.[122]

Several groups have succeeded in demonstrating the in vitro replication activities of HCV RCs in crude membrane fractions of cells harboring the subgenomic replicons.[123–126] These cell-free systems provide a valuable complement to the in vitro RdRp assays for biochemical dissection of HCV RNA replication and are a useful source for isolation of viral RCs. From the in vitro replication studies, it appears that RNA synthesis can be initiated in the absence of added negative-strand template RNA, suggesting that preinitiated template RNA copurifies with the RC.[124,125,127] Although the newly synthesized single-strand RNA can be used as a template for a further round of double-strand RNA synthesis, no exogenous RNA serves as a template for HCV RC preparation.[125] Added RNA templates might not access the active site of the HCV RCs owing to sequestration by membranes. The HCV RCs contain both positive- and negative-strand RNAs.[124,127] It has also been reported that cell-free replication activity increases at temperatures ranging from 25° to 40°C, and divalent cations (Mn^{2+} and Mg^{2+}) can be used in the reaction.[125,127]

Membrane flotation analysis and a replication assay have shown that viral RNA and proteins are present in detergent-resistant membrane structures, most likely a lipid-raft structure, and RNA replication activity was detected even after treatment with detergent.[123,128] Lipid rafts are cholesterol- and sphingolipid–rich microdomains characterized by detergent insolubility.[129–131] These structures are known to play a critical role in a number of biological processes, such as as regulators and organizing centers of signal transduction and membrane traffic pathways, including virus entry and assembly of, for example, influenza virus,[132–134] human immunodeficiency virus type-1,[27,135,136] Ebola virus, Marburg virus,[137] enterovirus,[138] avian sarcoma and leukosis virus,[139] Coxsackie B virus, adenovirus,[140] measles virus,[16] and respiratory syncytial virus.[141] However, HCV may be the first example of the association of a lipid raft with viral RNA replication.

On the other hand, it has been widely believed that most of the HCV life cycle, including protein processing and genome replication, takes place in the ER, where cholesterol–sphingolipid rafts are not assembled.[110,142–144] Several studies using the replicon system have indicated that the nonstructural proteins are associated with the ER.[143,145] Nevertheless, it is still possible that HCV nonstructural proteins synthesized at the ER relocalize to lipid-raft membranes when they are actively engaged in RNA replication. It has been shown by membrane separation analysis that HCV nonstructural proteins are present both in the ER and the Golgi, but the activity of viral RNA replication was detected mainly in the Golgi fraction.[123,146] Further studies to elucidate where and how the HCV genome replicates in infected cells are needed.

Viral assembly

The assembly of HCV and the virion structure remains largely unknown. By analogy with related viruses, the mature HCV virion presumably possesses a nucleocapsid and outer envelope composed of a lipid membrane and envelope proteins. HCV virions are thought to have a diameter of 40–70 nm.[147,148] These observations were recently confirmed by immunoelectron microscopy of infectious HCV particles produced in cell cultures.[45,52] It has been reported that HCV circulates in various forms

in the sera of infected hosts, for example, as (1) free mature virions, (2) virions bound to low-density lipoproteins and very low density lipoproteins, (3) virions bound to immunoglobulins, and (4) nonenveloped nucleocapsids, which exhibit physicochemical and antigenic properties.[147–150]

The HCV structural proteins (core, E1, and E2) are located in the N-terminal one-third of the precursor polyprotein (Fig. 1). A crucial function of the core protein, which is derived from the N-terminus of the viral polyprotein, is assembly of the viral nucleocapsid. The aa sequence of this protein is well conserved among different HCV strains, compared with other HCV proteins. The N-terminal domain of the core protein is highly basic, while its C-terminus is hydrophobic. When expressed in mammalian cells and transgenic mice, the core protein is found on membranes of the ER, on the surface of lipid droplets, on the mitochondrial outer membrane, and, to some extent, in the nucleus.[151–156] The core protein is likely multifunctional and is not only involved in formation of the HCV virion but also has a number of regulatory functions, including modulation of lipid metabolism and hepatocarcinogenesis.[153,157–159] The envelope proteins E1 and E2 are extensively glycosylated and have an apparent molecular weight of 30–35 and 70–75 kDa, respectively. Predictive algorithms and genetic analyses of deletion mutants and glycosylation-site variants of the E1 protein suggest that E1 can adopt two topologies in the ER membrane: the conventional type I membrane topology and a polytopic topology in which the protein spans the ER membrane twice with an intervening cytoplasmic loop.[160] E1 and E2 proteins form a noncovalent complex, which is believed to be the building block of the viral envelope.

Several expression systems have been used to investigate HCV capsid assembly using mammalian, insect, yeast, bacteria, and reticulocyte lysates, as well as purified recombinant proteins.[148,161–170] The results suggest that immunogenic nucleocapsid-like particles are heterologous in size and range from 30 to 80 nm in diameter. The N-terminal half of the core protein is important for nucleocapsid formation.[163,169,170] HCV capsid formation occurs in the presence or absence of ER-derived membrane, which supports cleavage of the signal peptide at the C-terminus.[170]

Nucleocapsid assembly generally involves oligomerization of the capsid protein and encapsidation of genomic RNA. In fact, study of a recombinant mature core protein has shown it to exist as a large multimer in solution under physiological conditions, within which stable secondary structures have been observed.[171] Studies using yeast two-hybrid systems have identified a potential homotypic interaction domain within the N-terminal region of the core protein (aa 1–115 or –122), with particular emphasis on the region encompassing aa 82–102.[172,173] However, other studies have identified two C-terminal regions, extending from aa 123 to 191 and from 125 to 179, as responsible for self-interaction. Furthermore, Pro substitution within these C-terminal regions has been observed to abolish core protein self-interaction.[171,174] Circular dichroism spectroscopy has further shown that a Trp-rich region spanning aa 76–113 is largely solvent-exposed and unlikely to play a role in multimerization.[171] Recently, our group demonstrated that self-oligomerization of the core protein is promoted by aa 72–91 in the core.[160]

Once a HCV nucleocapsid is formed in the cytoplasm, it acquires an envelope as it buds through intracellular membranes. Interactions between the core and E1/E2 proteins are considered to determine viral morphology. Expression of HCV structural proteins using recombinant virus vectors has led to successful generation of virus-like particles with similar ultrastructural properties to HCV virions. Packaging of these HCV-like particles into intracellular vesicles as a result of budding from the ER has been noted.[161,175,176] Mapping studies to determine the nature of interaction between core and E1 proteins have demonstrated the importance of C-terminal regions in this interaction.[177,178] Since corresponding sequences are not well conserved among various HCV isolates, interactions between core and E1 proteins might depend more on hydrophobicity than on specific sequences. By contrast, it has been shown that interaction between the self-oligomerized HCV core and the E1 glycoprotein is mediated through the cytoplasmic loop present in a polytopic form of the E1 protein.[160]

Implication of the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway in core protein maturation

The ubiquitin–proteasome pathway is the major route by which selective protein degradation occurs in eukaryotic cells and is now emerging as an essential mechanism of cellular regulation.[179,180] This pathway is also involved in the posttranslational regulation of the core protein.[158,181–183] We have reported that processing at the carboxyl-terminal hydrophobic domain of the core protein leads to its efficient polyubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation.[181] Recently, our group identified the ubiquitin ligase E6AP as an HCV core-binding protein and showed that E6AP enhances ubiquitylation and degradation of the mature as well as the carboxyl-terminally truncated core proteins, and that the core protein produced from infectious HCV is degraded via an E6AP-dependent pathway (Fig. 3).[183] E6AP, the prototype of HECT domain ubiquitin ligases,[184] was initially identified as the cellular factor that stimulates ubiquitin-dependent degradation of the tumor suppres-

sor p53 in conjunction with E6 protein of cancer-associated human papillomavirus types 16 and 18.[185,186] Exogenous expression of E6AP reduces intracellular core protein levels and supernatant viral infectivity in infected cell cultures. Knockdown of exogenous E6AP by siRNA increases intracellular core protein levels and virus titers in the culture supernatants. The core protein interacts with E6AP through the aa 58–71 region of the core, which is highly conserved among all HCV genotypes, suggesting that E6AP-dependent degradation of the core protein is common to a variety of HCV isolates and plays a critical role in the HCV life cycle or viral pathogenesis.

A role for the proteasome activator PA28γ core-binding protein in degradation of the core protein has also been demonstrated (Fig. 3).[158,182] Overexpression of PA28γ promotes proteolysis of the core protein. PA28γ predominates in the nucleus and forms a homopolymer, which associates with the 20S proteasome,[187] thereby enhancing proteasomal activity.[188] Both nuclear retention and core protein stability are regulated via a PA28γ-dependent pathway.

In eukaryotic cells, targeted protein degradation is increasingly understood to be an important mechanism by which cells regulate levels of specific proteins, and thereby regulate their function. The core protein is believed to play a key role in viral replication and pathogenesis since it forms the viral particle and regulates a number of host cell functions. Although the biological significance of ubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation of the core protein is not fully understood, E6AP possibly affects the production of HCV particles through controlling the amount of core protein (Fig. 3). This mechanism may contribute to virus persistence by maintaining a (moderately) low level of the viral nucleocapsid. The E6AP binding domain within the core protein resides in the region that is considered to be important for binding to the viral RNA and several host factors.[189] These factors may affect the interaction between the core and E6AP, resulting in control of E6AP-dependent core degradation. A recent study demonstrated that a knockdown of the *PA28γ* gene induces the accumulation of the core protein in the nucleus of hepatocytes of HCV core gene-transgenic mice and disrupts development of both hepatic steatosis and hepatocellular carcinoma.[158] Upregulation of several genes related to fatty acid biosynthesis and lipid homeostasis by the core protein was observed in the cells and the mouse liver in the PA28γ-dependent manner. Thus, it is likely that PA28γ plays an important role in the development of liver pathology induced by HCV infection.

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to all their coworkers who contributed to the studies cited here, most especially Tatsuo Miyamura. We also thank T. Mizoguchi for secretarial work. This work was supported in part by a grant for Research on Health Sciences focusing on Drug Innovation from the Japan Health Sciences Foundation; and by Grants-in-Aid from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan.

