

"all" dietary supplements were very few in both sexes. On the other hand, 90th percentile value of vitamin E, vitamin B group, vitamin C, and niacin intake exceeded respective nutrient intake from diet shown in the National Nutrition Survey; i.e. about 10 % or more of dietary supplement users took large amount of such nutrient from dietary supplement. "Excess users" existed for iron, magnesium (only the 6th Ed.), vitamin A, vitamin K (only the 6th Ed.), vitamin B₆, and niacin (only the 6th Ed.).

Energy and nutrient intake from dietary supplement by major category among "regular users" is shown in Table 5. Individuals with intake of some nutrients at the 90th percentile value were larger amount than that from diet by the National Nutrition Survey (vitamin category: vitamin E, vitamin B group, niacin, and vitamin C; Mineral category: calcium; Drink type category: vitamin B₁, vitamin B₂, vitamin B₆, and niacin; "other" category: vitamin E and vitamin B group). "Excess users" existed in vitamin

Table 3. Prevalence of dietary supplement users by major category and sub-category by user category (%) (1,152 males and 1,107 females)

Category	Sub-category	User category [†]							
		Any		Seldom		Weekly		Daily	
		Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
1. Vitamin		23.1	30.2*	6.2	6.8	6.9	7.2	10.0	16.2*
	Multivitamin	14.6	15.5	4.4	4.4	5.4	4.4	4.8	6.6
	Vitamin C	4.7	8.0*	1.1	1.4	1.5	2.1	2.1	4.6*
	Vitamin E	4.0	6.8*	0.7	1.3	0.5	1.0	2.8	4.5*
	Vitamin B ₂	2.0	2.8	0.8	1.1	0.4	0.5	0.9	1.2
	Vitamin B ₁₂	2.3	2.4	0.6	0.6	0	0.4*	1.7	1.4
	Vitamin D	0.3	2.8*	0	0.1	0	0.5*	0.3	2.2*
	Vitamin A	0.4	1.1*	0.1	0.2	0.1	0	0.2	0.9*
	Vitamin B ₁	0.5	0.8	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5
	Pantothenic acid	0.2	0.8*	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.6*
	Vitamin B ₆	0.4	0.3	0.1	0	0	0	0.3	0.3
	Vitamin K	0.1	0.5	0	0	0	0	0.1	0.5
	Folate	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0
2. Mineral		2.7	7.6*	0.8	1.4	0.4	2.1*	1.5	4.2*
	Calcium	1.7	5.2*	0.4	0.6	0.3	1.3*	1.0	3.3*
	Iron	0.2	2.4*	0.2	0.6	0	1.0*	0	0.7*
	Magnesium	0.4	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.1	0	0.2	0.3
	Other minerals	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.1	0	0.3	0.4
3. Fatty acid		1.0	1.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.7	0.8
4. Amino acid		1.1	1.5	0.1	0.4	0.4	0*	0.6	1.2
5. Dietary fiber		0.1	0.5	0	0.1	0	0	0.1	0.5
6. Drink type		27.0	24.8*	17.5	14.0*	7.4	8.0	2.2	2.9
7. Medicine		12.0	9.7	10.0	8.2	1.6	0.9	0.4	0.5
8. Others		18.3	26.9*	3.0	4.6*	4.1	6.0*	11.3	16.4*

† : Dietary supplement users were categorized into three user groups:

Seldom; seldom users those who reported any dietary supplement use once a year or more but less than once a week for the past 12 months.

Weekly; weekly uses those who reported any dietary supplement use once a week or more but less than once a day for the past 12 months.

Daily; daily users those who reported any dietary supplement use once a day or more for the past 12 months.

Any; combined three groups.

* : p<0.05 by Chi square test

No subject used niacin or biotin sub-category dietary supplement.

Table 4. Energy and nutrient intake per day from "all" dietary supplements among "regular users".

Nutrient	Males (n=361)										Females (n=446)														
	National Nutrition Survey*					Tolerable upper intake level†					Excess Users‡					Tolerable upper intake level†					Excess Users‡				
	Survey	Median	90th per-centile	95th per-centile	Max.	6th edition	2005 edition	2005 edition	6th edition	2005 edition	Median	90th per-centile	95th per-centile	Max.	6th edition	2005 edition	2005 edition	6th edition	2005 edition	6th edition	2005 edition				
Energy (kcal)	1930	0	16	30	363	-	-	-	0	237	0	30	60	237	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Protein (g)	72.2	0	1	2	80	-	-	-	0	35	0	1	2	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Fat (g)	54.4	0	trace§	1	20	-	-	-	0	17	0	1	1	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Carbohydrate (g)	271.2	0	trace§	1	21	-	-	-	0	15	0	trace§	1	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Calcium (mg)	546	0	126	256	1320	2500 (40-69 y.o.)	2300	2500 (40-69 y.o.)	0	2300	0	226	400	2123	2500 (40-69 y.o.)	2300	2500 (40-69 y.o.)	2300	2500 (40-69 y.o.)	0	0				
Iron (mg)	8.1	0	trace§	2	129	40	55 (40-49 y.o.)	40	1	93	0	1	5	93	40	40 (40-49 y.o.)	40 (40-49 y.o.)	40	40 (40-49 y.o.)	1	1				
Magnesium (mg)	259	0	9	30	808	700 (40-49 y.o.)	45 (50-69 y.o.)	650 (50+ y.o.)	1	483	0	7	48	906	700 (40-49 y.o.)	40 (70+ y.o.)	40 (70+ y.o.)	700 (40-49 y.o.)	650 (50+ y.o.)	1	-				
Vitamin A (IU)	3130	0	1200	2900	10200	5000	10000	5000	8	11000	0	800	1500	11000	5000	10000	10000	5000	10000	12	4				
Vitamin D (IU)	328	0	26	120	726	2000	2000	2000	0	678	0	40	140	678	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	0	0				
Vitamin E (mg)	8.2	0	91	198	483	600	800 (40-69 y.o.)	600	0	483	0	112	210	483	600	700 (40-69 y.o.)	700 (40-69 y.o.)	600	700 (40-69 y.o.)	0	0				
Vitamin K (µg)	260	0	0	0	30000	30000	700 (70+ y.o.)	30000	1	45000	0	0	4	45000	30000	600 (70+ y.o.)	600 (70+ y.o.)	30000	600 (70+ y.o.)	6	-				
Vitamin B1 (mg)	0.87	2	38	55	280	-	-	-	-	144	1	43	72	144	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Vitamin B2 (mg)	1.21	1	6	10	68	-	-	-	-	64	1	8	16	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Vitamin B6 (mg)	1.17	1	16	41	185	100	60	100	3	106	1	30	66	106	100	60	60	100	60	3	26				
Vitamin B12 (µg)	7.4	0	250	1000	2340	-	-	-	0	1566	0	500	1044	1566	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Niacin (mg)	14.8	2	34	43	128	30	300 ¹	30	41	140	0	26	44	140	30	300 ¹	300 ¹	30	300 ¹	43	0				
Vitamin C (mg)	101	0	210	668	6482	-	-	-	-	4400	0	500	1100	4400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				

*: "Weekly users" plus "daily users" were defined as "regular users".

†: Tolerable upper intake level of adults in 6th edition or 2005 edition of Nutrient-Based Dietary Reference Intakes in Japan.

‡: Excess Users were defined as "regular users" plus "daily users" who reported uncertainty about the information on dietary supplement intake.

§: Below display limit

||: The amount of mg of nicotinic acid amide was used.

1: Results from the National Nutrition Survey in Japan, 2002 (mean of the total).

2: Tolerable upper intake level of adults in 6th edition or 2005 edition of Nutrient-Based Dietary Reference Intakes in Japan.

3: Tolerable upper intake level of adults in 6th edition or 2005 edition of Nutrient-Based Dietary Reference Intakes in Japan was not shown.

4: Number of participants who daily consumed some nutrients at more than the tolerable upper intake level in the 6th Edition or 2005 Edition of Nutrient-Based Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs) in Japan.

5: Below display limit

6: The amount of mg of nicotinic acid amide was used.

Table 5. Energy and nutrient intake per day from dietary supplements by major category among "regular users".

