

Table 1. Cohort studies of dietary factors in relation to incidence of type 2 diabetes.

No. Year	Authors (reference No.)	Subjects			Follow-up (y)	Cases ¹ (n)	Dietary method (times)	Dietary factor examined	Range or median Multivariate relative risk 95% confidence interval				p for trend	Factors used for adjustment	Association	
		n	Sex	Age (y)					<1	1-2	3-5	>6				
1	1985 Snowdon and Phillips (7)	22,632	M/W	30-89	21	434	NVQ (1)	Meat (d/wk)	<1	1-2	3-5	>6	—	Age, desirable weight, PA, frequency of use (meat, eggs, milk, FT, sweet desserts, candy, soft drinks)	Y	
		8,295	M			143		Ref	0.9-2.9	0.8-3.0	1.9-7.1					
		14,337	W			291		Meat (d/wk)	<1	1-2	3-5	>6	—	Age, desirable weight, PA, frequency of use (meat, eggs, milk, FT, sweet desserts, candy, soft drinks)	N	
								Ref	0.9-2.0	0.7-1.8	0.3-1.2					
2	1992 Colditz et al. (8)	84,360	W	34-59	6	702	VEFQ (1)	Energy	—(Low)	—	—	—	—(High)	0.24	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N
		1.00	0.90	1.09	1.04	1.24		0.84-1.82								
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*Protein	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	0.24	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N	
								1.00	1.14	1.03	1.06	0.83				0.55-1.25
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*Animal fat	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	—	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period, IT (VE)	N	
								1.00	0.93	1.06	1.34	0.92				0.60-1.42
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*Vegetable fat	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	—	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period, IT (AF)	Y	
								1.00	0.85	1.06	0.78	0.62				0.40-0.97
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*SFA	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	0.50	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N	
								1.00	0.92	1.08	1.00	1.12				0.76-1.65
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*MUFA	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	0.83	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N	
								1.00	0.80	1.04	0.90	0.91				0.62-1.35
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*PUFA	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	0.07	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N	
								1.00	0.84	0.85	0.59	0.79				0.54-1.17
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*Linoleic acid	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	0.06	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N	
								1.00	0.86	0.86	0.63	0.77				0.52-1.14
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*P/S	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	0.07	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N	
								1.00	1.05	0.99	0.92	0.67				0.44-1.03
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*CHO	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	0.42	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N	
								1.00	1.29	1.08	1.14	1.31				0.86-1.98
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*Dietary fiber	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	0.60	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N	
								1.00	0.89	0.82	1.19	0.75				0.50-1.13
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*Cereal fiber	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	—	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period, IT (FDR, VDF)	N	
								1.00	0.77	0.83	0.84	0.98				0.62-1.55
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*Fruit fiber	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	—	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period, IT (CDR, VDF)	N	
								1.00	0.90	0.82	0.73	0.95				0.60-1.50
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*Vegetable fiber	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	—	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period, IT (CDR, VDF)	N	
								1.00	1.07	0.82	1.35	1.06				0.67-1.66
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*Sucrose	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	0.76	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N	
								1.00	1.18	0.94	1.03	1.16				0.77-1.76
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*Potassium	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	—	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period, IT (Mg, Cu)	N	
								1.00	0.98	1.04	0.85	0.76				0.44-1.30
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*Magnesium	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	—	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period, IT (K, Cu)	N	
								1.00	1.01	1.04	0.92	0.95				0.56-1.61
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*Calcium	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	—	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period, IT (K, Mg)	N	
								1.00	1.19	0.71	0.77	0.85				0.53-1.37
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								Vegetable (servings/d)	<1.2	—	≥2.9	—	0.21	BMI, AL, IT (E), prior weight change (1976-1980)	N	
								1.00	—	0.76	—					
								Ref	—	0.50-1.16	—					
								Fruit (servings/d)	<0.6	—	≥3	—	0.88	BMI, AL, IT (E), prior weight change (1976-1980)	N	
								1.00	—	1.15	—					
								Ref	—	0.72-1.84	—					
								Women with BMI ≥29	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	0.29	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N	
								1.00	1.03	1.04	1.04	1.35				1.00-1.82
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*Protein	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	0.88	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N	
								1.00	0.95	1.11	1.18	0.92				0.68-1.25
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*Animal fat	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	0.83	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N	
								1.00	1.78	0.90	1.11	0.99				0.74-1.36
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*Vegetable fat	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	0.77	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N	
								1.00	1.03	0.93	1.08	0.92				0.58-1.25
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*SFA	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	0.56	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N	
								1.00	0.95	1.08	0.85	0.88				0.63-1.24
								Ref	—	—	—	—				
								*MUFA	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	0.23	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N	
								1.00	0.94	1.27	1.24	1.01				0.73-1.41
								Ref	—	—	—	—				

Table 1. (Continued)

No. Year	Authors (reference No.)	Subjects			Follow-up (y)	Cases (n)	Dietary method (times)	Dietary factor examined	Range or median Multivariate relative risk 95% confidence interval			p for trend	Factors used for adjustment	Association	
		n	Sex	Age (y)					—(Low)	—	—(High)				
								1.00	1.07	1.08	1.12	1.26	0.17	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N
								Ref	—	—	—	0.91-1.75			
								1.00	1.10	1.07	1.06	1.02	0.81	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N
								Ref	—	—	—	0.73-1.46			
								1.00	1.15	1.24	1.14	1.16	0.39	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N
								Ref	—	—	—	0.85-1.59			
								1.00	1.01	1.29	1.04	1.13	0.39	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N
								Ref	—	—	—	0.84-1.55			
								1.00	1.21	1.21	1.04	1.08	0.97	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N
								Ref	—	—	—	0.78-1.48			
								1.00	1.21	0.99	0.90	0.90	0.20	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N
								Ref	—	—	—	0.64-1.28			
								1.00	0.97	1.01	0.88	0.92	0.42	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N
								Ref	—	—	—	0.68-1.23			
								1.00	1.25	1.03	0.81	0.73	0.008	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N
								Ref	—	—	—	0.53-1.02			
								1.00	0.95	0.92	0.91	0.84	0.260	Age, BMI, AL, FH, prior weight change (1976-1980), time period	N
								Ref	—	—	—	0.59-1.18			
2 1997	Salmerón et al. (9)	65,173	W	40-65	6	915	VFFQ (1)	4710	6100	7172	8374	10367			
								1.00	1.24	1.20	1.12	1.24	0.16	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N
								Ref	1.00-1.53	0.96-1.49	0.90-1.39	1.00-1.53			
								15.8	20.8	24.6	28.6	35.3			
								1.00	0.92	0.97	0.89	0.85	0.12	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N
								Ref	0.75-1.13	0.79-1.20	0.72-1.09	0.68-1.04			
								21.8	27.8	32.0	36.7	44.2			
								1.00	1.21	1.24	1.07	1.09	0.76	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N
								Ref	0.97-1.52	0.99-1.54	0.85-1.35	0.87-1.37			
								15.1	18.3	20.4	22.7	26.4			
								1.00	1.21	0.99	0.98	0.95	0.20	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N
								Ref	0.98-1.50	0.79-1.24	0.79-1.22	0.76-1.18			
								7.6	9.3	10.6	12.1	14.5			
								1.00	0.93	0.91	0.91	0.97	0.81	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N
								Ref	0.76-1.15	0.74-1.12	0.74-1.13	0.79-1.19			
								15.9	19.1	21.3	23.4	26.7			
								1.00	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.91	0.42	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N
								Ref	0.78-1.21	0.80-1.23	0.80-1.23	0.73-1.13			
								155	178	189	208	231			
								1.00	0.94	1.14	0.95	1.04	0.83	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N
								Ref	0.76-1.17	0.92-1.41	0.76-1.19	0.83-1.30			
								11.8	14.7	17.0	19.6	24.1			
								1.00	1.01	0.90	0.91	0.78	0.02	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	Y
								Ref	0.83-1.24	0.73-1.11	0.74-1.13	0.62-0.98			
								1.4	2.6	3.7	5.1	7.6			
								1.00	0.87	0.95	0.94	0.87	0.39	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N
								Ref	0.70-1.07	0.77-1.18	0.76-1.16	0.70-1.08			
								3.4	4.8	5.9	7.2	9.6			
								1.00	1.40	1.23	1.29	1.17	0.54	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N
								Ref	1.13-1.73	0.99-1.53	1.04-1.61	0.93-1.46			
								2	2.9	3.7	4.9	7.5			
								1.00	1.01	0.85	0.82	0.72	0.001	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	Y
								Ref	0.83-1.23	0.69-1.04	0.66-1.01	0.58-0.90			
								222	261	292	327	338			
								1.00	0.91	0.84	0.82	0.62	<0.001	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	Y
								Ref	0.74-1.10	0.69-1.03	0.67-1.01	0.50-0.78			
								64	68	71	73	77			
								1.00	1.21	1.37	1.37	1.37	0.005	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH, IT (CDF)	Y
								Ref	0.96-1.52	1.10-1.72	1.09-1.71	1.09-1.71			
								111	131	144	157	178			
								1.00	1.24	1.22	1.25	1.47	0.003	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH, IT (CDF)	Y
								Ref	0.99-1.55	0.97-1.54	0.99-1.59	1.16-1.86			
2 2000	Liu et al. (10)	75,521	W	38-63	9.6	1,879	VFFQ (3)	—(Low)	—	—	—	—(High)			
								1.00	0.84	0.82	0.72	0.75	0.005	Age, BMI, PA, SM, AL, FH, SR, IT (E)	Y
								Ref	0.72-0.97	0.70-0.96	0.61-0.85	0.63-0.89			
								0-0.26	0.27-0.56	0.57-1.06	1.07-1.76	1.77-15.93			
								1.00	0.91	0.94	0.74	0.73	<0.0001	Age, BMI, PA, SM, AL, FH, SR, IT (E)	Y
								Ref	0.79-1.05	0.82-1.08	0.64-0.86	0.63-0.85			
								—(Low)	—	—	—	—(High)			
								1.00	1.09	1.01	1.09	1.11	0.26	Age, BMI, PA, SM, AL, FH, SR, IT (E)	N
								Ref	0.94-1.26	0.86-1.17	0.92-1.27	0.94-1.30			
								—(Low)	—	—	—	—(High)			
								1.00	1.09	1.15	1.27	1.26	0.01	Age, BMI, PA, SM, AL, FH, SR, IT (E)	Y
								Ref	0.93-1.27	0.99-1.33	1.09-1.47	1.08-1.46			
								AN	<1	2-4	5-6	≥7			
								1.00	0.90	0.87	0.79	0.77	0.002	Age, BMI, PA, SM, AL, FH, SR, IT (E)	Y
								Ref	0.77-1.04	0.75-1.01	0.66-0.94	0.66-0.90			
								AN	<1	2-4	5-6	≥7			
								1.00	0.81	0.70	0.71	0.66	<0.0001	Age, BMI, PA, SM, AL, FH, SR, IT (E)	Y
								Ref	0.71-0.93	0.60-0.81	0.62-0.82	0.55-0.80			

Table 1. (Continued)

