

17. Kamiya, H., Murata-Kamiya, N., Iida, E., and Harashima, H. (2001) Hydrolysis of oxidized nucleotides by the *Escherichia coli* Orf135 protein, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 288, 499-502.
18. Tsuzuki, T., Egashira, A., Igarashi, H., Iwakuma, T., Nakatsuru, Y., Tominaga, Y., Kawate, H., Nakao, K., Nakamura, K., Ide, F., Kura, S., Nakabeppu, Y., Katsuki, M., Ishikawa, T., and Sekiguchi, M. (2001) Spontaneous tumorigenesis in mice defective in the MTH1 gene encoding 8-oxo-dGTPase, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* 98, 11456-11461.
19. Fujikawa, K., Kamiya, H., Yakushiji, H., Fujii, Y., Nakabeppu, Y., and Kasai, H. (1999) The oxidized forms of dATP are substrates for the human MutT homologue, the hMTH1 protein, *J. Biol. Chem.* 274, 18201-18205.
20. Colussi, C., Parlanti, E., Degan, P., Aquilina, G., Barnes, D., Macpherson, P., Karran, P., Crescenzi, M., Dogliotti, E., and Bignami, M. (2002) The mammalian mismatch repair pathway removes DNA 8-oxodGMP incorporated from the oxidized dNTP pool, *Curr. Biol.* 12, 912-918.
21. Russo, M. T., Blasi, M. F., Chiera, F., Fortini, P., Degan, P., Macpherson, P., Furuichi, M., Nakabeppu, Y., Karran, P., Aquilina, G., and Bignami, M. (2004) The oxidized deoxynucleoside triphosphate pool is a significant contributor to genetic instability in mismatch repair-deficient cells, *Mol. Cell Biol.* 24, 465-474.
22. Nohmi, T., Kim, S. R., and Yamada, M. (2005) Modulation of oxidative mutagenesis and carcinogenesis by polymorphic forms of human DNA repair enzymes, *Mutat. Res.* 591, 60-73.

23. Einolf, H. J. and Guengerich, F. P. (2001) Fidelity of nucleotide insertion at 8-oxo-7,8-dihydroguanine by mammalian DNA polymerase δ . Steady-state and pre-steady-state kinetic analysis, *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 3764-3771.
24. Einolf, H. J., Schnetz-Boutaud, N., and Guengerich, F. P. (1998) Steady-state and pre-steady-state kinetic analysis of 8-oxo-7,8-dihydroguanosine triphosphate incorporation and extension by replicative and repair DNA polymerases, *Biochemistry* 37, 13300-13312.
25. Miller, H., Prasad, R., Wilson, S. H., Johnson, F., and Grollman, A. P. (2000) 8-oxodGTP incorporation by DNA polymerase β is modified by active-site residue Asn279, *Biochemistry* 39, 1029-1033.
26. Kamiya, H. and Kasai, H. (1995) Formation of 2-hydroxydeoxyadenosine triphosphate, an oxidatively damaged nucleotide, and its incorporation by DNA polymerases. Steady-state kinetics of the incorporation, *J. Biol. Chem.* 270, 19446-19450.
27. Ohmori, H., Friedberg, E. C., Fuchs, R. P., Goodman, M. F., Hanaoka, F., Hinkle, D., Kunkel, T. A., Lawrence, C. W., Livneh, Z., Nohmi, T., Prakash, L., Prakash, S., Todo, T., Walker, G. C., Wang, Z., and Woodgate, R. (2001) The Y-family of DNA polymerases, *Mol. Cell* 8, 7-8.
28. Masutani, C., Kusumoto, R., Yamada, A., Dohmae, N., Yokoi, M., Yuasa, M., Araki, M., Iwai, S., Takio, K., and Hanaoka, F. (1999) The *XPV* (xeroderma pigmentosum variant) gene encodes human DNA polymerase η , *Nature* 399, 700-704.
29. Johnson, R. E., Prakash, S., and Prakash, L. (1999) Efficient bypass of a thymine-thymine dimer by yeast DNA polymerase, Pol η , *Science* 283, 1001-1004.

30. Prakash, S., Johnson, R. E., and Prakash, L. (2005) Eukaryotic translesion synthesis DNA polymerases: specificity of structure and function, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 74, 317-353.
31. Friedberg, E. C. (2005) Suffering in silence: the tolerance of DNA damage, *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 6, 943-953.
32. Nohmi, T. (2006) Environmental Stress and Lesion-Bypass DNA Polymerases, *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 60, 231-253.
33. Shimizu, M., Gruz, P., Kamiya, H., Kim, S. R., Pisani, F. M., Masutani, C., Kanke, Y., Harashima, H., Hanaoka, F., and Nohmi, T. (2003) Erroneous incorporation of oxidized DNA precursors by Y-family DNA polymerases, *EMBO Rep.* 4, 269-273.
34. Yamada, M., Nunoshiba, T., Shimizu, M., Gruz, P., Kamiya, H., Harashima, H., and Nohmi, T. (2006) Involvement of Y-family DNA polymerases in mutagenesis caused by oxidized nucleotides in *Escherichia coli*, *J. Bacteriol.* 188, 4992-4995.
35. Satou, K., Yamada, M., Nohmi, T., Harashima, H., and Kamiya, H. (2005) Mutagenesis induced by oxidized DNA precursors: roles of Y family DNA polymerases in *Escherichia coli*, *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 18, 1271-1278.
36. Kannouche, P., Broughton, B. C., Volker, M., Hanaoka, F., Mullenders, L. H., and Lehmann, A. R. (2001) Domain structure, localization, and function of DNA polymerase η , defective in xeroderma pigmentosum variant cells, *Genes Dev.* 15, 158-172.

