

Underreporting of energy intake among Japanese women aged 18–20 years and its association with reported nutrient and food group intakes

Hitomi Okubo and Satoshi Sasaki*

National Institute of Health and Nutrition, 1-23-1 Toyama, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8636, Japan

Submitted 24 November 2003; Accepted 4 May 2004

Abstract

Objectives: To evaluate the ratio of energy intake to basal metabolic rate (EI/BMR) among young female Japanese adults, and to compare the lifestyle and dietary characteristics between relatively low and high reporters.

Design: Dietary intakes were assessed over a 1-month period with a validated, self-administered, diet history questionnaire, and lifestyle variables were assessed by a second questionnaire designed for this survey. The ratio of EI/BMR was calculated from reported energy intake and estimated basal metabolic rate.

Subjects: In total, 1889 female Japanese university students aged 18–20 years who were enrolled in dietetics courses.

Results: Ninety-five per cent of the subjects were classified into a non-obese group (body mass index (BMI) $< 25 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$; mean \pm standard deviation (SD): $20.8 \pm 2.6 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$). EI/BMR was 1.43 ± 0.40 (mean \pm SD). Sixty-eight per cent of the subjects showed an EI/BMR level below the possibly balanced value of 1.56, 37% showed EI/BMR below the minimum survival value of 1.27 and 2% of the subjects showed EI/BMR exceeding the maximum value for a sustainable lifestyle of 2.4. BMI, body weight and BMR decreased significantly with the increase in EI/BMR ($P < 0.001$). The percentage of energy from carbohydrate was significantly higher, whereas those from fat and protein were significantly lower, among the lower EI/BMR groups. As for food groups, a significantly declining trend from the lowest to the highest EI/BMR groups was observed for cereals.

Conclusion: Underreporting, rather than overreporting, of energy intake was predominant in this relatively lean Japanese female population. BMI was the most important factor affecting the reporting accuracy of energy intake.

Keywords
Dietary questionnaire
Underreporting
Energy intake
Japanese women
Epidemiology

An accurate assessment of habitual dietary intake is very important in determining the association between diet and disease. Several dietary assessment methods have been developed, validated and used in dietary surveys. However, any method used to assess self-reported dietary intake is not entirely able to avoid reporting errors¹. Most dietary surveys may include not only random errors but also systematic errors, such as the misreporting of true intake by certain subject groups^{2,3}.

In the 1980s, the development of the doubly labelled water technique, which measured the total energy expenditure of subjects in free-living situations^{4,5}, made it possible to validate reported energy intake as an external biomarker^{6–8}. However, the high cost of the technique has restricted its use to relatively small-scale studies. As an alternative approach to detect misreporting of energy intake, Goldberg *et al.*⁹ introduced the ratio of reported energy intake to basal metabolic rate (EI/BMR). Many investigators who have used the Goldberg cut-off value to

identify underreporters¹⁰ have indicated that reporting errors have been associated with subject characteristics³. However, almost all studies on this issue were conducted in Western countries such as in Europe^{11–14}, the USA¹⁵ and Australia¹⁶. No studies have been performed in Asian countries except one dealing with pregnant Indonesian women¹⁷.

The purpose of the present study was to evaluate EI/BMR values in order to examine the prevalence of misreporting of energy intake in female Japanese students and the relationship between reported energy intake and body mass index (BMI) and nutrient intakes.

Subjects and methods

Subjects

The subjects were freshmen who were enrolled in dietetics courses at 22 colleges and technical schools in Japan in April 1997 ($n = 2069$). All the questionnaires were

distributed between 7 and 21 April 1997. A total of 2063 students (2017 women and 46 men) returned the answered questionnaires within 1 week (response rate of 99.7%). Faculty members of each school checked the submitted questionnaires. When missing replies and/or errors were found, the subjects were requested to answer the questions again. All questionnaires were checked at least once by local staff and once by staff of the study centre. The entire survey was completed before the end of May.

Assessment of dietary habits

We used a self-administered diet history questionnaire (DHQ). The DHQ is a validated, 16-page questionnaire assessing dietary habits in the previous month. Intakes of 147 food items, 16 nutrients and total energy intake were calculated using an *ad hoc* computer algorithm developed to analyse the questionnaire. More detailed descriptions of the questionnaire, methods of calculating nutrients and the validity are given elsewhere^{18,19}. The 147 foods from the DHQ were grouped into 17 food groups, mainly according to the food composition tables of Japanese foods, 4th revised edition²⁰. In this study, sugar, nuts, and mushrooms and sea vegetables were categorised into confectioneries, pulses and vegetables, respectively, because the mean intakes of these items were much lower than those of other food groups.

Assessment of lifestyle variables

Lifestyle variables were obtained from the 4-page questionnaire designed for this survey. It included the frequency of sports club activity and smoking habits. The physical activity level was assessed by the monthly frequency of sports club activity only, without inquiring into the types of sport, their intensity or duration. The subjects who engaged in sports club activity at least once per week in the previous month were defined as 'physically active' and the others as 'sedentary'. Smoking habits were divided into three categories: never, former and current smokers. Data on birth date, and self-reported body weight and height – to the nearest kg and cm, respectively, were obtained from the DHQ. BMI was calculated as body weight (kg) divided by the square of body height (m²). We classified BMI into three categories according to the Japan Society for the Study of Obesity²¹: <18.5 kg m⁻², 18.5–25 kg m⁻² and ≥25 kg m⁻² as 'lean', 'normal' and 'obese', respectively.

Estimation of BMR

BMR was estimated for each subject using the formula for women aged 18–30 years based on body weight, given by the Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization/United Nations University (FAO/

WHO/UNU)²² as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Estimated BMR (MJ day}^{-1}\text{)} \\ = 0.0615 \times \text{body weight (kg)} + 2.08. \end{aligned}$$

Statistical analysis

For the purpose of statistical analysis we selected only women who completed the questionnaires ($n = 2017$), and we included 1889 subjects (93.7%) who satisfied the following three criteria in the analysis:

1. Those aged 18–20 years on the surveyed day ($n = 1960$);
2. Those with information on sports club activity and smoking habits ($n = 1988$); and
3. Those with reported energy intake of more than or equal to half of the energy requirement of the lowest physical activity category and less than 1.5 times the energy requirement of the highest physical activity category²³, i.e. the subjects with reported energy intake of 3.0–14.4 MJ day⁻¹ ($n = 1980$).

We calculated the EI/BMR ratio to evaluate the validity of energy intake. To compare the relative degree of under- and overreporting, we temporarily used the values defined by FAO/WHO/UNU²²: the minimum survival level of 1.27, the sedentary level for women of 1.56, and the maximum sustainable lifestyle level of 2.0–2.4. We classified the subjects into quintiles of EI/BMR. Distribution of anthropometric and dietary variables across quintiles of EI/BMR was evaluated by calculating the means of these variables for each quintile.

Nutrient intakes were energy-adjusted using the energy density model, i.e. the percentage of energy intake for macronutrients and g/mg/μg per 10 MJ energy intake for micronutrients and food groups. The results are given only with the adjustment for sports club activity, because other variables such as smoking and alcohol drinking habits were not statistically different across quintiles of EI/BMR.

We tested the differences across quintiles of EI/BMR by using the PROC GLM procedure with the LSMEANS statement. The chi-square test was used to test for proportionate differences between categories. All statistical analyses were performed using version 8.2 of the SAS software package (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA). A *P*-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

The characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 1. BMI for all subjects was 20.8 ± 2.6 kg m⁻² (mean ± standard deviation (SD)). Ninety-five per cent of the subjects were classified into a non-obese group (BMI <25 kg m⁻²). Energy intake was 7.5 ± 2.0 MJ day⁻¹ (mean ± SD). The frequency of sports club activity was 1.7 ± 4.1 days per month (mean ± SD). Eighty-eight per cent of the subjects participated in sports club activity

Table 1 Characteristics of the subjects ($n = 1889$). Values are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation, unless specified otherwise

Age (years)	18.1 \pm 0.4
Body weight (kg)	51.8 \pm 7.3
Body height (cm)	157.9 \pm 5.2
Reported EI (MJ day ⁻¹)	7.5 \pm 2.0
BMR (MJ day ⁻¹)*	5.3 \pm 0.5
EI/BMR	1.43 \pm 0.40
BMI (kgm ⁻²)	20.8 \pm 2.6
< 18.5 (%)	16
18.5–25.0 (%)	79
\geq 25.0 (%)	5
Sports club activity (days/month)	1.7 \pm 4.1
Sedentary (%)	88
Active (%)†	12
Smoking habits (%)	
Current	3
Former	3
Never	94
Alcohol drinking habits (%)	
Non-drinker	80
Drinker	20

EI – energy intake; BMR – basal metabolic rate; BMI – body mass index.
 *BMR was calculated by the Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization/United Nations University formula (1985)²².
 †Subjects who participated in sports club activity at least once per week were defined as 'active'.

less than once per week during the previous month. Regarding smoking habits, most of the subjects (97%) were current non-smokers. Eighty per cent were non-drinkers. EI/BMR for all subjects was 1.43 ± 0.40 (mean \pm SD). Figure 1 shows the distribution of EI/BMR values. The distribution is slightly skewed to the right. Some 68% and 37% of subjects showed lower EI/BMR when we compared EI/BMR with the possibly balanced value of 1.56 and the minimum survival level of 1.27²², respectively. On the other hand, 2% of the subjects showed EI/BMR exceeding the maximum value for a sustainable lifestyle of 2.4.

Table 2 shows mean values of body weight and height, BMI, BMR and EI by quintile of EI/BMR. A significant declining trend from the lowest to the highest quintile of

EI/BMR was observed for body weight, BMI and BMR. As for sports club activity, the proportion of the physically active group increased slightly with increasing EI/BMR. The percentage of current smokers and alcohol drinkers was not statistically different between quintiles of EI/BMR.

Table 3 presents mean energy and nutrient intakes by quintile of EI/BMR. Mean fat intake expressed as a percentage of total energy increased with increasing EI/BMR. A similar tendency was seen for saturated fatty acids, monounsaturated fatty acids and polyunsaturated fatty acids. On the other hand, the energy intake derived from carbohydrate decreased with increasing EI/BMR. Vitamin C did not correlate significantly with EI/BMR.

Table 4 presents the mean intakes of food groups by quintile of EI/BMR. When intake was expressed per 10 MJ of energy intake, a significant declining trend from the lowest to the highest quintile of EI/BMR was seen for cereals. A significantly positive correlation was observed for confectioneries, fats and oil, fish, and meats. As for pulses and non-sugar containing soft drinks, neither correlated significantly with EI/BMR.