No. Year	Authors (reference No.)	Subjects			Follow-up (y)	Cases (n)	Dietary method (times)	Dietary factor examined	Range or median Multivariable relative risk 95% confidence Interval				p for trend	Factors used for adjustment	Association										
		n	Sex	Age (y)					<1	2-4	5-6	≥7													
2 2001	Hu et al. (17)	84,941	W	34-59	15.3	3,300	VFFQ (4)	P popcorn (servings/wk)	AN	<1	2-4	5-6	≥7	0.47	Age, BMI, PA, SM, AL, FH, SP, IT (E)	N									
								Ref	1.00	0.89	1.00	0.84	0.88				0.81-0.99	0.85-1.17	0.62-1.15	0.59-1.31					
								Cooked oatmeal (servings/wk)	AN	<1	2-4	5-6	≥7	0.08	Age, BMI, PA, SM, AL, FH, SP, IT (E)	N									
								Ref	1.00	0.97	0.84	0.61	0.73				0.88-1.07	0.69-1.03	0.32-1.15	0.35-1.54					
								Brown rice (servings/wk)	AN	<1	2-4	5-6	<0.0001	Age, BMI, PA, SM, AL, FH, SP, IT (E)	Y										
								Ref	1.00	0.77	0.64	0.47				0.69-0.86	0.44-0.91	0.15-1.45							
								Wheat germ (servings/wk)	AN	<1	2-4	5-6	0.003	Age, BMI, PA, SM, AL, FH, SP, IT (E)	Y										
								Ref	1.00	0.64	0.79	0.85				0.51-0.80	0.51-1.22	0.52-1.37							
								Bran (servings/wk)	AN	<1	2-4	5-6	<0.0001	Age, BMI, PA, SM, AL, FH, SP, IT (E)	Y										
								Ref	1.00	0.66	0.63	0.54				0.56-0.78	0.48-0.83	0.41-0.72							
								Other whole grains (servings/wk)	AN	<1	0.02	Age, BMI, PA, SM, AL, FH, SP, IT (E)	Y												
								Ref	1.00	0.77				0.63-0.94											
2 2001	Salmerón et al. (17)	84,204	W	34-59	14	2,507	VFFQ (4)	Cereal fiber	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	<0.001	P/S, IT (TF), GL, age, time, FH, MS, HRT, SM, BMI, PA, AL	Y										
								Ref	1.00	0.97#	0.79#	0.76#				0.60#	0.87-1.07#	0.69-0.89#	0.66-0.86#	0.50-0.70#					
								P/S	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	<0.001	IT (CDR TF), GL, age, time, FH, MS, HRT, SM, BMI, PA, AL	Y										
								Ref	1.00	0.92#	0.83#	0.81#				0.80#	0.82-1.02#	0.73-0.93#	0.71-0.91#	0.70-0.90#					
								Trans fatty acid	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	<0.001	IT (CDF), P/S, GL, age, time, FH, MS, HRT, SM, BMI, PA, AL	Y										
								Ref	1.00	1.05#	1.19#	1.19#				1.40#	0.95-1.15#	1.09-1.29#	1.09-1.29#	1.30-1.50#					
								Glycemic load	—(Low)	—	—	—(High)	<0.001	IT (CDF, TP), P/S, age, time, FH, MS, HRT, SM, BMI, PA, AL	Y										
								Ref	1.00	1.00#	1.12#	1.18#				1.40#	0.87-1.130#	0.98-1.26#	1.02-1.34#	1.22-1.58#					
								Dietary score ²	1(Low)	2	3	4	5(High)	—	Age, time, FH, MS, HRT, PA, BMI, SM, AL	Y									
								Ref	1.00	0.86	0.77	0.67	0.49				0.78-0.95	0.68-0.86	0.60-0.74	0.42-0.56					
								2 2001	Salmerón et al. (17)	84,204	W	34-59	14	2,507	VFFQ (4)	Total fat (%E)	28.9	33.9	37.2	40.6	46.1	0.96	Age, time period, BMI, SM, FH, AL, PA, IT (P, E, CL)	N	
																Ref	1.00	0.87	1.01	0.97	0.97				0.77-1.00
Animal fat (%E)	17.3	21.6	25.0	29.2	36.4	0.71	Age, time period, BMI, SM, FH, AL, PA, IT (P, E, CL, VE, TF)									N									
Ref	1.00	0.88	1.00	1.02	0.97												0.76-1.02	0.86-1.15	0.88-1.19	0.82-1.15					
Vegetable fat (%E)	5.3	8.7	11.1	13.5	17.2	<0.0001	Age, time period, BMI, SM, FH, AL, PA, IT (P, E, CL, AR, TF)									Y									
Ref	1.00	0.85	0.67	0.65	0.60												0.75-0.96	0.59-0.77	0.56-0.76	0.51-0.71					
SFA (%E)	10.7	12.8	14.3	16.0	18.8	0.98	Age, time period, BMI, SM, FH, AL, PA, IT (P, E, CL, MUFA, PUFA, TF)									N									
Ref	1.00	0.97	0.96	1.03	0.99												0.83-1.12	0.81-1.14	0.86-1.24	0.80-1.21					
MUFA (%E)	10.9	13.1	14.6	16.3	19.3	0.51	Age, time period, BMI, SM, FH, AL, PA, IT (P, E, CL, SFA, PUFA, TF)									N									
Ref	1.00	1.07	1.05	1.02	1.06												0.91-1.25	0.88-1.26	0.83-1.25	0.84-1.33					
PUFA (%E)	2.9	3.4	4.1	4.8	6.2	0.0002	Age, time period, BMI, SM, FH, AL, PA, IT (P, E, CL, SFA, MUFA, TF)									Y									
Ref	1.00	0.86	0.77	0.75	0.75												0.76-0.97	0.67-0.88	0.65-0.86	0.65-0.88					
Trans fatty acid (%E)	1.3	1.7	2.0	2.4	2.9	0.02	Age, time period, BMI, SM, FH, AL, PA, IT (P, E, CL, SFA, MUFA, PUFA, TF)	Y																	
Ref	1.00	1.12	1.18	1.14	1.31				0.97-1.29	1.02-1.37	0.97-1.34	1.10-1.56													
*Cholesterol (mg/d)	131	163	188	217	273	<0.0001	Age, time period, BMI, SM, FH, AL, PA, IT (P, E, CL, SFA, MUFA, PUFA, TF)	Y																	
Ref	1.00	1.02	1.16	1.25	1.36				0.88-1.18	1.00-1.34	1.08-1.45	1.17-1.59													
2 2002	Jiang et al. (13)	83,818	W	34-59	16	3,206	VFFQ (5)	Nuts (times/wk)	NV/AN	<1	1-4	≥5	0.002	Age, BMI, FH, PA, SM, AL, GL, SP, IT (E, PUFA, SFA, TH, CDR, Mg, VG, FT, WG, fish)	Y										
								Ref	1.00	0.91	0.81	0.71				0.84-0.99	0.74-0.90	0.57-0.87							
2 2004	Lopez-Ridaura et al. (14)	85,060	W	34-59	18	4,085	VFFQ (6)	Peanut butter (times/wk)	AN	<1	1-4	≥5	<0.001	Age, BMI, FH, PA, SM, AL, GL, SP, IT (E, PUFA, SFA, TH, CDR, Mg, VG, FT, WG, fish)	Y										
								Ref	1.00	0.98	0.91	0.81				0.90-1.07	0.84-1.00	0.69-0.94							
2 2004	Salmerón et al. (16)	84,276	W	34-59	18	4,085	VFFQ (5)	Magnesium (diet & SP) (mg/d)	222	261	290	321	373	<0.001	FH, BMI, PA, SM, AL, HPTS, HPCL, GL, IT (E, CDR, PUFA, TF, processed meat)	Y									
								Ref	1.00	0.92	0.88	0.76	0.73				0.84-1.01	0.80-0.98	0.69-0.85	0.65-0.82					
3 1997	Salmerón et al. (16)	42,759	M	40-75	6	523	VFFQ (11)	Coffee (cups/d)	0	<1	1-3	≥4	<0.001	Age, FH, AL, SM, CL, IT (E, TF, PUFA, CDR, Mg), BMI, PA, MS, HRT	Y										
								Ref	1.00	1.16	0.99	0.70				0.71	1.05-1.29	0.90-1.08	0.60-0.82	0.56-0.89					
								Tea (cups/d)	0	<1	1-3	≥4	>0.20	Age, FH, AL, SM, CL, IT (E, TF, PUFA, CDR, Mg), BMI, PA, MS, HRT	N										
								Ref	1.00	1.05	1.01	0.91				0.97-1.15	0.92-1.11	0.72-1.16							
								Decaffeinated coffee (cups/d)	0	<1	1-3	≥4	0.008	Age, FH, AL, SM, CL, IT (E, TF, PUFA, CDR, Mg), BMI, PA, MS, HRT	N										
								Ref	1.00	0.96	0.88	0.85				0.88-1.05	0.80-0.97	0.61-1.17							
								Caffeine (mg/d)	69	193	328	432	708	<0.001	Age, FH, AL, SM, CL, IT (E, TF, PUFA, CDR, Mg), BMI, PA, MS, HRT	Y									
								Ref	1.00	1.02	0.90	0.85	0.70				0.93-1.11	0.82-0.99	0.77-0.94	0.63-0.79					
								3 1997	Salmerón et al. (16)	42,759	M	40-75	6	523	VFFQ (11)	Energy (kcal/d)	1249	1606	1902	2245	2831	0.13	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N	
																Ref	1.00	1.00	1.24	1.12	1.22				0.75-1.33
																*Vegetable fat (g/d)	18.5	24.5	29.1	34.0	42.6	0.28	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N	
																Ref	1.00	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.83				0.73-1.24
*Animal Fat (g/d)	26.5	34.5	49.5	47.0	57.3	0.26	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N																	
Ref	1.00	0.89	1.19	1.19	1.11				0.64-1.25	0.87-1.62	0.88-1.62	0.82-1.50													

Table 1. (Continued)

No. Year	Authors (reference No.)	Subjects		Follow-up (y)	Cases ¹ (n)	Dietary method	Dietary factor examined	Range or median Multivariate relative risk 95% confidence interval					p for trend	Factors used for adjustment	Association		
		n	Sex					Age (y)	16.7	21.3	24.3	27.3				32.1	
							*SFA (g/d)	1.00	1.20	1.31	1.17	1.03	0.75	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N		
							Ref	0.87-1.65	0.96-1.78	0.85-1.59	0.75-1.41						
							9.2	11.3	12.8	14.5	17.4						
							*PUFA (g/d)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.16	1.01	0.70	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N		
							Ref	0.76-1.34	0.76-1.34	0.89-1.54	0.77-1.35						
							19.5	24.2	27.3	30.2	36.6						
							*MUFA (g/d)	1.00	1.04	1.26	1.16	1.01	0.96	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N		
							Ref	0.76-1.42	0.93-1.69	0.85-1.56	0.74-1.37						
							*CHO (g/d)	1.00	0.88	0.93	0.88	0.85	0.33	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N		
							Ref	0.67-1.14	0.71-1.22	0.66-1.17	0.62-1.15						
							*Dietary fiber (g/d)	1.00	0.98	1.08	0.87	0.98	0.70	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N		
							Ref	0.75-1.29	0.83-1.42	0.65-1.17	0.73-1.33						
*Cereal fiber (g/d)	1.00	1.14	0.95	0.91	0.70	0.007	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	Y									
Ref	0.89-1.46	0.73-1.25	0.69-1.20	0.51-0.96													
*Fruit fiber (g/d)	1.00	1.01	0.89	1.14	1.01	0.68	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N									
Ref	0.76-1.34	0.67-1.19	0.86-1.51	0.76-1.36													
*Vegetable fiber (g/d)	1.00	1.12	1.22	1.10	1.12	0.65	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	N									
Ref	0.85-1.49	0.93-1.61	0.83-1.46	0.84-1.49													
*Magnesium (mg/d)	1.00	0.92	0.83	0.66	0.72	0.004	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH	Y									
Ref	0.72-1.19	0.64-1.08	0.49-0.88	0.54-0.96													
*Glycemic index	1.00	1.16	1.19	1.20	1.37	0.03	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH, IT (CDF)	Y									
Ref	0.88-1.50	0.89-1.58	0.90-1.60	1.02-1.83													
*Glycemic load	1.00	1.07	1.04	1.13	1.25	0.17	Age, BMI, AL, SM, PA, FH, IT (CDF)	N									
Ref	0.82-1.14	0.78-1.39	0.83-1.54	0.90-1.73													
3	2002	Fung et al. (17)	42,898	M	40-75	10.3	1,197	VFFQ (3)	Whole grain (servings/d)	1.00	0.88	0.77	0.79	0.70	0.0006	Age, period, PA, missing FFQ, SM, FH, AL, IT (E, FF, VG), BMI	Y
Ref	0.74-1.04	0.65-0.92	0.66-0.95	0.57-0.85													
0.8	1.3	1.9	2.6	4.1													
Refined grain (servings/d)	1.00	1.07	1.08	1.00	1.08	0.69	Age, period, PA, missing FFQ, SM, FH, AL, IT (E, FF, VG), BMI	N									
Ref	0.89-1.29	0.90-1.30	0.82-1.22	0.87-1.33													
3	2002	van Dam et al. (18)	42,504	M	40-75	12	1,321	VFFQ (3)	Prudent pattern score ¹	1(Low)	2	3	4	5(High)	0.20	Age, BMI, IT (E), BA, SM, AL, necessary, HPCL, HPTS, FH	N
Ref	0.65-0.92	0.81-1.14	0.75-1.06	0.70-1.00													
Western pattern score ¹	1(Low)	2	3	4	5(High)	<0.001	Age, BMI, IT (E), PA, SM, AL, necessary, HPCL, HPTS, FH	Y									
Ref	1.00-1.48	1.10-1.63	1.10-1.63	1.32-1.93													
3	2002	van Dam et al. (19)	42,504	M	40-75	12	1,321	VFFQ (3)	*Total fat (%E)	1.00	0.99	1.14	1.00	0.97	0.63	Age, time period, PA, SM, AL, HPCL, HPTS, FH, IT (E, CDR Mg), BMI	N
Ref	0.81-1.21	0.94-1.39	0.82-1.22	0.79-1.18													
7.6	9.6	11	12	14													
*SFA (%E)	1.00	1.20	1.12	1.22	0.97	0.47	Age, time period, PA, SM, AL, HPCL, HPTS, FH, IT (E, CDR Mg), BMI	N									
Ref	0.98-1.46	0.92-1.38	1.00-1.49	0.79-1.20													
8	10	11	12	14													
*Oleic acid (%E)	1.00	1.02	1.09	1.09	0.93	0.53	Age, time period, PA, SM, AL, HPCL, HPTS, FH, IT (E, CDR Mg), BMI	N									
Ref	0.84-1.24	0.90-1.32	0.90-1.33	0.76-1.14													
3.5	4.4	4.9	5.6	6.8													
*Linoleic acid (%E)	1.00	0.99	1.03	1.06	0.89	0.27	Age, time period, PA, SM, AL, HPCL, HPTS, FH, IT (E, CDR Mg), BMI	N									
Ref	0.83-1.18	0.86-1.23	0.89-1.26	0.74-1.06													
0.7	1.0	1.3	1.5	2.0													
*Trans fatty acid (%E)	1.00	0.95	0.93	0.91	0.90	0.33	Age, time period, PA, SM, AL, HPCL, HPTS, FH, IT (E, CDR Mg), BMI	N									
Ref	0.79-1.15	0.77-1.12	0.75-1.11	0.74-1.10													
321	396	458	533	671													
*α-Linolenic acid (mg/d)	1.00	1.03	1.10	1.00	0.93	0.27	Age, time period, PA, SM, AL, HPCL, HPTS, FH, IT (E, CDR Mg), BMI	N									
Ref	0.86-1.23	0.92-1.31	0.84-1.20	0.78-1.11													
80	155	250	350	570													
*Long-chain n-3 fatty acid (mg/d)	1.00	1.01	0.95	1.05	1.01	0.81	Age, time period, PA, SM, AL, HPCL, HPTS, FH, IT (E, CDR Mg), BMI	N									
Ref	0.85-1.19	0.79-1.13	0.88-1.25	0.84-1.21													
<1/mo	1-3/mo	1/wk	2-4/wk	≥5/wk													
*Total processed meat	1.00	1.13	1.04	1.35	1.46	<0.0001	Age, time period, PA, SM, AL, HPCL, HPTS, FH, IT (E, CDR Mg), BMI	Y									
Ref	0.90-1.41	0.82-1.30	1.08-1.68	1.14-1.86													
<1/mo	1-3/mo	1/wk	≥2/wk														
Bacon	1.00	0.96	1.19	1.33	1.46	0.0002	Age, time period, PA, SM, AL, HPCL, HPTS, FH, IT (E, CDR Mg), BMI	Y									
Ref	0.83-1.10	1.01-1.40	1.11-1.58														
<1/mo	1-3/mo	1/wk	≥2/wk														
Hot dogs	1.00	1.14	1.27	1.26	1.26	0.03	Age, time period, PA, SM, AL, HPCL, HPTS, FH, IT (E, CDR Mg), BMI	N									
Ref	1.00-1.30	1.07-1.51	1.00-1.60														
<1/mo	1-3/mo	1/wk	≥2/wk														
Sausage, salami, bologna, other processed meats	1.00	0.95	1.12	1.18	1.18	0.01	Age, time period, PA, SM, AL, HPCL, HPTS, FH, IT (E, CDR Mg), BMI	N									
Ref	0.81-1.12	0.94-1.34	0.99-1.41														
3	2004	Jiang et al. (20)	38,394	M	40-75	12	1,168	VFFQ (3)	*Iron (diet & SP) (mg/d)	1.00	1.10	1.36	1.23	1.16	0.67	BMI, FH, PA, SM, AL, IT (E, TR, CDR, Mg, WG, VG, FT), P/S, CL, SP	N
Ref	0.91-1.33	1.11-1.66	0.99-1.53	0.92-1.47													
0.80	1.05	1.27	1.50	1.90													
*Heme iron (diet only) (mg/d)	1.00	1.09	1.28	1.22	1.28	0.045	BMI, FH, PA, SM, AL, IT (E, TR, CDR, Mg, WG, VG, FT), P/S, CL, SP	Y									
Ref	0.87-1.36	1.03-1.58	1.22-1.53	1.28-1.61													
0.20	0.45	0.63	0.90	1.30													
*Heme iron from red meat (mg/d)	1.00	1.24	1.26	1.43	1.63	<0.001	BMI, FH, PA, SM, AL, IT (E, TR, CDR, Mg, WG, VG, FT), P/S, CL, SP	Y									
Ref	0.98-1.55#	1.00-1.60#	1.15-1.80#	1.26-2.10													