References

1. Kuo G, Choo QL, Alter HJ, Gitnick GL, Redeker AG, Purcell RH, et al. An assay for circulating antibodies to a major etiologic virus of human non-A, non-B hepatitis. Science 1989;244: 362–4.
2. Grakoui A, Hanson HL, Rice CM. Bad time for Bonzo? Experimental models of hepatitis C virus infection, replication, and pathogenesis. Hepatology 2001;33:489–95.
3. Lauer GM, Walker BD. Hepatitis C virus infection. N Engl J Med 2001;345:41–52.
4. Saito I, Miyamura T, Ohbayashi A, Harada H, Katayama T, Kikuchi S, et al. Hepatitis C virus infection is associated with the development of hepatocellular carcinoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1990;87:6547–9.
5. Alter MJ. Epidemiology of hepatitis C in the West. Semin Liver Dis 1995;15:5–14.
6. Di Bisceglie AM. Hepatitis C and hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology 1997;26:34S–8S.
7. Poynard T, Yuen MF, Ratziu V, Lai CL. Viral hepatitis C. Lancet 2003;362:2095–100.
8. Pawlotsky JM. Pathophysiology of hepatitis C virus infection and related liver disease. Trends Microbiol 2004;12:96–102.
9. Houghton M, Weiner A, Han J, Kuo G, Choo Q-L. Molecular biology of the hepatitis C viruses: implications for diagnosis, development and control of viral disease. Hepatology 1991;14: 381–8.
10. Robertson B, Myers G, Howard C, Brettin T, Bukh J, Gaschen B, et al. Classification, nomenclature, and database development for hepatitis C virus (HCV) and related viruses: proposals for standardization. International Committee on Virus Taxonomy. Arch Virol 1998;143:2493–503.
11. Choo QL, Kuo G, Weiner AJ, Overby LR, Bradley DW, Houghton M. Isolation of a cDNA clone derived from a blood-borne non-A, non-B viral hepatitis genome. Science 1989;244: 359–62.
12. Martell M, Esteban JI, Quer J, Genesca J, Weiner A, Esteban R, et al. Hepatitis C virus (HCV) circulates as a population of different but closely related genomes: quasispecies nature of HCV genome distribution. J Virol 1992;66:3225–9.
13. Pawlotsky JM. Hepatitis C virus population dynamics during infection. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 2006;299:261–84.
14. Zeuzem S, Feinman SV, Rasenack J, Heathcote EJ, Lai MY, Gane E, et al. Peginterferon alpha-2a in patients with chronic hepatitis C. N Engl J Med 2000;343:1666–72.
15. Heathcote EJ, Shiffman ML, Cooksley WG, Dusheiko GM, Lee SS, Balart L, et al. Peginterferon alpha-2a in patients with chronic hepatitis C and cirrhosis. N Engl J Med 2000;343: 1673–80.
16. Lohmann V, Korner F, Koch J, Herian U, Theilmann L, Bartenschlager R. Replication of subgenomic hepatitis C virus RNAs in a hepatoma cell line. Science 1999;285:110–3.
17. Andino R, Rieckhof GE, Achacoso PL, Baltimore D. Poliovirus RNA synthesis utilizes an RNP complex formed around the 5′-end of viral RNA. EMBO J 1993;12:3587–98.
18. Collis PS, O'Donnell BJ, Barton DJ, Rogers JA, Flanegan JB. Replication of poliovirus RNA and subgenomic RNA transcripts in transfected cells. J Virol 1992;66:6480–8.
19. Hagino-Yamagishi K, Nomoto A. In vitro construction of poliovirus defective interfering particles. J Virol 1989;63:5386–92.

20. Kaplan G, Racaniello VR. Construction and characterization of poliovirus subgenomic replicons. J Virol 1988;62:1687–96.
21. Liljestrom P, Garoff H. A new generation of animal cell expression vectors based on the Semliki Forest virus replicon. Biotechnology (NY) 1991;9:1356–61.
22. Bredenbeek PJ, Frolov I, Rice CM, Schlesinger S. Sindbis virus expression vectors: packaging of RNA replicons by using defective helper RNAs. J Virol 1993;67:6439–46.
23. Johanning FW, Conry RM, LoBuglio AF, Wright M, Sumerel LA, Pike MJ, et al. A Sindbis virus mRNA polynucleotide vector achieves prolonged and high level heterologous gene expression in vivo. Nucleic Acids Res 1995;23:1495–501.
24. Kamrud KI, Powers AM, Higgs S, Olson KE, Blair CD, Carlson JO, et al. The expression of chloramphenicol acetyltransferase in mosquitoes and mosquito cells using a packaged Sindbis replicon system. Exp Parasitol 1995;81:394–403.
25. Xiong C, Levis R, Shen P, Schlesinger S, Rice CM, Huang HV. Sindbis virus: an efficient, broad host range vector for gene expression in animal cells. Science 1989;243:1188–91.
26. Khromykh AA, Westaway EG. Subgenomic replicons of the flavivirus Kunjin: construction and applications. J Virol 1997;71:1497–505.
27. McKnight KL, Lemon SM. Capsid coding sequence is required for efficient replication of human rhinovirus 14 RNA. J Virol 1996;70:1941–52.
28. Behrens SE, Grassmann CW, Thiel HJ, Meyers G, Tautz N. Characterization of an autonomous subgenomic pestivirus RNA replicon. J Virol 1998;72:2364–72.
29. Blight KJ, Kolykhalov AA, Rice CM. Efficient initiation of HCV RNA replication in cell culture. Science 2000;290:1972–4.
30. Krieger N, Lohmann V, Bartenschlager R. Enhancement of hepatitis C virus RNA replication by cell culture-adaptive mutations. J Virol 2001;75:4614–24.
31. Lohmann V, Korner F, Dobierzewska A, Bartenschlager R. Mutations in hepatitis C virus RNAs conferring cell culture adaptation. J Virol 2001;75:1437–49.
32. Evans MJ, Rice CM, Goff SP. Phosphorylation of hepatitis C virus nonstructural protein 5A modulates its protein interactions and viral RNA replication. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004;101:13038–43.
33. Appel N, Pietschmann T, Bartenschlager R. Mutational analysis of hepatitis C virus nonstructural protein 5A: potential role of differential phosphorylation in RNA replication and identification of a genetically flexible domain. J Virol 2005;79:3187–94.
34. Lohmann V, Hoffmann S, Herian U, Penin F, Bartenschlager R. Viral and cellular determinants of hepatitis C virus RNA replication in cell culture. J Virol 2003;77:3007–19.
35. Yi M, Lemon SM. Adaptive mutations producing efficient replication of genotype 1a hepatitis C virus RNA in normal Huh7 cells. J Virol 2004;78:7904–15.
36. Bartenschlager R. Hepatitis C virus molecular clones: from cDNA to infectious virus particles in cell culture. Curr Opin Microbiol 2006;9:416–22.
37. Brass V, Moradpour D, Blum HE. Molecular virology of hepatitis C virus (HCV): 2006 update. Int J Med Sci 2006;3:29–34.
38. Bartenschlager R. The hepatitis C virus replicon system: from basic research to clinical application. J Hepatol 2005;43:210–6.
39. Blight KJ, McKeating JA, Rice CM. Highly permissive cell lines for subgenomic and genomic hepatitis C virus RNA replication. J Virol 2002;76:13001–14.
40. Friebe P, Boudet J, Simorre JP, Bartenschlager R. Kissing-loop interaction in the 3′ end of the hepatitis C virus genome essential for RNA replication. J Virol 2005;79:380–92.
41. Kato T, Furusaka A, Miyamoto M, Date T, Yasui K, Hiramoto J, et al. Sequence analysis of hepatitis C virus isolated from a fulminant hepatitis patient. J Med Virol 2001;64:334–9.
42. Kato T, Date T, Miyamoto M, Furusaka A, Tokushige K, Mizokami M, et al. Efficient replication of the genotype 2a hepatitis C virus subgenomic replicon. Gastroenterology 2003;125:1808–17.
43. Date T, Kato T, Miyamoto M, Zhao Z, Yasui K, Mizokami M, et al. Genotype 2a hepatitis C virus subgenomic replicon can replicate in HepG2 and IMY-N9 cells. J Biol Chem 2004;279:22371–6.
44. Kato T, Date T, Miyamoto M, Zhao Z, Mizokami M, Wakita T. Nonhepatic cell lines HeLa and 293 support efficient replication of the hepatitis C virus genotype 2a subgenomic replicon. J Virol 2005;79:592–6.
45. Wakita T, Pietschmann T, Kato T, Date T, Miyamoto M, Zhao Z, et al. Production of infectious hepatitis C virus in tissue culture from a cloned viral genome. Nat Med 2005;11:791–6.
46. Zhong J, Gastaminza P, Cheng G, Kapadia S, Kato T, Burton DR, et al. Robust hepatitis C virus infection in vitro. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005;102:9294–9.
47. Lindenbach BD, Evans MJ, Syder AJ, Wolk B, Tellinghuisen TL, Liu CC, et al. Complete replication of hepatitis C virus in cell culture. Science 2005;309:623–6.
48. Compans RW. Virus entry and release in polarized epithelial cells. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 1995;202:209–19.
49. Garoff H, Hewson R, Opstelten DJ. Virus maturation by budding. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 1998;62:1171–90.
50. Schmitt AP, Lamb RA. Escaping from the cell: assembly and budding of negative-strand RNA viruses. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 2004;283:145–96.
51. Takimoto T, Portner A. Molecular mechanism of paramyxovirus budding. Virus Res 2004;106:133–45.
52. Murakami K, Ishii K, Ishihara Y, Yoshizaki S, Tanaka K, Gotoh Y, et al. Production of infectious hepatitis C virus particles in three-dimensional cultures of the cell line carrying the genome-length dicistronic viral RNA of genotype 1b. Virology 2006;351:381–92.
53. Kawada M, Nagamori S, Aizaki H, Fukaya K, Niiya M, Matsuura T, et al. Massive culture of human liver cancer cells in a newly developed radial flow bioreactor system: ultrafine structure of functionally enhanced hepatocarcinoma cell lines. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim 1998;34:109–15.
54. Matsuura T, Kawada M, Hasumura S, Nagamori S, Obata T, Yamaguchi M, et al. High density culture of immortalized liver endothelial cells in the radial-flow bioreactor in the development of an artificial liver. Int J Artif Organs 1998;21:229–34.
55. Aizaki H, Nagamori S, Matsuda M, Kawakami H, Hashimoto O, Ishiko H, et al. Production and release of infectious hepatitis C virus from human liver cell cultures in the three-dimensional radial-flow bioreactor. Virology 2003;314:16–25.
56. Iwahori T, Matsuura T, Maehashi H, Sugo K, Saito M, Hosokawa M, et al. CYP3A4 inducible model for in vitro analysis of human drug metabolism using a bioartificial liver. Hepatology 2003;37:665–73.
57. Yoshioka H, Mikami M, Mori Y, Tsuchida E. A synthetic hydrogel with thermoreversible gelation. J Macromol Sci 1994;A31:113–20.
58. Bukh J, Purcell RH, Miller RH. Sequence analysis of the 5′ noncoding region of hepatitis C virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1992;89:4942–6.
59. Brown EA, Zhang H, Ping LH, Lemon SM. Secondary structure of the 5′ nontranslated regions of hepatitis C virus and pestivirus genomic RNAs. Nucleic Acids Res 1992;20:5041–5.
60. Honda M, Beard MR, Ping LH, Lemon SM. A phylogenetically conserved stem-loop structure at the 5′ border of the internal ribosome entry site of hepatitis C virus is required for cap-independent viral translation. J Virol 1999;73:1165–74.
61. Honda M, Brown EA, Lemon SM. Stability of a stem-loop involving the initiator AUG controls the efficiency of internal initiation of translation on hepatitis C virus RNA. RNA 1996;2:955–68.