Discussion

This is the first study to report an inverse relationship between BMI and EI/BMR among young Japanese women. Some previous papers reported that obese subjects in Western countries tended to underreport their energy intake^{2,3,24}. Despite the fact that the subjects of the present study were relatively lean, 37% of them showed an EI/BMR level below the minimum survival value of 1.27, whereas 2% of the subjects showed EI/BMR exceeding the maximum value for a sustainable lifestyle of 2.4. In the six previous studies dealing with adult populations with cut-off values for EI/BMR from < 1.20 to < 1.28 , the mean ratio of underreporters was 40%³, which was similar to the rate of possible underreporters in this female Japanese population. This indicates that they tended to underreport,


**Fig. 1** Distribution of the ratio of energy intake to basal metabolic rate (EI/BMR). Values on horizontal axis show the upper limit of each range ($n = 1889$)

Table 2 Values of anthropometric characteristics and lifestyle variables by quintile of EI/BMR. Values are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation, unless specified otherwise

	Quintile of EI/BMR					P-value
	First quintile (n = 377)	Second quintile (n = 378)	Third quintile (n = 378)	Fourth quintile (n = 378)	Fifth quintile (n = 378)	
EI/BMR	0.94 \pm 0.12	1.20 \pm 0.06	1.38 \pm 0.05	1.59 \pm 0.08	2.05 \pm 0.26	<0.001
Body weight (kg)	54.8 \pm 9.2	52.5 \pm 7.1***	51.7 \pm 6.7***	50.6 \pm 5.8***	49.5 \pm 5.9***	<0.001
Body height (cm)	158.2 \pm 5.3	158.0 \pm 5.2	157.9 \pm 5.2	157.9 \pm 5.2	157.8 \pm 5.1	0.896
BMI (kg m ⁻²)	21.9 \pm 3.3	21.0 \pm 2.6***	20.8 \pm 2.4***	20.3 \pm 2.1***	19.9 \pm 2.0***	<0.001
BMR (MJ day ⁻¹)	5.5 \pm 0.6	5.3 \pm 0.4***	5.3 \pm 0.4***	5.2 \pm 0.4***	5.1 \pm 0.4***	<0.001
Energy intake (MJ day ⁻¹)	5.1 \pm 0.8	6.4 \pm 0.6***	7.3 \pm 0.6***	8.3 \pm 0.7***	10.5 \pm 1.7***	<0.001
Sports club activity (days/month)	1.46 \pm 3.79	1.52 \pm 3.58	1.54 \pm 4.29	1.87 \pm 4.18	1.92 \pm 4.33	0.365
Sedentary (%)†	90	89	90	85	85	0.052
Active (%)‡	10	11	10	15	15	
Smoking habits (%)†						0.221
Current	4	3	3	2	3	
Former	4	3	2	3	3	
Never	92	94	95	96	94	
Alcohol drinking habits (%)†						0.130
Non-drinker	79	82	79	83	76	
Drinker	21	18	21	17	24	

EI – energy intake; BMR – basal metabolic rate; BMI – body mass index.

† Percentage of the subjects (%): significant differences between all categories by chi-square test.

‡ Subjects who participated in sports club activity at least once per week were defined as 'active'.

Significance level compared with the first quintile of EI/BMR: ***, $P < 0.001$.

rather than to overreport, their energy intake, similar to the situation observed in Western populations.

To evaluate the validity of energy intake and to identify underreporters, the Goldberg cut-off value has been used widely^{14,24–27}. We did not use the value in the present study, however, for the following two reasons. First, we did not collect enough information to estimate the physical activity level of the population, which is needed to use the Goldberg cut-off value²⁸. Second, the purpose of the present study was to evaluate EI/BMR, and to investigate its association with reported nutrient and food group intakes rather than to detect under- or over-reporters. In this analysis, we excluded 36 subjects with energy intakes of less than 3.0 MJ day⁻¹ or more than 14.4 MJ day⁻¹. We also conducted the analyses including

these 36 subjects ($n = 1925$). The results did not change materially (data not shown).

We used the standard formula proposed by FAO/WHO/UNU²². But the prediction formulas for BMR might be inadequate for estimating the true BMR in Japanese populations. According to a previous report, the BMR calculated from the FAO/WHO/UNU formula (1985) was 103 kcal day⁻¹ (314 kJ day⁻¹) higher than the measured BMR in female Japanese populations aged 6.8–78.5 years²⁹. Taking this into account, our results might overestimate the number of underreporters. To the contrary, the range of 2.0–2.4, which is suggested as the maximum value for a sustainable lifestyle, was included in the fifth quintile of EI/BMR. Therefore, the results should be interpreted cautiously both for possible under- and

Table 3 Intakes of energy and nutrients by quintile of EI/BMR. Values are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation†

	Quintile of EI/BMR					P-value
	First quintile (n = 377)	Second quintile (n = 378)	Third quintile (n = 378)	Fourth quintile (n = 378)	Fifth quintile (n = 378)	
Total fat (% of energy)	26.5 \pm 6.0	29.2 \pm 6.0***	30.0 \pm 6.0***	32.4 \pm 5.9***	34.0 \pm 5.9***	<0.001
SFA (% of energy)	8.2 \pm 2.2	9.2 \pm 2.2***	9.5 \pm 2.2***	10.1 \pm 2.1***	10.5 \pm 2.1***	<0.001
MUFA (% of energy)	9.2 \pm 2.5	10.3 \pm 2.5***	10.6 \pm 2.5***	11.5 \pm 2.4***	12.2 \pm 2.4***	<0.001
PUFA (% of energy)	6.4 \pm 2.0	7.0 \pm 2.0***	7.1 \pm 2.0***	7.8 \pm 2.0***	8.1 \pm 2.0***	<0.001
Protein (% of energy)	14.0 \pm 2.7	14.5 \pm 2.6**	14.7 \pm 2.7***	15.0 \pm 2.6***	14.7 \pm 2.6***	<0.001
Carbohydrate (% of energy)	58.0 \pm 7.1	55.0 \pm 7.1***	54.0 \pm 7.1***	51.6 \pm 6.9***	50.0 \pm 6.9***	<0.001
Alcohol (% of energy)	0.3 \pm 1.1	0.2 \pm 1.1*	0.2 \pm 1.1	0.2 \pm 1.1*	0.4 \pm 1.1	0.013
Calcium (mg/10MJ)	699 \pm 293	755 \pm 292**	769 \pm 293***	806 \pm 285***	776 \pm 285***	<0.001
Iron (mg/10MJ)	10.7 \pm 2.8	11.2 \pm 2.8**	11.3 \pm 2.8***	11.7 \pm 2.7***	11.4 \pm 2.7***	<0.001
Sodium (mg/10MJ)	4440 \pm 1517	4740 \pm 1511**	4708 \pm 1518**	4901 \pm 1476***	4974 \pm 1478***	<0.001
Vitamin C (mg/10MJ)	154.5 \pm 80.1	148.7 \pm 79.8	153.4 \pm 80.1	159.5 \pm 77.9	152.0 \pm 78.0	0.153
Dietary fibre (g/10MJ)	16.6 \pm 5.3	16.5 \pm 5.3	16.5 \pm 5.3	16.8 \pm 5.2	15.9 \pm 5.2**	0.001

EI – energy intake; BMR – basal metabolic rate; SFA – saturated fatty acids; MUFA – monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA – polyunsaturated fatty acids.

† Mean values were adjusted by sports club activity.

Significance level compared with the first quintile of EI/BMR: *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$.

Table 4 Intakes of food groups (g/10 MJ) by quintile of EI/BMR. Values are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation

	Quintile of EI/BMR					P-value
	First quintile (n = 377)	Second quintile (n = 378)	Third quintile (n = 378)	Fourth quintile (n = 378)	Fifth quintile (n = 378)	
Cereals	663.0 \pm 158.5	574.2 \pm 157.8***	546.3 \pm 158.6***	474.8 \pm 154.1***	427.4 \pm 154.3***	<0.001
Potatoes	45.6 \pm 31.2	42.9 \pm 31.0***	44.9 \pm 31.2***	46.1 \pm 30.3***	48.5 \pm 30.4***	0.025
Confectioneries†	84.0 \pm 55.9	94.2 \pm 55.7	96.7 \pm 55.9*	98.7 \pm 54.3***	110.0 \pm 54.4***	<0.001
Fats and oil‡	22.3 \pm 18.9	24.5 \pm 18.9	25.0 \pm 18.9	30.1 \pm 18.4***	33.7 \pm 18.4***	<0.001
Pulses	62.9 \pm 49.1	68.1 \pm 48.9	65.9 \pm 49.1	71.0 \pm 47.7*	63.5 \pm 47.8	0.089
Fruits	128.7 \pm 150.8	128.4 \pm 150.2	130.2 \pm 150.9	154.3 \pm 146.6**	150.0 \pm 146.9*	0.011
Total vegetables§	293.5 \pm 170.3	292.7 \pm 169.6	300.2 \pm 170.4	311.1 \pm 165.6	292.0 \pm 165.9	0.047
Soft drinks						
Sugar-containing	44.9 \pm 100.5	43.3 \pm 100.5	50.7 \pm 100.5	48.1 \pm 100.5	65.5 \pm 100.6**	0.017
Non-sugar containing	20.8 \pm 66.7	15.6 \pm 66.7	20.7 \pm 66.7	13.3 \pm 66.7	19.7 \pm 66.7	0.317
Fish	80.7 \pm 53.6	88.3 \pm 53.4*	91.6 \pm 53.6**	95.3 \pm 52.1***	98.5 \pm 52.2***	<0.001
Meats	77.0 \pm 45.7	83.3 \pm 45.5*	87.1 \pm 45.7***	92.5 \pm 44.4***	97.7 \pm 44.5***	<0.001
Eggs	38.9 \pm 34.1	41.4 \pm 34.0	42.1 \pm 34.2	43.0 \pm 33.2	36.1 \pm 33.2	0.011
Dairy products	188.8 \pm 182.5	211.9 \pm 181.7*	217.5 \pm 182.6*	232.5 \pm 177.4***	213.0 \pm 177.7*	0.003

EI – energy intake; BMR – basal metabolic rate.

Energy-adjusted values by density method were used for analysis.

† Including sugar and sweeteners.

‡ Including animal fat and vegetable fat.

§ Including green and yellow vegetables, non-green and yellow vegetables, mushrooms and sea vegetables.

Significance level compared with the first quintile of EI/BMR: *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$.

overreporters. In addition, we applied BMR and BMI calculated from self-reported body weight and height. They might be biased (for example, see reference 30). However, some studies have reported that BMI calculated from self-reported body height and weight correlated highly with measured BMI^{31,32}. These studies suggest that BMI calculated from self-reported body weight and height is, at least, a reliable measure for use in association analyses. We have therefore used these values both in our previous paper³³ and the present report.

Several previous studies have examined non-dietary factors such as physiological and psychological factors associated with energy intake^{3,26,34,35}. Here, we examined the effects of physical activity, smoking habits and alcohol intake on reported energy intake (Table 2). The proportion of the active group was increasing slightly, whereas that of the sedentary group was decreasing, along with the increase in EI/BMR, which indicates that a more active lifestyle is associated with higher energy requirements. As for psychological factors, we examined the association between EI/BMR and desire for body weight change, expressed as the difference between ideal and present BMI (data not shown). We observed a linear trend between EI/BMR and the difference in BMI. However, when present BMI, the difference between ideal and present BMI, sports club activity and smoking habits were entered in a model for multiple regression analysis, the difference between ideal and present BMI did not reach a significant level. Therefore, present BMI seems, at least in this population, to be the most important factor affecting the reporting of food intakes and predicting underreporting.

We examined whether low-energy reporters under-reported all nutrients equally or reported some specific

nutrients lower than others. Energy from carbohydrate was significantly higher, whereas that from fat was significantly lower, in the lower quintiles of EI/BMR (Table 3). Among the micronutrients examined, vitamin C was not significantly different across the EI/BMR groups. According to the review by Livingstone and Black³, energy from protein tends to be reported significantly higher, whereas that from fat is reported lower, in low-energy reporters.