Table 1. (Continued)

No. Year	Authors (reference No.)	Subjects			Follow-up (y)	Cases ¹ (n)	Dietary method (times)	Dietary factor examined	Range or median Multivariate relative risk 95% confidence interval					p for trend	Factors used for adjustment	Association
		n	Sex	Age (y)												
3	2004 Lopez-Rubiana et al. (14)	42,872	M	40-75	12	1,333	VFFQ (4)	*Heme iron from sources other than red meat (mg/d)	0.21	0.40	0.55	0.70	1.00	0.87	BMI, FH, PA, SM, AL, IT (E, TE, CDR, Mg, WG, VG, FT), P/S, GL, SP	N
								Ref	0.80-1.20#	0.75-1.10#	0.80-1.15#	0.80-1.21				
3	2004 Salazar-Matinez et al. (13)	41,934	M	40-75	12	1,333	VFFQ (3)	*Magnesium (diet & SP) (mg/d)	270	314	348.5	388	457	<0.001	FH, BMI, PA, SM, AL, HPTS, HPCL, GL, IT (E, CDR, PUFA, TF, processed meat)	Y
								Ref	0.70-0.98	0.75-1.05	0.55-0.80	0.58-0.89				
								Coffee (cups/d)	0	<1	1-3	4-5	≥6	0.007	Age, FH, AL, SM, GL, IT (E, TE, PUFA, CDR, Mg), BMI, PA	Y
								Ref	0.84-1.15	0.80-1.08	0.53-0.94	0.26-0.82				
								*Tea (cups/d)	1.00	0.92	0.97	1.02		>0.20	Age, FH, AL, SM, GL, IT (E, TE, PUFA, CDR, Mg), BMI, PA	N
								Ref	0.81-1.04	0.82-1.14	0.59-1.78					
								Decaffeinated coffee (cups/d)	0	<1	1-3	≥4		0.048	Age, FH, AL, SM, GL, IT (E, TE, PUFA, CDR, Mg), BMI, PA	N
								Ref	0.84-1.08	0.76-1.03	0.48-1.12					
								Caffeine (mg/d)	13	74	172	323	566	0.002	Age, FH, AL, SM, GL, IT (E, TE, PUFA, CDR, Mg), BMI, PA	Y
								Ref	0.89-1.26	0.85-1.20	0.78-1.12	0.66-0.97				
4	1999 Kao et al. (21)	11,896	M/W	45-64	6	1,106	VFFQ (1)	Magnesium (mg/4.2 kJ)	<0.12	0.12-0.14	0.14-0.17	>0.17	0.47	Age, sex, ED, FH, BMI, W/H, PA, AL, diuretic use, IT (Ca, K), F/L, FGL	N	
									Ref	0.52-1.74	0.72-1.96	0.74-1.67				
								Magnesium (mg/4.2 kJ)	<0.14	0.14-0.16	0.16-0.19	>0.19	0.49	Age, sex, ED, FH, BMI, W/H, PA, AL, diuretic use, IT (Ca, K), F/L, FGL	N	
									Ref	0.56-1.14	0.70-1.31	0.75-1.29				
4	2002 Stevens et al. (22)	12,251	M/W	45-64	9	1,447	VFFQ (1)	Cereal fiber	—(Low)	—	—	—	—(High)	—	Age, BMI, sex, field center, ED, SM, PA	Y
									Ref	—	—	—	0.60-0.92			
								Cereal fiber	—(Low)	—	—	—	—(High)	—	Age, BMI, sex, field center, ED, SM, PA	N
									Ref	—	—	—	0.86-1.15			
5	2000 Meyer et al. (23)	35,988	W	55-69	6	1,141	VFFQ (1)	*CHO (g/d)	<192.1	192.1-210.6	210.7-225.6	225.7-243.8	>243.8	0.22	Age, IT (E), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	N
									Ref	0.87-1.26	0.81-1.19	0.74-1.09	0.76-1.13			
								*Starch (g/d)	<50.5	50.5-59.3	59.4-67.0	67.1-76.8	>76.8	0.12	Age, IT (E), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	N
									Ref	0.65-0.96	0.71-1.03	0.68-1.00	0.69-1.00			
								*Glucose (g/d)	<13.9	13.9-17.6	17.7-21.1	21.2-25.8	>25.8	0.0007	Age, IT (E), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	Y
									Ref	0.78-1.17	0.91-1.35	0.97-1.44	1.08-1.57			
								*Sucrose (g/d)	<31.2	31.2-38.0	38.1-43.6	43.7-51.0	>51.0	0.027	Age, IT (E), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	Y
									Ref	0.82-1.19	0.79-1.16	0.76-1.13	0.67-0.99			
								*Fructose (g/d)	<15.9	15.9-20.3	20.4-24.5	24.6-30.0	>30.0	0.0015	Age, IT (E), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	Y
									Ref	0.77-1.16	0.96-1.42	0.97-1.43	1.06-1.54			
								*Lactose (g/d)	<11.9	11.9-16.7	16.8-29.5	29.6-101.8	>101.8	0.24	Age, IT (E), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	N
									Ref	0.96-1.41	0.84-1.24	0.90-1.32	0.77-1.14			
								*Maltose (g/d)	<0.92	0.92-1.19	1.20-1.45	1.46-1.85	>1.85	0.60	Age, IT (E), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	N
									Ref	0.71-1.05	0.92-1.34	0.88-1.30	0.81-1.19			
								*Glycemic index	<58	59-65	66-71	72-80	>80	0.0507	Age, IT (E, DF), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	N
									Ref	0.98-1.43	1.05-1.53	0.78-1.17	0.72-1.10			
								*Glycemic load	<103	104-114	115-124	125-136	>136	0.53	Age, IT (E, DF), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	N
									Ref	0.79-1.15	0.71-1.05	0.75-1.12	0.78-1.16			
								*Dietary fiber (g/d)	<15.3	15.3-17.8	17.9-20.3	20.4-23.6	>23.6	0.005	Age, IT (E), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	Y
									Ref	0.91-1.31	0.83-1.21	0.78-1.15	0.64-0.96			
								*Soluble fiber (g/d)	<4.8	4.8-5.5	5.6-6.2	6.3-7.2	>7.2	0.23	Age, IT (E), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	N
									Ref	0.83-1.21	0.84-1.23	0.82-1.20	0.73-1.08			
								*Insoluble fiber (g/d)	<11.4	11.4-13.4	13.5-15.2	15.3-17.7	>17.7	0.0012	Age, IT (E), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	Y
									Ref	0.80-1.15	0.77-1.11	0.67-0.99	0.61-0.91			
								*Cereal fiber (g/d)	<3.4	3.4-4.3	4.4-5.5	5.6-7.5	>7.5	0.0017	Age, IT (E, WG, Mg), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	Y
									Ref	0.78-1.12	0.74-1.09	0.65-0.99	0.56-0.89			
								*Pruitt fiber (g/d)	<2.55	2.55-3.85	3.86-5.19	5.20-7.02	>7.02	0.081	Age, IT (E), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	N
									Ref	0.81-1.20	0.94-1.38	0.87-1.29	0.96-1.42			
								*Vegetable fiber (g/d)	<5.75	5.75-7.11	7.12-8.38	8.39-10.14	>10.14	0.77	Age, IT (E), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	N
									Ref	0.88-1.30	0.92-1.35	0.92-1.36	0.80-1.18			
								*Legume fiber (g/d)	<0.31	0.31-0.56	0.57-0.83	0.84-1.21	>1.21	0.17	Age, IT (E), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	N
									Ref	0.80-1.18	0.78-1.16	0.85-1.27	0.91-1.33			
								*Magnesium (mg/d)	<242	242-270	271-297	298-332	>332	0.048	Age, IT (E, WG, CDF), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	Y
									Ref	0.69-0.99	0.71-1.03	0.73-1.06	0.62-0.95			
								*Total grain (servings/wk)	<13.0	13-18.5	19-24.5	25-33	>33	0.022	Age, IT (E, CDR, Mg), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	Y
									Ref	0.74-1.10	0.79-1.17	0.67-1.04	0.56-0.96			

Table 1. (Continued)