37. Tissier, A., Kannouche, P., Reck, M. P., Lehmann, A. R., Fuchs, R. P., and Cordonnier, A. (2004) Co-localization in replication foci and interaction of human Y-family members, DNA polymerase pol η and REV1 protein, *DNA Repair (Amst)* 3, 1503-1514.
38. McIlwraith, M. J., Vaisman, A., Liu, Y., Fanning, E., Woodgate, R., and West, S. C. (2005) Human DNA polymerase η promotes DNA synthesis from strand invasion intermediates of homologous recombination, *Mol. Cell* 20, 783-792.
39. Kawamoto, T., Araki, K., Sonoda, E., Yamashita, Y. M., Harada, K., Kikuchi, K., Masutani, C., Hanaoka, F., Nozaki, K., Hashimoto, N., and Takeda, S. (2005) Dual roles for DNA polymerase η in homologous DNA recombination and translesion DNA synthesis, *Mol. Cell* 20, 793-799.
40. Mayorov, V. I., Rogozin, I. B., Adkison, L. R., and Gearhart, P. J. (2005) DNA polymerase η contributes to strand bias of mutations of A versus T in immunoglobulin genes, *J. Immunol.* 174, 7781-7786.
41. Masutani, C., Kusumoto, R., Iwai, S., and Hanaoka, F. (2000) Mechanisms of accurate translesion synthesis by human DNA polymerase η , *EMBO J.* 19, 3100-3109.
42. Tissier, A., McDonald, J. P., Frank, E. G., and Woodgate, R. (2000) pol ι , a remarkably error-prone human DNA polymerase, *Genes Dev.* 14, 1642-1650.
43. Cheng, K. C., Cahill, D. S., Kasai, H., Nishimura, S., and Loeb, L. A. (1992) 8-Hydroxyguanine, an abundant form of oxidative DNA damage, causes G----T and A----C substitutions, *J. Biol. Chem.* 267, 166-172.

44. Vaisman, A., Frank, E. G., Iwai, S., Ohashi, E., Ohmori, H., Hanaoka, F., and Woodgate, R. (2003) Sequence context-dependent replication of DNA templates containing UV-induced lesions by human DNA polymerase ϵ , *DNA Repair (Amst)* 2, 991-1006.
45. Creighton, S., Bloom, L. B., and Goodman, M. F. (1995) Gel fidelity assay measuring nucleotide misinsertion, exonucleolytic proofreading, and lesion bypass efficiencies, *Methods Enzymol.* 262, 232-256.
46. Goodman, M. F., Creighton, S., Bloom, L. B., and Petruska, J. (1993) Biochemical basis of DNA replication fidelity, *Crit Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 28, 83-126.
47. Slupphaug, G., Kavli, B., and Krokan, H. E. (2003) The interacting pathways for prevention and repair of oxidative DNA damage, *Mutat. Res.* 531, 231-251.
48. Pavlov, Y. I., Minnick, D. T., Izuta, S., and Kunkel, T. A. (1994) DNA replication fidelity with 8-oxodeoxyguanosine triphosphate, *Biochemistry* 33, 4695-4701.
49. Satou, K., Harashima, H., and Kamiya, H. (2003) Mutagenic effects of 2-hydroxy-dATP on replication in a HeLa extract: induction of substitution and deletion mutations, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 31, 2570-2575.
50. Lehmann, A. R. (2006) New functions for Y family polymerases, *Mol. Cell* 24, 493-495.
51. Godoy, V. G., Jarosz, D. F., Walker, F. L., Simmons, L. A., and Walker, G. C. (2006) Y-family DNA polymerases respond to DNA damage-independent inhibition of replication fork progression, *EMBO J.* 25, 868-879.

52. Nair, D. T., Johnson, R. E., Prakash, S., Prakash, L., and Aggarwal, A. K. (2004) Replication by human DNA polymerase- ι occurs by Hoogsteen base-pairing, *Nature* 430, 377-380.
53. Wolfle, W. T., Johnson, R. E., Minko, I. G., Lloyd, R. S., Prakash, S., and Prakash, L. (2005) Human DNA polymerase ι promotes replication through a ring-closed minor-groove adduct that adopts a *syn* conformation in DNA, *Mol. Cell Biol.* 25, 8748-8754.
54. Kouchakdjian, M., Bodepudi, V., Shibutani, S., Eisenberg, M., Johnson, F., Grollman, A. P., and Patel, D. J. (1991) NMR structural studies of the ionizing radiation adduct 7-hydro-8-oxodeoxyguanosine (8-oxo-7H-dG) opposite deoxyadenosine in a DNA duplex. 8-Oxo-7H-dG(*syn*).dA(*anti*) alignment at lesion site, *Biochemistry* 30, 1403-1412.
55. Haracska, L., Yu, S. L., Johnson, R. E., Prakash, L., and Prakash, S. (2000) Efficient and accurate replication in the presence of 7,8-dihydro-8-oxoguanine by DNA polymerase η , *Nat. Genet.* 25, 458-461.
56. Carlson, K. D. and Washington, M. T. (2005) Mechanism of efficient and accurate nucleotide incorporation opposite 7,8-dihydro-8-oxoguanine by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* DNA polymerase η , *Mol. Cell Biol.* 25, 2169-2176.

Table 1. Kinetic parameters for incorporation of 8-OH-dGTP and 2-OH-dATP by Pol η and Pol κ

DNA polymerase	Template base/dNTP	K_m (μ M)	k_{cat} (min^{-1})	k_{cat}/K_m ($\mu\text{M}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$)	F_{inc}
Pol η	A/dTTP	3.8 ± 0.52	15.4 ± 0.82	4.1	1
	A/8-OH-dGTP	4.2 ± 0.35	10.1 ± 0.35	2.4	0.59
	C/dGTP	1.2 ± 0.22	1.9 ± 0.1	1.6	1
	C/8-OH-dGTP	22.5 ± 8.3	0.3 ± 0.05	0.013	0.008
	C/dGTP	1.2 ± 0.22	1.9 ± 0.1	1.6	1
	C/2-OH-dATP	1.7 ± 0.55	0.1 ± 0.007	0.06	0.038
	G/dCTP	1.6 ± 0.12	9.5 ± 0.22	5.9	1
	G/2-OH-dATP	3.6 ± 0.6	0.35 ± 0.02	0.1	0.017
	T/dATP	5.2 ± 0.47	15 ± 0.61	2.9	1
	T/2-OH-dATP	3.0 ± 0.52	0.55 ± 0.03	0.18	0.062
Pol κ	A/dTTP	14.4 ± 3.0	5.1 ± 0.67	0.35	1
	A/8-OH-dGTP	132 ± 45.4	1.4 ± 0.31	0.011	0.031
	A/dTTP*	$0.86 \pm 0.14^*$	$2.24 \pm 0.08^*$	2.6*	1*
	A/8-OH-dGTP*	$48.5 \pm 7.37^*$	$2.17 \pm 0.15^*$	0.045*	0.017*

* The activities were measured without KCl in the reaction mixtures.