Few studies have examined the bias in reporting of meal patterns and the types of food consumed^{26,36,37}. In previous studies, low-energy reporters tended to report the consumption of 'socially desirable' foods such as fish, fruit and salad higher, whereas 'socially undesirable' foods such as snacks, cakes, sugar and fats were reported lower. According to Hebert *et al.*³⁸, women show higher 'social desirability' scores associated with lower reported fat and energy intakes than do men. In the present study (Table 4), the reported intake of cereals was higher, while in contrast intakes of confectioneries, fats and oil, fish and meats were lower, in the lower EI/BMR groups. We analysed the data on soft drinks divided into sugar-containing and non-sugar containing drinks. Neither type of drink correlated significantly with EI/BMR, which is somewhat different from the results observed in Western populations²⁷.

Our results might not be representative because the subjects were not a random sample of the general Japanese population, but selected female dietetics students aged 18–20 years. Because they were freshmen enrolled in dietetics courses, the participants in this study might be highly health-conscious. To minimise the influence of nutritional education, we finished the survey within almost one month after their entrance to the course. According to the Japanese National Nutrition Survey in 1998, the percentages of subjects aged 15–19 years with

BMI $< 18.5 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ and $\geq 25 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ were 20% and 6%, respectively³⁹. It was 16% and 5%, respectively, in the present study. The distribution of BMI was not markedly different between the two surveys. Compared with Western populations⁴⁰, Japanese women are generally leaner in this age range. Nevertheless, we observed the tendency of underreporting, rather than overreporting, similar to that found in Western populations. This indicates that inaccuracy of energy intake should be taken into account when the results of dietary surveys are interpreted, even in a non-obese population such as young Japanese women.

In summary, our study found a significant correlation between BMI and EI/BMR. Moreover, a majority of the subjects underreported their energy intake in spite of being relatively lean. However, the participants in this study were not representative of the Japanese population as a whole. Further studies are needed to examine whether the correlations observed in the present study are commonly observed in other Asian as well as in other Japanese populations.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Drs Keiko Amano, Akane Katagiri, Taeko Shimoda, Tomiko Tsuji and Hatsuko Yamamoto for study planning and data collection, and Shoko Matsunaga for data processing.

References

- Barrett-Connor E. Nutrition epidemiology: how do we know what they ate? *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1991; **54**(Suppl. 1): 182S–7S.
- Black AE, Cole TJ. Biased over- or under-reporting is characteristic of individuals whether over time or by different assessment methods. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 2001; **101**: 70–80.
- Livingstone MB, Black AE. Markers of the validity of reported energy intake. *Journal of Nutrition* 2003; **133**(Suppl. 3): 895S–920S.
- Schoeller DA. Recent advances from application of doubly labeled water to measurement of human energy expenditure. *Journal of Nutrition* 1999; **129**: 1765–8.
- Schoeller DA. Validation of habitual energy intake. *Public Health Nutrition* 2002; **5**(6A): 883–8.
- Black AE, Coward WA, Cole TJ, Prentice AM. Human energy expenditure in affluent societies: an analysis of 574 doubly-labelled water measurements. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1996; **50**: 72–92.
- Hill RJ, Davies PS. The validity of self-reported energy intake as determined using the doubly labelled water technique. *British Journal of Nutrition* 2001; **85**: 415–30.
- Trabulsi J, Schoeller DA. Evaluation of dietary assessment instruments against doubly labeled water, a biomarker of habitual energy intake. *American Journal of Physiology. Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2001; **281**(5): E891–9.
- Goldberg GR, Black AE, Jebb SA, Cole TJ, Murgatroyd PR, Coward WA, *et al.* Critical evaluation of energy intake data using fundamental principles of energy physiology. 1. Derivation of cut-off values to identify under-reporting. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1991; **45**: 569–81.
- Black AE, Goldberg GR, Jebb SA, Livingstone MBE, Cole TJ, Prentice AM. Critical evaluation of energy intake data using fundamental principles of energy physiology: 2. Evaluating the results of published surveys. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1991; **45**: 583–99.
- Fogelholm M, Männistö S, Vartiainen E, Pietinen P. Determinants of energy balance and overweight in Finland 1982 and 1992. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders* 1996; **20**: 1097–104.
- Price GM, Paul AA, Cole TJ, Wadsworth MEJ. Characteristics of the low-energy reporters in a longitudinal national dietary survey. *British Journal of Nutrition* 1997; **77**: 833–51.
- Voss S, Kroke A, Klipstein-Grobusch K, Boeing H. Is macronutrient composition of dietary intake data affected by underreporting? Results from the EPIC–Potsdam study. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1998; **52**: 119–26.
- McGowan MJ, Harrington KE, Kiely M, Robson PJ, Livingstone MBE, Gibney MJ. An evaluation of energy intakes and the ratio of energy intake to estimated basal metabolic rate (EI/BMR_{est}) in the North/South Ireland Food Consumption Survey. *Public Health Nutrition* 2001; **4**(5A): 1043–50.
- Briefel RR, Semplos CT, McDowell MA, Chien S, Alaimo K. Dietary methods research in the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey: under-reporting of energy intake. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1997; **65**: S1203–9.
- Heywood P, Harvey PJW, Marks GC. An evaluation of energy intake in the 1983 Australian National Dietary Survey of Adults. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1993; **47**: 604–6.
- Winkvist A, Persson V, Hartini TNS. Underreporting of energy intake is less common among pregnant women in Indonesia. *Public Health Nutrition* 2002; **5**(4): 523–9.
- Sasaki S, Yanagibori R, Amano K. Self-administered diet history questionnaire developed for health education: a relative validation of the test-version by comparison with 3-day diet record in women. *Journal of Epidemiology* 1998; **8**: 203–15.
- Sasaki S, Ushio F, Amano K, Morihara M, Todoriki O, Uehara Y, *et al.* Serum biomarker-based validation of a self-administered diet history questionnaire for Japanese subjects. *Journal of Nutritional Science and Vitaminology* 2000; **46**(6): 285–96.
- Science and Technology Agency. *Standard Tables of Food Composition in Japan*, 4th revised ed. Tokyo: Printing Bureau, Ministry of Finance, 1982 [in Japanese].
- Matsuzawa Y, Inoue S, Ikeda Y, Sakata T, Saito Y, Sato Y, *et al.* The judgment criteria for new overweight, and the diagnostic standard for obesity. *Obesity Research* 2000; **6**: 18–28 [in Japanese].
- Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization/United Nations University (FAO/WHO/UNU). *Energy and Protein Requirements*. Report of a Joint FAO/WHO/UNU Expert Consultation. Technical Report Series No. 724. Geneva: WHO, 1985.
- Ministry of Health and Welfare. *Recommended Dietary Allowance for Japanese: Dietary Reference Intakes*, 6th revised ed. Tokyo: Ministry of Health and Welfare, 1999 [in Japanese].
- Braam LA, Ocke MC, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Seidell JC. Determinants of obesity-related underreporting of energy intake. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1998; **147**(11): 1081–6.
- Ferrari P, Slimani N, Ciampi A, Trichopoulou A, Naska A, Lauria C, *et al.* Evaluation of under- and overreporting of energy intake in the 24-hour diet recalls in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *Public Health Nutrition* 2002; **5**(6B): 1329–45.
- Matthys C, De Henauw S, Devos C, De Backer G. Estimated

- energy intake, macronutrient intake and meal pattern of Flemish adolescents. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2003; **57**(2): 366–75.
- 27 Johansson L, Solvoll K, Bjørneboe G-EA, Drevon CA. Under- and overreporting of energy intake related to weight status and lifestyle in a nationwide sample. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1998; **68**: 266–74.
- 28 Black AE. Critical evaluation of energy intake using the Goldberg cut-off for energy intake: basal metabolic rate. A practical guide to its calculation, use and limitations. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders* 2000; **24**: 1119–30.
- 29 Yamamura C, Kashiwazaki H. Factors affecting the post-absorptive resting metabolic rate of Japanese subjects: reanalysis based on published data. *Japanese Journal of Nutrition* 2002; **60**(2): 75–83 [in Japanese].
- 30 Rovira RF, Pons IF, Martinez MI, Sanchez RR. Self-reported versus measured height, weight and body mass index in Spanish Mediterranean teenagers: effects of gender, age and weight on perceptual measures of body image. *Annals of Nutrition & Metabolism* 2002; **46**: 68–72.
- 31 Goodman E, Hinden BR, Khandelwal S. Accuracy of teen and parental reports of obesity and body mass index. *Pediatrics* 2000; **106**: 52–8.
- 32 Kuczmarski MF, Kuczmarski RJ, Najjar M. Effects of age on validity of self-reported height, weight, and body mass index: findings from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988–1994. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 2001; **101**: 28–34.
- 33 Sasaki S, Katagiri A, Tsuji T, Shimoda T, Amano K. Self-reported rate of eating correlates with body mass index in 18-y-old Japanese women. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders* 2003; **27**: 1405–10.
- 34 Asbeck I, Mast M, Bierwag A, Westenhöfer J, Acheson KJ, Müller MJ. Severe underreporting of energy intake in normal weight subjects: use of an appropriate standard and relation to restrained eating. *Public Health Nutrition* 2002; **5**(5): 683–90.
- 35 Kant AK. Interaction of body mass index and attempt to lose weight in a national sample of US adults: association with reported food and nutrient intake, and biomarkers. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2003; **57**(2): 249–59.
- 36 Burns C, Jackson M, Gibbons C, Stoney RM. Foods prepared outside the home: association with selected nutrients and body mass index in adult Australians. *Public Health Nutrition* 2002; **5**(3): 441–8.
- 37 Berteus Forslund H, Lindroos AK, Sjostrom L, Lissner L. Meal patterns and obesity in Swedish women – a simple instrument describing usual meal types, frequency and temporal distribution. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2002; **56**(8): 740–7.
- 38 Hebert JR, Clemow L, Pbert L, Ockene IS, Ockene JK. Social desirability bias in dietary self-report may compromise the validity of dietary intake measures. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 1995; **24**: 389–98.
- 39 Ministry of Health and Welfare. *Kokumin Eiyō no Genjō [Annual Report of the National Nutrition Survey in 1998]*. Tokyo: Ministry of Health and Welfare, 2000; 45–6 [in Japanese].
- 40 Schoenborn CA, Adams PF, Barnes PM. Body weight status of adults: United States, 1997–98. *Advance Data* 2002; **6**(330): 1–15.

Blood pressure change in a free-living population-based dietary modification study in Japan

Yoshiko Takahashi^{a,b}, Satoshi Sasaki^b, Shunji Okubo^c, Masato Hayashi^c and Shoichiro Tsugane^a

Objective To assess whether dietary intervention in free-living healthy subjects is effective in improving blood pressure levels.

Design Open randomised, controlled trial.

Setting Free-living healthy subjects in two rural villages in north-eastern Japan.

Participants Five hundred and fifty healthy volunteers aged 40–69 years.

Interventions Tailored dietary education to encourage a decrease in sodium intake and an increase in the intake of vitamin C and carotene, and of fruit and vegetables.

Main outcome measures Blood pressure, dietary intake and urinary excretion of sodium, dietary carotene and vitamin C, and fruit and vegetable intake data were collected at 1 year after the start of the intervention.

Results During the first year, changes differed significantly between the intervention and control groups for dietary ($P = 0.002$) and urinary excretion ($P < 0.001$) of sodium and dietary vitamin C and carotene ($P = 0.003$). Systolic blood pressure decreased from 127.9 to 125.2 mmHg (2.7 mmHg decrease; 95% confidence interval, -4.6 to -0.8) in the intervention group, whereas it increased from 128.0 to

128.5 mmHg (0.5 increase; -1.3 to 2.3) in the control group. This change was statistically significant ($P = 0.007$). In contrast, the change in diastolic blood pressure did not significantly differ between the groups. In hypertensive subjects, a significant difference in systolic blood pressure reduction was seen between the groups ($P = 0.032$).