No. Year	Authors (reference No.)	Subjects		Follow-up (y)	Cases (n)	Dietary method (times)	Dietary factor examined	Range or median Multivariate relative risk 95% confidence Interval					p for trend	Factors used for adjustment	Association	
		n	Sex					Age (y)	<3.0	3.0-5.5	6.0-8.0	8.5-17.5				>17.5
5	2001 Meyer et al. (24)	35,988	W	55-69	11	1,890	VFFQ (1)	Whole grain (servings/wk)	<3.0	3.0-5.5	6.0-8.0	8.5-17.5	>17.5	0.69	Age, IT (E, CDR, Mg), BMI, W/H, ED, SM, AL, PA	N
								1.00	1.03	1.05	0.97	0.82				
								Ref	0.86-1.24	0.87-1.27	0.86-1.29	0.78-1.21				
								<6.0	6.0-9.5	10-13.5	14-22	>22				
								1.00	0.96	0.81	0.98	0.87				
								Ref	0.79-1.16	0.66-0.99	0.81-1.19	0.70-1.08				
								Fruit & vegetables (servings/wk)	<23	23-30	31-39	40-51	>51			
								1.00	1.00	1.12	1.21	1.05				
								Ref	0.82-1.22	0.92-1.36	0.99-1.49	0.84-1.31				
								Fruit (servings/wk)	<6.25	6.5-10	10.1-13.5	13.6-19	>19			
								1.00	1.05	1.00	1.08	1.14				
								Ref	0.87-1.26	0.82-1.22	0.88-1.32	0.91-1.39				
Vegetable (servings/wk)	<14	14-19.4	19.5-25	25.1-33.5	>33.5											
1.00	1.03	0.99	1.09	1.07												
Ref	0.85-1.24	0.82-1.21	0.90-1.34	0.86-1.32												
Mature beans (servings/wk)	<1.5	1.5-2	2.25-3	3.5-4.5	>4.5											
1.00	1.01	1.06	1.10	0.96												
Ref	0.82-1.23	0.85-1.31	0.89-1.36	0.76-1.20												
5	2001 Meyer et al. (24)	35,988	W	55-69	11	1,890	VFFQ (1)	*Total fat (g/d)	55.7	56.1	60.1	66.8	86.6	0.11	Age, W/H, BMI, PA, SM, AL, ED, marital status, residential area, HRT, IT (E, Mg, CDF)	N
								1.00	1.00	0.95	0.93	0.89				
								Ref	0.85-1.17	0.81-1.11	0.79-1.10	0.75-1.05				
								19.3	19.2	20.4	23.2	31.8				
								1.00	1.06	1.07	1.11	0.95				
								Ref	0.89-1.27	0.89-1.29	0.91-1.36	0.76-1.19				
								*SFA (g/d)	8.9	9.2	10.4	12.2	16.6			
								1.00	0.94	0.93	0.88	0.90				
								Ref	0.81-1.09	0.79-1.08	0.74-1.03	0.75-1.07				
								*MUFA (g/d)	20.4	20.9	22.7	25.7	33.8			
								1.00	0.99	1.03	0.93	1.02				
								Ref	0.83-1.19	0.84-1.27	0.74-1.18	0.78-1.34				
*Long-chain n-3 fatty acid (g/d)	0.03	0.09	0.13	0.20	0.39											
1.00	0.97	0.99	0.94	1.11												
Ref	0.83-1.12	0.84-1.15	0.80-1.10	0.94-1.30												
*Trans fatty acid (g/d)	2.2	2.4	2.8	3.5	5.2											
1.00	1.01	0.94	0.88	0.92												
Ref	0.86-1.19	0.79-1.12	0.73-1.06	0.75-1.11												
*Cholesterol (mg/d)	185	201	237	281	382											
1.00	0.84	1.02	1.03	1.11												
Ref	0.71-1.00	0.86-1.22	0.87-1.23	0.92-1.33												
*Animal fat (g/d)	29.1	29.8	33.7	40.4	56.8											
1.00	1.04	0.97	0.99	0.89												
Ref	0.88-1.22	0.82-1.15	0.83-1.18	0.73-1.07												
*Vegetable fat (g/d)	18.6	20.2	23.7	29.2	41.7											
1.00	0.90	0.87	0.84	0.82												
Ref	0.78-1.04	0.75-1.01	0.72-0.98	0.82-0.97												
5	2004 Lee et al. (25)	35,698	W	55-69	11	1,921	VFFQ (1)	*Non-heme iron (diet only) (mg/d)	6.5	8.9	11.1	14.1	20.8	0.08	Age, W/H, BMI, PA, SM, AL, ED, marital status, residential area, HRT, IT (E, AR, VE, CDR, Mg, heme Fe, Fe (SP))	N
								1.00	0.96	0.99	0.90	0.80				
								Ref	—	—	—	0.64-1.01				
								*Heme iron (diet only) (mg/d)	0.5	0.8	1.2	1.5	2.2			
								1.00	1.07	1.12	1.14	1.28				
								Ref	—	—	—	1.04-1.58				
*Iron (SP only) (mg/d)	0	1-29	≥30	—	—											
1.00	0.94	1.16	—	—												
Ref	—	0.92-1.46	—	—												
6	2001 Ford and Mokdad (26)	9,665	M/W	25-74	20	1,018	24HR (1)	Fruit & vegetables (servings/d)	0	1-4	≥5	—	—	Age, SM, SBP, CL concentration, use of antihypertensive medication, PA, AL, BMI, ED	N	
			1.00					1.23	1.14							
Ref	0.76-1.99	0.67-1.93														
5,791	W		602				Fruit & vegetables (servings/d)	0	1-4	≥5	—	—	Age, SM, SBP, CL concentration, use of antihypertensive medication, PA, AL, BMI, ED	Y		
1.00	0.85	0.61														
Ref	0.62-1.16	0.42-0.88														
7	2002 Meyer-Davies et al. (27)	895	M/W	40-69	5	146	VFFQ (1)	Vitamin E (mg/d)	1-4.9	5-9.9	10-19.9	≥20	>0.05	GT, age, ethnicity, clinic, sex, general health, FH, BMI, waist circumference, SM, PA, TVB, P, DE, Mg, VitC, AL	N	
			1.00					1.00	0.61	0.80						
Ref	0.43-2.31	0.21-1.84	0.13-5.06													
577	VHE SP nonusers		87				Vitamin B (diet & SP) (mg/d)	1-23.7	23.7-37.1	37.1-278.3	≥278.3	>0.05	GT, age, ethnicity, clinic, sex, general health, FH, BMI, waist circumference, SM, PA, TVB, P, DE, Mg, VitC, AL	N		
1.00	0.94	1.34	0.70													
Ref	0.22-3.96	0.29-6.19	0.17-3.51													
318	VHE SP users		59				Coffee (cups/d)	≤2	3-4	5-6	≥7	0.0002	Age, sex, town, BMI, ED, PA, AL, SM, history of cardiovascular disease, known HPTS, known HPCs	Y		
1.00	0.79	0.73	0.50													
Ref	0.57-1.10	0.53-1.01	0.35-0.72													
9	2003 Janket et al. (29)	38,480	W	≥45	5.8	918	VFFQ (1)	*Total sugar (g/d)	—(Low)	—	—	—	—(High)	0.17	Age, SM, BMI, vigorous PA, AL, HRT, SF, history of HPTS, history of high CL, FH	N
								1.00	0.94	0.88	0.92	0.86				
								Ref	0.77-1.15	0.72-1.08	0.74-1.14	0.69-1.06				
								25.8	33.6	39.3	45.8	57.2				
								1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	0.84				
								Ref	0.81-1.23	0.79-1.22	0.81-1.24	0.67-1.04				
								*Sucrose (g/d)	—(Low)	—	—	—	—(High)			
								1.00	0.99	1.04	1.03	0.96				
								Ref	0.81-1.22	0.85-1.29	0.83-1.27	0.78-1.19				
								*Fructose (g/d)	—(Low)	—	—	—	—(High)			
								1.00	1.08	1.02	0.96	1.04				
								Ref	0.88-1.33	0.82-1.26	0.77-1.19	0.85-1.28				
*Glucose (g/d)	—(Low)	—	—	—	—(High)											
1.00	1.08	1.03	0.86	0.99												
Ref	0.88-1.32	0.83-1.26	0.69-1.07	0.80-1.22												
*Lactose (g/d)	—(Low)	—	—	—	—(High)											
1.00	1.08	1.03	0.86	0.99												
Ref	0.88-1.32	0.83-1.26	0.69-1.07	0.80-1.22												

Table 1. (Continued)

No.	Year	Authors (reference No.)	Subjects			Cases ¹ (n)	Dietary method (times)	Dietary factor examined	Range or median Multivariate relative risk 95% confidence interval					P for trend	Factors used for adjustment	Association	
			n	Sex	Age (y)				Follow-up (y)	(Low)	—	—	—				(High)
9	2004	Song et al. (30)	38,025	W	≥45	5,9	918	VFPQ (1)	*Starch (g/d)	1.00	0.95	1.05	1.06	0.88	0.61	Age, SM, BMI, vigorous PA, AL, HRT, SF, history of HPTS, history of high CL, FH	N
									Ref	0.77-1.17	0.85-1.28	0.86-1.30	0.71-1.09				
									255	296	328	365	433				
								Magnesium (diet & SI) (mg/d)	1.00	1.06	0.81	0.86	0.89	0.05	Age, SM, BMI, PA, AL, FH, IT (E)	N	
								Ref	0.88-1.28	0.66-1.00	0.70-1.06	0.71-1.10					
								*Magnesium (diet only) (mg/d)	1.00	2.91	319	349	399	0.09	Age, SM, BMI, PA, AL, FH, IT (E)	N	
								Ref	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.84	0.88				
10	2003	Montonen et al. (32)	4,316	M/W	40-69	10	156	DH (1)	Total grain (g/d)	10-181	182-248	249-339	340-1535		0.001	Age, sex, residential area, SM, BMI, IT (E, FT & BR, VG)	Y
									1.00	0.80	0.48	0.38					
									Ref	0.54-1.20	0.28-0.81	0.19-0.77					
										0-109	110-162	163-237	238-1321		0.02	Age, sex, residential area, SM, BMI, IT (E, FT & BR, VG)	N
										1.00	1.05	0.52	0.65				
										Ref	0.71-1.55	0.31-0.88	0.36-1.18				
										0-58	59-112	113-181	182-1026		0.30	Age, sex, residential area, SM, BMI, IT (E, FT & BR, VG)	N
										1.00	0.99	1.00	0.65				
										Ref	0.66-1.48	0.65-1.54	0.36-1.18				
										0-5	6-23	24-75	76-632		0.69	Age, sex, residential area, SM, BMI, IT (E, FT & BR, VG)	N
										1.00	1.20	1.12	1.14				
										Ref	0.77-1.88	0.71-1.79	0.69-1.87				
										0-45	46-73	74-110	111-567		0.05	Age, sex, residential area, SM, BMI, IT (E, FT & BR, VG)	N
										1.00	0.70	0.68	0.62				
										Ref	0.47-1.07	0.43-1.06	0.36-1.06				
										0-33	34-58	59-90	91-389		0.11	Age, sex, geographic area, SM, BMI, IT (E, FT & BR, VG)	N
										1.00	0.81	0.70	0.69				
										Ref	0.53-1.22	0.45-1.10	0.41-1.14				
										2.6-19.2	19.3-25.3	25.4-33.1	33.2-118		0.04	Age, sex, geographic area, SM, BMI, IT (E, FT & BR, VG)	N
										1.00	0.70	0.67	0.51				
										Ref	0.46-1.07	0.40-1.11	0.26-1.00				
										0.53-4.5	4.6-3.8	5.9-7.3	7.4-22.7		0.21	Age, sex, geographic area, SM, BMI, IT (E, FT & BR, VG)	N
										1.00	0.50	0.74	0.57				
										Ref	0.31-0.81	0.44-1.25	0.29-1.12				
										1.1-8.7	8.8-12.0	12.1-16.5	16.6-69.3		0.03	Age, sex, geographic area, SM, BMI, IT (E, FT & BR, VG)	Y
										1.00	0.75	0.72	0.47				
										Ref	0.50-1.12	0.45-1.17	0.25-0.91				
										0.48-3.2	3.3-4.2	4.3-5.3	5.4-15.2		0.19	Age, sex, geographic area, SM, BMI, IT (E, FT & BR, VG)	N
										1.00	0.53	0.67	0.60				
										Ref	0.32-0.85	0.39-1.14	0.29-1.21				
										0.48-2.3	2.4-3.1	3.2-4.1	4.2-14.5		0.16	Age, sex, geographic area, SM, BMI, IT (E, FT & BR, VG)	N
										1.00	0.79	0.69	0.68				
										Ref	0.52-1.20	0.42-1.15	0.36-1.30				
	0.47-12.0	12.1-17.3	17.4-24.4	24.5-111		0.01	Age, sex, geographic area, SM, BMI, IT (E, FT & BR, VG)	Y									
	1.00	0.81	0.74	0.39													
	Ref	0.54-1.21	0.46-1.18	0.20-0.77													
	0-0.99	1.0-2.0	2.1-3.3	3.4-36.8		0.87	Age, sex, geographic area, SM, BMI, IT (E, FT & BR, VG)	N									
	1.00	0.68	0.79	0.92													
	Ref	0.39-1.20	0.39-1.60	0.40-2.13													
	0.11-3.7	3.8-5.0	5.1-6.7	6.8-26.5		0.86	Age, sex, geographic area, SM, BMI, IT (E, FT & BR, VG)	N									
	1.00	1.02	0.89	1.19													
	Ref	0.58-1.79	0.43-1.85	0.46-3.04													
10	2004	Montonen et al. (32)	4,304	M/W	40-69	23	383	DH (1)	*Vitamin E (diet only) (mg/d)	<5.51	5.51-6.26	6.27-7.31	>7.31		0.02	Age, sex, geographic area, occupation, SM, BMI, FH, IT (E)	Y
									1.00	0.79	0.78	0.69					
									Ref	0.60-1.04	0.58-1.06	0.51-0.94					
										<4.66	4.66-5.30	5.31-6.20	>6.20		0.02	Age, sex, geographic area, occupation, SM, BMI, FH, IT (E)	Y
										1.00	0.72	0.80	0.66				
										Ref	0.54-0.96	0.59-1.08	0.49-0.90				
										<0.38	0.38-0.49	0.50-0.64	>0.64		0.18	Age, sex, geographic area, occupation, SM, BMI, FH, IT (E)	N
										1.00	0.97	1.09	0.76				
										Ref	0.73-1.29	0.82-1.43	0.56-1.03				
										<0.79	0.79-1.23	1.24-2.06	>2.06		0.04	Age, sex, geographic area, occupation, SM, BMI, FH, IT (E)	N
										1.00	0.91	0.75	0.77				
										Ref	0.69-1.20	0.56-1.01	0.57-1.03				
										<0.09	0.09-0.15	0.16-0.28	>0.28		0.02	Age, sex, geographic area, occupation, SM, BMI, FH, IT (E)	Y
										1.00	0.85	0.81	0.69				
										Ref	0.64-1.13	0.60-1.08	0.51-0.93				
										<1.07	1.07-1.54	1.55-2.05	>2.05		0.47	Age, sex, geographic area, occupation, SM, BMI, FH, IT (E)	N
										1.00	0.95	0.91	0.91				
										Ref	0.72-1.25	0.68-1.21	0.67-1.23				
										<1.69	1.69-2.15	2.16-2.67	>2.67		0.03	Age, sex, geographic area, occupation, SM, BMI, FH, IT (E)	N
										1.00	0.97	0.77	0.76				
										Ref	0.74-1.28	0.58-1.03	0.56-1.03				
	<0.04	0.04-0.07	0.08-0.12	>0.12		0.11	Age, sex, geographic area, occupation, SM, BMI, FH, IT (E)	N									
	1.00	0.95	0.88	0.79													
	Ref	0.72-1.26	0.65-1.18	0.59-1.07													
	<0.002	0.002-0.006	0.007-0.01	>0.01		0.06	Age, sex, geographic area, occupation, SM, BMI, FH, IT (E)	N									
	1.00	1.01	0.69	0.84													
	Ref	0.77-1.32	0.51-0.93	0.63-1.13													
	<49.7	49.7-66.2	66.3-87.9	>87.9		0.77	Age, sex, geographic area, occupation, SM, BMI, FH, IT (E)	N									
	1.00	0.97	0.91	0.97													
	Ref	0.73-1.29	0.68-1.22	0.72-1.32													
	*Total carotenoids (diet only) (μg/d)	<1862	1863-2865	2867-4519	>4519		0.07	Age, sex, geographic area, occupation, SM, BMI, FH, IT (E)	Y								
	1.00	0.71	0.97	0.71													
	Ref	0.53-0.96	0.71-1.27	0.52-0.96													
	<9.4	9.4-34.4	34.5-103	>103		0.55	Age, sex, geographic area, occupation, SM, BMI, FH, IT (E)	N									
	1.00	1.11	1.02	0.94													
	Ref	0.83-1.49	0.75-1.38	0.69-1.28													