Nutrient	1. Vitamin †				2. Mineral ‡				6. Drink type §				8. Others ¶			
	90th per-centile		95th per-centile		90th per-centile		95th per-centile		90th per-centile		95th per-centile		90th per-centile		95th per-centile	
	Median	Max	Median	Max	Median	Max	Median	Max	Median	Max	Median	Max	Median	Max	Median	Max
Energy (kcal)	0	4	12	80	0	8	10	57	0	16	30	237	5	27	54	145
Protein (g)	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	trace [†]	28	0	2	3	36
Fat (g)	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	trace [†]	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	20
Carbohydrate (g)	0	0	0	9	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	13	0	1	2	21
Calcium (mg)	0	7	130	1040	0	600	920	1833	0	0	9	54	0	106	320	1200
Iron (mg)	0	0	0	12	0	4	5	10	0	0	0	4	0	4	6	129
Magnesium (mg)	0	0	0	36	0	125	300	906	1	0	0	42	0	20	60	205
Vitamin A (IU)	0	1,000	2400	8000	0	0	0	200	0	0	0	0	0	1,000	3,600	11,000
Vitamin D (IU)	0	30	40	600	0	80	159	396	0	0	0	0	0	40	200	726
Vitamin E (mg)	2	182	285	483	0	0	0	10	0	0	trace [†]	27	0	30	100	280
Vitamin K (μ g)	0	0	0	45000	7	6	8	66	0	0	0	11	0	4	48	400
Vitamin B1 (mg)	2	58	78	280	-	0	0	6	-	1	5	20	-	10	20	68
Vitamin B2 (mg)	trace [†]	8	12	64	-	0	0	trace [†]	6	1	4	10	-	3	14	68
Vitamin B6 (mg)	1	48	66	185	5	34	0	4	0	1	5	25	0	2	10	61
Vitamin B12 (μ g)	0	1008	1500	2340	-	0	0	4	-	0	0	10	-	9	30	62
Niacin (mg)	0	39	60	140	62	0	0	15	0	4	20	100	6	7	18	128
Vitamin C (mg)	0	700	1336	4400	-	40	50	1000	-	0	44	2500	-	90	360	1620

†: "Weekly users" plus "daily users" were defined as "regular users".

Because there were few "regular users", 3.fatty acid, 4.amino acid, 5.dietary fiber, and 8.medicine were omitted.

Seven males and 22 females were excluded from the analysis because they reported uncertainty about the information on dietary supplement intake.

Some products for which nutrient content was not described were excluded when we developed the database and we did not calculate nutrient intake from these products.

*: Number of participants who daily consumed some nutrients at more than the tolerable upper intake level (UL) in the 6th Edition or 2005 Edition of Nutrient-Based Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs) in Japan.

†: n=451 (191 males and 260 females)

‡: n=85 (21 males and 64 females)

§: n=232 (110 males and 122 females)

¶: n=302 (129 males and 173 females)

-: Tolerable upper intake limit of adults in 6th edition or 2005 edition of Nutrient-Based Dietary Reference Intakes in Japan was not shown.

††: Below display limit

category (vitamin A, vitamin K, vitamin B₆, and niacin), in the mineral category (magnesium), in drink type (niacin), and in the "others" category (iron, vitamin A, vitamin B₆, and niacin). In the other major categories, there were no "excess users" for any nutrients.

According to the 6th Ed. UL, 20 people were "excess users" of vitamin A among "all" dietary supplement users (Table 4), 12 among the "others" category and 3 among vitamin category (Table 5). This indicates that 5 people consumed excess doses of vitamin A from more than one dietary supplement category. Some people consumed excess doses of magnesium (one participant), vitamin B₆ (one participant), and niacin (six participants) from more than one dietary supplement category.

DISCUSSION

We conducted this study to evaluate the information on dietary supplement use and nutrient intake from these products in a random sample of a community-living population. Dietary supplements were used by more than half of the respondents in the previous year. The intake of some minerals and vitamins from these products were equal or more than the daily intake from food in the National Nutrition Survey.¹⁶ Some users were found to take excess doses of minerals or vitamins from these products.

The prevalence of "all" dietary supplement use among "any users" in the previous year in our study was more than 50 % among both sexes. This is relatively high in comparison to those reported from Japan,^{21,23} but it is almost the same as the prevalence found in studies that were conducted in the US.^{2,20,22} However, differences in the definition of dietary supplements, dietary supplement users, duration of the study period (e.g., not specified or previous one year), and survey method (e.g., questionnaire only or including interview) among these studies make direct comparisons difficult.

In the National Nutrition Survey in Japan (J-NNS) in 2001,²³ dietary supplements were defined only as products which contained vitamins and minerals, and a concrete study period was not specified. Under this condition, 17.0 % of males and 23.6 % of females reported usual use of dietary supplements. In the subgroup of the Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective Study on Cancer and Cardiovascular Disease Cohort II,²¹ dietary supplement was investigated in a questionnaire survey. In this study, dietary supplement was classified into multivitamins, beta-carotene, vitamin C, vitamin E, and others, and dietary supplement users were defined as subjects who used a dietary supplement one or more times a week for a year or longer. In this situation, the prevalence of dietary supplement use was 10.9 %.

Survey method (e.g., questionnaire only or including interview) may be another methodological factor to affect the prevalence of the dietary supplement intake. Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey in 1999-2000 (NHANES III)²² in the US was conducted by household interviews. In NHANES III, dietary supplements included non-vitamin and non-mineral prod-

ucts, the duration of the study period was the previous month. Under this condition, the prevalence of dietary supplement use was 52 %, and it was similar to our results. It is possible that relatively high prevalence of dietary supplement use found in NHANES III and our study may result from the use of survey methods including interview.

At present, there have been a few studies on dietary supplement assessment methodology.^{5,7,11,21,24-27} It is important to develop generally accepted assessment method in the dietary supplement study to make direct comparison.

We clarified the characteristics of dietary supplement users for the first time in Japan. Many studies conducted in the US and European countries reported that dietary supplement use was related to many aspects of appropriate lifestyles and a high health status.^{6,9,13,28-33} In contrast, dietary supplement users in this study were likely to feel less healthy than nonusers. Dietary supplement users might have been more careful of maintaining an appropriate weight than nonusers, whereas other characteristics (i.e., smoking, education, marriage status, BMI, energy intake from food, and alcohol intake) were not significantly associated with dietary supplement use or nonuse in this study. The characteristics of dietary supplement users in our study might have been different from the characteristics of dietary supplement users in other countries. Such characteristics may depend on sex, age, and ethnicity.^{7-9,28} Furthermore, some characteristics were different between frequencies of use of dietary supplements. For example, "seldom users" were prevalent among middle-aged subjects and were more likely to be males, whereas "daily users" were prevalent among older people and more likely to be females in our study. The association between dietary supplement use and other characteristics may be affected by the frequency of use of dietary supplements.

Multivitamin, vitamin C, and vitamin E were the popular dietary supplements in the vitamin category, and calcium was the most popular dietary supplement in the mineral category in our study. In the US, approximately 40% of subjects was reported to be users of some vitamin or mineral supplements in the NHANES III.⁸ About 40 to 80% of adults was reported to be users of some vitamin or mineral supplements. Multivitamins were the most popular dietary supplements, and vitamin C, vitamin E, vitamin A, and calcium were commonly used in vitamins and mineral supplements.^{8,9,13,28,29,31,34-36} Many studies reported the prevalence of combined dietary supplement use (vitamins and mineral). The prevalence of use of each dietary supplement was not determined; however, our results (vitamin plus mineral: males 25.8%, females; 37.8%) would be broadly comparable to the results of those studies.

Schaffer et al³³ reported that the prevalence of non-vitamin and non-mineral dietary supplement use was 32.7% (participants were the members of a large group in a model health plan, the duration of the study period was the past 12 months, and dietary supplement use was assessed by a questionnaire). The prevalence of non-vitamin and non-mineral dietary supplement users in our study ("all" - vitamin - mineral: males; 28.7%, females; 23.5%)

was close to the results of Schaffer et al. Radimer et al³² reported that non-vitamin and non-mineral dietary supplements included many herbal supplements in NHANES III, and the term "herbal" is often used loosely, including non-plant dietary supplement (i.e., enzymes, glandular extracts, choline, and fish oils). Herbal supplements were most commonly used because they were considered "healthy or good for you",^{14,34,37,38} and consumers may perceive plant products as more natural than manufactured medicines.³⁸ Furthermore, some studies reported that herbal supplement use is accelerating, and some products might have adverse health effects.^{8,32,33,38} We could not determine the reason why some individuals chose "others" types of dietary supplements. As the prevalence of "others" types of dietary supplement use was high in our study, it will be important to estimate the prevalence of this kind of product (non-vitamin and non-mineral dietary supplements) and to clarify the health effects of these products.

In our study, the prevalence of drink type dietary supplement was high, especially in males, and more than 60 % of drink type dietary supplement users were "seldom users". Hakura et al¹⁴ also reported that high prevalence of drink type dietary supplement was observed in Japan, and many of the occasional dietary supplement users took this kind of dietary supplements to maintain or recover health. However, drink type dietary supplement was not usually described in the studies reported from the Western countries.^{32-34,38} The high prevalence of drink type dietary supplement use might be one of the characteristics observed in Japan, and might be caused by broad accessibility that people can get drink type dietary supplements easily at supermarkets and convenience stores when they feel weary.

