Mutual Regulation of PTP20 and Tec

10769

FIG. 3. Tec SH2 domain is essential for both tyrosine phosphorylation of PTP20 and association of Tec with **PTP20.** A, schematic organization of mouse Tec into PH, TH, SH3, SH2, and kinase (KD) domains. B, COS7 cells were transiently transfected with either empty vector (mock) or HA-PTP20 C/S together with the indicated Tec mutants. Cells were lysed, and HA-PTP20 was immunoprecipitated (IP) followed by immunoblotting (IB) with anti-phosphotyrosine anti-body (PY99 ( $\alpha pY$ )). The same membrane was sequentially reprobed with anti-Tec and anti-HA antibodies after stripping. C, aliquots of the total cell lysates (TCL) were separated by SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting with indicated antibodies. D, COS7 cells were transiently transfected with pEBG empty vector (GST) or bearing each of Tec domains (PH, TH, SH3, SH2, and KD) in the absence or presence of Tec plasmid. Cells were lysed, and GST fusion proteins were precipitated by GSH-Sepharose beads followed by immunoblot analysis with anti-phosphotyrosine (pY) antibody. The same membrane was sequentially reprobed with indicated antibodies. Expression of PTP20 and Tec was confirmed using aliquots of total cell lysates (TCL) by immunoblotting as indicated.



Mutual Regulation of PTP20 and Tec

HSCF(mouse)	MSRHTDLVRS	FLEQQEARDH FLEQLEARDY FLERLEARGG	REGAILAREF	SDIKARSVAW	KTEGVCSTKA KSEGVCSTKA KADGVCSTVA	GSRLGNTNKN	60 60 60		
HSCF(mouse)	62 68 RYKDVVPYDE RYKDVVAYDE RYKDVLPYDQ	TRVILSLLQE	86 EGHGDYINAN EGHGDYINAN EGHSDYINGN	FIRGTDGSQA FIRGIDGSOA	YIATOGPLPH	TLLDFWRLVW TLLDFWRLVW TLLDFWRLVW	120 120 120		
			144	a de la companya de l		n a benn new endstad hjäres.			
HSCF(mouse)	EFGVKVILMA	CQETENGRRK CQETENGRRK CREIENGRKR	CERYWAQERE CERYWAREQE CERYWAQEQE	PLQAGPFCIT PLKAGPFCIT PLQTGLFCIT	LTKETTINAD	TTLRTLOVTE	180 180 180		
	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O	192		e fille lever ser fra chi filla	n oli i Vinstriiniini (seni)	i Ole belande er die sichteren			
HSCF(mouse)	QKESRPVHQL QKEFRSVHQL QKESRSVYQL	QYMSWPDHGV	PSSSDHILTM PSSSDHILTM PSSPDHMLAM	VEEARCLOGL	GPGPLCVHCS	AGCGRTGVLC	240 240 240		
	244		n an an airtige a bhan an airte		281 285	는 사이가 가장 전철에서 상상한 가지 관람 관객 ·			
HSCF(mouse)	AVDYVRQLLL	TQTIPPNFSL	FEVVLEMRKQ FQVVLEMRKQ FDVVLKMRKQ	RPAAVQTEEQ RPAAVOTEEO	VRFLYHTVAO	LFSRTLQDTS	300 300 300		
3 <u>0</u> 3 354									
HSCF(mouse)	PHYQNLKENC	APICKEAFSL	RTSSALPATS	RPPGGVLRST	SVPAPPTT.PM	ADTYAVVQKR ADTYAVVQKR ADTYAVVQKR	360		
			381			kapa)			
HSCF(mouse)	GASAGTGPGP	RAPTST	DTPIYSQV	APRAORPVAH	TEDAOGTTAL	GRVPADENPS RRVPADQNSS GRVPADQSPA	414		
419									
HSCF(mouse) (	GPDAYEEVTD	GAQTGGLGFN	LRIGRPKGPR LRIGRPKGPR LRIGRPKGPR	DPPAEWTRV 4	53				

FIG. 4. Sequence alignment of PTP20 with its human (brain-derived phosphatase 1 (*BDP1*)) and mouse (*HSCF*) orthologs. The 13 conserved tyrosine residues are *boxed* and *numbered* based on the amino acid sequence of PTP20. PTP catalytic domains are indicated by gray shading.

were co-transfected with Tec and the PTP20 C/S mutant without epitope tagging, and effects on the extent of tyrosine phosphorylation on Tec were analyzed by anti-phosphotyrosine blotting. As shown in Fig. 9A, substitution of Tyr-281 with phenylalanine (Y281F) resulted in dramatic loss of PTP20 dephosphorylation activity against Tec. On the other hand, Tec could be dephosphorylated by Y303F, Y354F, and Y381F to nearly the same extent by PTP20 WT. The PTP20 Y281F/ Y303F/Y354/F381F mutant in which 4 tyrosine residues were substituted by phenylalanine also exhibited apparently no dephosphorylation activity against Tec. Equivalent expression of HA-PTP20 was confirmed by immunoblotting (lowest panel). Next, the autodephosphorylation activity of the YF mutants of HA-PTP20 WT was assessed by co-transfecting Tec and-PEST encoding the GST-PTP20 PEST domain into COS7 cells, as GST-PTP20 PEST alone became tyrosine-phosphorylated in the presence of Tec (Fig. 6), Cells were lysed and GST-PTP20 PEST was precipitated with GSH-Sepharose beads followed by anti-phosphotyrosine blotting. Again, PTP20 Y281F as well as PTP20 Y281F/Y303F/Y354/F381F showed no dephosphorylation activity against GST-PTP20 PEST, whereas PTP20 Y303F, Y354F, and Y381F as well as PTP20 WT could dephosphorylate GST-PTP20 PEST (Fig. 9B). These YF mutants also were transfected into Ramos B cells, and c-fos promoter activity was assayed after BCR ligation. Ectopic expression of PTP20 Y281F and Y281F/Y303F/Y354/F381F mutants still inhibited c-fos promoter activity (about 50%, relative to mock transfectants), but the extent was significantly lower than that of WT

as well as other YF mutants. These results strongly suggest that phosphorylation of Tyr-281 on PTP20 is essential for expression of catalytic activity against not only Tec but also PTP20 itself in transfected COS7 cells as well as in Ramos B cells, although other tyrosine residues, Tyr-303, Tyr-354, and Tyr-381, are also phosphorylated by Tec.

#### DISCUSSION

Many signaling pathways triggered by PTKs can be potentially modulated by PTPs in a negative or positive manner under cellular context. In some cases phosphorylation on the tyrosine residues of PTPs themselves can modulate their catalytic activities. For example, SH2 domain-containing PTP SHP-2 is tyrosine-phosphorylated upon stimulation by a variety of growth factors (27-29) and cytokines (30-35). Once SHP-2 becomes tyrosine-phosphorylated, their catalytic activity might be increased and modulated its own tyrosine phosphorylation level by autodephosphorylation activity (36, 37). It also has been reported that tyrosine phosphorylation of PTP1B upon insulin and epidermal growth factor treatment causes reduction in its catalytic activity, thereby enhancing apparent insulin receptor- and epidermal growth factor receptor-mediated signaling pathways (38, 39). Thus, tyrosine phosphorylation of PTPs appeared to be critical for the regulation of their biological functions.

Among the PEST family PTPs, PTP20 is an only member that gets phosphorylated on tyrosine residues, whereas no tyrosine phosphorylation of other members, PTP-PEST and PTP-



FIG. 5. Specific tyrosine residues of PTP20 are necessary for tyrosine phosphorylation of Tec and association with Tec SH2 domain. A, HA-PTP20 C/S or its YF (tyrosine to phenylalanine substitution) mutants as indicated were co-transfected into COS7 cells with Tec. Aliquots of total cell lysates (*TCL*) were immunoblotted (*WB*) with anti-phosphotyrosine ( $\alpha pY$ ) antibody. The same membrane was sequentially reprobed with anti-Tec and -HA antibodies. *B*, COS7 cells were co-transfected with expression plasmids for HA-PTP20 C/S or its YF mutants, Tec, and GST-Tec-SH2 domain. Cells were lysed, and GST-Tec-SH2 domain was precipitated with GSH-Sepharose beads followed by immunoblot analysis by sequential probing with anti-phosphotyrosine, anti-HA, and anti-GST antibodies. Expression of nearly the same amounts of PTP20 was confirmed by immunoblotting of aliquots of total cell lysates with anti-HA antibody.

PEP, has been reported. In the present study, we clearly demonstrated that PTP20 was tyrosine-phosphorylated by a cytosolic Tec kinase. As previously reported for phosphorylation of PTP20 by constitutively active Src family kinases (8, 11), the catalytically inactive form of PTP20 was found to be tyrosine-phosphorylated to a greater extent by Tec, whereas apparently no phosphorylation on PTP20 WT was obvious, possibly due to its autodephosphorylation activity. Src and Lck indeed tyrosine-phosphorylated PTP20, but the extent of tyrosine phosphorylation of PTP20 by Tec was shown to be the greatest (Fig. 1). Moreover, related Itk did tyrosine-phosphorylate PTP20 to a lesser extent, but Btk and Bmx did not (Fig. 1). These results suggest that Tec kinase tyrosine phosphorylates PTP20 more specifically and preferentially than Src family kinases and its related kinases do.