Table 1. (Continued)

No. Year	Authors (reference No.)	Subjects		Follow-up (y)	Cases ¹ (n)	Dietary method (times)	Dietary factor examined	Range or median Multivariate relative risk 95% confidence Interval				p for trend	Factors used for adjustment	Association			
		II	Sex					Age (y)	Ref	1	2				3	4	
							*β-Carotene (diet only) (μg/d)	<698	698-1104	1105-2121	>2121	0.25	Age, sex, geographic area, occupation, SM, BMI, FH, IT (B)	N			
							1.00	0.83	1.14	0.74							
							*γ-Carotene (diet only) (μg/d)	<6.3	6.4-28.5	28.6-60.3	>60.3	0.29	Age, sex, geographic area, occupation, SM, BMI, FH, IT (B)	N			
							1.00	0.78	0.88	0.82							
							*Lycopene (diet only) (μg/d)	<112	113-494	495-1064	>1064	0.23	Age, sex, geographic area, occupation, SM, BMI, FH, IT (B)	N			
							1.00	0.79	0.86	0.81							
							*β-Cryptoxanthin (diet only) (μg/d)	<0.24	0.24-1.36	1.37-4.18	>4.18	<0.001	Age, sex, geographic area, occupation, SM, BMI, FH, IT (B)	Y			
							1.00	0.54	0.57	0.58							
							*Lutein & zeaxanthin (diet only) (mg/d)	<749	749-935	936-1156	>1156	0.09	Age, sex, geographic area, occupation, SM, BMI, FH, IT (B)	N			
							1.00	0.91	0.95	0.74							
11	2003	Reunonen et al. (33)	19,518	M/W	20-98	15.6	855	NVQ (1)	≤2	3-4	5-6	≥7	—	Age, sex, BMI, SM, leisure time PA	N		
									1.00	1.01	0.98	0.92					
							Coffee (cups/d)	Ref	0.81-1.27	0.79-1.21	0.73-1.16	0.60	Age, sex, BMI	N			
12	2003	Saremi et al. (34)	2,680	M/W	≥15	11	824	NVQ (1)	NV	<1	1-2	≥3	0.01	Age, sex, BMI	N		
									1.00	1.09	0.92	1.01	0.81				
							Coffee (cups/d)	Ref	0.89-1.34	0.74-1.13	0.82-1.26	0.55-1.03	0.60	Age, sex, BMI	N		
13	2003	Schulze et al. (35)	91,246	W	26-46	7.8	741	VFFQ (2)	total processed meat (servings/wk)	<1	1	2-4	≥5	<0.001	Age, BMI, AL, PA, FH, SM, history of high blood pressure, history of high blood CL, HRT, OC, GI, IT (B, Mg, CF, CDR, CL, SFA, MUFA, PUFA, TF)	Y	
									1.00	1.09	1.31	1.72					
									Bacon (servings/wk)	<1	1	≥2	<0.001	Age, BMI, AL, PA, FH, SM, history of high blood pressure, history of high blood CL, HRT, OC, GI, IT (B, Mg, CF, CDR, CL, SFA, MUFA, PUFA, TF)	Y		
									Hot dogs (servings/wk)	1.00	1.01	1.48	0.051	Age, BMI, AL, PA, FH, SM, history of high blood pressure, history of high blood CL, HRT, OC, GI, IT (B, Mg, CF, CDR, CL, SFA, MUFA, PUFA, TF)	Y		
									Sausage, salami, bologna, other processed meats	<1/wk	1/wk	≥2/wk	0.019	Age, BMI, AL, PA, FH, SM, history of high blood pressure, history of high blood CL, HRT, OC, GI, IT (B, Mg, CF, CDR, CL, SFA, MUFA, PUFA, TF)	Y		
									Total red meat (servings/wk)	1.00	1.11	1.19	1.26	0.269	Age, BMI, AL, PA, FH, SM, history of high blood pressure, history of high blood CL, HRT, OC, GI, IT (B, Mg, CF, CDR, CL, fatty acid)	N	
									Beef or lamb as MD (servings/wk)	<1	1	≥2	0.41	Age, BMI, AL, PA, FH, SM, history of high blood pressure, history of high blood CL, HRT, OC, GI, IT (B, Mg, CF, CDR, CL, SFA, MUFA, PUFA, TF)	N		
									Pork as MD (servings/wk)	1.00	0.95	1.01	1.48	0.91	Age, BMI, AL, PA, FH, SM, history of high blood pressure, history of high blood CL, HRT, OC, GI, IT (B, Mg, CF, CDR, CL, SFA, MUFA, PUFA, TF)	N	
									Hamburgers (servings/wk)	<1	1	≥2	0.026	Age, BMI, AL, PA, FH, SM, history of high blood pressure, history of high blood CL, HRT, OC, GI, IT (B, Mg, CF, CDR, CL, SFA, MUFA, PUFA, TF)	Y		
									Beef, pork, or lamb as SW or XD (servings/wk)	<1	1	≥2	0.31	Age, BMI, AL, PA, FH, SM, history of high blood pressure, history of high blood CL, HRT, OC, GI, IT (B, Mg, CF, CDR, CL, SFA, MUFA, PUFA, TF)	N		
14	2004	Rosengren et al. (36)	1,361	W	39-65	18	74	NVQ (1)	Coffee (cups/d)	≤2	3-4	5-6	≥7	—	Age, SM, PA, ED, BMI, serum CL, serum triglycerides	N	
									1.00	0.56	0.45	0.57					
									Coffee (cups/d)	Ref	0.32-0.98	0.23-0.90	0.26-1.29	0.12	Age, study year, BMI, SBR, ED, occupational PA, commuting PA, leisure-time PA, SM, AL, tea drinking	Y	
									Coffee (cups/d)	≤2	3-4	5-6	7-9	≥10	<0.001	Age, study year, BMI, SBR, ED, occupational PA, commuting PA, leisure-time PA, SM, AL, tea drinking	Y
									Coffee (cups/d)	1.00	0.73	0.70	0.67	0.45	0.12	Age, study year, BMI, SBR, ED, occupational PA, commuting PA, leisure-time PA, SM, AL, tea drinking	Y
									Coffee (cups/d)	Ref	0.47-1.13	0.45-1.05	0.40-1.12	0.25-0.81	0.12	Age, study year, BMI, SBR, ED, occupational PA, commuting PA, leisure-time PA, SM, AL, tea drinking	Y
									Coffee (cups/d)	1.00	0.71	0.39	0.21	0.06-0.69	<0.001	Age, study year, BMI, SBR, ED, occupational PA, commuting PA, leisure-time PA, SM, AL, tea drinking	Y

¹ Ascertainment of cases was carried out using the following procedures:
 Study 1: death certificates which mentioned diabetes as a contributing or underlying cause of death reviewed by a medically trained clerk;
 Studies 2, 3, and 13: the definition of diabetes by the National Diabetes Data Group (38) and/or the World Health Organization (WHO) (39) [1] 1 or more classic symptoms (thirst, polyuria, weight loss, pruritus), plus a fasting plasma glucose concentration of ≥7.8 mmol/L (140 mg/dL) or a random plasma glucose concentration of ≥11.1 mmol/L (200 mg/dL); 2) at least 2 elevated plasma glucose concentrations on different occasions (fasting ≥7.8 mmol/L (140 mg/dL) and/or random ≥11.1 mmol/L (200 mg/dL) and/or ≥11.1 mmol/L (200 mg/dL) after 2 h or more on oral glucose tolerance testing) in the absence of symptoms; or 3) treatment with hypoglycemic medication (insulin or oral hypoglycemic agents), which was confirmed by a validated questionnaire that was mailed every 2 y. In a study by Lopez-Ridaura et al. (14), however, the new guideline from the American Diabetes Association (ADA) (40) (fasting plasma glucose ≥7.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL)) was incorporated in 1997;
 Study 4: presence of any of the following: 1) fasting glucose level of ≥7.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL); 2) nonfasting glucose level of ≥11.1 mmol/L (200 mg/dL); 3) current use of diabetic medication (self-report); or 4) a positive response to the question: Has a doctor ever told you that you had diabetes (sugar in the blood)?
 Study 5: affirmative response to the following question on one of the follow-up surveys: Since baseline (or respective follow-up), were you diagnosed for the first time by a doctor as having sugar diabetes?
 Study 6: at least one or more following criteria met: 1) he or she confirmed that he or she had been told by a doctor that he or she had diabetes during any of the four follow-up contacts; 2) a hospitalization record listed the International Classification of Diseases-9-Clinical modification (ICD-9-CM) code 250 on any one of 10 diagnoses on the hospital discharge sheet; or 3) death certificate included the ICD-9 code 250;
 Studies 7 and 12: 2-h 75 g oral glucose tolerance test using the WHO criteria (≥11.1 mmol/L (200 mg/dL)) (39);
 Study 8: presence of diabetes, use of drugs for diabetes, or use of insulin injections assessed using a self-administered questionnaire;
 Study 9: the definition of diabetes by ADA (40) confirmed by a validated questionnaire that was mailed annually;
 Studies 10, 11, and 15: a nationwide register of patients receiving drug reimbursement (in Finland, all diabetic persons needing drug therapy are entitled to reimbursement of drug costs);
 Study 14: at least one or more following criteria met: 1) a doctor's diagnosis of diabetes according to a questionnaire; 2) fasting plasma glucose level ≥ 7.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL); or 3) having been hospitalized at least once with a principal or secondary diagnosis of diabetes.
² The intakes of trans fat and cereal fiber, the glycemic load, and the ratio of polyunsaturated fat intake to saturated fat intake were categorized in quintiles. Each woman was assigned a score for each variable on the basis of her quintile of intake (a higher score represented a lower risk), then the four scores were summed, and the total score was categorized into quintiles.

³ A dietary pattern characterized by high consumption of vegetables, legumes, fruit, whole grains, fish, and poultry.

⁴ A dietary pattern characterized by high consumption of red meat, processed meat, refined grains, French fries, high-fat dairy products, sweets and desserts, high-sugar drinks, and eggs.

Abbreviations:

[Study No.] 1, Study among White Seventh-Day Adventists (1960-, USA); 2, Nurses' Health Study (1976-, USA); 3, Health Professional Follow-up Study (1986-, USA); 4, Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study (1987-, USA); 5, Iowa Women's Health Study (1986-, USA); 6, First National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (1971-, USA); 7, Insulin Resistance and Atherosclerosis Study (1992-, USA); 8, Study among randomly selected adults from the civil resister (1987-, Netherlands); 9, Women's Health Study (1993-, USA); 10, Finnish Mobile Clinic Health Examination Survey (1966-); 11, Mobile Clinic Health Examination Survey (1973-, Finland); 12, Study among the Pima Indians (1978-, USA); 13, Nurse's Health Study II (1989-, USA); 14, Study among randomly selected women in Göteborg (1979-, Sweden); 15, Study in 2 eastern provinces and a southwestern region in Finland (1982-).

[Subjects] M, men; W, women; BMI, body mass index; VitE, vitamin E; SP, dietary supplement.

[Dietary method] NVQ, not-validated questionnaire; VFFQ, validated food frequency questionnaire; VFFI, validated food frequency interview; 24HR, a single 24-h dietary recall; VQ, validated questionnaire; DH, diet history interview.

[Dietary factor examined] *, energy-adjusted intake; SFA, saturated fatty acids; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids; P/S, ratio of polyunsaturated to saturated fatty acid; CHO, carbohydrate; %E, percentage of energy intake; SP, dietary supplement; INCP, insoluble noncellulose polysaccharides; MD, main dish; SW, sandwich; XD, mixed dish.