The purpose of dietary supplement use may be to compensate the shortage of nutrients from foods, but some users had excessive intake of some nutrients. The median values of Vitamin B₁ and 90 percentile values of vitamin E, Vitamin B₂, B₆, B₁₂, niacin, and vitamin C from dietary supplements in this study were more than that from food, according to the results of J-NNS 2002.¹⁶ Some dietary supplement users consumed huge amounts of nutrients from dietary supplements.

Regarding overdoses, this study had two important findings. The first was that overdoses sometimes occurred for non-target nutrients from dietary supplements, when the primary nutrient in the dietary supplement was defined as the target nutrient. For example, according to the 6th Ed. UL, only three persons took an excess dose of vitamin A among vitamin supplement users, whereas 12 people consumed an excess dose of vitamin A among the "others" type of supplement users (Table 5). The second was that overdose sometimes occurred in users of "multiple" dietary supplements. In this study, according to the 6th Ed. UL, five people consumed an excess dose of vitamin A from "multiple" dietary supplements which belonged to different categories.

Stewart et al¹¹ reported that there was a wide range of intake of vitamins from dietary supplements. Subjects who took more than 10 times the Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDAs) in the US were observed for vitamin B group, vitamin C, vitamin E,

niacin, and pantothenic acid intakes. Other studies reported that some dietary supplement users consumed excess doses of some nutrients as compared to the RDAs.^{6-9,39,40} Rock et al² noted that a few women consumed potentially toxic levels of vitamin A, vitamin B₆, iron, and zinc from dietary supplements. People need to be aware that excessive use of some dietary supplements may produce undesirable health effects.^{41,42} Because we did not include fortified foods and modified foods among dietary supplements in this study, nutrient intake from those foods was not included the estimation of total nutrient intake. We are apprehensive that excessive levels of nutrient intake could be more common people with in a combination of fortified foods, modified foods and dietary supplement use.

The main strength of this study is the development of the nutrient content database of more than 900 dietary supplements, and the use of this database to calculate nutrient intake from these products for more than 2,000 middle-aged and older people. Although our database of dietary supplements is extensive, a lack of information on some dietary supplements still exists. Information on the nutrient content of some products available in the marketplace had not been obtained even by the producer and/or was difficult to get,^{6,7,43-45} because dietary supplements except for medicines are not required to show their nutrient contents.

APPENDIX

We succeeded in constructing the database of more than 1500 dietary supplement products in April 2006. The database has been regularly updated according to the study. We will make latest dietary supplement database generally available, but for non-profit use only, in the internet website (<http://www.nils.go.jp/department/ep/index-j.html>) of our institute, without a need for permission. The authors, however, request that this article be cited when a study in which the data, or even a part of it, were used is published or open to the public. We expect that this database will be useful for the prevention of excess intake of dietary supplements and contribute to the development of research on nutritional epidemiology.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the participants and colleagues in the NILS-LSA, especially the following dietitians for their painstaking efforts in conducting the dietary supplement survey: Ms. Youko Inoue, Ms. Miyuki Tuji, Ms. Namie Yamada, Ms. Michiyo Tanaka, Ms. Chika Nagata, Ms. Satoko Yata, Ms. Sayaka Kitagawa, and Ms. Yoshiko Ookubo.

REFERENCES

1. Japan Health Food & Nutrition Food Association. The newest dietary supplement guidebook 2003. Tokyo: Health Food &

- Nutrition Food Association, 2003. (in Japanese)
2. Rock CL, Newman V, Flatt SW, Faerber S, Wright FA, Pierce JP. Nutrient intakes from foods and dietary supplements in women at risk for breast cancer recurrence. The Women's Healthy Eating and Living Study Group. *Nutr Cancer* 1997; 29: 133-9.
 3. White E, Kristal AR, Shikany JM, Wilson AC, Chen C, Mares-Perlman JA, et al. Correlates of serum alpha- and gamma-tocopherol in the Women's Health Initiative. *Ann Epidemiol* 2001; 11: 136-44.
 4. Patterson RE, Kristal AR, Tinker LF, Carterb RA, Bolton MP, Agurs-Collins T. Measurement characteristics of the women's health initiative food frequency questionnaire. *Ann Epidemiol* 1999; 9: 178-87.
 5. Bates CJ, Prentice A, van der Pols JC, Walmsley C, Pentieva KD, Finch S, et al. Estimation of the use of dietary supplements in the National Diet and Nutrition Survey: people aged 65 years and over. An observed paradox and a recommendation. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1998; 52: 917-23.
 6. Greger JL. Dietary supplement use: Consumer characteristics and interests. *J Nutr* 2001; 131: 1339S-43S.
 7. American Dietetic Association. Position of the American Dietetic Association: food fortification and dietary supplements. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2001; 101: 115-25.
 8. Balluz LS, Kieszak SM, Philen RM, Mulinare J. Vitamin and mineral supplement use in the United States. Results from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Arch Fam Med* 2000; 9: 258-62.
 9. Subar AF, Block G. Use of vitamin and mineral supplements: demographics and amounts of nutrients consumed. The 1987 Health Interview Survey. *Am J Epidemiol* 1990; 132: 1091-101.
 10. Erkkola M, Karppinen M, Javanainen J, Räsänen L, Knip M, Virtanen SM. Validity and reproducibility of a food Frequency questionnaire for pregnant finnish women. *Am J Epidemiol* 2001; 154: 466-76.
 11. Block G, Sinha R, Gridley G. Collection of dietary-supplement data and implications for analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1994; 59: 232S-39S.
 12. Shimokata H, Ando F, Niino N. A new comprehensive study on aging-the National Institute for Longevity Sciences, Longitudinal Study of Aging (NILS-LSA). *J Epidemiol* 2000; 10: S1-S9.
 13. Newman V, Rock CL, Faerber S, Flatt SW, Wright FA, Pierce JP. Dietary supplement use by women at risk for breast cancer recurrence. The Women's Healthy Eating and Living Study Group. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1998; 98: 285-92.
 14. Hakura T, Murakami T, Maruyama C. Study on habitual use of dietary supplements and/or special health foods in male subjects in a major general trading corporation. *Jpn J Nutr* 1995; 53: 209-15. (in Japanese)
 15. Science and Technology Agency. Standard Tables of food composition in Japan 5th revised ed. Tokyo: Printing Bureau, Ministry of Finance; 2000. (in Japanese)
 16. Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare. The National Nutrition Survey in Japan, 2002. Tokyo:Daiichi Public, 2004. (in Japanese)
 17. Ministry of Health and Welfare. Recommended dietary allowance 6th ed. Daiichi Public, Tokyo, 1999. (in Japanese)
 18. Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare. Dietary reference intakes for Japanese, 2005. Daiichi Public, Tokyo, 2005. (in Japanese)
 19. Imai T, Sakai S, Mori K, Ando F, Niino N, Shimokata H. Nutritional assessments of 3-day dietary records in National Institute for Longevity Sciences - Longitudinal Study of Aging (NILS-LSA). *J Epidemiol* 2000; 10: S70-S76.
 20. SAS/STAT User's guide, Version 8. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc., 1999.
 21. Ishihara J, Sobue T, Yamamoto S, Sasaki S, Akabane M, Tsugane S. Validity and reproducibility of a self-administered questionnaire to determine dietary supplement users among Japanese. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2001; 55: 360-5.
 22. Radimer K, Bindewald B, Hughes J, Ervin B, Swanson C, Picciano MF. Dietary supplement use by US adults: Data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999-2000. *Am J Epidemiol* 2004; 160: 339-49.
 23. Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare. The National Nutrition Survey in Japan, 2001. Tokyo: Daiichi Public, 2003. (in Japanese)
 24. Patterson RE, Kristal AR, Levy L, McLerran D, White E. Validity of methods used to assess vitamin and mineral supplement use. *Am J Epidemiol* 1998; 148: 643-9.
 25. Kim I, Williamson DF, Byers T, Koplan JP. Vitamin and mineral supplement use and mortality in a US cohort. *Am J Public Health* 1993; 83: 546-50.
 26. Satia-Abouta J, Patterson RE, King IB, Stratton KL, Shattuck AL, Kristal AR, Potter JD, Thorngquist MD, White E. Reliability and validity of self-report of vitamin and mineral supplement use in the vitamins and llifestyle study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2003; 157: 944-54.
 27. Dwyer J, Picciano MF, Raiten DJ. Collection of food and dietary supplement intake data: what we eat in America-NHANES. *J Nutr* 2003; 133: 590S-600S.
 28. Slesinski MJ, Subar AF, Kahle LL. Dietary intake of fat, fiber and other nutrients is related to the use of vitamin and mineral supplements in the United States: the 1992 National Health Interview Survey. *J Nutr* 1996; 126: 3001-8.
 29. Bender MM, Levy AS, Schucker RE, Yetley EA. Trends in prevalence and magnitude of vitamin and mineral supplement usage and correlation with health status. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1992; 92: 1096-101.
 30. Lyle BJ, Mares-Perlman JA, Klein BE, Klein R, Greger JL. Supplement users differ from nonusers in demographic, lifestyle, dietary and health characteristics. *J Nutr* 1998; 128: 2355-62.
 31. Stewart ML, McDonald JT, Levy AS, Schucker RE,

