



Figure 6. Flow cytometric analysis of cell cycle perturbation. PA1 cells, treated with 0.2 μM pemetrexed (MTA), 0.5 μM cisplatin (CDDP), both drugs simultaneously for 24 h, pemetrexed for 24 h followed by cisplatin for 24 h, or the reverse sequence were harvested at 48 h (A), and MCF7 cells, treated with 0.5 μM pemetrexed (MTA), 5 μM cisplatin (CDDP), both drugs simultaneously for 24 h, pemetrexed for 24 h followed by cisplatin for 24 h, or the reverse sequence were harvested at 48 h (B) and stained for DNA with propidium iodide and analyzed by flow cytometry as described in Materials and Methods.

Table 2. Cell Cycle Perturbations Induced by Pemetrexed (MTA), Cisplatin (CDDP), and Their Combinations for PA1 and MCF7 Cells at 48 h

Cell Cycle (%)	MTA + CDDP (24 h)				MTA (24 h) → CDDP (24 h)				CDDP (24 h) → MTA (24 h)			
	Control	MTA	CDDP	MTA + CDDP	Control	MTA	CDDP	MTA + CDDP	Control	MTA	CDDP	MTA + CDDP
PA1 cells												
Sub-G ₁	3.6	2.4	42.9	2.1	4.3	3.1	8.9	15.3	2.9	2.2	45.1	41.8
G ₁	56.2	64.1	7.3	67.1	58.1	65.3	5.8	4.4	57.3	60.1	6.9	10.6
S	15.6	26.7	17.2	19.1	10.4	25.9	48.4	38.7	11.0	30.4	15.8	20.1
G ₂ /M	24.6	6.8	19.1	11.7	27.2	5.7	36.9	41.6	28.8	7.3	32.2	27.5
MCF-7 cells												
Sub-G ₁	4.2	17.5	3.9	5.8	5.3	11.1	2.9	16.8	5.1	10.3	3.6	2.5
G ₁	57.6	53.4	28.8	63.7	55.8	61.3	22.3	60.6	58.8	57.2	27.9	25.8
S	16.8	26.9	4.7	21.4	19.1	22.1	21.2	13.8	16.4	28.6	5.0	20.4
G ₂ /M	21.4	2.2	62.6	9.1	25.1	5.5	53.6	8.8	19.7	3.9	63.5	51.3

early S phase, in which cells are sensitive to cisplatin (20). This may explain the synergistic effects of sequential exposure to pemetrexed followed by cisplatin. On the contrary, one agent may reduce the cytotoxicity of the other agent by preventing cells from entering the specific phase in which the cells are most cytotoxic to the other agent. It has been shown that cisplatin elicits cytotoxic effects by blocking cells in G₂/M phase (20), while pemetrexed does by blocking cells in S phase (21). Indeed, simultaneous exposure to pemetrexed and cisplatin produced antagonistic effects, which were caused by the cancellation of cisplatin-induced G₂/M arrest by coexisting pemetrexed in PA1 and MCF7 cells. This was also the case with sequential exposure with cisplatin first followed by pemetrexed.

Our findings suggest that the sequential administration of pemetrexed followed by cisplatin may be the optimal schedule for these combinations. For example, administrations of pemetrexed on day 1 and cisplatin on day 2 would be worthy of clinical investigations. The simultaneous administration of pemetrexed and cisplatin and the sequential administration of cisplatin followed by pemetrexed may be inadequate. However, it must be noted that there are a number of difficulties in the translation of results from *in vitro* models to clinical therapy. The drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics under *in vivo* and *in vitro* conditions are different. Clinical outcome includes both the antitumor effects and normal tissue toxicity that results from a variable drug exposure, whereas *in vitro* models represent only antitumor effects at a constant drug exposure.

Teicher et al. studied the combination of pemetrexed with cisplatin *in vivo* against EMT-6 murine mammary carcinoma by a tumor cell survival assay (26). They observed that pemetrexed administered four times over 48 h with cisplatin administered with the third dose of pem-

etrexed produced an additive or more than additive tumor response. Teicher et al. further studied the combination of pemetrexed with cisplatin in human tumor xenografts (27). Administration of pemetrexed (days 7–11, days 14–18) along with cisplatin (day 7) produced greater-than-additive effects for human lung cancer H460 and Calu-6 tumor growth delay. Because experimental systems, schedules of drug administrations, and evaluating methods for synergism are different, it is difficult to compare their findings and ours.

