



Evaluation responses

What did you find most useful about the workshop?	What would you change about the workshop?	Was there anything missing from the discussion?	Is there anything you would like to add as a final point ...
1. Opportunity to engage with this part of the process. Fairly wide range of attendees – health.	Papers were very good. – and signposting to the amendments themselves would have been helpful.	Time to do it properly	Hope this has more effect that the SRDF process.
2. Chance to hear what the delegates considered were priorities.	Somewhat artificial _ of the diamond 9 which resulted in a _ _ _ perhaps	Contextual _ on _ of London Plan and its significance for LDFs etc	No.
3. Discussing and prioritising the key issues.	More space.	No.	No.
4. Opportunity to discuss complex issues in a round table format.	Engagement does rely on a sophisticated understanding of planning. Show presentation on how Boroughs use LP may be helpful.	No.	Coffee could be improved. Help to those who want to translate their issues into planning speak.
5. Opportunity to consider health issues within the plan.	More focus, perhaps on major changes in the plan.	_ with a huge plan.	No.
6. Discussion in small group about identifying key health issues and recommendations.	Background paper summarising main health sections in the current plan.	No.	No.
7. The discussion of the different _ of the groups views as to what is important.	Nothing.	No.	No.
8. Discussion and debate.	Possibly more time for discussion and recommendations.	Voluntary sector representation as proxy for community voice.	Briefing papers sections on equality and diversity failed to mention disability, sexual orientation, gender, identity and faith.
9. Consideration of specific recommendations gave tangible meaning to discussion.	Not sure if prioritisation is the right approach in this instance – lower priority issues still need emphasis in the plan.	No.	Can think more about removing/ substituting rather than just adding to the plan.



What did you find most useful about the workshop?	What would you change about the workshop?	Was there anything missing from the discussion?	Is there anything you would like to add as a final point ...
10 Being with mixed audience – learning different views/ angles.	A more precise briefing before the day indicating what would be required of us.	No.	No.
11 Discussion with other stakeholders.	Given the scale of the plan, possibly some more direction on key areas to discuss.	No.	No.
12 Ability to exchange ideas and concepts with other professionals and produce integrated responses.	Greater time for discussion and ability to examine key concepts in more detail.	Same.	No.
13 Discussion with colleagues and better understanding of the London Plan.	More time for more detailed discussion.	Good understanding of changes.	Useful to explain planning issues with to non-planners.
14 Overview of London Plan, better understanding of its strategic intent.	Offer lunch.	No.	No.
15 Having to think about issues in a more challenging environment: other peoples views.	Nothing.	Different groups had varying focus on the issues involved – although many similar themes came out at the end. Stronger focus on the recommendations part.	No.
16 Opportunity to think through health impacts.	Larger room.	Lot to take in even with summaries. Useful breakdown. Initial presentations could focus more on specific health impacts to stimulate discussion.	No.
17 The group discussions.	Perhaps the groups could have shared the range of work rather than all addressing everything. More time.	No.	No.
18 The mixed background/ perspectives of participants.	More time.	Disability – no visibly disabled people participating.	Monitoring and indicators are key to making this happen.
19 Group working.	Improve quality of coffee – its disgusting.	No.	Thanks for good work.
20 Focussed consideration of the health issues for the plan.	Discussion in the wider group of the issues identified.	Consideration of the fit for purpose of the actual policies – however perhaps not appropriate within the scope of this SEA.	Diamond 9 worked better than I expected.



	What did you find most useful about the workshop?	What would you change about the workshop?	Was there anything missing from the discussion?	Is there anything you would like to add as a final point ...
21	Diamond 9 approach to focussing on priorities.	I think it went well – so nothing.	A greater understanding of the mayors prioritisation of health issues.	n/a.
22	Understanding more about the proposed further alterations.	Encouraging participants to do more background preparation.	No.	Very difficult to properly cover all issues in a very short space of time.