- Henderson DP. Vitamin/mineral supplement use: a telephone survey of adults in the United States. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1985; 85: 1585-90.
32. Radimer KL, Subar AF, Thompson FE. Nonvitamin, nonmineral dietary supplements: issues and findings from NHANES III. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2000; 100: 447-54.
 33. Schaffer DM, Gordon NP, Jensen CD, Avins AL. Nonvitamin, nonmineral supplement use over a 12-month period by adult members of a large health maintenance organization. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2003; 103: 1500-5.
 34. Schutz HG, Read M, Bendel R, Bhalla VS, Harrill I, Monagle JE, et al. Food supplement usage in seven western states. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1982; 36: 897-901.
 35. Foote JA, Murphy SP, Wilkens LR, Hankin JH, Henderson BE, Kolonel LN. Factors associated with dietary supplement use among healthy adults of five ethnicities: The multiethnic cohort study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2003; 157: 888-97.
 36. Slesinski MJ, Subar AF, Kahle LL. Trends in use of vitamin and mineral supplements in the United State: the 1987 and 1992 National Health Interview Surveys. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1995; 95: 921-3.
 37. Kaufman DW, Kelly JP, Rosenberg L, Anderson TE, Mitchell AA. Recent patterns of medication use in the ambulatory adult population of the United States. *The Slone Survey*. *JAMA* 2002; 287: 337-44.
 38. Winslow LC, Kroll DJ. Herbs as medicines. *Arch Intern Med* 1998; 158: 2192-9.
 39. Block G, Cox C, Madans J, Schreiber GB, Licitra L, Melia N. Vitamin supplement use, by demographic characteristics. *Am J Epidemiol* 1988; 127: 297-309.
 40. Park YK, Kim I, Yetley EA. Characteristics of vitamin and mineral supplement products in the United States. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991; 54: 750-9.
 41. Koplan JP, Annett JL, Layde PM, Rubin GL. Nutrient intake and supplementation in the United States (NHANES II). *Am J Public Health* 1986; 76: 287-9.
 42. Palmer ME, Haller C, McKinney PE, Klein-Schwartz W, Tachirgi A, Smolinske SC, et al. Adverse events associated with dietary supplements: an observational study. *Lancet* 2003; 361: 101-6.
 43. Fugh-Berman A. Herb-drug interactions. *Lancet* 2000; 355: 134-8.
 44. Dwyer J, Picciano MF, Raiten DJ. Food and dietary supplement databases for what we eat in America? NHANES. *J Nutr* 2003; 133: 624S-634S.
 45. Neuhouser ML. Neuhouser. Dietary supplement use by American women: Challenges in assessing patterns of use, motives and costs. *J Nutr* 2003; 133: 1992S-1996S.

AGE-RELATED CHANGES OF POSTURAL STABILITY AND PHYSICAL
FUNCTION IN MIDDLE-AGED AND ELDERLY JAPANESE

*Department of Epidemiology, National Institute for Longevity Sciences, National Center for
Geriatrics and Gerontology: NILS/NCGG, Obu, Japan*

RUMI KOZAKAI

WATARU DOYO

FUJIKO ANDO

HIROSHI SHIMOKATA

Japanese Journal of Physical Fitness and Sports Medicine

(Vol. 55 Suppl Oct. 2006)

AGE-RELATED CHANGES OF POSTURAL STABILITY AND PHYSICAL FUNCTION IN MIDDLE-AGED AND ELDERLY JAPANESE

RUMI KOZAKAI, WATARU DOYO, FUJIKO ANDO and HIROSHI SHIMOKATA

Abstract

The aim of the present study was to clarify the relationships between age-related changes of postural stability and physical function in middle-aged and elderly Japanese. The subjects were 640 males and 620 females who had participated in both the baseline and the 4-year follow-up surveys of the National Institute for Longevity Sciences-Longitudinal Study of Aging. Postural stability was measured using a force platform. Flexibility, muscle function, reaction time, balance and comfortable and maximal gait performance were also measured as physical function. Postural sway was increased in 4 years. Multiple logistic regression analysis controlled for age, sex, height, weight and history of diseases revealed significant relationships between decline of postural stability and sit-ups, flexibility, frequency and velocity at comfortable gait and leg extension power at baseline. These results suggest that not only greater abdominal muscle strength and leg power but also quick walking benefit the preservation of postural stability.

(Jpn. J. Phys. Fitness Sports Med. 2006, 55 Suppl : S227~S230)

key word : postural stability, abdominal muscle strength, gait performance, longitudinal study, middle-aged and elderly

INTRODUCTION

Postural instability was observed in elderly people¹⁾. However, few population-based longitudinal studies have been presented in the literature. Previous studies suggested that poor balance is one of the risk factors of falls, which is a serious problem for the elderly^{2,3)}. It is important to investigate the relationship between age-related changes of postural stability and other physical function such as physical fitness and gait performance in order to develop effective strategy for maintaining balance or preventing falls. The aim of the present study was to estimate the changes of postural stability in 4 years and to clarify the relationships between age-related changes of postural stability and physical function in middle-aged and elderly Japanese.

METHODS

Subjects : The data of this study were collected as part of the National Institute for Longevity Sciences-Longitudinal Study of Aging (NILS-LSA). In this project, the normal aging process has been

assessed using detailed questionnaires and examinations including clinical evaluations, blood chemistries, anthropometrical measurements, physical fitness tests, nutritional analysis, and psychological examinations. Details of the study are reported elsewhere⁴⁾. The initial survey of NILS-LSA involved 2267 males and females aged 40~79 years. They were gender- and decade age-stratified random samples living in Obu-shi and Higashiura-cho Aichi Prefecture, Japan. The subjects for this study were 640 males (mean age at baseline ; 58.0 ± 10.3 years) and 620 females (56.8 ± 10.2 years) who had participated in both of the baseline and the 4-year follow-up surveys. Written informed consent was obtained from all the participants. The Ethical Committee of the National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology has already approved all procedures of the NILS-LSA (Approval number : 175).

Variables :

- 1) Postural stability (baseline, follow-up)

The measurements of postural stability were carried out using a force platform (GE Yokogawa Medical Systems Co., Japan) in quiet upright bipedal

Department of Epidemiology, National Institute for Longevity Sciences, National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology : NILS/NCGG, Obu, Japan




Figure 1. Examples of sway trajectory and output parameters.

stance under the eyes open and closed condition for 60 seconds. Output parameters to examine the amount of motion were the sway area, maximum anterior-posterior and medio-lateral length and total path length in both eyes conditions (Figure 1). The Romberg ratio (sway with eyes open/sway with eyes closed) was calculated in sway area and total path length.

2) Physical function (baseline)

Flexibility, grip strength, one-leg balance, reaction time, leg extension power, sit-ups, knee extension strength (Takei Co., Japan), step length, step frequency, and velocity during 10 m comfortable and maximal gait (Yagami Co., Japan) were measured as physical function.

3) Covariates (baseline)

Height and weight were measured using a digital scale. Body mass index was calculated as weight divided by height squared ($BMI; kg/m^2$). Body fat mass was assessed by dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA; QDR-4500A, Hologic, USA). Medical histories such as stroke, hypertension and diabetes mellitus were determined by questionnaire and interview.

Statistical analysis: Measurements of postural stability were compared by paired-t test between baseline and follow-up. Percent changes in the postural stability variables were calculated as the (change/baseline value) $\times 100$. Multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to assess the relationships

between changes of postural stability and each physical function. Odds ratios were calculated from the change of sway area with eyes closed (case: change ≥ 2 s. d., control: change < 2 s. d.) per 1 s. d. increase of each physical function controlled for age, sex, height, weight and history of diseases. Significant probability levels were less than 0.05. Statistical testing was performed using Statistical Analysis System release. 8.2 (SAS Institute Inc. NC, USA).