1.

Table 2. Kinetic parameters for incorporation of dGTP with primers having terminal 8-OH-G or 2-OH-A pairing with multiple template bases by Pol η

Template base/dNTP	K_m (μ M)	k_{cat} (min^{-1})	k_{cat}/K_m ($\mu\text{M}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$)
dGTP $\begin{array}{c} \text{--- G}^* \downarrow \\ \text{--- A C ---} \end{array}$	0.98 ± 0.11	2.4 ± 0.08	2.4
dGTP $\begin{array}{c} \text{--- G}^* \downarrow \\ \text{--- C C ---} \end{array}$	2.5 ± 0.38	2.6 ± 0.14	1.0
dGTP $\begin{array}{c} \text{--- A}^* \downarrow \\ \text{--- C C ---} \end{array}$	7.3 ± 0.89	9.9 ± 0.61	1.4
dGTP $\begin{array}{c} \text{--- A}^* \downarrow \\ \text{--- G C ---} \end{array}$	6.9 ± 1.3	7.3 ± 0.7	1.1
dGTP $\begin{array}{c} \text{--- A}^* \downarrow \\ \text{--- T C ---} \end{array}$	2.8 ± 0.25	8.9 ± 0.28	3.2

G* = 8-OH-G A* = 2-OH-A

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Structures of 8-OH-dGTP (A) and 2-OH-dATP (B). P represents a phosphate group.

Fig. 2. Incorporation of 8-OH-dGTP (A), 2-OH-dATP (B), dGTP (C) and dATP (D) into DNA by Pol ι . For analysis of incorporation of oxidized dNTPs (A and B), the reaction mixtures described in Materials and Methods contained 1 nM Pol ι , 50 μ M either 8-OH-dGTP or 2-OH-dATP and 100 nM substrate 1. No normal dNTPs were included. For analysis of incorporation of normal dNTPs (C and D), the reaction mixtures contained 5 nM Pol ι , 50 μ M either dGTP or dATP and 100 nM substrate 1. In the reaction, KCl was omitted from the reaction mixtures and the concentration of MgCl $_2$ was 1 mM. The mixtures were incubated for 15 min at 37°C and the samples were processed as described in Materials and Methods. The N in the template strand represents A, C, G or T.

Fig. 3. Extension of primers having 8-OH-G or 2-OH-A at the termini by Pol η and Pol ι . Primers having 8-OH-G were extended by Pol η (A) or Pol ι (B) and those having 2-OH-A were extended by Pol η (C) or Pol ι (D). As control reactions, primers having G were extended by Pol η (E) or Pol ι (F) and those having A were extended by Pol η (G) or Pol ι (H). The reaction mixtures described in Materials and Methods contained 1 nM Pol η (A and C) or Pol ι (B and D), 200 μ M four normal dNTPs (dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP) and 100 nM substrate 2 (A and B) or substrate 3 (C and D). The reaction mixtures were incubated for 5 min at 37°C. In control reactions, the reaction mixtures contained 10 nM Pol η (E and G) or Pol ι (F and H), 200 μ M four normal dNTPs and 100 nM substrate 4 (E and F) or substrate 5 (G and H). In control reactions with Pol ι (F and H), KCl was omitted from the reaction mixtures and the concentration of MgCl $_2$ was 1 mM. The reaction mixtures were incubated for 5 min (E

and G) or 15 min (F and H) at 37°C. The samples were processed as described in Materials and Methods. Lane 1 (the most left lane) represents the position of the primers alone. The N in the template strands represents A (lane 2), C (lane 3), G (lane 4) and T (lane 5).

Fig. 4. Total catalytic efficiency of incorporation of 8-OH-dGTP and 2-OH-dATP into DNA and the following primer extension by Pol η . The total efficiency of incorporation and extension was calculated as the product of the individual k_{cat} / K_m values, e.g., $2.4 \times 2.4 = 5.8$ for the incorporation of 8-OH-dGTP opposite template A and the extension by incorporation of normal dGTP opposite template C. The individual k_{cat} / K_m value for each step is underlined. Relative efficiency is presented in parentheses. The relative values were calculated by setting the efficiency of incorporation of 8-OH-dGTP opposite template C and the following extension or incorporation of 2-OH-dATP opposite template T and the following extension as 1.0.

Fig.1




Fig.2






Fig.3

Cy3 5' TACG* \longrightarrow
 3' ATGNCAGAA..... 5'

Cy3 5' TACA* \longrightarrow
 3' ATGNCAGAA..... 5'



Cy3 5' TACG \longrightarrow
 3' ATGNCAGAA..... 5'

Cy3 5' TACA \longrightarrow
 3' ATGNCAGAA..... 5'







Fig.4



For Table of Contents Use Only


Shimizu et al.



available at www.sciencedirect.com



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dnarepair



Replication of 2-hydroxyadenine-containing DNA and recognition by human MutS α

Flavia Barone^a, Scott D. McCulloch^b, Peter Macpherson^c, Giovanni Maga^d, Masami Yamada^e, Takehiko Nohmi^e, Anna Minoprio^a, Filomena Mazzei^a, Thomas A. Kunkel^b, Peter Karran^c, Margherita Bignami^{a,*}

^a Unit of Experimental Carcinogenesis, Department of Environment and Primary Prevention, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Viale Regina Elena 299, 00161 Rome, Italy

^b Laboratory of Molecular Genetics and Structural Biology, National Institute of Environmental Sciences, NIH, DHHS, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, USA

^c Cancer Research UK London Research Institute, Clare Hall Laboratories, South Mimms, Herts, EN6 3LD, UK

^d Istituto di Genetica Molecolare, IGM-CNR, National Research Council, Via Abbiategrosso 207, 27100 Pavia, Italy

^e Division of Genetics and Mutagenesis, National Institute of Health Sciences, 1-18-1 Kamiyoga, Setagaya-ku, Tokio 158-8501, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 October 2006

Received in revised form

6 November 2006

Accepted 8 November 2006

Published on line 26 December 2006

Keywords:

2-Hydroxyadenine

Mismatch repair

Replication

Y family polymerases

ABSTRACT

2-Hydroxyadenine (2-OH-A), a product of DNA oxidation, is a potential source of mutations. We investigated how representative DNA polymerases from the A, B and Y families dealt with 2-OH-A in primer extension experiments. A template 2-OH-A reduced the rate of incorporation by DNA polymerase α (Pol α) and Klenow fragment (Kf^{exo-}). Two Y family DNA polymerases, human polymerase η (Pol η) and the archeal Dpo4 polymerase were affected differently. Bypass by Pol η was very inefficient whereas Dpo4 efficiently replicated 2-OH-A. Replication of a template 2-OH-A by both enzymes was mutagenic and caused base substitutions. Dpo4 additionally introduced single base deletions. Thermodynamic analysis showed that 2-OH-A forms stable base pairs with T, C and G, and to a lesser extent with A. Oligonucleotides containing 2-OH-A base pairs, including the preferred 2-OH-A:T, were recognized by the human MutS α mismatch repair (MMR). MutS α also recognized 2-OH-A located in a repeat sequence that mimics a frameshift intermediate.