7. References and notes

1. Porter R. London: a social history. London: Hamish Hamilton; 1994
2. Greater London Authority. The London Plan. 2004. London, GLA. Available at www.london.gov.uk
3. Greater London Authority. Draft Further Alterations to the London Plan. 2006. Available at <http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor/strategies/sds/further-alt/sds/docs.jsp>
4. HM Government of Great Britain. Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act. 2004. Available at www.hmso.gov.uk/acts/acts2004/20040005.htm
5. European Parliament and the Council of the European Union. Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment. Official Journal of the European Communities 2001;L19730-37.
6. Forum for the Future and Ben Cave Associates Ltd. Sustainability Appraisal of the Draft Further Alterations to the London Plan. Non-technical summary. 2006. Greater London Authority. Available at <http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor/strategies/sds/further-alt/sds/docs.jsp>
7. Forum for the Future and Ben Cave Associates Ltd. Sustainability Appraisal of the Draft Further Alterations to the London Plan. 2006. Greater London Authority. Available at <http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor/strategies/sds/further-alt/sds/docs.jsp>
8. 'Meeting the spatial needs of London's diverse communities' is due to be published in draft in Autumn 2006 and in full in 2007. See www.london.gov.uk/mayor/strategies/sds/spg.jsp for more information.
9. Greater London Authority. Health Issues Best Practice Guidance: improving health through planning. The London Plan (Spatial Development Strategy for London) Consultation Draft. 2006. Available at www.london.gov.uk
10. Cave, B. and Bond, A. Health heroes of Greenville. Health improvement and health protection in SEA: leaflet prepared for IAIA 2006 in Stavanger, Norway. 2006. Brighton, UK, Ben Cave Associates Ltd. Available at www.caveconsult.co.uk
11. Greater London Authority. Draft Further Alterations to the London Plan (Spatial Development Strategy for Greater London). 2006. London. Available at www.london.gov.uk
12. Barer, R., Fitzpatrick, J., and Traoré, C. Health in London: review of the London Health Strategy high-level indicators. Davies, H., Findlay, G., Jacobson, B., Hobart, V., Ariyanayagam, S., Cameron, M., and Cave, B. 2004 update. Focus on the health of London's Black and ethnic minority communities. A report prepared on behalf of the London Health Commission by the Greater London Authority in partnership with the London Health Observatory. 2004. London. Available at www.london.gov.uk
13. Roberts, H. and McNeish, D. Health in London: review of the London Health Strategy high-level indicators. Pettitt, G., Fellows, C., Fitzpatrick, J., Ricketts, J., Davies, H., Findlay, G., Williams, D., and Wilson, G. 2005 update. Focus on the health of children and young people. A report prepared on behalf of the London Health Commission by the Greater London Authority in partnership with the London Health Observatory. 2005. London.
14. Mindell, J., Fitzpatrick, J., and Seljmani, F. Health inequalities in London: life expectancy and mortality 1998-2002. 2004. London, London Health Observatory. Available at www.lho.org.uk
15. Kawachi I, Berkman L. Social cohesion, social capital and health. In: Berkman L, Kawachi I, editors. Social Epidemiology. New York: Oxford University Press; 2000.
16. Navarro V, Muntaner C, Borrell C, Benach J, Quiroga A, Rodríguez-Sanz M et al. Politics and health outcomes. Lancet 2006(September):1-5.
17. Department of Health. Choosing Health: Making Healthier Choices Easier. 2004. London, Department of Health.
18. Entec UK Ltd. and Ben Cave Associates Ltd. Sustainability Appraisal of the London Plan (First Review) Entec January 2005. Scoping report. 2005. London.
19. ERM. Integrated impact assessment (IIA) to the London's Sub-Regional Development



- Frameworks. 2005. Greater London Authority and London Health Commission.
20. London Sustainable Development Commission. A sustainable development framework for London. 2005. London. Available at www.london.gov.uk/mayor/sustainable-development/susdevcomm_framework.jsp
 21. London Development Agency. Better food for London. The Mayor's draft food strategy. 2005. Mayor of London, London Food. Available at www.london.gov.uk
 22. London Health Commission. Health impact assessment: draft biodiversity strategy. Cameron, M. and Cave, B. 2001. London Health Commission and the Environment Committee of the Assembly. 10-1-2003. Available at http://www.london.gov.uk/approot/mayor/health_commission/health_index.jsp#hia
 23. Seymour, L. Nature and psychological well-being. No.533. 2003. Peterborough, English Nature. English Nature Research Reports. Available at www.english-nature.org.uk/pubs/publication/PDF/533.pdf
 24. Davis, A. et al. Making the case: improving health through transport. 2005. London, Health Development Agency. Available at www.nice.org.uk
 25. Humpel N, Owen N, Leslie E. Environmental factors associated with adults' participation in physical activity: a review. *American Journal of Preventative Medicine* 2002;22:188-99.
 26. NHS Healthy Urban Development Unit. Watch out for health. A Healthy Sustainable Communities spatial planning self appraisal checklist for London. 2005. Available at www.healthyurbandevlopment.nhs.uk
 27. Coote A. Delayed reaction. *The Guardian* 2006.
 28. Department of Health. Climate change and health in the UK. 2001. London. Available at www.dh.gov.uk
 29. World Health Organisation, World Meteorological Organization, and United Nations Environment Programme. Climate change and human health - risks and responses. 2003. France, WHO. Available at www.who.int
 30. NHS Estates and Department of Health. Sustainable development: environmental strategy for the National Health Service. for the Department of Health. 2005. The Stationery Office. Available at www.dh.gov.uk
 31. King's Fund. Claiming the health dividend: unlocking the benefits of NHS spending. London: King's Fund; 2002
 32. Sustainable Development Commission. Contribution to the Public Health Consultation - Choosing Health? 2004. Available at www.sd-commission.org.uk
 33. see Energy and emissions. Energy Saving Trust www.est.org.uk/housingbuildings/localauthorities/information/faqs/index.cfm?ty=1&category_id=8
 34. Greater London Authority. Reviewing the London Plan. Statement of intent from the Mayor. 2005. London. Available at www.london.gov.uk/mayor/strategies/sds/docs/review-dec05.pdf
 35. Bartley M, Ferrie J, Montgomery S M. Living in a high unemployment economy: understanding the health consequences. In: G MMaWR, editor. *Social Determinants of Health*. 1999. p. 51-81.
 36. Benzeval M. The self-reported health status of lone parents. *Social Science and Medicine* 1998; 46:1337-53.
 37. Brenner M H. *Work is Good for You: New Research Employment, Unemployment and Public Health*. 2002. Brussels, The European Commission, Employment and Social Affairs.
 38. Department for Work and Pensions. *Health, work and well-being - Caring for our future*. 2005. London, TSO.
 39. Department for Work and Pensions. *A New Deal for Welfare: Empowering People to Work*. 2006. London, DWP.
 40. Wilkinson R G. *The Impact of Inequality: how to make sick societies healthier*. Routledge; 2005
 41. Wilkinson R G, Pickett K E. *Income inequality and health: a review and explanation of the evidence*. *Social Science and Medicine* 32767.
 42. Kivimäki M, Vahtera J, Virtanen M, et al 211. Temporary employment and risk of overall and cause-specific mortality. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2003.
 43. Metcalfe C, Davey Smith G, Sterne J A S, et al. Frequent job change and associated health. *Social Science and Medicine* 2003;56:1-15.
 44. Ferrie J E, Shipley M J, Standfeld S A, et al. Effects of chronic job insecurity and change of job security on self-reported health, minor psychiatry morbidity, psychological measures, and health related