Conclusion Moderate-intensity dietary counseling in free-living healthy subjects achieved significant dietary changes, which resulted in a significant decrease in systolic blood pressure. *J Hypertens* 24:451–458 © 2006 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Journal of Hypertension 2006, 24:451–458

Keywords: blood pressure, dietary, fruit and vegetables, intervention studies, randomized controlled trials, sodium

^aEpidemiology and Prevention Division, Research Center for Cancer Prevention and Screening, National Cancer Center, Tokyo, ^bNational Institute of Health and Nutrition, Tokyo and ^cHiraka General Hospital, Akita, Japan

Correspondence and requests for reprints to Shoichiro Tsugane, Epidemiology and Prevention Division, Research Center for Cancer Prevention and Screening, National Cancer Center, 5-1-1 Tsukiji, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0045, Japan
Tel: +81 3 3542-2511; fax: +81 3 3547 8578; e-mail: ststugane@ncc.go.jp

Sponsorship: This study was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Cancer Research and for the Second- and Third Term Comprehensive 10-year Strategy for Cancer Control from the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare of Japan.

Received 14 February 2005 Revised 11 October 2005
Accepted 14 November 2005

Introduction

Stroke is the most common cardiovascular disease in Japan [1]. Hypertension is the major cause of stroke, making the control of hypertension an important factor in the prevention of stroke. Non-pharmacological treatment is recommended as the first line of management for elevated blood pressure (BP) [2], primarily composed of lifestyle and diet modification. Evidence indicates that lifestyle measures such as weight reduction [3], moderation of alcohol consumption [4] and reduction in salt intake [5,6] are both feasible and effective in lowering BP. The importance of dietary factors was demonstrated in the DASH (Dietary Approach to Stop Hypertension) study, in which a diet rich in fruits and vegetables, and utilizing low-fat dairy products and products low in saturated and total fat, decreased systolic and diastolic

BP compared with a diet representative of a typical diet for Americans [7].

Most clinical intervention trials targeted at the prevention and control of hypertension to date have been performed in academic study centers by expert personnel trained in the conduct of the trial. Because these studies were primarily designed to test the efficacy of their interventions, the intervention programs themselves were intensive. Due to the limited resources of the public health sector, however, broad implementation of such intensive lifestyle modification programs is difficult. Only a few lifestyle interventions have been performed in primary health care settings, and although most involved patients with hypertension, the interventions themselves were of low intensity and their effect was small.

Moreover, these studies have frequently lacked evaluations of dietary compliance using validated measures.

The Hiraka Dietary Intervention Study [8] was a moderate-intensity, community-based randomized controlled trial designed to develop an effective dietary modification tool and system in an area with high mortality for stomach cancer and stroke. The dietary intervention was designed to decrease sodium (salt) and increase vitamin C and carotene intakes, with an emphasis on a decrease in salted foods and an increase in fruits and vegetables. The effects of the intervention were assessed not only using responses to a self-administered questionnaire but also the corresponding biomarkers. Here, we examine the effects of this dietary intervention on BP.

Methods

Study subject and design

The Hiraka Dietary Intervention Study was a community-based, randomized, cross-over trial held in 1998–2000 in two rural villages in Akita Prefecture, Japan.

Participants were recruited through public magazines and posters, in which potential respondents were asked to participate in a research project. Eligibility criteria for this study were: (1) age 40–69 years; and (2) physician permission to participate for those under medical treatment or dietary control. We expected to detect a difference in mean salt intake of 2.0 g/day (787 mg as sodium) or more between the intervention and control groups after 1 year, with a 5% alpha error (two-sided) and 20% beta error. A previous study reported a mean dietary sodium intake in a nearby area of 5940 mg/day (standard deviation ± 2594) for men and 6013 mg/day (± 2622) for women [9]. We estimated that a minimum of 352 participants would be needed for the trial, 176 allocated to each of the intervention and control groups. To allow for non-completion of the intervention study we aimed to recruit 470 participants. Five hundred and fifty volunteers (202 men and 348 women, aged 40–69 years) participated. All participants were informed of the study protocol, and written informed consent was obtained. They were assigned randomly into two groups and received tailored dietary education in either the first year (intervention group, $n = 274$) or the second year (control group, $n = 276$) by the same researcher. The random number of 0 (allocated to the first intervention group) or 1 (second intervention group) was generated for each subject using a function of Microsoft Excel. Subjects within one family were assigned to the same group. This procedure was repeated until the subject number in the two groups was the same.

Dietary goals at the group level were to reduce salt intake to less than 8 and 10 g/day in women and men, respectively, to increase carotene intake to more than

5000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{day}$, and to increase vitamin C intake to more than 200 mg/day. Our intervention consisted of individual 15-min dietary counseling sessions (two), a group lecture, and newsletters (two). First, dietary counseling was provided after the subject's annual health check-up. Individualized education schemes were prepared based on the results of the dietary survey and health check-up. About 5 months later, a second dietary assessment was performed and the same individual dietary counseling was provided to each subject. During the intervention period, a total of two newsletters about recommended diet were mailed to the participants to maintain motivation throughout the trial. The group lecture was performed at the mid-point of the intervention period.

To increase carotene and vitamin C intake, subjects were advised to increase their intake of fruit and vegetables. To decrease sodium intake, they were primarily instructed to decrease their intake of salted foods, such as miso (fermented and salted soybean paste), salted vegetable pickles, salted fish, and seasonings.

Data collection

A validated, self-administered diet history questionnaire (DHIQ) was completed by all subjects three times, just before the annual health check-up conducted between April and August in 1998, 1999 and 2000. The DHIQ surveyed dietary habits for the previous 1 month. The structure and validity of the DHIQ have been described in detail elsewhere [10–12].

Forty-eight-hour urine samples were collected just after the annual health check-up. The subjects were requested to record the times at which they started and finished the urine collection. Urinary volume was measured, and a part of each sample was stored at -20°C until measurement. Whenever urine collection was missed, the estimated volume was reported by the subject and added to that of the collected urine to estimate the total urinary volume. The urinary concentrations of sodium and potassium were analyzed by flame photometry and creatinine by Jaffe's procedure using an autoanalyzer (Hitachi Clinical Analyzer 7070; Tokyo, Japan). The expected intakes were computed using observed urinary excretion, as reported in a carefully designed balance study, namely 0.86 for sodium and 0.77 for potassium [13].

BP was measured at the annual health check-up by trained nurses, using a sphygmomanometer OKOSE-300 model (Matsuyoshi & Co., Tokyo, Japan) according to a common protocol. A single measurement was performed in this trial. All measurements at each point were conducted by one nurse who was engaged in the health check-ups, and not by study staff. The nurse was blinded to the intervention assignment and was requested by the study staff not to inquire about the subject's allocation at BP measurement.

Subjects were asked to sit calmly and without talking for at least 2 min before measurement, with their legs uncrossed and their arms crossed at heart level.

Medical history, smoking status and anthropometric data were also collected at the annual health check-up. Classification as hypertensive or normotensive was based on the results of the health check-up. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared.

Outcomes and statistical analysis

The effects of dietary intervention were examined using data from the first half of the study; that is, the group receiving dietary intervention in the first year as the intervention group. Because follow-up study after termination of the intervention suggested that the effects of intervention on diet were maintained well over 4 years, the decision was made to simplify assessment by excluding the data from the second half of the study. Subjects were excluded from the analysis of dietary data if they met either of the following criteria: (1) the DHQ was incomplete for either the pre- (baseline) or post-intervention (year 1); and (2) estimated energy intake was less than 50% of the energy requirement for a sedentary lifestyle or greater than 150% of that for a vigorous lifestyle.

Primary study outcome was the effect of intervention during the first year, namely the difference in changes between the intervention and control groups. Mean daily intakes of energy, targeted nutrients and mean urinary sodium at baseline and year 1 were calculated, with

values at each point for fruits and vegetables, as well as alcohol, carotene, and vitamin C, transformed by the natural logarithm before calculation, to account for the skewing of distribution to the right. Mean values of variables for the groups at baseline were compared by the *t*-test. Proportions at baseline were tested by the χ^2 test. Differences from baseline to year 1 within groups are presented with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Analyses of covariance (ANCOVA) were conducted to investigate differences in outcome measure at year 1 between the randomized groups. Baseline values of each variable were included as covariates. For BP analysis, baseline BP and change in alcohol intake and body weight were included as covariates. All analyses were done with SAS statistical software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA, version 8.0).


Results

Baseline characteristics

A total of 292 urine samples were obtained from 550 respondents who completed the DHQ at baseline (Fig. 1), and 240 samples from 534 subjects who completed the DHQ at year 1. Analysis included 235 and 448 subjects with and without urinary data, respectively.

Table 1 shows baseline variables for the intervention and control groups. Mean age of participants was 56.4 years. Mean systolic BP (SBP) and diastolic BP (DBP) did not statistically differ between the groups. Among participants, 11% (26 and 23 subjects in the intervention and control group, respectively) were receiving antihypertensive drug treatment at the beginning of the trial. Antihypertensive medication status of these subjects

Fig. 1



Number of study subjects. DHQ, diet history questionnaire.

Table 1 Subject characteristics at baseline

	Intervention group (n = 224)	Control group (n = 224)	P ^a
Age (years) ^b	56.3 (41.2, 71.4)	56.4 (40.5, 72.4)	0.863
Sex (% female)	68.3	67.0	0.762
Body height (cm) ^b	154.9 (140.6, 169.2)	155.2 (139.1, 171.4)	0.978
Body weight (kg) ^b	56.7 (39.5, 73.8)	56.0 (39.1, 72.9)	0.996
Body mass index (kg/m ²) ^b	23.6 (17.9, 29.3)	23.2 (17.6, 28.8)	0.949
Blood pressure (mmHg) ^b			
Systolic blood pressure	127.9 (93.2, 162.5)	128.0 (97.4, 158.5)	0.955
Diastolic blood pressure	75.9 (53.9, 97.8)	76.3 (55.9, 96.6)	0.720
Alcohol drinker (%)	38.8	40.2	0.772
Hypertension (%)	23.7	24.1	0.912
On antihypertensives (%)	11.6	10.3	0.650
Diabetes (%)	3.6	3.1	0.793
Hyperlipidemia (%)	5.8	10.3	0.082

^aP value for comparison between groups. ^bValues are mean and 95% confidence intervals.

did not change throughout the trial (data not shown). There were no statistically significant differences between the groups in age and sex distribution or other baseline variables.

Effect of intervention on lifestyle factors and dietary variables

Mean body weight and lifestyle factors and their changes from baseline to year 1 are presented in Table 2. No statistically significant change was observed between the groups. Daily intake of energy and nutrients and their changes are also presented in Table 2. At year 1, intake of fruit and vegetables and of dietary carotene and vitamin C increased significantly more in the intervention group ($P < 0.05$). Sodium intake in the intervention group decreased by 15 mmol/day (95% CI: -26, -4), but increased by 11 mmol/day (-0, +22) in the control group. This difference in change between the two groups was statistically significant ($P = 0.002$). Mean urinary excretion of sodium and potassium and the corresponding daily intake are shown in Table 3. Excretion and intake of sodium in the intervention group decreased by 49 (95% CI: -62, -36) and 11 mmol/day (-25, +4), respectively. This difference in change between the two groups was statistically significant ($P < 0.001$).