62. Tsukiyama-Kohara K, Iizuka N, Kohara M, Nomoto A. Internal ribosome entry site within hepatitis C virus RNA. J Virol 1992; 66:1476–83.
63. Wang C, Sarnow P, Siddiqui A. Translation of human hepatitis C virus RNA in cultured cells is mediated by an internal ribosome-binding mechanism. J Virol 1993;67:3338–44.
64. Hellen CU, Pestova TV. Translation of hepatitis C virus RNA. J Viral Hepat 1999;6:79–87.
65. Lu HH, Wimmer E. Poliovirus chimeras replicating under the translational control of genetic elements of hepatitis C virus reveal unusual properties of the internal ribosomal entry site of hepatitis C virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996;93:1412–7.
66. Reynolds JE, Kaminski A, Kettinen HJ, Grace K, Clarke BE, Carroll AR, et al. Unique features of internal initiation of hepatitis C virus RNA translation. EMBO J 1995;14:6010–20.
67. Rijnbrand R, Bredenbeek P, van der Straaten T, Whetter L, Inchauspe G, Lemon S, et al. Almost the entire 5′ non-translated region of hepatitis C virus is required for cap-independent translation. FEBS Lett 1995;365:115–9.
68. Yoo BJ, Spaete RR, Geballe AP, Selby M, Houghton M, Han JH. 5′ end-dependent translation initiation of hepatitis C viral RNA and the presence of putative positive and negative translational control elements within the 5′ untranslated region. Virology 1992;191:889–99.
69. Lemon S, Honda M. Internal ribosome entry sites within the RNA genomes of hepatitis C virus and other flaviviruses. Semin Virol 1997;8:274–88.
70. Buratti E, Tisminetzky S, Zotti M, Baralle FE. Functional analysis of the interaction between HCV 5′UTR and putative subunits of eukaryotic translation initiation factor eIF3. Nucleic Acids Res 1998;26:3179–87.
71. Kieft JS, Zhou K, Jubin R, Doudna JA. Mechanism of ribosome recruitment by hepatitis C IRES RNA. RNA 2001;7:194–206.
72. Sizova DV, Kolupaeva VG, Pestova TV, Shatsky IN, Hellen CU. Specific interaction of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 with the 5′ nontranslated regions of hepatitis C virus and classical swine fever virus RNAs. J Virol 1998;72:4775–82.
73. Ali N, Pruijn GJ, Kenan DJ, Keene JD, Siddiqui A. Human La antigen is required for the hepatitis C virus internal ribosome entry site-mediated translation. J Biol Chem 2000;275:27531–40.
74. Ali N, Siddiqui A. The La antigen binds 5′ noncoding region of the hepatitis C virus RNA in the context of the initiator AUG codon and stimulates internal ribosome entry site-mediated translation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1997;94:2249–54.
75. Isoyama T, Kamoshita N, Yasui K, Iwai A, Shiroki K, Toyoda H, et al. Lower concentration of La protein required for internal ribosome entry on hepatitis C virus RNA than on poliovirus RNA. J Gen Virol 1999;80:2319–27.
76. Hahm B, Kim YK, Kim JH, Kim TY, Jang SK. Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein L interacts with the 3′ border of the internal ribosomal entry site of hepatitis C virus. J Virol 1998; 72:8782–8.
77. Fukushi S, Okada M, Kageyama T, Hoshino FB, Nagai K, Katayama K. Interaction of poly(rC)-binding protein 2 with the 5′-terminal stem loop of the hepatitis C-virus genome. Virus Res 2001;73:67–79.
78. Anwar A, Ali N, Tanveer R, Siddiqui A. Demonstration of functional requirement of polypyrimidine tract-binding protein by SELEX RNA during hepatitis C virus internal ribosome entry site-mediated translation initiation. J Biol Chem 2000;275: 34231–5.
79. Shimoike T, Mimori S, Tani H, Matsuura Y, Miyamura T. Interaction of hepatitis C virus core protein with viral sense RNA and suppression of its translation. J Virol 1999;73:9718–25.
80. Tanaka Y, Shimoike T, Ishii K, Suzuki R, Suzuki T, Ushijima H, et al. Selective binding of hepatitis C virus core protein to synthetic oligonucleotides corresponding to the 5′ untranslated region of the viral genome. Virology 2000;270:229–36.
81. Shimoike T, Koyama C, Murakami K, Suzuki R, Matsuura Y, Miyamura T, et al. Down-regulation of the internal ribosome entry site (IRES)-mediated translation of the hepatitis C virus: critical role of binding of the stem–loop IIId domain of IRES and the viral core protein. Virology 2006;345:434–45.
82. Wang TH, Rijnbrand RC, Lemon SM. Core protein-coding sequence, but not core protein, modulates the efficiency of cap-independent translation directed by the internal ribosome entry site of hepatitis C virus. J Virol 2000;74:11347–58.
83. Zhang J, Yamada O, Yoshida H, Iwai T, Araki H. Autogenous translational inhibition of core protein: implication for switch from translation to RNA replication in hepatitis C virus. Virology 2002;293:141–50.
84. Li D, Takyar ST, Lott WB, Gowans EJ. Amino acids 1–20 of the hepatitis C virus (HCV) core protein specifically inhibit HCV IRES-dependent translation in HepG2 cells, and inhibit both HCV IRES- and cap-dependent translation in HuH7 and CV-1 cells. J Gen Virol 2003;84:815–25.
85. Friebe P, Lohmann V, Krieger N, Bartenschlager R. Sequences in the 5′ nontranslated region of hepatitis C virus required for RNA replication. J Virol 2001;75:12047–57.
86. Hüssy P, Langen H, Mous J, Jacobsen H. Hepatitis C virus core protein: carboxy-terminal boundaries of two processed species suggest cleavage by a signal peptide peptidase. Virology 1996;224: 93–104.
87. McLauchlan J, Lemberg MK, Hope G, Martoglio B. Intramembrane proteolysis promotes trafficking of hepatitis C virus core protein to lipid droplets. EMBO J 2002;21:3980–8.
88. Lemberg MK, Martoglio B. Requirements for signal peptide peptidase-catalyzed intramembrane proteolysis. Mol Cell 2002; 10:735–44.
89. Okamoto K, Moriishi K, Miyamura T, Matsuura Y. Intramembrane proteolysis and endoplasmic reticulum retention of hepatitis C virus core protein. J Virol 2004;78:6370–80.
90. Weihofen A, Binns K, Lemberg MK, Ashman K, Martoglio B. Identification of signal peptide peptidase, a presenilin-type aspartic protease. Science 2002;296:2215–8.
91. Pallaoro M, Lahm A, Biasiol G, Brunetti M, Nardella C, Orsatti L, et al. Characterization of the hepatitis C virus NS2/3 processing reaction by using a purified precursor protein. J Virol 2001;75: 9939–46.
92. Thibeault D, Maurice R, Pilote L, Lamarre D, Pause A. In vitro characterization of a purified NS2/3 protease variant of hepatitis C virus. J Biol Chem 2001;276:46678–84.
93. Bartenschlager R, Ahlborn-Laake L, Mous J, Jacobsen H. Kinetic and structural analyses of hepatitis C virus polyprotein processing. J Virol 1994;68:5045–55.
94. Failla C, Tomei L, De Francesco R. An amino-terminal domain of the hepatitis C virus NS3 protease is essential for interaction with NS4A. J Virol 1995;69:1769–77.
95. Lin C, Pragai BM, Grakoui A, Xu J, Rice CM. Hepatitis C virus NS3 serine proteinase: *trans*-cleavage requirements and processing kinetics. J Virol 1994;68:8147–57.
96. Tanji Y, Hijikata M, Hirowatari Y, Shimotohno K. Hepatitis C virus polyprotein processing: kinetics and mutagenic analysis of serine proteinase-dependent cleavage. J Virol 1994;68:8418–22.
97. Jin L, Peterson DL. Expression, isolation, and characterization of the hepatitis C virus ATPase/RNA helicase. Arch Biochem Biophys 1995;323:47–53.
98. Kim DW, Gwack Y, Han JH, Choe J. C-terminal domain of the hepatitis C virus NS3 protein contains an RNA helicase activity. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1995;215:160–6.
99. Suzich JA, Tamura JK, Palmer-Hill F, Warrener P, Grakoui A, Rice CM, et al. Hepatitis C virus NS3 protein polynucleotide-stimulated nucleoside triphosphatase and comparison with the related pestivirus and flavivirus enzymes. J Virol 1993;67: 6152–8.