- behaviours in British civil servants: the Whitehall II study. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 2002;56:450-4.
45. Benavides F G, Deldos G L. Flexible employment and health inequalities: A flexible labour market could contribute to increasing health inequalities and should be a priority on the public health policy agenda. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 2005;59(9):719.
46. Benavides F G, Benach J, Muntaner C, Deldos G L, Catot N, Amable M. Occupational injury: what are the mechanisms? Associations between temporary employment and occupational injury: what are the mechanisms? *Occupational Environmental Medicine* 2006;63:416-21.
47. Greater London Authority. On the Cards: The proposals for new casinos in London. 2005. The Economic Development, Culture, Sport and Tourism Committee, GLA. Available at www.london.gov.uk
48. Ortiz J L and Corcoran S P. California's gaming propositions: how has the expansion of gaming rights affected local communities? www.csus.edu/mcnair/03-04.htm forthcoming. 2004.
49. Graetz B. Health consequences of employment and unemployment: longitudinal evidence for young men and women. *Soc.Sci.Med.* 1993;36(6):715-24.
50. Greater London Authority. Connecting London. DRAFT 060419 MMB Version Comparison Doc of Chapter 3C version 4. 2006. Review of London Plan.
51. Robinson DL. Helmet laws and health. *Injury Prevention* 1998;4:170-2.
52. Roberts I, Li L, Barker M. Trends in intentional injury deaths in children and teenagers (1980-1995). *Journal of Public Health Medicine* 1998;20(4):463-6.
53. Select Committee. Health. Third report. 2004. United Kingdom Parliament. Available at www.parliament.the-stationery-office.co.uk
54. Hillman M, Adams J, Whitelegg J. One false move: a study of children's independent mobility. London: Policy Studies Institute; 1990
55. see Transport - facts and figures <http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor/transport/facts-and-figures.jsp#cars>
56. Transport for London. London Travel Report. 2005. Available at www.tfl.gov.uk
57. Commission for Integrated Transport. European Best Practice Focus on World Cities. Factsheets - no.6. 2005. Available at www.cfit.gov.uk
58. see English Partnerships "Car Parking: what works where" (2006) and Manual for Streets www.manualforstreets.org.uk
59. Greater London Authority. Crazy paving: the environmental importance of London's front gardens. 2005. London, Environment Committee. Available at www.london.gov.uk
60. Wanless, D. et al. Securing our future health: taking a long-term view. Final Report. 2002. Health Trends Review team at HM Treasury. Available at <http://www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/wanless>
61. Minton, A. What kind of world are we building? The privatisation of public space. 2006. Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors. Available at www.rics.org
62. Andranovich G, Burbank M, Heying CH. Olympic cities: lessons learned from mega-event politics. *Journal of Urban Affairs* 2001;23(2):113-31.
63. Planning Resource. 16th June 2006. www.planningresource.co.uk
64. Best Practice Guidance on health issues in UDPs. Final publication due in 2007. See <http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor/strategies/sds/bpg.jsp> for more information.
65. 'Meeting the spatial needs of London's diverse communities' is due to be published in draft in Spring 2006 and in full in 2007. See www.london.gov.uk/mayor/strategies/sds/spg.jsp for more information.
66. 'Meeting the spatial needs of London's diverse communities' is due to be published in draft in Autumn 2006 and in full in 2007. See www.london.gov.uk/mayor/strategies/sds/spg.jsp for more information.
67. Macintyre S. Evidence based policy making. *British Medical Journal* 2003;326(7379):5-6.
68. Thomson H, Hoskins, Pettircrew M, Ogilvie D, Craig N, Quinn T et al. Evaluating the health effects of social interventions. *British Medical Journal* 2004;328:282-5.
69. Curtis S, Cave B, Coutts A P. Is urban regeneration good for health? Perceptions and theories of the health impacts of urban change. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy* 2001;20(5):517-534.