Without ectopic PTP20 expression, tyrosine phosphorylation of Tec kinase was not detected in transfected COS7 cells (Fig. 2). Although co-expression of PTP20 WT did not induce tyrosine phosphorylation of Tec, the catalytically inactive C/S variant of PTP20 caused tyrosine phosphorylation of Tec and coimmunoprecipitated with Tec. These results strongly suggest that a dominant-negative effect of PTP20 C/S expression on Tec tyrosine phosphorylation seems to be unlikely and, rather, that Tec was possibly autophosphorylated and further activated by interacting with PTP20 and then was immediately dephosphorvlated and deactivated by PTP20, which might also be activated through interaction with Tecin a tyrosine phosphorylationdependent manner. A deletion of the Tec SH2 domain abrogated tyrosine phosphorylation of Tec as well as PTP20 and association between Tec and PTP20 (Fig. 3). Likewise, substitution of individual tyrosine residues Tyr-281, Tyr-303, Tyr-354, and Tyr-381 with phenylalanines of PTP20 reduced not only tyrosine phosphorylation of Tec and PTP20 itself but also association of PTP20 with the Tec SH2 domain (Fig. 5). Substitution of all the four tyrosine residues (Fig. 5) as well as a deletion of the C-terminal non-catalytic segment (Fig. 6) completely abolished those events, and the C-terminal segment alone partially induced Tec tyrosine phosphorylation (Fig. 6), supporting the idea that phosphotyrosine-dependent interaction between PTP20 and Tec is essential for determining a mutual state of phosphorylation and activation. Taken together, we propose a working hypothesis of tyrosine phosphorylation-dependent interaction between PTP20 and Tec kinase (Fig. 10).

PTPs exhibit elaborate substrate specificity in vivo. This specificity can be achieved at two levels. First, the phosphatase catalytic domain itself displays an intrinsic specificity for its substrate. However, the affinity between the catalytic domain and its substrate is often low. Actually, the PTP domain of the catalytically inactive PTP20 alone could not capture a potential substrate Tec kinase (Fig. 6). A further enhancement of the specificity is achieved by protein-protein targeting; the Tec SH2 domain and phosphorylated tyrosine residues on PTP20 could enhance the interaction between the two molecules. In Ramos B cells, we could detect tyrosine phosphorylation-dependent interaction between PTP20 and Tec only when cells were treated with pervanadate (Fig. 7). In this case, however, apparent binding might have resulted from a sole interaction of phosphorylated tyrosines of PTP20 C-terminal with the Tec SH2 domain and, therefore, underestimated because vanadate can get into the catalytic pocket of PTP20 reversibly and inhibit interaction between the PTP domain segment of PTP20 and tyrosine-phosphorylated Tec kinase. Upon physiological stimulation both PTP20 catalytic domain-Tec phosphotyrosine(s) and PTP20 phosphotyrosine-Tec SH2 domain bindings could play an essential role.

Most interestingly, tyrosine phosphorylation of PTP20 appears to regulate its catalytic activity against Tec and PTP20 itself. Among the tyrosine residues phosphorylated by Tec kinase, tyrosine 281 might be critical for dephosphorylation activity of PTP20 in transfected COS7 cells as well as in Ramos B cells (Fig. 9). In the case of ectopic expression in COS7 cells, substitution of the Tyr-281 nearly abolished dephosphorylation activity against both PTP20 and Tec (Fig. 9, A and B). On the other hand Y281F as well as Y281F/Y303F/Y354/F381F mutants exhibited reduced, but still  $\sim$ 50% dephosphorylation activity as compared with mock transfectants when expressed in

Δ



FIG. 6. Both PTP catalytic and PEST domains of PTP20 are involved in maximal phosphorylation of Tec and association with Tec. Tec was co-transfected with either empty pEBG vector (-) or that bearing the PTP20 catalytic domain (PTP), full-length PTP20 (*Full*), or the non-catalytic PEST domain of PTP20 (*PEST*). A, aliquots of total cell lysates (TCL) were subjected to immunoblotting with anti-phosphotyrosine antibody  $(\alpha pY, upper panel)$ . The same membrane was reprobed with a mixture of anti-Tec and anti-GST antibodies. B, remaining cell lysates were precipitated with GSH-Sepharose beads and processed as mentioned above. The bands corresponding to individual products are indicated by arrows.



FIG. 7. Tyrosine phosphorylation-dependent interaction of endogenous PTP20 with endogenous Tec in Ramos B cells. Ramos cells were treated with 0.1 mM POV for 15 min at 37 °C, lysed, and subjected to immunoprecipitation with either anti-phosphotyrosine  $(\alpha pY)$  or anti-Tec antibody. The immunoprecipitates (IP) were immunoblotted (WB) by anti-phosphotyrosine antibody. The same membranes were sequentially reprobed with anti-PTP20 and -Tec antibodies. The bands corresponding to Tec and PTP20 are indicated by arrowheads.

Ramos B cells (Fig. 9*C*), suggesting that other direct or indirect mechanisms to regulate PTP20 activity are involved in dephosphorylation and deactivation of Tec in the cells. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that phosphorylation on serine and threonine residues rich in the C-terminal region of PTP20 might affect catalytic activity of PTP20, as PTP20 can be regreporter plasmid together with 10  $\mu$ g of pcDNA3 vector (mock) or bearing PTP20 WT, C/S, or D/A mutant. Five hours after transfection cells were incubated for an additional 5 h in the absence (*open bars*) or presence (*closed bars*) of anti-IgM (ab') (10  $\mu$ g/ml). Cells lysates were then assayed for luciferase activity. Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  S.D. of triplicate determinations.

 $(1 \times 10^7)$  were subjected to electroporation with 2  $\mu g$  of the pfos/luc

ulated under the control of follicle-stimulating hormone in rat ovarian granulosa cells, where no tyrosine phosphorylation on PTP20 was observed (14).

It has been reported that constitutively active Lck phosphorylates tyrosine residues 354 and 381 on PTP20, which are in turn recognized by the Csk SH2 domain (11). In that report it was also documented that mutation of both the tyrosine resi-

#### Mutual Regulation of PTP20 and Tec

FIG. 9. Tyrosine 281 is critical for in vivo phosphatase activity of PTP20. A, COS7 cells were co-transfected with Tec, PTP20 C/S, and HA-PTP20 WT or its YF mutants. HA-PTP20 C/S was also included as a negative control. Cells were lysed, and Tec was immunoprecipitated with anti-Tec antibody. The immunoprecipitates (*IP*) were separated by SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting (*WB*) with indicated antibodies. Expression of HA-PTP20 was confirmed using aliquots of total cell lysates (TCL) with anti-HA antibody.  $\alpha p Y$ , anti-phosphotyrosine anti-body. B, COS7 cells were transfected as above, but PEST-encoding GST-PTP20 PEST domain (GST-PEST) in place of PTP20 C/S was included. Cell lysates were subjected to precipitation with GSH-Sepharose beads and immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies. Expression of HA-PTP20 was confirmed using aliquots of total cell lysates (*TCL*) with anti-HA antibody. C, Ramos cells were transfected by electroporation with 2  $\mu$ g of the pfos/ luc reporter plasmid together with 10  $\mu$ g of pcDNA3 vector (mock) or bearing PTP20 WT or its YF mutant and processed as described in legend to Fig. 8.



Stimuli or ectopic expression of Tec



FIG. 10. Working hypothesis for interaction of PTP20 with Tec. *I*, upon stimuli or ectopic expression of Tec, Tec becomes tyrosinephosphorylated and activated through autophosphorylation and other PTK catalytic activity. In turn, Tec phosphorylates tyrosine residues (Tyr-281, Tyr-303, Tyr-354, Tyr-381) on PTP20. *II*, phosphorylated PTP20 associates with Tec SH2 domain of remaining inactive Tec, thereby activating the Tec kinases. Interaction of Tec with PTP20 increases a pool of activated Tec and PTP20. *III*, activated PTP20 by phosphorylation then dephosphorylates Tec as well as PTP20 itself. Note that free of phosphorylated tyrosine 281 from association with Tec SH2 domain might be necessary for expression of PTP20 dephosphorylation activity. *IV*, finally, both Tec and PTP20 return to basal and inactive states.

dues on PTP20 caused no changes in catalytic activity by in vitro phosphatase assay. We have also showed that PTP20 was tyrosine-phosphorylated by Lck and Src and was associated with the PTKs (Fig. 2). However, neither the SH2 nor the SH3 domain of Lck was shown to be involved in the association with PTP20 (data not shown). Recently, another cytosolic proteintyrosine kinase c-Abl also was shown to phosphorylate PTP20 and in turn to be dephosphorylated by PTP20 (10). Although PTP20-Tec and PTP20-cAbl interactions seem to be analogous, association between PTP20 and c-Abl is indirect, and PSTPIP, which is also a substrate of PTP20, instead serves as an adapter by bridging PTP20 to c-Abl. In contrast, association between PTP20 and Tec kinase seems to be direct, and involvement of adaptor molecules such as PSTPIPs is unlikely because the Tec SH2 domain alone could capture tyrosine-phosphorylated PTP20 (Fig. 3D) and, consistently, substitution of tyrosine residues on PTP20 dramatically reduced the mutual binding (Fig. 5B). These imply that PTP20 might be differentially tyrosine-phosphorylated by Lck, Tec, and c-Abl kinases depending on cellular context.