RESULTS

The characteristics of the subjects were summarized in Table 1. Subjects who reported history of diseases such as stroke, hypertension or diabetes mellitus were 29.7% of male and 25.2% of female. Four-year changes in postural stability were showed in Table 2. Postural sway significantly increased over the follow-up period excluded medio-lateral length with eyes open and total path length in both eyes conditions ($p < 0.05$). Romberg ratio significantly decreased over follow-up period in both sway area and total path length ($p < 0.05$). Mean percent changes in postural stability were showed in Figure 2. Mean percent change in the sway area with eyes closed (20.2%) was the greatest of all parameters. Therefore, the sway area was decided as an indicator of decline in postural stability. The relationship between decline in postural stability and physical function was shown in Table 3. Multiple logistic regression analysis controlled for age, sex, height, weight and history of diseases revealed significant relationship between

Table 1. Characteristics of subjects.

		Male (n=640)	Female (n=620)
Height	(cm)	165.0 \pm 6.2	152.4 \pm 5.8
Weight	(kg)	62.8 \pm 8.8	53.0 \pm 8.0
BMI	(kg/m^2)	23.0 \pm 2.7	22.8 \pm 3.1
% Body fat	(%)	21.2 \pm 4.1	31.2 \pm 4.9
History of diseases [†]	(%)	29.7	25.2

Mean \pm S. D.

[†]: Subjects who had, stroke, hypertension or diabetes mellitus.

Table 2. Four-year changes in postural stability.

		Baseline	Follow-up	Absolute change
Eyes open				
Sway area*	(cm ²)	2.26 ± 1.17	2.34 ± 1.16	0.08 ± 1.09
Anterior-posterior length*	(cm)	2.44 ± 0.74	2.78 ± 0.82	0.34 ± 0.85
Medio-lateral length	(cm)	1.99 ± 0.60	1.98 ± 0.58	-0.01 ± 0.61
Total path length*	(cm)	78.88 ± 16.50	72.01 ± 19.69	-6.87 ± 14.53
Eyes closed				
Sway area*	(cm ²)	3.80 ± 2.37	4.20 ± 3.01	0.40 ± 2.21
Anterior-posterior length*	(cm)	2.97 ± 0.95	3.09 ± 1.05	0.12 ± 0.98
Medio-lateral length*	(cm)	2.57 ± 0.90	2.76 ± 1.00	0.19 ± 0.89
Total path length	(cm)	107.60 ± 36.67	106.83 ± 44.76	-0.74 ± 29.59
Romberg ratio				
Sway area*	-	0.69 ± 0.34	0.66 ± 0.31	-0.02 ± 0.41
Total path length*	-	0.76 ± 0.13	0.71 ± 0.14	-0.05 ± 0.14

Mean ± S. D., *p < 0.05 ; paired-t test.




Figure 2. Mean percent changes in postural stability.

Table 3. Relationship between baseline physical function and postural stability change.

	Odds ratio †	95% CI	p-value
Flexibility	1.048	1.008 - 1.090	<0.05
Grip strength	1.002	0.938 - 1.069	
One-leg balance with eyes closed	0.972	0.933 - 1.013	
Reaction time	1.440	0.049 - 42.022	
Leg extensor power	0.997	0.994 - 1.000	<0.05
Sit-ups	0.921	0.850 - 0.998	<0.05
Knee extensor strength	0.962	0.918 - 1.008	
Comfortable gait			
step length	0.987	0.944 - 1.032	
step frequency	0.967	0.940 - 0.995	<0.05
velocity	0.965	0.936 - 0.995	<0.05
Maximum gait			
step length	0.975	0.934 - 1.018	
step frequency	1.004	0.981 - 1.027	
velocity	0.986	0.960 - 1.012	

†: Odds ratios of remarkable change of sway area with eyes closed (case : change ≥ 2 s. d., control : change < 2 s. d.) per 1 s. d. increase of each physical function controlled for age, sex, height, weight and history of diseases (stroke, hypertension or diabetes mellitus).

increased postural sway and sit-ups (Odds ratio per 1 s.d. = 0.921, 95% confidence interval = 0.850-0.998), flexibility (1.048, 1.008-1.090), step frequency (0.967, 0.940-0.995) and velocity (0.965, 0.936-0.995) at comfortable gait and leg extension power (0.997, 0.994-1.000).

DISCUSSION

The present study estimated the changes of postural stability in 4 years and the relationship between age-related changes of postural stability and physical function, corrected for age, sex, height, weight and history of diseases, based on a random sample of the middle-aged and elderly Japanese.

Previous cross-sectional studies suggested that the decline in postural stability associated with aging^{1,5-7}). However, little is known about the contribution of age on postural stability in a population-based longitudinal study. In one follow-up study, Baloh et al suggested that static sway test was relatively insensitive for identifying age-related increased in sway than dynamic tests⁶). However, our results revealed that postural sway, excluding sway length was increased in 4 years. Age-associated impairment of postural control on static condition was demonstrated in the study.

The sway under the eyes closed condition was increased remarkably. The proprioceptive system or vestibular system may deteriorate with aging. Gill et al suggested that eye closure reduces the availability of visual information and shifts postural mechanisms towards vestibular and proprioceptive control, which may be unfavorable to the postural control in elderly subjects⁷). Our result is supported by previous studies^{2,3,7}).

In the logistic regression analysis, increased sway was negatively associated with sit-ups, leg extensor power and comfortable gait, and was positively associated with flexibility. Although Load et al indicated that increased body sway on the foam was

associated with reduced quadriceps and ankle dorsiflexion strength⁸), there were few studies to assess the relationship between components of physical fitness and postural stability. Our results suggest that not only greater abdominal muscle strength and leg power but also quick walking and lower trunk flexibility may benefit the preservation of postural stability.

In conclusion, the findings indicate that postural sway increased with aging in community dwelling middle-aged and elderly. Greater abdominal and lower extremity muscle strength and quick walking were associated with the preservation of postural stability.

REFERENCES

- 1) Baloh, R. W., Fife, T. D., Zwerling, L., Socotch, T., Jacobson, K., Bell, T. & Beykirch, K. Comparison of static and dynamic posturography in young and older normal people. *JAGS*, (1994), **42**, 405-412.
- 2) Maki, B. E., Holliday, P. J. & Topper, A. K. A prospective study of postural balance and risk of falling in an ambulatory and independent elderly population. *J. Gerontol.*, (1994), **49**, M72-M84.
- 3) Melzer, I., Benjuya, N. & Kaplanski, J. Postural stability in the elderly: a comparison between fallers and non-fallers. *Age and Ageing*, (2004), **33**, 602-607.
- 4) Shimokata, H., Ando, F. & Niino, N. A new comprehensive study on aging-the National Institute for Longevity Science, Longitudinal Study of Aging (NILS-LSA). *J Epidemiol.*, (2000), **10**, S1-S9.
- 5) Fujita, T., Nakamura, S., Ohue, M., Fujii, Y., Miyauchi, A., Takagi, Y. & Tsugeno, H. Effect of age on body sway assessed by computerized posturography. *J Bone Miner Metab.*, (2005), **23**, 152-156.
- 6) Baloh, R. W., Corona, S., Jacobson, K.M., Enrietto, J. A. & Bell, T. A prospective study of posturography in normal older people. *JAGS*, (1998), **46**, 438-443
- 7) Gill, J., Allum, J. H. J., Carpenter, M. G., Held-Ziolkowska, M., Adkin, A. L., Honegger, F. & Pierchala, K. Trunk sway measures of postural stability during clinical balance tests: Effects of age. *J. Gerontol.*, (2001), **56A**, M438-M447.
- 8) Load, S. R., Clark, R. D. & Webstar, I. W. Postural stability and associated physiological factors in a population of aged persons. *J. Gerontol.*, (1991), **46**, M69-76.