70. Benzeval M, Judge K. Income and Health: the time dimension. *Social Science and Medicine* 2001;52:1371-90.
71. Shaw M, Davey-Smith G, Dorling D. Health inequalities and New Labour: how the promises compare with real progress. *British Medical Journal* 2005;330:1016-21.
72. Vinokur A D, Schul Y, Vuori J, Price R H. Two years after job loss: long term impact of the JOBS programme on reemployment and mental health. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology* 2000;5(1):32-47.
73. Vuori J, Silvonen J, Vinokur A D, Price R H ". The Tyohon Job Search Program in Finland: benefits for the unemployed with risk of depression or discouragement. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology* 2002;7(1):5-19.
74. Cave, B., Molyneux, P., and Coutts, A. Healthy sustainable communities: what works. 2004. Milton Keynes and South Midlands Health and Social Care Group. Available at www.mksm.nhs.uk
75. Watkiss, P. et al. Informing traffic health impact assessment in London. 1-141. 2000. London, AEA Technology and NHS Executive London. Available at <http://www.doh.gov.uk/london>
76. Cave, B. Rapid review of health evidence for 'Towards the London Plan ... initial proposals for the Mayor's Spatial Development Strategy'. SDS Background paper. 2002. Greater London Authority, London Health Commission and London Health Observatory. Available at http://www.london.gov.uk/approot/mayor/health/docs/health_review.pdf
77. Cave, B. et al. Health impact assessment for regeneration projects. Volume II: Selected evidence base. 2001. London, East London and the City Health Action Zone and Queen Mary, University of London . 27-1-2003. Available at <http://www.geog.qmul.ac.uk/health/guide.html>
78. Acheson, D. et al. Independent inquiry into inequalities in health: report. 1-164. 1998. London, The Stationery Office.
79. Cave, B. and Coutts, A. Health evidence base for the Mayor's draft Cultural Strategy. 2002. Greater London Authority, Lambeth, Southwark & Lewisham Health Authority. Available at <http://www.londonhealth.gov.uk/pdf/culture.pdf>
80. Coutts, A. and Cave, B. Crime and fear of crime and health: a rapid review. 2002. London, West Ham and Plaistow New Deal for Communities.
81. Cave, B. and Coutts, A. Kings Cross development and determinants of health. review prepared for Camden PCT. 2003.
82. Wilkinson RG, Marmot M. Social determinants of health: the solid facts. 2nd edition. Denmark: World Health Organization; 2003. Available at www.who.dk/document/e81384.pdf
83. Contributors to the Cochrane Collaboration and the Campbell Collaboration. Evidence from systematic reviews of research relevant to implementing the "wider public health" agenda. 2000. NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. Available at <http://www.york.ac.uk/inst/crd/wph.htm>
84. Egan M, Petticrew M, Ogilvie D, Hamilton V. New roads and human health: a systematic review. *American Journal of Public Health* 2003;93(9):1463-71.
85. Enviros Consulting Ltd et al. Review of environmental and health effects of waste management: municipal solid waste and similar wastes. 2004. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. Available at www.defra.gov.uk
86. Stansfeld, S. A. et al. Rapid review on noise and health for London. A review to support the development of the Mayor of London's Ambient Noise Strategy. 2001. Department of Psychiatry, Department of Geography, St Bartholomew's and the Royal London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary, University of London.
87. Berglund, B., Lindvall, T., and Schwela, D. H. Guidelines for community noise. 1999. World Health Organisation. Available at <http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/1999/a68672.pdf>
88. World Health Organisation. Occupational and community noise. Fact Sheet No 258. 2001. WHO. Available at www.who.int/inf-fs/en/fact258.html
89. Department of Health. Choosing health: making healthier choices easier. CM 6374. 2004. Available at www.dh.gov.uk
90. Thomson H, Hoskins R, Petticrew M, Ogilvie D, Craig N, Quinn T et al. Evaluating the health effects of social interventions. *British Medical Journal* 2004;328:282-5.
91. Whitehead M, Burström B, Diderichsen F. Social policies and the pathways to inequalities in health: a comparative analysis of lone mothers in Britain and Sweden. *Soc.Sci.Med.* 2000;50:255-70.
92. Thomson H, Atkinson R, Petticrew M, Kearns A. Do urban regeneration



- programmes improve public health and reduce health inequalities? A synthesis of the evidence from UK policy and practice (1980-2004). *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 2006;60(2):108-15.
93. Curtis S, Cave B, Coutts A. Is urban regeneration good for health? Perceptions and theories of the health impacts of urban change. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy* 2002;20(4):517-34.
94. Macintyre S, Ellaway A. Neighborhoods and health: an overview. In: Kawachi I, Berkman L, editors. *Neighborhoods and Health*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003. p. 20-42.
95. Westerlund H, Theorell T, Bergström A. Psychophysiological effects of temporary alternative employment. *Social Science and Medicine* 2001;52(3):405-15.
96. Atkinson R, Thomson H KA, Petticrew M. Giving urban policy its 'medical': assessing the place of health in area-based regeneration. *Policy & Politics* 2006;34(1):5-26.
97. Kuo F E, Sullivan W C. Environment and crime in the inner city: Does vegetation reduce crime? *Environment and Behavior* 2001;33(3):343-67.
98. Kaplan S. Mental fatigue and the designed environment. In: Harvey J, Henning D, editors. *Public Environments*. Washington DC: Environmental Design Research Association; 1987. p. 55-60.
99. Kweon B S, Sullivan W C, et al. Green common spaces and the social integration of inner city adults. *Environment and Behavior* 1998;30(6):832-58.
100. Sommer R. *Personal space: the behavioral basis of design*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall; 1966
101. Fawell J, Nieuwenhuijsen M. Contaminants in drinking water. *British Medical Bulletin* 2003;68:199-208.
102. Goodfellow, F., Ouki, S. K., and Murray, V. Permeation of organic chemicals through plastic water supply pipes. *CIWEM* . 2001.
103. Frumkin H. Urban sprawl and public health. *Public Health Reports* 2001;117.
104. Savitch HV. How suburban sprawl shapes human well-being. *Journal of Urban Health* 2003;80(590):607.
105. Curriero FC, Patz JA, Rose JB, Lele S. The association between extreme precipitation and waterborne disease outbreaks in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health* 2001;91(8):1194-9.
106. Environment Agency. *Environment Agency strategy for flood risk management*. 2003/4 - 2007/8, version 1.2. 2003. Available at www.environment-agency.gov.uk/commodata/105385/firm_strategy_v1.2_573731.pdf
107. Office of Science and Technology. *Future flooding. Executive summary*. 2004. Flood and coastal defence project of the Foresight programme. Available at www.foresight.gov.uk/servlet/Controller/ve_r=2674/userid=2/Executive_Summary.pdf
108. Flood Hazard Research Centre. *The health effects of floods: the easter 1998 floods in England*. No 3/99. 1999. Flood Hazard Research Centre Article Series.
109. Sustain and Elm Farm Research Centre. *Eating oil: food in a changing climate*. 2001.
110. COMEAP. *The health effects of air pollutants. COMEAP advice*. 2000. Committee on the Medical Effects of Air Pollutants. Available at http://www.doh.gov.uk/comeap/statement_sreports/healtheffects.htm
111. Anderson W. *Energy and health: rapid review of the evidence*. 2003. London, London Health Commission.
112. Wilkinson P, et al. *Housing and winter death: epidemiological evidence*. In: Rudge J, Nicol F, editors. *Cutting the cost of cold*. London: FN Spon; 2000.
113. Williams J M, others. *Hospital energy performance: New indicators for UK National Health Service estate*. Services Engineering Research and Technology 1999;20(1):9-12.
114. COMEAP. *The quantification of the effects of air pollution on health in the United Kingdom*. 1998. Committee on the Medical Effects of Air Pollutants. Available at http://www.doh.gov.uk/comeap/statement_sreports/airpol7.htm
115. Molyneux P, Kemp V, and Coutts A. *Health and Housing*. 2006. London, NHS Changeagent Team.
116. CABE. *Better neighbourhoods: making higher densities work*. 2005. London, CABE. Available at http://www.cabe.org.uk/data/pdfs/BetterNeighbourhoods_Densities.pdf
117. Witten K, McCreanor T, Kearns R, Ramasubramanian. *The impacts of a school closure on neighbourhood social cohesion: narratives from Invercargill, New Zealand*. *Health & Place* 2001;7:307-17.