100. Tai CL, Chi WK, Chen DS, Hwang LH. The helicase activity associated with hepatitis C virus nonstructural protein 3 (NS3). J Virol 1996;70:8477–84.
101. Tai CL, Pan WC, Liaw SH, Yang UC, Hwang LH, Chen DS. Structure-based mutational analysis of the hepatitis C virus NS3 helicase. J Virol 2001;75:8289–97.
102. Wolk B, Sansonno D, Krausslich HG, Dammacco F, Rice CM, Blum HE, et al. Subcellular localization, stability, and trans-cleavage competence of the hepatitis C virus NS3–NS4A complex expressed in tetracycline-regulated cell lines. J Virol 2000;74:2293–304.
103. Barbato G, Cicero DO, Nardi MC, Steinkuhler C, Cortese R, De Francesco R, et al. The solution structure of the N-terminal proteinase domain of the hepatitis C virus (HCV) NS3 protein provides new insights into its activation and catalytic mechanism. J Mol Biol 1999;289:371–84.
104. Tanji Y, Hijikata M, Satoh S, Kaneko T, Shimotohno K. Hepatitis C virus-encoded nonstructural protein NS4A has versatile functions in viral protein processing. J Virol 1995;69:1575–81.
105. Restrepo-Hartwig MA, Ahlquist P. Brome mosaic virus helicase- and polymerase-like proteins colocalize on the endoplasmic reticulum at sites of viral RNA synthesis. J Virol 1996;70:8908–16.
106. Schaad MC, Jensen PE, Carrington JC. Formation of plant RNA virus replication complexes on membranes: role of an endoplasmic reticulum-targeted viral protein. EMBO J 1997;16:4049–59.
107. van der Meer Y, van Tol H, Locker JK, Snijder EJ. ORF1a-encoded replicase subunits are involved in the membrane association of the arterivirus replication complex. J Virol 1998;72:6689–98.
108. Shi ST, Schiller JJ, Kanjanahaluethai A, Baker SC, Oh JW, Lai MM. Colocalization and membrane association of murine hepatitis virus gene 1 products and de novo-synthesized viral RNA in infected cells. J Virol 1999;73:5957–69.
109. Froshauer S, Kartenbeck J, Helenius A. Alphavirus RNA replicase is located on the cytoplasmic surface of endosomes and lysosomes. J Cell Biol 1988;107:2075–86.
110. Egger D, Wolk B, Gosert R, Bianchi L, Blum HE, Moradpour D, et al. Expression of hepatitis C virus proteins induces distinct membrane alterations including a candidate viral replication complex. J Virol 2002;76:5974–84.
111. Gosert R, Egger D, Lohmann V, Bartenschlager R, Blum HE, Bienz K, et al. Identification of the hepatitis C virus RNA replication complex in Huh-7 cells harboring subgenomic replicons. J Virol 2003;77:5487–92.
112. Shi ST, Lee KJ, Aizaki H, Hwang SB, Lai MM. Hepatitis C virus RNA replication occurs on a detergent-resistant membrane that cofractionates with caveolin-2. J Virol 2003;77:4160–8.
113. Piccininni S, Varaklioti A, Nardelli M, Dave B, Raney KD, McCarthy JE. Modulation of the hepatitis C virus RNA-dependent RNA polymerase activity by the non-structural (NS) 3 helicase and the NS4B membrane protein. J Biol Chem 2002;277:45670–9.
114. Gao L, Aizaki H, He JW, Lai MM. Interactions between viral nonstructural proteins and host protein hVAP-33 mediate the formation of hepatitis C virus RNA replication complex on lipid raft. J Virol 2004;78:3480–8.
115. Brass V, Bieck E, Montserret R, Wolk B, Hellings JA, Blum HE, et al. An amino-terminal amphipathic alpha-helix mediates membrane association of the hepatitis C virus nonstructural protein 5A. J Biol Chem 2002;277:8130–9.
116. Shimakami T, Hijikata M, Luo H, Ma YY, Kaneko S, Shimotohno K, et al. Effect of interaction between hepatitis C virus NS5A and NS5B on hepatitis C virus RNA replication with the hepatitis C virus replicon. J Virol 2004;78:2738–48.
117. Hamamoto I, Nishimura Y, Okamoto T, Aizaki H, Liu M, Mori Y, et al. Human VAP-B is involved in hepatitis C virus replication through interaction with NS5A and NS5B. J Virol 2005;79:13473–82.
118. Ito T, Lai MM. An internal polypyrimidine-tract-binding protein-binding site in the hepatitis C virus RNA attenuates translation, which is relieved by the 3′-untranslated sequence. Virology 1999;254:288–96.
119. Kolykhalov AA, Agapov EV, Blight KJ, Mihalik K, Feinstone SM, Rice CM. Transmission of hepatitis C by intrahepatic inoculation with transcribed RNA. Science 1997;277:570–4.
120. Tanaka T, Kato N, Cho M-J, Shimotohno K. A novel sequence found at the 3′ terminus of hepatitis C virus genome. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1995;215:744–9.
121. Yi M, Lemon SM. 3′ nontranslated RNA signals required for replication of hepatitis C virus RNA. J Virol 2003;77:3557–68.
122. Friebe P, Bartenschlager R. Genetic analysis of sequences in the 3′ nontranslated region of hepatitis C virus that are important for RNA replication. J Virol 2002;76:5326–38.
123. Aizaki H, Lee KJ, Sung VM, Ishiko H, Lai MM. Characterization of the hepatitis C virus RNA replication complex associated with lipid rafts. Virology 2004;324:450–61.
124. Ali N, Tardif KD, Siddiqui A. Cell-free replication of the hepatitis C virus subgenomic replicon. J Virol 2002;76:12001–7.
125. Lai VC, Dempsey S, Lau JY, Hong Z, Zhong W. In vitro RNA replication directed by replicase complexes isolated from the subgenomic replicon cells of hepatitis C virus. J Virol 2003;77:2295–300.
126. Miyanari Y, Hijikata M, Yamaji M, Hosaka M, Takahashi H, Shimotohno K. Hepatitis C virus non-structural proteins in the probable membranous compartment function in viral genome replication. J Biol Chem 2003;278:50301–8.
127. Hardy RW, Marcotrigiano J, Blight KJ, Majors JE, Rice CM. Hepatitis C virus RNA synthesis in a cell-free system isolated from replicon-containing hepatoma cells. J Virol 2003;77:2029–37.
128. Waris G, Sarker S, Siddiqui A. Two-step affinity purification of the hepatitis C virus ribonucleoprotein complex. RNA 2004;10:321–9.
129. Simons K, Ikonen E. Functional rafts in cell membranes. Nature 1997;387:569–72.
130. Simons K, Ikonen E. How cells handle cholesterol. Science 2000;290:1721–6.
131. Simons K, Toomre D. Lipid rafts and signal transduction. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2000;1:31–9.
132. Barman S, Ali A, Hui EK, Adhikary L, Nayak DP. Transport of viral proteins to the apical membranes and interaction of matrix protein with glycoproteins in the assembly of influenza viruses. Virus Res 2001;77:61–9.
133. Scheiffele P, Rietveld A, Wilk T, Simons K. Influenza viruses select ordered lipid domains during budding from the plasma membrane. J Biol Chem 1999;274:2038–44.
134. Zhang J, Pekosz A, Lamb RA. Influenza virus assembly and lipid raft microdomains: a role for the cytoplasmic tails of the spike glycoproteins. J Virol 2000;74:4634–44.
135. Ding L, Derdowski A, Wang JJ, Spearman P. Independent segregation of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 Gag protein complexes and lipid rafts. J Virol 2003;77:1916–26.
136. Ono A, Freed EO. Plasma membrane rafts play a critical role in HIV-1 assembly and release. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001;98:13925–30.
137. Bavari S, Bosio CM, Wiegand E, Ruthel G, Will AB, Geisbert TW, et al. Lipid raft microdomains: a gateway for compartmentalized trafficking of Ebola and Marburg viruses. J Exp Med 2002;195:593–602.
138. Stuart AD, Eustace HE, McKee TA, Brown TD. A novel cell entry pathway for a DAF-using human enterovirus is dependent on lipid rafts. J Virol 2002;76:9307–22.
139. Narayan S, Barnard RJ, Young JA. Two retroviral entry pathways distinguished by lipid raft association of the viral receptor and differences in viral infectivity. J Virol 2003;77:1977–83.