第47回日本老年医学会学術集会記録
〈老年医療における Controversy〉

3. 高齢者の生活習慣はどこまで是正すべきか (Pro)

下方 浩史

日本老年医学会雑誌 第43巻 第4号 別刷

3. 高齢者の生活習慣はどこまで是正すべきか (Pro)

下方 浩史

要約 健康長寿を目指すためには生活習慣の改善が最も重要である。喫煙や飲酒のコントロール、肥満防止、栄養改善、運動習慣などの生活習慣の改善は、寝たきりを防止して健康寿命を延ばしていくためには不可欠である。生活習慣の是正は小児期から必要であり、青年期、中年期から老年期まで、生涯にわたって必要であるが、ライフステージごとに方法や目標は異なる。75歳以上の後期高齢者では肥満よりも痩せの危険が高いことを認識し栄養指導を行うことが必要である。喫煙による循環器疾患や呼吸器疾患への影響としては急性の不整脈の誘発や、末梢血管の収縮、気道への刺激などもあり、禁煙は高齢者でも有用と考えられる。また代謝予備力が落ちているために飲酒量も減らすことが望ましい。運動習慣は高齢者の身体活動能力を維持するだけでなく、代謝機能を高め、鬱を予防するなど心身の健康維持に重要であり、運動教室などを利用して積極的な介入を行っていくべきであろう。

Key words : 生活習慣, 老年病, 予防, 栄養, 喫煙

(日老医誌 2006; 43: 462-464)

高齢者の生活習慣への介入

生活習慣病は、食事、肥満、身体活動、喫煙、飲酒などの生活習慣に起因する疾患であり日本人の死因の大部分を占めるがん、心臓病、脳卒中がその代表的疾患である¹⁾。また高齢者に多い痴呆や骨粗鬆症も生活習慣が重要な因子である場合が多い。生活習慣病は、性別や年齢、遺伝的素因、さらには職業や教育など社会的要因が相互に作用しあって発症する。したがって、これらの背景要因を考慮し、生活習慣への介入を行って疾病の発症予防、進行の予防、そして再発の予防を行うことが重要である。

生活習慣病の予防には小児期、青年期、中年期、老年期のそれぞれのライフステージに応じた戦略が必要である(図1)。小児期、青年期には将来の疾患発症を予防するための一次予防に重点を置いた指導が行われる。小児期には基本的な生活習慣が形作られるため、それに対応しての家庭や学校での健康教育が重要である。塩味や油の多い食事への嗜好なども小児期に形成される。青年期には栄養や運動など一生にかかわる生活習慣が確立する。また喫煙や飲酒の習慣もこの頃から始まることが多い。中年期には疾患の早期発見・早期治療を目指す二次予防も重要となる。効率的な健診の体制作りが必要だろう。さらに老年期には再発の予防を中心とした三次予防も重要である。

75歳未満の前期高齢者は元気である。多くの人が職




図1 ライフステージ別に見た生活習慣病の予防
生活習慣病の予防には小児期、青年期、中年期、老年期のそれぞれのライフステージに応じた戦略が必要である。

についており、また積極的に社会参加をしている。喫煙や飲酒のコントロール、肥満防止、栄養改善、運動習慣などの生活習慣の改善は、寝たきりを防止して健康寿命を延ばしていくためには不可欠である。一方、75歳以上の後期高齢者では加齢による身体機能の変化に対応し、10年先、20年先のことも現在の生活の質(Quality of life; QOL)を考慮した生活習慣への介入が必要だろう。

肥満と老化

食餌制限と寿命との関係については、1930年代のMcCayによるラットを使った有名な実験があり²⁾、自由

無制限の食餌を与えたラットより食餌を制限したラットの方が長生きするという結果は基礎老化の研究者の間ではよく知られている³⁴⁾。

人間ではやせていればいるほど健康にいいのか、もしそうでないなら、どの程度の体重であるのが医学的には理想なのか。Andres は米国の生命保険会社のデータから、体重 (kg) を身長 (m) の二乗で割って求めた Body Mass Index (BMI) を身長とは無相関の肥満の指標として用い、各年代ごとに最も死亡率の低い BMI をも求めた³⁾。この結果死亡率を縦軸、BMI を横軸にとった時、きれいな U 字を描くことを示した。BMI の小さいやせた人では、肺炎や結核などの感染症の発病率が高く、BMI の大きな太った人では糖尿病や心臓病などの発病率が高くなる。男女別に、各年齢毎にこのようなグラフを作成し、死亡率の最も低い肥満度を求めてみると、この理想的な肥満度の値は加齢とともに大きくなっている⁹⁾。男女で大きな差はなく年齢とともにほぼ直線的に理想的な BMI の値が大きくなっていく。

BMI による高齢者の肥満評価には、加齢に伴う椎間の狭小化、椎骨の圧迫骨折による脊椎前弯の増強などにより身長が年齢とともに低下し、BMI は本来あるべき値よりも、大きくなっていることにも留意せねばならない。

予備力が低下している後期高齢者では無理な減量はかえって健康を害することが多い。しかし複数の代謝性合併症を有するメタボリック・シンドローム、下肢の骨関節障害を有する高度肥満、睡眠時無呼吸症候群を有する高度肥満などでは高齢者においても減量は必要であると考えられる⁷⁾。

一方で、高齢者では骨格筋萎縮に伴う基礎代謝の低下、味覚などの感覚器機能低下、ジギタリス製剤等の食欲を低下させる副作用のある薬物の使用、味気ない減塩食や老人食、ACE 阻害剤などの薬剤による亜鉛欠乏症等により、食欲が低下していることが多い。慢性的に栄養不良の高齢者も多く、肥満よりもむしろやせのリスクに注意する必要がある⁸⁾。

喫煙と老年病

喫煙により消化器の運動が低下する。口腔の衛生状態が悪くなり、歯周病のため歯牙が脱落する。喫煙で口がまずい、味覚障害などから食欲も低下する。1本のタバコを吸うと約 10 キロカロリーが使われる⁹⁾。1パック 20本の喫煙では 200 キロカロリーが消費される、これは 1 時間の歩行とほぼ同等のエネルギー消費である。このため喫煙は体重減少の要因となる。

喫煙はさまざまな老年病の危険因子でもある。アルツハイマー病についてはハワイ在住日系人ではリスクは 2.4 倍と報告されている¹⁰⁾。喫煙による痩せ、エストロ

ゲン抑制、骨カルシウム代謝障害などが骨粗鬆症の要因になる¹¹⁾。また喫煙は老人性難聴¹²⁾、老人性白内障¹³⁾、加齢に伴う記憶力障害¹⁴⁾の要因でもある。慢性気管支炎、肺気腫の閉塞性肺疾患は高齢者に多くみられ、また喫煙との関連が強い。多くの化学物質が直接に気道に作用し、刺激により炎症反応を引き起こす。慢性閉塞性肺疾患による肺機能低下は禁煙によって回復しないが、禁煙をすることで、それ以上の悪化を防ぐことはできる。むしろ禁煙が進行を予防する唯一の手段である。

一般にがんは発がん物質に曝露されてから、実際にがんが見つかるまでの期間が長い。このため若い頃から喫煙を継続している高齢者が禁煙をしても、若い成人と同じようにがんのリスクを下げるような効果があるかどうかは不明である。

喫煙による循環器疾患や呼吸器疾患への影響は急性の不整脈の誘発や、末梢血管の収縮、気道への刺激など急性の影響もあり、禁煙は、高齢者でも有用と考えられる。しかし Framingham Study での 18 年間の観察で 65 歳以上の群では禁煙による虚血性心疾患のリスク低下は認められなかったとする報告もある¹⁵⁾。

喫煙者の近くで、副流煙・排出煙を吸わされる受動喫煙は、主流煙を吸う喫煙者本人よりも有害である。家族、主として夫の喫煙による妻への影響、あるいは子どもや孫への影響も重要である。特に小さな子どもや妊婦への影響は大きい。大家族で暮らすことの多い日本では、高齢者の喫煙に関して、こうした家庭での環境についても考慮が必要であろう。

高齢者の飲酒

適量の飲酒は、血清脂質、耐糖能、インスリン抵抗性を改善させる。しかし少量の飲酒でも高血圧の要因となりうるので要注意である。飲酒は高齢者の脳出血のリスクを上げる。加齢に伴いアルコール代謝機能が低下し、顔面紅潮などの頻度が高くなる。飲酒量を一般成人よりも減らすことも重要であろう。もちろん慢性肝炎・肝硬変では高齢者でも禁酒は必要であろう。

高齢者の身体活動

身体活動は加齢に伴う耐糖能を改善させ、骨粗鬆症を予防し、高齢者の循環器機能を維持するためきわめて重要である。我々は高齢者の身体活動が、うつを予防する効果のあることを報告している¹⁶⁾。

高齢者の身体機能の維持・改善、QOL の向上を目指し、介護予防を行っていくためにも生涯にわたっての介入が望ましい。積極的なソーシャル・サポートや家族からの支えによって、閉じこもりや、寝たきりを防止していくことも重要であろう。

しかし運動指導には、高齢者に多い循環器疾患、骨関

節疾患, 呼吸器疾患などに留意し, 個人ごとの対応が必要である。

おわりに

健康長寿を目指すためには, 人の一生を通じて生活習慣の是正が欠かせない。しかし, その目標や方法は加齢の進行によって異なる。特に75歳以上の後期高齢者では現在のQOLを重視した生活指導が行われるべきである。高齢者では肥満よりも痩せが問題になる。健康の維持のためには食欲の低下による栄養不良, 体重減少を予防していくことが必要であり, 食事の制限や減塩などはどうしても必要な場合に限るべきであろう。喫煙は高齢者でも避けるべきであり, 過度の飲酒も好ましくない。また, 高齢者では心身の健康の維持のために運動習慣への積極的な介入が必要である。