Effects of intervention on BP

Table 4 shows that SBP in the intervention group decreased from 127.9 to 125.2 mmHg (-2.7 mmHg change; 95% CI: -4.6, -0.8), but in the control group increased from 128.0 to 128.5 mmHg (+0.5 mmHg change; -1.3, +2.3), with this difference in change between the groups being statistically significant ($P < 0.01$). DBP changed from 75.9 to 74.8 mmHg (-1.0 mmHg change; 95% CI: -2.4, +0.3) in the intervention and from 76.3 to 75.9 mmHg (-0.3 mmHg change; -1.7, +1.1) in the control group. This difference in change between the groups was not statistically significant.

Data for the subgroup of subjects from whom urine was collected were analyzed separately. Results showed no

difference in baseline SBP and DBP between those with and without urine collection (data not shown). SBP changed for urine collectors by -3.0 mmHg (95% CI: -5.7, -0.2) in the intervention group, whereas it changed by +0.3 mmHg (-2.5, +3.1) in the control group. This difference in change between the groups being statistically significant ($P < 0.05$).

BP data were also analyzed by hypertensive status. In the hypertensive subjects, SBP changed by -5.6 mmHg (95% CI: -9.3, -2.0) in the intervention group, whereas it changed by +1.4 mmHg (95% CI: -3.6, 6.3) in the control group. This difference in change between the groups was statistically significant ($P < 0.05$). In normotensive subjects, the decrease in SBP was also greater in the intervention group compared to the control group, but this difference in change did not reach the level of statistical significance ($P = 0.075$). Further, no statistically significant changes in DBP were observed between the two groups in either subgroup analysis.

Discussion

This 1-year dietary, moderate-intensity, community-based intervention trial demonstrated a significant decrease in SBP level. A greater decrease in average dietary intake and urinary excretion of sodium was seen in the intervention group than in the control group. An increase in fruit and vegetable intake was accompanied by an increase in carotene and vitamin C intake.

Several community-based, large-scale, randomized trials on the effects of dietary intervention on BP have been reported to date. However, the variability of lifestyle intervention topics and their intensity makes it difficult to compare the BP changes achieved. Further, most of these previous studies targeted only hypertensive subjects.

Changes in BP and urinary sodium

Brunner *et al.* [14] performed a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials designed to investigate the primary prevention of chronic diseases, and evaluated the effects

Table 2 Body weight and nutrient and food intakes at each point

	Intervention group (n = 224)				Control group (n = 224)				Adjusted P value ^e
	Baseline Mean (95% CI)	Year 1		Change ^c (95% CI)	Baseline Mean (95% CI)	Year 1		Adjusted between-group differences in change ^d (95% CI)	
		Mean (95% CI)	Change ^c (95% CI)			Mean (95% CI)	Change ^c (95% CI)		
Body weight (kg)	56.7 (39.5, 73.8)	56.5 (39.4, 73.7)	-0.1 (-0.3, 0.1)	56.0 (39.1, 72.9)	55.9 (39.2, 72.7)	-0.1 (-0.4, 0.1)	0.0 (-0.3, 0.3)	0.907	
Moderate physical activity ^a no. (%)	223 (99.6%)	223 (99.6%)	0 (0%)	224 (100%)	224 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	-	
Current smoker ^a no. (%)	22 (9.8%)	22 (9.8%)	0 (0%)	26 (11.6%)	26 (11.6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	-	
Energy intake (MJ/day)	8.56 (3.43, 13.68)	8.44 (3.46, 13.41)	-0.12 (-0.38, 0.15)	8.15 (3.36, 12.96)	8.30 (3.40, 13.20)	0.16 (-0.13, 0.44)	-0.13 (-0.49, 0.22)	0.454	
Alcohol (g/day)	3.5 ^b (-1.6, 76.4)	3.0 ^b (-1.6, 57.7)	-2.9 (-4.7, -1.2)	3.6 ^b (-1.6, 76.2)	3.2 ^b (-1.6, 65.7)	-1.5 (-3.7, 0.5)	-1.3 (-3.6, 1.0)	0.270	
Nutrient intake									
Carotene (μg/day)	2159 ^b (507, 9196)	2622 ^b (577, 10156)	468 (166, 771)	1789 ^b (331, 9676)	1944 ^b (377, 10036)	170 (-77, 418)	521 (184, 858)	0.003	
Vitamin C (mg/day)	107 ^b (32, 358)	123 ^b (42, 362)	15 (3, 26)	95 ^b (24, 376)	100 ^b (27, 364)	1 (-9, 12)	19 (6, 31)	0.003	
Sodium (mmol/day)	237 (76, 397)	222 (54, 390)	-15 (-26, -4)	229 (64, 395)	240 (61, 420)	11 (-0, 22)	-23 (-37, -8)	0.002	
Potassium (mmol/day)	71 (18, 123)	73 (18, 128)	2 (-1, 6)	65 (17, 113)	66 (20, 113)	1 (-2, 4)	4 (-1, 8)	0.081	
Dietary fiber (g/day)	15.6 (3.1, 28.0)	16.2 (4.1, 28.3)	0.6 (-0.2, 1.5)	14.3 (3.2, 25.4)	14.6 (4.2, 25.1)	0.3 (-0.4, 1.0)	1.0 (0.0, 1.9)	0.040	
Calcium (mg/day)	691 (59, 1323)	690 (106, 1274)	-1 (-45, 43)	621 (129, 1113)	663 (83, 1244)	42 (6, 78)	-7 (-56, 43)	0.792	
Food intake									
Vegetables (g/day)	252.8 ^b (72.2, 879.3)	269.3 ^b (85.0, 848.1)	15.1 (-12.8, 42.9)	226.4 ^b (62.4, 815.2)	227.3 ^b (65.7, 781.0)	-2.1 (-19.7, 15.5)	34.2 (5.3, 63.0)	0.020	
Fruits (g/day)	63.3 ^b (7.5, 486.9)	84.5 ^b (12.4, 543.0)	24.3 (11.5, 37.1)	59.3 ^b (6.2, 503.6)	63.1 ^b (6.8, 523.6)	2.0 (-10.0, 13.9)	23.1 (7.9, 38.4)	0.003	

^aNumber and percentage of subjects. ^bMean values at each point were transformed by the natural logarithm before computation because of the skewed distributions. They were back-transformed to show means and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). ^cDifference between baseline and year 1. ^dDifference between intervention group and control group in change after adjustment for baseline intake. ^eP values for comparison of mean at year 1 between the intervention group and control group by ANCOVA after adjustment for baseline intake.

Table 3 Dietary intake and 48-h urinary excretion of sodium and potassium [means and 95% confidence intervals (CIs)] among subjects who completed urine collection at two points

	Intervention group (n = 119)				Control group (n = 116)				Adjusted P value ^d
	Baseline Mean (95% CI)	Year 1		Change ^b (95% CI)	Baseline Mean (95% CI)	Year 1		Adjusted between-group difference in change ^e (95% CI)	
		Mean (95% CI)	Change ^b (95% CI)			Mean (95% CI)	Change ^b (95% CI)		
Sodium (mmol/day)	242 (85, 398)	229 (65, 393)	-13 (-29, 3)	235 (78, 392)	247 (65, 428)	12 (-5, 28)	-21 (-41, -1)	0.040	
Dietary intake	248 (103, 393)	199 (62, 335)	-49 (-62, -36)	248 (94, 402)	237 (50, 424)	-11 (-25, 4)	-39 (-56, -21)	<0.001	
Urinary excretion ^a									
Potassium (mmol/day)	73 (22, 123)	75 (25, 125)	2 (-3, 7)	69 (20, 117)	69 (22, 117)	1 (-4, 5)	4 (-2, 9)	0.204	
Dietary intake	66 (26, 107)	59 (18, 100)	-7 (-11, -3)	66 (27, 105)	61 (17, 105)	-4 (-8, -1)	-2 (-7, 3)	0.408	
Urinary excretion ^a									

^aExpected intake was considered to be observed urinary excretion divided by 0.86 for sodium and 0.77 for potassium. See text for details. ^bDifference between baseline and year 1. ^cDifference between intervention group and control group in change after adjustment for baseline value. ^dP values for comparison of mean at year 1 between the intervention group and control group by ANCOVA after adjustment for baseline value.

Table 4 Blood pressure [mean and 95% confidence interval (CI)] at two points

	Intervention group			Control group			Adjusted between-group difference in change ^b (95% CI)	Adjusted P value ^c
	Baseline Mean (95% CI)	Year 1 Mean (95% CI)	Change ^a (95% CI)	Baseline Mean (95% CI)	Year 1 Mean (95% CI)	Change ^a (95% CI)		
All subjects								
SBP (mmHg)	127.9 (93.2, 162.5)	125.2 (93.7, 156.7)	-2.7 (-4.6, -0.8)	128.0 (97.4, 158.5)	128.5 (99.0, 158.0)	0.5 (-1.3, 2.3)	-3.1 (-5.4, -0.9)	0.007
DBP (mmHg)	75.9 (53.9, 97.8)	74.8 (53.3, 96.4)	-1.0 (-2.4, 0.3)	76.3 (55.9, 96.6)	75.9 (55.9, 95.9)	-0.3 (-1.7, 1.1)	-0.9 (-2.6, 0.8)	0.307
Urine collection								
SBP (mmHg)	126.0 (91.6, 164.3)	125.0 (92.9, 157.2)	-3.0 (-5.7, -0.2)	128.4 (98.6, 158.2)	128.7 (98.1, 159.3)	0.3 (-2.5, 3.1)	-3.4 (-6.8, -0.0)	0.048
DBP (mmHg)	75.8 (53.5, 98.2)	74.5 (53.8, 95.2)	-1.3 (-3.1, 0.4)	76.8 (57.6, 96.1)	75.8 (56.5, 95.0)	-1.1 (-3.0, 0.9)	-0.8 (-3.0, 1.5)	0.502
Normotensive								
SBP (mmHg)	123.3 (91.2, 155.4)	121.6 (91.8, 151.3)	-1.8 (-4.0, 0.4)	124.1 (98.2, 150.0)	124.3 (98.3, 150.3)	0.2 (-1.6, 2.1)	-2.3 (-4.7, 0.2)	0.075
DBP (mmHg)	73.6 (52.6, 94.5)	72.5 (52.6, 92.5)	-1.1 (-2.7, 0.5)	74.7 (55.6, 93.8)	74.2 (54.8, 93.6)	-0.5 (-2.1, 1.1)	-1.2 (-3.1, 0.7)	0.233
Hypertensive								
SBP (mmHg)	142.5 (116.2, 168.8)	136.9 (111.4, 162.3)	-5.6 (-9.3, -2.0)	140.2 (108.4, 172.0)	141.6 (116.6, 166.5)	1.4 (-3.6, 6.3)	-5.2 (-9.9, -0.4)	0.032
DBP (mmHg)	83.3 (64.5, 102.0)	82.4 (62.5, 102.3)	-0.9 (-3.3, 1.5)	81.1 (59.9, 102.3)	81.3 (63.0, 99.6)	0.2 (-2.9, 3.3)	0.1 (-3.3, 3.4)	0.971

^aDifference between baseline and year 1. ^bDifference between intervention group and control group in change after adjustment for baseline values and change in alcohol intake and body weight. ^cP values for comparison of mean at year 1 between the intervention group and control group by ANCOVA after adjustment for baseline values and changes in alcohol intake and body weight. SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.

of dietary change on BP in free-living subjects. This meta-analysis included relatively intensive dietary interventions such as monthly group sessions and several individual counseling sessions. Among the four studies which aimed to reduce sodium intake, overall mean net urinary sodium reduction was 32 mmol/24 h. Further, the mean net BP changes over 9–18 months were -1.9 mmHg (95% CI: -3.0, -0.8) for SBP and -1.2 mmHg (-2.6, 0.2) for DBP. The net changes in BP and urinary sodium excretion seen in the present study were slightly greater than the results of this meta-analysis.