140. Ashbourne Excoffon KJ, Moninger T, Zabner J. The Coxsackie B virus and adenovirus receptor resides in a distinct membrane microdomain. J Virol 2003;77:2559–67.
141. Brown DA, Rose JK. Sorting of GPI-anchored proteins to glycolipid-enriched membrane subdomains during transport to the apical cell surface. Cell 1992;68:533–44.
142. Hijikata M, Mizushima H, Tanji Y, Komoda Y, Hirowatari Y, Akagi T, et al. Proteolytic processing and membrane association of putative nonstructural proteins of hepatitis C virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1993;90:10773–7.
143. Mottola G, Cardinali G, Ceccacci A, Trozzi C, Bartholomew L, Torrisi MR, et al. Hepatitis C virus nonstructural proteins are localized in a modified endoplasmic reticulum of cells expressing viral subgenomic replicons. Virology 2002;293:31–43.
144. Tu H, Gao L, Shi ST, Taylor DR, Yang T, Mircheff AK, et al. Hepatitis C virus RNA polymerase and NS5A complex with a SNARE-like protein. Virology 1999;263:30–41.
145. Pietschmann T, Lohmann V, Rutter G, Kurpanek K, Bartenschlager R. Characterization of cell lines carrying self-replicating hepatitis C virus RNAs. J Virol 2001;75:1252–64.
146. Choi J, Lee KJ, Zheng Y, Yamaga AK, Lai MM, Ou JH. Reactive oxygen species suppress hepatitis C virus RNA replication in human hepatoma cells. Hepatology 2004;39:81–9.
147. Kaito M, Watanabe S, Tsukiyama-Kohara K, Yamaguchi K, Kobayashi Y, Konishi M, et al. Hepatitis C virus particle detected by immunoelectron microscopic study. J Gen Virol 1994; 75:1755–60.
148. Shimizu YK, Feinstone SM, Kohara M, Purcell RH, Yoshikura H. Hepatitis C virus: detection of intracellular virus particles by electron microscopy. Hepatology 1996;23:205–9.
149. Maillard P, Krawczynski K, Nitkiewicz J, Bronnert C, Sidorkiewicz M, Gounon P, et al. Nonenveloped nucleocapsids of hepatitis C virus in the serum of infected patients. J Virol 2001;75: 8240–50.
150. Andre P, Komurian-Pradel F, Deforges S, Perret M, Berland JL, Sodoyer M, et al. Characterization of low- and very-low-density hepatitis C virus RNA-containing particles. J Virol 2002;76: 6919–28.
151. Moradpour D, Wakita T, Tokushige K, Carlson RI, Krawczynski K, Wands JR. Characterization of three novel monoclonal antibodies against hepatitis C virus core protein. J Med Virol 1996;48: 234–41.
152. Barba G, Harper F, Harada T, Kohara M, Goulinet S, Matsuura Y, et al. Hepatitis C virus core protein shows a cytoplasmic localization and associates to cellular lipid storage droplets. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1997;94:1200–5.
153. Moriya K, Fujie H, Shintani Y, Yotsuyanagi H, Tsutsumi T, Ishibashi K, et al. The core protein of hepatitis C virus induces hepatocellular carcinoma in transgenic mice. Nat Med 1998;4: 1065–7.
154. Hope RG, Murphy DJ, McLauchlan J. The domains required to direct core proteins of hepatitis C virus and GB virus-B to lipid droplets share common features with plant oleosin proteins. J Biol Chem 2002;277:4261–70.
155. Suzuki R, Sakamoto S, Tsutsumi T, Rikimaru A, Tanaka K, Shimoike T, et al. Molecular determinants for subcellular localization of hepatitis C virus core protein. J Virol 2005;79: 1271–81.
156. Suzuki T, Suzuki R. Maturation and assembly of hepatitis C virus core protein. In: Kalitzky M, Borowski P, editors. Molecular biology of the Flavivirus. Norfolk, UK: Horizon Bioscience; 2006. p. 295–311.
157. Shintani Y, Fujie H, Miyoshi H, Tsutsumi T, Tsukamoto K, Kimura S, et al. Hepatitis C virus infection and diabetes: direct involvement of the virus in the development of insulin resistance. Gastroenterology 2004;126:840–8.
158. Moriishi K, Mochizuki R, Moriya K, Miyamoto H, Mori Y, Abe T, et al. Critical role of PA28γ in hepatitis C virus-associated steatogenesis and hepatocarcinogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104:1661–6.
159. Miyamoto H, Moriishi K, Moriya K, Murata S, Tanaka K, Suzuki T, et al. Involvement of the PA28γ-dependent pathway in insulin resistance induced by hepatitis C virus core protein. J Virol 2007;81:1727–35.
160. Nakai K, Okamoto T, Kimura-Someya T, Ishii K, Lim CK, Tani H, et al. Oligomerization of hepatitis C virus core protein is crucial for interaction with the cytoplasmic domain of E1 envelope protein. J Virol 2006;80:11265–73.
161. Baumert TF, Ito S, Wong DT, Liang TJ. Hepatitis C virus structural proteins assemble into viruslike particles in insect cells. J Virol 1998;72:3827–36.
162. Falcon V, Garcia C, de la Rosa MC, Menendez I, Seoane J, Grillo JM. Ultrastructural and immunocytochemical evidences of core-particle formation in the methylotrophic *Pichia pastoris* yeast when expressing HCV structural proteins (core-E1). Tissue Cell 1999;31:117–25.
163. Kunkel M, Lorinczi M, Rijnbrand R, Lemon SM, Watowich SJ. Self-assembly of nucleocapsid-like particles from recombinant hepatitis C virus core protein. J Virol 2001;75:2119–29.
164. Lorenzo LJ, Duenas-Carrera S, Falcon V, Acosta-Rivero N, Gonzalez E, de la Rosa MC, et al. Assembly of truncated HCV core antigen into virus-like particles in *Escherichia coli*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2001;281:962–5.
165. Acosta-Rivero N, Aguilar JC, Musacchio A, Falcon V, Vina A, de la Rosa MC, et al. Characterization of the HCV core virus-like particles produced in the methylotrophic yeast *Pichia pastoris*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2001;287:122–5.
166. Kunkel M, Watowich SJ. Conformational changes accompanying self-assembly of the hepatitis C virus core protein. Virology 2002;294:239–45.
167. Acosta-Rivero N, Falcon V, Alvarez C, Musacchio A, Chinea G, Cristina de la Rosa M, et al. Structured HCV nucleocapsids composed of P21 core protein assemble primary in the nucleus of *Pichia pastoris* yeast. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2003; 310:48–53.
168. Blanchard E, Hourioux C, Brand D, Ait-Goughoulte M, Moreau A, Trassard S, et al. Hepatitis C virus-like particle budding: role of the core protein and importance of its Asp111. J Virol 2003; 77:10131–8.
169. Majeau N, Gagne V, Boivin A, Bolduc M, Majeau JA, Ouellet D, et al. The N-terminal half of the core protein of hepatitis C virus is sufficient for nucleocapsid formation. J Gen Virol 2004; 85:971–81.
170. Klein KC, Polyak SJ, Lingappa JR. Unique features of hepatitis C virus capsid formation revealed by de novo cell-free assembly. J Virol 2004;78:9257–69.
171. Kunkel M, Watowich SJ. Biophysical characterization of hepatitis C virus core protein: implications for interactions within the virus and host. FEBS Lett 2004;557:174–80.
172. Matsumoto M, Hwang SB, Jeng KS, Zhu N, Lai MM. Homotypic interaction and multimerization of hepatitis C virus core protein. Virology 1996;218:43–51.
173. Nolandt O, Kern V, Muller H, Pfaff E, Theilmann L, Welker R, et al. Analysis of hepatitis C virus core protein interaction domains. J Gen Virol 1997;78(Pt 6):1331–40.
174. Yan BS, Tam MH, Syu WJ. Self-association of the C-terminal domain of the hepatitis-C virus core protein. Eur J Biochem 1998;258:100–6.
175. Ezelle HJ, Markovic D, Barber GN. Generation of hepatitis C virus-like particles by use of a recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus vector. J Virol 2002;76:12325–34.
176. Clayton RF, Owsianka A, Aitken J, Graham S, Bhella D, Patel AH. Analysis of antigenicity and topology of E2 glycoprotein present on recombinant hepatitis C virus-like particles. J Virol 2002;76:7672–82.
177. Lo S-Y, Selby MJ, Ou J-H. Interaction between hepatitis C virus core protein and E1 envelope protein. J Virol 1996;70:5177–82.

178. Ma HC, Ke CH, Hsieh TY, Lo SY. The first hydrophobic domain of the hepatitis C virus E1 protein is important for interaction with the capsid protein. J Gen Virol 2002;83:3085–92.
179. Hershko A, Ciechanover A. The ubiquitin system. Annu Rev Biochem 1998;67:425–79.
180. Finley D, Ciechanover A, Varshavsky A. Ubiquitin as a central cellular regulator. Cell 2004;116:S29–32, 2 p following S.
181. Suzuki R, Tamura K, Li J, Ishii K, Matsuura Y, Miyamura T, et al. Ubiquitin-mediated degradation of hepatitis C virus core protein is regulated by processing at its carboxyl terminus. Virology 2001;280:301–9.
182. Moriishi K, Okabayashi T, Nakai K, Moriya K, Koike K, Murata S, et al. Proteasome activator PA28γ-dependent nuclear retention and degradation of hepatitis C virus core protein. J Virol 2003;77:10237–49.
183. Shirakura M, Murakami K, Ichimura T, Suzuki R, Shimoji T, Fukuda K, et al. E6AP ubiquitin ligase mediates ubiquitylation and degradation of hepatitis C virus core protein. J Virol 2007; 81:1174–85.
184. Huibregtse JM, Scheffner M, Beaudenon S, Howley PM. A family of proteins structurally and functionally related to the E6-AP ubiquitin–protein ligase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1995;92: 2563–7.
185. Huibregtse JM, Scheffner M, Howley PM. Cloning and expression of the cDNA for E6-AP, a protein that mediates the interaction of the human papillomavirus E6 oncoprotein with p53. Mol Cell Biol 1993;13:775–84.
186. Scheffner M, Huibregtse JM, Vierstra RD, Howley PM. The HPV-16 E6 and E6-AP complex functions as a ubiquitin-protein ligase in the ubiquitination of p53. Cell 1993;75:495–505.
187. Tanahashi N, Yokota K, Ahn JY, Chung CH, Fujiwara T, Takahashi E, et al. Molecular properties of the proteasome activator PA28 family proteins and gamma-interferon regulation. Genes Cells 1997;2:195–211.
188. Realini C, Jensen CC, Zhang Z, Johnston SC, Knowlton JR, Hill CP, et al. Characterization of recombinant REGalpha, REGbeta, and REGgamma proteasome activators. J Biol Chem 1997;272:25483–92.
189. Polyak SJ, Klein KC, Shoji I, Miyamura T, Lingappa JR. Assemble and interact pleiotropic functions of the HCV core protein. In: Tan S-L, editor. Hepatitis C viruses: genomes and molecular biology. Norwich, UK: Horizon Bioscience; 2006. p. 89–119.

ELSEVIER

INSTITUT PASTEUR

Microbes and Infection 9 (2007) 515–521

www.elsevier.com/locate/micinf

Original article

GBV-B as a pleiotropic virus: distribution of GBV-B in extrahepatic tissues *in vivo*

Koji Ishii [a], Sayuki Iijima [b], Nobuyuki Kimura [b], Young-Jung Lee [b], Naohide Ageyama [b], Shintaro Yagi [d,1], Kenjiro Yamaguchi [d], Noboru Maki [d], Ken-ichi Mori [d], Sayaka Yoshizaki [a], Sanae Machida [a,e], Tetsuro Suzuki [a], Naoko Iwata [c], Tetsutaro Sata [c], Keiji Terao [b], Tatsuo Miyamura [a], Hirofumi Akari [b,*]

[a] *Department of Virology II, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, 1-23-1 Toyama, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8640, Japan*
[b] *Laboratory of Disease Control, Tsukuba Primate Research Center, National Institute of Biomedical Innovation, 1-1 Hachimandai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0843, Japan*
[c] *Department of Pathology, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, 1-23-1 Toyama, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8640, Japan*
[d] *Advanced Life Science Institute, Wako, Saitama 351-0112, Japan*
[e] *Department of Microbiology, Saitama Medical School, Moroyama-Cho, Iruma-Gun, Saitama 350-0495, Japan*

Received 25 August 2006; accepted 16 January 2007
Available online 27 January 2007

Abstract

GB virus B (GBV-B) infection of New World monkeys is considered to be a useful surrogate model for hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection. GBV-B replicates in the liver and induces acute resolving hepatitis but little is known whether the other organs could be permissive for the virus. We investigated the viral tropism of GBV-B in tamarins in the acute stage of viral infection and found that the viral genomic RNA could be detected in a variety of tissues. Notably, a GBV-B-infected tamarin with marked acute viremia scarcely showed a sign of hepatitis, due to preferential infection in lymphoid tissues such as lymph nodes and spleen. These results indicate that GBV-B as well as HCV is a pleiotropic virus *in vivo*.

Keywords: GB virus B; Hepatitis C virus; Tamarin; Surrogate model

1. Introduction

Over 100 million people worldwide are carriers of hepatitis C virus (HCV) and the viral infection is a significant cause of human morbidity and mortality; chronic HCV infection in many cases will lead to liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. Furthermore, HCV infection manifests a variety of extrahepatic, at least in part due to the extrahepatic tropisms of HCV, particularly lymphotropism diseases (for review see [1]).