[Range or median]—, not available; NV, never; AN, almost never; OH, occasionally heavy.

[Multivariate relative risk]—, not available; #, value estimated from figure.

[95% confidence interval] Ref, referent;—, not available; #, value estimated from figure.

[p for trend]—, not available.

[Factors used for adjustment] FA, physical activity; FT, fruit; BMI, body mass index; AL, alcohol consumption; FH, family history of diabetes; IT, intake; VF, vegetable fat; AF, animal fat; P/F, fruit fiber; V/F, vegetable fiber; C/F, cereal fiber; Mg, magnesium; Ca, calcium; K, potassium; E, energy; SM, smoking; SP, dietary supplement; P/S, ratio of polyunsaturated to saturated fatty acid; TF, trans fatty acid; GL, glycemic load; MS, menstrual status; HRT, hormone replacement therapy; P, protein; CL, cholesterol; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acid; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; SFA, saturated fatty acid; VG, vegetable; WG, whole grain; HPTS, hypertension; HPCL, hypercholesterolemia; FFQ, food frequency questionnaire; ED, education; W/H, ratio of waist to hip; F/L, fasting insulin level; FGL, fasting glucose level; DF, dietary fiber; Fe, iron; SBP, systolic blood pressure; GT, glucose tolerance; F, fat; VitC, vitamin C; FT & BR, fruit and berry; OC, oral contraceptive use; GI, glycemic index; CR, caffeine.

[Association] Y, yes; N, no.

study. Another study investigating the effects of antioxidant vitamins indicated the decreased risk of type 2 diabetes by vitamin E, α -tocopherol, γ -tocopherol, δ -tocopherol, β -tocotrienol, carotenoid, and β -cryptoxanthin. The decreased risk of type 2 diabetes was also observed in both studies examining the effect of caffeine.

Table 3 summarizes the results of association of intakes of foods and food groups with the risk of type 2 diabetes. Three studies assessed the effect of grain on the incidence of type 2 diabetes. All three indicated a favorable effect of grain consumption. A beneficial effect of whole grain was also observed in several studies. Furthermore, the risk of type 2 diabetes was positively associated with the ratio of refined to whole grain and inversely associated with several types of whole grain products such as dark bread, whole-grain breakfast cereal, brown rice, wheat germ, bran, and other whole grains in one study. Although the association between meat and type 2 diabetes was investigated in only a few studies, the results appeared to be consistent. Not only did several studies show an increased risk of type 2 diabetes by higher consumption of processed meat, bacon, and hot dogs, but one study showed an increased risk from higher consumption of meat, hamburger, sausage, salami, bologna, and other processed meats. The effects of vegetables, fruits, and beans were also investigated in only a small number of studies, but one study indicated an inverse association of vegetable and fruit consumption to the risk of type 2 diabetes. Additionally, one study investigated the association of nut and peanut butter consumption with the incidence of type 2 diabetes. The beneficial effects of both nuts and peanut butter were observed.

An inverse relation of coffee to the incidence of type 2 diabetes was shown in five out of eight analyses. A beneficial effect of decaffeinated coffee was also observed in several studies. On the other hand, no significant asso-

ciation between tea consumption and type 2 diabetes was indicated in any study. Finally, for dietary pattern, an inverse association between the risk of type 2 diabetes and dietary score (characterized by low intake of trans fatty acid, high intake of cereal fiber, high ratio of polyunsaturated to saturated fatty acid, and low glycemic load) was observed in one study, whereas another indicated a positive association between the risk of type 2 diabetes and the Western pattern score (characterized by high consumption of red meat, processed meat, French fries, high-fat dairy products, refined grains, sweets and desserts, high-sugar drinks, and eggs).

Discussion

In the present paper, we systematically reviewed 15 individual cohort studies (31 articles) on the association between dietary factors and the risk of type 2 diabetes. Because there is no publication of systematic review of cohort studies on diet and type 2 diabetes, this paper may be useful for future research on this area.

It is important to note that the interpretation and application of the findings should be conducted with great caution not only because the results are not necessarily consistent, but also because only a relatively small number of cohort studies are available at present. However, the following findings may be considered as low-to-medium level evidence: for nutrients, decreased risk by vegetable fat, polyunsaturated fatty acid, dietary fiber (particularly cereal fiber), magnesium and caffeine, and increased risk by trans fatty acid, heme iron, glycemic index, and glycemic load; for foods and food groups, decreased risk by grain (particularly whole grain) and coffee, and increased risk by processed meat. In addition, antioxidant vitamins and nuts might reduce the risk of type 2 diabetes. However, all 15 studies reviewed in the present paper were conducted in Western countries; thus, given the large differences of dietary habits among countries and/or societies, it may

Table 2. Summary of association between dietary factors and incidence of type 2 diabetes: energy and nutrients.

Dietary factors examined	Study (n)	Significant positive association (n)		Significant inverse association (n)	
		Highest vs. lowest categories	Trend	Highest vs. lowest categories	Trend
Energy	4				
Protein	2				
Fat	3				
Vegetable fat	6			3	2
Animal fat	6				
Saturated fatty acid	7				
Monounsaturated fatty acid	6				
Polyunsaturated fatty acid	6			1	1
P/S	3			1	1
Trans fatty acid	4	2	2		
Linoleic acid	3				
Oleic acid	1				
α -Linolenic acid	1				
Long-chain <i>n</i> -3 fatty acid	2				
Carbohydrate	5				
Sugar	1				
Starch	2				
Glucose	2	1	1		
Sucrose	3			1	1
Fructose	2	1	1		
Lactose	2				
Maltose	1				
Glycemic index	3	2	2		
Glycemic load	4	2	2		
Dietary fiber	6			2	3
Fruit fiber	5				
Vegetable fiber	5				
Cereal fiber	8			6	5
Legume fiber	1				
Soluble fiber	2				
Insoluble fiber	1			1	1
INCP	1			1	1
Cellulose	1				
Lignin	1				
Cholesterol	2	1	1		
Magnesium (diet only)	8			3	4
Magnesium (diet and supplement)	3			2	2
Potassium	2				
Calcium	2				
Iron	1				
Heme iron	2	2	2		
Heme iron from red meat	1	1	1		
Heme iron from other sources	1				
Non-heme iron	1				
Iron from supplement	1				
Vitamin E	3			1	1
Tocopherol					
α -Tocopherol	1			1	1
β -Tocopherol	1				
γ -Tocopherol	1				1
δ -Tocopherol	1			1	1
Tocotrienol					
α -Tocotrienol	1				
β -Tocotrienol	1				1
γ -Tocotrienol	1				
δ -Tocotrienol	1				
Vitamin C	1				
Carotenoid	1			1	
α -Carotene	1				
β -Carotene	1				
γ -Carotene	1				
Lycopene	1				
β -Cryptoxanthin	1			1	1
Lutein and zeaxanthin	1				
Caffeine	2			2	2

Abbreviations: P/S, ratio of polyunsaturated to saturated fatty acid; INCP, insoluble noncellulose polysaccharides.

Table 3. Summary of association between dietary factors and incidence of type 2 diabetes: food and food group.

Dietary factors examined	Study (n)	Significant positive association (n)		Significant inverse association (n)	
		Highest vs. lowest categories	Trend	Highest vs. lowest categories	Trend
Grain	3			3	3
Whole grain	4			2	3
Rye	1				
Dark bread	1				
Whole-grain breakfast cereal	1			1	1
Popcorn	1			1	1
Cooked oatmeal	1				
Brown rice	1				1
Wheat germ	1				1
Bran	1				1
Other whole grain	2			1	1
Refined grain	4			1	1
Refined grain from wheat	1				
Refined/whole grain	1	1	1		
Meat	2	1			
Processed meat	2	2	2		
Bacon	2	2	2		
Hot dogs	2	1	1		
Sausage etc. ¹	2	1	2		
Red meat	1				
Beef or lamb as MD	1				
Pork as MD	1				
Hamburgers	1	1	1		
Beef, pork, or lamb as SW or XD	1				
Fruit and vegetables	3			1	
Vegetables	2				
Fruit	2				
Mature beans	1				
Nuts	1			1	1
Peanut butter	1			1	1
Coffee	8			5	4
Decaffeinated coffee	2				2
Tea	2				
Dietary score ²	1			1	
Prudent pattern score ³	1				
Western pattern score ⁴	1	1	1		

¹ Sausage, salami, bologna, other processed meats.

² The intakes of trans fat and cereal fiber, the glycemic load, and the ratio of polyunsaturated fat intake to saturated fat intake were categorized into quintiles. Each woman was assigned a score for each variable on the basis of her quintile of intake (a higher score represented a lower risk), then the four scores were summed, and the total score was categorized into quintiles.

³ A dietary pattern characterized by high consumption of vegetables, legumes, fruit, whole grains, fish, and poultry.

⁴ A dietary pattern characterized by high consumption of red meat, processed meat, refined grains, French fries, high-fat dairy products, sweets and desserts, high-sugar drinks, and eggs.

Abbreviations: MD, main dish; SW, sandwich; XD, mixed dish.

be inappropriate to extrapolate these findings to people in non-Western countries.

Specific types of dietary fat rather than total fat appeared to play an important role in the development of type 2 diabetes. While no appreciable association between total fat and the risk of type 2 diabetes was observed in any study, several studies found decreased risk with vegetable fat and polyunsaturated fatty acid and increased risk with trans fatty acid. As vegetable fat consists of fats commonly found in non-animal foods

including fruit, vegetable, grains, and nuts, it can be considered that vegetable fat represents a combination of several potentially healthful fat subtypes including polyunsaturated and monounsaturated fatty acids (24). An inverse association between the ratio of polyunsaturated to saturated fatty acid and the risk of type 2 diabetes was also found in one study. Thus, consuming a diet high in unsaturated fats (such as those in natural vegetable oils, nuts, and seeds) and low in saturated fat (such as those in animal products) and trans fat (such

as those in vegetable shortening and hard margarine) could have substantial benefits for type 2 diabetes (4). Because there are some studies neither supporting nor denying this possibility, however, more research must be done on the relation between fat and type 2 diabetes.

Soluble fiber causes the slow absorption and digestion of carbohydrates that leads to a reduced demand for insulin (41), and insoluble fiber shortens the intestinal transit, allowing less time for carbohydrates to be absorbed (42); thus, dietary fiber is one nutrient that may provide protection against type 2 diabetes. A beneficial effect of dietary fiber was found in several studies. In particular, cereal fiber appeared to be more effective for the prevention of type 2 diabetes than fibers derived from other foods. Therefore, it may be recommended to increase the intake of dietary fiber, especially that derived from cereal products, for the prevention of the disease.

Magnesium is an important component of many unprocessed foods including whole grains and nuts (43), and is a cofactor for several enzymes critical for glucose metabolism (44). Several studies found an inverse association between magnesium and type 2 diabetes, while no report indicated an increased risk from magnesium intake. A particularly beneficial effect of magnesium was observed in two large cohort studies where diet was assessed multiple times during follow-up (14); therefore, further research should be undertaken to gather stronger evidence.

The relation between caffeine, which is abundant in coffee, and the risk of type 2 diabetes was investigated in only two studies where a beneficial effect of caffeine was indicated. Caffeine is a strong stimulant of pancreatic beta cells (45), which may be beneficial for people at risk of type 2 diabetes who usually have impaired insulin secretion (46). Additionally, the thermogenic effect of caffeine may increase energy expenditure, which may facilitate weight reduction and maintenance (47). As only a limited number of studies are available at present, further investigation is needed before drawing a firm conclusion.

Type 2 diabetes is a common manifestation of hemochromatosis, a disease of massive iron overload (48); it can be speculated that excessive iron stores may promote insulin resistance and lead to the development of type 2 diabetes (20). Only two studies examined the association between iron and risk of type 2 diabetes, both of which showed that heme iron increased the risk (no association was found between intakes of total iron, non-heme iron, or iron from supplements and the incidence of type 2 diabetes). In one study, however, heme iron intake from red meat was positively associated with the risk of type 2 diabetes while heme iron intake from sources other than red meat was not associated with the risk of the disease; therefore, the association between heme iron and type 2 diabetes may have been confounded by other components of red meat intake (20). Thus, more research is needed to identify heme iron as a risk factor for type 2 diabetes. Moreover, even after establishing heme iron as a risk factor for the dis-

ease, application of this finding to the prevention of type 2 diabetes should be carried out with caution, because insufficient intake of iron (particularly heme iron) is a risk factor for iron deficiency anemia (49).

Oxidative stress may contribute to the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes by increasing insulin resistance or impairing insulin secretion (50); thus, dietary antioxidants may have a protective effect against the development of the disease by inhibiting the peroxidation chain reactions (32). The relation between antioxidant vitamins and the risk of type 2 diabetes was investigated in only two studies where beneficial effects of several nutrients examined (vitamin E, α -tocopherol, γ -tocopherol, β -tocotrienol, total carotenoid, and β -cryptoxanthin) were found. Unfortunately, the number of subjects examined in these two studies was relatively small ($n=895, 4,304$); therefore, more research is required before drawing a firm conclusion.