The Tec kinase was initially isolated from mouse liver (40) and was subsequently shown to be expressed in many tissues, including spleen, lung, brain, and kidney (41). Four Tec-related PTKs, including Btk (42, 43), Itk (also known as Emt or Tsk) (44-46), Bmx (47), and Txk (or Rlk) (48, 49), have also been molecularly cloned. Tec and the related kinases can be activated by cytokine receptors, lymphocyte surface antigens, G protein-coupled receptors, receptor type PTKs, or integrins (13, 20, 22-26). However, little is known about how the inactivation of Tec kinase is achieved. In this study, we have showed that PTP20 is a potential negative regulator in Tec-mediated signaling pathway and that the Tec SH2 domain is essential for

the negative regulation by PTP20. Itk, another member of Tec family, might also be regulated by PTP20 in T cells in a similar fashion,<sup>2</sup> whereas Btk and Bmx seem not to interact with PTP20 (Fig. 1). Recently, the Tec SH2 domain has been shown to bind to Dok-1, which is tyrosine-phosphorylated by Tec, causing inhibition of BCR-mediated c-*fos* promoter activation (18). Another publication has demonstrated that a docking protein, BRDG1, binds to the Tec SH2 domain and acts downstream of Tec in a positive fashion in BCR signaling (50). Thus, the Tec SH2 domain might differentially participate in BCR signaling in a positive or negative way.

PTP D1, which comprises another subfamily of cytosolic PTPs, is shown to be a potential regulator and effector for not only Bmx/Etk kinase but also Tec kinase (51). The PH but not SH2 domain of Bmx/Etk is involved in the interaction with the central portion (residues 726-848) of PTP D1, and such binding is phosphotyrosine-independent, unlike PTP20-Tec interaction. Interaction between Bmx/Etk and PTP D1 stimulates the kinase activity of Bmx/Etk, resulting in an increased phosphotyrosine content in both proteins. Although it is obvious that PTP D1 is a substrate of Bmx/Etk and Tec, PTP D1 appears not to dephosphorylate the kinases. Rather, PTP D1 is a positive regulator in Bmx/Etk- and Tec-mediated signaling pathway leading to STAT3 activation. By co-transfection experiments, we observed that PTP36, which belongs to the same PTP subfamily as PTPD1, was tyrosine-phosphorylated by Tec kinase (data not shown). Thus, Tec-mediated signaling could be negatively or positively regulated by interacting with PTPs.

In conclusion, PTP20 appears to play a negative role in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S. Yamasaki and N. Aoki, unpublished data.

Tec-mediated, in particular in BCR, signaling pathways and the tyrosine phosphorylation-dependent interaction between Tec and PTP20 might form a negative feedback loop. To our knowledge this is the first report demonstrating that tyrosine phosphorylation-dependent interaction between PTK and PTP is relevant for their mutual state in some cellular context.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Andersen, J. N., Mortensen, O. H., Peters, G. H., Drake, P. G., Iversen, L. F., Olsen, O. H., Jansen, P. G., Andersen, H. S., Tonks, N. K., and Moller, N. P. (2001) Mol. Cell. Biol. 21, 7117–7136
   Tonks, N. K., and Neel, B. G. (2001) Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 13, 182–195
- 3. Aoki, N., Yamaguchi-Aoki, Y., and Ullrich, A. (1996) J. Biol. Chem. 271,
- 29422-29426 4. Cheng, J., Daimaru, L., Fennie, C., and Lasky, L. A. (1996) Blood 88, 1156 - 1167
- Huang, K., Sommers, C. L. Grinberg, A. Kozak, C. A. and Love, P. E. (1996) Oncogene 13, 1567–1573
- Dosil, M., Leibman, N., and Lemischka, I. R. (1996) Blood 88, 4510-4525 7. Kim, Y. W., Wang, H., Sures, I., Lammers, R., Martell, K. J., and Ullrich, A. (1996) Oncogene 13, 2275–2279
- Spencer, S., Dowbenko, D., Cheng, J., Li, W., Brush, J., Utzig, S., Simanis, V., and Lasky, L. A. (1997) J. Cell Biol. 138, 845–860
- Wu, u, Y., Dowbenko, D., and Lasky, L. A. (1998) J. Biol. Chem. 273, 30487-30496 9.
- 10. Cong, F., Spencer, S., Cote, J. F., Wu, Y., Tremblay, M. L., Lasky, L. A., and Goff, S. P. (2000) Mol. Cell 6, 1413–1423
- Wang, B., Lemay, S., Tsai, S., and Veillette, A. (2001) Mol. Cell. Biol. 21, 1077–1088
- 12. Garton, A. J., and Tonks, N. K. (1994) EMBO J. 13, 3763-3771
- 13. Mano, H., Yamashita, Y., Sato, K., Yazaki, Y., and Hirai, H. (1995) Blood 85, 343-350
- 14. Shiota, M., Tanihiro, T., Nakagawa, Y., Aoki, N., Ishida, N., Miyazaki, K., Ullrich, A., and Miyazaki, H. (2003) *Mol. Endocrinol.* **17**, 534–549 15. Mano, H., Yamashita, Y., Miyazato, A., Miura, Y., and Ozawa, K. (1996)
- FASEB J. 10, 637-642
- Mao, J., Xie, W. Yuan, H., Simon, M. I., Mano, H., and Wu, D. (1998) *EMBO J.* 17, 5638–5646
- Mayer, B. J., Hirai, H., and Sakai, R. (1995) Curr. Biol. 5, 296–305
  Yoshida, K., Yamashita, Y., Miyazato, A., Ohya, K., Kitanaka, A., Ikeda, U.,
- Shimada, K., Yamanaka, T., Ozawa, K., and Mano, H. (2000) J. Biol. Chem. 275, 24945–24952
- 19. Chen, C., and Okayama, H. (1987) Mol. Cell. Biol. 7, 2745-2752
- Yamashita, Y., Watanabe, S. Miyazato, A. Ohya, K., Ikeda, U., Shimada, K., Komatsu, N., Hatake, K., Miura, Y., Ozawa, K., and Mano, H. (1998) Blood 91, 1496–1507 20.
- 21. Kitanaka, A., Mano, H., Conley, M. E., and Campana, D. (1998) Blood 91, 940 - 948
- Machide, M., Mano, H., and Todokoro, K. (1995) Oncogene 11, 619-625
  Matsuda, T., Takahashi-Tezuka, M., Fukada, T., Okuyama, Y., Fujitani, Y., Tsukada, S., Mano, H., Hirai, H., Witte, O. N., and Hirano, T. (1995) Blood 85, 627-633
- 24. Miyazato, A., Yamashita, Y., Hatake, K., Miura, Y., Ozawa, K., and Mano, H.