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) can cause oxidative damage to DNA, producing chemical changes to pyrimidine and purine bases, abasic sites and single and double strand breaks. In addition, ROS can react with the DNA precursors in the dNTP pool providing another source of oxidative DNA damage. The relative numbers of different lesions, their intrinsic miscoding potential and the efficacy of dedicated repair systems are all

factors that control the final mutational load and the development of oxidation stress-related diseases. Among oxidized DNA bases, 8-oxo-7,8-dihydroguanine (8-oxoG) has attracted major attention because of its potent miscoding ability. In vitro studies have shown that template 8-oxoG can direct incorporation by DNA polymerases of either C or A [1]. The latter results in GC>TA transversions [2]. In addition, DNA polymerases can utilise 8-oxodGTP and the resulting incorporation of 8-oxoG opposite A causes AT>CG transversions

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 06 49902355; fax: +39 06 49903650.

E-mail address: bignami@iss.it (M. Bignami).

Abbreviations: ROS, Reactive oxygen species; 8-oxoG, 8-oxo-7,8-dihydroguanine; 2-OH-A, 2-hydroxyadenine; MMR, Mismatch repair; 6-FAM, 6-carboxyfluorescein; Pol α , Polymerase α ; Kf^{exo-}, Klenow fragment; Dpo4, DNA polymerase 4; Pol η , Polymerase η 1568-7864/\$ – see front matter © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/j.dnarep.2006.11.002

and contributes to the general oxidative and mutagenic load [3,4].

In addition to 8-oxoG, sources of oxidation such as Fenton-type reagents, γ -rays or antitumor drugs [5-7] all increase the levels of 2-hydroxyadenine (2-OH-A) in DNA. 2-OH-A is also potentially miscoding [8,9]. Replication in bacteria or mammalian cells of shuttle vectors containing a single 2-OH-A produces a broader spectrum of mutations than that produced by DNA 8-oxoG [9,10]. 2-OH-A has received less attention, probably because steady-state levels of 2-OH-A residues in cellular DNA are estimated to be more than one order of magnitude lower than those of 8-oxoG ($1/10^7$ normal nucleotides) [5,6]. Significantly, the main source of DNA 2-OH-A appears to be utilization of 2-OH-dATP during replication whereas *in situ* oxidation of DNA adenine makes a relatively minor contribution [5]. While no information is available on the excision repair of DNA 2-OH-A, replication-related processing of 2-OH-A, including its incorporation from the oxidized dNTP pool, has been more extensively investigated. Indeed, the major replicative enzyme DNA polymerase α (Pol α) incorporated 2-OH-dATP opposite T and C in the DNA template, while *Escherichia coli* DNA polymerase I Klenow fragment (Kf^{exo-}) incorporated 2-OH-dATP only opposite T [5]. Thus, 2-OH-dATP might have a mutagenic potential for replicative DNA polymerases. It is well established that 2-OH-dATP is a substrate for hydrolysis by the human MutT homolog, hMTH1. This prevents the incorporation of 2-OH-A into DNA [11]. In addition, MYH, the MutY homolog which excises A incorporated opposite DNA 8-oxoG, also removes 2-OH-A from 2-OH-A:G base pairs. This is consistent with a role for MYH in reversing oxidation-related mismatches generated by incorporation of 2-OH-A [12].

Our previous work demonstrated that the post-replicative mismatch repair (MMR) pathway also helps to regulate the steady-state level of DNA 8-oxoG by removing the oxidized base from the nascent DNA strand [13,14]. Furthermore, over-expression of hMTH1 in MMR-defective mouse and human cells reduces the level of DNA 8-oxoG and significantly attenuates their characteristic mutator phenotype [15]. Mutation and microsatellite instability analysis indicated that a significant fraction of the oxidation-related mutations that were subject to correction by MMR occurred at A:T base pairs [15]. In particular, AT > TA, AT > GC mutations and frameshifts in runs of As were all affected. Since hMTH1 acts on both 2-OH-dATP and 8-oxodGTP [16], its expression could influence mutation by either of the oxidized purines, suggesting that DNA 2-OH-A might make a significant contribution to the mutational burden.

As a first step to clarifying the possible involvement of DNA 2-OH-A in oxidation-related mutagenesis, we have investigated some of its biochemical and physical properties. We examined the effect of 2-OH-A on replication *in vitro* by replicative and translesion synthesis (TLS) DNA polymerases. 2-OH-A miscoding was investigated in two unrelated DNA sequences, in A repeats or in random sequences, in which its effect on the thermal stability of DNA duplexes was quantified. The ability of a purified human MutS α DNA mismatch binding complex to recognize 2-OH-A-containing base pairs was compared in random sequences and in repetitive DNA sequences that represent frameshift intermediates. Our findings indicate

that 2-OH-A is a block for replicative DNA polymerases and its bypass by TLS polymerases is mutagenic. In addition the evidence that MutS α can recognize 2-OH-A-containing base pairs, including frameshift intermediates, suggest that MMR might help to counteract the effects of 2-OH-A incorporated from the oxidized pool.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Oligonucleotide synthesis

Oligonucleotides were synthesized by MWG-Biotech AG. 6-Carboxyfluorescein (6-FAM) labelled and 2-OH-A containing oligonucleotides were synthesized by the Eurogentec S.A. All oligonucleotides were further purified by denaturing polyacrylamide gels (PAGE).