A clinical and pharmacokinetic phase I study of pemetrexed in combination with cisplatin has been reported by Thordtmann et al. (15). They observed that this combination was clinically active and simultaneous administration of both agents on day on 1 (pemetrexed intravenously over 10 min and cisplatin over 2 h) every 21 days was less toxic than a sequential administration of pemetrexed on day 1 and cisplatin on day 2. They recommended the simultaneous administration of pemetrexed at 500 mg/m² plus cisplatin at 75 mg/m² on day 1 every 21 days for this combination. Phase II and III studies of the same schedules have been started for this combination and encouraging results have been obtained so far (16–18).

Our *in vitro* findings are not contradictory to clinical findings. In our study, simultaneous exposure to pemetrexed and cisplatin produced additive effects in WiDr cells and antagonistic effects in A549, MCF7, and PA1 cells. Most data points fell in the area of subadditivity in MCF7 and PA1 cells, suggesting that the combination is superior to each drug alone but “sub-optimal.” The simultaneous administration of pemetrexed and cisplatin was less toxic than the sequential administration, probably due to antagonistic interaction in the simultaneous exposure. Our isobologram shows that the doses of both agents in the pemetrexed–cisplatin sequence required

for IC₈₀ or IC₅₀ levels were much less (40–90%) than of those in simultaneous exposure (Fig. 3). Pemetrexed at 500 mg/m² and cisplatin at 75 mg/m², the optimal dose for the simultaneous administration, would be overdosed for the sequential administration of pemetrexed followed by cisplatin, which produced synergistic effects.

In conclusion, the present findings show that the interaction of pemetrexed and cisplatin is definitely schedule dependent. Sequential exposure to pemetrexed followed by cisplatin produced synergistic effects, whereas simultaneous exposure to the two agents and sequential exposure to cisplatin followed by pemetrexed produced antagonistic effects. These findings suggest that the optimal schedule of pemetrexed in combination with cisplatin at the cellular level is the sequential administration of pemetrexed followed by cisplatin. Although the simultaneous administration of pemetrexed and cisplatin on day 1 is more convenient and less toxic for patients than the sequential administration of pemetrexed on day 1 and cisplatin on day 2, the former schedule may be suboptimal and may not improve the clinical efficacy to “originally expected” level for this combination. It would be important to conduct dose-finding clinical trials in sequential administration of pemetrexed and cisplatin.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: *This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Cancer Research (11-8) from the Ministry of Health and Welfare and by a Grant-in-Aid for Research on the Second-Term Comprehensive 10-Year Strategy for Cancer Control from the Ministry of Health and Welfare of Japan.*