Dietary carbohydrate may be associated with the development of type 2 diabetes by affecting blood glucose and insulin concentration (23). However, no study investigating the association between total carbohydrate and the risk of type 2 diabetes found a clear association, while both glycemic index and glycemic load were positively related to the risk of type 2 diabetes in several studies. Additionally, while beliefs that added sugar, primarily sucrose, should be avoided and that naturally occurring sugars should be restricted in the diabetic diet are prevalent (29), the results of two studies on sugar and type 2 diabetes were not consistent, and in fact, only one of the two studies showed that glucose and fructose were positively related and sucrose was inversely related to the risk of type 2 diabetes. Although a firm conclusion should be drawn after the accumulation of more findings, it is suggested that dietary sugar, if consumed within a normal range of quantity, may not be associated with the risk of type 2 diabetes. However, it should be emphasized that only moderate sugar intake should be incorporated within the boundaries of acceptable energy intake in a well-balanced diet because excessive sugar may not only cause an excessive energy intake, but also adversely affect the entire dietary balance (for instance, by reducing fruit consumption and main meals) (29).

Although several studies assessed the effect of energy intake on the risk of type 2 diabetes, no study indicated a clear association. These results may be partly accounted for by the fact that accurate assessment of energy intake is quite challenging in dietary surveys (51). In fact, many studies have indicated that overweight and obesity are the most important risk factor for type 2 diabetes (52, 53); therefore, an excess of energy intake, which may cause a positive imbalance of energy metabolism, can be considered a risk factor of type 2 diabetes.

An inverse association between consumption of grain (particularly whole grain) and the development of type 2 diabetes was observed in several studies (no study found a positive association). Whole-grain products are a good source of several vitamins, minerals, and fiber;

which may contribute to the inverse association between whole-grain intake and the disease (17). Furthermore, one study indicated a positive correlation between the ratio of refined grain to whole grain and the risk of type 2 diabetes. Thus, it can be considered that substituting whole grain for refined grain may reduce the risk of type 2 diabetes.

Five out of eight analyses supported a beneficial effect of coffee on the development of type 2 diabetes, while no analysis found an adverse effect. However, higher consumption of coffee may adversely affect health in other aspects. For instance, some cohort studies have shown an increased risk of coronary heart disease in heavy coffee drinkers (54–56), although in others no increase in risk has been found (57–59). Additionally, the biological mechanism behind the inverse association between coffee and the risk of the disease is unknown (37). Thus, even if a beneficial effect of coffee against type 2 diabetes is established, increased coffee intake should be recommended only with caution.

While findings regarding total meat and red meat were not consistent, higher consumption of processed meat consistently increased the risk of type 2 diabetes in two studies that explored this issue. This positive association was largely independent of not only the intake of nutrients associated with processed meat, but also the Western pattern, which was characterized by high intakes of red and processed meat and which has been associated with diabetes risk. The increased risk by processed meat, therefore, may be due to the components of processed meat such as nitrites and advanced glycation end-products typically administered or developed in processing and preparation (35). However, as only a few studies have investigated this issue, confirmation from other studies is needed.

Nuts are high in nutrients that may decrease the risk of type 2 diabetes such as polyunsaturated fatty acid, dietary fiber, and magnesium, and may be beneficial for glucose and insulin homeostasis (13). Only one study investigated the association between nut consumption and the risk of type 2 diabetes where a beneficial effect of nuts was found. Higher nut consumption may lead to more weight gain because of high fat content, but no association between nut consumption and weight change was found in this study (13). Additionally, although one may speculate that frequent nut consumption results in increased risk of coronary heart disease because of its high fat content, several cohort studies have consistently indicated an inverse association between nuts and the risk of the disease (60–62). The establishment of the beneficial effect of nuts may make it possible to recommend regular nut consumption as a replacement for refined grain products or red or processed meats that may increase the risk of chronic disease (13). Thus, further investigation is warranted.

Fruits, vegetables, and beans are good sources of dietary fiber, minerals such as magnesium, and antioxidant nutrients such as carotenoids, which may lower the risk of developing diabetes (26). Only a small number of studies examined the relation of these foods to

type 2 diabetes, and only one of eight analyses showed a beneficial effect (fruits and vegetables). Since an adequate intake of fruits and vegetables appears to lower the risks of mortality from cancer (63) and cardiovascular disease (64), the relation of fruits and vegetables with type 2 diabetes should be further investigated.

Although many studies have focused on the role of single nutrients, foods, or food groups in disease prevention or promotion, recent evidence suggests that there are health benefits from food patterns that include mixtures of food containing multiple nutrients and nonnutrients (65). While this approach makes it difficult to elucidate the mechanisms through which the diet composition affects a particular health outcome, it does represent a practical approach to making realistic nutrition recommendations for improving health (65). For type 2 diabetes, a diet low in trans fatty acid intake and glycemic load and high in cereal fiber intake and the ratio of polyunsaturated to saturated fatty acid appeared to decrease the risk of type 2 diabetes (in one study), while a diet high in consumption of red meat, processed meat, refined grain, French fries, high-fat dairy products, sweets and desserts, high-sugar drinks, and eggs appeared to increase the risk (in one study).

In the present paper, as the literature search was limited to only one database MEDLINE (PubMed) with a manual check of the reference lists of included papers, articles appearing in the journals that were not included in the MEDLINE and/or written in languages other than English have not been identified. Additionally, research with a negative result may likely be unpublished (publication bias). Furthermore, we examined only 15 individual cohort studies since we considered as research appropriate for the present study only highly reliable studies that included, for example, quantitative dietary assessment, clear definition of the incidence of diabetes, and adjustment using known factors associated with diabetes. Thus, one may speculate that it would have been better to examine a greater number of studies by broadening the inclusion criteria. Given the difficulties of dietary assessment, however, it may not be worth referring to studies in which an insufficiently accurate dietary assessment method is used. From this point of view, the findings from the studies examined here may be as reliable as possible.

It may be interesting to conduct a meta-analysis. However, our review showed that there are relatively a limited number of cohort studies (one to eight studies for each dietary factor). Additionally, a reliable meta-analysis requires the homogeneity of subjects examined and methods used, which has not necessarily been met in the existing research. Thus, we consider that it is too early to conduct a meta-analysis of cohort studies on diet and diabetes.

When comparing and/or summarizing the results of several studies, the differences among studies of subjects examined and methods used should be taken into account. As diabetes is a disease the incidence of which is difficult to define, various methods were used to define the incidence of type 2 diabetes in the 15 studies

examined in the present paper. While oral glucose tolerance testing (≥ 11.1 mmol/L (200 mg/dL)) (study 7) or fasting blood glucose level (≥ 7.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL)) (studies 4 and 14) was used in the several relatively small studies, three studies in Finland (studies 10, 11, and 15) used a nationwide register because in Finland all diabetic persons needing drug therapy are entitled to reimbursement of drug costs. Other studies used documents such as death certificate (studies 1 and 6) or questionnaire (the definition of NDDG (38) and WHO (39) (≥ 7.8 mmol/L (140 mg/dL)) (studies 2, 3, and 13), the definition of ADA (40) (≥ 7.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL)) (study 9), or self-reports of symptom and use of drugs (studies 5 and 8)). The questionnaire used in studies 2, 3, and 13 and that used in study 9 showed good validity (97–98% of the self-reported diabetes cases using a questionnaire were confirmed by medical record review), while diabetes tended to be overreported by the questionnaire used in study 5 (only 64% of subjects who reported diabetes in the questionnaire were confirmed as being diabetic by their physician) and the validity of the questionnaire for diabetes was not indicated in study 8. Such differences in the definition and the method used to identify a case of diabetes may have influenced the results on the association between dietary factors and the risk of type 2 diabetes.

Dietary assessment methods also varied among studies. In most of the studies examined, relatively accurate methods such as validated self-administered questionnaire (studies 2, 3, 5, 8, 9, and 13), validated food frequency interview (studies 4 and 7), and diet history interview (study 10) were applied. However, several studies used less accurate methods such as non-validated questionnaire (studies 1, 11, 12, 14, and 15) and one 24-h recall (study 6). The difference in the dietary assessment method used may also have some impact on the results of diet and diabetes association.

As mentioned previously, relatively accurate dietary assessment instruments were applied in many studies examined in the present paper. Unfortunately, the underestimation of energy intake is a serious problem in dietary surveys. In particular, evidence suggests that obese subjects are likely to underestimate energy intake to a large extent (66). If all foods and nutrients were underestimated to the same degree when energy intake was underestimated, however, the solution would be relatively simple because techniques such as energy adjustment should improve food and nutrition intake estimates (67–69). If, on the other hand, certain types of foods and nutrients are selectively underestimated, the methods of energy adjustment cannot solve all the problems (67–69). Actually, several studies where the accuracy of reported energy intake was assessed against the total energy expenditure measured by the doubly labeled water method (the gold standard for measuring energy intake) have found that the percentage of energy intake from fat decreased and the percentage of energy intake from protein increased as energy intake underestimation increased, suggesting selective underestima-

tion of fat intake and selective overestimation of protein intake (70, 71). Additionally, in some studies using not only the total energy expenditure measured by the doubly labeled water method but also using the 24-h urinary nitrogen excretion (the gold standard for protein intake), the magnitude of underestimation of energy intake was greater than that of protein intake, indicating selective underestimation of other energy-yielding nutrients (fat, carbohydrate, and alcohol) (72, 73). Moreover, a similar finding was observed in a study using total energy expenditure estimated by body composition and self-reported physical activity and 24-h urinary nitrogen excretion (74). Although this problem has not been given sufficient attention in nutritional epidemiology (69), selective underestimation of dietary intake may generate misleading conclusions with regard to diet and health relationships. Therefore, although differential underestimation of specific foods and nutrients is quite difficult to investigate, further research on this issue is needed.

Very recently, the associations of diet and nutrition to the risk of type 2 diabetes have been reviewed and summarized (75). In that review, non-starch polysaccharide (which is identical with dietary fiber in practice) was considered to 'probably' decrease the risk of the disease, which was consistent with the finding obtained in the present paper. An apparently different conclusion from ours, however, was drawn regarding saturated fats, which were regarded as a 'probable' risk factor in that review. In the present paper, however, several studies found an increased risk from processed meat, which was not only high in saturated fat but also frequently consumed in normal life. Thus, although it may be difficult to draw a firm conclusion as to whether saturated fat directly influences the development of type 2 diabetes, our finding is similar to the summary of the review in terms of an increased risk from foods high in saturated fat. Although beneficial or adverse effects of several other nutrients/foods are indicated at lower levels of evidence ('possible' or 'insufficient'), some of which are consistent with the findings in the present paper, further research is needed to corroborate the data.

As a result of systematically reviewing relatively reliable cohort studies on the association between dietary factors and the risk of type 2 diabetes, the following findings may be considered as low-to-medium level evidence: for nutrients, decreased risk with vegetable fat, polyunsaturated fatty acid, dietary fiber (particularly cereal fiber), magnesium, and caffeine, and increased risk with trans fatty acid and heme iron; for foods and food groups, decreased risk with grain (particularly whole grain) and coffee, and increased risk with processed meat. However, these conclusions depend on the findings of studies conducted in Western countries, suggesting that the application of the findings to people in other countries may not be appropriate. Additionally, as findings from only a limited number of cohort studies are available, more research with a prospective design is needed particularly from other than Western countries.