文 献

- 1) 厚生統計協会: 国民衛生の動向. 厚生指標 2004; 51: 45-56.
- 2) McCay CM, Crowell MF, Maynard LA: The effect of retarded growth upon the length of life span and upon the ultimate body size. *J Nutr* 1935; 10: 63-79.
- 3) Lindsay DG: Diet and ageing: the possible relation to reactive oxygen species. *J Nutr Health Aging* 1999; 3: 84-91.
- 4) Masoro EJ: Possible mechanisms underlying the antiaging actions of caloric restriction. *Toxicol Pathol* 1996; 24: 738-741.
- 5) Andres R: Effect of obesity on total mortality. *Int J Obesity* 1980; 4: 381-386.
- 6) Andres R: Mortality and obesity: the rationale for age-specific height-weight tables. In: *Principales of Geriatric Medicine*, Andres R, Bierman EL, Hazzard WR (eds), McGraw-Hill, New York, 1985, p311-318.
- 7) 横手幸太郎, 村野俊一, 斎藤 康: 肥満. 高齢者の生活習慣病の実際 (井藤英喜編), メディカルビュー社, 東京, 2004, p82-91.
- 8) 下方浩史: 長寿のための老年者の痩せの基準. *治療* 1998; 80: 2247-2250.
- 9) Hofstetter A, Schutz Y, Jequier E, Wahren J: Increased 24-hour energy expenditure in cigarette smokers. *N Engl J Med* 1986; 314: 79-82.
- 10) Tyas SL, White LR, Petrovitch H, Webster Ross G, Foley DJ, Heimovitz HK, et al.: Mid-life smoking and late-life dementia: the Honolulu-Asia Aging Study. *Neurobiol Aging* 2003; 24: 589-596.
- 11) Mazess RB, Barden HS: Bone density in premenopausal women: effects of age, dietary intake, physical activity, smoking, and birth-control pills. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991; 53: 132-142.
- 12) 下方浩史, 安藤富士子, 岩尾 智: 聴力の加齢変化に関する研究—63,000人の大規模集団での追跡. *日老医誌* 1997; 34: 839.
- 13) Foster PJ, Wong TY, Machin D, Johnson GJ, Seah SK: Risk factors for nuclear, cortical and posterior subcapsular cataracts in the Chinese population of Singapore: the Tanjong Pagar Survey. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2003; 87: 1112-1120.
- 14) 下方浩史, 藤澤道子, 安藤富士子: 疫学調査におけるMCI. *Geriatric Medicine* 2002; 20: 303-308.
- 15) Gordon T, Kannel WB, Dawber TR, McGee D: Changes associated with quitting cigarette smoking: the Framingham Study. *Am Heart J* 1975; 90: 322-328.
- 16) Fukukawa Y, Nakashima C, Tsuboi S, Niino N, Ando F, Shimokata H, et al.: Age Differences in the Effect of Physical Activity on Depressive Symptoms. *Psychol Aging* 2004; 19: 346-351.

How far should life-style be corrected in the elderly?

Hiroshi Shimokata

Abstract

To ensure a healthy elderly population, correction of life-style is one of the most important approaches. Smoking cessation, regulation of alcohol intake, prevention of obesity, improvement of nutrition, promotion of physical activity are key factors for prevention of bed-ridden and extension of healthy life span. Although corrections of life-style are essential in childhood, adolescence, and the middle-aged and elderly periods, the methods and purpose are different in each life stage. The risks of emaciation and malnutrition are more important rather than that of obesity in the elderly aged 75 years or over. As for the influence of smoking in cardiovascular and respiratory diseases, smoking can be a trigger for arrhythmia, peripheral vascular constriction, and irritation of the respiratory tract in the elderly. Smoking cessation is necessary even among elderly people. It is also necessary to decrease the amount of alcohol intake, because the ability of metabolize alcohol is limited in the elderly. Physical activity in the elderly people is fundamental not only to maintain the ability of daily living, but also to improve metabolic function and to prevent depression. Vigorous intervention to increase physical activity such as exercise class is recommended, especially in the elderly.

Key words: *Life-style, Geriatric disease, Prevention, Nutrition, Smoking*
(*Jpn J Geriat* 2006; 43: 462-464)

Department of Epidemiology, National Institute for Longevity Sciences

Can you diagnose for vertebral fracture correctly by plain X-ray?

Z. Ito · A. Harada · Y. Matsui · M. Takemura ·
N. Wakao · T. Suzuki · T. Nihashi · S. Kawatsu ·
H. Shimokata · N. Ishiguro

Received: 31 August 2005 / Accepted: 20 March 2006
© International Osteoporosis Foundation and National Osteoporosis Foundation 2006

Abstract *Introduction:* A wrong diagnosis of latent vertebral fracture is often made when it is based on plain X-ray imaging. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has a high degree of accuracy for the definite diagnosis. This study was designed to identify ways to support improvements in the diagnostic accuracy of plain X-ray (X-P). *Methods:* We studied X-P and MRI images of 120 women and men (age range: 50–96 years). Five orthopedists and two radiologists interpreted front and lateral thoracolumbar X-Ps and MRI images. The correct diagnosis rate for the presence and location of incident vertebral fractures and the correct diagnosis rate according to morphological classifications were analyzed. *Results:* A correct diagnosis of incident fractures was made in 51.5% of cases overall. Diagnoses of non-incident fracture based on X-P in those cases with incident fracture based on MRI (false positive) occurred in 24.8% of the patients, while diagnoses of incident fracture based on X-P in those cases without incident fracture based on MRI (false

negative) occurred in 6.5% of the patients. The application of morphological classifications (the primary osteoporosis diagnostic criteria and Yoshida's classification) resulted in the correct diagnosis rate being significantly higher in the group without prevalent fracture even when there were morphological changes (wedge, indented, protruding type) in the anterior bone cortex. Odds ratios were investigated for factors that would affect the correct diagnosis rate, including age, body weight, lumbar vertebrae bone mineral density, and examiner ability. In an overall investigation, age (OR=0.660), body weight (OR=2.082), and examiner ability ($p=0.0205$) affected the correct diagnosis rate. *Conclusion:* The correct diagnosis rate for incident vertebral fractures with X-Ps was low (24.8%) and in cases with prevalent fractures, the rate was even lower (16.8%), but the number of prevalent fractures and BMD did not exert an effect. One key improving the correct diagnosis rate may be to pay attention to morphological changes in the anterior bone cortex.

Z. Ito (✉) · A. Harada · Y. Matsui · M. Takemura · N. Wakao ·
T. Suzuki

Department of Orthopedic Surgery,
National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology,
36-3 Gengo Morioka-cho,
Obu, Japan
e-mail: z.ito@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp
Tel.: +81-562-462311
Fax: +81-562-482373

T. Nihashi · S. Kawatsu
Department of Radiology,
National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology,
36-3 Gengo Morioka-cho,
Obu, Japan

H. Shimokata
Department of Epidemiology,
National Institute for Longevity Sciences,
Aichi, Japan

N. Ishiguro
Department of Orthopedic Surgery,
Nagoya University,
Nagoya, Japan

Keywords Anterior bone cortex · Bone mineral density ·
Diagnosis rate · Latent fractures · Spinal fragility fractures

Introduction

Elderly outpatients with complaints of severe lumbar back pain in almost all cases undergo plain X-ray (X-P), from which a diagnosis of the underlying condition should be made. At such times an existing lesion of vertebral disk degeneration or osteoporosis can often conceal a latent incident spinal fracture, with the result that a delayed diagnosis can make it difficult to prevent post-fracture sequelae or other problems [1]. However, reports are occasionally received that an accurate diagnosis of the existence or location of the incident fracture is difficult with X-P images only and that X-P screening images are not effective for low back pain [2, 3]. Meanwhile, many reports have stated that magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has a high degree of accuracy for the definite diagnosis of incident spinal fracture, and it continues to be used as the

more useful tool [4–6]. However, due to limitations in equipment and considerations that must be given to the economics of medical treatments, it is not possible to use MRI with all patients. In the present study, therefore, with diagnosis by MRI taken to be the correct diagnosis, we conducted a multi-lateral analysis of the diagnostic accuracy of several orthopedic surgeons and radiologists who based their diagnoses on X-P images, in order to identify ways to support improvements in diagnostic accuracy. This is a cross-sectional study.