REFERENCES

- Taylor, E. C.; Kuhnt, D.; Shih, C.; Rinzel, S. M.; Grindey, G. B.; Barredo, J.; Jannatipour, M.; Moran, R. G. A dideazatetrahydrofolate analogue lacking a chiral center at C-6 N-[4-[2-(2-amino-3,4-dihydro-4-oxo-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-5-yl)ethyl]benzoyl]-L-glutamic acid is an inhibitor of thymidylate synthase. *J. Med. Chem.* 35:4450–4454; 1992.
- Habeck, L. L.; Mendelsohn, L. G.; Shih, C.; Taylor, E. C.; Colman, P. D.; Gossett, L. S.; Leitner, T. A.; Schultz, R. M.; Andis, S. L.; Moran, R. G. Substrate specificity of mammalian folypolyglutamate synthetase for 510-dideazatetrahydrofolate analogs. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 48:326–333; 1995.
- Shih, C.; Habeck, L. L.; Mendelsohn, L. G.; Chen, V. J.; Schultz, R. M. Multiple folate enzyme inhibition: Mechanism of a novel pyrrolopyrimidine-based antifolate LY231514 (MTA). *Adv. Enzyme Regul.* 38:135–152; 1998.
- Shih, C.; Thornton, D. E. Preclinical pharmacology studies and the clinical development of a novel multitargeted antifolate MTA (LY231514) In: Jackman, A. L., ed. *Anti-cancer drug development guide: Antifolate drugs in cancer therapy*. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press; 1998:183–201.
- McDonald, A. C.; Vasey, P. A.; Adams, L.; Walling, J.; Woodworth, J. R.; Abrahams, T.; McCarthy, S.; Bailey, N. P.; Siddiqui, N.; Lind, M. J.; Calvert, A. H.; Twelves, C. J.; Cassidy, J.; Kaye, S. B. A phase I and pharmacokinetic study of LY231514 the multitargeted antifolate. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 4:605–610; 1998.
- Rinaldi, D. A. Overview of phase I trials of multitargeted antifolate (MTA LY231514). *Semin. Oncol.* 26(Suppl. 6):82–88; 1999.
- Rusthoven, J. J.; Eisenhauer, E.; Butts, C.; Gregg, R.; Dancey, J.; Fisher, B.; Iglesias, J. Multitargeted antifolate LY231514 as first-line chemotherapy for patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: A phase II study. National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 17:1194–1199; 1999.
- John, W.; Picus, J.; Blanke, C. D.; Clark, J. W.; Schulman, L. N.; Rowinsky, E. K.; Thornton, D. E.; Loehrer, P. J. Activity of multitargeted antifolate (pemetrexed disodium LY231514) in patients with advanced colorectal carcinoma: Results from a phase II study. *Cancer* 88:1807–1813; 2000.
- Hanauske, A. R.; Chen, V.; Paoletti, P.; Niyikiza, C. Pemetrexed disodium: A novel antifolate clinically active against multiple solid tumors. *Oncologist* 6:363–373; 2001.
- Pivot, X.; Raymond, E.; Laguerre, B.; Degardin, M.; Cals, L.; Armand, J. P.; Lefebvre, J. L.; Gedouin, D.; Ripoché, V.; Kayitalire, L.; Niyikiza, C.; Johnson, R.; Latz, J.; Schneider, M. Pemetrexed disodium in recurrent locally advanced or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Br. J. Cancer* 85:649–655; 2001.
- Shepherd, F. A. Pemetrexed in the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer. *Semin. Oncol.* 29(Suppl. 18):43–48; 2002.
- Calvert, H. Pemetrexed (Alimta): A promising new agent for the treatment of breast cancer. *Semin. Oncol.* 30(Suppl. 3):2–5; 2003.
- Scagliotti, G. V.; Shin, D. M.; Kindler, H. L.; Scagliotti, G. V.; Shin, D. M.; Kindler, H. L.; Vasconcelles, M. J.; Keppler, U.; Manegold, C.; Burris, H.; Gatzemeier, U.; Blatter, J.; Symanowski, J. T.; Rusthoven, J. J. Phase II study of pemetrexed with and without folic acid and vitamin B12 as front-line therapy in malignant therapy in malignant pleural mesothelioma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 21:1556–1561; 2003.
- Hanna, N.; Shepherd, F. A.; Fossella, F. V.; Pereira, J. R.; De Marinis, F.; von Pawel, J.; Gatzemeier, U.; Tsao, T. C.; Pless, M.; Muller, T.; Lim, H. L.; Desch, C.; Szondy, K.; Gervais, R.; Shaharyar; Manegold, C.; Paul, S.; Paoletti, P.; Einhorn, L.; Bunn, Jr., P. A. Randomized phase III trial of pemetrexed versus docetaxel in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer previously treated with chemotherapy. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 22:1589–1597; 2004.
- Thodtmann, R.; Depenbrock, H.; Dumez, H.; Blatter, J.; Johnson, R. D.; van Oosterom, A.; Hanauske, A. R. Clinical and pharmacokinetic phase I study of multitargeted antifolate (LY231514) in combination with cisplatin. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 17:3009–3016; 1999.
- Manegold, C.; Gatzemeier, U.; von Pawel, J.; Pirker, R.; Malayeri, R.; Blatter, J.; Krejcy, K. Front-line treatment of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer with MTA (LY231514 pemetrexed disodium ALIMTA) and cisplatin: A multicenter phase II trial. *Ann. Oncol.* 11:435–440; 2000.
- Shepherd, F. A.; Dancey, J.; Arnold, A.; Neville, A.; Rusthoven, J.; Johnson, R. D.; Fisher, B.; Eisenhauer, E. Phase II study of pemetrexed disodium a multitargeted antifolate and cisplatin as first-line therapy in patients with advanced non small cell lung carcinoma: A study