118. Evans, G. and Shaw, P. A study into the impact of Lottery Good Cause spending in the UK. draft final report. 2001. Centre for Leisure and Tourism Studies, University of North London for the Department for Culture, Media and Sport.
119. Wilson.W.J. The truly disadvantaged: the inner city, the underclass and public policy. Chicago: University of Chicago: UCP; 1987
120. McCulloch A, Joshi HE. Neighbourhood and family influences on the cognitive ability of children in the British National Child Development Study. Soc.Sci.Med. 2001;53:579-91.
121. Haan, et al. Poverty and health: prospective evidence from the Alameda County Study. American Journal of Epidemiology 1987;125:989-98.
122. Yen IH, Kaplan GA. Neighbourhood social environment and risk of death: multilevel evidence from the Alameda County Study. American Journal of Epidemiology 1999;149:898-907.
123. Atkinson R. Measuring gentrification and displacement in Greater London. Urban Studies 1999;37(1):149-65.
124. Atkinson, R. Gentrification and displacement in London: a theoretical and empirical analysis. Unpublished PhD thesis. 1997. London, University of Greenwich.
125. Thomson H, Petticrew M, Morrison D. Health effects of housing improvement: systematic review of intervention studies. British Medical Journal 2001;323:187-90.
126. Davis, A. Submission to the Inquiry into Inequalities in Health. Input paper: transport and pollution. 1998.
127. Leyden K M. Social capital and the built environment: the importance of walkable neighborhoods. American Journal of Public Health 2003;93(9):1546-51.
128. Saelens B E, Sallis J F, Black J B, Chen D. Neighborhood-based differences in physical activity: an environment scale evaluation. American Journal of Public Health 2003;93(9):1552-8.
129. Humpel N, Owen N, et al. Environmental factors associated with adults' participation in physical activity: a review. American Journal of Preventative Medicine 2002;22:188-99.
130. Putnam R. Bowling alone: the collapse and revival of American community. New York: Simon Schuster; 2000
131. Rogers R, Power A. Cities for a small country. London: Faber and Faber Limited; 2000
132. Watkiss, P. et al. On the move: informing traffic health impact assessment in London. 1-16. 2000. London, NHS Executive London. Available at <http://www.doh.gov.uk/london/onthemove.pdf>
133. Hedges, B. Adaptations to traffic noise. 1983. London, Social & Community Planning Research.
134. Lassièrè A. Severance. In: Lassièrè A, editor. The environmental evaluation of transport plans. London: Department of the Environment; 1976. p. 110-55.
135. Lee TR, Tagg SK, Abbott DJ. Social severance by urban roads and motorways. In: Department of the Environment, editor. Symposium on Environmental Evaluation, Canterbury, 25-27 Sept 1975. London: HMSO; 1976. p. 72-86.
136. Lee TR, Tagg SK. The social severance effects of major urban roads. In: Stringer P, Wenzel H, editors. Transportation planning for a better environment. New York: Plenum Press; 1976. p. 267-81.
137. Allen T. Housing renewal - doesn't it make you sick? Housing Studies 2000;15(3):443-61.
138. Campbell M, Sanderson I, Walton F. Local responses to long term unemployment. York: YPS for the Joseph Rowntree Foundation; 1998. Available at <http://www.jrf.org.uk>
139. Performance and Innovation Unit. Improving labour market achievements for ethnic minorities in British society. 2001. London, Cabinet Office. Available at <http://www.cabinet-office.gov.uk/innovation>
140. Dooley D, Prause J, Ham Rowbottom KA. Unemployment and depression: longitudinal relationships. Journal of Health and Social Behavior 2000;41:421-36.
141. Halvorsen K. Impact of re-employment on psychological distress among long-term unemployed. Acta Sociologica 1998;41:227-42.
142. Ezzy D. Unemployment and mental health: a critical review. Soc.Sci.Med. 1993;37(1):41-52.
143. Fryer DM. Unemployment and mental health: hazards and challenges of psychology in the community. In: Isaksson K, Hogsedt C, Eriksson C, Theorell T, editors. Health Effects of the