Change in BP among hypertensive subjects

Analysis of subjects by hypertensive status showed that the effect of dietary modification on BP was greater in subjects of the hypertensive subgroup. The most recent meta-analysis of clinical trials of salt reduction [15] showed a 4.96/2.73 mmHg decrease in hypertensives ($P < 0.001$ for both SBP and DBP). The effect on SBP in the present hypertensive subjects was comparable with these previous results. Dietary modification might prevent or delay the initiation of medication in hypertensive subjects with BP levels that straddle the threshold for antihypertensive medication.

Changes in BP and intake of other nutrients and lifestyle factors

The present study focused on the increase in the intake of vitamin C and carotene by recommendation of more fruits and vegetables. Results showed a moderate increase in carotene, vitamin C and dietary fiber intake, but not in potassium or calcium. Previous observational studies have reported significant inverse associations between BP and the intake of vitamin C, dietary fiber, potassium, magnesium and calcium [16–19]. The effectiveness of these nutrients has also been confirmed in clinical trials of dietary fiber, potassium, magnesium and calcium [20–23]. Furthermore, lifestyle factors such as physical activity, weight loss, alcohol consumption and smoking also influence BP level [24–26]. We did not observe changes in these variables. The decrease in BP seen in the present study might be attributable at least to some extent to increases in the intake of vitamin C and dietary fiber.

Changes in BP and fruit and vegetable intake

The present study focused on the use of fruits and vegetables to increase carotene and vitamin C intake.

Several previous clinical studies have examined the effect of dietary intervention on BP. The DASH trial, a well-controlled, randomized, clinical trial [7] to assess the effects of dietary patterns on BP, showed a decrease in SBP of 2.8 mmHg and in DBP by 1.1 mmHg by an increase in dietary fruit and vegetable intake for 8 weeks. In a subsequent study [27], this hypotensive effect of the

DASH diet was enhanced by its combination with a reduced sodium diet (100 and 50 mmol/day). The results of the present study support those of the DASH trials by showing similar, albeit somewhat weaker, results in a free-living, general population.

Further, Nowson *et al.* [28] conducted a cross-over dietary intervention study using a community-living subject. They reported a significant decrease in BP by a low-sodium, high-potassium diet and a DASH-type diet (DASH diet with moderate sodium reduction). The tendency of the results was similar to those of the present study, but the size of the effect was greater. However, the study population in their study was smaller ($n = 94$), the study period shorter (4 weeks), and the intervention more intensive (bi-weekly contact) than in the present study. Salt-free bread, salt-free margarine or both were provided to the intervention subjects. In contrast, no food was provided in the present study. The method used in the present study appears to be more practicable for use in community settings than that in the study of Nowson *et al.* [28].

Study limitations

Because this study was an open trial, the possibility of interaction between the intervention and control groups, such as information exchange, cannot be ruled out. In the control group, however, no statistically significant change in targeted nutrients and foods between the baseline and year 1 points was observed, suggesting that any interaction between the groups may have been negligible. Nevertheless, the possibility of some general information exchange remains, and the results should therefore be interpreted with caution.

To examine a practical model for population-based life-style improvement intervention, our present intervention study was performed in a primary health care setting rather than an academic center setting. Measurement of BP was conducted at the annual health check-up as a routine component of that check-up, and thus only a single measurement was done instead of multiple measurement. Nevertheless, conditions between the two groups were the same.

Because they had been previously exposed to various public health campaigns in the study area on the importance of decreasing salt intake, the present study subjects were relatively well-motivated to reduce their salt intake [29]. Moreover, they were provided further information about the unfavorable effect of dietary sodium on health prior to the start of the study. We therefore presume that they were more receptive to the message to decrease sodium given in this study. The decrease in sodium and consequent decrease in BP observed in this trial indicates the effectiveness of this intervention method for motivated persons. Further studies are necessary to deter-

mine whether this intervention method is equally effective on dietary and BP modification in other populations.

In conclusion, these findings indicate that the effects of dietary interventions undertaken to reduce the intake of sodium and increase that of fruit and vegetables may be expected to decrease BP level in free-living populations. The present randomized, controlled trial involved a relatively large number of free-living subjects and examined the change in dietary habits and BP over 1 year. The intervention method used here may represent an efficient and practicable model for population-based BP improvement in common primary care settings.

Acknowledgements

We thank all participants in this study. We are grateful to the staff of the Yokote Public Health Center, Hiraka General Hospital, Sannai Village and Taiyu Village. We also thank Dr Seiichiro Yamamoto and Dr Shizuka Sasaki for their technical advice.

References

- 1 Tanaka H, Ueda Y, Hayashi M, Date C, Baba T, Yamashita H, *et al.* Risk factors for cerebral hemorrhage and cerebral infarction in a Japanese rural community. *Stroke* 1982; **13**:62–73.
- 2 Guidelines Subcommittee. World Health Organization–International Society of Hypertension Guidelines for the Management of Hypertension. *J Hypertens* 1999; **17**:151–183.
- 3 Stevens VJ, Obarzanek E, Cook NR, Lee IM, Appel LJ, Smith West D, *et al.* Long-term weight loss and changes in blood pressure: results of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention, phase II. *Ann Intern Med* 2001; **134**:1–11.
- 4 Ueshima H, Mikawa K, Baba S, Sasaki S, Ozawa H, Tsushima M, *et al.* Effect of reduced alcohol consumption on blood pressure in untreated hypertensive men. *Hypertension* 1993; **21**:248–252.
- 5 He FJ, MacGregor GA. Effect of modest salt reduction on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. Implications for public health. *J Hum Hypertens* 2002; **16**:761–770.
- 6 Geleijnse JM, Kok FJ, Grobbee DE. Blood pressure response to changes in sodium and potassium intake: a metaregression analysis of randomised trials. *J Hum Hypertens* 2003; **17**:471–480.
- 7 Appel LJ, Moore TJ, Obarzanek E, Vollmer WM, Svetkey LP, Sacks FM, *et al.* A clinical trial of the effects of dietary patterns on blood pressure. DASH Collaborative Research Group. *N Engl J Med* 1997; **336**:1117–1124.
- 8 Takahashi Y, Sasaki S, Takahashi M, Okubo S, Hayashi M, Tsugane S. A population-based dietary intervention trial in a high-risk area for stomach cancer and stroke: changes in intakes and related biomarkers. *Prev Med* 2003; **37**:432–441.
- 9 Tsugane S, Sasaki S, Kobayashi M, Sobue T, Yamamoto S, Ishihara J, *et al.* Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective Study on Cancer and Cardiovascular Diseases (JPHC Study): Collected data in the 5-year follow-up survey [in Japanese]. Tokyo: My Life Press; 2004.
- 10 Sasaki S, Yanagibori R, Amano K. Self-administered diet history questionnaire developed for health education: a relative validation of the test-version by comparison with 3-day diet record in women. *J Epidemiol* 1998; **8**:203–215.
- 11 Sasaki S, Yanagibori R, Amano K. Validity of a self-administered diet history questionnaire for assessment of sodium and potassium. Comparison with single 24-hour urinary excretion. *Jpn Circ J* 1998; **62**:431–435.
- 12 Sasaki S, Ushio F, Amano K, Morihara M, Todoriki O, Uehara Y, *et al.* Serum biomarker-based validation of a self-administered diet history questionnaire for Japanese subjects. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol* 2000; **46**:285–296.
- 13 Holbrook JT, Patterson KY, Bodner JE, Douglas LW, Veillon C, Kelsay JL, *et al.* Sodium and potassium intake and balance in adults consuming self-selected diets. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1986; **40**:786–793.
- 14 Brunner E, White I, Thorogood M, Bristow A, Curle D, Marmot M. Can dietary interventions change diet and cardiovascular risk factors? A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Public Health* 1997; **87**:1415–1422.

- 15 He FJ, MacGregor GA. Effect of modest salt reduction on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. Implications for public health. *J Hum Hypertens* 2002; **16**:761–770.
- 16 Joffres MR, Reed DM, Yano K. Relationship of magnesium intake and other dietary factors to blood pressure: the Honolulu heart study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1987; **45**:469–475.
- 17 Ascherio A, Rimm EB, Giovannucci EL, Colditz GA, Rosner B, Willett WC, et al. A prospective study of nutritional factors and hypertension among US men. *Circulation* 1992; **86**:1475–1484.
- 18 He J, Klag MJ, Whelton PK, Mo JP, Chen JY, Qian MC, et al. Oats and buckwheat intakes and cardiovascular disease risk factors in an ethnic minority of China. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1995; **61**:366–372.
- 19 Ness AR, Chee D, Elliott P. Vitamin C and blood pressure: an overview. *J Hum Hypertens* 1997; **11**:343–350.
- 20 Allender PS, Cutler JA, Follmann D, Cappuccio FP, Pryer J, Elliott P. Dietary calcium and blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Ann Intern Med* 1996; **124**:825–831.
- 21 Swain JF, Rouse IL, Curley CB, Sacks FM. Comparison of the effects of oat bran and low-fiber wheat on serum lipoprotein levels and blood pressure. *N Engl J Med* 1990; **322**:147–152.
- 22 Jee SH, Miller ER 3rd, Guallar E, Singh VK, Appel LJ, Klag MJ. The effect of magnesium supplementation on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Am J Hypertens* 2002; **15**:691–696.
- 23 Cappuccio FP, MacGregor GA. Does potassium supplementation lower blood pressure? A meta-analysis of published trials. *J Hypertens* 1991; **5**:465–473.
- 24 Xin X, He J, Frontini MG, Ogden LG, Motsamai OI, Whelton PK. Effects of alcohol reduction on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Hypertension* 2001; **38**:1112–1117.
- 25 Whelton SP, Chin A, Xin X, He J. Effect of aerobic exercise on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Ann Intern Med* 2002; **136**:493–503.
- 26 Neter JE, Stam BE, Kok FJ, Grobbee DE, Geleijnse JM. Influence of weight reduction on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Hypertension* 2003; **42**:878–884.
- 27 Sacks FM, Svetkey LP, Vollmer WM, Appel LJ, Bray GA, Harsha D, et al. Effects on blood pressure of reduced dietary sodium and the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet. DASH-Sodium Collaborative Research Group. *N Engl J Med* 2001; **344**:3–10.
- 28 Nowson CA, Worsley A, Margerison C, Jorna MK, Frame AG, Torres SJ, et al. Blood pressure response to dietary modifications in free-living individuals. *J Nutr* 2004; **134**:2322–2329.
- 29 Konishi M, Kondou H, Okada K, for the JPHC Study Group. Health status, life habits, and social background among the JPHC Study participants at baseline survey. *J Epidemiol* 2001; **11 (suppl)**:S27–S74.