- (1996) Cell Growth Differ. 7, 1135–1139 25. Tang, B., Mano, H., Yi, T., and Ihle, J. N. (1994) Mol. Cell. Biol. 14, 8432–8437
- Iang, B., Mano, H., Yi, I., and Ihle, J. N. (1994) Mol. Cett. Biol. 14, 8452-6457
  Yamashita, Y., Miyazato, A., Shimizu, R., Komatsu, N., Miura, Y., Ozawa, K., and Mano, H. (1997) Exp. Hematol. 25, 211-216
  Bennett, A. M., Tang, T. L. Sugimoto, S. Walsh, C. T., and Neel, B. G. (1994) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 91, 7335-7339
  Feng, G. S., Hui, C. C., and Pawson, T. (1993) Science 259, 1607-1611
  Vogel, W., Lammers, R. Huang, J., and Ullrich, A. (1993) Science 259, 111-1614
- 1611 1614
- Ali, S., Chen, Z., Lebrun, J. J., Vogel, W., Kharitonenkov, A., Kelly, P. A., and Ullrich, A. (1996) *EMBO J.* 15, 135–142
- 31. Boulton, T. G., Stahl, N., and Yancopoulos, G. D. (1994) J. Biol. Chem. 269, 11648-11655
- Gadina, M., Stancato, L. M., Bacon, C. M., Larner, A. C., and O'Shea, J. J. (1998) J. Immunol. 160, 4657–4661
- Tauchi, T., Feng, R., Shen, M., Hoatlin, Bagby, G. C., Jr., Kabat, D., Lu, L., and Broxmeyer, H. E. (1995) *J. Biol. Chem.* 270, 5631–5635
  Tauchi, T., Damen, J. E., Toyama, K., Feng, G. S., Broxmeyer, H. E., and
- Krystal, G. (1996) Blood 87, 4495-4501
- Welham, M. J., Dechert, U., Leslie, K. B., Jirik, F., and Schrader, J. W. (1994) J. Biol. Chem. 269, 23764–23768 36. Stein-Gerlach, M., Kharitonenkov, A., Vogel, W., Ali, S., and Ullrich, A. (1995)
- J. Biol. Chem. 270, 24635–24637
  Stein-Gerlach, M., Wallasch, C., and Ullrich, A. (1998) Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 30, 559–566
- Liu, F., and Chernoff, J. (1997) Biochem. J. 327, 139–145
  Tao, J., Malbon, C. C., and Wang, H. Y. (2001) J. Biol. Chem. 276, 29520-29525
- 40. Mano, H., Ishikawa, F., Nishida, J., Hirai, H., and Takaku, F.(1990) Oncogene 5. 1781-1786
- Mano, H., Mano, K., Tang, B. Koehler, M., Yi, T., Gilbert, D. J., Jenkins, N. A., Copeland, N. G., and Ihle, J. N. (1993) Oncogene 8, 417–424
- Tsukada, S., Saffran, D. C., Rawlings, D. J., Parolini, O., Allen, R. C., Klisak, I., Sparkes, R. S., Kubagawa, H., Mohandas, T., Quan, S., Belmont, J. W., Cooper, M. D., Conley, M. E., and Witte, O. N. (1993) Cell 72, 279–290
  Vetrie, D., Vorechovsky, I., Sideras, P., Holland, J., Davies, A., Flinter, F., Borth, M. Sarith, C. L. E., and M. Sarith, C. L. Sarith, C. L. E., and M. Sarith, C. L. Sarith, C. Sarith, C. L. Sarith, C. Sarith, C. Sarith, C. L. Sarith, C. Sarith, Sarith, C. Sarith, C. Sarith, C. Sarith, C. Sarith, C. Sarith, Sarith,
- Hammarstrom, L., Kinnon, C., Levinsky, R., Bobtoe, M., Smith, C. I. E., and Bently, D. R. (1993) Nature **361**, 226–233
- 44. Heyeck, S. D., and Berg, L. J. (1993) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 90, 669-673
- 45. Siliciano, J. D., Morrow, T. A., and Desiderio, S. V. (1992) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 89, 11194-11198
- S. A. 59, 11194–11196
  Yamada, N., Kawakami, Y., Kimura, H., Fukamachi, H., Baier, G., Altman, A., Kato, T., Inagaki, Y., and Kawakami, T. (1993) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 192, 231–240
  Tamagnone, L., Lahtinen, I. Mustonen, T. Virtaneva, K., Francis, F. Muscatelli, F. Alitalo, R., Smith, C. I., Larsson, C., and Alitalo, K. (1994) *Consumered*. 2020, 2020.
- Oncogene 9, 3683-3688
- 48. Haire, R. N., and Litman, G. W. (1995) Mamm. Genome 6, 476-480
- Hu, Q., Davidson, D., Schwartzberg, P. L., Macchiarini, F., Lenardo, M. J., Bluestone, J. A., and Matis, L. A. (1995) J. Biol. Chem. 270, 1928–1934
  Ohya, K., Kajigaya, S., Kitanaka, A., Yoshida, K., Miyazato, A., Yamashita, Y.,
- Oniya, K., Kajigaya, S., Huanaka, K., 108mua, K., Myazao, K., and Mano, H. (1999) Yananaka, T., Ikeda, U., Shimada, K., Ozawa, K., and Mano, H. (1999) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 96, 11976–11981
  Jui, H. Y., Tseng, R. J., Wen, X., Fang, H. I., Huang, L. M., Chen, K. Y., Kung, H. J., Ann, D. K., and Shih, H. M. (2000) J. Biol. Chem. 275, 41124–41132

## Stratification of Acute Myeloid Leukemia Based on Gene Expression Profiles

Hiroyuki Mano

Division of Functional Genomics, Jichi Medical School, Tochigi, Japan

Received July 28, 2004; accepted August 10, 2004

#### Abstract

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is characterized by clonal growth of immature leukemic blasts and develops either de novo or secondarily to anticancer treatment or to other hematologic disorders. Given that the current classification of AML, which is based on blast karyotype and morphology, is not sufficiently robust to predict the prognosis of each affected individual, new stratification schemes that are of better prognostic value are needed. Global profiling of gene expression in AML blasts has the potential both to identify a small number of genes whose expression is associated with clinical outcome and to provide insight into the molecular pathogenesis of this condition. Emerging genomics tools, especially DNA microarray analysis, have been applied in attempts to isolate new molecular markers for the differential diagnosis of AML and to identify genes that contribute to leukemogenesis. Progress in bioinformatics has also yielded means with which to classify patients according to clinical parameters such as long-term prognosis. The application of such analysis to large sets of gene expression data has begun to provide the basis for a new AML classification that is more powerful with regard to prediction of prognosis. *Int J Hematol.* 2004;80:389-394. doi: 10.1532/IJH97.04111

©2004 The Japanese Society of Hematology

Key words: DNA microarray; CD133; CD34; Transcriptome

#### **1. Introduction**

The human genome project was launched in 1991 as a joint program by the United States, the United Kingdom, Japan, France, Germany, and China. Ten years later, the first draft sequence of the entire human genome, including the nucleotide sequence of approximately 90% of euchromatin with 99.9% accuracy, was completed by the international team [1]. The total number of protein-coding genes was estimated to be approximately 31,000, only twice that for a nematode [2]. Completion of the human sequencing project was announced in 2003, with the determined nucleotide sequence encompassing >99% of euchromatin with an accuracy of 99.99% (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/guide/human). Annotation of the final sequence is still in progress but is expected to be completed soon. The postgenome era is therefore about to begin.

Compilation of the catalog of human genes has spurred the development of new technologies to investigate and characterize changes—at the gene, messenger RNA (mRNA), or protein level—in the entire gene set simultaneously. Among such genomics approaches, DNA microarray analysis has probably been the most successful to date. A DNA microarray resembles a microscope slide and contains tens of thousands of genomic fragments, complementary DNAs (cDNAs), or oligonucleotides present in individual spots. Hybridization of such arrays with labeled cDNAs derived from a sample mRNA population allows measurement of the amounts of the original mRNAs for all genes represented on the array [3]. The high density of DNA fragments achievable on currently available microarrays makes it possible to quantitate the mRNA levels for all human genes with 1 array experiment. Such profiling of gene expression in a given cell or tissue type promises to provide a new dimension to our understanding of biology.

Microarray analysis has been applied, for instance, to characterize the differentiation [4] and the inflammatory response [5] of human cells. Gene expression profiling has also helped to develop new classification systems for human diseases that had previously been categorized on the basis of pathology or cell morphology. Microarray analysis of human specimens of prostate cancer, for example, has resulted in the identification of new biomarkers that predict poor prognosis [6]. Characteristic patterns of gene expression, or "molecular signatures," are similarly expected to provide a basis for the subdivision of patients with the same clinical diagnosis into groups with distinct prognoses [7].

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is characterized by the clonal growth of immature leukemic blasts in bone marrow

Correspondence and reprint requests: Hiroyuki Mano, MD, PhD, Division of Functional Genomics, Jichi Medical School, 3311-1 Yakushiji, Kawachigun, Tochigi 329-0498, Japan; 81-285-58-7449; fax: 81-285-44-7322 (e-mail: hmano@jichi.ac.jp).

(BM) and can develop de novo or from either myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) or anticancer treatment [8]. One of the most robust predictors of AML prognosis is blast karyotype [9,10]. A good prognosis is thus predicted from the presence in leukemic clones of t(8;21), t(15;17), or inv(16) chromosomal rearrangements, whereas -7/7q-, 11q23, or more complex abnormalities are indicative of a poor outcome. Such stratification is not informative, however, for predicting the prognoses of patients with a normal karyotype, who constitute approximately 50% of the AML population.

A clinical record of a preceding MDS phase is also an indicator of poor prognosis for individuals with AML. Therapy-related acute leukemia (TRL) can develop after the administration of alkylating agents, topoisomerase inhibitors, or radiotherapy. The clinical outcome of TRL is generally worse than that of de novo AML [11], and a subset of individuals with TRL also exhibits multilineage dysplasia of blood cells. Prediction of the outcome of and optimization of the treatment for each AML patient would thus be facilitated by the ability to differentiate de novo AML from MDSrelated AML and TRL. However, dysplastic changes (in particular, dyserythropoiesis) in differentiated blood cells are also found not infrequently in the BM of healthy elderly individuals [12]. The differential diagnosis among AML-related disorders is therefore not always an easy task in the clinical setting, especially if a prior record of hematopoietic parameters is not available.

The application of DNA microarray analysis to AML has the potential (1) to identify molecular markers for the differential diagnosis of AML-related disorders, (2) to provide a basis for the subclassification of such disorders, and (3) to yield insight into the molecular pathogenesis of AML. In this article, I review progress related to the first 2 of these goals.

# 2. Identification of Molecular Markers for the Differential Diagnosis of AML

#### 2.1. Karyotype

Given that the current classification of AML relies on blast karyotype, it would be informative to determine whether karyotype is related to the gene expression profile of blasts. In other words, is DNA microarray analysis able to substitute for conventional karyotyping?

Schoch et al performed microarray analysis with BM mononuclear cells (MNCs) isolated from individuals with AML and compared the data among the patients with t(8;21), t(15:17), or inv(16) chromosomal anomalies [13]. Each of these 3 AML subgroups was found to possess a distinct molecular signature, and it was possible to predict the karyotype correctly on the basis of the expression level of specific genes. The leukemic blasts of these subgroups of AML manifest distinct differentiation abilities, however. Blasts with t(8;21) remain as immature myeloblasts, those with t(15;17) differentiate into promyelocytes, and those with inv(16) differentiate into cells of the monocytic lineage. The overall gene expression profiles of these 3 types of blasts therefore might be substantially affected by the mRNA repertoires of the differentiated cells present within BM. It remains to be determined whether such "karyotype-specific"

molecular signatures are indeed dependent on karyotype or are related to French-American-British (FAB) subtype (differentiation ability).