- New Labour Market. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2000. p. 11-25.
144. Burchell BJ. The effects of labour market position, job insecurity and unemployment on psychological health. In: Gallie D, Marsh C, Vogler C, editors. *Social change and the experience of unemployment*. Oxford, New York: OUP; 1994.
145. Winefield AH, Tiggemann M, Winefield HR, Goldney RD. *Growing up with unemployment: a longitudinal study of its psychological impact*. London: Routledge; 1993
146. Deakin S. Labour market flexibility: a cure for unemployment? *Benefits* 1995;12:1-5.
147. Peck J, Theodore N. *Work first: workfare and the regulation of contingent labour markets*. Cambridge Journal of Economics 2000;24:119-38.
148. Martin, R., Nativel, C., and Sunley, P. The local impact of the New Deal: does Geography make a difference? Paper presented at the annual conference of the Institute of British Geographers. 2000. University of Sussex.
149. Baker D, North K. Does employment improve the health of lone mothers? *Social Science and Medicine* 1999;49:121-31.
150. Brown GW, Bifulco A. Motherhood, employment and the development of depression. A replication of a finding? *British Journal of Psychiatry* 1990;156:169-79.
151. Backett-Milburn K, Cunningham-Burley S, Kemmer D. *Caring and providing: lone and partnered working mothers*. Family Policy Studies Centre; 2001
152. Brownhill S. Turning the East End into the West End: the lessons and legacies of the London Docklands Development Corporation. In: Imrie R, Thomas H, editors. *British urban policy: an evaluation of the Urban Development Corporations*. 2 ed. London: SAGE Publications Ltd; 1999. p. 43-63.
153. Bailey N, Turok I. Adjustment to job loss in Britain's major cities. *Regional Studies* 2000;34(7):631-53.
154. Turok I, Webster D. The New Deal: jeopardised by the geography of unemployment? *Local Economy* 1998;12(4):309-28.
155. Egan, M. et al. The health impacts of publicly subsidised economic development: a systematic review. Annual Meeting. 2003. Rome, European Public Health Association.
156. Glenn L, Beck R, Burkett G. Effect of a transient, geographically localised economic recovery on community health and income studied with longitudinal household cohort method. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 1998;52(11):749-57.
157. Subramanian S V, Kawachi I. Whose health is affected by income inequality? a multilevel interaction analyses of contemporaneous and lagged effects of state income inequality on individual self-rated health in the United States. *Health and Place* 2006;12(2):141-56.
158. Subramanian S V, Kawachi I. Income inequality and health: what have we learned so far. *Epidemiologic Reviews* 2004;26:78-91.
159. Cave B and Coutts A. Health evidence base for the Mayor's draft Cultural strategy. 2002. London, London Health Commission.
160. Putnam R, (NY2. *Bowling Alone*. New York: Simon and Schuster; 2000
161. Johansson S E, Konlaan BB, et al. Sustaining habits of attending cultural events and maintenance of health: a longitudinal study. *Health Promotion International* 2001;16(3):229-34.
162. Bygren L O, Konlaan B B, et al. Attendance at cultural events, reading books or periodicals, and making music or singing in a choir as determinants of survival: Swedish interview survey of living conditions. *British Medical Journal* 1996;313:1677-80.
163. Kawachi I, Berkman L F. *Neighborhoods and Health*. 2003
164. Yen I H, Kaplan G A. Poverty area residence and changes in physical activity level: evidence from Alameda county. *Am J Public Health* 1998;88:1709-12.
165. Diez-Roux AV, Nieto F J, Muntaner C, Tyroler H A, Comstock G W, Shahar E et al. Neighborhood environments and coronary heart disease: A multilevel analysis. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1997;148:48-63.
166. Sundquist K, Malmstrom M, Johansson S E. Neighbourhood deprivation and incidence of coronary heart disease: a multilevel study of 2.6 million women and men in Sweden. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 2004;58:71-7.
167. Stafford M, Martikainen P, Lahelma E, Marmot M. *Neighbourhoods and self-rated*



- health: a comparison of public sector employees in London and Helsinki. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 2004;58(9):772-9.
168. Coutts A, Kawachi I. Urban social environment and health. In: Freudenberg N, Galea S, Vlahov D, editors. *Cities and the health of the public*. Vanderbilt University Press; 2006.
169. Ross C E, Mirowsky J, Pribesh S. Powerlessness and the amplification of threat: neighborhood disadvantage, disorder, and mistrust. *American Sociological review* 2001;66(4):568-91.
170. Dunn JR. Housing and health inequalities: review and prospects for research. *Housing Studies* 2000;15(3):341-66.
171. Bonnefoy, X. and others. Review of evidence on housing and health. 2004. Geneva, World Health Organisation.
172. Marmot M. *Status Syndrome*. London: Bloomsbury; 2005
173. Kaplan R. The nature of the view from home: psychological benefits. *Environment and Behavior* 2001;33(4):507-42.
174. Browning C R, Cagney K A. Neighborhood structural disadvantage, collective efficacy, and self-rated physical health in an urban setting. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 2002;43(4):383-99.
175. Cohen DA, Mason K, Bedimo A, Scribner R, Basolo V, Farley TA. Neighborhood physical conditions and health. *Am J Public Health* 2003;93(3):467-71.
176. Leventhal T, Brooks-Gunn J. Moving to opportunity: an experimental study of neighborhood effects on mental health. *American Journal of Public Health* 2003;93(9):1576-82.
177. Frank L, Pivo G. Impacts of mixed use and density on utilization of three modes of travel: single-occupant vehicle, transit, walking. *Transportation Res Rec* 1994;1466:44-52.
178. Cervero R, Radisch C. Travel choice in pedestrian versus automobile oriented neighborhoods. *Transport Policy* 1996;3:127-41.
179. Friedman B, Gordon S, et al. Effect of neotraditional neighborhood design on travel characteristics. *Transportation Res Rec* 1994;1466:63-70.
180. Cervero R, Kockelman K. Travel demand and the 3Ds: density, diversity and design. *Transportation Res D* 1997;3:199-219.
181. Feldman P, Steptoe A. How neighborhoods and physical functioning are related: the roles of neighborhood socioeconomic status, perceived neighborhood strain, and individual health risk factors. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine* 2004;27:2-91.
182. Molnar B E, Gortmaker S L, et al. Unsafe to play? Neighborhood disorder and lack of safety predict reduced physical activity among urban children and adolescents. *American Journal of Health Promotion* 2004;18:325-78.
183. Department of Health. Policy Action Team 13. *Improving shopping access for people living in deprived neighbourhoods*. 1999.
184. Department of Health. *Reducing health inequalities: an action report*. 1999. London.
185. Wanless, D. *Securing good health for the whole population*. Final report. 2004. HM Treasury, HMSO. Available at http://www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/consultations_and_legislation/wanless/consult_wanless04_final.cfm
186. Cummins, S. et al. *Reducing inequalities in health and diet: the impact of a food retail development-a pilot study*. Final report to the Department of Health. 2004. London, Department of Health.
187. White, M. et al. Do "food deserts" exist? A multi-level geographical analysis of the relationship between retail food access, socio-economic position and dietary intake. 2004. London, Food Standards Agency.
188. Wrigley N, Warm D, Margetts B, Whelan A. *Assessing the impact of improved retail access on diet in a 'food desert': a preliminary report*. *Urban Studies* 2002;39(11):2061-82.
189. Guy C, Duckett M. *Small retailers in an inner city community: a case study of Adamstown, Cardiff*. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management* 2003;31(8):401-7.
190. Guy C, Clarke G, Eyre H. *Healthy cities: the impact of food retail led regeneration on food access, choice and retail structure change and the growth of food deserts: a case study of Cardiff*. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management* 2004;32(2):72-88.
191. Guy C, David G. *Measuring physical access to 'healthy foods' in areas of social deprivation: a case study in Cardiff*. *International Journal of Consumer Studies* 2004;28(3):222-34.
192. Morland K, Wing S, Diez Roux A, Poole C. *Neighborhood characteristics associated*