2.2. Primer extension reactions

In standard primer extension experiments, 6-FAM labelled primers were annealed to the template strands in a 1:1 molar ratio. 6-FAM labelled DNA duplexes (50 nM) were initially pre-incubated with 0.2 pmol of mammalian Pol α in 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.5 mM DTT, 0.25 mg/ml BSA, 10 mM MgCl₂ buffer for 1 min. Nucleotides were then added as specified in the figure legends and the reaction continued for 10 min at 37 °C. Reactions were stopped by addition of gel loading buffer (USB Corporation) (95% formamide, 20 mM EDTA, 0.05% bromophenol blue, 0.05% xylene cyanol), the products were denatured at 95 °C for 5 min and separated on denaturing 20% PAGE. Pol α was purified from HeLa cells as described in Ref. [17]. For dNTPs incorporation and extension by Kf^{exo-} (New England Biolabs) 6-FAM labelled DNA substrates (50 nM) were incubated with the enzyme (2.5 nM) and 30 μ M of each triphosphate at 37 °C in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.7), 2 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM DTT. After 0.5-5 min, an equimolar dNTP mixture was added and incubated for 5 min. Primer/template (50 nM) were pre-incubated with 150 nM DNA polymerase 4 (Dpo4), purified as described in Gruz et al. [18], at 55 °C in a buffer containing 30 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4, 7.5 mM MgCl₂, 1.25 mM β -mercaptoethanol and 5% glycerol. After 3 min the dNTP (50 μ M) were added and incubated at 55 °C for 15 min. The subsequent elongation was performed by adding 50 μ M of dNTPs for further 15 min. Fluorescent bands were visualized by Typhoon 9200 Gel Imager (Amersham Biosciences Europe GmbH) and quantitated by ImageQuant TL software.

2.3. Kinetic analysis

Experiments with Pol α , Kf^{exo-} and Dpo4 were performed under the conditions described above using 0.01-100 μ M dNTP, 0.01-300 μ M dNTP and 1-300 μ M dNTP, respectively. Data points were derived from the analysis of the intensities of the products bands. The values of integrated gel band intensities in dependence of the nucleotide substrate concentrations ([dNTP]) were fitted to the equation:

$$I_T^*/I_{T-1} = V_{max}[dNTP]/(K_m + [dNTP])$$

where T is the target site, the template position of interest; I_T^* = the sum of the integrated intensities at positions T, T + 1...T + n.

Before being inserted in the above equation, the intensities of the single bands of interest were first normalized by dividing for the total intensity of the lane. This reduced the variability due to manual gel loading. An empty portion of the gel was scanned and the resulting value was subtracted as background. The goodness of fit of the interpolated curve was assessed by computer-aided calculation of the sum of squares of errors SSE and the correlation coefficient R^2 . Interpolation, SSE, R^2 and standard errors determination were done with the computer programs GraphPadPrism and Kaleidagraph.

2.4. Bypass efficiency assay

Reaction conditions for Dpo4 and polymerase η (Pol η) were the same described previously [19]. Reaction conditions for Kf^{exo-} were as recommended by the manufacturer using 25 μ M dNTPs. A truncated Pol η (residues 1-434) was overexpressed in *E. coli* using a modified pGEX4T3 vector that codes for a GST-Pol η fusion with a TEV cleavage site between the two proteins. Polymerase was purified by batch binding to glutathione Sepharose 4B, on-resin cleavage with TEV and MonoS column chromatography, with similar conditions to those described previously for the full length protein [20]. Dpo4 was purified as previously described [21]. The DNA substrate was a 45-mer template (5'-CCAGCTCGGTACCGGGTTAGCCTTTGGAGTCGACCTGCAGAAATT; underlined A is site of 2-OH-A) annealed to a 24-mer ³²P end-labelled primer (5'-AATTTCTGCAGGTCGACTCCAAAG). Reactions were prepared on ice without enzyme, preheated for 30 s to the reaction temperature, and polymerase added to initiate synthesis. Samples were removed at the indicated times, added to an equal volume of formamide loading buffer and processed as described above for analysis by 12% PAGE and scanning densitometry with a PhosphorImager. All reactions contained 4 pmol substrate and the substrate:enzyme ratios: Kf^{exo-} = 1000:1, Dpo4 = 1000:1, Pol η = 750:1. Determination of single hit conditions and calculation of bypass efficiency were as described previously [21].

2.5. Bypass fidelity assay

Lesion bypass fidelity assays were performed with a substrate prepared with an unlabelled primer, using instead an internal ³²P-dCTP label, as described previously [21,22]. Reaction conditions were the same as for the bypass efficiency reactions, except that a 5:1 substrate:enzyme ratio was used with a 15 min incubation for all enzymes. Processing of the full length synthesis products and determination of plaque colour frequency and corresponding error rates were performed as previously described [21,22].

2.6. UV melting

Absorbance versus temperature changes were measured at 260 nm by means of a Cary 3 spectrophotometer equipped with a Peltier device for temperature control. Samples resuspended in Tris-HCl 10 mM pH 7.2, MgCl₂ 2 mM were placed in

1 cm path length quartz cells. The heating rate was 0.5 °C/min and data points were recorded every 0.2 °C. Absorbance values were corrected for the water thermal expansion and normalized at the absorption of 1 OD at 5 °C. Thermodynamic parameters were evaluated by extracting information by single equilibrium transition curves and data analysis was performed according to Breslauer [23].

2.7. MutS α purification and bandshift

MutS α was prepared from approximately 2×10^{10} Raji cells as previously described [24]. The final concentrated Q sepharose pool contained approximately 5 pmol MutS α per milliliter. For bandshift assays, one unit of MutS α was defined as 5 fmol. Bandshift experiments were carried out with ³²P-end labelled oligonucleotide duplexes as previously described. Briefly, MutS α (2-10 units) was pre-incubated (5 min at 20 °C) with 2 pmol non-radioactive matched competitor duplex in 20 μ l reaction buffer containing 25 mM Hepes KOH pH 8.0, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM ZnCl₂, 10% glycerol, 50 μ g poly(dI:dC). The 20 fmol substrate duplex was added and incubation continued for a further 20 min. Products were analysed by PAGE on 6% non-denaturing gels.