The gene expression profiles of BM MNCs derived from a large number of pediatric AML patients were examined by Yagi et al [14]. Clustering of these patients according to the expression pattern of the entire gene set resulted in their separation into FAB subtype-matched groups, indicative of a prominent influence of differentiated cells within BM on the gene expression profile. It may nevertheless prove possible to capture bona fide karyotype-dependent genes from large data sets with the use of sophisticated bioinformatics approaches and then to use the expression profiles of these genes for "pseudokaryotyping."

Virtaneva et al purified CD34<sup>+</sup> progenitor cells from the BM of individuals with AML and compared the gene expression profiles of the patients with a normal karyotype and those with trisomy 8 [15]. They also compared such AML blasts with CD34<sup>+</sup> fractions isolated from the BM of healthy volunteers. The use of CD34<sup>+</sup> (immature) cells for microarray analysis would be expected to reduce the influence of differentiated cells within BM on the overall pattern of gene expression. These researchers found that the AML blasts differed markedly from normal CD34<sup>+</sup> cells in terms of the gene expression profile. However, the blasts with trisomy 8 did not appear to differ substantially from those with a normal karyotype. It is possible that blasts with a normal karyotype or those with trisomy 8 are too diverse to allow identification of distinguishing gene markers.

#### 2.2. De Novo AML versus MDS-Related AML

Although dysplasia is the diagnostic hallmark of MDS, such abnormal cell morphology is also associated with other conditions, and the subjective assessment of the extent of dysplasia suffers from the risk of variability from physician to physician. It is therefore desirable to identify molecular markers that are able to distinguish de novo AML from MDS-associated AML. It is also important to clarify whether de novo AML and MDS-associated AML are indeed distinct clinical entities or whether they overlap to some degree.

Although DNA microarray analysis is a promising tool for the identification of such molecular markers able to differentiate de novo AML from MDS-related AML, a simple comparison of BM MNCs for these 2 conditions is likely to be problematic. The cellular composition of BM MNCs differs markedly among individuals. Differences in the gene expression profile between BM MNCs from a given pair of individuals may thus reflect these differences in cell composition [16]. The elimination of such pseudopositive and pseudonegative data necessitates the purification of background-matched cell fractions from the clinical specimens before microarray analysis.

Given that de novo AML and MDS both result from the transformation of hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) clones, HSCs would be expected to be an appropriate target for purification and gene expression analysis. With the use of an affinity purification procedure based on the HSC-specific surface protein CD133, also known as AC133 [17], we have purified CD133<sup>+</sup> HSC-like fractions from individuals with

various hematopoietic disorders, and we have stored these fractions in a cell depository referred to as the *Blast Bank*. With such background-matched purified samples, we attempted to identify differences in gene expression profiles between de novo AML and MDS-associated AML [18]. To minimize further the influence of differentiation commitment of blasts toward certain lineages, we used only Blast Bank samples with the same phenotype, the M2 subtype according to the FAB classification. We thus characterized the expression profiles of >12,000 genes in CD133<sup>+</sup> Blast Bank samples from 10 patients with de novo AML of the M2 subtype as well as from 10 individuals with MDS-related AML of the same FAB subtype.

Selection with a Student *t* test (P < .01) and an effect size of  $\geq 5$  units for discrimination between the 2 clinical conditions led to the identification of 57 "diagnosis-associated genes," the expression profiles of which are shown in a "gene tree" format in Figure 1A. In this format, genes with similar expression patterns across the samples are clustered near each other. Patients were also clustered in this tree (2-way clustering) on the basis of the similarity of the expression pattern of the 57 genes (dendrogram at the top). All subjects were clustered into 2 major groups, 1 composed mostly of de novo AML patients and the other containing predominantly patients with MDS-associated AML. Each of these 2 main branches contained misclassified samples, however, indicating that simple clustering was not sufficiently powerful to differentiate the 2 clinical conditions completely. Furthermore, this analysis might not adequately address whether de novo AML and MDS-associated AML should be treated as distinct entities, at least from the point of view of the gene expression profile.

Decomposition of the multidimensionality of gene expression profiles by the application of principal components analysis [19] or correspondence analysis [20], for example, is often informative for such purposes. Application of the latter method to the data set of the 57 genes reduced the number of dimensions from 57 to 3. On the basis of the calculated 3-dimensional (3D) coordinates for each sample, the specimens were then projected into a virtual 3D space (Figure 1B). Most of the de novo AML samples were positioned in a region of this space that was distinct from that occupied by the MDS-associated AML specimens. However, 2 of the former samples were localized within the MDS region. These results suggest that de novo AML and MDS-associated AML are distinct disorders but that the current clinical diagnostic system is not efficient enough to separate them completely.

Instead of extracting a molecular signature from the expression profile of multiple genes, an alternative approach is to attempt to identify individual gene markers specific to either de novo AML or MDS-associated AML. We used the Blast Bank array data to identify MDS-specific markers, defined as genes that are silent in blasts from all de novo AML patients but are active in those from at least some patients with MDS-related AML [16]. This approach resulted in the identification of a single gene, DLK, that matched these criteria. DLK is expressed in immature cells [21] and is implicated in the maintenance of the undifferentiated state [22]. Selective expression of DLK in MDS blasts may thus contribute to the pathogenesis of MDS. Increased expression



**Figure 1.** Correspondence analysis and 3-dimensional (3D) projection for differential diagnosis of acute myeloid leukemia (AML). A, Two-way hierarchical clustering for 57 disease-associated genes and 20 patients. Each row corresponds to a single gene and each column to CD133<sup>+</sup> cells from a patient with de novo AML (blue) or myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS)-related AML (red). The expression level of each gene is color coded, with a high level in red and a low level in green. B, Projection of the 20 specimens from (A) into a virtual space with 3 dimensions identified by correspondence analysis of the 57 genes. Patients with de novo AML (AML) were separated from those with MDS-related leukemia (MDS). C, Projection of specimens from patients with de novo AML without dysplasia (AML) or de novo AML with multilineage dysplasia (MLD) into a 3-dimensional space based on correspondence analysis of differences in gene expression. The dendrogram in (A) and 3-dimensional projections in (B) and (C) were constructed from data in [18] and [28]. of *DLK* in blasts from individuals with MDS has also been demonstrated in other studies [18,23].

Cell fractions other than CD133<sup>+</sup> cells are also potential targets for microarray analysis. Pellagatti et al chose peripheral blood neutrophils to investigate the gene expression profiles of MDS and MDS-associated AML [24]. They identified genes whose expression was dependent on MDS subtype. Given the high activity of ribonuclease in neutrophils, however, it is important to confirm the reproducibility of expression data obtained with these cells.

#### 2.3. Dysplasia

In addition to the dysplastic blood cells associated with MDS, prominent dysplasia is apparent in certain individuals with de novo AML for whom the possibility of a prior MDS phase can be excluded [25,26]. Such de novo AML with dysplasia has a poor outcome with conventional chemotherapy [27], as does MDS-related leukemia. In the revised classification of AML by the World Health Organization [8], an entity of AML with multilineage dysplasia (AML-MLD) has been proposed; this entity probably includes both de novo AML with dysplasia and AML secondary to MDS. Whether such an amalgamation has clinical relevance awaits further studies.

To clarify directly whether de novo AML-MLD is indeed a clinical entity distinct from de novo AML without dysplasia, we searched for differences between the transcriptomes of CD133<sup>+</sup> cells derived from individuals with diagnoses of these 2 conditions [28]. We attempted to construct a 3D view of the samples with the coordinates calculated from correspondence analysis of genes found to be associated with dysplasia (Figure 1C). Most cases of AML-MLD were separated from those of AML without dysplasia in the 3D space. In contrast to the prominent separation power of the first dimension in Figure 1B, both the first and second dimensions substantially contributed to separation of the samples in Figure 1C. These data indicate that de novo AML without dysplasia can be differentiated from de novo AML-MLD on the basis of gene expression profiles.

#### 3. Stratification of AML

#### 3.1. Analysis of BM MNCs

Given that the current classification of AML is not sufficiently powerful to predict the prognosis of each affected individual, it is hoped that DNA microarray analysis will provide a better stratification scheme to separate AML patients into prognosis-dependent subgroups. To this end, Bullinger et al isolated MNCs from either BM or peripheral blood of 116 adult AML patients and examined the gene expression profiles of these cells with DNA microarrays harboring >39,000 cDNAs [29]. From the data set, they then screened "class predictor" genes, whose expression was correlated with the duration of patient survival. Class prediction based on such genes separated the patients into 2 classes, and long-term survival differed significantly between these 2 classes for both the training set (P < .001, log-rank test) and the test set (P = .006). This expression profile–based classification

also separated AML patients with normal karyotype into 2 classes with distinct prognoses (P = .046). Although the number of genes used for the class prediction was relatively large (n = 133), these data demonstrated that DNA microarray analysis is able to predict the prognosis of AML patients in a manner independent of karyotype.