- with the location of food stores and food service places. *American Journal of Preventative Medicine* 2002;22(1):23-9.
193. LaVeist TA, Wallace JM Jr. Health risk and inequitable distribution of liquor stores in African American neighborhood. *Soc.Sci.Med.* 2004;51(4):613-7.
194. Morrison R S, Wallenstein S, Natale D K, Senzel R S, Huang L L. 'We don't carry that': failure of pharmacies in predominantly nonwhite neighborhoods to stock opioid analgesics. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2000;6(342):1023-6.
195. Reidpath D D, Burns C, Garrard J, Mahoney M, Townsend M. An ecological study of the relationship between social and environmental determinants of obesity. *Health and Place* 2002;8:141-5.
196. Morland K, Wing S, Diez Roux A V, Poole C. Neighborhood characteristics associated with the location of food stores and food service places. *American Journal of Preventative Medicine* 2002;22(1):23-9.
197. LaVeist T A, Wallace J M Jr. Health risk and inequitable distribution of liquor stores in African American neighborhood. *Social Science and Medicine* 2000;51(4):613-7.
198. Morland K, Wing S, Diez Roux A V. The contextual effect of the local food environment on residents' diets: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. *American Journal of Public Health* 2002;92(11):1761-7.
199. Reidpath D D, Burns C, Garrard J, Mahoney M, Townsend M. An ecological study of the relationship between social and environmental determinants of obesity. *Health and Place* 2002;8:141-45.
200. Lochner K A, Kawachi I, Brennan RT, Buka SL. Social capital and neighborhood mortality rates in Chicago. *Social Science and Medicine* 2003;56(8):1797-805.
201. Morrison R S, Wallenstein S, Natale D K, Senzel R S, Huang L L. 'We don't carry that': failure of pharmacies in predominantly nonwhite neighborhoods to stock opioid analgesics. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2000;6(342):1023-6.
202. Burdette H L, Whitaker R C. Neighborhood playgrounds, fast food restaurants, and crime: relationships to overweight in low-income preschool children. *Preventative Medicine* 2004;38(1):57-63.
203. OECD. The wealth of nations: the role of human and social capital. 2001
204. Coleman J. Social capital in the creation of human capital. *American Journal of Sociology* 1988;94:S95-S120.
205. Wolfe B, Haveman R. Accounting for the social and non-market benefits of education. In: Helliwell JF, editor. The contribution of human and social capital to sustained economic growth and well-being: International Symposium Report. Human Resources Development Canada and OECD; 2001.
206. Kenkel D. Health behaviour, health knowledge, and schooling. *Journal of Political Economy* 1991;99(2):287-305.
207. Kawachi I, Kennedy B P, Wilkinson R G. Crime, social disorganisation and relative deprivation. *Social Science and Medicine* 1999;48:719-31.
208. Kawachi I, Berkman L F. Social cohesion, social capital and health. In: Berkman L F, Kawachi I, editors. *Social Epidemiology*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2000. p. 174-94.
209. Berkman L F, Glass T A. Social Integration, Networks and Health. In: Berkman L F, Kawachi I, editors. *Social Epidemiology*. Oxford: OUP; 2000.
210. Klinenberg E. *Heat Wave: a social autopsy of disaster in Chicago*. Chicago University Press; 2002
211. Cannuscio, C., Block, J., and Kawachi, I. Social capital and successful aging: the role of senior housing. Paper prepared for the 8th Biennial Regenstrief Conference. 2003.
212. Kawachi I, Kim D, Coultts A, Subramanian S V. Reconciling the three accounts of social capital. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2004;32(4):682-90.
213. Portes A. Social capital: its origins and applications in modern sociology. *Annual Review of Sociology* 1998;24:1-24.
214. Stafford M, Marmot M. Neighbourhood deprivation and health: does it affect us all equally? *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2003;32:357-66.
215. Muntaner C, Lynch J, Smith GD. Social capital, disorganized communities, and the third way: understanding the retreat from structural inequalities in epidemiology and public health. *International Journal of Health Services* 2002;31(2):213-37.
216. Crutchfield R, Geerken M, Gove W. Crime rates and social integration: The impact of metropolitan mobility. *Criminology* 1982;20:467-78.