3. Results

3.1. Replication of 2-OH-A-containing templates by different DNA polymerases

Since miscoding by a DNA lesion can be influenced by the type of DNA polymerase [25,26] and the sequence context, we examined the ability of A, B and Y family DNA polymerases to bypass a template 2-OH-A in two different sequences. Because we identified oxidation-related mutations at A:T base pairs in microsatellites formed by A-runs, we placed a single 2-OH-A in the middle of an A run within a 36mer (6A^{*}, repeated sequence). In the second DNA substrate, a single 2-OH-A replaced the A repeat, and the 15 nt flanking sequence on both 5' and 3' sides was retained (A^{*}, random sequence). In control oligonucleotides, A replaced 2-OH-A. The results obtained with each enzyme are detailed in the next sections.

3.1.1. Human DNA polymerase α

The ability of the human B family Pol α to replicate 2-OH-A located in the A^{*} and the 6A^{*} repeat was investigated in primer extension experiments, using primers that terminated one base immediately before the lesion (Fig. 1). Following incubation in the presence of a single dNTP, Pol α incorporated exclusively T opposite 2-OH-A in either sequence (Fig. 1A and B) and there was no detectable incorporation of any of the other three bases. Although 2-OH-A retained the coding specificity of undamaged A, its coding efficiency – the ability to instruct the polymerase to incorporate the complementary base – was significantly reduced (Fig. 1, supplementary data). The apparent incorporation efficiency (k_{cat}/K_m) for T opposite 2-OH-A was 17.5- and 5.5-fold lower than opposite A in the random and in the repeated sequence, respectively (Fig. 1C). Thus, Pol α preferentially incorporates T opposite 2-OH-A, but with




Fig. 1 - DNA polymerase α : incorporation of dNTP opposite 2-OH-A. (A) Random sequence. Primer/templates (50 nM) were pre-incubated with Pol α . After 1 min, reactions were initiated by the addition of a single triphosphate (100 μM) and continued for 10 min. Reactions products were analysed by denaturing PAGE as described in Section 2. Controls (lanes 1) were incubated without enzyme or dNTP. A* = 2-OH-A; (B) 6A or 6A* repeat sequence. The experimental conditions were the same used for the random sequence. (C) Kinetic parameters for dTTP incorporation opposite A or 2-OH-A by Pol α in the random and in the repeated sequence. K_m and k_{cat} values were evaluated as described in Section 2.

lower efficiency than opposite a normal A in both sequence contexts.

3.1.2. *E. coli* DNA polymerase I ($K_f^{\text{exo-}}$)

In contrast to Pol α , the Klenow fragment ($K_f^{\text{exo-}}$) of *E. coli* DNA polymerase I is able to incorporate various bases opposite a template 2-OH-A [8]. Using the same experimental approach employed for Pol α , we compared the ability of $K_f^{\text{exo-}}$ to replicate a template 2-OH-A in the random and in the repeated sequence. The kinetic parameters for correct

and incorrect nucleotide insertion by $K_f^{\text{exo-}}$ are summarized in Table 1A. Primer extension was observed in the presence of each of the four dNTPs but with very different efficiencies. The degree of dNTP selectivity differed for the random and the 6A* sequence. T was preferentially inserted opposite 2-OH-A in both, although the insertion efficiency was, respectively, 70- and 56-fold lower than opposite A. For the other dNTPs, the preferential order of incorporation in the A* random sequence was $T \gg G = C \gg A$ with utilization of dATP three orders of magnitude lower than TTP. In the 6A* sub-

Table 1 – Kinetic parameters for dNTP incorporation opposite adenine or 2-OH-adenine by Kf^{exo-} (A) and Dpo4 (B) in a random and in a repeated sequence

A				
Substrate	K _m (μM)	k _{cat} (s ⁻¹)	k _{cat} /K _m (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ × 10 ⁵)	Selectivity ^a
Kf ^{exo-}				
A				
dTTP	0.0042(±0.0004)	0.6(±0.006)	1428(±14.8)	1
A'				
dTTP	0.21(±0.04)	0.43(±0.02)	20.5(±0.49)	69.7
dATP	18.1(±3.6)	0.049(±0.002)	0.03	47600
dCTP	27.7(±4.5)	0.19(±0.01)	0.67	2131
dGTP	2.1(±0.2)	0.141(±0.003)	0.7	2040
6A				
dTTP	0.06(±0.007)	1.89(±0.04)	315(±3.68)	1
6A'				
dTTP	0.55(±0.11)	0.31(±0.01)	5.6(±0.1)	56.2
dATP	4.93(±0.97)	0.04(±0.002)	0.081(±0.002)	3888.9
dCTP	13.9(±1.7)	0.039(±0.001)	0.028	11250
dGTP	3.7(±0.7)	0.036(±0.001)	0.09(±0.002)	3500
B				
Substrate	K _m (μM)	k _{cat} (s ⁻¹)	k _{cat} /K _m (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ × 10 ⁴)	Selectivity ^a
Dpo4				
A				
dTTP	0.79(±0.1)	0.035	4.43	1
A'				
dTTP	11.3(±1.7)	0.017(±0.001)	0.15	29.5
dATP	85.4(±13)	0.031(±0.003)	0.03	147.7
dCTP	69.2(±11)	0.038(±0.005)	0.05	88.6
dGTP	N.D.			
6A				
dTTP	8.8(±1.4)	0.11(±0.005)	1.25	1
6A'				
dTTP	32.9(±4.5)	0.073(±0.006)	0.22	5.7
dATP	41.7(±6.5)	0.023(±0.002)	0.05	25
dCTP	N.D. ^b			
dGTP	N.D.			

^a Selectivity is defined as (k_{cat}/K_m)_{TTP}/(k_{cat}/K_m)_{dXTP}, where X is A, G or C. The value represents the number of correct (TTP) incorporation events for each incorrect (dXTP) incorporation.

^b Not detectable.

strate, the discrimination against A was relaxed and both purines were inserted with similar efficiencies and about three-fold better than C. The preferred order was T >> G = A > C (Table 1A).

We also examined whether Kf^{exo-} could elongate 2-OH-A-containing base pairs. Two-stage reactions were performed. In the first step, Kf^{exo-} was incubated with primer/templates and a single triphosphate to allow incorporation. To monitor the efficiency of elongation from the resulting 3'-terminal 2-OH-A base pair, a second incubation was carried out in the presence of all four dNTPs (Fig. 2, supplementary data). Kf^{exo-} efficiently elongated all terminal 2-OH-A base pairs in the random sequence. In the 6A' sequence, terminal 2-OH-A:C and 2-OH-A:A pairs impeded extension (Fig. 2, supplementary data). Similar results were obtained in an alternative approach, in which the extension of synthetic primers generating different 3' terminal 2-OH-A-containing base pairs by Kf^{exo-} and all four dNTPs was assayed (Fig. 2A). In the 6A' sequence Kf^{exo-} efficiently elongated a terminal 2-OH-A:T or 2-OH-A:G pair

whereas a 2-OH-A:A and, to a more modest extent a 2-OH-A:C pair, prevented elongation by Kf^{exo-}.