Similarly, Valk et al measured the expression levels of approximately 13,000 genes in BM MNCs isolated from 285 patients with AML [30]. Unsupervised clustering based on the gene expression profiles separated the patients into 16 subgroups. The prognoses of patients differed among these clusters, but whether the ability to predict prognosis was independent of karyotype was not addressed.

The gene expression profiles of BM MNCs from 54 pediatric AML patients were compared for those individuals who maintained complete remission (CR) for >3 years and those who failed to enter initial CR [14]. Thirty-five genes were identified as associated with prognosis and were used to separate the individuals into 2 groups. The difference in survival between the 2 groups was again statistically significant (P =.03), although whether this approach was independent of the current classification system was not addressed.

#### 3.2. Analysis of Purified Fractions

As described in section 2.2, microarray analysis of purified fractions is likely to be more accurate than is that of BM MNCs for the extraction of prognosis-associated molecular signatures. We have analyzed the expression intensities of approximately 33,000 genes (likely representing almost the entire human genome) in CD133<sup>+</sup> HSC-like fractions isolated from 66 patients with AML who received standard chemotherapy. Of these patients, 51 individuals entered initial CR, whereas the remaining 15 failed to do so. Comparison of the data set for these 2 classes resulted in the identification of a small number of outcome-related genes (Yamashita et al, unpublished data). Principal components were extracted from the gene expression patterns, and the patients were projected into a virtual 3D space based on the coordinates obtained by correspondence analysis. Individuals who entered CR were separated from those who did not (Figure 2A), indicating that the gene expression profile of leukemic blasts indeed differs between AML patients who respond to chemotherapy and those who do not. In this analysis, the separation of the 2 groups of patients was achieved mostly in the first dimension. We therefore separated the patients into 2 subgroups according to whether the coordinate in the first dimension was <-0.3 or  $\geq -0.3$ . The individuals in the latter group lived significantly longer than did those in the former (Figure 2B). These data support the feasibility of a novel stratification scheme for AML based on the gene expression profile.

#### 4. Conclusion

Newly developed genomics tools allow global assessment of mRNA levels, DNA copy number, or genomic polymorphisms. Among these tools, DNA microarray analysis has proved highly successful in studies of human specimens, not only from individuals with hematopoietic disorders but also



**Figure 2.** Stratification of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) on the basis of microarray analysis of gene expression. A, Projection of specimens from patients with AML who underwent initial chemotherapy-induced complete remission (CR) and those who did not (Failure) into a 3-dimensional space based on correspondence analysis of differences in gene expression. B, Kaplan-Meier analysis of the subjects in (A), revealing significantly different prognoses for the 2 classes with a coordinate in the first dimension of <-0.3 or  $\geq$ -0.3.

from those with solid tumors or nonmalignant degenerative diseases. Insight into many more human disorders is likely soon to be provided by such global profiling of gene expression. It is important to bear in mind, however, that DNA microarray data are prone to contamination with pseudopositive or pseudonegative results. The efficient characterization of AML thus appears to require optimal purification of target cell populations. Provided that experiments are designed carefully, DNA microarray analysis is likely to shed new light on the molecular pathogenesis of AML.

#### Acknowledgments

I thank colleagues in the Division of Functional Genomics, Jichi Medical School, for their enthusiasm in DNA microarray analyses, as well as the many physicians and patients who have participated in the Blast Bank project.

#### References

- 1. The Genome International Sequencing Consortium. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature*. 2001;409: 860-921.
- The C. elegans Sequencing Consortium. Genome sequence of the nematode C. elegans: a platform for investigating biology. Science. 1998;282:2012-2018.
- Cheung VG, Morley M, Aguilar F, Massimi A, Kucherlapati R, Childs G. Making and reading microarrays. *Nat Genet*. 1999;21: 15-19.
- Khan J, Bittner ML, Saal LH, et al. cDNA microarrays detect activation of a myogenic transcription program by the *PAX3-FKHR* fusion gene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1999;96:13264-13269.
- Heller RA, Schena M, Chai A, et al. Discovery and analysis of inflammatory disease-related genes using cDNA microarrays. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997;94:2150-2155.
- Dhanasekaran SM, Barrette TR, Ghosh D, et al. Delineation of prognostic biomarkers in prostate cancer. *Nature*. 2001;412: 822-826.
- Beer DG, Kardia SL, Huang CC, et al. Gene-expression profiles predict survival of patients with lung adenocarcinoma. *Nat Med.* 2002;8:816-824.
- Jaffe ES, Harris NL, Vardman JW, eds. Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues. Lyon, France: IARC Press; 2001.
- Grimwade D, Walker H, Oliver F, et al. The importance of diagnostic cytogenetics on outcome in AML: analysis of 1,612 patients entered into the MRC AML 10 trial: the Medical Research Council Adult and Children's Leukaemia Working Parties. *Blood*. 1998; 92:2322-2333.
- Byrd JC, Mrozek K, Dodge RK, et al. Pretreatment cytogenetic abnormalities are predictive of induction success, cumulative incidence of relapse, and overall survival in adult patients with de novo acute myeloid leukemia: results from Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB 8461). *Blood*. 2002;100:4325-4336.
- Smith SM, Le Beau MM, Huo D, et al. Clinical-cytogenetic associations in 306 patients with therapy-related myelodysplasia and myeloid leukemia: the University of Chicago series. *Blood.* 2003; 102:43-52.
- 12. Bain BJ. The bone marrow aspirate of healthy subjects. Br J Haematol. 1996;94:206-209.
- Schoch C, Kohlmann A, Schnittger S, et al. Acute myeloid leukemias with reciprocal rearrangements can be distinguished by specific gene expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2002;99: 10008-10013.
- Yagi T, Morimoto A, Eguchi M, et al. Identification of a gene expression signature associated with pediatric AML prognosis. *Blood.* 2003;102:1849-1856.
- 15. Virtaneva K, Wright FA, Tanner SM, et al. Expression profiling reveals fundamental biological differences in acute myeloid leukemia with isolated trisomy 8 and normal cytogenetics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2001;98:1124-1129.
- Miyazato A, Ueno S, Ohmine K, et al. Identification of myelodysplastic syndrome-specific genes by DNA microarray analysis with purified hematopoietic stem cell fraction. *Blood*. 2001;98:422-427.
- Hin AH, Miraglia S, Zanjani ED, et al. AC133, a novel marker for human hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells. *Blood*. 1997;90: 5002-5012.
- Oshima Y, Ueda M, Yamashita Y, et al. DNA microarray analysis of hematopoietic stem cell-like fractions from individuals with the M2 subtype of acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2003;17: 1990-1997.
- Lefkovits I, Kuhn L, Valiron O, Merle A, Kettman J. Toward an objective classification of cells in the immune system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1988;85:3565-3569.
- Fellenberg K, Hauser NC, Brors B, Neutzner A, Hoheisel JD, Vingron M. Correspondence analysis applied to microarray data. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2001;98:10781-10786.
- 21. Moore KA, Pytowski B, Witte L, Hicklin D, Lemischka IR.

Hematopoietic activity of a stromal cell transmembrane protein containing epidermal growth factor-like repeat motifs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1997;94:4011-4016.

- 22. Smas CM, Sul HS. Pref-1, a protein containing EGF-like repeats, inhibits adipocyte differentiation. *Cell*. 1993;73:725-734.
- Hofmann WK, de Vos S, Komor M, Hoelzer D, Wachsman W, Koeffler HP. Characterization of gene expression of CD34<sup>+</sup> cells from normal and myelodysplastic bone marrow. *Blood.* 2002;100: 3553-3560.
- Pellagatti A, Esoof N, Watkins F, et al. Gene expression profiling in the myelodysplastic syndromes using cDNA microarray technology. *Br J Haematol.* 2004;125:576-583.
- Brito-Babapulle F, Catovsky D, Galton DAG. Clinical and laboratory features of de novo acute myeloid leukemia with trilineage myelodysplasia. *Br J Haematol.* 1987;66:445-450.
- 26. Jinnai I, Tomonaga M, Kuriyama K, et al. Dysmegakaryocyto-

poiesis in acute leukaemias: its predominance in myelomonocytic (M4) leukaemia and implication for poor response to chemotherapy. *Br J Haematol*. 1987;66:467-472.

- Kuriyama K, Tomonaga M, Matsuo T, et al. Poor response to intensive chemotherapy in de novo acute myeloid leukaemia with trilineage myelodysplasia: Japan Adult Leukaemia Study Group (JALSG). Br J Haematol. 1994;86:767-773.
- Tsutsumi C, Ueda M, Miyazaki Y, et al. DNA microarray analysis of dysplastic morphology associated with acute myeloid leukemia. *Exp Hematol.* 2004;32:828-835.
- 29. Bullinger L, Dohner K, Bair E, et al. Use of gene-expression profiling to identify prognostic subclasses in adult acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2004;350:1605-1616.
- Valk PJ, Verhaak RG, Beijen MA, et al. Prognostically useful geneexpression profiles in acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2004; 350:1617-1628.