Other than humans, only chimpanzees that are endangered as species can be productively infected by HCV. Together with ethical issues regarding animal experiments, it has becomes increasingly difficult to access chimpanzees for experimental studies. Tamarins (*Saguinus* species), one of the new world monkeys, develop acute, self-limited hepatitis upon infection with the GB virus B (GBV-B), which is most closely related to HCV [2–4]. Although the acute nature of GBV-B infection in tamarins has been distinguished this hepatitis from HCV infection in humans, recent studies demonstrated that tamarins could be persistently infected by GBV-B and developed chronic hepatitis [5,6]. Therefore, the GBV-B infection of tamarins is proposed as a good surrogate model for hepatitis C. While GBV-B appeared to infect liver, comprehensive documentation of the *in vivo* tropism of GBV-B has not been

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 29 837 2121; fax: +81 29 837 0218.
E-mail address: akari@nibio.go.jp (H. Akari).
[1] Present address: Laboratory of Cellular Biochemistry, Department of Animal Resource Sciences, Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan.

1286-4579/$ - see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/j.micinf.2007.01.010

reported yet. A previous report that GBV-B RNA was observed in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from a GBV-B-infected marmoset [7] suggests that GBV-B may be lymphotropic as well as HCV. Considering the close similarity between HCV and GBV-B, we examined the viral distribution and tropism in tamarins in the acute phase of the viral infection.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Adult white-lipped and Red-handed tamarins (*Saguinus labiatus* and *Saguinus midas*, respectively) were housed in individual cages at the Tsukuba Primate Research Center. All animal studies were conducted in accordance with the protocols of experimental procedures that were approved by the Animal Welfare and Animal Care Committees of the National Institute of Biomedical Innovation and National Institute of Infectious Diseases. The details of tamarins used in this study were summarized in Table 1.

2.2. GBV-B infection in tamarins

GBV-B RNA was transcribed *in vitro* with T7 RNA polymerase (Promega, Madison, WI) from 10 μg of *Xho*I-digested pGBB [2] that harbors infectious cDNA for GBV-B (kind gift of Dr. J. Bukh, National Institutes of Health, USA). The integrity of the RNA was checked by electrophoresis through an agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. Each transcription mixture (400 μg of GBV-B RNA) was diluted with 400 μl of ice-cold water and then immediately frozen on dry ice and stored at −80 °C. Transcription mixtures were injected into each tamarin intrahepatically. For transmission of GBV-B, animals were infected intrahepatically with 100 μl of GBV-B infectious plasma containing 8×10^8 genome equivalents (GE) of the viral RNA. Blood samples were periodically collected from the monkeys from femoral vein under anesthetization and were tested for plasma ALT level.

2.3. Quantification of GBV-B genomic RNA

GBV-B-infected tamarins were euthanized and perfused with saline thoroughly before the collection of specimens including plasma, PBMCs and a variety of tissues (esophagus, stomach, duodenum, jejunum, ileum, cecum, colon, rectum, liver, pancreas, submandibular gland, trachea, lung, bone marrow, thymus, spleen, submandibular lymph nodes, axillary lymph nodes, intestinal lymph nodes, mesenteric lymph nodes, inguinal lymph nodes, tonsil, heart, kidney, adrenal gland, bladder, brain, spinal cord, testis, uterus and ovary). GBV-B RNA from these specimens was quantified by a real-time, 5′ exonuclease PCR (TaqMan) assay using a primer-probe combination that recognized a portion of the GBV-B capsid gene. The primers 558F [5′AACGAGCAAAGCGCAAAGTC] and 626R [5′CATCATGGATACCAGCAATTTTGT] and probe 579P [5′6FAM-AGCGCGATGCTCGGCCTCGTATAMRA] [8] were obtained from PE Biosystems. The primers were used at 15 pmol/50 μl reaction, and the probe was used at 10 pmol/50 μl reaction. Synthesized GBV-B RNA was used as a reference standard of GBV-positive plasma. PBMCs were isolated from whole blood by density-gradient centrifugation. Approximately 10 mg of tissues were removed under sterile conditions and immediately homogenized in 1 ml of TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) to extract RNA. We set our lowest detection cutoff at 10^2 GE per ml. All the specimens were evaluated in duplicates and the averages were shown.

Table 1
Summary of the results of GBV-B RNA levels in the tissues of the virus-infected tamarins

		Tm3	Tm4	Tm5	Tm6
Animals		*S. labiatus*	*S. midas*	*S. labiatus*	*S. midas*
Sex		Female	Female	Male	Female
GBV-B inoculum		Plasma	Plasma	RNA	RNA
Weeks at necropsy		4	4	3	ND[a]
ALT		321	522	38	554
Viral loads in:					
Blood	Plasma	3.8×10^8	5.9×10^8	1.3×10^{10}	2.8×10^9
	PBMC	270	1630	35650	ND
Spleen		(−)[b]	540	5980	ND
Lymph nodes	Inguinal	(−)	(−)	3090	ND
	Intestinal	(−)	(−)	640	ND
Liver		70080	33480	16080	ND
Kidney		(−)	(−)	380	ND
Testis				600	ND
Ovary		1290	150		ND
Bone marrow		120	(−)	750	ND

Viral loads in each tissues were presented as GE/mg except for plasma (GE/ml) and PBMC (GE/10^6 cells). Data for Tm6 were obtained at week 4.
[a] ND: not done.
[b] (−): undetectable.

2.4. Detection of anti-GBV-B core and NS3 antibodies by ELISA

The TrpE-core (aa 1 to 132) fusion protein and TrpE-NS3 (aa 1135 to 1378) fusion protein, representing a portion of NS3 identified as being immunogenic in infected animals [9], was expressed in *Escherichia coli* [10] to serve as an antigen to generate polyclonal rabbit antisera. Tamarin sera were tested for the presence of antibodies to GBV-B core and NS3 by ELISA as described previously [8].

2.5. Cloning of entire GBV-B genome from plasma, liver and PBMCs of infected tamarins

GBV-B RNA was isolated from plasma, liver and PBMCs as described above. GBV-B cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript reverse transcriptase II (Invitrogen) with GB-5145R primer (5′-GCG AGT GCG GCT GTC CCA GAA GTA TTG ACT-3′) or GB-9051R primer (5′-AAT TTG GGG GTT CAG CTG ATG GCT AAT CCA-3′). After RNase H (Invitrogen) treatment at 42 °C, a cDNA mixture was subjected to PCR with LA-taq DNA polymerase (TaKaRa), GB-5145R primer and GB-35S primer (5′-ACC ACA AAC ACT CCA GTT TGT TAC ACT CCG CTA GG-3′) or GB-9051R primer and GB-3999S primer (5′-CGT ACG GCG TGA ATC CAA ATT GCT ATT TTA-3′) for 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 20 s and extension at 68 °C for 5 min. PCR products were purified from the gel using a QIA-quick gel kit (Qiagen), and then cloned into pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega). Four clones of each fragment were determined using a CEQ-2000XL analysis system with a DTCS quick start kit and GBV-B specific primers according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sequence data were analyzed on Macintosh computers with the Sequencer (Gene Code Corp.) and MacVector (Accelrys) software packages.

2.6. Synthesis of positive and negative standard RNAs for RT–PCR controls

Recombinant positive and negative strand RNAs were generated from pGBB containing 3′ sequences of GBV-B. Positions 8569–9359 were amplified and inserted into pGEM-T easy vector. Clones were selected for sense and antisense orientation of the insert corresponding to positive and negative strands, respectively. Ten micrograms of the selected plasmids were linearized using *PstI* and positive- and negative-strand RNAs were synthesized by transcription from the upstream T7 RNA polymerase promoter by Ambion MEGAscript T7 kit (Ambion, Austin, TX).

2.7. Detection of strand-specific viral RNA by tagging PCR system

One microgram of total RNA obtained from tissues or cells was subjected to RT–PCR. cDNAs were synthesized using Superscript III first strand synthesis system (Invitrogen). In order to overcome the detection of falsely primed cDNA products and make the PCR system strand-specific, additional nucleotides (TCATGGTGGCGAATAA) were added to the 5′ end of the reverse transcription primer (5′-TCATGGTGGC GAATAATTGGATTAGCCATCAGCTGAACC-3′), forming a "tag" (underlined) [11,12]. This "tag" sequence was neither complementary nor homologous to any part of the GBV-B genome. PCR amplification of a tagged cDNA was performed using only the tag portion of the cDNA primer (5′-TCATGG TGGCGAATAA-3′) as one of the primers and a GBV-B specific oligonucleotide for the opposing primer (5′-CTTGGTAC TACGCTCTGCACA-3′, positions 9339–9359). For the first round of PCR using 2 μl of cDNA in a final volume of 25 μl, the reactions were performed using a TaKaRa PCR kit (TaKaRa) with following conditions; a 20 s and 94 °C denaturation step followed by 20 s and 55 °C annealing and 2 min and 72 °C extension steps. After 30 cycles of first round amplification, 2 μl of reaction samples were subjected to 30 cycles of nested PCR using 5′-TTTTAGGGCAGCGGCAACAG-3′ (positions 9105–9124) and 5′-CACACAGCCAGGACTCCTCA-3′ (positions 9260–9279) as primers.

2.8. Histopathology

Five tamarin livers were used in this study. Of these, three livers were from GBV-B-infected tamarins (Table 1), and two were from uninfected tamarins. Liver samples obtained by necropsy were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in paraffin, and cut into 4 μm thick-sections. Deparaffinized sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) for histopathological analyses. To investigate apoptotic cells in the livers, we also examined both DNA fragmentation and immunohistochemistry for an active form of caspase-3. To diminish autofluorescence mainly caused by lipofuscin, sections were pre-stained with 1% Sudan black B. DNA fragmentation was evaluated by a TUNEL assay with an ApopTag Direct *In Situ* Apoptosis Detection Kit (Chemicon International, Temecula, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the specimens were digested with a solution of proteinase K (20 μg/ml) in PBS for 5 min and then incubated with terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT) and fluorescein-labeled nucleotides (ApopTag Direct) in a humid atmosphere at 37 °C for 1 h. Specimens were viewed with a BX-FLA fluorescence microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). To control for nonspecific incorporation of nucleotides and nonspecific binding of TdT, cells were treated with proteinase K as usual, but staining was performed in the absence of active TdT. This served as a negative control. In parallel, immunohistochemistry for an active form of caspase-3 was examined by using an FITC-conjugated monoclonal antibody against the active caspase-3 (C92-605; BD Pharmingen, San Jose, CA) in order to confirm the degree of apoptotic cells detected by TUNEL staining. Sections were deparaffinized followed by autoclaving for 5 min at 121 °C, and then incubated free floating in the primary antibody solution overnight at 4 °C. Following brief washes, sections were then incubated with DAPI (1:800; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) for 1 h at room temperature. These sections were examined with a Digital Eclipse C1 confocal microscope (Nikon, Japan).