REFERENCES

- 1) King H, Aubert RE, Herman WH. 1998. Global burden of diabetes, 1995–2025: prevalence, numerical estimates, and projections. *Diabetes Care* **21**: 1414–1431.
- 2) Tuomilehto J, Lindström J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, Hämäläinen H, Ilanne-Parikka P, Keinänen-Kiukaanniemi S, Laakso M, Louheranta A, Rastas M, Salminen V, Uusitupa M, Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study Group. 2001. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *N Engl J Med* **344**: 1343–1350.
- 3) Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. 2002. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med* **346**: 393–403.
- 4) Hu FB, van Dam RM, Liu S. 2001. Diet and risk of type II diabetes: the role of types of fat and carbohydrate. *Diabetologia* **44**: 805–817.
- 5) Kiyohara Y, Shinohara A, Kato I, Shirota T, Kubo M, Tanizaki Y, Fujishima M, Iida M. 2003. Dietary factors and development of impaired glucose tolerance and diabetes in a general Japanese population: the Hisayama Study. *J Epidemiol* **13**: 251–258.
- 6) Howard AA, Arnsten JH, Gourevitch MN. 2004. Effect of alcohol consumption on diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med* **140**: 211–219.
- 7) Snowdon DA, Phillips RL. 1985. Does a vegetarian diet reduce the occurrence of diabetes? *Am J Public Health* **75**: 507–512.
- 8) Colditz GA, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Rosner B, Willett WC, Speizer FE. 1992. Diet and risk of clinical diabetes in women. *Am J Clin Nutr* **55**: 1018–1023.
- 9) Salmerón J, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Wing AL, Willett WC. 1997. Dietary fiber, glycemic load, and risk of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in women. *JAMA* **277**: 472–477.
- 10) Liu S, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Hu FB, Giovannucci E, Colditz GA, Hennekens CH, Willett WC. 2000. A prospective study of whole-grain intake and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus in US women. *Am J Public Health* **90**: 1409–1415.
- 11) Hu FB, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Colditz G, Liu S, Solomon CG, Willett WC. 2001. Diet, lifestyle, and the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus in women. *N Engl J Med* **345**: 790–797.
- 12) Salmerón J, Hu FB, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Rimm EB, Willett WC. 2001. Dietary fat intake and risk of type 2 diabetes in women. *Am J Clin Nutr* **73**: 1019–1026.
- 13) Jiang R, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Liu S, Willett WC, Hu FB. 2002. Nut and peanut butter consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes in women. *JAMA* **288**: 2554–2560.
- 14) Lopez-Ridaura R, Willett WC, Rimm EB, Liu S, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Hu FB. 2004. Magnesium intake and risk of type 2 diabetes in men and women. *Diabetes Care* **27**: 134–140.
- 15) Salazar-Martinez E, Willett WC, Ascherio A, Manson JE, Leitzmann MF, Stampfer MJ, Hu FB. 2004. Coffee consumption and risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Ann Intern Med* **140**: 1–8.
- 16) Salmerón J, Ascherio A, Rimm EB, Colditz GA, Spiegelman D, Jenkins DJ, Stampfer MJ, Wing AL, Willett WC. 1997. Dietary fiber, glycemic load, and risk of NIDDM in men. *Diabetes Care* **20**: 545–550.
- 17) Fung TT, Hu FB, Pereira MA, Liu S, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Willett WC. 2002. Whole-grain intake and the risk of type 2 diabetes: a prospective study in men. *Am J Clin Nutr* **76**: 535–540.
- 18) van Dam RM, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Hu FB. 2002. Dietary patterns and risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus in U.S. men. *Ann Intern Med* **136**: 201–209.
- 19) van Dam RM, Willett WC, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Hu FB. 2002. Dietary fat and meat intake in relation to risk of type 2 diabetes in men. *Diabetes Care* **25**: 417–424.
- 20) Jiang R, Ma J, Ascherio A, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Hu FB. 2004. Dietary iron intake and blood donations in relation to risk of type 2 diabetes in men: a prospective cohort study. *Am J Clin Nutr* **79**: 70–75.
- 21) Kao WHL, Folsom AR, Nieto FJ, Mo JP, Watson RL, Brancati FL. 1999. Serum and dietary magnesium and the risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. *Arch Intern Med* **159**: 2151–2159.
- 22) Stevens J, Ahn K, Juhaeri, Houston D, Steffan L, Couper D. 2002. Dietary fiber intake and glycemic index and incidence of diabetes in African-American and white adults: the ARIC study. *Diabetes Care* **25**: 1715–1721.
- 23) Meyer KA, Kushi LH, Jacobs DR Jr, Slavin J, Sellers TA, Folsom AR. 2000. Carbohydrates, dietary fiber, and incident type 2 diabetes in older women. *Am J Clin Nutr* **71**: 921–930.
- 24) Meyer KA, Kushi LH, Jacobs DR Jr, Folsom AR. 2001. Dietary fat and incidence of type 2 diabetes in older Iowa women. *Diabetes Care* **24**: 1528–1535.
- 25) Lee DH, Folsom AR, Jacobs DR Jr. 2004. Dietary iron intake and type 2 diabetes incidence in postmenopausal women: the Iowa women's health study. *Diabetologia* **47**: 185–194.
- 26) Ford ES, Mokdad AH. 2001. Fruit and vegetable consumption and diabetes mellitus incidence among U.S. adults. *Prev Med* **32**: 33–39.
- 27) Mayer-Davis EJ, Costacou T, King I, Zaccaro DJ, Bell RA. 2002. Plasma and dietary vitamin E in relation to incidence of type 2 diabetes: the insulin resistance and atherosclerosis study (IRAS). *Diabetes Care* **25**: 2172–2177.
- 28) van Dam RM, Feskens EJM. 2002. Coffee consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Lancet* **360**: 1477–1478.
- 29) Janket SJ, Manson JE, Sesso H, Buring JE, Liu S. 2003. A prospective study of sugar intake and risk of type 2 diabetes in women. *Diabetes Care* **26**: 1008–1015.
- 30) Song Y, Manson JE, Buring JE, Liu S. 2004. Dietary magnesium intake in relation to plasma insulin levels and risk of type 2 diabetes in women. *Diabetes Care* **27**: 59–65.
- 31) Montonen J, Knekt P, Järvinen R, Aromaa A, Reunanen A. 2003. Whole-grain and fiber intake and the incidence of type 2 diabetes. *Am J Clin Nutr* **77**: 622–629.
- 32) Montonen J, Knekt P, Järvinen R, Reunanen A. 2004. Dietary antioxidant intake and risk of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **27**: 362–366.
- 33) Reunanen A, Heliövaara M, Aho K. 2003. Coffee consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Lancet* **361**: 702–703.
- 34) Saremi A, Tulloch-Reid M, Knowler WC. 2003. Coffee consumption and the incidence of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **26**: 2211–2212.
- 35) Schulze MB, Manson JE, Willett WC, Hu FB. 2003. Pro-

- cessed meat intake and incidence of type 2 diabetes in younger and middle-aged women. *Diabetologia* 46: 1465–1473.
- 36) Rosengren A, Dotevall A, Wilhelmsen L, Thelle D, Johansson S. 2004. Coffee and incidence of diabetes in Swedish women: a prospective 18-year follow-up study. *J Intern Med* 255: 89–95.
 - 37) Tuomilehto J, Hu G, Bidel S, Lindstrom J, Jousilahti P. 2004. Coffee consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus among middle-aged Finnish men and women. *JAMA* 291: 1213–1219.
 - 38) National Diabetes Data Group. 1979. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and other categories of glucose intolerance. *Diabetes* 28: 1039–1057.
 - 39) WHO Expert Committee on Diabetes Mellitus. 1985. WHO Technical Report, Series 727. World Health Organization, Geneva.
 - 40) Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. 1997. Report of the Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 20: 1183–1197.
 - 41) Slavin JL, Martini MC, Jacobs DR Jr, Marquart L. 1999. Plausible mechanisms for the protectiveness of whole grains. *Am J Clin Nutr* 70: 459S–463S.
 - 42) Anderson JW, Bryant CA. 1986. Dietary fiber: diabetes and obesity. *Am J Gastroenterol* 81: 898–906.
 - 43) Saris NE, Mervaala E, Karppanen H, Khawaja JA, Lewenstam A. 2000. Magnesium. An update on physiological, clinical and analytical aspects. *Clin Chim Acta* 294: 1–26.
 - 44) Paolisso G, Scheen A, D'Onofrio F, Lefebvre P. 1990. Magnesium and glucose homeostasis. *Diabetologia* 33: 511–514.
 - 45) Tuomilehto J, Tuomilehto-Wolf E, Virtala E, LaPorte R. 1990. Coffee consumption as trigger for insulin dependent diabetes mellitus in childhood. *BMJ* 300: 642–643.
 - 46) Keijzers GB, De Galan BE, Tack CJ, Smits P. 2002. Caffeine can decrease insulin sensitivity in humans. *Diabetes Care* 25: 364–369.
 - 47) Astrup A, Toubro S, Cannon S, Hein P, Breum L, Madsen J. 1990. Caffeine: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study of its thermogenic, metabolic, and cardiovascular effects in healthy volunteers. *Am J Clin Nutr* 51: 759–767.
 - 48) Bothwell TH, MacPhail AP. 1998. Hereditary hemochromatosis: etiologic, pathologic, and clinical aspects. *Semin Hematol* 35: 55–71.
 - 49) Hallberg L, Sandström B, Ralph A, Arthur J. 2000. Iron, zinc and other trace elements. In: Human Nutrition and Dietetics, 10th ed (Garrow JS, James WPT, Ralph A, eds), p 177–209. Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh.
 - 50) Oberley LW. 1988. Free radicals and diabetes. *Free Radic Biol Med* 5: 113–124.
 - 51) Hill RJ, Davies PSW. 2001. The validity of self-reported energy intake as determined using the doubly labelled water technique. *Br J Nutr* 85: 415–430.
 - 52) Chan JM, Rimm EB, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. 1994. Obesity, fat distribution, and weight gain as risk factors for clinical diabetes in men. *Diabetes Care* 17: 961–969.
 - 53) Colditz GA, Willett WC, Rotnitzky A, Manson JE. 1995. Weight gain as a risk factor for clinical diabetes mellitus in women. *Ann Intern Med* 122: 481–486.
 - 54) Klag MJ, Mead LA, LaCroix AZ, Wang NY, Coresh J, Liang KY, Pearson TA, Levine DM. 1994. Coffee intake and coronary heart disease. *Ann Epidemiol* 4: 425–433.
 - 55) LeGrady D, Dyer AR, Shekelle RB, Stamler J, Liu K, Paul O, Lepper M, Shryock AM. 1987. Coffee consumption and mortality in the Chicago Western Electric Company study. *Am J Epidemiol* 126: 803–812.
 - 56) Tverdal A, Stensvold I, Solvoll K, Foss OP, Lund-Larsen P, Bjartveit K. 1990. Coffee consumption and death from coronary heart disease in middle aged Norwegian men and women. *BMJ* 300: 566–569.
 - 57) Gyntelberg F, Hein HO, Suadicani P, Sorensen H. 1995. Coffee consumption and risk of ischaemic heart disease—a settled issue? *J Intern Med* 237: 55–61.
 - 58) Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Colditz GA, Rosner BA, Speizer FE, Hennekens CH. 1996. Coffee consumption and coronary heart disease in women. A ten-year follow-up. *JAMA* 275: 458–462.
 - 59) Grobbee DE, Rimm EB, Giovannucci E, Colditz G, Stampfer M, Willett W. 1990. Coffee, caffeine, and cardiovascular disease in men. *N Engl J Med* 323: 1026–1032.
 - 60) Fraser GE, Sabate J, Beeson WL, Strahan TM. 1992. A possible protective effect of nut consumption on risk of coronary heart disease. The Adventist health study. *Arch Intern Med* 152: 1416–1424.
 - 61) Fraser GE, Sumburu D, Pribis P, Neil RL, Frankson MA. 1997. Association among health habits, risk factors, and all-cause mortality in a black California population. *Epidemiology* 8: 168–174.
 - 62) Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Rimm EB, Colditz GA, Rosner BA, Speizer FE, Hennekens CH, Willett WC. 1998. Frequent nut consumption and risk of coronary heart disease in women: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 317: 1341–1345.
 - 63) Steinmetz KA, Potter JD. 1996. Vegetables, fruit, and cancer prevention: a review. *J Am Diet Assoc* 96: 1027–1039.
 - 64) Ness AR, Powles JW. 1997. Fruit and vegetables, and cardiovascular disease: a review. *Int J Epidemiol* 26: 1–13.
 - 65) Franz MJ, Bantle JP, Beebe CA, Brunzell JD, Chiasson JL, Garg A, Holmeister LA, Hoogwerf B, Mayer-Davis E, Mooradian AD, Purnell JQ, Wheeler M. 2002. Evidence-based nutrition principles and recommendations for the treatment and prevention of diabetes and related complications. *Diabetes Care* 25: 148–198.
 - 66) Livingstone MBE, Black AE. 2003. Markers of the validity of reported energy intake. *J Nutr* 133: 895S–920S.
 - 67) Flegal KM. 1999. Evaluating epidemiologic evidence of the effects of food and nutrient exposures. *Am J Clin Nutr* 69: 1339S–1344S.
 - 68) Johnson RK. 2002. Dietary intake—how do we measure what people are really eating? *Obes Res* 10: 63S–68S.
 - 69) Lissner L, Heitmann BL, Lindroos AK. 1998. Measuring intake in free-living human subjects: a question of bias. *Proc Nutr Soc* 57: 333–339.
 - 70) Goris AHC, Westterterp-Plantenga MS, Westterterp KR. 2000. Underreporting and underrecording of habitual food intake in obese men: selective underreporting of fat intake. *Am J Clin Nutr* 71: 130–134.
 - 71) Tomoyasu NJ, Toth MJ, Poehlman ET. 2000. Misreporting of total energy intake in older African Americans. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 24: 20–26.
 - 72) Subar AF, Kipnis V, Troiano RP, Midthune D, Schoeller

- DA, Bingham S, Sharbaugh CO, Trabulsi J, Runswick S, Ballard-Barbash R, Sunshine J, Schatzkin A. 2003. Using intake biomarkers to evaluate the extent of dietary misreporting in a large sample of adults: the OPEN study. *Am J Epidemiol* **158**: 1-13.
- 73) Black AE, Bingham SA, Johansson G, Coward WA. 1997. Validation of dietary intakes of protein and energy against 24 hour urinary N and DLW energy expenditure in middle-aged women, retired men and post-obese subjects: comparisons with validation against presumed energy requirements. *Br J Clin Nutr* **51**: 405-413.
- 74) Heltmann BL, Lissner L. 1995. Dietary underreporting by obese individuals—is it specific or non-specific? *BMJ* **311**: 986-989.
- 75) Steyn NP, Mann J, Bennett PH, Temple N, Zimmet P, Tuomilehto J, Lindström J, Louheranta A. 2004. Diet, nutrition and the prevention of type 2 diabetes. *Public Health Nutr* **7**: 147-165.

平成17年度 厚生労働科学研究費補助金健康科学総合研究事業

**健康づくりのための個々人の身体状況に応じた適切な食
事摂取に関する栄養学的研究**

2006年3月31日

独立行政法人 国立健康・栄養研究所

佐々木 敏

〒162-8636 東京都新宿区戸山 1-23-1

電話：03-3203-8064、FAX：03-3202-3278