# Annual Review 血液 2004

2004年1月30日発行

中外医学社

### □ IV. リンパ系

## 5. 多発性骨髄腫と関連疾患の遺伝子発現プロファイル

自治医科大学ゲノム機能研究部教授 間野博行

key words DNA microarray, multiple myeloma, MGUS, CD138

#### 動向

多発性骨髄腫 (multiple myeloma: MM) は形 質細胞の異常増殖を本体とする悪性疾患であり高 齢者に好発する<sup>1)</sup>. MM はきわめて予後不良であ り、ほとんどの例において最終的には化学療法に 抵抗性となる<sup>2)</sup>.一方,以前は良性単クローン性 免疫グロブリン血症とよばれていた monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS)は、MMに比べて骨髄中の形質細胞の 割合が少なく、また一般に全身症状も伴わない. しかしMGUS患者の中には1年に1%程度の割合 で MM へ移行する症例が存在しており, MGUS と MM との異同はいまだ不明確である<sup>3)</sup>. MGUS は前癌状態ともいうべきものなのか、それとも MGUS は不均一な集団であり一部の特徴的なサ ブグループのみがMMへ移行するのか、また MGUSとMMとを区別する genetic events は果 たして何なのか?など疑問点は多い.

一方, MM も発症当初は化学療法が比較的奏 効し, 一旦は症状が軽減するが, 化学療法自体で MM 細胞が根治されることはほとんどなく, 早 晩, 患者骨髄腫細胞は化学療法に抵抗性となる. 現段階で MM の生命予後を明確に改善する治療 法は骨髄移植のみであり<sup>4)</sup>, それも自家と同種の どちらが優れているかは議論の多いところであ る<sup>5)</sup>.

比較的罹患人口の多い疾患にもかかわらず, MMの病態はほとんど不明なままである.この ようなMMの発症機構を明らかにし,同時に各 患者の予後を予測することを目的として,DNA チップを用いた新しいアプローチが複数の施設か ら報告されるようになった.これらの解析結果は いまだ必ずしも画期的とはいえないが,MMに 関して全く新しい側面からの知見が急速に蓄積さ れつつあることは間違いない.本稿ではMM細 胞の起源あるいはMM患者のサブグループの提 言など,これらのチップ解析の結果得られた情報 について概説したい.

#### A. 遺伝子発現プロファイル

ヒトゲノムプロジェクトの成果であるドラフト シークエンスが2001年2月に公表され<sup>6,7)</sup>,また ついに euchromatin の完全版配列決定が2003年4 月14日に宣言された.現在,得られた配列上に 遺伝子の割付作業が行われているが,近い将来に その成果が公表されると思われる.ヒトのほとん どの遺伝子構造が(機能は不明であるにしろ)明 らかになった今後の「ポスト・ゲノム時代」にお いては医療・医学研究の分野でも方法論の転換が 求められるであろう.たとえばある疾患の原因遺 伝子を同定することを考えるとき,旧来であれば 発現スクリーニング法や連鎖解析法などによって 全く未知の遺伝子を最初から同定せざるを得なか った.しかしポスト・ゲノム時代においては理論 上「未知」の遺伝子は存在しなくなり,膨大では あるがあくまで有限なヒト遺伝子のプールの中か ら特定の特徴を備えた遺伝子を効率よく同定する ことが必要になる.このような網羅的解析は, DNAシークエンス,遺伝子発現量(mRNA量) および蛋白質の全ての段階で行われるであろう. この中で現在すでに強力な網羅的解析技術が存在 するのは「遺伝子発現量」の分野であり,その技 術とはDNAチップである.

DNAチップはスライドガラスなどの担体の上 に、cDNA あるいは遺伝子由来のオリゴヌクレオ チドを高密度に配置したものであり、スライド上 の数千から数万種類の遺伝子の相対的発現量を一 度の実験で解析することができる<sup>8)</sup>. すでにデー タベース上に存在するヒトの全予想遺伝子を配置 した DNA チップも市販されており(http:// www.affymetrix.com), これらの高密度 DNA チ ップを用いることで、たとえば任意の細胞・組織 における全遺伝子の発現パターン(遺伝子発現プ ロファイル)も比較的簡便に把握可能となる. gene に「全体」を表す「-ome」という接尾語を 付けて genome (ゲノム) という単語が作られた ように、transcriptに-omeを付加した transcriptome(トランスクリプトーム)という 造語も最近は用いられるようになった.

このような遺伝子発現プロファイル・トランス クリプトームをたとえば MMと MGUS 間で大規 模に比較することで,両者を鑑別可能な新しい分 子診断マーカーの同定も可能になると期待され る.また DNA チップによって得られる発現デー タを用いて,疾患の分類自体に新しい体系を導入 することも可能であろう.

#### B. CD138 陽性分画を用いた比較

しかし、たとえば健常者と MM 患者骨髄単核 球 (mononuclear cell: MNC) を単純に比較する ような DNA チップ実験を行うと、意外にも本ア ッセイが偽陽性の多い解析法であることに気づ く. 形質細胞は健常者骨髄中で数%を占めるに渦 ぎないが, MM 患者においては時に数十%に及ぶ. したがって骨髄 MNC 間で比較すると,形質細胞 固有な遺伝子は MM 患者において見かけ上必ず 発現が誘導されているように結論づけられてしま うのである.このような偽陽性結果を回避するた めには,疾患責任分画である形質細胞のみを様々 な患者より純化した上で, DNA チップによって 比較することが重要であろう. Bリンパ球は成熟 形質細胞への分化に伴い細胞表面 CD19. CD20 の発現量が低下し、逆にCD138、CD38の発現が 誘導される.特にCD138は骨髄中の血球におい て成熟形質細胞にのみ発現しており、同細胞分画 を純化するのに好適である9).

そこで我々を含め複数の研究グループにおい て、健常者、MGUS患者及び MM 患者骨髄より CD138陽性形質細胞を純化しDNAチップによっ て比較するプロジェクトが進行した. 具体的な CD138陽性細胞の純化ステップとして、抗 CD138抗体に磁気ビーズを結合させた純化カラ ムが用いられている.フローサイトメーターを用 いた細胞分画の純化も可能であるが、たとえば 1×10<sup>6</sup>個のCD138陽性細胞をFACSによって純 化するのは長時間を要する. それに比べて磁気ビ ーズカラムを用いれば同程度の細胞の純化も1時 間以内に回収可能である.磁気ビーズカラムによ る細胞純化の効率を図1に示す.たとえば本解析 における健常者の骨髄 MNC 中 CD138 陽性細胞は 1.8%しか存在しないが、同じ健常者 MNC を純化 カラムにかけると最終的にCD138陽性細胞94.9% のきわめて純度の高い形質細胞分画が得られるの



健常者より単核球 (MNC) を純化し, さらに抗 CD138 抗体を用いた磁 気ビーズカラムにより CD138 陽性細胞 (CD138<sup>+</sup>) を純化した. 両分 画に対して FITC 結合抗 CD138 抗体による FACS 解析を行ったヒスト グラムを表す. それぞれにおける CD138 陽性細胞の割合も%で示して ある.

である.同分画のサイトスピン標本をWright-Giemsa染色にて鏡検すると、均質な形質細胞の みが純化されていることが確認された.

#### C. 多発性骨髄腫の解析

#### 1. 免疫グロブリンサブタイプでの比較

Magrangeas らは, 88 例の MM 患者および 9 例 の形質細胞性白血病(plasma cell leukmia: PCL) 患者骨髄より CD138 陽性形質細胞を純化し,各 サンプルより放射標識した cDNA を作成してカ スタムメイドの cDNA マクロアレイ(5376 遺伝 子) にハイブリダイズさせた<sup>10)</sup>.得られた遺伝 子発現プロファイルを MM の異常免疫グロブリ ンのサブタイプ間で比較している.たとえば産生 グロブリンがIgGであるもの(48例)とIgA(21 例)とで比較し、両者を識別する発現プロファイ ルをもつ遺伝子群を抽出した. これらには免疫グ ロブリン関連遺伝子だけでなく、GATA6や LKF1等の転写因子,またBRCA1等のがん抑制 遺伝子も含まれていた.またこれらサブタイプ依 存性遺伝子セットにおける遺伝子発現パターンの 類似性から、サンプルの系統樹を作成すると Bence Jones protein タイプの MM 患者は IgG, IgA 産生型いずれとも異なる別の疾患グループを 形成することがわかった.