3. Results

3.1. GBV-B infection in tamarins

Firstly, two tamarins were intrahepatically inoculated with RNA transcripts from GBV-B infectious molecular clone pGBB (Fig. 1). Both monkeys showed viremia at 2 weeks post inoculation; peak viral titers in plasma reached up to 10^9 GE/ml and both monkeys developed hepatitis with dramatically elevated plasma ALT levels. The viremia was maintained up to 8 weeks, followed by rapid decline in parallel with the resolution of the ALT abnormalities. Within 6–8 weeks of the inoculation, the development of antibodies reactive with the viral core and NS3 proteins was observed (Fig. 1). Multiple plasma samples collected at later time points contained no detectable viral RNA and showed no ALT abnormalities; however, antibodies against GBV-B core and NS3 proteins were maintained at relatively high levels at least until 28 weeks after inoculation (Fig. 1). These results confirmed that inoculation of GBV-B viral RNA caused acute hepatitis in parallel with typical viremia in tamarins.

Next, in order to examine the tissue tropism of GBV-B *in vivo*, four tamarins were inoculated intrahepatically with week 2 plasma of tamarin Tm1 containing 8×10^8 GE of GBV-B (Tm3 and Tm4) or synthetic GBV-B RNA as described above (Tm5 and Tm6). These tamarins developed a typical acute infection that were marked by high levels of viremia, indicating that inoculation of either viral RNA or plasma of the infected tamarin resulted in comparable outcome (Fig. 2). It is noteworthy that in Tm5 the plasma ALT level was scarcely elevated in contrast with other three tamarins during the acute period of GBV-B infection, although this tamarin developed highest viremia (1.3×10^{10} GE/ml).

3.2. Histopathological analyses of GBV-B infection

Histopathological analyses in Tm3 and Tm4 livers showed inflammatory responses including inflammatory cell invasions around central and/or portal veins and hemorrhages, hepatocytic degenerations, and disruptions of sinusoids (Fig. 3A,B,E,F). Although there were only minimal pathological changes, hepatocytic degenerations and dilation of sinusoids were also found in the Tm5 liver (Fig. 3C and G) in contrast to uninfected tamarins (Fig. 3D and H, data not shown). To further evaluate the levels of apoptotic hepatocytes in these monkeys, we employed two different methods, detecting fragmented DNA (TUNEL assay) and an active form of caspase-3 as previously described [13]. It was found that substantial numbers of fragmented DNA-positive cells were observed in the Tm3 and Tm4 livers while much less in the Tm5 liver (Fig. 3I–K). Consistent results were obtained when the active form of caspase-3 was stained (Fig. 3M–O). On the other hand, we found neither DNA fragmentation nor caspase-3 activation in uninfected tamarin livers (Fig. 3L and P, data not shown). The minimal levels of pathological changes in the Tm5 liver were well correlated with a lower level of plasma ALT in Tm5 (Fig. 2, Table 1).

3.3. Tissue distribution of GBV-B

The results described above suggested the possibility that the substantial levels of viral replication occurred in other tissues rather than in the liver of Tm5. To ascertain the possibility, we euthanized three tamarins (Tm3, Tm4 and Tm5) and the viral levels in a variety of tissues were compared. Table 1 summarizes the data obtained in this experiment. It is reasonable to consider that GBV-B replicated in the liver accounts for majority of the viral load *in vivo*. However,



Fig. 1. Course of GBV-B infection in tamarins Tm1 and Tm2. Synthesized infectious RNA transcript of GBV-B from a pGBB molecular clone was inoculated into each tamarin intrahepatically. Plasma samples were collected from each tamarin at 2-week intervals post inoculation. The viral RNA copies, ALT levels, and titers of anti-viral antibodies (anti-core and anti-NS3) in the plasma samples until 28 weeks after inoculation were shown.

substantial levels of GBV-B RNA were detected not only in the liver but also in a variety of extrahepatic tissues such as hematolymphoid and genital tissues, suggesting that GBV-B may infect and replicate in these organs. Notably, the viral RNA levels of Tm5 were much greater in the lymphoid tissues but lower in the liver as compared with those of other two tamarins, indicating that the highest plasma viral load in Tm5 derived from extrahepatic tissues, mainly hematolymphoid tissues. We could not detect GBV-B RNA from other tissues tested (data not shown). From these results, we concluded that the preferential distribution of GBV-B in the extrahepatic tissues rather than in the liver of Tm5 may attribute to the highest plasma viral load in spite of the mild disorder and the lower viral load in the liver.

In addition, the unique viral distribution implied that the GBV-B disseminated in Tm5 might acquire novel tissue tropism as a result of genomic mutation. To ascertain the possibility, we amplified the entire viral genomes by RT–PCR from the liver, PBMCs and plasma collected from Tm5 at euthanasia and compared with the original nucleotide sequence. The sequences determined were completely identical to the original sequence of GBV-B (data not shown), indicating that the sequence heterogeneity of GBV-B was not responsible for the different tropism observed in Tm5 and thus GBV-B intrinsically exhibits pleiotropism in a host-dependent manner.

3.4. Detection of strand-specific viral RNA in the tamarin tissues

To confirm that the virus was actually replicated in the tissues other than the liver, we sought to deferentially determine negative-strand viral RNA which is shown to be a viral replication intermediate in case of HCV. We thus newly developed an assay system for detecting replication intermediate of GBV-B.

To determine the sensitivity of this method, synthetic positive- and negative-strand GBV-B transcripts (ranging from 10^8 to 10^0 copies of GBV-B) in 100-fold serial dilutions were subjected to RT–PCR. As shown in Fig. 4A, at least 100 copies of GBV-B negative-strand RNA could be detected by this method. When the primer for cDNA synthesis was omitted, no PCR products were obtained (Fig. 4A, negative control), indicating that the PCR signals were derived specifically from the GBV-B negative-strand RNA. In the presence of 10^8 copies of positive-strand HCV RNA, false positive PCR signals appeared (Fig. 4A). We then analyzed the samples from liver, spleen, pancreas, stomach and PBMCs from Tm5 using the GBV-B strand-specific PCR assay and found that the negative-strand viral RNAs were detected in the liver, spleen and PBMC samples (Fig. 4B). No negative-strand or replicating forms of the virus were detected from RNA extracted from pancreas, stomach and HeLa cells.



Fig. 2. Acute course of GBV-B infection in tamarins (Tm3 and Tm4) by *in vivo* passage of plasma (7.9×10^8 GE/head) obtained from the GBV-B RNA-inoculated Tm1 in comparison with GBV-B RNA transcript-inoculated tamarins (Tm5 and Tm6). The viral RNA copies and ALT levels in the plasma samples collected from each tamarin were indicated.



Fig. 3. Photomicrographs of liver sections from Tm3 (A, E, I, M), Tm4 (B, F, J, N), Tm5 (C, G, K, O), and an uninfected tamarin (D, H, L, P). A–H show sections with H&E staining, while I–L and M–P indicate sections with a TUNEL assay and immunohistochemistry for an active form of caspase-3, respectively. Sections immunostained for an active form of caspase-3 (green fluorescent) were counterstained with DAPI (blue fluorescent). Scale bars: 100 μm.

4. Discussion

GBV-B is most closely related to HCV and induces acute resolving hepatitis in tamarins. It is therefore reasonable that GBV-B has been considered to be a hepatotropic virus; in this study, however, we show for the first time that GBV-B is a pleiotropic virus and can disseminate to not only liver but also a variety of extrahepatic tissues such as hematolymphoid and genital tissues. Of note, there is ample evidence that persistent HCV infection manifests a variety of extrahepatic diseases, at least in part due to the extrahepatic tropisms of HCV (for review see [1]). This also suggests that extrahepatic tissues may serve as alternative reservoirs for HCV, while further analyses should still be required to understand the viral dynamics *in vivo*. Considering the similar pleiotropism of HCV and GBV-B, our results support and extend the usefulness of New World primates infected with GBV-B as a surrogate model for the study of pathogenesis and tropism of HCV infection.

Tamarins infected with GBV-B generally develop semi-acute viremia, of which peak levels regularly ranged from 10^7 to 10^9 GE/ml on the basis of previous reports [2,5,6, 14,15]. From this point of view, the peal viremia (1.3×10^{10} GE/ml) in Tm5 euthanized at the acute phase of the viral infection appeared to be much greater than other cases. It seems likely that in Tm5 the lymphoid tissues but not liver were responsible for the highly efficient viral production, because (i)



Fig. 4. (A) Titration of synthetic GBV-B RNA transcripts. Synthetic RNA transcripts corresponding to the positive- and negative-strands of part of the GBV-B were serially diluted and each transcript was subjected to amplification using strand-specific RT–PCR to determine the specificity and sensitivity of the assays. (B) Detection of negative-strand GBV-B RNA from various tissues. One microgram of total RNA obtained from tissues or cells was subjected to RT–PCR.

viral titer in the liver was lowest among three monkeys, which was consistent with minimal plasma ALT level and liver damage; (ii) yet, Tm5 exhibited highest viremia levels, (iii) the viral RNA levels in PBMCs, spleen and inguinal and intestinal lymph nodes of Tm5 were much greater than others, and (iv) we could detect negative-strand GBV-B RNA from not only liver but also spleen and PBMCs. Supposing that the entire virus in Tm3 plasma (3.8×10^8 GE/ml) was produced in the liver of which RNA titer was highest among tamarins, most of the virus in Tm5 plasma (1.3×10^{10} GE/ml) should be derived from extrahepatic tissues. Taken together, our data demonstrate preferential dissemination of GBV-B in extrahepatic tissues. In order to further define the cell type(s) in which GBV-B replicates efficiently, *in situ* histological analysis should be needed as indicated in the case of HCV [16].

It was possible that differential lymphotropism among GBV-B-infected tamarins could be due to adaptive mutation in the viral genome. From this point of view, we cloned the viral RNA obtained from plasma and liver; however, we did not find any sequence heterogeneity in the viral genome (data not shown). Furthermore, challenge of Tm5 plasma to naïve tamarins developed typical semi-acute hepatitis with regular viremia and did not reproduce the preferential lymphotropism (data not shown). These results indicate that GBV-B intrinsically has pleiotropism in a host-dependent manner. It is possible that multiple surface molecules in the host cells, which act as alternative receptors, would determine the pleiotropism of GBV-B. It remains to be investigated whether host molecules which are used as receptors for HCV [17] would also be used by GBV-B.