217. Sampson R J. Neighbourhood and crime: The structural determinants of personal victimisation. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* 1985;22:7-40.
218. Pease K. A review of street lighting evaluations: crime reduction effects. In: Painter K, Tilley N, editors. *Surveillance of public space: CCTV, street lighting and crime prevention*. Monsey, NY: Criminal Justice Press; 1999. p. 47-76.
219. Price Waterhouse Coopers. *Proposed Regional Casino Development: Economic and Social Impact Assessment*. 2006.
220. Joint Committee on the Draft Gambling Bill. *Draft Gambling Bill (Regional Casinos)*. 8-7-2004. London, House of Lords, House of Commons, minutes of evidence, taken before the. Available at www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/jt200304/jtselect/jtreqc/uc843-iv/uc84302.htm
221. Volberg R. 'The future of gambling in the United Kingdom: Increasing access creates more problem gamblers'. *British Medical Journal* 2000;14(4):347-358.
222. Cunningham-Williams R M, Cotter L B, Compton W N, Spitznagel E L. Taking chances problem gamblers and mental health disorders-results from the St Louis Epidemiologic Catchment Area Study. *American Journal of Public Health* 1998;88:1093-6.
223. Abbott M W. Psychology, health and gambling. In: Curtis B, editor. *Gambling in New Zealand*. Palmerston North: Dunmore Press; 2002. p. 135-41.
224. Abbot M W. A review of research on aspects of problem gambling, final report. 2004. Auckland, Auckland University of Technology, Gambling Research Centre, Responsibility in Gambling Trust.
225. Welte J W. The relationship of ecological and geographic factors to gambling behaviour and pathology. *Journal of Gambling Studies* 2004;20(4):405-23.
226. Welte J W, Wieczorek W F, Barnes G M, Tidwell M C O. Multiple Risk Factors for Frequent and Problem Gambling: Individual, Social, and Ecological. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 2006;36(6):1548-68.
227. DeCaria E, Hollander R, Grossman C M, Wong S A, M, Cherkasky S. Diagnosis, neurobiology and treatment of pathological gamblers. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 1996;57(Suppl. 8):80-3.
228. Paton-Simpson G R, Gruys M A, and Hannifin J B. Problem gambling counselling in New Zealand. 2003. Palmerston North, National Statistics, Problem Gambling Purchasing Agency.
229. Grant J E, Kim S W. Gender differences in pathological gamblers seeking medication treatment. *Comprehensive Psychiatry* 2002; 43:56-62.
230. Abbott M W and Volberg R A. *Taking the Pulse on Gambling and Problem Gambling in New Zealand*. 2000. Wellington, Department of Internal Affairs.
231. Christensen M H, Patsdaughter C A, Babington L M. Health care providers' experiences with problem gamblers. *Journal of Gambling Studies* 2001;17:71-9.
232. Pasternak A V, Fleming M F. Prevalence of gambling disorders in a primary care setting. *Archives of Family Medicine* 1999;8:515-20.
233. Brent Borough Council. *Brent Casino Survey*. 2006. London, Brent Borough Council. Available at [http://www.brent.gov.uk/consultation.nsf/a744c9abffb0fd8380256e8d004eab68/2d629134df01fd73802571ab00390aca/\\$FILE/Casino%20Results.doc](http://www.brent.gov.uk/consultation.nsf/a744c9abffb0fd8380256e8d004eab68/2d629134df01fd73802571ab00390aca/$FILE/Casino%20Results.doc)
234. Hann R G and Nuffield J. *Local community impacts of the Charity casinos*. 2005. Ontario, Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-term Care.
235. Welte J W, Wieczorek W F, Tidwell M C, Parker J. Gambling participation in the U.S. - results from a national survey. *Journal of Gambling Studies* 2002;18(4):325.
236. Reith G. *Research on the social impacts of gambling*. 44. 2006. Scottish Centre for Social Research.
237. EDAW. *Social Impact of a Casino in the Wembley Development Area*. 2006. London, Brent Borough Council.
238. Hall Aitken. *The social and economic impacts of regional casinos*. 2006. Glasgow, Hall Aitken, Social and economic regeneration consultants.
239. Costello J E, Compton S N, Keeler G, Angold A. Relationships between poverty and psychopathology. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 2003;290(15):2023-9.
240. Henley Centre. *Economic and Social Impact Study of the Proposed Draft Gambling Bill*. 2004.
241. Govoni R, Frisch G R, Rupcich N, Getty J. First Year Impacts of Casino Gambling in a Community. *Journal of Gambling Studies* 1998;14(4).



242. Fisher S. Measuring the Prevalence of Sector-Specific Problem Gambling: A Study of Casino Patrons. 2000. Plymouth, Centre for Research into the Social Impact of Gambling, University of Plymouth.

243. Arnold G and et al. Towards a Strategy for Addressing Problem Gambling in the UK.

2003. A report to the Gambling Industry Charitable Trust. Available at www.rigt.org.uk/reports_towards_a_strategy.asp



Ben Cave Associates Ltd

company registered in england and wales. po box 220, 55 ryde road brighton bn2 3wj. company number 04578866. vat 797 8252 63.