Histidine Intake May Negatively Correlate with Energy Intake in Human: A Cross-Sectional Study in Japanese Female Students Aged 18 Years

Hitomi OKUBO and Satoshi SASAKI*

National Institute of Health and Nutrition, 1-23-1 Toyama,
Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8636, Japan

(Received May 28, 2004)

Summary L-Histidine (histidine), a precursor of neuronal histamine, has recently been hypothesized to suppress food intake. The association between dietary histidine and energy intake was examined among 1,689 Japanese female students of dietetic courses aged 18 y. Nutrient intakes were assessed over a 1-mo period with a validated, self-administered, diet history questionnaire. Both intake of histidine and the ratio of histidine to protein (histidine/protein) statistically and positively correlated with energy intake. After adjustment for potential non-dietary confounding factors, including body height, body weight, physical activity level, and rate of eating, both the histidine intake and histidine/protein ratio statistically and positively correlated with energy intake (Pearson's correlation coefficient, $r=0.62$ and 0.12 , respectively, $p<0.001$). Moreover, when protein or protein excluding histidine was additionally included into the covariates in order to minimize the effect of dietary factors and other amino acids, both histidine intake and histidine/protein ratio turned out to show a statistically negative correlation with energy intake ($r=-0.22$ and -0.23 , respectively, $p<0.001$). Considering the influence of unavoidable various covariates, we found an inverse association between histidine/protein ratio and energy intake among the young female Japanese students.

Key Words dietary histidine, energy intake, young Japanese women, epidemiology

Hypothalamus neuronal histamine has been shown to regulate food intake through the histamine H₁-receptor in the ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus and the paraventricular nucleus (1–3). Recently, it has been hypothesized that L-histidine (histidine), an essential amino acid, might also control food intake through its conversion into histamine (4–6). Histidine preloads delivered by intraperitoneal injection (IP) into rats reduced food intake (7, 8) and increased water intake (8, 9). Although histidine given by the intragastric route showed a low sensitivity to food intake suppression compared to IP, dietary histidine might also play a role in regulating food intake in the short-term, at least partially through the histaminergic pathway (8). However, its physiological importance has not been established.

On the other hand, in human studies, the effect of histidine on food intake was examined in respect to the alterations in zinc metabolism accompanied by anorexia in the 1970s (10–13). Administration of a large dose of histidine induced zinc deficiency, which led to functional losses of taste, smell, appetite, and food intake. Therefore, according to the previous points of view from human studies, feeding suppression has seemed to be caused by alteration of zinc metabolism

rather than direct effects of histidine. However, pretreatment with alpha-fluoromethyl histidine (FMH), a specific suicide inhibitor of histamine-synthesizing histidine decarboxylase (HDC), attenuated histidine-induced feeding suppression in animal studies (5, 6). These results support the view that histidine-induced histamine rather than the histidine-induced zinc deficiency affects food intake. Although the available evidence in animal studies strongly suggests the effect of histidine on food intake, in human, only two small observational studies conducted in Japan examined this issue (14, 15).

To examine the association in more detail, we conducted a cross-sectional study using a large and homogenous sample consisting of 1,689 Japanese female dietetic students aged 18 y.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects. The subjects were freshmen who entered dietetic courses at 22 colleges and technical schools in Japan in April 1997 ($n=2,069$). All the questionnaires were distributed between April 7 and 21, 1997. A total of 2,063 students (2,017 women and 46 men) returned the completed questionnaires within 1 wk (response rate, 99.7%). Faculty members of each school checked the submitted questionnaires. When missing replies and/or errors were found, the subjects were requested to answer the questions again. All question-

*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: sstasaki@nih.go.jp

naires were checked at least once by the local staff and once by the staff of the study center. The entire survey was completed before the end of May.

Assessment of dietary habits. We used a self-administered diet history questionnaire (DHQ). The DHQ is a validated 16-page questionnaire assessing dietary habits in the previous 1 mo. Intakes of 147 food items, 16 nutrients, and total energy intake were calculated using an ad-hoc computer algorithm developed to analyze the questionnaire. The 147 foods from the DHQ were grouped into 17 food groups, mainly according to the food composition tables of Japanese foods, 5th revised edition (16). The DHQ has been validated by comparison to 3-d dietary records. The Pearson's correlation coefficients were 0.48–0.55 for the macronutrients used in the study and 0.48 for energy. Moreover, the mean reported intakes of energy and three macronutrients assessed by the DHQ were close to the reported intakes assessed by dietary records, i.e., within a $\pm 3\%$ difference. A more detailed description of the questionnaire, the methods of calculating nutrients, and the validity are given elsewhere (17, 18). We estimated histidine intake using the DHQ attached with the amino acid food composition table (19) and supplemental food composition table of amino acid proposed by Todoriki et al. (20). We examined the validity of histidine intake from the DHQ by comparison with 16-d dietary records (16-d DRs) among Japanese men ($n=92$) and women ($n=92$) aged 30–78 y. The mean intake assessed by the DHQ was $2,192 \pm 774$ mg/d for men and $2,144 \pm 628$ mg/d for women. The mean intake assessed by 16-d DRs was $2,084 \pm 492$ mg/d and $1,744 \pm 326$ mg/d, respectively. Moreover, the Pearson's correlation coefficients were 0.37 and 0.32 for men and women, respectively.

Assessment of lifestyle variables. Lifestyle variables were obtained from the 4-page questionnaire designed for this survey. It included the frequency of sports club activity and smoking habits. The physical activity level was assessed by the monthly frequency of sports club activity without inquiring into the types of sports, their intensity or duration. The subjects who engaged in sports club activity at least once per week in the previous month were defined as 'physically active' and the others as 'sedentary.' Smoking habits were divided into three categories: never-, past-, and current smokers. Current smokers were defined as subjects who reported smoking cigarettes on a regular basis, whereas past-smokers were defined as subjects who quit smoking. Data on birthday, self-reported body weight, height, and rate of eating were obtained from the DHQ. Rate of eating was self-reported according to one of five qualitative categories: 'very slow,' 'relatively slow,' 'medium,' 'relatively fast,' and 'very fast.' The validity of this rating was described in the previous paper (21). BMI was calculated as body weight (kg) divided by the square of body height (m^2).

Statistical analysis. We included 1,689 subjects (83.3%) who satisfied the following two criteria in the analysis:

1) Women aged 18 y on the surveyed day ($n=1,744$);

2) Those with reported energy intake of more than or equal to half of the energy requirement of the lowest physical activity category and less than 1.5 times the energy requirement of the highest physical activity category (22), i.e., the subjects with reported energy intake of 3.0–14.4 MJ/d ($n=1,980$).

Macronutrient intakes were energy-adjusted using an energy density model, i.e., the percentage of energy intake (%E). Histidine was divided by the protein intake, i.e., histidine/protein (mg/g).

Differences in the means of energy intake between categories were tested by the Student's *t* test or ANOVA. Multiple regression analysis was performed to examine the effect of daily histidine intake on energy intake. Several confounding factors have been reported for energy intake, such as body height, body weight, physical activity level, and rate of eating (21, 23–25). In this analysis, these non-dietary variables were included in the models as covariates (Model 2). Furthermore, we additionally adjusted for dietary variables such as dietary fiber and protein as dietary confounding factors (Model 3). When histidine intake was used as an independent variable, protein excluding histidine (protein – histidine) was included as a dietary covariate to adjust for possible effects of other types of amino acids. We also computed the partial correlation coefficients between each independent variable and energy intake adjusting for other independent variables.

All statistical analyses were performed using version 8.2 of the SAS software package (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA). A *p*-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the subjects and Pearson's correlation coefficients for each variable with energy intake. The mean BMI \pm SD for the subjects was 20.8 ± 2.6 kg/ m^2 , and 95% of the subjects were classified into the non-obese group (25 kg/ m^2 $<$ BMI). In Pearson's correlation coefficient, a significant correlation with energy intake was observed for body height ($p < 0.001$), sports club activity ($p = 0.04$), and all nutrients described in Table 1, such as macronutrients, total dietary fiber, histidine, protein extracting histidine, and histidine/protein ratio ($p < 0.001$ in all nutrients). As for categorical variables, the mean energy intake was significantly different between the two physical activity levels ($p < 0.001$) and among the five categories of rate of eating ($p < 0.001$).

Table 2 shows the results of multiple regression analysis with energy intake as a dependent variable. Histidine positively correlated with energy intake regardless of the adjustment of non-dietary factors (partial regression coefficient, $\beta = 0.002$ in Model 1; $\beta = 0.002$ in Model 2). However, after additional adjustment for dietary factors, such as total dietary fiber and protein excluding histidine, histidine intake turned out to show a negative correlation with energy intake ($\beta =$

Table 1. Physiological characteristics, lifestyle variables, and nutrient intakes of the subjects^a, and Pearson's correlation coefficients with energy intake.^b

	Mean ± SD (n=1,689)	Pearson's correlation coefficient with energy intake	p-value	t-value ^e	p-value
Body height (cm)	158.0 ± 5.2	0.13	<0.001	—	—
Body weight (kg)	51.8 ± 7.3	0.03	0.22	—	—
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	20.8 ± 2.6	-0.03	0.17	—	—
Sports club activity (d/mo)	1.7 ± 4.2	0.05 ^c	0.04	—	—
Nutrient intake					
Energy intake (MJ/d)	7.2 ± 2.0	—	—	—	—
Carbohydrate (g/d)	234.1 ± 58.8	0.86	<0.001	—	—
Fat (g/d)	58.0 ± 24.1	0.88	<0.001	—	—
Total protein (g/d)	63.3 ± 21.0	0.86	<0.001	—	—
Total dietary fiber (g/d)	12.0 ± 4.7	0.66	<0.001	—	—
Histidine (mg/d)	2081 ± 785	0.79	<0.001	—	—
Protein excluding histidine (g/d)	61.2 ± 20.3	0.86	<0.001	—	—
Histidine/protein ratio (mg/g)	32.6 ± 3.2	0.12	<0.001	—	—
Percentage of subjects (%)					
Physical activity level					
Sedentary	88	—	—	-3.74	<0.001
Physically active ^d	12	—	—	6.65 ^f	<0.001
Rate of eating					
Very slow	5	—	—	—	—
Relatively slow	23	—	—	—	—
Medium	36	—	—	—	—
Relatively fast	32	—	—	—	—
Very fast	4	—	—	—	—
Experience of dieting ^g					
No	40	—	—	-0.85	0.40
Yes	60	—	—	—	—
Smoking habits					
Current	3	—	—	2.75 ^f	0.06
Past	3	—	—	—	—
Never	94	—	—	—	—
Alcohol intake ^h					
Non-drinker	81	—	—	0.60	0.55
Drinker	19	—	—	—	—

^a Unless otherwise specified, values are expressed as mean ± SD.

^b Pearson's correlation coefficient for numerical variable.

^c Spearman's correlation coefficient for numerical variable because of the very skewed distribution.

^d The subjects who took part in sports club activity at least once per week were defined as physically active.

^e t-value for difference in energy intake between categories (t-test).

^f F-value for difference in energy intake between categories (ANOVA).

^g Dieting by intentional reduction of body weight within 1 mo by more than 2 kg.

^h The subjects who did not drink alcohol during the previous 1 mo were defined as non-drinkers and the others as drinkers.

-0.0011 in Model 3). The results were similar for histidine/protein ($\beta = -0.079$ in Model 3).