Materials & methods

Participants

The subjects were patients above the age of 50 who were examined at the authors' hospitals between May 1999 and January 2004, and who had undergone MRI within 4 weeks of the initial examination. A non-incident fracture group consisted of patients without incident vertebral fractures, while an incident fracture group consisted of patients with incident vertebral fragility fractures caused by weak external force, such as that sustained in falls from a standing position. One hundred twenty-three patients had these conditions. After excluding patients who had a history of primary or metastatic bone tumor, infectious disease, hematological disorders, or compression fracture within the previous year, which would leave spots with high signal intensity on the MRI images (three patients), the final number of subjects for the study was 120, of whom 112 were women and eight were men, with ages ranging from 50 to 96 years (mean age: 75.6 years).

Measurements

Five orthopedists and two radiologists from our hospital interpreted anteroposterior (A-P) and lateral thoracolumbar X-Ps taken during the initial examination. They did not question the patients or have access to physiological

findings, and the images were arranged by a third party with the patients' IDs and names concealed. The correct diagnoses were taken to be those of two radiologists not involved in the treatment of the patients who, in consultation with each other, reached the same conclusion based on MRI [1.5T, T1-weighted images (SE: TR/TE = 400/15 ms); T2-weighted images (SE: TR/TE = 2500/120 ms)]. In this study, a definition of a fracture based on the MRI image also included a bone bruise without deformity as an incident fracture. Differences in the ability of the five orthopedists to interpret spinal X-P images were investigated in advance. The subjects of this investigation were 89 healthy community residents who underwent thoracolumbar spine X-P for the purpose of a long-term longitudinal epidemiological study at our hospital. Each orthopedist classified the vertebral spines (Nathan's classification [7]) on an A-P thoracolumbar image, after which intraclass correlation coefficients were calculated using SAS (Statistical Analysis Software, Cary N.C.) ver. 8.2, and the level of coincidence was observed. The results revealed no significant difference in the ability of the orthopedists to interpret radiographs, with intra-class correlation (ICC) = 0.739 [95%CI for ICC: 0.679–0.799]. Accordingly, assuming that there was no difference in the ability to interpret spinal X-P images, the correct diagnosis rate for the presence and location of incident spinal fractures and the correct diagnosis rate according to the morphological classifications (classifications of Genant et al. [8] and Yoshida [9]) of the incidental fractured vertebral body were analyzed, and subjects were divided into three groups for the analysis of factors affecting correct diagnosis: (1) non-incident fracture group with and without prevalent fractures (non-incident fracture group); (2) incident fracture group without prevalent fractures; (3) incident fracture group with prevalent fractures. Bone mineral density (BMD) was measured using dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DPX; Lunar, GE Healthcare, UK) in bones of the entire body, the lumbar vertebrae, and the femoral neck. The density for the lumbar vertebrae (L2–4) was adopted for the present study.

Table 1 Baseline data (means ± standard deviation)

I	Fracture group	Incident fracture group		Non-incident fracture group ^a	
		Without prevalent fracture	With prevalent fracture		
^a Number	67	24	43	53	
Age (year)	79.9±7.9	76.8±7.2	81.1±7.9	68.9±9.6	
Weight (kg)	43.4±8.3	46.1±9.1	42.2±7.7	49.9±7.3	
Height (cm)	146.3±7.1	148.1±5.5	145.5±7.6	148.39±6.2	
Lumbar BMD(g/cm ²)	0.74±0.19	0.84±0.18	0.70±0.27	0.90±0.24	
One new fracture	50	21	29	-	
Two new fractures	9	2	7	-	
Three new fractures	7	1	6	-	
More new fractures	1	0	1	-	

Values are means±SD

^aSignificant differences were seen in age, height, weight, and lumbar vertebrae BMD

Statistical analysis

SAS ver. 8.2 was used for the accumulation and analysis of data. In comparing the correct diagnosis rate for fractured vertebral body morphology, adjustments were made using the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel method for variations in age, body weight, lumbar spine bone mineral density, and examiner ability, and analysis was conducted with ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparison test, and logistic regression analysis.

Results

Number of patients and fractured vertebrae

Of the 120 patients, 67 patients were diagnosed with incident fractures with and without prevalent fractures in 95 vertebrae, including single incident fractures in 50 patients and two or more incident fractures in 17 patients. There was non-incident fracture with and without prevalent fractures in 53 patients. The group of incident fractures without prevalent fractures consisted of 24 patients and 28 vertebrae, and with prevalent fractures, of 43 patients and 67 vertebrae. Significant differences were seen in age, height, weight, and lumbar vertebrae BMD ($p < 0.0001$) (Table 1).

A breakdown of correct and incorrect diagnoses

A correct diagnosis of incident fracture was made in 51.5% of cases overall. A breakdown shows that in cases when non-incident fracture was seen by MRI the correct response of non-incident fracture (true negative) was made in 37.7% of cases and the correct diagnosis of incident fracture (true positive) was judged to have occurred in 13.8% of cases. The location of incident fracture was mistaken in 17.2% of the cases. Responses of non-incident fracture on X-P images in cases with incident fracture (false negative) occurred in 24.8% of the cases, while responses of incident fracture on X-P images in cases of non-incident fracture (false positive) occurred in 6.5% of the cases (Table 2).

The overall rate of correct diagnosis

Non-incident fracture group

We next compared the correct diagnosis rate of incident fractures by the five examiners in each of the three groups. The correct diagnosis rate of the five examiners was high overall, reaching 85.3% (73.6–92.5%) in the non-incident fracture group. The overall diagnosis rate was also high with no significant variation between the five examiners ($p = 0.486$).

Incident fracture group without prevalent fractures

The overall correct diagnosis rate for the incident fracture group without prevalent fractures was 39.3% (21–58.3%), and significant variation was seen between the five examiners ($p = 0.04$).

Incident fracture group with prevalent fractures

Despite the low overall correct diagnosis rate of 16.8% (9.3–21%) in the incident fracture group with prevalent fractures, no significant difference was seen in the correct diagnosis rate between the five examiners, and overall the diagnosis rate was low ($p = 0.432$).

Thus, the correct diagnosis rate for incident fractures decreased significantly in the non-incident fracture group followed by the incident fracture group without prevalent fractures, and the incident fracture group with prevalent fractures, in that order. However, a second investigation after adjusting for differences in age, weight, and lumbar vertebrae BMD revealed significant variation in all three groups (Fig. 1).

The kappa score of interexaminers


The median kappa-score of all examiners was 0.65 [0.51 (min.) to 0.81(max.)]. The median kappa-score of inter-orthopedists was 0.65 (0.51–0.72), while the kappa-score of inter-radiologists was 0.69. The median kappa-score of orthopedists-radiologists was 0.63 (0.54–0.81) (Fig. 1).

Table 2 A breakdown of correct and incorrect diagnoses^a


	Fracture - (MRI)	Fracture +	Total	Diagnosis rate
Fracture-(X-P)	37.68%	24.83%	62.51%	→ Fx-/Fx-: 37.68%
Fracture +	6.49%	31%	37.495%	Fx+/Fx+: 13.83%
		(Correct: 13.83%, level mistake: 17.17%)		
Total	44.17%	55.83%	100%	Total: 51.51%

^aFracture with a -/+ (MRI) means the correct diagnosis; fracture with a -/+ (X-P) means the examiners' answers


A non incident fracture group




B incident fracture group without prevalent fractures



C incident fracture group with prevalent fractures



D Average



E

	Total	inter-orthopedists	inter-radiologists	orthopedists-radiologists
K score: median	0.65	0.65	0.69	0.63
(min-max)	(0.51-0.81)	(0.51-0.73)	—	(0.55-0.81)

Fig. 1 Diagnosis rate by the five examiners. a Significant variation was seen in the non-incident fracture group after adjustment. b Significant variation was seen in the incident fracture group without prevalent fractures. c Significant variation was seen in

the incident fracture group with prevalent fractures after adjustment. d Average of diagnosis rate. e The kappa score of interexaminers. These results were moderate

The rate of correct diagnosis based on the number of prevalent fractures

The rate of correct diagnosis by morphological classification

The next variable investigated was the correct diagnosis rate by number of prevalent fractures in the incident fracture group with prevalent fractures. No correlation was found between the correct diagnosis rate and the number of complicating prevalent fractures when the subjects were divided into either six groups according to the number of prevalent fractures (one fracture to six or more fractures) or two groups (one fracture vs. two or more fractures) ($p=0.139, 0.284$, respectively; Fig. 2).

The primary osteoporosis diagnostic criteria

We then looked at the correct diagnosis rate for incident fractures by morphological classification of the vertebral body in the incident fracture groups with and without prevalent fractures. The morphological classifications used were the primary osteoporosis diagnostic criteria of Genant et al. [8] and Yoshida's classification [9] (Fig. 3). Using the primary osteoporosis diagnostic criteria of Genant, the correct diagnosis rate was high for wedge-type fractures in the combined results for the incident fracture groups with and without prevalent fractures (fracture group)