- of the National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group. *Cancer* 92:595–600; 2001.
18. Vogelzang, N. J.; Rusthoven, J. J.; Symanowski, J.; Denham, C.; Kaukel, E.; Ruffie, P.; Gatzemeier, U.; Boyer, M.; Emri, S.; Manegold, C.; Niyikiza, C.; Paoletti, P. Phase III study of pemetrexed in combination with cisplatin versus cisplatin alone in patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 21:2636–2644; 2003.
 19. Scagliotti, G. V.; Kortsik, C.; Dark, G. G.; Price, A.; Manegold, C.; Rosell, R.; O'Brien, M.; Peterson, P. M.; Castellano, D.; Selvaggi, G.; Novello, S.; Blatter, J.; Kayitalire, L.; Crino, L.; Paz-Ares, L.; Go, R. S. Pemetrexed combined with oxaliplatin or carboplatin as first-line treatment in advanced non-small cell lung cancer: A multicenter, randomized, phase II trial. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 11(2 Pt. 1):690–696; 2005.
 20. Adjei, A. A. Review of the comparative pharmacology and clinical activity of cisplatin and carboplatin. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 17:409–422; 1999.
 21. Jackel, M.; Kopf-Maier, P. Influence of cisplatin on cell-cycle progression in xenografted human head and neck carcinomas. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* 27:464–471; 1991.
 22. Tonkinson, J. L.; Marder, P.; Andis, S. L.; Schultz, R. M.; Gossett, L. S.; Shih, C.; Mendelsohn, L. G. Cell cycle effects of antifolate antimetabolites: Implications for cytotoxicity and cytostasis. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* 39: 521–531; 1997.
 23. Tonkinson, J. L.; Worzalla, J. F.; Teng, C. H.; Mendelsohn, L. G. Cell cycle modulation by a multitargeted antifolate, LY231514, increases the cytotoxicity and antitumor activity of gemcitabine in HT29 colon carcinoma. *Cancer Res.* 59:3671–3676; 1999.
 24. Schultz, R. M.; Dempsey, J. A. Sequence dependence of Alimta (LY231514, MTA) combined with doxorubicin in ZR-75-1 human breast carcinoma cells. *Anticancer Res.* 21:3209–3214; 2001.
 25. Kano, Y.; Akutsu, M.; Tsunoda, S.; Izumi, T.; Mori, K.; Fujii, H.; Yazawa, Y.; Mano, H.; Furukawa, Y. Schedule-dependent synergism and antagonism between pemetrexed and paclitaxel in human carcinoma cell lines in vitro. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* 54:505–513; 2004.
 26. Teicher, B. A.; Alvarez, E.; Liu, P.; Lu, K.; Menon, K.; Dempsey, J.; Schultz, R. M. MTA (LY231514) in combination treatment regimens using human tumor xenografts and the EMT-6 murine mammary carcinoma. *Semin. Oncol.* 28:55–62; 1999.
 27. Teicher, B. A.; Chen, V.; Shih, C.; Menon, K.; Forler, P. A.; Phares, V. G.; Amsrud, T. Treatment regimens including the multitargeted antifolate LY231514 in human tumor xenografts. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 6:1016–1023; 2000.
 28. Kano, Y.; Sakamoto, S.; Kasahara, T.; Akutsu, M.; Inoue, Y.; Miura, Y. In vitro effects of amsacrine in combination with other anticancer agents. *Leukemia Res.* 15:1059–1064; 1991.
 29. Steel, G. G.; Peckham, M. J. Exploitable mechanisms in combined radiotherapy-chemotherapy: the concept of additivity. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 5:85–91; 1979.
 30. Kano, Y.; Ohnuma, T.; Okano, T.; Holland, J. F. Effects of vincristine in combination with methotrexate and other antitumor agents in human acute lymphoblastic leukemia cells in culture. *Cancer Res.* 48:351–356; 1988.
 31. Kano, Y.; Akutsu, M.; Tsunoda, S.; Mano, H.; Sato, Y.; Honma, Y.; Furukawa, Y. In vitro cytotoxic effects of a tyrosine kinase inhibitor STI571 in combination with commonly used antileukemic agents. *Blood* 97:1999–2007; 2001.
 32. Kano, Y.; Akutsu, M.; Tsunoda, S.; Suzuki, K.; Adachi, K. In vitro schedule-dependent interaction between paclitaxel and SN-38 (the active metabolite of irinotecan) in human carcinoma cell lines. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* 42:91–98; 1998.
 33. Furukawa, Y.; Iwase, S.; Kikuchi, J.; Nakamura, M.; Terui, Y.; Yamada, H.; Kano, Y.; Matsuda, M. Phosphorylation of bcl-2 protein by cdc2 Kinase during G2/M phases and its role in cell cycle regulation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275:21661–21667; 2000.