DISCUSSION

In this cross-sectional study of young Japanese women aged 18 y, both crude histidine intake and the ratio of histidine to protein (histidine/protein) negatively and significantly correlated with energy intake, independent of the other dietary factors and the currently known covariates (Pearson's correlation coefficient, $r = -0.22$, $p < 0.001$ for histidine crude value; $r = -0.23$, $p < 0.001$ for histidine/protein). One small-

scale cross-sectional study with 26 male and 38 female students has also found a negative association between histidine/protein and energy intake, but it was statistically significant only in women ($r = -0.18$ in men and $r = -0.34$, $p < 0.05$ in women) (14). Therefore, our findings on the basis of data from a large and homogenous sample suggested that dietary histidine might have a suppressive effect on energy intake in human.

Among previous animal studies, a number of approaches have been tried to clarify the roles of the histamine signaling pathway in the regulation of food intake (5, 6, 26-28). However, the routes of histidine

Table 2. Multiple regression analysis on association between dietary histidine intake, histidine/protein and energy intake (MJ/d).^a

	Partial regression coefficient	R ²	p-value	Pearson's partial correlation coefficient
Histidine intake (mg/d)				
Model 1 ^b	0.0020	0.62	<0.001	0.79
Model 2 ^c	0.0020	0.63	<0.001	0.62
Model 3 ^d	-0.0011	0.75	<0.001	-0.22
Histidine/protein (mg/g)				
Model 1 ^b	0.076	0.01	<0.001	0.12
Model 2 ^c	0.072	0.05	<0.001	0.12
Model 3 ^d	-0.079	0.75	<0.001	-0.23

^a Partial regression coefficient, adjusted R², and p values are for independent variables in multiple regression.

^b Model 1: simple regression and correlation analyses.

^c Model 2: adjusted for body height, body weight, sports club activity level (two categories), and rate of eating.

^d Model 3: additionally adjusted for total dietary fiber and protein excluding histidine for histidine intake. When histidine/protein ratio was used as an independent variable, total dietary fiber and protein intake were included as a covariate.

administration were almost all intracerebroventricular infusion or intraperitoneal (IP) injection (6–9, 28). On the other hand, the intragastric route had been examined in rats by Vaziri et al. (8). Although the sensitivity to the suppression of food intake was low compared to that for IP, the results suggested that dietary histidine might play a role in regulating food and water intake. The suppressive effect of dietary histidine on energy intake observed in our human study was in agreement with the result reported by Vaziri et al.

To our knowledge, studies of the effects of histidine on feeding regulation in human were conducted mostly in the 1970s (10–12), except for one study (14). Most studies suggested that feeding suppression seemed to be caused by alteration of zinc metabolism rather than the direct effects of histidine. But a large dose of histidine such as more than 4 g/d was reported to produce anorexia even without alteration of the zinc metabolism (11, 12). Considering the above-mentioned reports, the possibility that histidine-induced zinc deficiency affects energy intake seemed to be quite low in our study because the mean intake of histidine was about 2.1 g/d (Table 1).

Several dietary and non-dietary variables have been reported to show an association with energy intake (23–25). However, the previous epidemiologic studies have not taken into consideration the confounding factors that are unavoidable in epidemiologic studies. Therefore, it was nearly impossible to accept the observed negative correlation between histidine and energy intake. On the other hand, we considered the confounding factors in this study (Models 2 and 3 in

Table 2). After adjustment for non-dietary factors, both histidine and histidine/protein ratio positively correlated with energy intake ($r=0.62$ and 0.12 , respectively, $p<0.001$). Since physical activity is known to be associated with energy intake, we also conducted the same analyses dividing the subjects into two groups by physical activity level, i.e., sedentary or physically active. However, the results did not materially change (data not shown). As for dietary factors, because both histidine and protein highly correlated with energy intake ($r=0.79$ and 0.86 , respectively), we entered protein intake as a covariate into the multiple regression analyses in order to avoid multicollinearity as much as possible. Moreover, we adjusted for protein excluding dietary histidine in order to minimize a possible effect of other amino acids. Dietary histidine negatively correlated with energy intake after adjustment for dietary and non-dietary confounding factors in our study. These findings provide a new insight into a role of dietary histidine in energy intake in human, although it is not enough. However, we do not know whether this model was fully appropriate for examining the association between dietary histidine and energy intake.

It is most important to understand that self-reported dietary intakes are not entirely free from reporting errors such as underreporting of energy and food intakes (29, 30). In this population, when we examined the validity of energy intake to basal metabolic rate (EI/BMR) (31, 32), 37% of subjects tended to underreport energy intake because their EI/BMR level was below the minimum survival value of 1.27 (33). Few studies have examined the bias in reporting nutrients and types of foods consumed (34, 35). Inconsistent with our results (data not shown), Livingstone and Black revealed that energy from protein tended to be significantly higher in low energy reporters (29). Moreover, the low energy reporters tended to report a higher consumption of "socially desirable" foods such as meat, fish, and vegetables (29). Therefore, the results should be cautiously interpreted in respect to underreporting of energy intake.

Our data are limited by the possibility of error with respect to the measurement of diet and the calculation of dietary histidine intake because of the lack of a comprehensive food composition table of amino acids (19). Therefore, when histidine content of a particular food was unavailable, we used the reported value for a similar food, as proposed by Todoriki et al. (20). This procedure was used for the development of the food composition table of fatty acids, and the validity was examined (36). However, this procedure was far below the quality required for the study. Moreover, the validity of this procedure used for amino acids has not been reported. In addition, the food composition table of amino acid substitution used in this procedure is not available to the public. Nonetheless, we considered this method as the best available at the present time in Japan. The results should be interpreted very cautiously.

Some limitations of our study should be considered in interpreting the results. First, the subjects were not a

randomly sampled general Japanese population but selected female dietetic students aged 18–20 y. Because they were freshmen who entered the dietetic course, the participants in this study might be highly health-conscious. In order to minimize the influence of nutritional education, we finished the survey within almost 1 mo after entrance into the course. Secondly, our findings came from a cross-sectional study. Therefore, it was impossible to evaluate causal association between histidine and energy intake. Moreover, epidemiologic studies can not clarify the mechanism of the effect of histidine intake on energy intake. Thirdly, the lists of food items in the DHQ, especially those of fishes, were made based on the conventional nutrients such as fats rather than histidine. The histidine intakes obtained by the DHQ in this study might have been less accurate than a direct observation by dietary record. Fourthly, the effective time, duration, and quantity of dietary histidine for regulating energy intake were not strictly clear in this study. In the case of animal studies, histidine was shown to be a regulator of short-term food intake, and the time interval for observation was designed within 24 h (6, 8). We might have misunderstood the relationship between histidine and energy intake observed in this study because habitual histidine intake for the previous 1 mo was assessed in our study. Fifthly, although we adjusted for possible confounding variables, unmeasured or unknown confounding dietary factors cannot be excluded.

In conclusion, we found an inverse association between histidine/protein ratio and energy intake among young female Japanese students. Although the central roles of mechanisms for regulation of energy intake have already been established in animal and in vitro studies, the contribution of daily histidine intake is not yet fully understood in humans. Future epidemiologic studies with better study designs are warranted to examine the role of dietary histidine in energy intake in human.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Drs. Keiko Amano, Akane Katagiri, Taeko Shimoda, Tomiko Tsuji, and Hatsuko Yamamoto for the study planning and data-collection, and Shoko Matsunaga for the data-processing.

REFERENCES

- 1) Sakata T, Yoshimatsu H, Kurokawa M. 1997. Hypothalamic neuronal histamine: implications of its homeostatic control of energy metabolism. *Nutrition* **13**: 403–411. Review.
- 2) Sakata T, Fukagawa K, Fujimoto K, Yoshimatsu H, Shiraishi T, Wada H. 1988. Feeding induced by blockade of histamine H1-receptor in rat brain. *Experientia* **44**: 216–218.
- 3) Ookuma K, Sakata T, Fukagawa K, Yoshimatsu H, Kurokawa M, Machidori H, Fujimoto K. 1993. Neuronal histamine in the hypothalamus suppresses food intake in rats. *Brain Res* **628**: 235–242.
- 4) Orthen-Gambill N. 1988. Antihistaminic drugs increase feeding, while histidine suppresses feeding in rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **31**: 81–86.
- 5) Orthen-Gambill N, Salomon M. 1992. FMH-induced decrease in central histamine levels produces increased feeding and body weight in rats. *Physiol Behav* **51**: 891–893.
- 6) Yoshimatsu H, Chiba S, Tajima D, Akehi Y, Sakata T. 2002. Histidine suppresses food intake through its conversion into neuronal histamine. *Exp Biol Med* **227**: 63–68.
- 7) Sheiner JB, Morris P, Anderson GH. 1985. Food intake suppression by histidine. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **23**: 721–726.
- 8) Vaziri P, Dang K, Anderson GH. 1997. Evidence for histamine involvement in the effect of histidine loads on food and water intake in rats. *J Nutr* **127**: 1519–1526.
- 9) Anderson GH, Luo S, Trigazis L, Kubis G, Li ET. 1994. Effects of essential amino acids on food and water intake of rats. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol* **72**: 841–848.
- 10) Henkin RI. 1980. Anorexia and urinary zinc excretion after administration of L-histidine in humans. *Am J Clin Nutr* **33**: 540–541.
- 11) Schechter PJ, Prakash NJ. 1979. Failure of oral histidine to influence appetite or affect zinc metabolism in man: a double-blind study. *Am J Clin Nutr* **32**: 1011–1014.
- 12) Henkin RI. 1977. New aspects in the control of food intake and appetite. *Ann NY Acad Sci* **300**: 321–334.
- 13) Mercer LP, Dodds SJ, Weber MD, Dunn JD. 1990. Histidine, histamine, and the neuroregulation of food intake: a review and hypothesis. *Nutrition* **6**: 273–277.
- 14) Nakajima S, Hamada M, Tsuchiya T, Okuda H. 2000. Suppression of food intake by histidine-rich protein under low energy intake. *J Jpn Soc Nutr Food Sci* **53**: 207–214 (in Japanese).
- 15) Konomi Y, Itoh K, Koga R, Imai K, Masuda T, Abe S, Tanaka M, Sakata T. 2004. Effect of histidine intake on weight loss in moderately obese women. *J Jpn Soc Nutr Food Sci* **57**: 265–270 (in Japanese).
- 16) Science and Technology Agency. 2000. Standard Tables of Food Composition in Japan, 5th revised ed. Printing Bureau, Ministry of Finance, Tokyo (in Japanese).
- 17) Sasaki S, Yanagibori R, Amano K. 1998. Self-administered diet history questionnaire developed for health education: a relative validation of the test-version by comparison with 3-day diet record in women. *J Epidemiol* **8**: 203–215.
- 18) Sasaki S, Ushio F, Amano K, Morihara M, Todoriki O, Uehara Y, Toyooka E. 2000. Serum biomarker-based validation of a self-administered diet history questionnaire for Japanese subjects. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol* **46**: 285–296.
- 19) Science and Technology Agency. 1986. Standard Tables of Food Composition in Japan. Amino Acid Composition of Foods, revised ed. Printing Bureau, Ministry of Finance, Tokyo (in Japanese).
- 20) Todoriki H, Yamamoto H, Tei K, Ogawa S, Ariizumi M, Akabane M, Sasaki S, Tsugane S. 2000. Development of substituted amino acid food composition table for the use in nutritional epidemiologic studies for Japanese populations. *J Epidemiol* **10** (Suppl): 107. The 10th Annual Meeting of Japan Epidemiological Association in Yonago, January 28, 2000 (in Japanese).
- 21) Sasaki S, Katagiri A, Tsuji T, Shimoda T, Amano K. 2003. Self-reported rate of eating correlates with body mass index in 18-y-old Japanese women. *Int J Obes Relat*