Histopathological studies showed the inflammatory responses in Tm3 and Tm4 livers; especially, the Tm4 liver developed strong degenerative changes, which was consistent with high ALT levels (Fig. 2G,H). Furthermore, the livers of Tm3 and Tm4 showed substantial proportions of apoptotic cells as revealed by greater signals of DNA fragmentation and caspase-3 activation, both of which were popular markers of apoptosis, than those in Tm5 (Fig. 3). It needs to be clarified whether such cytopathic effects could be directly induced by GBV-B infection into hepatocytes or whether effector cytotoxic T lymphocytes would be responsible for the cytopathicity.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. Jens Bukh for providing pGBB. We also thank Mami Matsuda, Makiko Yahata and Tetsu Shimoji for technical assistance and members of Corporation for Production and Research of Laboratory Primates for the handling and care of the monkeys. This work was supported by a Health and Labour Science Research Grant from the Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare, Japan, and from New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization (NEDO) of Japan.

References

[1] V. Agnello, F.G. De Rosa, Extrahepatic disease manifestations of HCV infection, J. Hepatol. 40 (2004) 341–352.
[2] J. Bukh, C.L. Apgar, M. Yanagi, Toward a surrogate model for hepatitis C virus: An infectious molecular clone of the GB virus-B hepatitis agent, Virology 262 (1999) 470–478.
[3] A.S. Muerhoff, et al., Genomic organization of GB viruses A and B: two members of the Flaviviridae associated with GB agent hepatitis, J. Virol. 69 (1996) 5621–5630.
[4] J.N. Simons, et al., Identification of two flavivirus-like genomes in the GB hepatitis agent, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 92 (1995) 3401–3405.
[5] A. Martin, F. Bodola, D.V. Sangar, K. Goettge, V. Popov, R. Rijnbrand, R.E. Lanford, S.M. Lemon, Chronic hepatitis associated with GB virus B persistence in a tamarin after intrahepatic inoculation of synthetic viral RNA, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 100 (2003) 9962–99627.
[6] J.H. Nam, K. Faulk, R.E. Engle, S. Govindarajan, M. St Claire, J. Bukh, In vivo analysis of the 3′ untranslated region of GB virus B after in vitro mutagenesis of an infectious cDNA clone: persistent infection in a transfected tamarin, J. Virol. 78 (2004) 9389–9399.
[7] J.R. Jacob, K.C. Lin, B.C. Tennant, K.G. Mansfield, GB virus B infection of the common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*) and associated liver pathology, J. Gen. Virol. 85 (2004) 2525–2533.
[8] B. Beames, D. Chavez, B. Guerra, L. Notvall, K.M. Brasky, R.E. Lanford, Development of a primary tamarin hepatocyte culture system for GB virus-B: a surrogate model for hepatitis C virus, J. Virol. 74 (2000) 11764–11772.
[9] T.J. Pilot-Matias, A.S. Muerhoff, J.N. Simons, T.P. Leary, S.L. Buijk, M.L. Chalmers, J.C. Erker, G.J. Dawson, S.M. Desai, I.K. Mushahwar, Identification of antigenic regions in the GB hepatitis viruses GBV-A, GBV-B, and GBV-C, J. Med. Virol. 48 (1996) 329–338.
[10] K. Tsukiyama-Kohara, N. Iizuka, M. Kohara, A. Nomoto, Internal ribosome entry site within hepatitis C virus RNA, J. Virol. 66 (1992) 1476–1483.
[11] R.L. Chaves, J. Graff, A. Normann, B. Flehmig, Specific detection of minus strand hepatitis A virus RNA by Tail-PCR following reverse transcription, Nucleic Acids Res. 22 (1994) 1919–1920.
[12] J. Mellor, G. Haydon, C. Blair, W. Livingstone, P. and Simmonds, Low level or absent in vivo replication of hepatitis C virus and hepatitis G virus/GB virus C in peripheral blood mononuclear cells, J. Gen. Virol. 79 (1998) 705–714.
[13] H. Akari, S. Bour, S. Kao, A. Adachi, K. Strebel, The human immunodeficiency virus type 1 accessory protein Vpu induces apoptosis by suppressing the nuclear factor kappaB-dependent expression of antiapoptotic factors, J. Exp. Med. 194 (2001) 1299–1311.
[14] A. Sbardellati, E. Scarselli, E. Verschoor, A. De Tomassi, D. Lazzaro, C. Traboni, Generation of infectious and transmissible virions from a GB virus B full-length consensus clone in tamarins, J. Gen. Virol. 82 (2001) 2437–2448.
[15] R.E. Lanford, D. Chavez, L. Notvall, K.M. Brasky, Comparison of tamarins and marmosets as hosts for GBV-B infections and the effect of immunosuppression on duration of viremia, Virology 311 (2003) 72–80.
[16] E.J. Gowans, Distribution of markers of hepatitis C virus infection throughout the body, Semin. Liver Dis. 20 (2000) 85–102.
[17] L. Cocquerel, C. Voisset, J. Dubuisson, Hepatitis C virus entry: potential receptors and their biological functions, J. Gen. Virol. 87 (2006) 1075–1084.

JOURNAL OF VIROLOGY, Aug. 2007, p. 8030–8040 Vol. 81, No. 15
0022-538X/07/$08.00+0 doi:10.1128/JVI.02088-06

The NS3 Helicase and NS5B-to-3′X Regions Are Important for Efficient Hepatitis C Virus Strain JFH-1 Replication in Huh7 Cells▽

Asako Murayama,[1] Tomoko Date,[1] Kenichi Morikawa,[1] Daisuke Akazawa,[1,2] Michiko Miyamoto,[3] Minako Kaga,[1] Koji Ishii,[1] Tetsuro Suzuki,[1] Takanobu Kato,[4,5] Masashi Mizokami,[4] and Takaji Wakita[1]*

Department of Virology II, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, Japan[1]; Pharmaceutical Research Lab, Toray Industries, Inc., Kanagawa, Japan[2]; Department of Microbiology, Tokyo Metropolitan Institute for Neuroscience, Tokyo, Japan[3]; Department of Clinical Molecular Informative Medicine, Nagoya City University Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Nagoya, Japan[4]; and Liver Disease Branch, NIDDK, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland[5]

Received 23 September 2006/Accepted 8 May 2007

The JFH-1 strain of hepatitis C virus (HCV) is a genotype 2a strain that can replicate autonomously in Huh7 cells. The J6 strain is also a genotype 2a strain, but its full genomic RNA does not replicate in Huh7 cells. However, chimeric J6/JFH-1 RNA that has J6 structural-protein-coding regions and JFH-1 nonstructural-protein-coding regions can replicate autonomously and produce infectious HCV particles. In order to determine the mechanisms underlying JFH-1 RNA replication, we constructed various J6/JFH-1 chimeras and tested their RNA replication and virus particle production abilities in Huh7 cells. Via subgenomic-RNA-replication assays, we found that both the JFH-1 NS5B-to-3′X (N5BX) and the NS3 helicase (N3H) regions are important for the replication of the J6CF replicon. We applied these results to full-length genomic RNA replication and analyzed replication using Northern blotting. We found that a chimeric J6 clone with JFH-1 N3H and N5BX could replicate autonomously but that a chimeric J6 clone with only JFH-1 N5BX had no replication ability. Finally, we tested the virus production abilities of these clones and found that a chimeric J6 clone with JFH-1 N3H and N5BX could produce infectious HCV particles. In conclusion, the JFH-1 NS3 helicase and NS5B-to-3′X regions are important for efficient replication and virus particle formation of HCV genotype 2a strains.

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is a major cause of chronic liver disease (7, 22). The lack of a robust cell culture system for producing virus particles has hampered the development of HCV research (2). Although the development of a subgenomic-replicon system enabled research into HCV RNA replication (32), infectious-virus-particle production remained impossible. Recently, an HCV cell culture system was developed using a JFH-1 genotype 2a strain of HCV cloned from a fulminant hepatitis patient (30, 48, 54), allowing investigation of the virus life cycle.

HCV is a positive-strand RNA virus that belongs to the *Hepacivirus* genus in the *Flaviviridae* family. The HCV genome comprises about 9,600 nucleotides that encode a single polyprotein of around 3,000 amino acids (8, 18, 44), which is processed by cellular and viral encoded proteases into at least 10 different structural and nonstructural proteins (11, 13, 14, 33).

The JFH-1 strain of HCV is a genotype 2a strain, and it is the first HCV strain that can produce HCV particles in Huh7 cells (48). Subgenomic replicons of JFH-1 replicate efficiently in Huh7 cells and do not require cell culture-adaptive mutations (19). The J6CF strain of HCV is also a genotype 2a strain and is known to be infectious in chimpanzees (49), but its entire genomic RNA does not replicate in Huh7 cells, despite the ~90% nucleotide sequence homology between JFH-1 and J6CF. However, J6/JFH-1 chimeric RNA that has J6 structural-protein-coding regions and JFH-1 nonstructural-protein-coding regions can replicate autonomously and produce infectious HCV particles (30, 39). Why only the JFH-1 clone can replicate efficiently in Huh7 cells remains unclear.

In this study, to investigate the mechanisms underlying efficient JFH-1 replication, we focused on the differences in replication between JFH-1 and J6CF strains by using intragenotypic JFH-1 and J6CF chimeras and compared their respective abilities to replicate RNA and produce virus particles in Huh7 cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture. Huh7 cells (36) were cultured at 37°C in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum under 5% CO_2 conditions.

Subgenomic-replicon constructs. pSGR-JCH1 and pSGR-JCH4 were constructed based on pSGR-JFH1 (19, 21). pSGR-J6CF was also constructed from pJ6CF (a kind gift from Jens Bukh) (49), using the same method used to construct pSGR-JFH1. Plasmids used in luciferase assays were constructed based on pSGR-JFH1/Luc (20). Chimeric replicons were constructed by substitution of the corresponding regions. For convenience, several restriction enzyme recognition sites (ClaI [2275], EcoT22I [3639], and BsrGI [6127]) were introduced into the pSGR-J6CF sequence via nucleotide substitutions. The substitutions of the corresponding regions were achieved as follows, with the 5′ untranslated region (5′UTR) inserted between NotI and AgeI: NS3, PmeI-EcoT22I; NS3 protease, PmeI-ClaI; NS3 helicase, ClaI-EcoT22I; NS4, EcoT22I-MunI; NS5A, MunI-BsrGI; NS5B, BsrGI-StuI; and 3′UTR, StuI-XbaI (see Fig. 2A and 3A). pSGR-JCH1/Luc and pSGR-JCH4/Luc were also constructed using the same procedure as that for pSGR-JFH1/Luc (20, 21). The Con1 replicon (pSGR-Con1/Luc) was

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Virology II, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Toyama 1-23-1, Shinjuku, Tokyo 162-8640, Japan. Phone: 81-3-5285-1111. Fax: 81-3-5285-1161. E-mail: wakita@nih.go.jp.

▽ Published ahead of print on 23 May 2007.