We conclude that replication of 2-OH-A by Kf^{exo-}, as with Pol α, is also relatively error-free, and T is the preferentially incorporated base. Unlike Pol α, Kf^{exo-} exhibits a somewhat more relaxed specificity and other base pairs – notably 2-OH-A:G – are also formed. Both the formation and elongation of these promutagenic base pairs is influenced by sequence context and these parameters differ significantly between the random and repeat sequence.

3.1.3. *Sulfolobus solfataricus* Dpo polymerase 4

S. solfataricus Dpo4 is an archeal Y family DNA polymerase [27]. With a single dNTP, Dpo4 inserted T, A or C opposite 2-OH-A in the A' random sequence and the preferential order of incorporation was T > C > A (Table 1B). As expected, incorporation by Dpo4 was less selective than Kf^{exo-} and dNTP utilization varied by only 3–5-fold. Surprisingly, however, addition of G was undetectable.

5' GCAAAGAACTTATAG AAA*AAA TTGAGCACACAGAGG 3'
 X TTT AACTCGTGTGTCTCC 5'-FAM

X is T, A, G or C




Fig. 2 – Elongation of terminal 2-OH-A:T, 2-OH-A:A, 2-OH-A:G and 2-OH-A:C base pairs. (A) Elongation of 2-OH-A containing terminal mismatches in the 6A' sequence by Kf^{exo-}. Reactions contained 50 nM primer/template and 2.5 nM Kf^{exo-} supplemented with an equimolar mixture of dNTPs (30 μM). Controls were incubated without enzyme or dNTP. **(B)** Elongation of 2-OH-A containing terminal mismatches in the 6A' sequence by Dpo4. Primer/template (50 nM) were pre-incubated with 150 nM Dpo4 enzyme at 55 °C for 3 min. The elongation was performed by adding 50 μM of dNTPs for 15 min.

A different result was obtained with the 6A' repeat sequence in which Dpo4 inserted predominantly T or A. Kinetic parameters indicated that T incorporation opposite 2-OH-A is only slightly lower (5.7-fold) than opposite A, while misincorporation of A is further decreased (25-fold) (Table 1B).

In this repeat sequence, Dpo4 efficiently elongated primers creating terminal 2-OH-A:T or 2-OH-A:C pairs, whereas 2-OH-A:G and 2-OH-A:A constituted a significant block (Fig. 2B). In the random sequence, none of the terminal mismatches constituted a significant block to elongation by Dpo4 (Fig. 3, supplementary data).

A template 2-OH-A in a random sequence is therefore quite efficiently bypassed by Dpo4. Bypass occurs with a significantly reduced fidelity via the formation of frequent 2-OH-A:C and 2-OH-A:A mispairs. Once formed, each of these terminal mismatches are easily elongated. Dpo4 is also considerably influenced by the sequence context of the 2-OH-A. In the 6A' repeat sequence, bypass of 2-OH-A by Dpo4 is more efficient. Its inability to extend the frequent terminal 2-OH-A:A mismatches indicates that 2-OH-A bypass in a repeat sequence is also likely to be more error free.

3.2. 2-OH-A differentially affects the bypass efficiency of Dpo4 and human DNA polymerase η

Bypass of 2-OH-A by Y family DNA pols was also investigated by a different approach. We used reaction conditions that reflect a single cycle of DNA synthesis with a primer terminus located such that multiple incorporations are required prior to the lesion being encountered [21]. Short incubation times and large substrate excess are chosen so that each DNA molecule is acted on only once during the time course studied. The advantage to this 'single hit' condition is that quantitative measurements of insertion efficiency opposite the lesion, extension efficiency from the damaged primer terminus and bypass efficiency (defined here as incorporation opposite at least one undamaged base beyond the lesion) can be made by comparing synthesis on the damaged and undamaged templates. All reactions described here were confirmed to be under single hit conditions that yield fainter band intensities (Fig. 3) than observed when multiple cycles of synthesis occur (Figs. 1 and supplementary data).




Fig. 3 – Products of 2-OH-A bypass reactions. Reactions shown are for bypass reactions with Dpo4, Kf^{exo-} and human DNA Pol η (left, middle and right panel, respectively). Each panel shows bypass of an undamaged A and 2-OH-A within a 5'-TAG substrate (as indicated in the sequence). An arrow indicates the location of the lesion.

In these assays, bypass efficiency of 2-OH-A by Dpo4 was high, 85% of the efficiency of copying the equivalent undamaged A, and there was no obvious pause at the site of the lesion (Fig. 3, arrows in left panel). The relative insertion efficiency opposite 2-OH-A and the relative extension efficiency from the damaged terminus were 79 and 88%, respectively, indicating that 2-OH-A is only a minor block to normal synthesis.

The same analysis performed with human Pol η, the prototypical Y family polymerase, indicated that bypass of 2-OH-A by this enzyme was only 4% that of undamaged A (Fig. 3, right panel). In addition, insertion opposite the lesion was also very inefficient and was only 22% of the corresponding value for A. Surprisingly, incorporation by Pol η also appeared to be inhibited at the base preceding the 2-OH-A (note the low intensity of the band immediately below the arrow in Fig. 3, right panel), a property not previously reported for this enzyme.

Kf^{exo-} was assayed under the same single hit conditions to provide a comparison (Fig. 3, middle panel). Bypass efficiency was 35% and in this case, insertion opposite the lesion (note the more intense band at the -1 position), rather than subsequent extension was particularly problematic. Both these findings are in agreement with expectations from the kinetic analysis presented in Table 1. Thus, under conditions of a single polymerase/template encounter, a template 2-OH-A lesion has a minimal impact of replication by Dpo4 whereas synthesis by human Pol η is strongly inhibited. Its overall effect on replication by Kf^{exo-} is intermediate and, as expected, the major impact is on insertion opposite the lesion.