また彼らは産生される免疫グロブリンのL鎖の サブタイプによる比較も行っている. λ 鎖産生 MMとκ鎖産生型との間で発現量に偏りがある 遺伝子を抽出したところ, κ鎖産生 MM 細胞に おいて MIP1 α<sup>11)</sup>, TGF β 3, BMP2 などの骨代 謝に関係する遺伝子群が特異的に発現しているこ とが示された.一方,λ鎖型ではTGFβ機能を 抑制するLTBP4遺伝子が特異的に発現すること が示された(表1). これらは MM 細胞で産生さ れるL鎖のタイプ依存性に骨病変の重症度が異な る可能性を示唆する. そこでこれらL鎖依存性遺 伝子の発現プロファイルを元に患者サンプルを並 び替え,系統樹を作成すると,図2に示されるよ うに骨病変が軽症の患者サンプルの多くはλ鎖産 生グループに分類され、一方、骨病変が重症な患 者サンプルの多くはκ鎖グループに分類されるこ とが明らかになった.これまでもL鎖のサブタイ プがMM患者における骨融解の程度にリンクす るとの臨床情報があったが<sup>12)</sup>, Magrangeasらの データは, 分子生物学的な裏づけを与えるものと して興味深い.

gene symbo	gene description	accession no.	discriminating score
IGL@	Ig λ	H14524	- 2.74
IGL@	Ig $\lambda$	R83196	- 1.81
CDSN	corneodesmosin	W95594	- 1.77
MCP	membrane cofactor protein (CD46)	H26673	- 1.66
IGL@	Ig λ	H15030	- 1.62
ABCD4	ATP-binding cassette, subfamily D, member 4	H51632	- 1.22
SAMHD1	SAM domain and HD domain, 1	H47862	- 1.07
LTBP4	latent transforming growth factor- $\beta$ -binding protein 4	R73631	- 1.02
TGF3	transforming growth factor, $\beta$ -3	W80655	0.73
TNFRSF6	tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 6 (CD95)		1.06
BMP2	bone morphogenetic protein 2	AA114112	1.34
PRKCABP	PRKCA-binding protein	AA641722	1.54
HOX11	homeobox 11	AA007444	1.61
EXT1	exostoses, multiple, type 1	R13402	
IGKC	Ig κ	R71916	1.70 1.82

表1 λ 鎖型と κ 鎖型で発現が異なる遺伝子<sup>10)</sup>

discriminating score は両群における平均値の差を標準偏差の差で割ったもので、両群間における発現量の偏り を表す.

#### 2. 疾患サブタイプでの比較

Zhanらは,健常者31例,MGUS患者5例およ びMM患者74例の骨髄よりCD138陽性形質細胞 分画を純化し,Affymetrix社のGeneChip HuGeneFLチップ(~6800遺伝子)による解析 を行った.また同様に8種類の多発性骨髄腫細胞 株についてもチップ解析を行っている.これら計 118例のチップデータを基にサンプル全体の系統 樹を作成すると,健常者は「MGUS+MM+細 胞株」群とは異なるグループを形成することが示 され,遺伝子発現プロファイルによる疾患解析の 妥当性が支持される結果となった<sup>13)</sup>.

さらに MM 内でのみサンプルの系統樹を作成 すると,図3に示されるように大きく4種類の患 者サブグループ(MM1~MM4)に分かれるこ とが明らかになった.しかも MM1は MGUS に最 も近い遺伝子発現プロファイルをもち,MM4は 骨髄腫細胞株に最も近似したプロファイルをもつ ことも示された.すなわち MM 内のこれらサブ タイプが患者の予後にリンクする可能性が示唆さ れる.実際 MM1と MM4 タイプ間で最も発現量 が異なる遺伝子をリストすると、DNA 複製や修 復に関わる遺伝子群 [thymidylate synthase (TYMS), mutS homolog 2 (MSH2) 等] が MM4 グループに特異的に発現していることがわ かる (表2). これらのデータは MM4 に属する骨 髄腫細胞の増殖能力が亢進していることを支持す るといえよう. また MM 患者における既知の予 後不良因子である血中  $\beta_2$  ミクログロブリン<sup>14)</sup>, クレアチニンレベルの増加は、ともに MM4 群に おいて顕著なことも確認された.

Zhanらは、同じデータセットから正常形質細胞とMM細胞との比較も行っている.たとえば 骨髄腫の細胞において特異的に発現する遺伝子と して、cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A、c-Ablキナーゼ等が疾患特異的に発現亢進している ことが確認された.以上の実験結果から、遺伝子 発現プロファイルによって正常形質細胞と異常 (MGUS + MM)を区別することは可能であった が、MGUSとMMとを正確に判別することは困 難であると結論づけられた.



産生況渡少ロノリンのし頭リノタイン低行住還低」並の先先ノロンノールを重色にはロンパル 樹を作成した.解析した患者の中で骨病変がないか軽微なサンプルを青色で,また骨病変が 重度の患者を黒色で表す.



図3 MM 患者サンプルの遺伝子発現プロファイルによる系統樹(文献 13 より改変) MM 患者 74 例の骨髄 CD138 陽性細胞における遺伝子発現プロファイルを基にサンプルの系統樹を作製したとこ ろ, MM1 ~ MM4 までの4 種類のサブタイプに大きく分類されることが示された.なお MM1 は MGUS の CD138 陽性細胞に似た発現プロファイルをもち, MM4 は骨髄腫細胞株群と似たプロファイルをもつ.

	<u> </u>		元元里が天ちる度	H J		
accession no.	function	gene symbol	MM1 (N = 20) $P$	MM4 (N = 18) $P$	χ2_	WRS P value
D00596	DNA replication	TYMS	3	18	24.35	$1.26 \times 10^4$
U35835	DNA repair	PRKDC	2	17	23.75	$4.55 \times 10^{6}$
U77949	DNA replication	CDC6	1	13	15.62	$5.14 \times 10^{6}$
U91985	DNA fragmentation	DFFA	1	12	13.38	$6.26 \times 10^5$
U61145	transcription	EZH2	4	15	12.77	$1.67 \times 10^4$
U20979	DNA replication	CHAF1A	2	12	10.75	$1.10 \times 10^4$
U03911	DNA repair	MSH2	0	9	10.48	$2.88 \times 10^{6}$
X74330	DNA replication	PRIM1	0	9	10.48	$9.36 \times 10^{6}$
X12517	SnRNP	SNRPC	0	9	10.48	$5.26 \times 10^{6}$
D85131	transcription	MAZ	0	9	10.48	$1.08 \times 10^{5}$
L00634	farnesyltransferase	FNTA	10	18	9.77	$7.28 \times 10^{5}$

表2	M1 ~ M4	1間で発現量が異なる遺伝子 <sup>13)</sup>
----	---------	------------------------------

WRS: Wilcoxin rank sum test

#### D. 形質細胞の分化様式

Bリンパ球は最終的に形質細胞へと分化成熟し 免疫グロブリン蛋白を大量に産生するようにな る.しかし初期のBリンパ球発生が比較的詳細に 検討されてきたのに比し,最終段階である形質細 胞への分化がどのようなメカニズムによって調整 されているかはほとんど知られていない.このよ うなBリンパ球の発達様式の解明にもDNAチッ プは強力なツールとなり得るであろうし,またそ のような知見はMM細胞の起源にも重要な情報 を与えるであろう.

扁桃でのBリンパ球は同じ組織内でCD138陽 性形質細胞へと分化し、やがて末梢血中に移行 した後、骨髄へホーミングすると考えられてい る<sup>15)</sup>.そこでZhanらは扁桃内に存在するCD19 陽性Bリンパ球(7例)、扁桃のCD138陽性形質 細胞(11例)および骨髄のCD138陽性形質細胞 (31例)を、それぞれCD19あるいはCD138に対 する親和性磁気ビーズカラムにて純化し、 Affymetrix HuGeneFLまたはHGU95Av2にて遺 伝子発現プロファイル解析を行った<sup>16)</sup>.その結 果、これまで知られているとおりCD45、CD19、 CD20等の表面マーカーは形質細胞への分化に伴 い発現が低下し、一方CD138、CD38、CD63 な どは最終分化に伴って誘導されることが確認され た.

Zhanらは次に分化の初期過程である扁桃内で のCD19陽性Bリンパ球からCD138陽性形質細胞 への分化に伴って発現量が変化する遺伝子を抽出 し、表3に示されるようにBMI1やIRF4などの 転写因子,アポトーシス調節因子であるCASP10, 細胞接着に関わるITGA6, PECAM1等が分化に 伴って発現が誘導されることを示した、一方、分 化に伴い発現が抑制される遺伝子として転写因子 である ETS1 等が認められた.また、その他にも 細胞周期調節因子やケモカイン、接着因子など 様々な遺伝子の発現が扁桃内でのBリンパ球の成 熟に際し大きく変化することも示された。興味深 いことに細胞増殖に直結する転写因子である MYCは、扁桃内でのCD138陽性への分化に伴い 一旦発現が減少するが、骨髄へのホーミングに際 して再び発現誘導されることも明らかになった.

次に扁桃内形質細胞から骨髄の形質細胞への移 行に伴い発現が制御される遺伝子であるが,表3 にあるBMI1やSTCHなど扁桃内で発現が誘導さ れた遺伝子の一部は,骨髄への移行に際し発現が さらに活性化されることも確認された.また扁桃 内では全く発現がないが骨髄において初めて発現 が確認された遺伝子として,表4にあるように複

accession no.	symbol	function	TBC	TPC	BPC
U60519	CASP10	apoptosis	_	+	++
X53586	ITGA6	adhesion		+	++
U04735	STCH	chaperone	+	++	++
L13689	BMI1	transcription; repressor; PcG	+	++	+++
L34657	PECAM1	adhesion	+	++	++-+-
U52682	IRF4	transcription; IRF family	+	+++	+++
M31627	XBP1	transcription; bZip family	++++	++++	++++
AB000410	OGG1	DNA glycosylase	+	_	_
D87432	SLC7A6	solute transporter	+	-	_
J04101	ETS1	transcription; ets family	+	_	

表3 扁桃内で発現量が変化する遺伝子<sup>16)</sup>

TBC: tonsil B cell, TPC: tonsil plasma cell, BPC: bone marrow plasma cell