3.3. Fidelity of 2-OH-A bypass by Dpo4, DNA polymerase η and Kf^{exo-}

In order to measure the error rates for a complete lesion bypass event in the presence of all four dNTPs, we used tem-

plates corresponding to the N-terminal region of the *lacZ* α-complementation gene of bacteriophage M13mp2, in which 2-OH-A (or undamaged A) is located in a TAG stop codon. Reactions designed to allow complete synthesis (to the end of the template) were carried out on all substrates and the newly synthesized strand was recovered, hybridized to gapped-duplex M13 molecules, and introduced into the appropriate *E. coli* strain [21]. Errors in synthesis at either the T, A/2-OH-A or G of the stop codon were detected as dark blue plaques and frameshift errors were detected as colourless plaques. The precise change was then identified by sequencing of mutant plaques. The frequencies of the different plaque phenotypes (Table 1, supplementary data) and the corresponding rates of base substitution and insertion/deletion errors at the lesion site are shown in Fig. 4A. Bypass of 2-OH-A by each of the three enzymes induced large increases in base substitutions (5–90 fold) relative to undamaged A, indicating 2-OH-A acts as a miscoding lesion. In addition, replication by Dpo4, but not Pol η or Kf^{exo-}, produced a six-fold increase in frameshifts at 2-OH-A. These were mostly single base deletions at the site of 2-OH-A.

Each polymerase produced a different spectrum of base substitution mutations at the 2-OH-A site (Fig. 4B). Dpo4 bypass of 2-OH-A was associated with both AT>TA transversions and AT>GC transitions (in an approximately 3:2 ratio). By contrast, bypass by Pol η caused predominantly AT>GC transitions, whereas the majority of changes for Kf^{exo-} were AT>CG transversions, with a smaller contribution from AT>TA.

These findings indicate that replication of 2-OH-A by these three polymerases is mutagenic and that the type of mutation is determined by the polymerase. Furthermore, the mutational spectra are in good general agreement with the incorporation preferences of each polymerase inferred from in vitro experi-




Fig. 4 – (A) Error rates for undamaged A and 2-OH-A by Dpo4, Kf^{exo-} and human DNA Pol η. The error rates of the different polymerases for undamaged A (open bars) and 2-OH-A (filled bars) are shown. BS, base substitution; I/D, insertion/deletion. **(B)** Base substitution error rates for Kf^{exo-}, Dpo4 and human DNA Pol η for undamaged A (open bars) and 2-OH-A (filled bars). In the box are indicated the possible 2-OH-A containing mismatches causing the observed mutations.

ments (Table 1). Interestingly, Dpo4 was the only polymerase that introduced frameshifts during replication of 2-OH-A in a non-repetitive sequence.

3.4. 2-OH-A effect on DNA secondary structure

DNA base unstacking can underlie failure in base extension during replication [28]. To determine the effects of 2-OH-A on DNA base unstacking as well as on hydrogen bond disruption we carried out thermal stability measurements on DNA duplexes containing the lesion in the random and repeated sequences (Fig. 5).

In both sequences, an A-containing mismatch produced the expected decrease in T_m (Fig. 5E and F). Replacement of A:T by 2-OH-A:T produced only minor changes in the thermal and thermodynamic stability of either the repeat or random duplexes (Fig. 5A and B). In the random sequence 2-OH-A caused a slight destabilization (Fig. 5B), whereas it had the opposite effect in the repeat sequence (Fig. 5A). 2-OH-A:C or 2-OH-A:G mismatches were without detectable effect and T_m differences in comparison to 2-OH-A:T were within the limits of experimental error (Fig. 5C and D).

The data indicate that 2-OH-dA can form stable base pairs with T and that the sequence context has a role in stacking optimization with stacking favoured in a repetitive A sequence. In contrast to unmodified A, pairing of 2-OH-A with the other bases, with a single exception of A, are relatively favoured. The adoption of a more suitable tautomeric form of the 2-OH-A in base pairs might be responsible for this effect [29].

3.5. Recognition by the hMutSα complex of 2-OH-A containing DNA

Because oxidation-related frameshifts at A repeat sequences are influenced by MMR [15], we examined whether purified MutSα recognizes 2-OH-A-containing base pairs. Duplexes based on the 6A' oligonucleotide in which the 2-OH-A strand was annealed to a complementary strand containing 6, 5 or 7 Ts opposite the A6 sequence were used as substrates for binding by MutSα. These represent frameshift intermediates with an insertion/deletion loop of one base. Band-shift analysis indicated that neither the 2-OH-A:T base pair in the 6A':6T duplex nor an A:T pair in the control duplex was recognized by MutSα (Fig. 6A). In contrast, we observed significant binding to a duplex containing an extra A in the 2-OH-A-containing strand (6A':5T). Comparable binding was observed to the duplex in which an extra T was positioned opposite the 2-OH-A-containing strand (6A':7T) (Fig. 6A). In each case, binding was similar to that observed with duplexes containing either an extra unmodified A or T.

In a second series of experiments, we examined binding to 2-OH-A-containing base pairs in non-repetitive sequences. In this case, 2-OH-A provoked significant recognition by MutSα. Of particular note, binding to a 2-OH-A:T base pair was comparable to that seen with other 2-OH-A-containing mispairs (Fig. 6B). Under the same experimental conditions, there was no detectable binding by MutSα to the control A:T duplex. Recognition of a 2-OH-A:T base pair was also observed with a second series of duplexes based on an unrelated random sequence (data not shown).

These findings indicate that MMR might be engaged at 2-OH-A base pairs. MutSα recognition of 2-OH-A-containing structures resembling slipped-mispaired intermediates suggests a role in counteracting frameshifts caused by these oxidized bases in repetitive sequences. In addition, the ability of MutSα to recognize 2-OH-A containing mispairs is consistent with an involvement in suppressing base substitution mutations caused by oxidatively damaged adenine.

4. Discussion

Our previous analysis of oxidation-related spontaneous mutations in MMR deficient cells identified 2-OH-A as a potentially significant contributor to several classes of mutation [15]. Since these included frameshifts in A runs as well as base substitutions, we investigated some of the structural and biological properties of this oxidized purine in repetitive and non repetitive DNA sequence contexts.

Our findings indicate that incorporation opposite 2-OH-A is difficult for both the A family Kf^{exo-} DNA polymerase and